CENTRAL EXTENSIONS OF GERBES

AMNON YEKUTIELI

ABSTRACT. We introduce the notion of central extension of gerbes on a topological space X. We show that there are obstruction classes to lifting objects and isomorphisms in a central extension. We also discuss pronilpotent gerbes. These results are used in the paper [Ye] to study twisted deformation quantization on algebraic varieties.

Contents

0.	Introduction	1
1.	Recalling some Facts on 2-Categories	3
2.	Prestacks on a Topological Space	8
3.	Extensions of Gerbes	12
4.	Obstruction Classes	19
5.	Sufficient Conditions for Existence of Obstruction Classes	25
6.	Pronilpotent Gerbes	29
7.	Fake Global Objects of Gerbes	33
References		36

0. INTRODUCTION

A gerbe \mathcal{G} on a topological space X is the geometric version of a connected nonempty groupoid. Thus \mathcal{G} associates a groupoid $\mathcal{G}(U)$ to any open set $U \subset X$, and to any inclusion $V \subset U$ of open sets there is a restriction functor $\mathcal{G}(U) \to \mathcal{G}(V)$. These have to satisfy a lot of conditions (for the benefit of the reader we have included a review in Section 2). Gerbes arise in various contexts; but for us the are mainly important as "bookkeeping devices" for certain geometric data. At the end of the introduction we will outline the main application we have in mind.

A key question is to determine if a given gerbe \mathcal{G} is *trivial*, namely if $\operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{G}(X) \neq \emptyset$. When \mathcal{G} is *abelian*, with band some sheaf \mathcal{N} of abelian groups, there is an obstruction class in the Čech cohomology group $\check{H}^2(X, \mathcal{N})$ that vanishes if and only if \mathcal{G} is trivial. However for a *nonabelian* gerbe \mathcal{G} there is no useful obstruction theory, since the structure is too complicated. There is Giraud's nonabelian cohomology theory [Gi], but that does not provide an effective answer.

Date: 18 February 2010.

Key words and phrases. Gerbes, central extensions, obstruction classes, nonabelian cohomology.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000. Primary: 20L05; Secondary: 18G50, 20J06, 18F20. This research was supported by the US-Israel Binational Science Foundation and the Israel Science Foundation.

AMNON YEKUTIELI

We noticed during our work on deformation quantization that the gerbes occurring there are *pronilpotent* (see explanation below). Such gerbes are composed of central extensions, and for those extensions we can construct useful obstruction classes.

A central extension of gerbes on X is a diagram

(0.1)
$$1 \to \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{H} \to 1,$$

in which \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} are gerbes, $F : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H}$ is a weak epimorphism of gerbes, and $\mathcal{N} = \operatorname{Ker}(F)$ is a sheaf of abelian groups in the center of \mathcal{G} . This notion is technically quite complicated (see Definition 3.21), but in principle it is just a generalization of the notion of central extension of sheaves of groups

$$(0.2) 1 \to \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H} \to 1$$

Consider a central extension of gerbes (0.1). Suppose i, j are two objects of $\mathcal{G}(X)$, and $h: F(i) \to F(j)$ is an isomorphism if $\mathcal{H}(X)$. Then there is obstruction class

$$\mathrm{cl}_F^1(h) \in \mathrm{\dot{H}}^1(X, \mathcal{N}).$$

The first main result of the paper, Theorem 4.6, says that $cl_F^1(h)$ vanishes if and only if h can be lifted to an isomorphism $g: i \to j$ in $\mathcal{G}(X)$.

Given an object j of $\mathcal{H}(X)$, we define (under some hypothesis) an obstruction class

$$\mathrm{cl}_F^2(j) \in \check{\mathrm{H}}^2(X, \mathcal{N}).$$

The second main result of the paper, Theorem 4.17, says that j lifts to an object of $\mathcal{G}(X)$ if and only if $\operatorname{cl}_{F}^{2}(j) = 1$.

There are three typical situations where central extensions of gerbes occur. The first is when we are given a central extension of sheaves of groups (0.2). This is discussed briefly in Example 3.24.

Another situation is when we take any gerbe \mathcal{G} , and look at $\mathcal{N} := Z(\mathcal{G})$, the center of \mathcal{G} , which is a sheaf of abelian groups. We get a central extension

$$1 \to Z(\mathcal{G}) \to \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{G}/Z(\mathcal{G}) \to 1$$

Global objects of $\mathcal{G}/\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{G})$ are called *fake global objects of* \mathcal{G} . See Section 7.

The third situation, which is the most important for us, is when the gerbe \mathcal{G} is pronilpotent, i.e. it is complete with respect to a central filtration $\{\mathcal{N}_p\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$ (see Definition 6.5). Then for any p there is a central extension of gerbes

$$1 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_p / \mathcal{N}_{p+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} / \mathcal{N}_{p+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} / \mathcal{N}_p \rightarrow 1.$$

The obstruction classes can detect whether the groupoid $(\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N}_p)(X)$ is nonempty or connected for any p; but passing to the limit is more delicate. This is done in the third main result of the paper, namely Theorem 6.10.

Presumably our results can be extended, with minor changes, to sites other than a topological space (e.g. the étale site of a scheme). But we did not explore this direction.

Here is an outline of the role gerbes have in our paper [Ye]. Suppose X is a smooth algebraic variety over a field K of characteristic 0. We are interested in *twisted deformations* of \mathcal{O}_X . A twisted (associative or Poisson) deformation \mathcal{A} is a collection of locally defined (associative or Poisson) deformations \mathcal{A}_i of \mathcal{O}_X , together with a collection of locally defined gauge equivalences $\mathcal{A}_i \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{A}_j$ between them. The bookkeeping data of deformations and gauge equivalences are encoded

 $\mathbf{2}$

in the gauge gerbe \mathcal{G} of \mathcal{A} . Here is just a hint of how this goes – see Remark 7.4 for a few more details, or the paper [Ye] for the full story. Let $U \subset X$ be an open set. Then to any object *i* in the groupoid $\mathcal{G}(U)$ we attach a deformation \mathcal{A}_i of \mathcal{O}_U ; and to any morphism $g: i \to j$ in $\mathcal{G}(U)$ we attach a gauge equivalence $\mathcal{A}(g): \mathcal{A}_i \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{A}_j$. Thus the groupoid $\mathcal{G}(X)$ carries the information of global deformations: objects of $\mathcal{G}(X)$ correspond to global deformations of \mathcal{O}_X belonging to \mathcal{A} , and isomorphic objects correspond to gauge equivalent deformations. Since the gauge gerbe \mathcal{G} is pronilpotent, we can often use Theorems 4.6, 4.17 and 6.10 to figure out how many connected components the groupoid $\mathcal{G}(X)$ has.

Acknowledgments. Work on this paper began together with Fredrick Leitner, and I wish to thank him for his contributions, without which the paper could not have been written. Thanks also to Lawrence Breen for reading an early version of the paper and offering valuable suggestions. Finally I wish to thank the referee for his/her input, and especially for discovering a subtle error in one of the main results, as it was stated in a previous version of the paper.

1. Recalling some Facts on 2-Categories

There are several sources in the literature on 2-categories and prestacks, e.g. [Be], [Gi], [Ma], [Mo], [Le], [KS] and [Br]. Unfortunately there is disagreement on terminology among the sources, and hence we feel it is better to start with an exposition of the conventions we adopted, and a recollection some facts.

First we must establish some set-theoretical background, in order to avoid paradoxical phenomena. Recall that in set theory all mathematical objects and operations are interpreted as sets, with suitable additional properties. Following [Ma] we fix a *Grothendieck universe* U, which is a set closed under standard set-theoretical operations, and large enough such that the objects of interest for us (e.g. the topological space X in Section 2) are elements of U. We refer to elements of U as *small* sets. A category C such that $Ob(C) \in U$, and $Hom_C(C_0, C_1) \in U$ for every pair $C_0, C_1 \in Ob(C)$, is called a *small category*.

By Set we refer the category of small sets; thus in effect Ob(Set) = U. Likewise Grp, Mod A etc. refer to the categories of small groups, small A-modules (over a small ring A) etc. A category C such that $Ob(C) \subset U$, and $Hom_C(C_0, C_1) \in U$ for every pair $C_0, C_1 \in Ob(C)$, is called a U-category. Thus Set is a U-category, but it is not small.

Next we introduce a bigger universe V, such that $U \in V$. Then Ob(Set), $Ob(Grp), \ldots \in V$. In order to distinguish between them, we call U the small universe, and V is the large universe. The set of all U-categories is denoted by **Cat**. Note that **Cat** is a V-category, but (this is the whole point!) it is not a U-category.

By default sets, groups etc. will be assumed to be small; and categories will be assumed to be U-categories.

A 2-category **C** is a "category enriched in categories". (Some authors use the term "strict 2-category".) This means the following. There is a set $Ob(\mathbf{C})$, whose elements are called *objects* of **C**. For any pair of objects $C_0, C_1 \in Ob(\mathbf{C})$ there is a category $\mathbf{C}(C_0, C_1)$. The objects of the category $\mathbf{C}(C_0, C_1)$ are called 1-morphisms, and the morphisms of $\mathbf{C}(C_0, C_1)$ are called 2-morphisms. For every triple $C_0, C_1, C_2 \in Ob(\mathbf{C})$ there is a bifunctor

$$\mathbf{C}(\mathsf{C}_0,\mathsf{C}_1)\times\mathbf{C}(\mathsf{C}_1,\mathsf{C}_2)\to\mathbf{C}(\mathsf{C}_0,\mathsf{C}_2),$$

called *horizontal composition*. Horizontal composition has to be associative (as bifunctor). For any $C \in Ob(C)$ there is a distinguished 1-morphism $\mathbf{1}_C \in Ob(C(C,C))$, called the identity 1-morphism of C. Horizontal composition with $\mathbf{1}_C$, on either side, is required to be the identity functor.

Given a 1-morphism $F \in Ob(\mathbf{C}(\mathsf{C}_0,\mathsf{C}_1))$, we write $F : \mathsf{C}_0 \to \mathsf{C}_1$. The notation for horizontal composition is \circ ; so given 1-morphisms $F_1 : \mathsf{C}_0 \to \mathsf{C}_1$ and $F_2 : \mathsf{C}_1 \to \mathsf{C}_2$, their composition is $F_2 \circ F_1 : \mathsf{C}_0 \to \mathsf{C}_2$. We sometimes denote the set $Ob(\mathbf{C}(\mathsf{C}_0,\mathsf{C}_1))$ of 1-morphisms $\mathsf{C}_0 \to \mathsf{C}_1$ by $Hom_{\mathsf{C}}(\mathsf{C}_0,\mathsf{C}_1)$.

Let $F, G \in Ob(\mathbf{C}(\mathsf{C}_0, \mathsf{C}_1))$, and let $\eta \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}(\mathsf{C}_0, \mathsf{C}_1)}(F, G)$; i.e. η is a 2-morphism. We write $\eta : F \Rightarrow G$. This data is usually depicted as a diagram:



The composition rule in the category $\mathbf{C}(\mathsf{C}_0,\mathsf{C}_1)$ is called *vertical composition*, and we denote it by *. Thus if $H \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{C}(\mathsf{C}_0,\mathsf{C}_1))$ is another 1-morphism, and $\zeta : G \Rightarrow H$ is a 2-morphism, then by vertical composition we get $\zeta * \eta : F \Rightarrow H$.



Let us denote by $\mathbf{1}_F$ the identity automorphism of the object F in the category $\mathbf{C}(\mathsf{C}_0,\mathsf{C}_1)$. Then $\mathbf{1}_F * \eta = \eta = \eta * \mathbf{1}_G$.

The pictorial description of horizontal composition is this: given a diagram



the horizontal composition of the 2-morphisms η_1 and η_2 is



The horizontal and vertical compositions are required to satisfy the following condition, called the *exchange condition*. Suppose we are given a diagram



in **C**. Then

$$(\zeta_2 \circ \zeta_1) * (\eta_2 \circ \eta_1) = (\zeta_2 * \eta_2) \circ (\zeta_1 * \eta_1)$$

as 2-morphisms $F_2 \circ F_1 \Rightarrow H_2 \circ H_1$.

Regarding set-theoretical issues, we require that $Ob(\mathbf{C}) \subset V$, $Ob(\mathbf{C}(C_0, C_1)) \in V$, and $Hom_{\mathbf{C}(C_0, C_1)}(F, G) \in U$. Note that if we forget the 2-morphisms in \mathbf{C} , then \mathbf{C} becomes a V-category.

The basic example of a 2-category is this:

Example 1.1. The 2-category of U-categories, denoted by **Cat**. The set Ob(Cat) of all U-categories was already mentioned. The 1-morphisms in $Cat(C_0, C_1)$ are the functors $F : C_0 \to C_1$ between these categories. And the 2-morphisms $\eta : F \Rightarrow G$ are the natural transformations. The composition rules are the usual ones.

Here is another example, of a different flavor.

Example 1.2. Let \mathbb{K} be a commutative ring. The category $\mathsf{DGMod}\,\mathbb{K}$ of DG (differential graded) \mathbb{K} -modules can be made into a 2-category, as follows. Given $M, N \in \mathsf{Ob}(\mathsf{DGMod}\,\mathbb{K})$, the 1-morphisms $F: M \to N$ are the usual morphisms in $\mathsf{DGMod}\,\mathbb{K}$, i.e. \mathbb{K} -linear DG module homomorphisms. Now such a homomorphism $F: M \to N$ can be viewed as a 0-cocycle in the DG module $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(M, N)$. Given another such homomorphism $G: M \to N$, the 2-morphisms $\eta : F \Rightarrow G$ are by definition the 0-coboundaries $\eta \in \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(M, N)$ such that $G = \eta + F$. Compositions are obvious.

Suppose $F, G \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathsf{C}_0, \mathsf{C}_1)$. We say that F and G are 2-isomorphic if there is some 2-isomorphism $\eta : F \stackrel{\simeq}{\Longrightarrow} G$ in the category $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathsf{C}_0, \mathsf{C}_1)$; we denote this by $F \stackrel{\simeq}{\Longrightarrow} G$. A diagram (of 1-morphisms)



is commutative up to 2-isomorphism if $E \circ D \rightleftharpoons F$.

There is an intrinsic notion of *equivalence* in a 2-category **C**. A 1-morphism $F : \mathsf{C} \to \mathsf{D}$ is an called an equivalence if there is a 1-morphism $G : \mathsf{D} \to \mathsf{C}$ such that $G \circ F \rightleftharpoons \mathbf{1}_{\mathsf{C}}$ and $F \circ G \rightleftharpoons \mathbf{1}_{\mathsf{D}}$. This generalizes the usual notion of equivalence (of categories) in Example 1.1.

Suppose **C** and **D** are 2-categories. A 2-functor $\mathbf{F} : \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{D}$ is a triple $\mathbf{F} = (F_0, F_1, F_2)$, consisting of functions of the following kinds. The function F_0 , called the 0-component of \mathbf{F} , assigns to each object $\mathbf{C} \in \text{Ob} \mathbf{C}$, an object $F_0(\mathbf{C}) \in \text{Ob} \mathbf{D}$. The function F_1 assigns to each morphism $G : \mathbf{C}_0 \to \mathbf{C}_1$ in \mathbf{C} , a 1-morphism

$$F_1(G): F_0(\mathsf{C}_0) \to F_0(\mathsf{C}_1)$$

in **D**. And the function F_2 assigns to each 2-morphism $\eta : G \Rightarrow G'$ in **C**, a 2-morphism

$$F_2(\eta): F_1(G) \Rightarrow F_1(G')$$

in **D**. The condition is that the functions (F_0, F_1, F_2) preserve compositions and units. Thus, if we forget 2-morphisms, the pair (F_0, F_1) is a functor

$$(F_0,F_1): \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{D}$$

between these categories. And for every $C_0, C_1 \in Ob \mathbf{C}$, the pair (F_1, F_2) is a functor

$$(F_1, F_2)$$
: Hom_C $(C_0, C_1) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{D}(F_0(C_0), F_0(C_1))$

Let ${\sf C}$ and ${\sf D}$ be 2-categories, and let ${\it F},{\it G}:{\sf C}\to{\sf D}$ be 2-functors, with components

$$F = (F_0, F_1, F_2), G = (G_0, G_1, G_2).$$

A 1-morphism (sometimes called a 2-natural transformation) $p : F \to G$ is a function that assigns to each $C \in Ob \mathbb{C}$ a 1-morphism

$$p_{\mathsf{C}}: F_0(\mathsf{C}) \to G_0(\mathsf{C})$$

in **D**, such that for every $E \in \text{Hom}_{C}(C_0, C_1)$ one has

$$\boldsymbol{p}_{\mathsf{C}_1} \circ F_1(E) = G_1(E) \circ \boldsymbol{p}_{\mathsf{C}_0}$$

in Hom_D($F_0(C_0), G_0(C_1)$). Given another 2-functor $H : \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{D}$, and a 1-morphism $q : \mathbf{G} \to \mathbf{H}$, the composition $q \circ p : \mathbf{F} \to \mathbf{H}$ is defined in the obvious way.

