

# Einstein-Cartan formulation of Chern-Simons Lorentz Violating Gravity and Holographic Structure.

Marcelo Botta Cantcheff <sup>‡ 1</sup>

<sup>‡</sup> Instituto de Física La Plata, CONICET, UNLP  
CC 67, Calles 49 y 115, 1900 La Plata, Buenos Aires, Argentina  
(Dated: December 21, 2007)

## Abstract

We consider a modification of the standard Einstein theory in four dimensions, alternative to Ref. [1], since it is based on the first order (Einstein-Cartan) approach to General Relativity, whose gauge structure is manifest. This is done by introducing an additional topological term in the action which becomes a Lorentz violating term by virtue of the dependence of the coupling on the space-time point. We obtain a condition on the solutions of the Einstein equations such that they persist in the deformed theory, and we remark the geometric interpretation.

Finally we show that the deformed action constitutes a Parent Action for a correspondence between the Einstein's theory and a collection of independent 2 + 1-d (topological) Chern Simons gravities. This may be considered as a toy model of holographic gravity.

## 1 Introduction.

A few years ago, a modification of Maxwell's electromagnetism in four dimensions has been proposed which considers a kind of Chern-Simons term in the action,  $\int dx^4 V_\alpha \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} A_\beta F_{\mu\nu}$ , where Lorentz symmetry is explicitly broken by an external vector,  $V^\mu$  [2]. There is a growing literature studying this proposal and its consequences [3, 4, 5].

In a recent work [6], we emphasized that broken Lorentz symmetry (abbreviated as BLS) could be obtained from physically realistic background configurations in non-linear relativistically invariant Electrodynamics. It was also pointed out that standard Chern-Simons terms (in 2 + 1-dimensions [6]) are *automatically present* in a BLS action when we search for planar features (thus turning dimensional reduction unnecessary). In fact, the BLS action is actually a CS theory in (2 + 1)-dimensions embedded in (3 + 1)-dimensions, and by itself, it does not encode any information on the field-dependence in the direction of the external (for instance, space-like) vector  $V$ : if  $z$  is its affine parameter, i.e.  $V = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ , then we get a foliation of the spacetime in (2 + 1)-hypersurfaces  $\Sigma_z$  parametrized by  $z$  (and  $V$  is orthogonal to each hypersurface<sup>2</sup>). Therefore, the BLS action may be written as

$$S_{BLS} = \int_0^L dz S_{CS}[A(z), \Sigma_z], \quad (1)$$

where

$$S_{CS}[A(z), \Sigma_z] = \int_{\Sigma_z} \mathcal{L}_{CS} = \int_{\Sigma_z} A(z) \wedge dA(z), \quad (2)$$

is the Chern-Simons action for the 1-form gauge field  $A(z)$  on a three-dimensional manifold  $\Sigma_z$ . Thus, the dependence of this field on the parameter  $z$  is not determined by this theory. It only has to satisfy usual convergence conditions. For example, if the interval  $(0, L)$  extends to  $(-\infty, +\infty)$ ,  $A(z)$  has to be an square-integrable function ( $A \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ ). In this sense, we can interpret the BLS action simply as a sum of Chern-Simons theories on manifolds  $\Sigma_z$ . Remarkably notice that this describes an eventual situation

<sup>1</sup>e-mail: botta@cbpf.br, botta@fisica.unlp.edu.ar

<sup>2</sup>Notice that if the space-time (or the space-time region considered in the integration) is simply connected, the condition of existence of this  $z$ -coordinate is *equivalent* to gauge invariance of the action, namely  $dV = 0$ .

of confinement of the electromagnetic field (photon) into a  $(2 + 1)$ -manifold, which does not result from a constraint of the charged matter into a planar sample. The present approach actually constitutes an attempt of naturally extending to gravity some of these ideas [7].

On the other hand, a Chern Simons modification of gravity in four dimensions via a BLS term was recently introduced by Jackiw and Pi [1] in a similar way as that for Electrodynamics. However, this approach is based on the second order formulation of general relativity, where the most relevant aspects of the Maxwell theory, related to the gauge structure, are hidden. This is actually the main motivation to construct an alternative formulation where the gauge structure is emphasized. In this work, we consider a BLS/CS deformation of standard gravity but alternatively based on first order Cartan's formalism (see Appendix), which treats the Riemann Tensor as a standard gauge curvature for the spin connection which may be viewed as a gauge variable of  $SO(1, 3)$ . Thus, such an approach is closer in spirit to the Chern-Simons deformation of Electrodynamics [2].

