

Modified Friedmann Equation and Inflation in Warped Codimension-two Braneworld

Fang Chen, James M. Cline, and Sugumi Kanno
Physics Department, McGill University
 3600 University Street, Montreal, QC, Canada H3A 2T8
 (Dated: April 23, 2022)

We study the Friedmann equation for the warped codimension-two braneworld background which most closely resembles the Randall-Sundrum model. Extra matter on the (Planck) 4-brane, with equation of state $p_\theta = (\alpha - 1)\rho$ for the azimuthal pressure, is required to satisfy the junction conditions. For $1 < \alpha < 5$, we show that there are two static solutions to the Einstein equations for given values of the brane stress-energies. Close to the static solutions, the relation between Hubble expansion rate H and brane tension reproduces the standard 4D result for small H , but exhibits unusual deviations when H is of order the AdS curvature scale. The two static branches for $1 < \alpha < 5$ are shown to come together smoothly at a maximum value of H ; however the radion is shown to be unstable in the branch with higher H . This remains true even with a mechanism for stabilization of the radion, *i.e.*, the Goldberger-Wise (GW) mechanism, since large enough H overcomes the force of stabilization. Even in the unstabilized case, cosmological constraints on the time and spatial variation of Newton's constant are typically satisfied; only fifth force constraints require the stabilization. For $\alpha > 5$ the model is intrinsically stable, without the need for a GW field, and in this case we show that inflationary predictions can be modified by the nonstandard Friedmann equation; in particular it is possible to get an upper limit on the spectral index, large deviations from the consistency condition between the tensor spectrum and ratio r , and large running of the spectral index even though the slow roll parameters remain small.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

The cosmology of braneworld scenarios has been widely studied, particularly in the simplest case of codimension-one branes, involving only a single extra dimension (see [1, 2, 3, 4] for reviews). It was initially noticed that the Friedmann equation was strongly modified from its usual dependence $H^2 \sim \rho$ to the form $H \sim \rho$ [5], in contradiction to big bang nucleosynthesis and other cosmological tests. Before the realization that such pathological behavior was linked to the failure to stabilize the extra dimension, it was discovered that in the Randall-Sundrum (RS) model [6, 7] with a warped extra dimension due to a negative bulk cosmological constant, the Friedmann equation took a more interesting form,

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho \left(1 + \frac{\rho}{T}\right), \quad (1)$$

where T is the brane tension [8, 9, 10]. Thus the exotic linear dependence $H \sim \rho$ could arise as a high-energy correction to the normal low-energy dependence. The behavior (1) is only valid for the single-brane version of RS; in the case of two branes, it is necessary to stabilize the interbrane separation [11], which leads to a more complicated dependence for the high-energy corrections [12]. Other interesting forms are possible for the Friedmann equation in codimension-one brane models, as in the DGP model [13], whose Lagrangian includes an extra Einstein-Hilbert term localized on the brane, and leads to a quadratic equation determining H ,

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho \mp \frac{2M_5^3}{M_4^2}H, \quad (2)$$

in terms of the 5D Planck mass M_5 and 4D Planck mass M_4 . More intricate possibilities also exist, for example through the addition of a Gauss-Bonnet term to the DGP model [14].

There has also been considerable interest in 6D, codimension-two braneworld models, in part motivated by the suggestion of a self-tuning mechanism for the cosmological constant which was argued to be a special feature of codimension-two branes [15, 16, 17, 18], although this claim is controversial [19, 20]. Regardless of the cosmological constant problem, it is still interesting to consider the predictions of codimension-two branes for the expansion of the early universe, since deviations from the general-relativistic prediction can help constrain the models, as well as lead to new possibilities for inflation [21, 22].

In the present work we revisit the problem of modifications to the Friedmann equation, focusing on a warped model which is the natural extension of the RS model to six spacetime dimensions [23]-[25]. Part of our motivation is a claim [18] that the standard Friedmann equation is not recovered even when the extra dimensions are stabilized. We will

show that this claim was erroneous, and that although the unstabilized version of the model indeed exhibits unusual expansion behavior at high energies, the expected results of general relativity (GR) are recovered at low energy in the case where the radion is stabilized through a Goldberger-Wise (GW) [26] mechanism, and even in the *unstabilized* model.

The detailed form of the modified Friedmann equation depends on the equation of state α of extra matter which is placed on the 4-brane that plays the role of the UV brane in our model. We find that for most values of α , there is an exotic branch of the Friedmann equation which has the Hubble rate H increasing as the brane tension *decreases*. Through a fluctuation analysis, we show that the radion is unstable on this branch, even in the version of the model which includes the GW mechanism.

On the conventional branch of the Friedmann relation, we obtain interesting deviations from the predictions of GR near (but still below) the point where the radion instability begins. We show that it is possible to obtain potentially observable deviations from the consistency condition which relates the tensor spectral index to the tensor-to-scalar ratio, in a model of chaotic inflation on the brane. We note that there is a suppression of the spectral index in the braneworld inflation model relative to standard chaotic inflation. Furthermore it is possible (though it requires more fine tuning) to get large running of the spectral index.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In section II, the basic setup is presented. We derive the bulk equation of motion and the jump conditions for the codimension two background with the 3-brane undergoing de Sitter expansion. We obtain an exact solution in this background. In section III, we study the Friedmann equation in the model in the absence of radion stabilization. The Friedmann equation is obtained using an analytical approximation valid at low H , as well as numerically for arbitrary H . We find that standard cosmological expansion is recovered at low H , but interesting deviations occur when $H \sim \ell$, where ℓ is the curvature scale of the AdS background. In section IV we repeat the analysis in the more realistic version of the model which includes stabilization of the bulk using the Goldberger-Wise mechanism. To check the effectiveness of the stabilization as a function of the Hubble rate, we analyze the stability of the model, with and without the GW field, in section V. To explore the physical consequences of the modified Friedmann equation, we study chaotic inflation on the brane in section VI. Section VII gives our conclusions, including a summary of the most important results of the paper. In appendix A, details of the derivation of the perturbative approximation to the modified Friedmann equation are supplied. Appendix B gives an index of the many symbols used in this paper as an aid to the bewildered.

II. THE MODEL

We will consider a codimension-two braneworld with a negative cosmological constant in the six-dimensional bulk spacetime. The geometry describes a warped conical throat, which is bounded at large r , namely $r = P$, by a 4-brane around which orbifold boundary conditions are imposed, analogous to the Planck brane of the RS model. In addition there is a 3-brane at the infrared end of the throat, at the position $r = \varrho$, which can be thought of as the Standard Model brane. The action is

$$S = \frac{1}{2k_6^2} \int d^6x \sqrt{-\mathcal{G}} [\mathcal{R} - 2\Lambda_6] - \int d^6x \sqrt{-\mathcal{G}} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\nabla\phi)^2 + V(\phi) \right] + \int d^5x \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} \mathcal{L}_{4\text{-brane}} - \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \tau_3, \quad (3)$$

where k_6^2 is the 6-dimensional gravitational constant and \mathcal{G}_{AB} is the 6-dimensional bulk metric. The 6D cosmological constant is negative, $\Lambda_6 = -10/\ell^2$, and gives rise to an approximately AdS₆ bulk geometry with curvature length scale ℓ . We denote the induced metrics on the 3-brane and the 4-brane by $g_{\mu\nu}$ and \tilde{g}_{ab} , respectively. τ_3 is the 3-brane tension. Here, $\mathcal{L}_{4\text{-brane}}$ is the Lagrangian density of the matter on the 4-brane that includes the 4-brane tension T_4 , as well as some additional component which is necessary for satisfying Israel matching (jump) conditions at $r = P$. The 4-brane at $r = P$ is needed in order to have a compact bulk and localized gravity.

The 6-dimensional Einstein equation derived by varying the above action with respect to \mathcal{G}^{AB} takes the form

$$\sqrt{-\mathcal{G}} [G_{AB} + \Lambda_6 \mathcal{G}_{AB} - k_6^2 T_{AB}] = k_6^2 \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} S_{ab} \delta_A^a \delta_B^b \delta(r - P) - k_6^2 \tau_3 \sqrt{-g} g_{\mu\nu} \delta_A^\mu \delta_B^\nu \delta^{(2)}(r - \varrho), \quad (4)$$

where $\delta^{(2)}$ denotes the 2-dimensional delta function with support at the position of the 3-brane, S_{ab} is the 4-brane stress-energy tensor (which we will specify below, eq. (13)) and T_{AB} is the bulk stress-energy tensor,

$$T_{AB} = \partial_A \phi \partial_B \phi - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G}_{AB} \partial^D \phi \partial_D \phi - V(\phi) \mathcal{G}_{AB}. \quad (5)$$

The pure gravity model has a modulus, the radion, which must be stabilized to make a realistic model [16]. For this reason we have included a bulk scalar field, which can give the radion a mass by the Goldberger-Wise mechanism [26], provided that ϕ couples to the 4-brane in $\mathcal{L}_{4\text{-brane}}$.

A. Bulk equations of motion

For simplicity we impose azimuthal symmetry on the extra two dimensions and therefore require that the metric depends only on the radial coordinate. The line element has the form

$$ds^2 = a(r) [-dt^2 + e^{2\tilde{H}t} \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j] + f(r) K^2 d\theta^2 + \frac{1}{f(r)} dr^2, \quad (6)$$

where \tilde{H} is a rescaled Hubble parameter,¹ and K is a dimensionful parameter which determines the deficit angle at the 3-brane, where there is generically a conical singularity. To insure that the position $r = \varrho$ of the 3-brane represents a single point in the extra dimensions, we require that $f(\varrho) = 0$. The pure gravity model has a modulus, the radion, which must be stabilized to make a realistic model. For this reason we have included a bulk scalar field, which can give the radion a mass by the Goldberger-Wise mechanism [26], provided that ϕ couples to the 4-brane in $\mathcal{L}_{4\text{-brane}}$.

The Einstein equations for the model are

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\mu : \quad & \frac{3\tilde{H}^2}{af} - \frac{3a''}{2a} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{f''}{f} - \frac{3a'f'}{2af} = \frac{\Lambda_6}{f} + k_6^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \phi'^2 + \frac{V(\phi)}{f} \right) \\ \theta\theta : \quad & \frac{6\tilde{H}^2}{af} - 2\frac{a''}{a} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{a'}{a} \right)^2 - \frac{a'f'}{af} = \frac{\Lambda_6}{f} + k_6^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \phi'^2 + \frac{V(\phi)}{f} \right) \\ rr : \quad & \frac{6\tilde{H}^2}{af} - \frac{a'f'}{af} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{a'}{a} \right)^2 = \frac{\Lambda_6}{f} - k_6^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \phi'^2 - \frac{V(\phi)}{f} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where \prime denotes the derivative with respect to r .

The variation with respect to ϕ gives the Klein-Gordon equation,

$$\square \phi - \frac{dV}{d\phi} = 0. \quad (8)$$

B. Jump conditions

To completely specify the geometry, we must consider the jump conditions which relate the metric and bulk scalar to the sources of stress-energy at the boundaries. First, let us consider the jump condition for the 3-brane. Recalling that the position of 3-brane satisfies

$$f(\varrho) = 0, \quad (9)$$

the deficit angle is given by

$$\Delta\theta = 2\pi \left[1 - \frac{K}{2} f'(r) \right] \Big|_{r=\varrho} \quad (10)$$

A nonvanishing deficit angle indicates the presence of a conical singularity at the position of the 3-brane, related to the brane tension τ_3 by $\Delta\theta = k_6^2 \tau_3$. The jump condition at $r = \varrho$ can thus be written as

$$K = \frac{2}{f'(\varrho)} \left(1 - k_6^2 \frac{\tau_3}{2\pi} \right). \quad (11)$$

¹ Since $a(\varrho) \neq 1$ at the 3-brane, t is not the proper time. The Hubble rate with respect to the proper time is $H = \tilde{H}/\sqrt{a(\varrho)}$, hence our distinction between H and \tilde{H} .

In what follows, it will not be necessary to include any coupling of the scalar field to the 3-brane, so the boundary condition of ϕ at $r = \varrho$ is simply

$$\phi'(\varrho) = 0 \quad (12)$$

Next, for the 4-brane, we define the stress-energy tensor of the 4-brane S_{ab} as:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\mu\nu} &= - \left(T_4 + \frac{\tau_4}{L_4^\alpha} \right) \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}, & L_4^2 &= f K^2 \\ S_{\theta\theta} &= - \left(T_4 + (1 - \alpha) \frac{\tau_4}{L_4^\alpha} \right) \tilde{g}_{\theta\theta}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The parameter α , which allows for a difference between the 4D and the $\theta\theta$ components of the 4-brane stress tensor, must be nonzero to accommodate a static solution with $P < \infty$. The physics which could account for the τ_4 contribution to the stress tensor can arise in several ways [17, 27]. Conservation of S_{ab} implies that the energy density of the source τ_4 must scale like $1/L_4^\alpha$ [16, 18] where $2\pi L_4$ is the circumference of the 4-brane. If τ_4 is due to the Casimir effect of massless fields living on the 4-brane, then $\alpha = 5$, whereas if it is due to smearing a 3-brane around the 4-brane then $\alpha = 1$.

We assume Z_2 orbifold boundary conditions at the 4-brane, so that the radial derivatives of the metric components change sign as one crosses the 4-brane, and their discontinuity is determined by the 4-brane stress energy components. Thus the jump conditions at $r = P$ are given by

$$f \left[\frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{a'}{a} \right)' + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{f'}{f} \right)' \right] \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = k_6^2 \sqrt{f} S_{\mu\nu} \delta(r - P), \quad (14)$$

$$2 f^2 K^2 \left(\frac{a'}{a} \right)' = k_6^2 \sqrt{f} S_{\theta\theta} \delta(r - P), \quad (15)$$

where the term \sqrt{f} in the r.h.s. of each equation comes from the ratio of the determinant of the 4-brane induced metric to the bulk metric, $\sqrt{-\tilde{g}}/\sqrt{-\mathcal{G}}$.