Now suppose $p, q: F \to G$ are 1-morphisms between 2-functors $F, G: C \to D$ as above. A 2-morphism $\eta: p \to q$ (sometimes called a modification) is a function that assigns to each $C \in Ob C$, a 2-morphism $\eta_C: p_C \Rightarrow q_C$ in $Hom_D(F_0(C), G_0(C))$. The condition is that

$$\eta_{\mathsf{C}_1} \circ p_{\mathsf{C}_1} \circ F = \eta_{\mathsf{C}_0} \circ q_{\mathsf{C}_0} \circ G,$$

as functions

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathsf{C}_0,\mathsf{C}_1) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}}(F_0(\mathsf{C}_0),G_0(\mathsf{C}_1))$$

If $r : F \to G$ is yet another 1-morphism, and $\zeta : q \to r$ is a 2-morphism, then the composition $\zeta * \eta : p \to r$ is defined in the obvious way. We say that the 2-morphism $\eta : p \to q$ is a 2-isomorphism if each η_{C} is a 2-isomorphism.

A 2-functor $F : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{D}$ is called a 2-*equivalence* if there is a 2-functor $G : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$, and 2-isomorphisms $G \circ F \stackrel{\simeq}{\Longrightarrow} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $F \circ G \stackrel{\simeq}{\Longrightarrow} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{D}}$. If a 2-equivalence $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{D}$ exists, then we say that \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{D} are 2-equivalent.

One could make the set of all 2-categories, with the operations defined above, into a 2-category, but that would take us outside of the large universe V. Therefore we shall be careful to consider only "small collections" of 2-categories in this paper.

We shall also need to recall what are *pseudofunctors* (sometimes called normalized pseudofunctors, or morphisms of bicategories) from a category N to a 2category C. A pseudofunctor $\mathbf{F} : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ is a triple $\mathbf{F} = (F_0, F_1, F_2)$, consisting of functions of the following kinds. The function F_0 , called the 0-component of \mathbf{F} , assigns to each object $N \in Ob \mathbb{N}$ an object $F_0(N) \in Ob \mathbb{C}$. The function F_1 assigns to each morphism $f : N_0 \to N_1$ in N a 1-morphism

$$F_1(f): F_0(N_0) \to F_0(N_1)$$

in **C**. And the function F_2 assigns to each composable pair of morphisms

$$N_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} N_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} N_2$$

in N, a 2-isomorphism

$$F_2(f_1, f_2) : F_1(f_2) \circ F_1(f_1) \Longrightarrow F_1(f_2 \circ f_1)$$

in **C**. Here are the conditions. First,

(1.3)
$$F_2(f_2 \circ f_1, f_3) * F_2(f_1, f_2) = F_2(f_1, f_3 \circ f_2) * F_2(f_2, f_3)$$

for any composable triple

$$N_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} N_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} N_2 \xrightarrow{f_3} N_3$$

of morphisms in N. Next, for any object $N \in \mathbb{N}$, with identity morphism $\mathbf{1}_N$, it is required that $F_1(\mathbf{1}_N) = \mathbf{1}_{F_0(N)}$, the identity 1-morphism of $F_0(N)$. And lastly, the 2-isomorphisms

$$F_2(\mathbf{1}_{N_0}, f_1) : F_1(f_1) \circ \mathbf{1}_{F_0(N_0)} \xrightarrow{\simeq} F_1(f_1)$$

and

$$F_2(f_1, \mathbf{1}_{N_1}) : \mathbf{1}_{F_0(N_1)} \circ F_1(f_1) \xrightarrow{\simeq} F_1(f_1)$$

have to be the identity 2-automorphism of the 1-morphism $F_1(f_1)$.

The final abstract 2-categorical fact that we need is that given a small category \mathbb{N} and a 2-category \mathbb{C} , the set of all pseudofunctors $F : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ is itself a 2-category. The 1-morphisms are defined as follows. Suppose $F, G : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ are pseudofunctors, with components $F = (F_0, F_1, F_2)$ and $G = (G_0, G_1, G_2)$. A 1-morphism $p : F \to G$ is a pair $p = (p_1, p_2)$, whose 1-component p_1 is a function assigning to any object $N \in Ob \mathbb{N}$ a 1-morphism

$$p_1(N): F_0(N) \to G_0(N)$$

in **C**; and the 2-component p_2 is a function assigning to any morphism $f: N_0 \to N_1$ in N a 2-isomorphism

$$p_2(f): p_1(N_1) \circ F_1(f) \stackrel{\simeq}{\Longrightarrow} G_1(f) \circ p_1(N_0)$$

in **C**. These are required to satisfy the condition

(1.4)
$$p_2(f_2 * f_1) * F_2(f_1, f_2) = G_2(f_1, f_2) * p_2(f_1) * p_2(f_2)$$

in Hom_c $(F_0(N_0), G_0(N_1))$, for any composable pair of morphisms $N_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} N_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} N_2$ in N.

Horizontal composition of 1-morphisms is defined as follows. Suppose $H : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ is another pseudofunctor, and $q : G \to H$ is a 1-morphism. Their components are $H = (H_0, H_1, H_2)$ and $q = (q_1, q_2)$. Let

$$r_1(N): F_0(N) \to H_0(N)$$

be the 1-morphism

$$r_1(N) := q_1(N) \circ p_1(N),$$

and let

$$r_2(f): r_1(N_1) \circ F_1(f) \Rightarrow H_1(f) \circ r_1(N_0)$$

be the 2-morphism

$$r_2(f) := q_2(f) * p_2(f).$$

Then we define the 1-morphism

$$oldsymbol{q}\circoldsymbol{p}:oldsymbol{F}
ightarrowoldsymbol{H}$$

to be

$$\boldsymbol{q} \circ \boldsymbol{p} := (r_1, r_2)$$

Next consider 1-morphisms $p, q : F \to G$. A 2-morphism $\eta : p \Rightarrow q$ has only a 2-component η_2 , which is a function that assigns to each object $N \in Ob \mathbb{N}$ a 2-morphism

$$\eta_2(N): p_1(N) \Rightarrow q_1(N)$$

in \mathbf{C} . The condition is that

$$q_2(f) * \eta_2(N_0) = \eta_2(N_1) * p_2(f)$$

for any $f : N_0 \to N_1$ in N. Given yet another 1-morphism $r : F \to G$, and a 2-morphism $\boldsymbol{\zeta} = (\zeta_2) : q \Rightarrow r$, the vertical composition $\boldsymbol{\theta} := \boldsymbol{\zeta} * \boldsymbol{\eta} : p \Rightarrow r$ has 2-component

$$\theta_2(N) := \zeta_2(N) * \eta_2(N).$$

2. Prestacks on a Topological Space

Let X be a topological space. We need some notation for open coverings. Let $U \subset X$ be an open set, and let $U = \{U_k\}_{k \in K}$ be an open covering of U, i.e. $U = \bigcup_{k \in K} U_k$. Given $k_0, \ldots, k_m \in K$ we write

$$U_{k_0,\ldots,k_m} := U_{i_0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{k_m}.$$

Let S be a sheaf of sets on X. For an open set $U \subset X$ we denote by $S(U) = \Gamma(U, S)$ the set of sections of S on U.

Recall that a *prestack* \mathcal{G} on X is the geometrization of the notion of category, in the same way that a presheaf of sets is the geometrization of the notion of a set. Formally speaking a prestack \mathcal{G} is a pseudofunctor

$$\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{G}_0, \mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2) : (\operatorname{Open} X)^{\operatorname{op}} \to \operatorname{Cat},$$

where $\operatorname{Open} X$ is the small category whose objects are the open sets $U \subset X$, and the morphisms $V \to U$ are the inclusions $V \subset U$. However we shall make things more explicit here, and introduce some notation, to emphasize the geometry.

Thus a prestack \mathcal{G} on X has the following structure. For any open set $U \subset X$ there is a category $\mathcal{G}(U) := \mathcal{G}_0(U)$. Elements of the set $Ob \mathcal{G}(U)$ shall be denoted by the letters i, j etc.; this is because we want to view them as indices. We write

(2.1)
$$\mathcal{G}(U)(i,j) := \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}(U)}(i,j),$$

the set of morphisms in the category $\mathcal{G}(U)$ from *i* to *j*.

There are restriction functors (1-morphisms **Cat**)

$$\operatorname{rest}_{U_1/U_0}^{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}} := \boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}_1(U_1 \to U_0) : \boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}(U_0) \to \boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}(U_1)$$

for any inclusion $U_1 \subset U_0$ of open sets. And there are composition isomorphisms (2-isomorphisms in **Cat**)

$$\gamma_{U_2/U_1/U_0}^{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}} := \boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}_2(U_2 \to U_1 \to U_0) : \operatorname{rest}_{U_2/U_1}^{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}} \circ \operatorname{rest}_{U_1/U_0}^{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}} \stackrel{\simeq}{\Longrightarrow} \operatorname{rest}_{U_2/U_0}^{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}}$$

for a double inclusion $U_2 \subset U_1 \subset U_0$. Condition (1.3) now becomes

(2.2)
$$\gamma_{U_3/U_2/U_0}^{\mathcal{G}} * \gamma_{U_2/U_1/U_0}^{\mathcal{G}} = \gamma_{U_3/U_1/U_0}^{\mathcal{G}} * \gamma_{U_3/U_2/U_1}^{\mathcal{G}}$$

for a triple inclusion $U_3 \subset U_2 \subset U_1 \subset U_0$. And there are corresponding conditions for $U \xrightarrow{=} U$.

As explained in Section 1, the set of prestacks on X has a structure of 2-category, which we denote by **PreStack** X. Again, we want to be more specific. Suppose \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} are two prestacks on X. A morphism of prestacks $F : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H}$ is a 1morphism between these pseudofunctors. Thus there is a functor

$$F(U): \mathcal{G}(U) \to \mathcal{H}(U)$$

for any open set U, together with an isomorphism of functors

$$\psi_{U_1/U_0}^F : F(U_1) \circ \operatorname{rest}_{U_1/U_0}^{\mathcal{G}} \stackrel{\simeq}{\Longrightarrow} \operatorname{rest}_{U_1/U_0}^{\mathcal{H}} \circ F(U_0)$$

for any inclusion $U_1 \subset U_0$ of open sets. These isomorphisms are required to satisfy condition

$$\psi_{U_2/U_0}^F * \gamma_{U_2/U_1/U_0}^{\mathcal{G}} = \gamma_{U_2/U_1/U_0}^{\mathcal{H}} * \psi_{U_2/U_1}^F * \psi_{U_1/U_0}^F$$

for a double inclusion $U_2 \subset U_1 \subset U_0$.

The composition of morphisms of prestacks $\mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{E} \mathcal{K}$ is denoted by $E \circ F$. Suppose $D, E, F : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H}$ are morphisms between prestacks. We will denote 2-morphisms between E and F by $\eta : E \Rightarrow F$. And the (vertical) composition with a 2-morphism $\zeta : D \Rightarrow E$ is denoted by $\eta * \zeta : D \Rightarrow F$.

As in any 2-category, we can say when a morphism of prestacks $F : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H}$ (i.e. a 1-morphism in **PreStack** X) is an equivalence. This just means that there is a morphism of prestacks $E : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{G}$, and 2-isomorphisms $E \circ F \stackrel{\simeq}{\Longrightarrow} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{G}}$ and $F \circ E \stackrel{\simeq}{\Longrightarrow} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}$. But here there is also a geometric characterization: F is an equivalence if and only if for any open set $U \subset X$ the functor $F(U) : \mathcal{G}(U) \to \mathcal{H}(U)$ is an equivalence.

Let \mathcal{G} be a prestack on X. By a *subprestack* of \mathcal{G} we mean a prestack \mathcal{N} such that $\mathcal{N}(U)$ is a subcategory of $\mathcal{G}(U)$ for every open set U, and such that the restriction functors rest^{\mathcal{N}}_{-/-} and the composition isomorphisms $\gamma^{\mathcal{N}}_{-/-/-}$ are the same as those of \mathcal{G} .

Suppose \mathcal{G} is a prestack on X. Take an open set $U \subset X$ and two objects $i, j \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{G}(U)$. There is a presheaf of sets $\mathcal{G}(i, j)$ on U, called the *presheaf of morphisms*, defined as follows. For an open set $V \subset U$ we define the set

$$\mathcal{G}(i,j)(V) := \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}(V)}\left(\operatorname{rest}_{V/U}^{\mathcal{G}}(i), \operatorname{rest}_{V/U}^{\mathcal{G}}(j)\right).$$

For an inclusion $V_1 \subset V_0 \subset U$ of open sets, the restriction function

$$\operatorname{rest}^{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}}(i,j)_{V_1/V_0}: \boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}(i,j)(V_0) \to \boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}(i,j)(V_1)$$

is the composed function

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}(V_{0})} \left(\operatorname{rest}_{V_{0}/U}^{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}}(i), \operatorname{rest}_{V_{0}/U}^{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}}(j) \right) \\ \xrightarrow{\operatorname{rest}_{V_{1}/V_{0}}^{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}(V_{0})} \left((\operatorname{rest}_{V_{1}/V_{0}}^{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}} \circ \operatorname{rest}_{V_{0}/U}^{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}})(i), (\operatorname{rest}_{V_{1}/V_{0}}^{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}} \circ \operatorname{rest}_{V_{0}/U}^{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}})(j) \right) \\ \xrightarrow{\gamma_{V_{1}/V_{0}/U}^{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}(V_{1})} \left(\operatorname{rest}_{V_{1}/U}^{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}}(i), \operatorname{rest}_{V_{1}/U}^{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}}(j) \right).$$

Condition (2.2) ensures that

$$\operatorname{rest}^{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}}(i,j)_{V_2/V_1} \circ \operatorname{rest}^{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}}(i,j)_{V_1/V_0} = \operatorname{rest}^{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}}(i,j)_{V_2/V_0}$$

for an inclusion $V_2 \subset V_1 \subset V_0 \subset U$. Note that the set of sections of this presheaf is

$$\Gamma(V, \mathcal{G}(i, j)) = \mathcal{G}(V)(i, j).$$

From now on we shall usually write $i|_V$ instead of $\operatorname{rest}_{V/U}^{\mathcal{G}}(i)$, for a local object $i \in Ob \mathcal{G}(U)$; and $g|_{V_1}$ instead $\operatorname{rest}^{\mathcal{G}}(i,j)_{V_1/V_0}(g)$, for a local morphism $g \in \mathcal{G}(i,j)(V_0)$. Furthermore, we usually omit reference to the restriction functors $\operatorname{rest}_{-/-}^{\mathcal{G}}$ altogether.

Another convention that we shall adopt from here on is that we denote the composition in the local categories $\mathcal{G}(U)$ of a prestack \mathcal{G} by " \circ ", and not by "*" as we did up to here.

AMNON YEKUTIELI

Let $F : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H}$ be a morphism of prestacks. One says that F is a *weak equivalence* if it satisfies these conditions:

- (i) F is locally essentially surjective on objects. This mean that for any open set U ⊂ X, object j ∈ Ob H(U) and point x ∈ U, there is an open set V with x ∈ V ⊂ U, an object i ∈ Ob G(V), and an isomorphism h : F(i) → j in H(V).
- (ii) For any open set U and $i, j \in Ob \mathcal{G}(U)$ the function

$$F: \mathcal{G}(U)(i,j) \to \mathcal{H}(U)(F(i),F(j))$$

is bijective. In other words, the functor $F : \mathcal{G}(U) \to \mathcal{H}(U)$ is fully faithful. A prestack \mathcal{G} is called a *stack* if it satisfies these two conditions:

- (a) Descent for morphisms. This means that the presheaves of morphisms $\mathcal{G}(i,j)$ are all sheaves.
- (b) Descent for objects. This means that given an open set U, an open covering $U = \bigcup_{k \in K} U_k$, objects $i_k \in Ob \mathcal{G}(U_k)$, and isomorphisms

$$g_{k_0,k_1} \in \mathcal{G}(U_{k_0,k_1})(i_{k_0}|_{U_{k_0,k_1}},i_{k_1}|_{U_{k_0,k_1}})$$

that satisfy

$$g_{k_1,k_2}|_{U_{k_0,k_1,k_2}} \circ g_{k_0,k_1}|_{U_{k_0,k_1,k_2}} = g_{k_0,k_2}|_{U_{k_0,k_1,k_2}},$$

there exists an object $i \in \mathcal{G}(U)$, and isomorphisms $g_k \in \mathcal{G}(U_k)(i|_{U_k}, i_k)$, such that

$$g_{k_0,k_1} \circ g_{k_0}|_{U_{k_0,k_1}} = g_{k_1}|_{U_{k_0,k_1}}.$$

Observe that by condition (a), the object $i \in \mathcal{G}(U)$ in condition (b) is unique up to a unique isomorphism. A prestack \mathcal{G} satisfying condition (a) is sometimes called a *separated prestack*.