By integrating the above equations across $r = P$, assuming Z_2 orbifold symmetry, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{f} \left(3 \frac{a'}{a} + \frac{f'}{f} \right) &= k_6^2 \left(T_4 + \frac{\tau_4}{L_4^\alpha} \right), \\ 4 \sqrt{f} \frac{a'}{a} &= k_6^2 \left(T_4 + (1 - \alpha) \frac{\tau_4}{L_4^\alpha} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

To stabilize the model with the bulk scalar field, we will allow for the possibility that ϕ couples to the 4-brane through a potential $V_P(\phi)$ contained in $\mathcal{L}_{4\text{-brane}}$. This leads to the boundary condition

$$\phi' = - \frac{1}{2f(P)} \frac{dV_P}{d\phi} \quad (17)$$

at $r = P$, using the assumed Z_2 orbifold symmetry.

C. Background solution

The general solution to Einstein's equations for the metric (6) is

$$a(r) = \frac{r^2}{r_0^2}, \quad f(r) = \left(\frac{r^2}{r_0^2} - \frac{r_1^3}{r^3} + \tilde{H}^2 \ell^2 \right) \frac{r_0^2}{\ell^2} \quad (18)$$

The constants of integration r_0, r_1 can be set to convenient values by rescaling coordinates, $x^\mu \rightarrow Ax^\mu, r \rightarrow Br$. Under this transformation, the metric functions change as

$$a \rightarrow aA^2B^2, \quad \tilde{H} \rightarrow \tilde{H}/A, \quad f \rightarrow f/B^2, \quad K \rightarrow KB \quad (19)$$

By choosing $A = (r_0/r_1)^{3/5}$ and $B = (r_0^2 r_1^3)^{1/5}$, we can thus set $r_0 = r_1 = \ell$, for convenience. To simplify the equations however, we will express r in units of ℓ henceforth, so that r (as well as ϱ, P) becomes dimensionless. In the limit of $\tilde{H} \rightarrow 0$, the metric (18) reduces to the AdS soliton solution [28].

Since we have chosen to normalize $a(\varrho) = \varrho^2$ at the 3-brane instead of the usual value, $a = 1$ the observed Hubble rate on the 3-brane is given by $H = \dot{H}/\varrho$, and the position of the 3-brane, defined by eq. (9), satisfies

$$1 + H^2 \ell^2 = \frac{1}{\varrho^5}, \quad (20)$$

The jump condition for the 3-brane, eq. (11), is then

$$K = \frac{\ell}{\varrho + \frac{3}{2\varrho^4}} \left(1 - k_6^2 \frac{\tau_3}{2\pi} \right), \quad (21)$$

and the jump conditions for the 4-brane, eqs. (16), can be expressed as

$$F \equiv \frac{P + \frac{3}{2}P^{-4}}{\sqrt{P^2 - P^{-3} + H^2 \varrho^2 \ell^2}} + \frac{3\alpha + 1}{\alpha - 1} \frac{\sqrt{P^2 - P^{-3} + H^2 \varrho^2 \ell^2}}{P} = \frac{k_6^2 \alpha}{2(\alpha - 1)} \ell T_4 \quad (22)$$

$$G \equiv \frac{P + \frac{3}{2}P^{-4}}{\sqrt{P^2 - P^{-3} + H^2 \varrho^2 \ell^2}} - \frac{\sqrt{P^2 - P^{-3} + H^2 \varrho^2 \ell^2}}{P} = \frac{\ell k_6^2 \alpha \tau_4}{2K^\alpha (P^2 - P^{-3} + H^2 \varrho^2 \ell^2)^{\alpha/2}} \quad (23)$$

These conditions cannot be solved analytically for general values of the Hubble rate. However, we can solve them when $H = 0$, as we next demonstrate.

D. Static solutions

We wish to find the value of the 4-brane position \bar{P} and the critical 3-brane tension $\bar{\tau}_3$ which satisfy the jump conditions for a static geometry, with $H = 0$:

$$\frac{\bar{P} + \frac{3}{2}\bar{P}^{-4}}{\sqrt{\bar{P}^2 - \bar{P}^{-3}}} + \frac{3\alpha + 1}{\alpha - 1} \frac{\sqrt{\bar{P}^2 - \bar{P}^{-3}}}{\bar{P}} = \frac{k_6^2 \alpha}{2(\alpha - 1)} \ell T_4, \quad (24)$$

$$\frac{\bar{P} + \frac{3}{2}\bar{P}^{-4}}{\sqrt{\bar{P}^2 - \bar{P}^{-3}}} - \frac{\sqrt{\bar{P}^2 - \bar{P}^{-3}}}{\bar{P}} = \frac{\ell k_6^2 \alpha \tau_4}{2K^\alpha (\bar{P}^2 - \bar{P}^{-3})^{\alpha/2}}. \quad (25)$$

At first sight these equations appear intractable for an analytic solution, but if we first square both sides of eq. (24), we find that it becomes quadratic in \bar{P}^5 :

$$(64 - c)\alpha^2 \bar{P}^{10} + (c\alpha^2 - 16\alpha(3\alpha + 5)) \bar{P}^5 + (3\alpha + 5)^2 = 0 \quad (26)$$

where we defined $c = (k_6^2 \ell T_4)^2$. The solutions are given by

$$\bar{P}_\pm^5 = \frac{80 + \alpha(48 - c) \pm \sqrt{\Delta}}{2\alpha(64 - c)}, \quad \Delta = c(100 - 40\alpha + (c - 60)\alpha^2) \quad (27)$$

From the discriminant Δ of the quadratic equation, it is necessary that $c > c_{\min} \equiv 60 + 40/\alpha - 100/\alpha^2 = 64 - (10/\alpha - 2)^2$ to have real solutions for \bar{P} . Furthermore, physical solutions must have $\bar{P} > \bar{\varrho} = 1$, where from eq. (20) the static position of the 3-brane is $\bar{\varrho} = 1$. We find that it is only possible to have two physical solutions for \bar{P} in the cases where $\alpha = 2, 3, 4$. For these, the second solution becomes unphysical if $c > 64$. Thus only for the cases with $2 \leq \alpha \leq 4$ and $c_{\min} < c < 64$ do we get two possible static solutions to the Einstein equations for the same input parameters. For $\alpha = 1$, $\Delta = c^2$ and the solution $\bar{P}_- = 1$ is spurious; it does not solve the original unsquared eq. (20). For $\alpha = 1$, c is restricted to the interval $[0, 64]$ for the valid solution \bar{P}_+ to exist, since $\bar{P}_+ < 0$ for $c > 64$. For $\alpha = 5$, $c_{\min} = 64$ and the solution \bar{P}_- is always negative. For $\alpha > 5$, although $c_{\min} < 64$, \bar{P}_- is still negative and there is only one physical solution. We illustrate the three qualitatively different cases by graphing \bar{P} versus c for $\alpha = 1, 3, 5$ in figure 1.

We can also solve eq. (25) for the 3-brane tension in terms of \bar{P} . To get a simpler-looking result, it is helpful to once again square both sides of the equation before solving. We find that

$$\frac{k_6^2 \bar{\tau}_3}{2\pi} = 1 - \frac{5}{2} \left(\frac{\alpha \tau_4 k_6^2}{5\ell^{\alpha-1}} \right)^{1/\alpha} (\bar{P}^5 - 1)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \bar{P}^{\frac{5+3\alpha}{2\alpha}} \quad (28)$$

Recall that $k_6^2 \bar{\tau}_3$ is the deficit angle of the conical singularity at the 3-brane, which cannot exceed 2π . Eq. (28) is consistent with this requirement, so long as $\tau_4 > 0$ and $\bar{P} > 1$. But we should further demand that the brane tension be positive to avoid instabilities associated with negative tension branes. Eq. (28) shows that this problem can always be avoided by choosing the free parameter τ_4 , quantifying the amount of extra nontensional matter on the 4-brane, to be small enough.




FIG. 1: The solutions for \bar{P} as a function of $c \equiv (k_6^2 \ell T_4)^2$ for the cases of $\alpha = 1, 3, 5$, where α determines equation of state of extra matter on the 4-brane. For $1 < \alpha < 5$ there are two solutions for \bar{P} , as exemplified by the middle graph.

III. MODIFIED FRIEDMANN EQUATION

In this section we will use approximate and numerical methods to solve the jump conditions (22, 23) and thereby deduce the form of the Friedmann equation in the 6D model. Before solving them, it is important to understand which quantities should be considered as inputs and which are derived. Obviously we can freely specify all sources of stress energy, including Λ_6 , T_4 , τ_3 , τ_4 and α ; these determine the Hubble parameter H . However our de Sitter brane solutions only exist for special values of P , the position of the 4-brane, which in the absence of the bulk scalar field is an unstabilized modulus, except in the case where $\alpha > 5$ [16]. Therefore eqs. (22, 23) should be seen as determining H and P given arbitrary sources of stress-energy.

We will solve the jump conditions at first ignoring the bulk scalar field. The Friedmann equation is obtained by finding the dependence of H on the 3-brane tension τ_3 while holding other sources fixed. As shown above, for given values of the 4-brane stress energy, there exists a special value $\bar{\tau}_3$ of the 3-brane tension (and possibly two such values) which leads to the static solution, $H = 0$. The analog of the Friedmann equation is the functional dependence of H on the difference $\delta\tau = \tau_3 - \bar{\tau}_3$ which gives rise to expansion of the brane. Although this is not a realistic situation, since we are not allowing for radiation or matter on the 3-brane, one expects a pure tension source to nevertheless reveal the functional dependence of the Hubble expansion on the excess energy density of the brane. In any region of parameter space where the conventional Friedmann equation is recovered, this of course has to be the case, since the Friedmann equation depends only upon the total energy density and not upon the equation of state. To explicitly study brane matter with $p \neq -\rho$, it is necessary to regularize the 3-brane by giving it a finite thickness [19, 25]. In the present work we avoid these complications by assuming that purely tensional energy density is sufficient for mapping out the functional dependence of $H(\rho)$, but it is possible that this assumption could break down in the regions of interest, where significant deviations from the conventional Friedmann equation occur. This is an interesting question for future study, but beyond the scope of this paper.

We will use two different methods to obtain the desired relation. First, in section III A we will use a perturbative approach, treating H and $\delta\tau$ as small quantities; this gives some analytic insight into the deviations from the standard Friedmann equation. Second, in section III C, we solve the jump conditions numerically, allowing us to probe arbitrarily large values of the brane tension.

A. Perturbative Friedmann equation

A perturbative solution for H as a function of τ_3 can be found by expanding around the static solutions found in section II D. In that section we noted that for $1 < \alpha < 5$ there are two static solutions for a given set of stress-energies for the source brane. The procedure we describe applies equally to expanding around either of these two solutions in those cases. The strategy is to first solve eq. (22) to obtain the position of the 4-brane in terms H , $P = P(H)$. Substituting P into eq. (23), we then find a relation between H and τ_3 since the deficit parameter in eq. (23) is given by $K = K(H, \tau_3)$ from eq. (21). The relation can be solved for H by perturbing in the excess 3-brane tension $\delta\tau_3 = \tau_3 - \bar{\tau}_3$, or equivalently in the Hubble rate H . Thus we start by expressing P as a Taylor series in H using

eq. (22); then we will eliminate P from eq. (23) to obtain H as a Taylor series in $\delta\tau_3$. The Taylor expansion for P is given by

$$P = \bar{P} + \frac{d\bar{P}}{d\mathcal{H}}\mathcal{H} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2\bar{P}}{d\mathcal{H}^2}\mathcal{H}^2 + \dots \quad (29)$$

where we have defined the dimensionless quantity

$$\mathcal{H} \equiv H^2\ell^2 \quad (30)$$

The coefficient $\frac{d\bar{P}}{d\mathcal{H}}$ in this expansion can be found by differentiating eq. (22) to get

$$\frac{d\bar{P}}{d\mathcal{H}} = \frac{4(\alpha+1)\bar{P}^5 - 9\alpha + 1}{10(\alpha-5)\bar{P}^5 + 5(3\alpha+5)}\bar{P}^4 \quad (31)$$

To relate H to the 3-brane tension, we use (21) and (23) to find that

$$1 - k_6^2\frac{\tau_3}{2\pi} = \left(\rho + \frac{3}{2\rho^4}\right) \left(\frac{k_6^2\alpha\tau_4}{2G(P)\ell^{\alpha-1}f^{\alpha/2}(P)}\right)^{1/\alpha} \quad (32)$$

where we recall that ρ depends on H via eq. (21). Note that $\bar{\tau}_3$ is defined to be the value of τ_3 corresponding to $H = 0$ and $P = \bar{P}$. To find the Friedmann equation at leading order in $\delta\tau_3$, we take $\tau_3 = \bar{\tau}_3 + \delta\tau_3$ and substitute $P = \bar{P} + \frac{d\bar{P}}{d\mathcal{H}}\mathcal{H}$ into the r.h.s. of (32). This gives

$$-\frac{k_6^2}{2\pi}\delta\tau_3 = -\frac{2}{5}\mathcal{H}(\bar{P}^3 - 1) \left(1 - \frac{k_6^2}{2\pi}\bar{\tau}_3\right) + O(\mathcal{H}^2). \quad (33)$$

which after solving for H^2 becomes

$$H^2 = \frac{5}{2}(\bar{P}^3 - 1)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{k_6^2}{2\pi}\bar{\tau}_3\right)^{-1} \frac{k_6^2}{2\pi\ell^2}\delta\tau_3 + O(\delta\tau^2) \quad (34)$$

Ignoring the terms $O(\delta\tau^2)$, eq. (34) has the form of the standard Friedmann equation, $H^2 = (8\pi G/3)\rho$, since $\delta\tau_3$ is the excess energy density driving the cosmological expansion. However we need to check that the coefficient of $\delta\tau_3$ in eq. (34) is indeed $8\pi G/3$. We can compute Newton's constant, or equivalently the 4D Planck mass $M_4^2 = (8\pi G)^{-1}$, by dimensionally reducing the 6D Einstein-Hilbert action. Ignoring Kaluza-Klein excitations, the 6D and 4D Ricci scalars are related by $R_6 = R_4/a$; thus

$$\begin{aligned} M_4^2 = (8\pi G)^{-1} &= M_6^4 \int \sqrt{-g_6} a^{-1} dr d\theta = M_6^4 \int aK \sqrt{f} \frac{\ell}{\sqrt{f}} dr d\theta \\ &= 2\pi M_6^4 K \ell \int_{\varrho}^P r^2 dr = \frac{2\pi}{3} M_6^4 \ell^2 \frac{P^3 - \varrho^3}{\varrho + \frac{3}{2\varrho^4}} \left(1 - k_6^2 \frac{\tau_3}{2\pi}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Notice that in the above expression, the 4D Planck mass depends upon H through the integration limits ϱ and P ; thus Newton's constant becomes time-dependent when the rate of expansion of the universe is not constant. We will need to check whether this effect can be small enough to be consistent with experimental constraints on the time variation of G (see next subsection). But for the immediate purpose of comparing our obtained Friedmann equation with the standard one, we define a static Planck mass which corresponds to its value when $H = 0$:

$$\bar{M}_4^2 = (8\pi\bar{G})^{-1} = 2\pi M_6^4 K \ell \int_1^{\bar{P}} r^2 dr = \frac{4\pi}{15} \ell^2 M_6^4 (\bar{P}^3 - 1) \left(1 - \frac{k_6^2}{2\pi}\bar{\tau}_3\right) \quad (36)$$

Since $M_6^4 = k_6^{-2}$, we see that indeed eq. (34) is consistent with the 4D Friedmann equation, $H^2 = (8\pi\bar{G}/3)\delta\tau_3$. This is in contrast to ref. [18], which mistakenly found deviations from the normal Friedmann equation at this order. The mistake made there was that corrections to the expansion rate due to changes in the 3-brane and 4-brane positions were argued to be negligible. However, we have just shown that it is essential to take account of the changes in ϱ and P in order to obtain the correct result.