We denote by **Stack** X the full sub 2-category of **PreStack** X gotten by taking all stacks, all 1-morphisms between them, and all 2-morphisms between these 1-morphisms.

It is not hard to see that a morphism of stacks $F : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H}$ is an equivalence if and only if it is a weak equivalence.

There is a *stackification* operation, which is analogous to sheafification: to any prestack \mathcal{G} one assigns a stack $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$, with a morphism of prestacks $F : \mathcal{G} \to \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$. These have the following universal property: given any stack \mathcal{H} and morphism $E : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H}$, there is a morphism $\tilde{E} : \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \to \mathcal{H}$, unique up to 2-isomorphism, such that $E \rightleftharpoons \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \circ F$.

Recall that a groupoid is a category G in which all morphisms are isomorphisms. For an object *i* the set G(i, i) is then a group. If the set $G(i, j) \neq \emptyset$, then it is a G(j, j)-G(i, i)-bitorsor. For $g \in G(i, j)$ we denote by Ad(g) the group isomorphism $G(i, i) \rightarrow G(j, j)$ given by $Ad(g)(h) := g \circ h \circ g^{-1}$.

By a prestack of groupoids on X we mean a prestack \mathcal{G} such that each of the categories $\mathcal{G}(U)$ is a groupoid. We denote by **PreStGr** X the full sub 2-category of **PreStack** X gotten by taking all prestacks of groupoids, all 1-morphisms between them, and all 2-morphisms between these 1-morphisms. If \mathcal{G} is a prestack of groupoids, then the associated stack $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ is a stack of groupoids.

We shall be interested in *gerbes*. A gerbe is a stack of groupoids \mathcal{G} on X that has these two properties:

10

- (†) \mathcal{G} is *locally nonempty*. What this means is that any point $x \in X$ has an open neighborhood U such that $Ob \mathcal{G}(U) \neq \emptyset$.
- (††) \mathcal{G} is *locally connected*. This says that for any $i, j \in Ob \mathcal{G}(U)$ and any $x \in X$, there is an open set V such that $x \in V \subset U$ and $\mathcal{G}(V)(i, j) \neq \emptyset$.

A gerbe $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}$ is called *trivial* if $\operatorname{Ob} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}(X) \neq \emptyset$.

Let \mathcal{G} be a sheaf of groups on X. By a *left* \mathcal{G} -torsor on X we mean a sheaf of sets \mathcal{S} , with a left \mathcal{G} -action, such that \mathcal{S} is locally nonempty (i.e. each point $x \in X$ has an open neighborhood U such that $\mathcal{S}(U) \neq \emptyset$), and for any $s \in \mathcal{S}(U)$ the morphism of sheaves of sets $\mathcal{G}|_U \to \mathcal{S}|_U$, $g \mapsto g \cdot s$, is an isomorphism. The torsor \mathcal{S} is trivial if $\mathcal{S}(X) \neq \emptyset$.

Suppose \mathcal{G} is a gerbe on X. Given an open set $U \subset X$ and $i \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{G}(U)$, there is a sheaf of groups $\mathcal{G}(i,i)$ on U. If $j \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{G}(U)$ is some other object, then the sheaf of sets $\mathcal{G}(i,j)$ is a $\mathcal{G}(j,j)$ - $\mathcal{G}(i,i)$ -bitorsor. Namely, forgetting the left action by $\mathcal{G}(j,j)$, the sheaf $\mathcal{G}(i,j)$ is a right $\mathcal{G}(i,i)$ -torsor; and vice versa.

We denote by **Gerbe** X the full sub-2-category of **PreStGr** X gotten by taking all gerbes, all 1-morphisms between gerbes, and all 2-morphisms between these 1-morphisms.

Here are two prototypical examples of gerbes.

Example 2.3. Let I be the groupoid with one object, say 0, and with $I(0,0) := \{\mathbf{1}_0\}$, the trivial group. This groupoid is a terminal object in **Cat**, since any category C admits exactly one functor $C \to I$.

Now take a topological space X, and define a prestack \mathcal{I} on it by letting $\mathcal{I}(U) := I$ for any open set U. Then \mathcal{I} is a gerbe. The gerbe \mathcal{I} is a terminal object in **PreStack** X. Indeed, given any prestack \mathcal{G} on X there is a unique morphism of prestacks $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{I}$. We call \mathcal{I} the *terminal gerbe* (because the word "trivial" is over-used in this area).

Example 2.4. Let \mathcal{G} be a sheaf of groups on X. For an open set U let $\mathsf{Tors}(\mathcal{G}|_U)$ be the set of all left $\mathcal{G}|_U$ -torsors. This is a groupoid. Given $V \subset U$ there is a functor

$$\operatorname{Tors}(\mathcal{G}|_U) \to \operatorname{Tors}(\mathcal{G}|_V),$$

namely $\mathcal{S} \mapsto \mathcal{S}|_V$. Thus we obtain a prestack of groupoids **Tors** \mathcal{G} with

$$(\operatorname{Tors} \mathcal{G})(U) := \operatorname{Tors}(\mathcal{G}|_U).$$

Since torsors are locally trivial it follows that **Tors** \mathcal{G} is a gerbe, called the *gerbe of* \mathcal{G} -torsors.

Remark 2.5. A prestack of groupoids \mathcal{G} is sometimes called a *category fibered in* groupoids over Open X. More precisely, given \mathcal{G} , we can construct a category G, together with a functor $\Phi : G \to \text{Open } X$ called the fiber functor. The set of objects of G is

$$\operatorname{Ob} \mathsf{G} := \coprod_{U \in \operatorname{Open} X} \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{G}(U).$$

For objects $i \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{G}(U)$ and $j \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{G}(V)$ one defines

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{G}}(i,j) := \operatorname{Hom}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}(U)}(i,j|_U)$$

if $U \subset V$; and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{G}}(i, j) := \emptyset$ otherwise. The fiber functor $\Phi : \mathsf{G} \to \operatorname{Open} X$ is $\Phi(i) := U$ for $i \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{G}(U)$, and $\Phi(g) := (U \to V)$ for $g \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{G}}(i, j)$ as above.

Conversely, the prestack \mathcal{G} can be recovered from the data $\Phi : \mathsf{G} \to \mathsf{Open} X$.

AMNON YEKUTIELI

For stacks of groupoids arising from moduli problems it is often more natural to use the fibered category approach (cf. [LMB]); but for our applications in [Ye], the pseudofunctor approach is more suitable.

3. Extensions of Gerbes

We begin by taking certain basic notions about groups (such as normal subgroup and center) and generalizing them to groupoids. As a matter of convenience we often refer to a functor $F : \mathbf{G} \to \mathbf{H}$ between groupoids as a morphism. (Indeed this is a 1-morphism in the 2-category **Groupoid** of groupoids.)

Definition 3.1. Let G be a groupoid. A *normal subgroupoid* of G is a subgroupoid N satisfying the following three conditions.

- (i) Ob N = Ob G.
- (ii) For every $i, j \in Ob \mathsf{G}$ and $g \in \mathsf{G}(i, j)$ there is equality

 $\mathrm{Ad}(g)\big(\mathsf{N}(i,i)\big) = \mathsf{N}(j,j).$

(iii) N is totally disconnected, i.e. $N(i, j) = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$.

In particular for every $i \in Ob G$ the group N(i, i) is normal subgroup of the automorphism group G(i, i).

Note that a normal subgroupoid N is the same as a collection $\{N_i\}_{i \in Ob \mathsf{G}}$ of subgroups $N_i \subset \mathsf{G}(i, i)$, satisfying the obvious variant of condition (ii).

The trivial normal subgroupoid of G is the normal subgroupoid N for which all the groups N(i, i) are trivial; namely $N(i, i) = \{\mathbf{1}_i\}$.

Let $F : \mathsf{G} \to \mathsf{H}$ be a morphism of groupoids. For $i \in Ob \mathsf{G}$ let

$$\operatorname{Ker}(F)(i,i) := \operatorname{Ker}(F : \mathsf{G}(i,i) \to \mathsf{H}(F(i),F(i))).$$

This is a normal subgroup of G(i, i). Moreover, an easy calculation shows that the collection of subgroups $\{\operatorname{Ker}(F)(i, i)\}_{i \in \operatorname{Ob} G}$ is a normal subgroupoid of G, which we denote by $\operatorname{Ker}(F)$.

Definition 3.2. Let $F : G \to H$ be a morphism of groupoids. We say that F is a *weak epimorphism* if it satisfies these conditions:

- (i) F is essentially surjective objects. Namely for any $j \in Ob H$ there exists some $i \in Ob G$ such that $H(F(i), j) \neq \emptyset$.
- (ii) F is surjective on sets of morphisms. This means that for any $i,j\in \operatorname{Ob}\mathsf{G}$ the function

$$F: \mathsf{G}(i,j) \to \mathsf{H}(F(i),F(j))$$

is surjective.

Observe that if $F : G \to H$ is a weak epimorphism whose kernel Ker(F) is the trivial normal subgroupoid of G, then F is an equivalence.

Definition 3.3. By an *extension of groupoids* we mean a diagram of morphisms of groupoids

$$\mathsf{N} \xrightarrow{E} \mathsf{G} \xrightarrow{F} \mathsf{H}$$

such that F is a weak epimorphism, N = Ker(F), and $E : N \to G$ is the inclusion.

By analogy with the case of groups we often write

$$(3.4) 1 \to \mathsf{N} \to \mathsf{G} \xrightarrow{F} \mathsf{H} \to 1$$

for an extension of groupoids. But this is only a suggestive notation – we do not view the symbols "1" as groupoids. (We could, but then the first 1 has to be replaced by the trivial normal subgroupoid of G, and the second 1 by the terminal groupoid of Example 2.3.)

Extensions of groupoids behave very much like extensions of groups. Suppose G is a groupoid and $N \subset G$ is a normal subgroupoid. Then there is an extension of groupoids (3.4). The groupoid H in this extension is unique up to equivalence. One could choose H such that the function $F : Ob G \to Ob H$ is bijective; and that would make H unique up to isomorphism.

Next suppose $F : \mathsf{G} \to \mathsf{H}, F' : \mathsf{G}' \to \mathsf{H}'$ and $D : \mathsf{G} \to \mathsf{G}'$ are morphisms of groupoids, such that $D(\operatorname{Ker}(F)) \subset \operatorname{Ker}(F')$. Then there is a morphism of groupoids $E : \mathsf{H} \to \mathsf{H}'$, unique up to 2-isomorphism, such that the diagram



commutes up to 2-isomorphism.

Now consider a groupoid G. For any $i \in \text{Ob} G$ we have the center Z(G(i,i)) of the automorphism group G(i,i). Given any pair of objects $i, j \in \text{Ob} G$, and any isomorphism $g \in G(i, j)$, we have

$$\operatorname{Ad}(g)(\operatorname{Z}(\mathsf{G}(i,i))) = \operatorname{Z}(\mathsf{G}(j,j)).$$

Therefore the collection of subgroups $\{Z(G(i, i))\}_{i \in Ob G}$ is a normal subgroupoid of G, which we denote by Z(G), and call the *center* of G.

Definition 3.5. (1) Let G be a groupoid. A *central subgroupoid* of G is any normal subgroupoid N that is contained in Z(G).

(2) A central extension of groupoids is an extension of groupoids (3.4) such that N is a central subgroupoid of G.

Suppose G is a nonempty and connected groupoid, and N is a central subgroupoid of G. Take any $i, j \in Ob G$ and $g, g' \in G(i, j)$. Then the group isomorphisms

$$\operatorname{Ad}(g), \operatorname{Ad}(g') : \operatorname{N}(i, i) \to \operatorname{N}(j, j)$$

are equal. In this way we can canonically identify the abelian groups N(i, i), for $i \in Ob G$, and view them as a single abelian group.

When we are given a central extension of groupoids (3.4) in which G is nonempty and connected, we can replace the central subgroupoid N by a single abelian group N as explained above, and the extension becomes

$$1 \to N \to \mathsf{G} \xrightarrow{F} \mathsf{H} \to 1.$$

So far for the discrete situation; now we geometrize. Let X be a topological space. Suppose \mathcal{G} is a gerbe on X. By a local object i of \mathcal{G} we mean an object $i \in Ob \mathcal{G}(U)$ for some open set $U \subset X$. If i, j are two local objects, defined on open sets U, V respectively, then by $\mathcal{G}(i, j)$ we mean the corresponding sheaf of isomorphisms on $U \cap V$. By a local isomorphism $g: i \xrightarrow{\simeq} j$ we mean an isomorphism $g \in \mathcal{G}(i, j)(W)$ for some open set $W \subset U \cap V$. Such g gives rise to an isomorphism of sheaves of groups

$$\operatorname{Ad}(g): \mathcal{G}(i,i)|_W \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{G}(j,j)|_W.$$

Definition 3.6. Let \mathcal{G} be a gerbe on X. A normal subprestack of groupoids of \mathcal{G} is a subprestack \mathcal{N} of \mathcal{G} with these two properties:

- (i) For every open set U the category $\mathcal{N}(U)$ is a normal subgroupoid of $\mathcal{G}(U)$ (see Definition 3.1). In particular $\operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{N}(U) = \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{G}(U)$, and $\mathcal{N}(U)$ is totally disconnected.
- (ii) For every local object i of \mathcal{N} the presheaf $\mathcal{N}(i,i)$ is a sheaf.

Since the full name is too long, we simply call such \mathcal{N} a *normal subgroupoid* of \mathcal{G} .

Here is what the definition amounts to. For every local object i of \mathcal{G} there is a subsheaf of groups $\mathcal{N}(i,i) \subset \mathcal{G}(i,i)$. The condition is that for any local objects i and j, and any local isomorphism $g: i \to j$, one has

$$\operatorname{Ad}(g)(\mathcal{N}(i,i)) = \mathcal{N}(j,j)$$

Warning: a normal subgroupoid of a gerbe is usually not a gerbe, nor even a stack.

Proposition 3.7. Given a morphism of gerbes $F : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H}$, there is a unique normal subgroupoid \mathcal{N} of \mathcal{G} such that

$$\mathcal{N}(U) = \operatorname{Ker}(F : \mathcal{G}(U) \to \mathcal{H}(U))$$

for every open set U.

Proof. The formula defines a subprestack of groupoids \mathcal{N} of \mathcal{G} . We know that the groupoid $\mathcal{N}(U)$ is normal in $\mathcal{G}(U)$. And for any local object *i* of \mathcal{G} we have

$$\mathcal{N}(i,i) = \operatorname{Ker}(F : \mathcal{G}(i,i) \to \mathcal{H}(F(i),F(i)))$$

as presheaves, so $\mathcal{N}(i,i)$ a sheaf.

Definition 3.8. The normal subgroupoid \mathcal{N} in the proposition above is called the *kernel* of F, and it is denoted by Ker(F)

Definition 3.9. Let $F : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H}$ be a morphism of gerbes. We say that F is a *weak epimorphism* if it satisfies these conditions:

- (i) F is locally essentially surjective on objects. Recall that this says that for any open set U ⊂ X, object j ∈ Ob H(U) and point x ∈ U, there is an open set V with x ∈ V ⊂ U, an object i ∈ Ob G(V), and an isomorphism h : F(i) ≃ j in H(V).
- (ii) F is surjective on isomorphism sheaves. This says that for any $i, j \in Ob \mathcal{G}(U)$ the map of sheaves of sets

$$F: \mathcal{G}(i,j) \to \mathcal{H}(F(i),F(j))$$

is surjective.