We emphasize that this result holds equally in the vicinity of either of the two static solutions that exist in the cases of $1 < \alpha < 5$. This might at first seem contradictory since the slopes of H^2 versus $\delta\tau_3$ differ between the two

solutions. However once one fixes the value of the 4D Planck mass by appropriately choosing the values of the input stress-energies, either solution equally well reproduces the standard Friedmann equation at small values of H .

Having established that the Friedmann equation is recovered at leading order in $\delta\tau_3$, we now turn to the corrections at higher order. The detailed calculations are presented in the appendix. Using eq. (36) and solving for H^2 , we obtain

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi\bar{G}}{3}\delta\tau_3 \left(1 + \left(\frac{8\pi\bar{G}}{3} \right)^2 J\ell^4\delta\tau_3^2 \right) + O(\delta\tau_3^4) \quad (37)$$

where J depends on \bar{P} and α as shown in figure 2. At large \bar{P} , J increases like \bar{P}^6 for generic values of α and like \bar{P}^{21} for $\alpha = 5$ due to the behavior of the denominator in eq. (A5). For intermediate values of \bar{P} , and $1 < \alpha < 5$, J can become negative, as seen between the cusps of $\ln|J|$ in figure 2.




FIG. 2: $\log|J|$ versus $\log \bar{P}$ for several values of α . J is positive except for the regions between cusps.

B. Time and spatial variation of Newton's constant

From eq. (35) we see that the 4D Planck mass depends on H and τ_3 , the 3-brane tension. We can therefore anticipate that it will depend on time in a situation where the pure tension τ_3 is replaced by matter or radiation which gets diluted by the expansion of the universe. To quantify this dependence, we will consider the variation of M_4^2 (or equivalently Newton's constant G) with $\delta\tau_3$.

For small $\delta\tau_3$ we can differentiate eq. (35) with respect to τ_3 , keeping in mind that P and ρ depend on \mathcal{H} , which in turns depends on τ_3 . At leading order, we find that

$$\frac{d \ln G}{d\delta\tau_3} = -\frac{k}{(\bar{P}^3 - 1)^2 (1 - k\bar{\tau}_3)} \left(\frac{3}{2} \frac{\bar{P}^6 (4(\alpha + 1)\bar{P}^5 - 9\alpha + 1)}{2(\alpha - 5)\bar{P}^5 + 3\alpha + 5} + \frac{3}{2} - \bar{P}^3(\bar{P}^3 - 1) \right) \quad (38)$$

at $\delta\tau_3 = 0$, where $k^2 = k_6^2/(2\pi)$. Since the deficit angle should lie in the physical range $[0, 2\pi)$, $k^2\bar{\tau}_3$ must be in $[0, 1)$ which means the energy scale determining the 3-brane tension must be approximately equal to or below the 6D Planck mass. In the limit of large warping, $\bar{P} \gg 1$, this simplifies to

$$\frac{d \ln G}{d\delta\tau_3} \cong 2 \frac{4 + \alpha}{5 - \alpha} \frac{k^2}{(1 - k\bar{\tau}_3)} \quad (39)$$

assuming that $\alpha \neq 5$. Let us compare this to experimental constraints on the time-variation of G , $|d \ln G/dt| < 10^{-12}/y$ [29]. Since $d\rho/dt = -2\rho_0 t_0^2/t^3$, taking ρ_0 to be the present value $\sim (3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV})^4$ and $t = t_0 \sim 15 \text{ Gyr}$, we find that the constraint implies that

$$\frac{d \ln G}{d\delta\tau_3} < \frac{d \ln G/dt}{d\rho/dt} \cong 10^{44} \text{ GeV}^{-4} \quad (40)$$

Therefore $k^2 \sim M_6^{-4} \lesssim 10^{44} \text{ GeV}^{-4}$, and we have only the extremely weak constraint that $M_6 \gtrsim 10^{-11} \text{ GeV}$.

A stronger constraint can be obtained if we make the reasonable assumption that the 6D compactification volume and hence G would be locally perturbed by a high density of matter, similarly to the global perturbation of G due to the 3-brane tension. For example, G should not vary greatly from its known value in the vicinity of a neutron star, in order to maintain precision tests of general relativity from binary pulsars. From this we conclude that k should be less than the inverse density of nuclear matter, leading to the much more interesting constraint

$$M_6 \gtrsim 1 \text{ GeV} \quad (41)$$

For the special case $\alpha = 5$, the above approximations are not valid, and the time variation of G is relatively large if the radial size of the extra dimension P is much greater than unity. We find that

$$\frac{d \ln G}{d \delta \tau_3} \cong -\frac{9}{5} \bar{P}^5 \frac{k^2}{(1 - k^2 \bar{\tau}_3)} \quad (42)$$

The constraint on M_6 is more stringent in that case by a factor of \bar{P}^5 . For the interesting value of $\bar{P} \sim 10^{16}$ which is required to solve the weak scale hierarchy problem, the constraint is $k^2 \lesssim 10^{-36} \text{ GeV}^{-4}$, which gives $M_6 \geq 10^9 \text{ GeV}$, from the time variation of Newton's constant; the corresponding limit from the spatial variation is that M_6 must be close to the Planck scale. However if the warping is weak, $\bar{P} \sim 1$, we retain the previous constraint (40).

C. Numerical Results

We can determine the relation between H and τ_3 numerically using a simple algorithm. Notice that from eq. (22), one can solve for the combination $\sqrt{x} \equiv \sqrt{P^2 - P^{-3} + H^2 \varrho^2 \ell^2}$ in terms of P and other known quantities, since eq. (22) is quadratic in \sqrt{x} . Then $z \equiv (H \varrho \ell)^2 = x + 1/P^3 - P^2$. Furthermore, we can solve for ϱ as a function of z using eq. (20), which can be written in the form $\varrho = (1 + z/\varrho^2)^{-1/5}$. Although this has no analytic solution, numerically it converges very quickly to the exact result, starting from the initial guess $\varrho = 1$. At this point, we have determined $H(P)$ since $H = \sqrt{z}/(\ell \varrho)$. The final step is to solve eq. (23) for τ_3 , which appears in the factor K through eq. (21). Finally we have $H(P)$ and $\tau_3(P)$, which allows us to plot the relation between H and τ_3 .

In figure 3 we plot $H(\tau_3)$ for the three illustrative cases $\alpha = 1, 3, 5$ (recall that α determines the equation of state of the extra component of matter on the 4-brane, needed for obtaining a compact solution, with $P < \infty$). When $\alpha = 1$, the solution to eq. (22) allows for the position of the 4-brane $P \rightarrow 0$ while $H \varrho \ell \sim P^{-3/2}$. On the other hand eq. (28) shows that $P \rightarrow 0$ as the deficit angle approaches 2π . The result is that H diverges for finite τ_3 , and this behavior is seen in figure 3. We graph the dimensionless quantity $(H\ell)^2$ versus $\tau_3/2\pi$ in units $M_6 = 1$. In these units, $\tau_3/2\pi$ is the deficit angle of the conical defect over 2π , which should therefore lie in the range $[0, 1]$. Notice that H^2 is linear in $\delta\tau_3$ in the vicinity of $H = 0$ as expected from GR, and as we showed in the perturbative treatment. The departure from the standard 4D behavior becomes evident once the deficit angle starts to become large. We used the parameter values $\ell = 79.925$, $T_4 = 0.1$, $\tau_4 = 0.01$ in $M_6 = 1$ units for the $\alpha = 1$ graph. Recall from section IID that the parameter $c = (k_6^2 \ell T_4)^2$ had to be within a rather narrow range of values to get the interesting solutions with two branches; this explains the special choice of ℓ .

For the cases $1 < \alpha < 5$, we showed in section IID that there are two static solutions, and correspondingly, when $H > 0$ there are also two solutions for \sqrt{x} . Hence we obtain two branches of the Friedmann equation, as shown in the second panel ($\alpha = 3$ case) of figure 3, but very interestingly these two branches smoothly join with each other to make a single curve when plotted in the H - τ_3 plane. At small H , each of the two branches is a linear function and agrees with the standard 4D Friedmann equation, as we established in section III A. The two branches meet near a maximum allowed value of the expansion rate, H_{max} , whose value depends on α . This dependence is shown in figure 4. These examples use parameter values $\ell = 79.925$, $T_4 = 0.1$, $\tau_4 = 1$.

The third panel of figure 3 illustrates the behavior when $\alpha > 5$. Similarly to the $1 < \alpha < 5$ cases there is a maximum value of H (close to, but not exactly at $H \cong 1/\ell$), but unlike those cases, there is only a single branch which is connected to a static solution. The branch at small deficit angle asymptotes to nonzero H . The other parameter values used for this figure are the same as for $\alpha = 3$.

IV. FRIEDMANN EQUATION WITH GOLDBERGER-WISE SCALAR FIELD

We have shown that the model under consideration can be consistent with cosmological constraints on the time and spatial variation of Newton's constant and the standard 4D Friedmann equation at low energies, even in the absence of a mechanism to stabilize the extra dimensions. However as noted in ref. [16], there is an instability for




FIG. 3: $(Hl)^2$ versus fractional deficit angle (proportional to 3-brane tension in 6D Planck units) for $\alpha = 1, 3, 5$, and other parameters $\ell = 79.925$, $T_4 = 0.1$, $\tau_4 = 0.01$ (for $\alpha = 1$), $\tau_4 = 1$ (for $\alpha > 1$).




FIG. 4: Maximum Hubble rate ($\log(H_{\max}\ell)$) as a function of α for the cases similar to the middle panel of fig. 3

$\alpha < 5$ manifested by the radion having negative mass squared, which makes it important to introduce a stabilization mechanism (and of course fifth-force constraints also forbid a massless radion). As in [16], we adopt the method of Goldberger and Wise (GW), who introduced a bulk scalar field [26, 30, 31] in 5D models to stabilize the extra dimension. Our interest will be to see how this affects the cosmological expansion.

The basic idea behind the GW mechanism is to demand a nontrivial background solution for a free bulk scalar field ϕ by including potentials for ϕ on the branes which bound the bulk. These have the property of being minimized for nonvanishing values of ϕ . The competition between gradient and potential energy in the bulk causes the energy to be minimized at some intermediate value of the radius of the extra dimension. In ref. [16] it was shown that the resulting radion mass is suppressed by an extra power (namely 0.75) of the warp factor relative to the 5D Randall-Sundrum model, due to the largeness of the azimuthal extra dimension at the Planck brane in the 6D model. The presence of this rather large extra dimension in fact makes the present model a kind of hybrid between the ADD [32] large extra dimension scenario and the warped one.

We have given the equations of motion and boundary conditions for the scalar field already in sections II A and II B. However for the numerical methods we will use in the present section, it is more convenient to transform to a different coordinate system, whose line element is:

$$ds^2 = M^2(\tilde{r})[-dt^2 + e^{2Ht} dx^2] + d\tilde{r}^2 + L^2(\tilde{r}) d\theta^2, \quad (43)$$

In these coordinates, the 3-brane always sits at the origin, and we can rescale the 3-brane coordinates x^μ so that

$M(0) = 1$. Defining $u = M'/M$, $v = L'/L$ [18], the Einstein and scalar field equations are

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu\mu : \quad & v' + 3u' + v^2 + 6u^2 + 3uv = \frac{\Lambda_4}{M^2} - k_6^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}\phi'^2 + \mathcal{V} \right) \\
\theta\theta : \quad & 4u' + 10u^2 = \frac{2\Lambda_4}{M^2} - k_6^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}\phi'^2 + \mathcal{V} \right) \\
\varrho\varrho : \quad & 4uv + 6u^2 = \frac{2\Lambda_4}{M^2} + k_6^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}\phi'^2 - \mathcal{V} \right) \\
\phi : \quad & \phi'' + (4u + v)\phi' = \frac{d\mathcal{V}}{d\phi}
\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

where we have defined $\Lambda_4 = 3H^2$. In the following analysis we chose a special form for the bulk scalar field potential

$$\mathcal{V} = \left(\frac{b^2}{2k_6} + \frac{5b}{2l\sqrt{k_6}} \right) \phi^2 - \frac{5}{32}b^2\phi^4 - \frac{10}{l^2k_6^2} \tag{45}$$

inspired by the method of [33], in which a similar potential was used in order to find an exact static solution for the coupled scalar field and gravitational system. (Note that in 6D, ϕ has dimensions of mass squared and b has dimensions of inverse mass.) In passing, we remark that when $u = v$, *i.e.*, in the limit where $\alpha = 0$ hence $S_{\mu\nu} = S_{\theta\theta}$, an exact solution exists, given by

$$u = v = -\frac{1}{4}W, \quad \phi' = \frac{dW}{d\phi}, \quad \mathcal{V} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{dW}{d\phi} \right)^2 - \frac{5}{8}W^2, \quad W = \frac{b}{2}\phi^2 - \frac{4}{l\sqrt{k_6}} \tag{46}$$

Here the bulk cosmological constant term $\Lambda_6 = -10/l^2k_6^2$ has been absorbed into \mathcal{V} . In this singular case where $\alpha = 0$ the 4-brane has been pushed off to infinite radius and the extra dimensions are no longer compactified. Furthermore, the space does not smoothly close at $r = 0$, so the 3-brane would have to be replaced by a 4-brane with small radius in this solution. We will confine our attention to $\alpha > 0$ in the remainder.

The boundary conditions at the 4-brane, located at $\tilde{r} = P$, are given by

$$V_P + T_4 = \left(6 + \frac{2}{\alpha} \right) u(P) + \left(2 - \frac{2}{\alpha} \right) v(P) \tag{47}$$

$$\frac{\alpha\tau_4}{2L(P)^\alpha} = v(P) - u(P) \tag{48}$$

$$\phi'(P) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{dV_P}{d\phi} \tag{49}$$

where V_P is the scalar potential on the 4-brane, which prevents $\phi'(P)$ from vanishing, even with no such potential on the 3-brane. The simplest nontrivial choice, which we adopt, is

$$V_P = -\lambda\phi \tag{50}$$

The boundary conditions at the 3-brane at $\tilde{r} = 0$ are more subtle because of the vanishing of the r - r metric element there, $L(0) = 0$, causing $v = L'/L$ to diverge as $1/r$. Nevertheless, the Einstein equations (44) are well behaved as $r \rightarrow 0$ because vu and $r\phi'$ are finite, and $v' + v^2 = 0$. For the numerical computations, we deal with this complication by starting the integration slightly away from the origin, for example at $r_0 = 10^{-10}$, taking

$$L(r_0) = \left(1 - \frac{k_6^2\tau_3}{2\pi} \right) r_0 \tag{51}$$

$$u(r_0) = r_0(\Lambda_4 - \mathcal{V}) \tag{52}$$

$$M(r_0) = 1 \tag{53}$$

$$\phi'(r_0) = r_0 \frac{d\mathcal{V}}{d\phi}(r_0) \tag{54}$$

while $\phi(0)$ (or $\phi(r_0)$) is to be determined.²

² Since only the ratio $v = L'/L$ appears in the differential equation and not L or L' by itself, for numerical convenience one is free to rescale L and L' such that $L(r_0) = r_0$, and restore the factor $(1 - \frac{k_6^2\tau_3}{2\pi})$ afterwards.

The differential equations can be solved numerically using the shooting method. For a given value of H , we first make a guess for $\phi(0)$ and integrate the equations from $\tilde{r} = 0$ until the value $\tilde{r} = P$, defined to be the point where the boundary condition (47) is satisfied. However the condition (49) will not generally be satisfied; one has to adjust the initial condition $\phi(0)$ to achieve this (or alternatively tune λ given some value of $\phi(0)$). Using the Newton-Raphson method, the correct value of $\phi(0)$ can be found after several iterations. Once the solution is found, the corresponding 3-brane tension can be calculated from eqs. (51, 48), which imply

$$\left(1 - \frac{k_6^2 \tau_3}{2\pi}\right)^\alpha = \frac{\alpha \tau_4}{2(v(P) - u(P))L_4^\alpha}. \quad (55)$$

In this way we obtain the relation between H and τ_3 which we interpret as the Friedmann equation.

We illustrate the results in figure 5, for the cases of $\alpha = 1, 3, 4, 5$, and other parameters given by $b = 1$, $\lambda = -4.19532$ (this was tuned so as to give $\phi(0) = 4$ in the static case), $T_4 = 0.1$, $\tau_4 = 4 \times 10^{-5}$ and $\ell = 88$. The shapes of the curves are qualitatively similar to their unstabilized counterparts in figure 3, but the range of possible values for the Hubble parameter is dramatically increased in the cases $\alpha > 1$. Departure from the linear relation between H^2 and τ_3 becomes significant only at much larger values of H than for the unstabilized model, as we would expect.




FIG. 5: Friedmann equation with stabilization by GW scalar field for $\alpha = 1$ (left) and $\alpha = 3, 4, 5$ (right)

To further demonstrate the effect of stabilization, we have computed the fractional deviation of H^2 from its normal value, as a function of the excess brane tension $\delta\tau_3$, for both the stabilized and unstabilized $\alpha = 5$ cases. We define $\Delta H^2 = H^2 - \frac{8\pi G}{3}\delta\tau_3$, so the fractional deviation is

$$\frac{\Delta H^2}{H^2} = 1 - \frac{8\pi G \delta\tau_3}{3H^2} \quad (56)$$

which is plotted in figure 6. One can see that the deviation is more than 100 times smaller in the stabilized system than the unstabilized one. For reference, we also show the deviation in H^2 for other values of α in the unstabilized model, in figure 7. We use different parameters to keep the 4-brane position P fixed for reasons that will be explained later. For $\alpha = 6$ case the bulk is stable to some value of H_c while for other cases the bulk is unstable for all values of H which will be discussed in section V.

To elucidate the behavior of the GW field we plot $\phi(r)$ versus r for different values of the Hubble rate and the 4-brane coupling λ in figures 8 and 9. There are two qualitatively different behaviors depending on whether λ is positive or negative; since the sign of $\phi'(r)$ at the 4-brane is governed by λ , the two cases lead to ϕ either increasing or decreasing away from $r = 0$. Moreover the magnitude of ϕ changes very little over the bulk if $|\lambda|$ is large, *e.g.*, $\lambda = -4.2$, while it varies exponentially with r if λ is small, *e.g.*, $\lambda = 0.02$. The figures also show that the radial size of the extra dimension is roughly inversely related to the magnitude of λ . In both cases, the magnitude of ϕ is decreased when Hubble expansion is turned on. The dependence of $\phi(0)$ on H is shown in figures 10, 11 for the two choices of λ . Although ϕ decreases with H in both cases, the system with large $|\lambda|$ is much stiffer and exhibits smaller fractional change in ϕ .




FIG. 6: $\Delta H^2/H^2$ (defined in eq. (56)) versus τ_3 in the case with and without GW scalar field for $\alpha = 5$




FIG. 7: $\Delta H^2/H^2$ versus τ_3 for $\alpha = 1 - 6$ in unstabilized model.




FIG. 8: $\phi(r)$ for $\lambda = 0.02$ and several values of H .




FIG. 9: $\phi(r)$ for $\lambda = -4.2$ and several values of H .

V. FLUCTUATION ANALYSIS

To gain further insight into the issue of stability of the extra dimensions, as it affects the cosmological expansion, we have undertaken a stability analysis, by perturbing around the background with arbitrary fluctuations of the metric and the GW scalar field. Such an analysis has already been done around the static solution in ref. [16]. Here we repeat those calculations but now we perturb around solutions with nonzero Hubble parameter. Our goal is to quantify how the Hubble expansion affects the stability of the background solutions. We will consider both the unstabilized model, and the version which is stabilized by the Goldberger-Wise mechanism.

A. Unstabilized model

The unstable mode of our model is the radion, which is an admixture of fluctuations of the metric functions a, b, c in the ansatz

$$ds^2 = a(r, t) \left[-dt^2 + e^{2\tilde{H}t} \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j \right] + b(r, t) d\theta^2 + c(r, t) dr^2, \quad (57)$$




FIG. 10: $\phi(0)$ for different values of Hubble rate $(H\ell)^2$, when $\lambda = 0.02$




FIG. 11: $\phi(0)$ for different values of Hubble rate $(H\ell)^2$, when $\lambda = -4.2$

The $(tt) + (ii)$, (rr) , $(\theta\theta)$ and (tr) components of the Einstein equations are

$$\begin{aligned}
2\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \frac{\ddot{b}}{b} + \frac{\ddot{c}}{c} - 3\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\dot{b}}{b}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\dot{c}}{c}\right)^2 - \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\left(\frac{\dot{b}}{b} + \frac{\dot{c}}{c}\right) - \tilde{H}\left(2\frac{\dot{a}}{a} + \frac{\dot{b}}{b} + \frac{\dot{c}}{c}\right) &= 0 \\
\frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)^2 + \frac{a'b'}{ab} - \frac{c}{2a}\left[\frac{\ddot{b}}{b} + 3\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\dot{b}}{b}\right)^2 - \frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 + \frac{\dot{a}\dot{b}}{ab} + 9\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\tilde{H} + 3\frac{\dot{b}}{b}\tilde{H} + 12\tilde{H}^2\right] &= -k_6^2 c \Lambda_6 \\
2\frac{a''}{a} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)^2 - \frac{a'c'}{ac} - \frac{c}{2a}\left[\frac{\ddot{c}}{c} + 3\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\dot{c}}{c}\right)^2 - \frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 + \frac{\dot{a}\dot{c}}{ac} + 9\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\tilde{H} + 3\frac{\dot{c}}{c}\tilde{H} + 12\tilde{H}^2\right] &= -k_6^2 c \Lambda_6 + V_\theta \sqrt{c} \delta(\tilde{r} - P) \\
6\frac{\dot{a}'}{a} - 6\frac{\dot{a}a'}{aa} - \frac{\dot{b}a'}{ba} - 3\frac{\dot{c}a'}{ca} + 2\frac{\dot{b}'}{b} - \frac{\dot{b}b'}{bb} - \frac{\dot{c}b'}{cb} &= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

where the 4-brane stress-energy components in eq. (13) are now $S_{\mu\nu} \equiv -V_0 \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ and $S_{\theta\theta} \equiv -V_\theta \tilde{g}_{\theta\theta}$. The small perturbation around the background solution is

$$a(r, t) = a_0(r)(1 - a_1(r, t)), \quad a_0 = r^2 \tag{59}$$

$$b(r, t) = b_0(r)(1 - b_1(r, t)), \quad b_0 = f(r)K^2, \quad f(r) = \ell^{-2} \left(r^2 - \frac{1}{r^3} + \tilde{H}^2 \ell^2 \right) \tag{60}$$

$$c(r, t) = c_0(r)(1 + c_1(r, t)), \quad c_0 = f^{-1}(r) \tag{61}$$

Using the ansatz $\ddot{a}_1 + 3\tilde{H}\dot{a}_1 = -m_r^2 a_1$ and similarly for b_1 and c_1 to expand the Einstein equations $(tt) + (ii)$, (rr) and (tr) to first order we get

$$2a_1 + b_1 - c_1 = 0 \tag{62}$$

$$\left(3\frac{a'_0}{a_0} + \frac{b'_0}{b_0}\right)a'_1 - \frac{a'_0 b'_1}{a_0} + \frac{a'_0}{a_0} \left[\frac{3}{2}\frac{a'_0}{a_0} + \frac{b'_0}{b_0}\right]c_1 + \frac{c_0 m_r^2}{2a_0}(3a_1 + b_1) + 6\tilde{H}^2 \frac{c_0}{a_0} a_1 = 0 \tag{63}$$

$$b'_1 + 3a'_1 + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{b'_0}{b_0} - \frac{a'_0}{a_0}\right)b_1 + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{b'_0}{b_0} + 3\frac{a'_0}{a_0}\right)c_1 = 0 \tag{64}$$

For the junction conditions at $r = P$, using Z_2 symmetry across the brane for the (tt) and $(\theta\theta)$ components of Einstein equation, we find

$$\left[\left(3\frac{a'}{a} + \frac{b'}{b}\right)\right] \Big|_{r=P} = k_6^2 V_0 \sqrt{c} \Big|_{r=P}, \tag{65}$$

$$\frac{4a'}{a} \Big|_{r=P} = k_6^2 V_\theta \sqrt{c} \Big|_{r=P}. \tag{66}$$

The first order perturbation of the junction conditions is

$$\left[\frac{3a'_1 + b'_1}{\sqrt{c_0}} \right] \Big|_{r=P} = \left[-\frac{k_6^2}{2} V_0 c_1 - k_6^2 \delta V_0 \right] \Big|_{r=P}, \quad (67)$$

$$\frac{4a'_1}{\sqrt{c_0}} \Big|_{r=P} = \left[-\frac{k_6^2}{2} V_\theta c_1 - k_6^2 \delta V_\theta \right] \Big|_{r=P} \quad (68)$$

where we used eqs. (65,66) to simplify their appearance. Furthermore, since $L_4 \propto \sqrt{b(P)}$, we can expand V_0, V_θ as

$$V_0 = T_4 + \tau_4 L_4^{-\alpha}, \quad \delta V_0 = -\frac{b_1}{2b_0} \alpha \tau_4 L_4^{-\alpha}, \quad (69)$$

$$V_\theta = T_4 + (1 - \alpha) \tau_4 L_4^{-\alpha}, \quad \delta V_\theta = -\frac{b_1}{2b_0} \alpha (1 - \alpha) \tau_4 L_4^{-\alpha} \quad (70)$$

By subtracting eq. (66) from (65) and using (69,70), we find

$$L_4^{-\alpha} = \frac{1}{\alpha \tau_4 k_6^2 \sqrt{c_0}} \left(-\frac{a'_0}{a_0} + \frac{b'_0}{b_0} \right) \quad (71)$$

Then eqs. (67) and (68) lead to

$$[3a'_1 + b'_1] \Big|_{r=P} = \left[-\left(\frac{3a'_0}{2a_0} + \frac{b'_0}{2b_0} \right) c_1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{a'_0}{a_0} + \frac{b'_0}{b_0} \right) b_1 \right] \Big|_{r=P}, \quad (72)$$

$$a'_1 \Big|_{r=P} = \left[-\frac{a'_0}{2a_0} c_1 + \frac{\alpha - 1}{8} \left(-\frac{a'_0}{a_0} + \frac{b'_0}{b_0} \right) b_1 \right] \Big|_{r=P} \quad (73)$$

Combining eqs. (72) and (73), we obtain the junction condition at 4-brane

$$[b'_1 - a'_1]_{r=P} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{b'_0}{b_0} - \frac{a'_0}{a_0} \right) (c_1 + \alpha b_1) \Big|_{r=P} \quad (74)$$

We note that there are not really two boundary conditions at the 4-brane; imposing the momentum constraint (64) on the junction condition (73) leads to the same result as eq. (74).