Note that if $F : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H}$ is a weak epimorphism such that $\operatorname{Ker}(F)$ is the trivial normal subgroupoid of \mathcal{G} , then F is a weak equivalence, and hence it is an equivalence.

Definition 3.10. An *extension of gerbes* is a diagram

$$\mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{E} \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{H}$$

of morphisms in **PreStGr** X, such that \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} are gerbes, F is a weak epimorphism, $\mathcal{N} = \text{Ker}(F)$, and $E : \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{G}$ is the inclusion.

We often use the notation of "exact sequence"

for an extension of gerbes.

Definition 3.12. A morphism of extensions of gerbes is a diagram

$$\mathcal{N} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$$

$$\downarrow \qquad D \downarrow \qquad E \downarrow$$

$$\mathcal{N}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}'$$

of morphisms in **PreStGr** X, where the rows are extensions of gerbes, the square on the right is commutative up to 2-isomorphism, and the square on the left is commutative. We denote this morphism of extensions by (D, E).

Theorem 3.13. Let \mathcal{G} be a gerbe on X, and let \mathcal{N} be a normal subgroupoid of \mathcal{G} . Then there exists a gerbe \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N} , and a morphism of gerbes $F : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N}$, with the following properties:

(i) The diagram

$$1 \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N} \rightarrow 1$$

is an extension of gerbes.

(ii) Suppose

$$1 \to \mathcal{N}' \to \mathcal{G}' \xrightarrow{F'} \mathcal{H}' \to 1$$

is an extension of gerbes, and $D: \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}'$ is a morphism of gerbes, such that $D(\mathcal{N}) \subset \mathcal{N}'$. Then there is a morphism gerbes $E: \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{H}'$, unique up to 2-isomorphism, such that the diagram

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{N} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N} \longrightarrow 1$$
$$\downarrow \qquad D \downarrow \qquad E \downarrow$$
$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}' \xrightarrow{F'} \mathcal{H}' \longrightarrow 1$$

is a morphism of extensions.

(iii) In the situation of property (ii), assume the morphism $D: \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}'$ is an equivalence, and the sheaf homomorphisms

$$D: \mathcal{N}(i,i) \to \mathcal{N}'(F(i),F(i))$$

are isomorphisms for all local objects i of \mathcal{G} . Then E is also an equivalence.

Before giving the proof we need some preliminary work. Let $U \subset X$ be an open set, and let $i, j \in \text{Ob} \mathcal{G}(U)$. The sheaf of sets $\mathcal{G}(i, j)$ is a right $\mathcal{G}(i, i)$ -torsor on U, and hence it has a right action by the sheaf of groups $\mathcal{N}(i, i)$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{G}}(i, j)$ be the sheaf of sets on U associated to the presheaf

$$V \mapsto \mathcal{G}(V)(i,j) / \mathcal{N}(V)(i,i).$$

There is a surjective sheaf morphism $\mathcal{G}(i,j) \to \overline{\mathcal{G}}(i,j)$. If i = j we get a sheaf of groups $\overline{\mathcal{G}}(i,i)$.

Lemma 3.14. There is a unique structure of $\overline{\mathcal{G}}(j,j)$ - $\overline{\mathcal{G}}(i,i)$ -bitorsor on $\overline{\mathcal{G}}(i,j)$, such that the surjection $\mathcal{G}(i,j) \to \overline{\mathcal{G}}(i,j)$ is $\mathcal{G}(j,j) \times \mathcal{G}(i,i)$ -equivariant.

Proof. Uniqueness is clear. For existence, we have to exhibit a suitable action of the sheaf of groups $\bar{\mathcal{G}}(j,j) \times \bar{\mathcal{G}}(i,i)$ on the sheaf of sets $\bar{\mathcal{G}}(i,j)$. Because of uniqueness, this is a local question.

Choose an open set $V \subset X$ that trivializes the bitorsor $\mathcal{G}(i, j)$; namely there is some $g \in \mathcal{G}(i, j)(V)$. Then the left action of $\mathcal{G}(j, j)|_V$ on $\mathcal{G}(i, j)|_V$ coincides with the right action of $\mathcal{G}(i, i)|_V$, via the isomorphism of sheaves of groups

$$\operatorname{Ad}(g): \mathcal{G}(i,i)|_V \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{G}(j,j)|_V$$

Also we have a torsor isomorphism

$$\mathcal{G}(i,i)|_V \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{G}(i,j)|_V, \ f \mapsto g \circ f.$$

Let $\bar{g} \in \bar{\mathcal{G}}(i,j)(V)$ be the image of g. We then have an isomorphism of sheaves of right $\bar{\mathcal{G}}(i,i)|_V$ -sets

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}}(i,i)|_V \xrightarrow{\simeq} \bar{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}}(i,j)|_V, \ \bar{f} \mapsto \bar{g} \circ \bar{f}.$$

It follows that $\bar{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}}(i,j)|_V$ is a right $\bar{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}}(i,i)|_V$ -torsor. On the other hand, the isomorphism $\mathrm{Ad}(g)$ induces an isomorphism of sheaves of groups

$$\phi: \bar{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}}(i,i)_V \xrightarrow{\simeq} \bar{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}}(j,j)_V$$

We conclude that $\overline{\mathcal{G}}(i,j)|_V$ is a $\overline{\mathcal{G}}(j,j)|_V - \overline{\mathcal{G}}(i,i)|_V$ -bitorsor. And for this bitorsor structure, the isomorphism of sheaves of groups is $\phi = \operatorname{Ad}(\overline{g})$. An easy calculation shows that the surjection $\mathcal{G}(i,j)|_V \to \overline{\mathcal{G}}(i,j)|_V$ is $\mathcal{G}(j,j)|_V \times \mathcal{G}(i,i)|_V$ -equivariant.

Proof of the theorem. The proof is divided into several steps.

(a) Define a prestack of groupoids $\bar{\mathcal{G}}$, and a morphism $\mathcal{G} \to \bar{\mathcal{G}}$, as follows. For an open set $U \subset X$ the object set is $\operatorname{Ob} \bar{\mathcal{G}}(U) := \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{G}(U)$. For a pair of objects $i, j \in \operatorname{Ob} \bar{\mathcal{G}}(U)$ let $\bar{\mathcal{G}}(i, j)$ be the sheaf of sets from Lemma 3.14, and define $\bar{\mathcal{G}}(U)(i, j) := \Gamma(U, \bar{\mathcal{G}}(i, j))$. Next let \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N} be the stack associated to $\bar{\mathcal{G}}$. So \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N} is a gerbe, and there is a weak equivalence of prestacks $\bar{\mathcal{G}} \to \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N}$. It is important to note that even though \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N} may have more local objects than $\bar{\mathcal{G}}$, the isomorphism sheaves (for local objects of $\bar{\mathcal{G}}$) are unchanged.

(b) The morphism of gerbes $F : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N}$ we get from step (a) is a weak epimorphism, and its kernel in \mathcal{N} . This proves property (i).

(c) In this step we prove the existence part of property (ii). Let us define a morphism of prestacks $\overline{D} : \overline{\mathcal{G}} \to \mathcal{H}'$ as follows. On objects \overline{D} is just $F' \circ D$. And on isomorphisms, for local objects i, j of $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$, we define

$$\bar{D}: \bar{\mathcal{G}}(i,j) \to \mathcal{H}'(D(i),D(j))$$

to be the unique $\mathcal{G}(i, i)$ -equivariant sheaf morphism making the diagram



commute. Due to the universal property of stackification, \overline{D} induces a morphism of gerbes $E: \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{H}'$; and then (D, E) is a morphism of extensions.

(d) Now we will prove that the morphism E from step (c) is unique up to 2isomorphism. Suppose $E': \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{H}'$ is some other morphism such that (D, E')is a morphism of extensions. By composing the canonical morphism $\bar{\mathcal{G}} \to \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N}$ with E', we obtain a morphism $\bar{D}': \bar{\mathcal{G}} \to \mathcal{H}'$. We are going to construct a 2-isomorphism $\bar{\eta}: \bar{D} \cong \bar{D}'$.

For a local object $i \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{G}(U) = \operatorname{Ob} \overline{\mathcal{G}}(U)$ let $j := \overline{D}(i) \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{H}'(U)$ and $j' := \overline{D}'(i) \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{H}'(U)$. So $j = (F' \circ D)(i)$ and $j' = (E' \circ F)(i)$. Take any 2isomorphism $\eta : E' \circ F \xrightarrow{\cong} F' \circ D$. Then η induces a 2-isomorphism $\overline{\eta} : \overline{D} \xrightarrow{\cong} \overline{D}'$, which coincides with η on objects of $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$, and is the reduction of η modulo \mathcal{N} on isomorphisms in $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$.

Because \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N} is the stackification of $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$, and E, E' are the stackifications of $\overline{D}, \overline{D}'$ respectively, $\overline{\eta}$ induces a 2-isomorphism $E \xrightarrow{\simeq} E'$.

(e) Finally we shall prove property (iii). The morphism $\overline{D} : \overline{\mathcal{G}} \to \mathcal{H}'$ is locally surjective on objects. This is because $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ and \mathcal{G} have the same local objects; $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}'$ is locally bijective on objects; and $\mathcal{G}' \to \mathcal{H}'$ is locally surjective on objects.

By construction, for any pair of local objects i, j of $\bar{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}}$ we have

$$\mathcal{G}(i,j) = \mathcal{G}(i,j) / \mathcal{N}(i,i)$$

as sheaves of sets. On the other hand

$$D: \mathcal{N}(i,i) \to \mathcal{N}'(D(i),D(i))$$

is an isomorphism of sheaves of groups, and

$$D: \mathcal{G}(i,j) \to \mathcal{G}'(D(i),D(j))$$

is an isomorphism of torsors. Since

$$\mathcal{H}'(E(i), E(j)) \cong \mathcal{G}'(D(i), D(j)) / \mathcal{N}'(D(i), D(i))$$

we see that $\overline{D} : \overline{\mathcal{G}} \to \mathcal{H}'$ is a weak equivalence. Therefore $E : \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{H}'$ is an equivalence.

Corollary 3.15. Suppose we are given extensions of gerbes

$$1 \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 1$$

and

$$1 \to \mathcal{N}' \to \mathcal{G}' \xrightarrow{F'} \mathcal{H}' \to 1,$$

and a morphism of gerbes $D : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}'$, such that $D(\mathcal{N}) \subset \mathcal{N}'$. Then there is a morphism of gerbes $E : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}'$, unique up to 2-isomorphism, such that the diagram



is a morphism of extensions.

Proof. By the theorem we can replace \mathcal{H} with the equivalent gerbe \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N} . Now we can use property (ii) of the theorem.

Given a sheaf of groups \mathcal{G} on X and an open set $U \subset X$, we write $\mathcal{G}(U) := \Gamma(U, \mathcal{G})$. The center of this group is denoted by $Z(\mathcal{G}(U))$. Since the center is not functorial, one has to be careful what we mean by the center of the sheaf \mathcal{G} . The correct definition seems to be as follows.

Definition 3.16. Let \mathcal{G} be a sheaf of groups on X. The *center* of \mathcal{G} is the sheaf of groups $Z(\mathcal{G})$ whose group of sections on an open set U is

 $Z(\mathcal{G})(U) := \{ g \in \mathcal{G}(U) \mid g |_V \in Z(\mathcal{G}(V)) \text{ for any } V \subset U \}.$

Proposition 3.17. Given a gerbe \mathcal{G} , there is a unique normal subgroupoid \mathcal{N} of \mathcal{G} such that

$$\mathcal{N}(i,i) = \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{G}(i,i))$$

for every local object i of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}$.

The proof is like that of Proposition 3.7.

Definition 3.18. Let \mathcal{G} be a gerbe.

- (1) The normal subgroupoid \mathcal{N} in Proposition 3.17 is called the *center* of \mathcal{G} , and it is denoted by $Z(\mathcal{G})$.
- (2) A central subgroupoid of \mathcal{G} is a normal subgroupoid \mathcal{N} of \mathcal{G} that is contained in $Z(\mathcal{G})$.

Proposition 3.19. Let \mathcal{N} be a central subgroupoid of \mathcal{G} . Then there is sheaf of abelian groups \mathcal{N} , together with an isomorphism of sheaves of groups

(3.20)
$$\chi_i: \mathcal{N}|_U \to \mathcal{N}(i,i)$$

for any open set U and object $i \in Ob \mathcal{G}(U)$, satisfying this condition:

(\$) Given any $i \in Ob \mathcal{G}(U)$, $j \in Ob \mathcal{G}(V)$, $W \subset U \cap V$, and $g \in \mathcal{G}(i, j)(W)$, one has

$$\chi_j \circ \operatorname{Ad}(g) = \chi_j$$

as sheaf homomorphisms $\mathcal{N}|_W \to \mathcal{G}(j,j)|_W$.

The sheaf \mathcal{N} is unique up to a unique isomorphism.

Conversely, given a sheaf of abelian groups \mathcal{N} , together with a collection of injective sheaf homomorphisms

$$\chi_i: \mathcal{N}|_U \to \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}(i,i))$$

for $i \in Ob \mathcal{G}(U)$, that satisfy condition (\diamondsuit), there exists a unique central subgroupoid \mathcal{N} of \mathcal{G} such that (3.20) are isomorphisms.

Proof. This is due to the local nature of gerbes; cf. part (a) of the proof of Theorem 3.13. $\hfill \Box$

18

The last proposition says that a central subgroupoid \mathcal{N} of a gerbe \mathcal{G} can be viewed as a single sheaf of abelian groups.

Finally we can explain the title of the paper.

Definition 3.21. A central extension of gerbes is an extension of gerbes

$$1 \to \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{H} \to 1$$

such that \mathcal{N} is a central subgroupoid of \mathcal{G} .

Using Proposition 3.19 to replace \mathcal{N} with a sheaf of abelian groups \mathcal{N} , we can rewrite this central extension as

$$(3.22) 1 \to \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{H} \to 1$$

Here are a couple of examples of central extensions of gerbes. The first is somewhat tautological.

Example 3.23. Suppose \mathcal{N} is a central subgroupoid of a gerbe \mathcal{G} . Then the extension of gerbes

$$1 \to \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N} \to 1$$

from Theorem 3.13 is central.

The next example was suggested to us by the referee.

Example 3.24. Let

$$1 \to \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{H} \to 1$$

be a central extension of sheaves of groups on X. Given an open set U and a $\mathcal{G}|_U$ -torsor \mathcal{S} , let us denote by $F(\mathcal{S})$ the induced $\mathcal{H}|_U$ -torsor. This operation gives rise to a morphism of gerbes

$$(3.25) F: \operatorname{Tors} \mathcal{G} \to \operatorname{Tors} \mathcal{H}$$

(cf. Example 2.4). Since locally any \mathcal{H} -torsor is trivial, it is locally induced from a \mathcal{G} -torsor. This says that (3.25) is locally essentially surjective on objects.

Now for any \mathcal{G} -torsor \mathcal{S} , locally we have a (noncanonical) isomorphism of sheaves of groups

$$(\operatorname{Tors} \mathcal{G})(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}) \cong \mathcal{G}^{\operatorname{op}}$$

Likewise for \mathcal{H} -torsors. This implies that the morphism of gerbes (3.25) is surjective on isomorphism sheaves, and its kernel is a central subgroupoid of \mathcal{G} , isomorphic to the sheaf \mathcal{N} . In this way we get a central extensions of gerbes

$$(3.26) 1 \to \mathcal{N} \to \operatorname{\mathsf{Tors}} \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{F} \operatorname{\mathsf{Tors}} \mathcal{H} \to 1.$$

4. Obstruction Classes

We fix a topological space X. Given a sheaf \mathcal{N} of abelian groups on X, and an open covering $\mathbf{U} = \{U_k\}_{k \in K}$ of X, there are the Čech cohomology groups $\check{\mathrm{H}}^p(\mathbf{U}, \mathcal{N})$ for $p \geq 0$. Passing to the limit over all such open coverings we obtain the Čech cohomology groups $\check{\mathrm{H}}^p(X, \mathcal{N})$.

From here until the end of this section we consider a central extension of gerbes

on X (see Definition 3.21 and Proposition 3.19).