At the 3-brane, we impose the condition that the deficit angle is unchanged by perturbations around the static solution. If we consider a circle at radius $r = \varrho + \epsilon$ around the 3-brane, with circumference L and physical radius D , we therefore demand that L/D be invariant in the limit that $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$:

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \delta \left(\frac{L}{D} \right) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \delta \left(\frac{\int d\theta \sqrt{b}}{\int_{\varrho}^{\varrho+\epsilon} dr \sqrt{c}} \right) = -\frac{L_0}{2D_0} (b_1 + c_1) \Big|_{r=\varrho} = 0 \quad (75)$$

where

$$L_0 = \int d\theta \sqrt{b_0}, \quad D_0 = \int dr \sqrt{c_0} \quad (76)$$

In this way, we obtain the boundary condition at 3-brane

$$[b_1 + c_1] \Big|_{r=\varrho} = 0. \quad (77)$$

It proves to be convenient to work with a certain linear combination of the perturbations,

$$X = 3a_1 + b_1. \quad (78)$$

Using the components $(t, t) + (i, i)$ and (t, r) of the Einstein equation, the variables a_1, b_1 and c_1 can be expressed in terms of X ,

$$a_1 = \frac{b_0}{2b'_0} \left[X' + \left(\frac{a'_0}{a_0} + \frac{b'_0}{b_0} \right) X \right], \quad b_1 = -\frac{3b_0}{2b'_0} \left[X' + \left(\frac{a'_0}{a_0} + \frac{b'_0}{3b_0} \right) X \right], \quad c_1 = -\frac{b_0}{2b'_0} \left[X' + \left(\frac{a'_0}{a_0} - \frac{b'_0}{b_0} \right) X \right] \quad (79)$$

where \prime denotes the derivative with respect to r .

Now we are ready to analyze the radion mass spectrum. Combining eqs. (62)-(64), we get

$$X'' + \left[\frac{4}{r} + 2\frac{f'}{f} - \frac{f''}{f'} - \frac{6f}{r^2 f'} + \frac{6\tilde{H}^2 \ell^2}{r^2 f'} \right] X' + \left[\frac{m_r^2 \ell^2}{r^2 f} - \frac{2f''}{r f'} + \frac{4f'}{r f} - \frac{12f}{r^3 f'} + \frac{6\tilde{H}^2 \ell^2}{r^2 f} + \frac{12\tilde{H}^2 \ell^2}{r^3 f'} \right] X = 0 \quad (80)$$

The boundary conditions (74) and (77) become

$$\left[X' + \frac{2}{r} X \right] \Big|_{r=\rho} = 0 \quad (81)$$

$$\left[X'' + \left\{ \frac{3\alpha + 13}{8} \frac{f'}{f} - \frac{f''}{f'} - \frac{3\alpha - 7}{4r} \right\} X' + \left\{ \frac{\alpha + 5}{2r} \frac{f'}{f} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{8} \left(\frac{f'}{f} \right)^2 - \frac{3\alpha + 5}{2r^2} - \frac{2f''}{r f'} \right\} X \right] \Big|_{r=P} = 0 \quad (82)$$

Eliminating X'' from eq. (82) using eq. (80), we find

$$\left[\left\{ \frac{3(\alpha - 1)}{8} \frac{f'}{f} - \frac{3(\alpha + 3)}{4r} + \frac{6f}{r^2 f'} - \frac{6\tilde{H}^2 \ell^2}{r^2 f'} \right\} X' + \left\{ -\frac{m_r^2 \ell^2}{r^2 f} + \frac{\alpha - 3}{2r} \frac{f'}{f} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{8} \left(\frac{f'}{f} \right)^2 - \frac{3\alpha + 5}{2r^2} + \frac{12f}{r^3 f'} - \frac{6\tilde{H}^2 \ell^2}{r^2 f} - \frac{12\tilde{H}^2 \ell^2}{r^3 f'} \right\} X \right] \Big|_{r=P} = 0 \quad (83)$$

Thus if we solve eq. (80) under the boundary condition (81) and (83), we can obtain the radion mass spectrum. In the asymptotic region $r \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\left[\left\{ -\frac{3(\alpha - 1)}{4r^3} \tilde{H}^2 \ell^2 + \frac{15(\alpha - 5)}{8r^6} \right\} X' + \left\{ -\frac{m_r^2 \ell^2}{r^4} - \frac{2(\alpha + 1)}{r^4} \tilde{H}^2 \ell^2 + \frac{10(\alpha - 5)}{2r^7} \right\} X \right] \Big|_{r=P} = 0 \quad (84)$$

from which we obtain the simple relation between the radion mass and the solution of bulk equation of motion.

$$m_r^2 = \left(\frac{5(\alpha - 5)}{\ell^2 r^3} - 2(\alpha + 1)\tilde{H}^2 + \frac{15(\alpha - 5)}{8\ell^2 r^2} \frac{X'}{X} - \frac{3}{4}(\alpha - 1)\tilde{H}^2 \left(\frac{rX'}{X} \right) \right) \Big|_{r=P} \quad (85)$$

which is consistent with the result in ref. [16] when $\tilde{H} \equiv H/(1+H^2\ell^2)^{(1/5)} = 0$. Note that the radion mass is expressed in terms of P so to numerically compare results with different values of α P should be fixed as we did in section IV.

In ref. [16] it was noticed that one can obtain an analytic approximation for the radion mass, using only the asymptotic behavior of the solutions for X , which is valid in the case of strong warping, $P \gg 1$. At large r , the differential equation for X simplifies to the form

$$X'' + \frac{4}{r} X' + \mathcal{O}(r^{-4})X = 0 \quad (86)$$

which has solutions of the form $X \cong c_1 + c_2/r^3$. Therefore generically $X'/X \sim 1/r^4$, and we can ignore the terms proportional to X'/X in eq. (85). Applying this observation in the present case where we take into account the Hubble expansion leads to the result

$$m_r^2 = \left(\frac{5(\alpha - 5)}{\ell^2 P^3} - 2(\alpha + 1)\tilde{H}^2 \right) (1 + \mathcal{O}(P^{-3})) \quad (87)$$

We can notice a correlation between the radion mass squared and the deviations from the normal Friedmann equation, parametrized by $\Delta H^2/H^2$ in eq. (56). We find that $|\Delta H^2/H^2|$ is larger the more negative m_r^2 is, as one would intuitively expect. In figure 7 we showed $\Delta H^2/H^2$ versus $\delta\tau_3$ for $\alpha = 1 - 6$. The smallest deviation, in magnitude, occurs for the largest value of α .

The radion mass squared is always negative when $\alpha < 5$, but for $\alpha > 5$, it can be positive if the Hubble rate is below some critical value, defined by $\tilde{H}^2 = \frac{5}{2} \frac{\alpha - 5}{\alpha + 1} \ell^{-2} P^{-3}$. If the warping is large, $P \gg 1$, and the relation $\tilde{H} \equiv H/(1+H^2\ell^2)^{(1/5)} = 0$ implies that $\tilde{H} \cong H$; in that case the critical value of H is

$$H_c \approx \left(\frac{5(\alpha - 5)}{2(\alpha + 1)} \right)^{1/2} \ell^{-1} P^{-3/2} \quad (88)$$

For the $\alpha = 5$ case, where the anisotropy of the 4-brane stress tensor is provided by the Casimir effect, the leading contribution at $H = 0$ vanishes, and one must look to the subleading terms of order P^{-6} . Of course, the approximation (88) is only valid for large warping, $P \gg 1$. In the discussion that follows, we will use the exact value of H_c which comes from solving the radion mass eigenvalue problem numerically.

In section VI we will make use of the interesting case of $\alpha > 5$, for which the radion is stable even without the introduction of an external stabilization mechanism.³ For $\alpha > 5$ we can pose the question of how much of the exotic Friedmann relation at high values of H is consistent with stability of the radion. To accurately address this issue, we have numerically solved the eigenvalue problem eq. (80) for the radion mass rather than relying upon the approximation (87) or (88). The result is shown in figure 12, which plots m_r^2 as a function of H^2 , for $\alpha = 6$, $T_4 = 0.1$, $\ell = 88$ and $\tau_4 = 1$. The radion becomes destabilized when $(H\ell)^2 \gtrsim 0.5$. In figure 13 we show the Friedmann relation in the vicinity of the transition region between stable and unstable cases.

It is interesting that the transition between stable and unstable radion occurs quite close to the joining of the two branches which make H double-valued. In figure 14 we plot the value of $(H_t\ell)^2$ at the turning point as a function of $(H_c\ell)^2$, with the curve parametrized by varying $c = (k_6 T_4 \ell)^2$. (We still use $\tau_4 = 1$ here, but in fact the value of τ_4 does not affect the result because it only appears on the right hand of eq. (23) and can be rescaled away.) Figure 14 shows that to within numerical accuracy, H_c and H_t coincide: thus the exotic branch of the Friedmann equation, which leads a double-valued Hubble rate, is unstable to decompactification of the radius of the extra dimensions.

It is also interesting to know how strongly H deviates from the prediction of general relativity before the critical value H_c is reached. Let us define the standard Hubble rate as $H_0^2 = \delta\tau_3/3\bar{M}_p^2$. We calculated H_c^2/H_0^2 as a function of c and show the result in figure 15. There it is seen that the maximum deviation is no greater than approximately 1.5 (although with extreme fine-tuning of c larger deviations might be possible). Such a moderate deviation might seem unimportant if it occurred early enough in the history of the universe. However we will show in section VI that there can be interesting effects during inflation, due to the derivative of H with respect to τ_3 becoming singular at the critical point.




FIG. 12: Radion mass squared as a function of $(H\ell)^2$ for $\alpha = 6$.




FIG. 13: Friedmann equation for $\alpha = 6$ showing which parts correspond to stable and unstable radion.

B. Fluctuations with Goldberger-Wise stabilization

Carrying out the analogous steps as before, but now including the GW bulk scalar field, we derive the coupled equations of motion for the radion field X and the fluctuations of the GW field, ϕ_1 . Using the shorthand $A'_0 = a'_0/a_0$,

³ Unfortunately we are not aware of any physical models which give such an equation of state for the extra matter on the 4-brane.




FIG. 14: Hubble rate $(H_t \ell)^2$ at the turning point (where the two branches of H come together) versus $(H_c \ell)^2$, the critical H above which the radion is destabilized.




FIG. 15: H^2/H_0^2 at the turning point for different values of c , where $H_0^2 = \delta\tau_3/3\bar{M}_p^2$ is the standard Friedmann relation.

and similarly for b and c , we find

$$\begin{aligned}
& X'' + \left(-2A'_0 - B'_0 + \frac{3A_0'^2}{2B'_0} - \frac{B_0''}{B'_0} - \frac{6c_0}{a_0} \frac{\tilde{H}^2}{B'_0} - \frac{1}{2B'_0} k_6^2 \phi_0'^2 \right) X' \\
& + \left(-A_0'' + \frac{A'_0}{B'_0} B_0'' - \frac{1}{2B'_0} (A'_0 - B'_0) (3A_0'^2 + 2A'_0 B'_0) + \frac{c_0}{a_0} m^2 + \frac{6c_0}{a_0} \frac{\tilde{H}^2}{B'_0} (A'_0 + B'_0) + \frac{1}{2B'_0} (A'_0 - B'_0) k_6^2 \phi_0'^2 \right) X \\
& + \left(-\phi_0'' + \frac{B_0''}{B'_0} - \frac{1}{2B'_0} (3A_0'^2 + 2A'_0 B'_0) \phi_0' + \frac{6c_0}{a_0} \frac{\tilde{H}^2}{B'_0} \phi_0' - c_0 \frac{dV}{d\phi} + \frac{k_6^2}{2B'_0} \phi_0'^3 \right) 2k_6^2 \phi_1 = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{89}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi_1'' - \left(2A'_0 + \frac{1}{2} B'_0 + \frac{1}{2} C'_0 \right) \phi_1 + \left(-c_0 \frac{d^2 V}{d\phi_0^2} + \frac{c_0}{B_0} \frac{dV}{d\phi_0} k_6^2 \phi_0' + \frac{c_0}{a_0} m^2 \right) \phi_1 \\
& - \left(\phi_0' + \frac{c_0}{2B'_0} \frac{dV}{d\phi} \right) X' + \frac{c_0}{2B'_0} (A'_0 - B'_0) \frac{dV}{d\phi_0} X = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{90}$$

The boundary conditions for X are

$$X' - A'_0 X = 0|_e \tag{91}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{3(\alpha+3)}{8} A'_0 - \frac{3(\alpha-1)}{8} B'_0 - \frac{3A_0'^2}{2B'_0} + \frac{6c_0}{a_0} \frac{\tilde{H}^2}{B'_0} + \frac{1}{2B'_0} k_6^2 \phi_0'^2 \right) X' \\
& + \left[\frac{1}{8} (B'_0 - A'_0) ((-7+3\alpha)A'_0 + (\alpha-1)B'_0) + \frac{3A_0'^2}{2B'_0} (A'_0 - B'_0) - \frac{c_0}{a_0} m^2 - \frac{6c_0}{a_0} \frac{\tilde{H}^2}{B'_0} (A'_0 + B'_0) - \frac{1}{2B'_0} (A'_0 - B'_0) k_6^2 \phi_0'^2 \right] X \\
& - 2k_6^2 \phi_0' \phi_1 + \left(\frac{1+3\alpha}{8} B'_0 \phi_0' + \frac{7-3\alpha}{8} A'_0 \phi_0' + \frac{3A_0'^2}{2B'_0} \phi_0' - \frac{6c_0}{a_0} \frac{\tilde{H}^2}{B'_0} \phi_0' + c_0 \frac{dV}{d\phi} - \frac{k_6^2}{2B'_0} \phi_0'^3 \right) 2k_6^2 \phi_1 = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{92}$$

In principle, the analysis is complicated by the mixing between the radion X and the scalar fluctuations ϕ_1 . However it was shown by numerical solution of the equations in ref. [16] that this is a negligible effect, and so we can neglect the terms proportional to ϕ_1 and ϕ_1' in eq. (92). Again, we can solve for the radion mass in the limit of large warping, $r = P \gg 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
m_r^2 = & \left(\frac{5(\alpha-5)}{\ell^2 r^3} - 2(\alpha+1)\tilde{H}^2 + \frac{15(\alpha-5)}{8\ell^2 r^2} \frac{X'}{X} - \frac{3}{4}(\alpha-1)\tilde{H}^2 \left(\frac{rX'}{X} \right) \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{k_6^2 \phi_0'^2}{4\ell^2} \left(\frac{rX'}{X} \right) - \frac{k_6^2 \phi_0'^2 \tilde{H}^2}{4r} \left(\frac{X'}{X} \right) \right) \Big|_{r=P}
\end{aligned} \tag{93}$$

The large- r behavior of the radion wave function X is altered by the GW field; numerically we obtain a solution which is shown in figure 16. One observes that X'/X is negative, and that $|X'/X|$ falls faster than $1/r^2$, but slower than $1/r^3$ (figure 17). The sign and magnitude of X'/X implies that the term proportional to ϕ_0^2 in (93) is positive and that it is the dominant contribution which makes $m_r^2 > 0$ in the absence of Hubble expansion. However, since the order of X'/X is always smaller than r^{-2} the second term in (93) dominates when \tilde{H} becomes sufficiently large, and the radion becomes unstable.