Construction 4.2. Let $i, j \in \text{Ob} \mathcal{G}(X)$, and let $h \in \mathcal{H}(X)(F(i), F(j))$. Since F is a weak epimorphism, there exists an open covering $U = \{U_k\}_{k \in K}$ of X, such that for every $k \in K$ there is an isomorphism $g_k \in \mathcal{G}(U_k)(i, j)$ with $F(g_k) = h$. For every $k_0, k_1 \in K$ we define

$$g_{k_0,k_1} := g_{k_1}^{-1} \circ g_{k_0} \in \mathcal{G}(U_{k_0,k_1})(i,i).$$

Since $F(g_{k_0,k_1}) = 1$ we see that in fact $g_{k_0,k_1} \in \mathcal{N}(U_{k_0,k_1})$. An easy calculation shows that the collection

(4.3)
$$c := \{g_{k_0,k_1}\}_{k_0,k_1 \in K}$$

is a Čech 1-cocycle for the covering U with values in the sheaf of groups \mathcal{N} .

Lemma 4.4. Let $i, j \in Ob \mathcal{G}(X)$ and let $h \in \mathcal{H}(X)(F(i), F(j))$. Suppose that cand c' are 1-cocycles with values in \mathcal{N} , for open coverings U and U', obtained as in Construction 4.2, for the same isomorphism h. Then their Čech cohomology classes $[c], [c'] \in \check{H}^1(X, \mathcal{N})$ are equal.

Proof. Say $U' = \{U'_k\}_{k \in K'}$. Suppose that in the course of constructing the cocycle c' we chose, for every $k \in K'$, an isomorphism $g'_k \in \mathcal{G}(U'_k)(i, j)$ with $F(g'_k) = h$. So $g'_{k_0,k_1} = g'_{k_1}^{-1} \circ g'_{k_0}$ and $c' = \{g'_{k_0,k_1}\}_{k_0,k_1 \in K'}$.

Take some open covering $\boldsymbol{V} = \{V_l\}_{l \in L}$ of X which refines both \boldsymbol{U} and \boldsymbol{U}' . Thus there are functions $\phi : L \to K$ and $\phi' : L \to K'$, such that $V_l \subset U_{\phi(l)}$ and $V_l \subset U'_{\phi'(l)}$ for all $l \in L$. We get cocycles

$$\phi^*(c) := \{g_{\phi(l_0),\phi(l_1)}\}_{l_0,l_1 \in L}$$

and

$$\phi'^*(c') := \{g'_{\phi'(l_0),\phi'(l_1)}\}_{l_0,l_1 \in L}.$$

For any $l \in L$ let

$$f_l := g_{\phi(l)}^{-1} \circ g_{\phi'(l)}' \in \mathcal{G}(V_l)(i,i).$$

Now

$$F(f_l) = F(g_{\phi(l)})^{-1} \circ F(g'_{\phi'(l)}) = h^{-1} \circ h = 1.$$

So $b := \{f_l\}_{l \in L}$ is a 0-cochain with values in \mathcal{N} .

Take any $l_0, l_1 \in L$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (f_{l_1}^{-1} \circ f_{l_0}) \circ g_{\phi(l_0),\phi(l_1)} \\ & = (g_{\phi(l_1)}^{-1} \circ g_{\phi'(l_1)}')^{-1} \circ (g_{\phi(l_0)}^{-1} \circ g_{\phi'(l_0)}') \circ (g_{\phi(l_1)}^{-1} \circ g_{\phi(l_0)}) \\ & = g_{\phi'(l_1)}'^{-1} \circ g_{\phi'(l_0)}' = g_{\phi'(l_0),\phi'(l_1)}' \,. \end{aligned}$$

Denoting the Čech coboundary operator by d, we see that

$$\mathbf{d}(b) \cdot \phi^*(c) = {\phi'}^*(c').$$

In view of this lemma, the following definition makes sense.

Definition 4.5. Let $i, j \in Ob \mathcal{G}(X)$, and let $h \in \mathcal{H}(X)(F(i), F(j))$. Take any 1-cocycle *c* as in Construction 4.2. We define the obstruction class

$$\operatorname{cl}^1_F(h) := [c] \in \check{\mathrm{H}}^1(X, \mathcal{N}).$$

Theorem 4.6 (Obstruction to lifting isomorphisms). *Consider a central extension* of gerbes

$$1 \to \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{H} \to 1.$$

Let $i, j \in Ob \mathcal{G}(X)$, and let $h \in \mathcal{H}(X)(F(i), F(j))$. Then there exists an isomorphism $g \in \mathcal{G}(X)(i, j)$ satisfying F(g) = h if and only if

$$\mathrm{cl}_F^1(h) = 1.$$

In other words, the class $cl_F^1(h)$ is the obstruction to lifting the isomorphism $h: F(i) \to F(j)$ to an isomorphism $g: i \to j$.

Proof. First assume there exists a lifting g. We construct a cocycle c as follows: for the open covering $U = \{U_k\}_{k \in K}$ we take $K := \{0\}$ and $U_0 := X$. We then take $g_0 := g$. The resulting cocycle is c is trivial, and hence $cl_F^1(h) = 1$.

Conversely, assume that $\operatorname{cl}_F^1(h) = 1$. Let $c' = \{g'_{k_0,k_1}\}_{k_0,k_1 \in K}$ be a 1-cocycle that represents $\operatorname{cl}_F^1(h)$ on some open covering U. Say $g'_k \in \mathcal{G}(U_k)(i,j)$ are the isomorphisms chosen in the construction of c', so that $g'_{k_0,k_1} = g'_{k_1}^{-1} \circ g'_{k_0}$. By replacing U with a suitable refinement, we can assume that c' is a cobound-

By replacing U with a suitable refinement, we can assume that c' is a coboundary; i.e. there is a 0-cochain $b := \{f_k\}_{k \in K}$ with values in \mathcal{N} such that c' = d(b). Define

$$g_k := g'_k \circ f_k^{-1} \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}(U_k)(i,j).$$

A calculation shows that $\{g_k\}_{k \in K}$ is a 0-cocycle with values in the sheaf of sets $\mathcal{G}(i, j)$. Hence it glues to a global isomorphism $g \in \mathcal{G}(X)(i, j)$. And by construction we have F(g) = h.

Corollary 4.7. Given a central extension of gerbes as above, let $i, j \in Ob \mathcal{G}(X)$. Then $\mathcal{G}(X)(i, j) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if there exists some $h \in \mathcal{H}(X)(F(i), F(j))$ such that $cl_F^1(h) = 1$.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of the theorem.

Remark 4.8. In an earlier version of this paper we claimed that $\operatorname{cl}_F^1(h) = \operatorname{cl}_F^1(h')$ for any $h, h' \in \mathcal{H}(X)(F(i), F(j))$. However the referee discovered a mistake in our proof.

Construction 4.9. Let $j \in \text{Ob} \mathcal{H}(X)$. Choose some open covering $U = \{U_k\}_{k \in K}$ of X. For every $k \in K$ choose, if possible, an object $i_k \in \text{Ob} \mathcal{G}(U_k)$ and an isomorphism

$$h_k \in \mathcal{H}(U_k)(F(i_k), j).$$

For every $(k_0, k_1) \in K \times K$ define

$$h_{k_0,k_1} := h_{k_1}^{-1} \circ h_{k_0} \in \mathcal{H}(U_{k_0,k_1})\big(F(i_{k_0}),F(i_{k_1})\big).$$

Choose, if possible, an isomorphism

$$g_{k_0,k_1} \in \mathcal{G}(U_{k_0,k_1})(i_{k_0},i_{k_1})$$

that lifts h_{k_0,k_1} , i.e. $F(g_{k_0,k_1}) = h_{k_0,k_1}$. Define

$$g_{k_0,k_1,k_2} := g_{k_0,k_2}^{-1} \circ g_{k_1,k_2} \circ g_{k_0,k_1} \in \mathcal{G}(U_{k_0,k_1,k_2})(i_{k_0},i_{k_0})$$

Thus we get a collection of elements

$$(4.10) c := \{g_{k_0,k_1,k_2}\}_{k_0,k_1,k_2 \in K}.$$

Lemma 4.11. The collection c from this construction is a Čech 2-cocycle with values in \mathcal{N} .

Proof. Since $F(g_{k_0,k_1,k_2}) = 1$ it follows that $g_{k_0,k_1,k_2} \in \mathcal{N}(U_{k_0,k_1,k_2})$.

Let us now calculate the value of the coboundary of c in $\mathcal{N}(U_{k_0,k_1,k_2,k_3})$, using the fact that \mathcal{N} is central in \mathcal{G} :

$$\begin{split} g_{k_1,k_2,k_3} \circ g_{k_0,k_2,k_3}^{-1} \circ g_{k_0,k_1,k_3} \circ g_{k_0,k_1,k_2}^{-1} \\ &= g_{k_1,k_2,k_3} \circ (g_{k_0,k_3}^{-1} \circ g_{k_2,k_3} \circ g_{k_0,k_2})^{-1} \\ &\circ (g_{k_0,k_3}^{-1} \circ g_{k_1,k_3} \circ g_{k_0,k_1}) \circ (g_{k_0,k_2}^{-1} \circ g_{k_1,k_2} \circ g_{k_0,k_1})^{-1} \\ &= g_{k_1,k_2,k_3} \circ g_{k_0,k_2}^{-1} \circ g_{k_2,k_3}^{-1} \circ g_{k_0,k_3} \\ &\circ g_{k_0,k_3}^{-1} \circ g_{k_1,k_3} \circ g_{k_0,k_1} \circ g_{k_0,k_1}^{-1} \circ g_{k_1,k_2}^{-1} \circ g_{k_0,k_2} \\ &= g_{k_0,k_2}^{-1} \circ g_{k_2,k_3}^{-1} \circ g_{k_1,k_3} \circ g_{k_1,k_2,k_3} \circ g_{k_1,k_2}^{-1} \circ g_{k_0,k_2} \\ &= g_{k_0,k_2}^{-1} \circ g_{k_2,k_3}^{-1} \circ g_{k_1,k_3} \\ &\circ (g_{k_1,k_3}^{-1} \circ g_{k_2,k_3} \circ g_{k_1,k_2}) \circ g_{k_1,k_2}^{-1} \circ g_{k_0,k_2} \\ &= 1. \end{split}$$

Lemma 4.12. Let $j \in Ob \mathcal{H}(X)$. Suppose that c and c' are 2-cocycles with values in \mathcal{N} , for open coverings U and U', obtained as in Construction 4.9. Then their Čech cohomology classes $[c], [c'] \in \check{\mathrm{H}}^2(X, \mathcal{N})$ are equal.

Proof. The cocycle c' is constructed using some open covering $U' = \{U'_k\}_{k \in K'}$, objects $i'_k \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{G}(U'_k)$ that lift j, isomorphisms $h'_k \in \mathcal{H}(U'_k)(F(i'_k), j)$, and isomorphisms $g'_{k_0,k_1} \in \mathcal{G}(U'_{k_0,k_1})(i'_{k_0}, i'_{k_1})$ that lift $h'_{k_0,k_1} := h'_{k_1}^{-1} \circ h'_{k_0}$. The proof proceeds in four steps, labeled (a)-(d).

(a) Suppose U' = U, $i'_k = i_k$ and $h'_k = h_k$, but we choose some other lifting g'_{k_0,k_1} of h_{k_0,k_1} . The 2-cocycle $c' = \{g'_{k_0,k_1,k_2}\}$ is

$$(4.13) g'_{k_0,k_1,k_2} := g'^{-1}_{k_0,k_2} \circ g'_{k_1,k_2} \circ g'_{k_0,k_1} \in \mathcal{G}(U_{k_0,k_1,k_2})(i_{k_0},i_{k_0}).$$

Now there are unique elements

$$n_{k_0,k_1} \in \mathcal{N}(U_{k_0,k_1})(i_{k_0},i_{k_0})$$

such that

$$g_{k_0,k_1}' = n_{k_0,k_1} \circ g_{k_0,k_1}.$$

Consider the Čech 1-cochain $b := \{n_{k_0,k_1}\}$ with values in \mathcal{N} . A little calculation shows that

$$g'_{k_0,k_1,k_2} = g_{k_0,k_1,k_2} \circ (n_{k_0,k_2}^{-1} \circ n_{k_0,k_1} \circ n_{k_1,k_2});$$

so that $c' = c \cdot d(b)$. We see that c and c' have the same cohomology class.

(b) Next suppose U' = U and $i'_k = i_k$, but we choose other isomorphisms $h'_k \in$ $\mathcal{H}(U_k)(F(i_k), j)$. Define

$$h'_{k_0,k_1} := h'^{-1}_{k_1} \circ h'_{k_0} \in \mathcal{H}(U_{k_0,k_1})(F(i_{k_0}),F(i_{k_1})).$$

Consider the elements

$$f_k := h_k^{-1} \circ h'_k \in \mathcal{H}(U_k)(F(i_k), F(i_k)).$$

Take some open covering $\mathbf{V} = \{V_l\}_{l \in L}$ that refines \mathbf{U} , with comparison function $\phi : L \to K$, such that for every $l \in L$ the isomorphism $f_{\phi(l)}$ lifts to some $g_l \in \mathcal{G}(V_l)(i_{\phi(l)}, i_{\phi(l)})$. This is possible since F is locally surjective on isomorphism sheaves. By replacing \mathbf{U} with \mathbf{V} , we can now assume that each f_k lifts to some $g_k \in \mathcal{G}(U_k)(i_k, i_k)$.

Now let us define

$$g_{k_0,k_1}'' := g_{k_1}^{-1} \circ g_{k_0,k_1} \circ g_{k_0} \in \mathcal{G}(U_{k_0,k_1})(i_{k_0},i_{k_1}).$$

Then g_{k_0,k_1}'' is a lifting of h_{k_0,k_1}' . Proceeding as in equation (4.13), we obtain a Čech 2-cocycle $c'' = \{g_{k_0,k_1,k_2}''\}$. However, it is easy to see that

$$g_{k_0,k_1,k_2}'' = g_{k_0}^{-1} \circ g_{k_0,k_1,k_2} \circ g_{k_0}.$$

Since g_{k_0,k_1,k_2} is central in \mathcal{G} it follows that in fact $g_{k_0,k_1,k_2}'' = g_{k_0,k_1,k_2}$, so that c'' = c. On the other hand, from step (a) we see that [c''] = [c'] in $\check{\mathrm{H}}^2(X,\mathcal{N})$.

(c) Now suppose U' = U, but we choose another object $i'_k \in Ob \mathcal{G}(U_k)$ for each k. Take some open covering $V = \{V_l\}_{l \in L}$ that refines U, with comparison function $\phi : L \to K$, such that for every $l \in L$ one has

$$\mathcal{G}(V_l)(i_{\phi(l)}, i'_{\phi(l)}) \neq \emptyset.$$

This can be done because \mathcal{G} is locally connected. After replacing U with V, we can assume that there is some $f_k \in \mathcal{G}(U_k)(i_k, i'_k)$ for every $k \in K$.

In view of steps (a-b) we might as well take

$$h'_k := h_k \circ F(f_k)^{-1} \in \mathcal{H}(U_k)\big(F(i'_k), j\big),$$

and then lift

(4.14)
$$h'_{k_0,k_1} := h'^{-1}_{k_1} \circ h'_{k_0} \in \mathcal{H}(U_{k_0,k_1})\big(F(i'_{k_0}),F(i'_{k_1})\big)$$

 to

$$g'_{k_0,k_1} := f_{k_1} \circ g_{k_0,k_1} \circ f_{k_0}^{-1} \in \mathcal{G}(U_{k_0,k_1})(i'_{k_0},i'_{k_1})$$

The resulting 2-cocycle $c' = \{g'_{k_0,k_1,k_2}\}$ defined as in (4.13) will satisfy

$$g'_{k_0,k_1,k_2} = f_{k_0}^{-1} \circ g_{k_0,k_1,k_2} \circ f_{k_0}.$$

Because \mathcal{N} is central we get c' = c.

(d) Finally let's see what happens when we take a new open covering $U' = \{U_k\}_{k \in K'}$ of X, for which we can construct a cocycle c'. Let $V = \{V_l\}_{l \in L}$ be a common refinement, namely there are functions $\phi : L \to K$ and $\phi' : L \to K'$, such that $V_l \subset U_{\phi(l)}$ and $V_l \subset U'_{\phi'(l)}$ for all $l \in L$. Let $\phi^*(c)$ and $\phi'^*(c')$ be the pullback 2-cocycles on the open covering V. These are both cocycles that are constructed like in Construction 4.9, for the obvious choices of objects etc. By steps (a-c) we know that $[\phi^*(c)] = [\phi'^*(c')]$. But on the other hand $[\phi^*(c)] = [c]$ and $[\phi'^*(c')] = [c']$.

The lemma justifies the next definition.

Definition 4.15. Let $j \in Ob \mathcal{H}(X)$. If there exists a 2-cocycle c as in Construction 4.9, for some open covering U, then we define the *obstruction class to lifting objects* to be

$$\operatorname{cl}_F^2(j) := [c] \in \check{\mathrm{H}}^2(X, \mathcal{N}).$$

Otherwise we say that this obstruction class is undefined.

In Section 5 we shall see sufficient conditions for the obstruction class $cl_F^2(j)$ to be defined.

Proposition 4.16. Let $j \in Ob \mathcal{H}(X)$ be such that the obstruction class $cl_F^2(j)$ is defined. Suppose $j' \in Ob \mathcal{H}(X)$ is such that $\mathcal{H}(X)(j,j') \neq \emptyset$. Then the obstruction class $cl_F^2(j')$ is also defined, and moreover

$$\mathrm{cl}_F^2(j') = \mathrm{cl}_F^2(j).$$

What the proposition says is that two isomorphic objects have the same obstruction class.

Proof. We want to construct a Čech 2-cocycle c', starting with j' instead of j. Take any $f \in \mathcal{H}(X)(j, j')$. Using this isomorphism we may define

$$h'_k := f \circ h_k \in \mathcal{H}(U_k)(F(i_k), j'),$$

where i_k is the lifting of j that was used in the construction of c, and $h_k \in \mathcal{H}(U_k)(F(i_k), j)$ is the isomorphism that was chosen.

Let

$$h'_{k_0,k_1} := h'^{-1}_{k_1} \circ h'_{k_0} \in \mathcal{H}(U_{k_0,k_1})(F(i_{k_0}),F(i_{k_1})).$$

Then $h'_{k_0,k_1} = h_{k_0,k_1}$; so continuing with Construction 4.9 we get a cocycle c' that equals c.

Theorem 4.17 (Obstruction to lifting objects). Consider a central extension of gerbes

$$1 \to \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{H} \to 1.$$

Let $j \in Ob \mathcal{H}(X)$ be such that the obstruction class $cl_F^2(j)$ is defined. Then there exists an object $i \in Ob \mathcal{G}(X)$ with

$$\mathcal{H}(X)(F(i),j) \neq \emptyset$$

if and only if

$$\mathrm{cl}_F^2(j) = 1$$

What the theorem says is that $cl_F^2(j)$ is the obstruction to lifting j to an object of $\mathcal{G}(X)$.

Proof. Assume j lifts to an object $i \in \text{Ob} \mathcal{G}(X)$. So there exists some isomorphism $h \in \mathcal{H}(X)(F(i), j)$. In Construction 4.9 we may choose $i_k := i|_{U_k} \in \text{Ob} \mathcal{G}(U_k)$. Having done so, we take

$$h_k := h|_{U_k} \in \mathcal{H}(U_k)(F(i_k), j).$$

Proceeding with the construction, we get

$$h_{k_0,k_1} = 1 \in \mathcal{G}(U_{k_0,k_1})(F(i),F(i)),$$

which can then be lifted to

$$g_{k_0,k_1} = 1 \in \mathcal{G}(U_{k_0,k_1})(i,i).$$

The resulting 2-cocycle $c = \{g_{k_0,k_1,k_2}\}$ is trivial.

Conversely, suppose $cl_F^2(j) = 1$. From construction 4.9 and the choices made there we get a a 2-cocycle $c = \{g_{k_0,k_1,k_2}\}$ with values in \mathcal{N} , on some open covering U. By replacing U with a suitable refinement, we may assume it is a coboundary. Namely there is a 1-cochain $b = \{f_{k_0,k_1}\}$ with values in \mathcal{N} , such that c = d(b).

24

Consider the isomorphisms

$$g'_{k_0,k_1} := g_{k_0,k_1} \circ f_{k_0,k_1}^{-1} \in \mathcal{G}(U_{k_0,k_1})(i_{k_0},i_{k_1}),$$

where g_{k_0,k_1} are the isomorphisms chosen when constructing the cocycle c. Then $\{g'_{k_0,k_1}\}$ is a 1-cocycle. Since \mathcal{G} is a stack, the collection of objects $\{i_k\}_{k\in K}$ can be glued. I.e. there is an object $i \in Ob \mathcal{G}(X)$, and isomorphisms $g'_k \in \mathcal{G}(U_k)(i_k, i)$, such that

Define

$$g_{k_1}^{\prime -1} \circ g_{k_0}^{\prime} = g_{k_0,k_1}^{\prime}.$$

$$e_k := F(g'_k) \circ h_k^{-1} \in \mathcal{H}(U_k)(j, F(i)).$$

Then one checks that

$$e_{k_0} = e_{k_1} \in \mathcal{H}(U_{k_0,k_1})(j,F(i)).$$

The sheaf property says that these glue to an isomorphism $e \in \mathcal{H}(X)(j, F(i))$. \Box

Remark 4.18. If we were to use open hypercoverings in Construction 4.9, then the obstruction class $cl_F^2(j)$ would always be defined, as an element of $H^2(X, \mathcal{N})$. However the technicalities involved in proving the corresponding version of Theorem 4.17 would be enormous. Since Construction 4.9 works in the cases that interest us, we chose to limit ourselves to this weaker approach.

Remark 4.19. L. Breen [private communication] proposed looking at the the central extension of gerbes (4.1) in the following way: \mathcal{G} is a gerbe over \mathcal{H} , with band \mathcal{N} . Perhaps this point of view can yield a stronger version of Theorem 4.17.

5. Sufficient Conditions for Existence of Obstruction Classes

Let \mathcal{N} be a sheaf of abelian groups on a topological space X. The operation in \mathcal{N} is multiplication. We denote by $\mathrm{H}^{i}(X, \mathcal{N})$ the derived functor sheaf cohomology. An open set $U \subset X$ will be called \mathcal{N} -acyclic if the sheaf cohomology satisfies $\mathrm{H}^{i}(U, \mathcal{N}) = 1$ for all i > 0. Now suppose $U = \{U_k\}_{k \in K}$ is a collection of open sets in X. We say that the collection U is \mathcal{N} -acyclic if all the open sets U_{k_0,\ldots,k_m} are \mathcal{N} -acyclic.

Definition 5.1. Let \mathcal{N} be a sheaf of abelian groups on X. We say that there are enough \mathcal{N} -acyclic open coverings if for any open set $U \subset X$, and any open covering U of U, there exists an \mathcal{N} -acyclic open covering U' of U which refines U.

Example 5.2. Suppose X is a differentiable (i.e. \mathbb{C}^{∞}) manifold, and let \mathcal{O}_X be the sheaf of \mathbb{C}^{∞} \mathbb{R} -valued functions on it. If \mathcal{N} is an \mathcal{O}_X -module, then any open covering of X is \mathcal{N} -acyclic. If \mathcal{K} is a constant sheaf of abelian groups on X, then any open covering $U = \{U_k\}_{k \in K}$ such that the finite intersections U_{k_0,\ldots,k_m} are contractible, is \mathcal{K} -acyclic. There are always enough coverings of this sort.

Example 5.3. Suppose X is a complex analytic manifold, and let \mathcal{O}_X be the sheaf of holomorphic \mathbb{C} -valued functions on it. If \mathcal{N} is a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module, then any open covering of X by Stein manifolds is \mathcal{N} -acyclic. There are always enough coverings of this sort. Regarding constant sheaves see the previous example. (Oddly, we do not know if it is possible to find an open covering $U = \{U_k\}_{k \in K}$ such that the finite intersections U_{k_0,\dots,k_m} are both contractible and Stein.)

Example 5.4. Suppose X is an algebraic variety over a field \mathbb{K} (i.e. a separated integral finite type \mathbb{K} -scheme), and let \mathcal{O}_X be the structure sheaf. If \mathcal{N} is a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module, then any affine open covering of X (i.e. a covering $U = \{U_k\}_{k \in K}$ such that the open sets U_k are all affine) is \mathcal{N} -acyclic. There are always enough coverings of this sort. If \mathcal{K} is a constant sheaf of abelian groups on X, then any open covering of X is \mathcal{K} -acyclic (since \mathcal{K} is a flasque sheaf in the Zariski topology).

Recall that there are canonical group homomorphisms

$$\check{\mathrm{H}}^{i}(X, \mathcal{N}) \to \mathrm{H}^{i}(X, \mathcal{N}),$$

which are bijective for i = 0, 1; see [Ha, Section III.4.].

Proposition 5.5. Let \mathcal{N} be a sheaf of abelian groups on X.

(1) If U is an \mathcal{N} -acyclic open covering of X, then the canonical group homomorphisms

$$\operatorname{\dot{H}}^{i}(\boldsymbol{U},\mathcal{N}) \to \operatorname{H}^{i}(X,\mathcal{N})$$

are bijective for all i.

(2) If there are enough N-acyclic open coverings, then for any N-acyclic open covering U of X, the canonical group homomorphisms

$$\check{\mathrm{H}}^{i}(\boldsymbol{U},\mathcal{N}) \to \check{\mathrm{H}}^{i}(X,\mathcal{N}) \to \mathrm{H}^{i}(X,\mathcal{N})$$

are bijective for all *i*.

Proof. Assertion (1) is [Ha, Exercise III.4.11]. Assertion (2) follows from (1). See also the original [Gr2]. \Box

From now on in this section, the operation in the group \mathcal{N} is multiplication, and the identity element is 1.

Proposition 5.6. Suppose

$$(5.7) 1 \to \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H} \to 1$$

is an exact sequence of sheaves of groups on X.

(1) There is an exact sequence in $\check{C}ech$ cohomology

$$1 \to \mathcal{N}(X) \to \mathcal{G}(X) \to \mathcal{H}(X)$$
$$\to \check{\mathrm{H}}^{1}(X, \mathcal{N}) \to \check{\mathrm{H}}^{1}(X, \mathcal{G}) \to \check{\mathrm{H}}^{1}(X, \mathcal{H}).$$

Here $\check{\mathrm{H}}^{1}(X, -)$ are pointed sets.

(2) Assume (5.7) is a central extension, and there are enough \mathcal{N} -acyclic open coverings. Then the exact sequence of part (1) extends to an exact sequence

$$\cdots \to \check{\mathrm{H}}^{1}(X,\mathcal{G}) \to \check{\mathrm{H}}^{1}(X,\mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{\partial} \check{\mathrm{H}}^{2}(X,\mathcal{N}).$$

Proof. (1) This is pretty easy. A readable proof can be found in [Gr1, Chapter V].

(2) A more general result is [Gr2, Corollaire to Proposition 3.4.2], where there is no topological assumption of the sheaf \mathcal{N} . However, the precise statement and the proof rely on Godement resolutions, and are hard to follow. Hence we provide a relatively easy proof in the case we need.

Recall that the pointed set $\check{\mathrm{H}}^1(X,\mathcal{H})$ classifies left \mathcal{H} -torsors on X, up to isomorphism. And the function $\check{\mathrm{H}}^1(X,\mathcal{G}) \to \check{\mathrm{H}}^1(X,\mathcal{H})$ sends a \mathcal{G} -torsor to the induced \mathcal{H} -torsor.

Let S be an \mathcal{H} -torsor. Choose an \mathcal{N} -acyclic open covering $U = \{U_k\}_{k \in K}$ of X that trivializes S. For any index k choose some $s_k \in \mathcal{S}(U_k)$. For any k_0, k_1 we have an element $h_{k_0,k_1} \in \mathcal{H}(U_{k_0,k_1})$ such that $s_{k_1} = h_{k_0,k_1} \cdot s_{k_0}$. Since $\check{H}^1(U_{k_0,k_1}, \mathcal{N}) = 1$, by part (1) we have a surjection of groups $\mathcal{G}(U_{k_0,k_1}) \to \mathcal{H}(U_{k_0,k_1})$, and thus we can lift h_{k_0,k_1} to some $g_{k_0,k_1} \in \mathcal{G}(U_{k_0,k_1})$. Define

$$n_{k_0,k_1,k_2} := g_{k_0,k_2}^{-1} \circ g_{k_1,k_2} \circ g_{k_0,k_1} \in \mathcal{G}(U_{k_0,k_1,k_2}).$$

Then

$$c := \{n_{k_0,k_1,k_2}\}_{k_0,k_1,k_2 \in K}$$

is a Čech 2-cocycle with values in \mathcal{N} ; cf. Lemma 4.11. Let

$$\partial(\mathcal{S}) := [c] \in \check{\mathrm{H}}^2(X, \mathcal{N}).$$

As in the proof of Lemma 4.12 we see that the cohomology class $\partial(S)$ is independent of choices, and thus we get a well defined function

$$\partial : \check{\mathrm{H}}^1(X, \mathcal{H}) \to \check{\mathrm{H}}^2(X, \mathcal{N}).$$

And like in the proof of Theorem 4.17 we see that $\partial(S) = 1$ if and only if S comes from a G-torsor.

Consider a central extension of gerbes

(5.8)
$$1 \to \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{H} \to 1$$

on X.

Lemma 5.9. Suppose U is an \mathcal{N} -acyclic open set. Let $i, j \in Ob \mathcal{G}(U)$ be such that $\mathcal{G}(U)(i, j) \neq \emptyset$. Then the function

$$F: \mathcal{G}(U)(i,j) \to \mathcal{H}(U)(F(i),F(j))$$

is surjective.

Proof. Here both torsors $\mathcal{G}(i, j)$ and $\mathcal{H}(F(i), F(j))$ are trivial over the respective sheaves of groups $\mathcal{G}(i, i)$ and $\mathcal{H}(F(i), F(i))$; so we may assume i = j. Since $\check{H}^1(U, \mathcal{N}) = 1$ the assertion follows from the exact sequence in Proposition 5.6(1), applied to the short exact sequence of sheaves of groups

$$1 \to \mathcal{N}|_U \to \mathcal{G}(i,i) \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{H}(i,i) \to 1.$$

Lemma 5.10. Suppose U is an \mathcal{N} -acyclic open set. Then for any $i, j \in Ob \mathcal{G}(U)$ the function

$$F(i,j): \mathcal{G}(U)(i,j) \to \mathcal{H}(U)(F(i),F(j))$$

is surjective.

Proof. If $\mathcal{H}(U)(F(i), F(j)) = \emptyset$ then there is nothing to prove. So let us assume it is nonempty. We will prove that $\mathcal{G}(U)(i, j) \neq \emptyset$; and then the assertion will follow by Lemma 5.9.

Choose some $h \in \mathcal{H}(U)(F(i), F(j))$. Let $U = \{U_k\}_{k \in K}$ be an open covering of U, such that for any k there exists an isomorphism $g_k \in \mathcal{G}(U_k)(i, j)$ lifting h. This can be done. Now for $k_0, k_1 \in K$ define

$$g_{k_0,k_1} := g_{k_1}^{-1} \circ g_{k_0} \in \mathcal{G}(U_{k_0,k_1})(i,j)$$

Since $F(g_{k_0,k_1}) = 1$ we see that in fact

$$g_{k_0,k_1} \in \mathcal{N}(U_{k_0,k_1}).$$

An easy calculation shows that the Čech 1-cochain $\{g_{k_0,k_1}\}_{k_0,k_1\in K}$ is a cocycle. Since $\check{H}^1(U,\mathcal{N}) = 1$, after possibly replacing U with a refinement, we can find a 0-cochain $\{f_k\}_{k\in K}$ such that $g_{k_0,k_1} = f_{k_1}^{-1} \circ f_{k_0}$. Define

$$g'_k := g_k \circ f_k^{-1} \in \mathcal{G}(U_k)(i,j).$$

Then the 0-cochain $\{g'_k\}_{k \in K}$ is a cocycle with values in the sheaf of sets $\mathcal{G}(i, j)$. From the sheaf property it follows that there is an element $g' \in \mathcal{G}(U)(i, j)$ such that $g'|_{U_k} = g'_k$ for all k. We see that $\mathcal{G}(U)(i, j) \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 5.11. Consider the central extension of gerbes (5.8). If there are enough \mathcal{N} -acyclic open coverings, then the obstruction class $\operatorname{cl}_F^2(j)$ from Definition 4.15 exists, for any $j \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{H}(X)$.

Proof. Since the morphism of gerbes F is locally surjective on objects, we can find an open covering $U = \{U_k\}_{k \in K}$ of X, and objects $i_k \in \text{Ob} \mathcal{G}(U_k)$ that lift $j|_{U_k}$. By refining it we can assume that U is \mathcal{N} -acyclic. According to Lemma 5.10 there exist elements g_{k_0,k_1} that lift the elements h_{k_0,k_1} , in the notation of Construction 4.9.