FIG. 16: Radion wave function X and its derivative, with stabilization by GW mechanism.




FIG. 17: Log-log plot of X'/X versus r , whose slope indicates that X'/X falls faster than $1/r^2$ (but not faster than $1/r^3$) at large r .

We can compare the results here to our earlier observations concerning the Friedmann relation in the stabilized system, figure 5. There it is seen that the departure of H^2 from linear dependence starts at an α -independent value of $H \sim 100/\ell$. However in section IV, we used large values of the GW field, whereas in the present section we treated it as a perturbation. To make a meaningful comparison, we have recomputed the Friedmann relation in the GW-stabilized system for smaller values of the GW field, by taking smaller values of the coupling λ . Motivated by the results of the unstabilized case we investigate whether the radion mass also vanishes at the turning point of the Friedmann equation in this case. Taking $\lambda = 0.02$ which corresponds to $\phi(0) = 1.53 \times 10^{-15}$ at $H = 0$, we solve the eigenvalue problem eq. (89-92) and find the radion mass $m_r^2 = -0.00037$ and the deviation from the standard Friedmann equation $\Delta H^2/H^2 = 61\%$ at the turning point where the upper and lower branches join, *i.e.*, $(H\ell)^2 = 0.895$. Although the radion mass is not exactly zero at the turning point, this could be due to our use of the unperturbed background solutions $a_0(r)$ and $f_0(r)$ from solutions without GW field. To make a precise comparison the exact background solutions with GW field would be needed, which would require further numerical investigation.

In summary, the fluctuation analysis for the radion suggests that the exotic branch of the Friedmann equation is invalidated by the instability of the radion, even in the model with Goldberger-Wise stabilization. Moreover, we have not found examples where the magnitude of H^2 deviates from its GR prediction by more than 60% before the onset of the instability.

VI. APPLICATION OF THE FRIEDMANN EQUATION TO INFLATION ON THE BRANE

An important application of modified Friedmann equations which has been used in 5D models is to the study of inflation on the brane, beginning with ref. [21]. There the simplest chaotic inflation is studied and the inflaton can be under 4D Planck mass M_p but still above the 5D scale M_5 . In that work, the high energy regime $H \sim \rho$ was used to obtain novel results, like the enhancement of number of e-foldings and reduction in the scale of the inflaton field in chaotic inflation. Ref. [22] further showed that inflation can be sustained for steeper potentials than conventionally.

We are not able to achieve such dramatic effects in the present model, because of our observation that the radion becomes unstable before the deviation from the standard Friedmann equation becomes very large. However, we will now show that interesting effects can nonetheless occur if inflation starts near the point of instability; namely, large running of the spectral index and breaking of the consistency relation between the tensor ratio and spectral index. To demonstrate these effects, we will use the modified Friedmann equation obtained in section III to study chaotic

inflation on the 3-brane with the potential

$$V = \frac{1}{2}m^2\varphi^2 \quad (94)$$

We assume that the inflaton field on the 3-brane is varying slowly in time and thus its energy density affects the cosmological expansion in the same way as the excess 3-brane tension in previous sections; it would be surprising if corrections to this assumption depended in a discontinuous way on the value of the equation of state $w = p/\rho$. To achieve stability of the extra dimensions, we will consider the model with $\alpha = 6$ and focus on its low-energy branch, which we have shown to be stable.

We will denote the modified Friedmann equation by

$$H^2 = H_0^2 \mathcal{F}(\rho) \quad (95)$$

$H_0^2 = \rho/3M_p^2$ is the standard Friedmann equation and $\mathcal{F}(\rho)$ quantifies the deviation of H^2 from the standard expression. Notice that $\mathcal{F}(0) \equiv 1$. For the braneworld model we are considering, with $\alpha = 6$, we have found an analytic form for \mathcal{F} which provides an extremely good fit to the numerical results for the stable (low-energy) branch:

$$\mathcal{F}(V) = \left(1 + f_m - f_m \left(1 - \left(\frac{V}{V_m} \right)^p \right)^{1/p} \right) \quad (96)$$

Here V_m is the maximum energy density as shown in figure 13. The fit and the exact numerical result for H^2 is plotted in figure 18 for a particular set of braneworld parameters, $T_4 = 0.00587$, $\ell = 1500$, and $\tau_4 = 4 \times 10^{-11}$, in units of M_6 , which we shall also adopt to illustrate our findings in this section. The 4D Planck mass is given by $M_p^2 = 53.8$ in $M_6 = 1$ units for this background. We then find that \mathcal{F} is fit using the values $f_m = 0.33$, $p = 2.6$ and $V_m = 4 \times 10^{-9}M_p^4$. We have chosen this value in order to make the tensor-to-scalar ratio close to the experimental upper limit, since one of our goals is to show that deviations in the consistency condition could be an observable signature of the braneworld model.

On the other hand, the maximum value of \mathcal{F} is $1 + f_m = 1.33$ for these parameters; thus we do not get the ‘‘steep inflation’’ effect discussed by ref. [22]. However, this conclusion might change in the scenario where stabilization is achieved using the Goldberger-Wise mechanism. In the last section we showed that with a small GW field, $\mathcal{F} \sim 2.5$ at the turning point which is also the dividing point between the stable and unstable branches. With a greater GW field the maximum value of \mathcal{F} will be even greater and thus it may be possible to achieve steep inflation. However in this section we will focus on the new effects which are unique to our 6D braneworld model.




FIG. 18: Exact numerical result (solid line) and analytic fit (eq. 96, dashed line) to the Friedmann equation.




FIG. 19: $n_s - 1$ versus N from eq. (99) for different values of N_m , defined in eq. (109). The case $N_m = \infty$ is standard chaotic inflation.

A. Spectral index and consistency condition

We now consider how the modification \mathcal{F} affects inflation. For our purposes, the main effect is in the relation between the power spectrum of tensor or scalar perturbations and the inflationary potential:

$$\begin{aligned} P_t &= \frac{2H^2}{\pi^2 M_p^2} = \mathcal{F} P_{t,0} \\ P_s &= \frac{H^4}{4\pi^2 M_p^2 \dot{\varphi}^2} \cong \frac{9H^6}{4\pi^2 V'^2} = \frac{H^2 \mathcal{F}^2}{8\pi^2 \epsilon M_p^2} = \mathcal{F}^3 P_{s,0} \end{aligned} \quad (97)$$

where $P_{s,0}$ and $P_{t,0}$ are the conventionally defined power spectra when expressed in terms of V , and ϵ is the usual slow-roll parameter,

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{2} M_p^2 \left(\frac{V'}{V} \right)^2 = \frac{2M_p^2}{\varphi^2} \quad (98)$$

The factors of \mathcal{F} introduce additional scale dependence in the power spectra beyond that contained in $P_{s,0}$ and $P_{t,0}$. This shows up in modifications to the spectral indices,

$$n_s - 1 \equiv \frac{d \ln P_s}{d \ln k} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{F}} \left(2\eta - 6\epsilon \left(1 + \frac{d \ln \mathcal{F}}{d \ln V} \right) \right) \quad (99)$$

$$n_t \equiv \frac{d \ln P_t}{d \ln k} = -\frac{2\epsilon}{\mathcal{F}} \left(1 + \frac{d \ln \mathcal{F}}{d \ln V} \right) \quad (100)$$

$$r_t \equiv \frac{P_t}{P_s} = \frac{16\epsilon}{\mathcal{F}^2} \quad (101)$$

These can be derived using the horizon-crossing condition $k/H = a = e^N$ and the relation between the number of e-foldings N and φ ,

$$N(\varphi) = - \int_{\varphi_i}^{\varphi} \frac{\mathcal{F}V}{M_p^2 V'} d\varphi = \frac{\langle \mathcal{F} \rangle}{4M_p^2} (\varphi_i^2 - \varphi^2) \quad (102)$$

where the exact value of $\langle \mathcal{F} \rangle$ is not crucial for our purposes, since \mathcal{F} only varies between 1 and 1.33; however we can estimate it as

$$\langle \mathcal{F} \rangle \cong \frac{1}{V_m} \int_0^{V_m} \mathcal{F} dV \cong 1.05 \quad (103)$$

Henceforth we set $\langle \mathcal{F} \rangle$ to unity. These relations imply

$$d \ln k = dN + \frac{1}{2} d \ln H^2 = \left(-\frac{\mathcal{F}V}{M_p^2 V'} + \frac{V'}{2V} \left(1 + \frac{d \ln \mathcal{F}}{d \ln V} \right) \right) d\varphi \cong -\frac{\mathcal{F}V}{M_p^2 V'^2} dV \quad (104)$$

In the slow-roll approximation, this is dominated by the first term, $d \ln k \cong -(\mathcal{F}V/M_p^2 V'^2)dV$, which allows us to carry out the differentiations leading to eqs. (99-101).

Before investigating the new effects due to \mathcal{F} , we will impose observational constraints on the inflation model. For chaotic inflation, these are conveniently expressed in terms of the total number of e-foldings,

$$N_e = N_e(\varphi_f) = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\varphi_i^2}{M_p^2} - 2 \right) \cong \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\varphi_i^2}{M_p^2} \right) \quad (105)$$

where we used $\varphi_f^2 = 2M_p^2$ by assuming inflation ends when $\epsilon = 1$. Therefore the initial value of the inflaton is given by $\varphi_i^2/M_p^2 = 4N_e$. The COBE normalization on P_s gives [34]

$$\frac{V}{\epsilon M_p^4} = \frac{m^2 \varphi_i^4}{4M_p^6} \cong \tilde{A} \equiv 7.1 \times 10^{-7} A(k_0) \quad (106)$$

where $A(k_0) \cong 0.7 - 1.0$ is amplitude at the pivot scale k_0 , which we assume corresponds to the field value φ_i . Eqs. (105-106) imply

$$\frac{m^2}{M_p^2} \cong \frac{\tilde{A}}{4N_e^2} \quad (107)$$

Furthermore eqs. (98, 101, 105) show that the tensor-to-scalar ratio is given by

$$r_t = \frac{8}{\mathcal{F}^2 N_e} \quad (108)$$

One consequence of the new dependence on \mathcal{F} is that the spectral index depends differently on the number of e-foldings than in standard chaotic inflation, where $n_s - 1 = -2/N_e$. From eq. (99), we obtain the modified dependence which is graphed in figure 19, for different values of V_m , which we parameterize as

$$V_m = \frac{1}{2} m^2 \varphi_m^2 = 2m^2 M_p^2 N_m \quad (109)$$

Here the parameter N_m is the maximum number of e-foldings due to the limitation $V < V_m$, and we used the relation $\varphi/M_p^2 = 4N$ between the field value and the number of e-foldings. Interestingly, there is an upper limit on n_s depending on N_m which is significantly smaller than $1 - 2/N_m$.

A further novel feature is that the consistency condition between the tensor spectral index and the tensor-to-scalar ratio is different from the conventional prediction,

$$\frac{n_t}{r_t} = -\frac{1}{8} \mathcal{F} \left(1 + \frac{d \ln \mathcal{F}}{d \ln V} \right) \quad (110)$$

Using the expression (96) for \mathcal{F} , we obtain sizeable deviations of n_t/r from the standard prediction ($-1/8$) when the inflationary potential V starts out being moderately tuned to the maximum value V_m allowed by the brane model. The deviations as a function of V are shown in figures 20 and 21.




FIG. 20: $8n_t/r_t$ versus V/V_m , showing strong deviations from the standard predicted value of -1 as $V \rightarrow V_m$.




FIG. 21: $8n_t/r_t$ versus $\log_{10}(1 - V/V_m)$, further illustrating the deviation from the consistency condition when V is very close to V_m .

The dependence of n_t/r_t on wave number in the CMB spectrum is the more observationally relevant issue. We can analytically elucidate this dependence using the fact that \mathcal{F} itself does not deviate greatly during inflation, even though its derivative is large. The approximation in eq. (104) gives $d \ln k/dV \cong -\mathcal{F}/(2m^2 M_p^2)$, so that $\ln k$ is approximately linear in the potential itself. To demonstrate this, we plot the exact dependence of $V_m d \ln k/dV$,

$$V_n \frac{d \ln k}{dV} = -\mathcal{F} \left(N_e + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{V_m}{2V} \left(1 + \frac{d \ln \mathcal{F}}{d \ln V} \right) \quad (111)$$

in figure 22, and the integrated result for $\ln k$ as a function of V , for $N_e = 55$ (for other values of N_e the result looks very similar). The figure shows that $\ln k$ is to a very good approximation proportional to V . Therefore the wave-number dependence of n_t/r can be inferred from figures 20 and 21.




FIG. 22: $V_m d \ln k / dV$ versus V/V_m , and the integrated result for $\ln k$ (plus an arbitrary constant, to put it on the same graph) versus V/V_m . Solid curve is $\ln k$, dashed is the linear fit.




FIG. 23: $\log_{10} \alpha_s$ versus $\log_{10}(1 - N/N_m)$ for $N_m = 30, 40, 50, 60$ (top to bottom curves) in the $m^2 \varphi^2$ chaotic inflation model.

B. Running of spectral index

Another possible spectral feature which can be enhanced by the braneworld modification is running of the spectral index, $\alpha_s = dn_s/d \ln k$. From eqs. (104) and (99) we find

$$\alpha_s = \frac{1}{\mathcal{F}^2} \left(16\epsilon\eta - 24\epsilon^2 - 2\frac{M_p^4 V' V'''}{V^2} + 16\epsilon\eta \frac{d \ln \mathcal{F}}{d \ln V} - 36\epsilon^2 \frac{d \ln \mathcal{F}}{d \ln V} + 12\epsilon^2 \frac{d^2 \ln \mathcal{F}}{d \ln V^2} - 12\epsilon^2 \left(\frac{d \ln \mathcal{F}}{d \ln V} \right)^2 \right) \quad (112)$$

This reduces to the standard expression when $\mathcal{F} = 1$. But when the potential is close to its maximum value, α_s is enhanced by the large values of the derivatives of \mathcal{F} . This is potentially interesting because in standard inflation, α_s is of higher order in the slow roll parameters, and thus negligibly small, whereas observationally there is marginal evidence for running at the level of $\alpha_s \sim -0.1$.