Now suppose we are given a morphism of central extensions of gerbes

$$(5.12) \qquad 1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{N} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow 1$$
$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad D \downarrow \qquad E \downarrow$$
$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}' \xrightarrow{F'} \mathcal{H}' \longrightarrow 1$$

There is a homomorphism of sheaves of abelian groups $D: \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}'$, and an induced homomorphism

$$D: \dot{\mathrm{H}}^{2}(X, \mathcal{N}) \to \dot{\mathrm{H}}^{2}(X, \mathcal{N}').$$

Proposition 5.13. Consider the morphism of central extension of gerbes (5.12).

(1) Let $j \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{H}(X)$ be such that the obstruction class $\operatorname{cl}_{F}^{2}(j)$ is defined, and let $j' := E(j) \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{H}'(X)$. Then the obstruction class $\operatorname{cl}_{F'}^{2}(j')$ is also defined, and moreover

$$\mathrm{cl}_{F'}^2(j') = D\big(\mathrm{cl}_F^2(j)\big)$$

in $\check{\mathrm{H}}^2(X,\mathcal{N}')$.

(2) Let $i, j \in Ob \mathcal{G}(X)$ and let $h \in \mathcal{H}(X)(F(i), F(j))$. We write i' := D(i) and j' := D(j) for the corresponding objects of $\mathcal{G}'(X)$, and h' := E(h) for the corresponding isomorphism $i' \to j'$. Then

$$\mathrm{cl}_{F'}^1(h') = D\big(\mathrm{cl}_F^1(h)\big)$$

in $\check{\mathrm{H}}^1(X, \mathcal{N}')$.

Proof. Take the choices made in constructing the class $\operatorname{cl}_F^2(j)$ or $\operatorname{cl}_F^1(h)$, as the case may be, and use the same open covering, and the images under D, F of the elements, to construct the class $\operatorname{cl}_{F'}^2(j')$ or $\operatorname{cl}_{F'}^1(h')$.

28

Corollary 5.14. Consider the morphism of central extension of gerbes (5.12). Assume that E is an equivalence, and that there are enough \mathcal{N} -acyclic open coverings. Then the obstruction class $\operatorname{cl}_{F'}^2(j')$ is defined for any $j' \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{H}'(X)$.

Proof. There is some $j \in \text{Ob} \mathcal{H}(X)$ such that $\mathcal{H}'(X)(j', E(j)) \neq \emptyset$. Now use Propositions 5.13(1) and 4.16.

6. Pronilpotent Gerbes

Let X be a topological space. Recall that given an inverse system $\{\mathcal{G}_p\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$ of sheaves of groups on X, its inverse limit is the sheaf of groups $\lim_{\leftarrow p} \mathcal{G}_p$ whose group of sections on an open set U is

$$\Gamma(U, \lim_{\leftarrow p} \mathcal{G}_p) = \lim_{\leftarrow p} \Gamma(U, \mathcal{G}_p).$$

Definition 6.1. Let \mathcal{G} be a sheaf of groups on X.

- (1) A normal filtration of \mathcal{G} is a descending sequence $\{\mathcal{N}_p\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$ of sheaves of normal subgroups of \mathcal{G} .
- (2) A central filtration of \mathcal{G} is a normal filtration $\{\mathcal{N}_p\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$, such that $\mathcal{N}_0 = \mathcal{G}$, $\bigcap_p \mathcal{N}_p = 1$, and for every p the extension of sheaves of groups

$$1 \to \mathcal{N}_p/\mathcal{N}_{p+1} \to \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N}_{p+1} \to \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N}_p \to 1$$

is central.

(3) Let $\{\mathcal{N}_p\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a normal filtration of \mathcal{G} . We say that \mathcal{G} is *complete* with respect to this filtration if the canonical homomorphism of sheaves of groups

$$\mathcal{G} \to \lim_{\leftarrow p} \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N}_p$$

is an isomorphism.

(4) If \mathcal{G} is complete with respect to some central filtration, then we call it a *pronilpotent sheaf of groups*.

Note that when $\{\mathcal{N}_p\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a central filtration, then each $\mathcal{N}_p/\mathcal{N}_{p+1}$ is a sheaf of abelian groups.

Definition 6.2. Let \mathcal{G} be a sheaf of groups on X, with central filtration $\{\mathcal{N}_p\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$. An open set $U \subset X$ is called *acyclic* with respect to $\{\mathcal{N}_p\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$ if the following two conditions hold.

- (i) For every $p \ge 0$ and i > 0 the sheaf cohomology group $\mathrm{H}^{i}(U, \mathcal{N}_{p}/\mathcal{N}_{p+1})$ is trivial.
- (ii) For every $q \ge p \ge 0$ the canonical group homomorphism

$$\Gamma(U, \mathcal{N}_p) \to \Gamma(U, \mathcal{N}_p/\mathcal{N}_q)$$

is surjective.

Lemma 6.3. Let $\{\mathcal{N}_p\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a central filtration of the sheaf of groups \mathcal{G} . Suppose that \mathcal{G} is complete with respect to the filtration $\{\mathcal{N}_p\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$, and the open set $U \subset X$ is acyclic with respect to $\{\mathcal{N}_p\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$. Let $G := \Gamma(U, \mathcal{G})$ and $N_p := \Gamma(U, \mathcal{N}_p)$. Then Gis complete with respect to the filtration $\{N_p\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$.

Proof. Condition (ii) of Definition 6.2, combined with Proposition 5.6(1), say that for every p there is an exact sequence of groups

$$1 \to N_p \to G \to \Gamma(U, \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N}_p) \to 1.$$

Now use Definition 6.1(3).

AMNON YEKUTIELI

In the situation above the filtration $\{N_p\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$ of G is separated. Therefore it defines a metric topology on G, say by letting $N_p \cdot g = g \cdot N_p$ be the ball of radius 2^{-p} around the point $g \in G$ (cf. [CA, Section III.5]). The condition that $G \cong \lim_{k \to p} G/N_p$ translates to G being a complete metric space.

Definition 6.4. Let \mathcal{G} be a sheaf of groups on X, with central filtration $\{\mathcal{N}_p\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$.

- (1) A collection $U = \{U_k\}_{k \in K}$ of open sets of X is called *acyclic* with respect to $\{\mathcal{N}_p\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ if every finite intersection $U_{k_0,...,k_m}$ is acyclic with respect to $\{\mathcal{N}_p\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$, in the sense of Definition 6.2.
- (2) We say that there are enough acyclic coverings with respect to $\{\mathcal{N}_p\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$ if every open covering U of an open set $U \subset X$ admits a refinement U' which is acyclic with respect to $\{\mathcal{N}_p\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$.

Now we move to gerbes. Let \mathcal{G} be a gerbe on X. The notion of normal subgroupoid $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{G}$ was introduced in Definition 3.6.

Definition 6.5. Let \mathcal{G} be a gerbe on X.

- (1) A normal filtration of \mathcal{G} is a descending sequence $\{\mathcal{N}_p\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$ of normal subgroupoids of \mathcal{G} .
- (2) A central filtration of \mathcal{G} is a normal filtration $\{\mathcal{N}_p\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$, such that for every local object *i* of \mathcal{G} , the filtration $\{\mathcal{N}_p(i,i)\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$ of the sheaf of groups $\mathcal{G}(i,i)$ is central.
- (3) Let $\{\mathcal{N}_p\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a normal filtration of \mathcal{G} . We say that \mathcal{G} is *complete* with respect to this filtration if for every local object i of \mathcal{G} , the sheaf $\mathcal{G}(i,i)$ is complete with respect to the filtration $\{\mathcal{N}_p(i,i)\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$.
- (4) If \mathcal{G} is complete with respect to some central filtration, then we call it a *pronilpotent gerbe*.

Proposition 6.6. Suppose $\{\mathcal{N}_p\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a central filtration of the gerbe \mathcal{G} . Then for every p there is a central extension of gerbes

(6.7)
$$1 \to \mathcal{N}_p/\mathcal{N}_{p+1} \to \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N}_{p+1} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N}_p \to 1.$$

Proof. Use Theorem 3.13.

Observe that $\mathcal{N}_p/\mathcal{N}_{p+1}$ is a central subgroupoid of the gerbe $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N}_{p+1}$; so $\mathcal{N}_p/\mathcal{N}_{p+1}$ can be regarded as a sheaf of abelian groups on X. See Proposition 3.19.

Definition 6.8. Let \mathcal{G} be a gerbe on X, with central filtration $\{\mathcal{N}_p\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$. An open set $U \subset X$ is called *acyclic* with respect to $\{\mathcal{N}_p\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$ if the following two condition hold:

- (i) The groupoid $\mathcal{G}(U)$ is nonempty.
- (ii) For every $i \in \text{Ob} \mathcal{G}(U)$, the set U is acyclic with respect to the central filtration $\{\mathcal{N}_p(i,i)\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$ of the sheaf of groups $\mathcal{G}(i,i)$.

Definition 6.9. Let \mathcal{G} be a gerbe on X, with central filtration $\{\mathcal{N}_p\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$.

- (1) A collection $\boldsymbol{U} = \{U_k\}_{k \in K}$ of open sets of X is called *acyclic* with respect to $\{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_p\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ if every finite intersection $U_{k_0,...,k_m}$ is acyclic with respect to $\{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_p\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$.
- (2) We say that there are enough acyclic coverings with respect to $\{\mathcal{N}_p\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$ if every open covering U of an open set U admits a refinement U' which is acyclic with respect to $\{\mathcal{N}_p\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$.

Suppose \mathcal{G} is a gerbe, complete with respect to a central filtration $\{\mathcal{N}_p\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$. Let U be an open set of X which is acyclic with respect to $\{\mathcal{N}_p\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$, and let i be an object of the groupoid $\mathcal{G}(U)$. By Lemma 6.3 the group $\mathcal{G}(U)(i,i)$ is complete with respect to the filtration $\{\mathcal{N}_p(U)(i,i)\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$; so $\mathcal{G}(U)(i,i)$ is a complete metric space. Now let j be another object of $\mathcal{G}(U)$, and suppose $\mathcal{G}(U)(i,j) \neq \emptyset$. Then the set $\mathcal{G}(U)(i,j)$ is a $\mathcal{G}(U)(j,j)$ - $\mathcal{G}(U)(i,j)$ -bitorsor. One can introduce a metric topology on this set, by letting

$$g \circ \mathcal{N}_p(U)(i,i) = \mathcal{N}_p(U)(j,j) \circ g$$

be the ball of radius 2^{-p} around $g \in \mathcal{G}(U)(i, j)$. For any such g the function $h \mapsto g \cdot h$ is an isomorphism of metric spaces $\mathcal{G}(U)(i,i) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{G}(U)(i,j)$; and hence $\mathcal{G}(U)(i,j)$ is complete.

Theorem 6.10. Let \mathcal{G} be a gerbe on the topological space X, and let $\{\mathcal{N}_p\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a central filtration on it. Assume that \mathcal{G} is complete with respect to $\{\mathcal{N}_p\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$, and that there are enough acyclic coverings with respect to $\{\mathcal{N}_p\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$. Let U be some open set of X.

- (1) If $\mathrm{H}^{1}(U, \mathcal{N}_{p}/\mathcal{N}_{p+1}) = 1$ for every $p \geq 0$, then the groupoid $\mathcal{G}(U)$ is connected.
- (2) If $\mathrm{H}^{2}(U, \mathcal{N}_{p}/\mathcal{N}_{p+1}) = 1$ for every $p \geq 0$, then the groupoid $\mathcal{G}(U)$ is nonempty.

Proof. (1) This is very similar to Theorem 4.6. Given $i, j \in Ob \mathcal{G}(U)$, we must show that $\mathcal{G}(U)(i,j) \neq \emptyset$.

Since \mathcal{G} is locally connected, we can find an open covering $U = \{U_k\}_{k \in K}$ of U such that $\mathcal{G}(U_k)(i,j) \neq \emptyset$ for any $k \in K$. By refining U, we can assume that it is acyclic with respect to $\{\mathcal{N}_p\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$. For each $k\in K$ let us choose an element $g_{k;0} \in \mathcal{G}(U_k)(i,j)$. We are going to construct new elements $g_{k;p} \in \mathcal{G}(U_k)(i,j)$, for all $k \in K$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfying these conditions:

- (a) $g_{k;p+1} \in g_{k;p} \circ \mathcal{N}_p(U_k)(i,i).$ (b) $g_{k_1;p}^{-1} \circ g_{k_0;p} \in \mathcal{N}_p(U_{k_0,k_1})(i,i)$ for any $k_0, k_1 \in K.$

The construction is by recursion on p.

For p = 0 the elements $g_{k;0}$ are already given. So let $p \ge 0$, and assume that we have elements $g_{k;p'}$ for $p' \leq p$, satisfying conditions (a)-(b). Let us denote by $\bar{g}_{k;p} \in (\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N}_{p+1})(U_k)(i,j)$ the image of $g_{k;p}$, and define

$$\bar{g}_{k_0,k_1;p} := \bar{g}_{k_1;p}^{-1} \circ \bar{g}_{k_0;p} \in (\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N}_{p+1})(U_{k_0,k_1})(i,i)$$

for $k_0, k_1 \in K$. Consider the central extension of gerbes (6.7). By condition (b) we have $F(\bar{g}_{k_0,k_1;p}) = 1$; so

$$\bar{g}_{k_0,k_1;p} \in (\mathcal{N}_p/\mathcal{N}_{p+1})(U_{k_0,k_1})(i,i).$$

We get a Čech 1-cocycle $c := \{\bar{g}_{k_0,k_1;p}\}_{k_0,k_1 \in K}$ with values in the sheaf $\mathcal{N}_p/\mathcal{N}_{p+1}$. According to the assumptions and Proposition 5.5(1), we have

$$\check{\mathrm{H}}^{1}(\boldsymbol{U}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{p}/\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{p+1}) \cong \mathrm{H}^{1}(\boldsymbol{U}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{p}/\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{p+1}) = 1.$$

Hence there is a 0-cochain $b = {\bar{f}_k}_{k \in K}$ such that c = d(b), where d is the Čech coboundary. By condition (ii) of Definition 6.2 the homomorphism

$$\mathcal{N}_p(U_k)(i,i) \to (\mathcal{N}_p/\mathcal{N}_{p+1})(U_k)(i,i)$$

is surjective, so we can lift \bar{f}_k to an element $f_k \in \mathcal{N}_p(U_k)(i)$. Let us define

$$g_{k;p+1} := g_{k;p} \cdot f_k^{-1}$$

Then conditions (a)-(b) are satisfied.

We know that the set $\mathcal{G}(U_k)(i, j)$ is a complete metric space. Condition (a) says that $\{g_{k;p}\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Let

$$g_k := \lim_{p \to \infty} g_{k;p} \in \mathcal{G}(U_k)(i,j).$$

Condition (b) now says that $\{g_k\}_{k \in K}$ is a 1-cocycle. By descent for morphisms there is an element $g \in \mathcal{G}(U)(i, j)$ such that $g|_{U_k} = g_k$.

(2) This is like Theorem 4.17. Since \mathcal{G} is locally nonempty, there is an open covering $U = \{U_k\}_{k \in K}$ of U such that all the groupoids $\mathcal{G}(U_k)$ are nonempty. By refining U we may assume it is acyclic with respect to $\{\mathcal{N}_p\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$. Let's choose some $i_k \in Ob \mathcal{G}(U_k)$. For any $k_0, k_1 \in K$, and any $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $H^1(U_{k_0,k_1}, \mathcal{N}_p/\mathcal{N}_{p+1}) = 1$. According to part (1) of the theorem, applied to the open set U_{k_0,k_1} , the groupoid $\mathcal{G}(U_{k_0,k_1})$ is connected. Let us choose some element $g_{k_0,k_1;0} \in \mathcal{G}(U_{k_0,k_1})(i_{k_0},i_{k_1})$.

Using recursion on p we shall construct elements $g_{k_0,k_1;p} \in \mathcal{G}(U_{k_0,k_1})(i_{k_0},i_{k_1})$ satisfying these conditions:

(a)
$$g_{k_0,k_1;p+1} \in g_{k_0,k_1;p} \circ \mathcal{N}_p(U_{k_0,k_1})(i_{k_0}, i_{k_0}).$$

(b) $g_{k_0,k_2;p}^{-1} \circ g_{k_1,k_2;p} \circ g_{k_0,k_1;p} \in \mathcal{N}_p(U_{k_0,k_1,k_2})(i_{k_0}, i_{k_0})$ for any $k_0, k_1, k_2 \in K.$

For p = 0 the elements $g_{k_0,k_1;0}$ are already given. So let $p \ge 0$, and assume that we have elements $g_{k_0,k_1;p'}$ for $p' \le p$, satisfying conditions (a)-(b). Let us denote by

$$\bar{g}_{k_0,k_1;p} \in (\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N}_{p+1})(U_{k_0,k_1})(i_{k_0},i_{k_1})$$

the image of $g_{k_0,k_1;p}$, and define

$$\bar{g}_{k_0,k_1,k_2;p} := \bar{g}_{k_0,k_2;p}^{-1} \circ \bar{g}_{k_1,k_2;p} \circ \bar{g}_{k_0,k_1;p} \in (\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N}_{p+1})(U_{k_0,k_1,k_2})(i_{k_0},i_{k_0}).$$

Consider the central extension of gerbes (6.7). By condition (b) we have $F(\bar{g}_{k_0,k_1,k_2;p}) = 1$; so

$$\bar{g}_{k_0,k_1,k_2;p} \in (\mathcal{N}_p/\mathcal{N}_{p+1})(U_{k_0,k_1,k_2})(i_{k_0},i_{k_0}).$$

Lemma 4.11 says that $c := \{\bar{g}_{k_0,k_1,k_2;p}\}$ is a Čech 2-cocycle.

According to the assumptions and Proposition 5.5(1), we have

$$\check{\mathrm{H}}^{2}(\boldsymbol{U}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{p}/\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{p+1}) \cong \mathrm{H}^{2}(\boldsymbol{U}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{p}/\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{p+1}) = 1.$$

Hence there is a 1-cochain $b = \{\bar{f}_{k_0,k_1}\}$ such that c = d(b). As before, we can lift \bar{f}_{k_0,k_1} to an element $f_{k_0,k_1} \in \mathcal{N}_p(U_{k_0,k_1})(i_{k_0},i_{k_0})$. Let us define

$$g_{k_0,k_1;p+1} := g_{k_0,k_1;p} \circ f_{k_0,k_1}^{-1}.$$

Then conditions (a)-(b) are satisfied.

As in the proof of part (1), let

$$g_{k_0,k_1} := \lim_{p \to \infty} g_{k_0,k_1;p} \in \mathcal{G}(U_{k_0,k_1})(i_{k_0},i_{k_1}).$$

Condition (b) says that $\{g_{k_0,k_1}\}_{k_0,k_1 \in K}$ is a 2-cocycle. By descent for objects there is an object $i \in Ob \mathcal{G}(U)$.

7. Fake Global Objects of Gerbes

In this section X is some topological space. We will study a gerbe \mathcal{G} on X, with center $Z(\mathcal{G})$, and the central extension of gerbes

(7.1)
$$1 \to Z(\mathcal{G}) \to \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{G}/Z(\mathcal{G}) \to 1.$$

Definition 7.2. An object $i \in Ob(\mathcal{G}/Z(\mathcal{G}))(X)$ is called a *fake global object of* \mathcal{G} .

When we need to emphasize that $i \in \text{Ob} \mathcal{G}(X)$, as opposed to being in $\text{Ob} (\mathcal{G}/\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{G}))(X)$, we will say that *i* is a *true global object of* \mathcal{G} .

Note that some fake global objects i of \mathcal{G} will lift to true global objects of \mathcal{G} , whereas other won't; this is determined by the vanishing of the obstruction class

$$\operatorname{el}_{F}^{2}(i) \in \operatorname{\check{H}}^{2}(X, \operatorname{Z}(\mathcal{G}))$$

for the central extension of gerbes (7.1), if this obstruction class is defined.

Here is an easy example of a fake global object that does not lift.

Example 7.3. Suppose X is an algebraic variety over a field, with $\mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \neq 0$. Choose a nonzero cohomology class $c \in \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. There is an abelian gerbe \mathcal{G} corresponding to c, and it has no global objects. (This construction is standard; cf. Example 7.7 below.) Indeed, here the gerbe $\mathcal{G}/Z(\mathcal{G})$ is equivalent to the gerbe \mathcal{I} from Example 2.3, and hence it has one global object (up to isomorphism), say j. We have a central extension of gerbes

$$1 \to \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{G}/\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{G}) \to 1,$$

and the obstruction class to lifting j to an object of $\mathcal{G}(X)$ is $\mathrm{cl}_F^2(j) = c$. We see that j is a fake global object of \mathcal{G} , which does not lift to a true global object of \mathcal{G} .

Remark 7.4. The reason we are interested in fake global objects has to do with twisted deformations. Let \mathbb{K} be a field of characteristic 0, and consider the ring of formal power series $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$ in the variable \hbar . Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a ringed space over \mathbb{K} , as in Examples 5.2-5.4. As explained in [Ye], a twisted (Poisson or associative) $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$ -deformation \mathcal{A} of \mathcal{O}_X is made up of many locally defined sheaves of (Poisson or associative) $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$ -algebras \mathcal{A}_i , that are glued together by isomorphisms $\mathrm{Ad}(g)$: $\mathcal{A}_i \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{A}_j$, called gauge equivalences. The indices i, j, \ldots are local objects of the gauge gerbe \mathcal{G} of \mathcal{A} , and the isomorphisms g are local sections of the bitorsors $\mathcal{G}(i, j)$. The group $\mathcal{G}(i, i)$, for a local object i, is by definition $\exp(\hbar \mathcal{A}_i)$, where \mathcal{A}_i is viewed as a pronilpotent $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$ -linear Lie algebra, with Lie bracket being either its Poisson bracket or the commutator of the associative multiplication. The deformations \mathcal{A}_i , for $i \in \mathrm{Ob} \, \mathcal{G}(X)$, are called global deformations belonging to \mathcal{A} . In case such global deformations do not exist (i.e. the gerbe \mathcal{G} is nontrivial), then we say \mathcal{A} is really twisted. Note that the gerbe \mathcal{G} is pronilpotent.

Now consider a global deformation \mathcal{A} of the following sort: there is an open covering $X = \bigcup_{k \in K} U_k$, objects $i_k \in \text{Ob} \mathcal{G}(U_k)$, and gauge equivalences $h_k : \mathcal{A}|_{U_k} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{A}_{i_k}$, such that

$$h_{k_1} \circ h_{k_0}^{-1} = \operatorname{Ad}(g_{k_0,k_1})$$

for some $g_{k_0,k_1} \in \mathcal{G}(U_k)(i,i)$. So as gauge equivalences $\mathcal{A}_{i_{k_0}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{A}_{i_{k_2}}$ we have the equality

$$\operatorname{Ad}(g_{k_0,k_2}) = \operatorname{Ad}(g_{k_1,k_2}) \circ \operatorname{Ad}(g_{k_0,k_1}).$$

The local isomorphisms g in the center $Z(\mathcal{G}(i, i))$ are precisely those such that the gauge equivalences Ad(g) are trivial. Hence, going to the extension of gerbes (7.1), we have

$$F(g_{k_0,k_2}) = F(g_{k_1,k_2}) \circ F(g_{k_0,k_1})$$

in the gerbe $\mathcal{G}/\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{G})$. This implies that the global deformation \mathcal{A} corresponds to an object $j \in Ob(\mathcal{G}/\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{G}))(X)$, i.e. to a fake global object of \mathcal{G} . Therefore we call it a global deformation falsely belonging to \mathcal{A} . Observe that the obstruction class $cl_F^2(j) \in \check{H}^2(X, \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{G}))$ is represented by the cocycle

$$g_{k_0,k_1,k_2} := g_{k_0,k_2}^{-1} \circ g_{k_1,k_2} \circ g_{k_0,k_1}.$$

If $\operatorname{cl}_F^2(j) \neq 1$ then the deformation \mathcal{A} does not truly belong to \mathcal{A} .

Next a result.

Proposition 7.5. Let \mathcal{G} be a gerbe on X, and assume there are enough $Z(\mathcal{G})$ -acyclic open coverings. Let $\overline{\mathcal{G}} := \mathcal{G}/Z(\mathcal{G})$.

- (1) If $\dot{\mathrm{H}}^{2}(X, \mathrm{Z}(\mathcal{G})) = 1$, then the canonical morphism of groupoids $\mathcal{G}(X) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{G}}(X)$ is essentially surjective on objects. In particular any fake global object of \mathcal{G} lifts to a true global object.
- (2) If moreover $\check{H}^1(X, Z(\mathcal{G})) = 1$, then $\mathcal{G}(X) \to \overline{\mathcal{G}}(X)$ is bijective on isomorphism classes of objects.

Proof. By Theorem 5.11 the obstruction classes $cl_F^1(h)$ and $cl_F^2(j)$ are all defined. Assertion (1) is a consequence of Theorem 4.17, and assertion (2) is a consequence of Theorem 4.6.

Example 7.6. Let \mathbb{K} be a smooth algebraic variety over a field \mathbb{K} of characteristic 0. Suppose \mathcal{A} is a twisted (Poisson or associative) $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$ -deformation of \mathcal{O}_X which is symplectic. This means that the first order bracket $\{-, -\}_{\mathcal{A}}$ on \mathcal{O}_X is nondegenerate (cf. [Ye]). It follows that the center of the gauge gerbe \mathcal{G} is isomorphic (canonically) to the constant sheaf $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$. Now in the Zariski topology constant sheaves have no higher cohomologies; and hence Proposition 7.5 applies. So there are as many global deformations falsely belonging to \mathcal{A} there are global deformations truly belonging to \mathcal{A} in this case.

Example 7.7. Let \mathbb{K} be a smooth algebraic variety over a field \mathbb{K} of characteristic 0, and assume there is a nonzero class $c \in \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. Then there is a twisted associative $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$ -deformation \mathcal{A} of \mathcal{O}_X , which is commutative (i.e. each of the local deformations \mathcal{A}_i belonging to \mathcal{A} is commutative). See [Ye, Example 6.17] for this construction. The gauge gerbe here is abelian: $\mathcal{G} \cong \exp(\hbar \mathcal{O}_X[[\hbar]])$. We filter it by $\mathcal{N}_p := \exp(\hbar^{p+1}\mathcal{O}_X[[\hbar]])$. For p = 0 we have a central extension of gerbes

$$1 \to \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{h} \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N}_1 \to \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N}_0 \to 1,$$

and for the unique (up to isomorphism) global object j of the gerbe $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N}_0$, the obstruction class is $\operatorname{cl}^2(j) = c$ (same as in Example 7.3). Hence $\operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N}_1) = \emptyset$, implying that $\operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{G}(X) = \emptyset$, so \mathcal{A} is really twisted.

One of the reasons for introducing the obstruction classes $cl_F^2(j)$ is to address the following question. Question 7.8. Does there exist an algebraic variety X, and a symplectic twisted $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$ -deformation \mathcal{A} of \mathcal{O}_X , that is really twisted? We expect the answer to be positive. Indeed, we think this happens when X is any abelian surface, and we take any nonzero Poisson bracket on \mathcal{O}_X , and let \mathcal{A} be its canonical quantization (as in [Ye, Theorem 0.1]), which is a twisted associative $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$ -deformation of \mathcal{O}_X .

Example 7.9. Let X be a complex analytic manifold, and denote by \mathcal{O}_X the sheaf of holomorphic functions. Let \mathcal{A} be a symplectic (Poisson or associative) twisted $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ -deformation of \mathcal{O}_X . Then the gauge gerbe \mathcal{G} has a central filtration, with $\mathcal{N}_p(i) = \exp(\hbar^{p+1}\mathcal{A}_i)$ for a local object *i*. And the center of \mathcal{G} is

$$\exp(\hbar\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]) = \exp\left(\prod_{m=1}^{\infty}\mathbb{C}\hbar^m\right) \subset \mathcal{G}.$$

We put on $\bar{\mathcal{G}} := \mathcal{G}/\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{G})$ the induced filtration $\{\bar{\mathcal{N}}_p\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$. Define a normal subgroupoid

$$\mathcal{M}_p := \mathcal{N}_{p+1} \cdot \exp\left(\prod_{m=1}^p \mathbb{C}\hbar^m\right) \subset \mathcal{G}.$$

Then for every $p \ge 0$ there is a morphism of central extensions of gerbes

We do not know if there are enough acyclic open coverings for the sheaf \mathcal{O}_X/\mathbb{C} ; but according to Proposition 5.13, for any $j \in \mathrm{Ob}(\bar{\mathcal{G}}/\bar{\mathcal{N}}_p)(X)$ the obstruction class $\mathrm{cl}^2_{E_n}(j) \in \check{\mathrm{H}}^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X/\mathbb{C})$ exists, and moreover it comes from $\check{\mathrm{H}}^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$.

Now assume that the homomorphism

(7.10)
$$\operatorname{H}^{2}(X, \mathbb{C}) \to \operatorname{H}^{2}(X, \mathcal{O}_{X})$$

is surjective. This happens when X is the analytification of a projective algebraic variety (cf. [NT, Section 5]). Then $cl_{E_n}^2(j) = 1$ for all p. Presumably Theorem 6.10 can be refined to work with vanishing of obstruction classes (rather than vanishing of the whole cohomology groups). This would imply that fake global deformations exist here.

Example 7.11. Suppose X is a smooth projective algebraic variety over \mathbb{C} (with the Zariski topology), and let X_{an} be the corresponding complex analytic manifold. Let \mathcal{A} be a symplectic (Poisson or associative) twisted $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ -deformation of \mathcal{O}_X . There is an induced deformation \mathcal{A}_{an} of \mathcal{O}_{Xan} . As in Example 7.6, there are as many global deformations truly belonging to \mathcal{A} as there are global deformations falsely belonging to it. By the GAGA principle we have $\mathrm{H}^p(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \mathrm{H}^p(X_{an}, \mathcal{O}_{Xan})$ for all p, and therefore there as many global deformations truly belonging to \mathcal{A}_{an} . In particular, there might be none (see Question 7.8). On the other hand, by Hodge Theory the homomorphism (7.10) is surjective here (cf. [BK, Section 1.2]), and therefore (under the caveat of refining Theorem 6.10) there is always some global deformation falsely belonging to \mathcal{A}_{an} .

AMNON YEKUTIELI

References

- [Be] J. Benabou, Introduction to bicategories, in "Report of the Midwest Category Seminar I", LNM 47, Springer, 1967, pp. 1-77.
- [BK] R. Bezrukavnikov and D. Kaledin, Fedosov quantization in algebraic context, Moscow Math. J. 4, no. 3 (2004), 559-592.
- [Br] L. Breen, Notes on 1- and 2-gerbes, arXiv:math/0611317v2 at http://arxiv.org.
- [CA] Bourbaki, "Commutative Algebra", Chapters 1-7, Springer, 1989.
- [Gi] J. Giraud, "Cohomologie non abelienne", Grundlehren der Math. Wiss. 179, Springer (1971).
- [Gr1] A. Grothendieck, A general theory of fibre spaces with structure sheaf, University of Kansas 1955. Available online at http://www.grothendieckcircle.org.
- [Gr2] A. Grothendieck, Sur quelques points d'algébre homologique, I, Tohoku Math. J. 9, Number 2 (1957), 119-221.
- [Ha] R. Hartshorne, "Algebraic Geometry", Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1977.
- [KS] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, "Categories and Sheaves", Springer, 2006.
- [Le] T. Leinster, "Higher Operads, Higher Categories", LMS Lecture Notes 298, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2004.
- [LMB] G. Laumon and L. Moret-Bailly, "Champs algebriques," Springer, 2000.
- [Ma] S. Maclane, "Categories for the Working Mathematician", 2nd ed., Springer, 1998.
- [Mo] I. Moerdijk, Introduction to the language of stacks and gerbes, arXiv:math/0212266v1 at http://arxiv.org.
- [NT] R. Nest and B. Tsygan, Deformations of symplectic Lie algebroids, deformations of holomorphic symplectic structures, and index theorems, Asian J. Math. 5 (2001), no. 4, 599-635.
- [Ye] A. Yekutieli, Twisted Deformation Quantization of Algebraic Varieties, eprint arXiv:0905.0488v2 at http://arxiv.org.

Department of Mathematics Ben Gurion University, Be'er Sheva 84105, Israel $E\text{-}mail\ address: \texttt{amyekut@math.bgu.ac.il}$