However, in the chaotic inflation model, we find that α_s is still negligible unless V is extremely fine-tuned to be close to the maximum value V_m (equivalently, N must be very close to N_m). As illustrated in figure 23, the required tuning is worse than 1 part in 10^4 for $N > 40$, which includes the preferred values of N for the chaotic inflation model. Furthermore, eq. (112) predicts that $\alpha_s > 0$ due to the enhancement, whereas the marginal experimental indications are for negative values of the running.

VII. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have studied the warped codimension-two braneworld model which most closely resembles the original codimension-one warped scenario of Randall and Sundrum, with the goal of understanding how cosmological expansion (the Friedmann equation) is altered due to the extra dimensions. The bulk is approximately AdS_6 with a 3-brane at the bottom of the throat and a 4-brane at the top; in addition to tension, the 4-brane has an extra nontensional source whose pressure in the angular direction varies with the brane circumference $\sim L_4$ as $L_4^{-\alpha}$. This extra source is needed in order to find solutions with localized gravity when no stabilization mechanism is included, and it is known [16] that the radion is stable when $\alpha > 5$. It generally plays a less important role when stabilization is induced, for example, using the Goldberger-Wise (GW) mechanism.

We determined the modified Friedmann equation both analytically, in a perturbative expansion in powers of the energy density on the brane, and numerically. The perturbative result, eq. (37), has the curious feature that $H^2 \sim \rho + O(\rho^3)$, *i.e.*, the $O(\rho^2)$ correction vanishes. For larger values of ρ , the numerical solution is necessary, as described in section III C. We checked that the two kinds of solutions agree with each other in the small- ρ region where both are valid.

In our study of the modified Friedmann equation for this model, we have corrected a mistaken claim of ref. [18], which did not recover the standard GR result at low energies. In fact we find standard cosmology at low energy

even for the unstabilized models. At high energies, we find exotic features, in which the Hubble rate $H(\rho)$ turns over and joins with another branch of the function at some critical value of ρ and H , making $H(\rho)$ double-valued—see figures 3 and 5.⁴ This behavior even persists in the model with GW stabilization, but we have found that the exotic branch is nevertheless unphysical, because the model becomes destabilized by the Hubble expansion at the turning point. We discovered this by doing a small fluctuation analysis around the de Sitter solutions in section V, in which the eigenvalue problem for the radion mass m_r^2 was solved both using analytical approximations and exact numerical methods. This result is reassuring, since otherwise we would be left with the puzzle of why the cosmological background is not uniquely determined by the sources of stress energy in the Einstein equation.

Nevertheless, we have found that interesting deviations from the standard Friedmann equation occur near the threshold for radion instability: $dH^2/d\rho$ diverges at this point, even though H itself is finite. In fact for the specific example we considered, H only differs from its standard value by 30% at this point, but the divergence in $dH^2/d\rho$ can have observable effects if inflation happened to start near the maximum value of the potential allowed by radion stability. We demonstrated such effects in the simplest model of chaotic inflation on the 3-brane. Figure 19 shows that the spectral index is lower than in standard chaotic inflation; for instance with $N_e = 55$ e-foldings, $n_s = 0.964$ for standard chaotic inflation, whereas it is 0.950 for the braneworld model with $N_m = 65$ as the maximum number of e-foldings (hence the maximum value of the potential is 65/55 times its value at horizon crossing). Thus without much fine tuning, the braneworld model can have a potentially measurable impact on the spectral index.

We then explored the breaking of the consistency condition between the tensor-to-scalar ratio r_t and the tensor spectral index n_t , which may be observable if r_t is close to its experimental upper limit. Figure 20 shows that $8n_t/r_t$ differs by 50% from the standard prediction of -1 even when V is only within 20% of its maximum value, so again interesting signatures can occur without excessive fine tuning of the model parameters.

It would be interesting to try to extend our results to a string theoretic realization of inflation in a warped throat, namely the Klebanov-Strassler background [35] with stabilization by fluxes [36]. Although we have used GW rather than flux stabilization in our model, ref. [37] has argued that an effective field theory description of flux stabilization might coincide with the GW picture. So far, efforts in string theoretic inflation with warped throats have focused on brane-antibrane [38] or DBI [39] inflation. However the effects we have discussed should also be applicable in string-based constructions with conventional inflation confined to a brane. The phenomenon of radion destabilization at sufficiently high Hubble rate should also occur in string compactifications, since the decompactified background is always a solution, and the radion, being conformally coupled, gets a mass correction of order H^2 in the early universe. The $H^2\phi^2$ term in the radion effective action thus drives ϕ away from its nontrivial minimum toward the decompactified $\phi = 0$ vacuum for sufficiently large H , and it is likely that deviations from the usual Friedmann equation will be large near this point. Therefore it is possible that stringy effects due to the extra dimensions may be manifested during inflation even if the inflaton is confined to the standard model brane.

Acknowledgments

We wish to thank Guy Moore, Jiro Soda and Misao Sasaki for fruitful discussions. SK is supported by a grant for research abroad by the JSPS (Japan). JC and FC are supported by NSERC (Canada) and FQRNT (Québec).

APPENDIX A: DETAILED DERIVATION OF MODIFIED FRIEDMAN EQUATION

We need to determine the higher order terms in the expansion of \bar{P} in powers of \mathcal{H} . Again differentiating eq. (22), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2\bar{P}}{d\mathcal{H}^2} = & 2\bar{P}^4 \left[(-160 + 32\alpha^3 - 288\alpha - 96\alpha^2) \bar{P}^{18} + (-800 + 288\alpha^2 - 480\alpha - 32\alpha^3) \bar{P}^{15} \right. \\ & + (1224\alpha + 48\alpha^2 + 264\alpha^3) \bar{P}^{13} + (-504\alpha^2 - 24\alpha^3 + 600 + 3000\alpha) \bar{P}^{10} + (-633\alpha^2 - 549\alpha^3 - 159\alpha - 195) \bar{P}^8 \\ & \left. + (-2160\alpha - 1056\alpha^2 + 144\alpha^3) \bar{P}^5 + (-402\alpha + 230 + 306\alpha^2 + 378\alpha^3) \bar{P}^3 - 50 + 522\alpha^2 + 162\alpha^3 + 390\alpha \right] \\ & / \left[25 (5 + 2\bar{P}^5\alpha - 10\bar{P}^5 + 3\alpha)^3 \right] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A1})$$

⁴ The same phenomenon occurs in the similar model of ref. [23], but it is not commented upon there.

Substituting $P = \bar{P} + \frac{d\bar{P}}{d\mathcal{H}}\mathcal{H} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2\bar{P}}{d\mathcal{H}^2}\mathcal{H}^2$ into the r.h.s. of (32), as advocated in the text, we get the surprising result

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi\bar{G}}{3}\delta\tau_3 + O(\delta\tau_3^3). \quad (\text{A2})$$

Namely, the second order correction vanishes.

To find the leading correction, we need to go the higher order. Continuing the procedure, *i.e.*, computing $d^3\bar{P}/d\mathcal{H}^3$ in (29), using eq. (22), we find an unwieldy result

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^3\bar{P}}{d\mathcal{H}^3} = & -6\bar{P}^4 \left[(512\alpha^5 - 6656\alpha^4 + 23552\alpha^3 - 89600\alpha + 5120\alpha^2 - 64000)\bar{P}^{28} \right. \\ & + (-58240\alpha^3 + 156800\alpha^2 - 280000 + 8512\alpha^4 - 56000\alpha - 448\alpha^5)\bar{P}^{25} \\ & + (-99840\alpha^2 + 106240\alpha^3 + 5760\alpha^5 + 630400\alpha + 64000 - 51200\alpha^4)\bar{P}^{23} \\ & + (54000\alpha + 43200\alpha^4 + 64800\alpha^3 - 32400\alpha^5 - 129600\alpha^2)\bar{P}^{21} \\ & + (12880\alpha^4 - 655200\alpha^2 + 54880\alpha^3 + 490000 - 1680\alpha^5 + 1246000\alpha)\bar{P}^{20} \\ & + (-194080\alpha^3 + 38160\alpha^4 - 94000 + 5040\alpha^5 - 570000\alpha - 495840\alpha^2)\bar{P}^{18} \\ & + (-82350\alpha^4 - 47250\alpha - 180900\alpha^3 - 33750 + 76950\alpha^5 + 267300\alpha^2)\bar{P}^{16} \\ & + (-47040\alpha^4 + 385280\alpha^3 - 280000 - 2016000\alpha - 336000\alpha^2)\bar{P}^{15} \\ & + (35280\alpha^4 + 170000 + 611360\alpha^2 + 19600\alpha - 10800\alpha^5 + 485280\alpha^3)\bar{P}^{13} \\ & + (-145800\alpha^2 + 35100\alpha^4 - 67500\alpha + 67500 + 167400\alpha^3 - 56700\alpha^5)\bar{P}^{11} \\ & + (1225000\alpha + 7560\alpha^5 + 35000 + 35280\alpha^3 + 1089200\alpha^2 - 98280\alpha^4)\bar{P}^{10} \\ & + (84700\alpha - 1620\alpha^5 - 111500 - 134460\alpha^4 - 136440\alpha^2 - 356040\alpha^3)\bar{P}^8 \\ & + (4050\alpha^4 - 33750 + 60750\alpha + 8100\alpha^2 + 12150\alpha^5 - 51300\alpha^3)\bar{P}^6 \\ & + (-18900\alpha^4 - 318500\alpha - 279720\alpha^3 + 17500 + 11340\alpha^5 - 558600\alpha^2)\bar{P}^5 \\ & + (-9360\alpha^2 + 60048\alpha^3 + 13608\alpha^5 + 23000 + 56376\alpha^4\bar{P}^3 - 12600\alpha)\bar{P}^3 \\ & \left. + 33453\alpha^4 + 5103\alpha^5 + 28875\alpha + 81270\alpha^3 + 85050\alpha^2 - 4375 \right] \\ & \left/ \left[125(-10\bar{P}^5 + 2\alpha\bar{P}^5 + 5 + 3\alpha)^5 \right] \right. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A3})$$

Substituting $P = \bar{P} + \frac{d\bar{P}}{d\mathcal{H}}\mathcal{H} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2\bar{P}}{d\mathcal{H}^2}\mathcal{H}^2 + \frac{1}{6}\frac{d^3\bar{P}}{d\mathcal{H}^3}\mathcal{H}^3$ into the r.h.s. of (32), we get

$$\frac{k_6^2}{2\pi}\delta\tau_3 = \frac{2}{5} \left(1 - \frac{k_6^2}{2\pi}\bar{\tau}_3 \right) (\bar{P}^3 - 1) (\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{H}^3 J(\alpha, \mathcal{H}) + O(\mathcal{H}^4)) \quad (\text{A4})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J(\alpha, \mathcal{H}) = & \left[(-1120 - 1056\alpha + 96\alpha^2 + 32\alpha^3)\bar{P}^{24} + (5088\alpha + 600 + 144\alpha^3 + 312\alpha^2)\bar{P}^{19} \right. \\ & + (3000 - 24\alpha^3 + 360\alpha^2 - 1800\alpha)\bar{P}^{18} + (-8\alpha^3 + 120\alpha^2 - 600\alpha + 1000)\bar{P}^{15} \\ & + (-459\alpha^3 - 165 - 3087\alpha^2 - 2433\alpha)\bar{P}^{14} + (-108\alpha^3 - 4500 + 900\alpha^2 - 900\alpha)\bar{P}^{13} \\ & + (-36\alpha^3 - 1500 + 300\alpha^2 - 300\alpha)\bar{P}^{10} + (185 + 1179\alpha^2 + 783\alpha^3 - 99\alpha)\bar{P}^9 \\ & + (2250\alpha - 162\alpha^3 + 270\alpha^2 + 2250)\bar{P}^8 + (90\alpha^2 + 750 - 54\alpha^3 + 750\alpha)\bar{P}^5 \\ & \left. + (-405\alpha^2 - 81\alpha^3 - 375 - 675\alpha)\bar{P}^3 - 225\alpha - 125 - 27\alpha^3 - 135\alpha^2 \right] \\ & \left/ \left[25((2\alpha - 10)\bar{P}^5 + 5 + 3\alpha)^3 (\bar{P}^3 - 1) \right] \right. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A5})$$

APPENDIX B: SYMBOLS USED IN THE PAPER

TABLE I: Definitions of symbols used in this paper (A-M)

Variable	Description	Definition or first appearance
A	amplitude of CMB fluctuations	eq. (106)
\tilde{A}	COBE normalization parameter	eq. (106)
A'_0, B'_0, C'_0	logarithmic derivative of a_0, b_0, c_0	above eq. (89)
a, f	metric components in r coordinate	eq. (6)
a, b, c	metric components in stability analysis	eq. (57)
a_0, b_0, c_0	unperturbed solutions for a, b, c	eqs. (59)-(61)
a_1, b_1, c_1	perturbations of a, b, c	eqs. (59)-(61)
α	eq. of state parameter for τ_4	eq. (13)
α_s	running of spectral index	eq. (112)
b	parameter of bulk potential	eq. (45)
c	dimensionless 4-brane tension parameter	$c = (k_6^2 \ell T_4)^2$
$\delta\tau_3$	deviation of the 3-brane tension from $\bar{\tau}_3$	$\delta\tau_3 = \tau_3 - \bar{\tau}_3$
ΔH^2	deviation of H^2 from standard value	eq. (56)
ϵ, η	conventional slow-roll parameters	$\epsilon = M_p^2 V'^2 / 2V^2, \eta = M_p^2 V'' / V$
$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}, \tilde{g}_{\theta\theta}$	induced metric on 4-brane	eq. (13)
\mathcal{F}	modification factor for Friedmann eq.	eq. (95)
G	4D Newton's constant	eq. (35)
\bar{G}	4D Newton's constant in static solution	eq. (36)
H	proper time Hubble parameter	above eq. (20)
H_0	standard GR value of H	in the last paragraph of V A and eq. (95)
H_t	H at turning point in Friedmann eq.	fig. 14
H_c	critical H for radion stability	fig. 14
\tilde{H}	rescaled Hubble parameter	$\tilde{H} = H \sqrt{a(\rho)}$
\mathcal{H}	dimensionless squared Hubble parameter	$\mathcal{H} = H^2 \ell^2$
J	ρ^3 coefficient in modified Friedmann eq.	eq. (37)
k	wave number for CMB fluctuations	eq. (99)
k_0	pivot scale for CMB fluctuations	eq. (106)
k_6^2	6D gravitational constant	$k_6^2 = M_6^{-4}$, eq. (3)
k^2	reduced 6D gravitational constant	$k^2 = k_6^2 / 2\pi$
K	conical deficit parameter	eq. (6)
ℓ	curvature length scale	$\ell^2 = -10 / \Lambda_6$
$L_4, L(P)$	(4-brane circumference) / 2π	eq. (13)
λ	coupling of GW field to 4-brane	eq. (50)
Λ_4	4D cosmological constant	$\Lambda_4 = 3H^2$
Λ_6	6D cosmological constant	eq. (3)
m	inflaton mass	eq. (94)
m_r	radion mass	above eq. (64)
M, L	metric components in \tilde{r} coordinate	eq. (43)
M_4	4D Planck mass	eq. (35)
M_5	5D mass scale	eq. (2)
M_6	6D mass scale	$M_6^{-4} = k_6^2$
M_p	4D Planck mass	$M_p = M_4$
\bar{M}_4	4D Planck mass in static solution	eq. (36)

TABLE II: Definitions of symbols used in this paper (N-X)

Variable	Description	Definition or first appearance
N_e	number of e-foldings at horizon crossing	eq. (105)
N_m	maximum number of e-foldings	eq. (109)
n_s	scalar spectral index	eq. (99)
n_t	tensor spectral index	eq. (100)
P	4-brane position in units of ℓ	eq. (4) and below eq. (19)
\bar{P}	4-brane position for the static solution	eq. (24) and eq. (25)
P_s	scalar power spectrum	eq. (97)
P_t	tensor power spectrum	eq. (97)
ϕ	Goldberger-Wise field	in section IV
ϕ_0	unperturbed GW field	in section V B
ϕ_1	perturbation to GW field	in section V B
φ	inflaton	eq. (94)
φ_i	inflaton at horizon crossing	eq. (102)
φ_f	inflaton at end of inflation	eq. (105)
ρ	energy density	eq. (95)
ϱ	3-brane position in units of ℓ	eq. (4) and below eq. (19)
r, \tilde{r}	radial bulk coordinates	eq. (6) and eq. (43)
r_t	tensor to scalar ration	eq. (108)
τ_3	3-brane tension	eq. (3)
$\bar{\tau}_3$	3-brane tension for the static solution	above eq. (24)
T_4	4-brane tension	below eq. (3)
τ_4	nontensional 4-brane energy density	eq. (13)
u, v	logarithmic derivatives of M, L	$u = M'/M, v = L'/L$
V	inflaton potential	eq. (94)
V_m	maximum value of inflaton potential	below eq. (96)
V_0	4-brane energy density	eq. (69)
V_θ	4-brane angular pressure	eq. (70)
V_P	bulk scalar potential on the 4-brane	$V_P = -\lambda\phi$
\mathcal{V}	bulk scalar potential	$\mathcal{V} = (\frac{b^2}{2} + \frac{5b}{2\ell})\phi^2 - \frac{5}{32}b^2\phi^4 - \frac{10}{\ell^2}$
W	“superpotential” for bulk potential	eq. (46)
X	combination of metric perturbations	eq. (78)

-
- [1] D. Langlois, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **148**, 181 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0209261].
- [2] R. Maartens, “Brane-world gravity,” Living Rev. Rel. **7**, 7 (2004) [arXiv:gr-qc/0312059].
- [3] P. Brax, C. van de Bruck and A. C. Davis, “Brane world cosmology,” Rept. Prog. Phys. **67**, 2183 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0404011].
- [4] S. Kanno and J. Soda, “A unified view of RS braneworlds,” TSPU Vestnik **44N7**, 15 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0407184].
- [5] P. Binetruy, C. Deffayet and D. Langlois, “Non-conventional cosmology from a brane-universe,” Nucl. Phys. B **565**, 269 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9905012].
- [6] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, “A large mass hierarchy from a small extra dimension,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 3370 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9905221].
- [7] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, “An alternative to compactification,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 4690 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9906064].
- [8] C. Csaki, M. Graesser, C. F. Kolda and J. Terning, “Cosmology of one extra dimension with localized gravity,” Phys. Lett. B **462**, 34 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9906513].
- [9] J. M. Cline, C. Grojean and G. Servant, “Cosmological expansion in the presence of extra dimensions,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 4245 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9906523].
- [10] P. Binetruy, C. Deffayet, U. Ellwanger and D. Langlois, “Brane cosmological evolution in a bulk with cosmological constant,” Phys. Lett. B **477**, 285 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9910219].

- [11] C. Csaki, M. Graesser, L. Randall and J. Terning, “Cosmology of brane models with radion stabilization,” *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 045015 (2000) [arXiv:hep-ph/9911406].
- [12] J. M. Cline and J. Vinet, “Order ρ^2 corrections to Randall-Sundrum I cosmology,” *JHEP* **0202**, 042 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0201041].
- [13] G. R. Dvali, G. Gabadadze and M. Porrati, “4D gravity on a brane in 5D Minkowski space,” *Phys. Lett. B* **485**, 208 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/0005016].
- [14] R. A. Brown, R. Maartens, E. Papantonopoulos and V. Zamarias, “A late-accelerating universe with no dark energy - and no big bang,” *JCAP* **0511**, 008 (2005) [arXiv:gr-qc/0508116].
- [15] S. M. Carroll and M. M. Guica, “Sidestepping the cosmological constant with football-shaped extra dimensions,” arXiv:hep-th/0302067;
I. Navarro, “Codimension two compactifications and the cosmological constant problem,” *JCAP* **0309**, 004 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0302129];
I. Navarro, “Spheres, deficit angles and the cosmological constant,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **20**, 3603 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0305014];
Y. Aghababaie *et al.*, “Warped brane worlds in six dimensional supergravity,” *JHEP* **0309**, 037 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0308064];
P. Bostock, R. Gregory, I. Navarro and J. Santiago, “Einstein gravity on the codimension 2 brane?,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 221601 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0311074];
C. P. Burgess, “Supersymmetric large extra dimensions and the cosmological constant: An update,” *Annals Phys.* **313**, 283 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0402200];
S. Kanno and J. Soda, “Quasi-thick codimension 2 braneworld,” *JCAP* **0407**, 002 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0404207];
C. P. Burgess, F. Quevedo, G. Tasinato and I. Zavala, “General axisymmetric solutions and self-tuning in 6D chiral gauged supergravity,” *JHEP* **0411**, 069 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0408109];
I. Navarro and J. Santiago, “Gravity on codimension 2 brane worlds,” *JHEP* **0502**, 007 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0411250];
A. J. Tolley, C. P. Burgess, D. Hoover and Y. Aghababaie, “Bulk singularities and the effective cosmological constant for higher co-dimension branes,” *JHEP* **0603**, 091 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0512218].
- [16] C. P. Burgess, J. M. Cline, N. R. Constable and H. Firouzjahi, “Dynamical stability of six-dimensional warped braneworlds,” *JHEP* **0201**, 014 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0112047].
- [17] F. Leblond, R. C. Myers and D. J. Winters, “Consistency conditions for brane worlds in arbitrary dimensions,” *JHEP* **0107**, 031 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0106140];
C. Charmousis and R. Zegers, “Matching conditions for a brane of arbitrary codimension,” *JHEP* **0508**, 075 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0502170].
- [18] J. M. Cline, J. Descheneau, M. Giovannini and J. Vinet, “Cosmology of codimension-two braneworlds,” *JHEP* **0306**, 048 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0304147].
- [19] J. Vinet and J. M. Cline, “Can codimension-two branes solve the cosmological constant problem?,” *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 083514 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0406141];
J. Vinet and J. M. Cline, “Codimension-two branes in six-dimensional supergravity and the cosmological constant problem,” *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 064011 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0501098];
J. Garriga and M. Porrati, “Football shaped extra dimensions and the absence of self-tuning,” *JHEP* **0408**, 028 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0406158].
- [20] H. P. Nilles, A. Papazoglou and G. Tasinato, “Self-tuning and its footprints,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **677**, 405 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0309042];
H. M. Lee and A. Papazoglou, “Brane solutions of a spherical sigma model in six dimensions,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **705**, 152 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0407208];
E. Papantonopoulos and A. Papazoglou, “Brane-bulk matter relation for a purely conical codimension-2 brane world,” *JCAP* **0507**, 004 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0501112];
E. Papantonopoulos and A. Papazoglou, “Cosmological evolution of a purely conical codimension-2 brane world,” *JHEP* **0509**, 012 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0507278].
- [21] R. Maartens, D. Wands, B. A. Bassett and I. Heard, “Chaotic inflation on the brane,” *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 041301 (2000) [arXiv:hep-ph/9912464].
- [22] E. J. Copeland, A. R. Liddle and J. E. Lidsey, “Steep inflation: Ending braneworld inflation by gravitational particle production,” *Phys. Rev. D* **64**, 023509 (2001) [arXiv:astro-ph/0006421].
- [23] S. Mukohyama, Y. Sendouda, H. Yoshiguchi and S. Kinoshita, “Warped flux compactification and brane gravity,” *JCAP* **0507**, 013 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0506050];
- [24] H. Yoshiguchi, S. Mukohyama, Y. Sendouda and S. Kinoshita, “Dynamical stability of six-dimensional warped flux compactification,” *JCAP* **0603**, 018 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0512212];
H. M. Lee and A. Papazoglou, “Scalar mode analysis of the warped Salam-Sezgin model,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **747**, 294 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0602208];
Y. Sendouda, S. Kinoshita and S. Mukohyama, “Doubly covariant formula of deficit angle and its application to six-dimensional braneworld,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **23**, 7199 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0607189];
A. J. Tolley, C. P. Burgess, C. de Rham and D. Hoover, “Scaling solutions to 6D gauged chiral supergravity,” *New J. Phys.* **8**, 324 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0608083];
N. Chatillon, C. Macesanu and M. Trodden, “Brane cosmology in an arbitrary number of dimensions,” *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 124004 (2006) [arXiv:gr-qc/0609093];

- C. P. Burgess, C. de Rham, D. Hoover, D. Mason and A. J. Tolley, “Kicking the rugby ball: Perturbations of 6D gauged chiral supergravity,” *JCAP* **0702**, 009 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0610078];
- E. Papantonopoulos, A. Papazoglou and V. Zamarias, “Regularization of conical singularities in warped six-dimensional compactifications,” *JHEP* **0703**, 002 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0611311];
- T. Kobayashi and M. Minamitsuji, “Gravity on an extended brane in six-dimensional warped flux compactifications,” *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 104013 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0703029];
- S. Kinoshita, Y. Sendouda and S. Mukohyama, “Instability of de Sitter brane and horizon entropy in 6D braneworld,” *JCAP* **0705**, 018 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0703271];
- T. Kobayashi and M. Minamitsuji, “Brane cosmological solutions in six-dimensional warped flux compactifications,” arXiv:0705.3500 [hep-th];
- T. Kobayashi and Y. i. Takamizu, “Hybrid compactifications and brane gravity in six dimensions,” arXiv:0707.0894 [hep-th];
- [25] C. de Rham, “The Effective Field Theory of Codimension-two Branes,” arXiv:0707.0884 [hep-th];
- E. Papantonopoulos, A. Papazoglou and V. Zamarias, “Induced cosmology on a regularized brane in six-dimensional flux compactification,” arXiv:0707.1396 [hep-th].
- M. Minamitsuji and D. Langlois, “Cosmological evolution of regularized branes in 6D warped flux compactifications,” *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 084031 (2007) [arXiv:0707.1426 [hep-th]].
- T. Kobayashi, T. Shiromizu and C. de Rham, “Curvature corrections to the low energy effective theory in 6D regularized braneworlds,” arXiv:0802.0103 [hep-th].
- [26] W. D. Goldberger and M. B. Wise, “Modulus stabilization with bulk fields,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 4922 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9907447].
- [27] Z. Chacko and A. E. Nelson, “A solution to the hierarchy problem with an infinitely large extra dimension and moduli stabilization,” *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 085006 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9912186].
- [28] G. T. Horowitz and R. C. Myers, “The AdS/CFT correspondence and a new positive energy conjecture for general relativity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **59**, 026005 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9808079].
- [29] J. G. Williams, S. G. Turyshev and D. H. Boggs, “Progress in lunar laser ranging tests of relativistic gravity,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 261101 (2004) [arXiv:gr-qc/0411113].
- [30] W. D. Goldberger and M. B. Wise, “Bulk fields in the Randall-Sundrum compactification scenario,” *Phys. Rev. D* **60**, 107505 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9907218].
- [31] W. D. Goldberger and M. B. Wise, “Phenomenology of a stabilized modulus,” *Phys. Lett. B* **475**, 275 (2000) [arXiv:hep-ph/9911457].
- [32] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G. R. Dvali, “The hierarchy problem and new dimensions at a millimeter,” *Phys. Lett. B* **429**, 263 (1998) [arXiv:hep-ph/9803315]; I. Antoniadis, N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G. R. Dvali, “New dimensions at a millimeter to a Fermi and superstrings at a TeV,” *Phys. Lett. B* **436**, 257 (1998) [arXiv:hep-ph/9804398].
- [33] O. DeWolfe, D. Z. Freedman, S. S. Gubser and A. Karch, “Modeling the fifth dimension with scalars and gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 046008 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9909134].
- [34] H. V. Peiris *et al.* [WMAP Collaboration], “First year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) observations: Implications for inflation,” *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **148**, 213 (2003) [arXiv:astro-ph/0302225].
- [35] I. R. Klebanov and M. J. Strassler, “Supergravity and a confining gauge theory: Duality cascades and χ SB-resolution of naked singularities,” *JHEP* **0008**, 052 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/0007191].
- [36] S. B. Giddings, S. Kachru and J. Polchinski, “Hierarchies from fluxes in string compactifications,” *Phys. Rev. D* **66**, 106006 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0105097].
- [37] F. Brummer, A. Hebecker and E. Trincherini, “The throat as a Randall-Sundrum model with Goldberger-Wise stabilization,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **738**, 283 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0510113].
- [38] S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. Linde, J. M. Maldacena, L. P. McAllister and S. P. Trivedi, “Towards inflation in string theory,” *JCAP* **0310**, 013 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0308055].
- [39] M. Alishahiha, E. Silverstein and D. Tong, “DBI in the sky,” *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 123505 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0404084].