In this context naturally appears another further very important subject: to determine the space of solutions of the deformed theory and, in particular, under what conditions it contains solutions of standard Einstein Gravity. The question of the persistence of the GR solutions in the CS second-order approach to modified gravity, was analyzed from the beginning [1] up to recently [8], [9]. In Ref [9] was argued that the Pontryagyn constraint (a vanishing Pontryagyn gravitational index) play a very important role in this study. In this paper we will analyze this question in the present EC formulation and show that in this context the problem presents some different aspects, aiming to find the proper constraint for persistent GR solutions.

Finally, the (planar) structure of this BLS/CS term discussed above suggests the possibility of studying the famous Holographic Principle (t' Hooft 1993, and Susskind 1995 ) in this context. This was proposed to solve the problem of unitarity in Quantum Gravity, which apparently was in contradiction with black hole physics and thermodynamic laws. It states that the degrees of freedom of the gravitational theory are in correspondence with those of certain (unknown) theory defined on manifolds of one lower dimension [10].

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we describe and analyze the BLS/CS deformation of the Einstein-Cartan gravity, so as the persistence of the standard GR solutions. In Section 3, we argue that the Einstein's theory is dual to a collection of independent  $2 + 1$ -d (topological) Chern Simons gravities, by identifying the BLS/CS deformed action with a Parent Action (PA). We also discuss this correspondence at the classical level. Final remarks are collected in Section 4.

## 2 Chern-Simons modified gravity.

The model we are going to consider here assumes a non-linear (but *relativistic*) dynamics which induces a modification of this kind (BLS) on the standard Einstein theory [1][11]. In this sense, it may furthermore be argued that BLS/CS does not need to be introduced *by hand*, but it can naturally appear in some realistic physical situations; for example, according to the philosophy adopted for Electrodynamics [6], in the presence of background gravitational fields and/or when non-uniform distributions of matter are considered [7].

We use both the abstract index notation<sup>3</sup> (see Appendix for more details), and forms notation (by omitting abstract sub-indices) whenever it is convenient. So, greek indices  $\mu, \nu, \dots$ <sup>4</sup> denote the element of a tetrad (vierbein) basis  $(e_a)^\mu$ , and consequently components of any tensor in this basis.

Let us propose a Chern Simons modification of General Relativity (GR) in the first order formalism (see Appendix):

$$S[e, w, \phi] = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int_M dx^4 (e^\mu \wedge e^\nu \wedge *R_{\mu\nu} - \tau R \wedge R) + S_{matter}[\phi] \quad (3)$$

---

<sup>3</sup>Abstract index notation is a mathematical notation for tensors and spinors, which uses indices to indicate their type. Thus the index isn't related to any basis or coordinate system.

<sup>4</sup>Which are raised and lowered with the Minkowski metric  $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ .

where the two form  $R = dw^\mu{}_\nu + w^\mu{}_\alpha \wedge w^\alpha{}_\nu$  is defined as the  $SO(1,3)$ -field strength for the gauge field  $w^\mu{}_\nu$ . The scalar  $\tau$  is, in principle, a pointwise function of the geometry observables, as the curvature tensor, and of some "extra" (matter) field, denoted by  $\phi$ . So, the embedding variable is considered itself as a dynamical variable rather than a fixed external quantity.

Notice then that Lorentz symmetry is preserved in a fundamental sense. If one assumes that a more fundamental unified theory of matter and gravity is non-linear, a saddle point expansion about background solutions typically shall give origin to a BLS term (and even spontaneous BLS terms) with a fixed  $\tau$  of this form. This may be easily argued for sufficiently generic non linear (toy) theories, in similar ways than that for Electrodynamics (see Ref. [6]).

The first term corresponds to the usual General Relativity (GR) action in the Einstein-Cartan representation (EC), the second one is the Chern-Simons modification, where we have assumed that the coefficient  $\tau$  may depend on the curvature components and/or other (matter) fields. In such a sense, this term should be viewed as an interaction term. This may be expressed as

$$S_{BLS/CS} = 2 \int_M dx^4 (d\tau \wedge \mathcal{L}_{CS}) \quad (4)$$

where

$$K^a \equiv (*\mathcal{L}_{CS})^a \equiv \epsilon^{abcd} \left( w_b^\mu{}_\nu R_{cd\ \mu}^\nu - \frac{1}{3} w_b^\mu{}_\nu w_c^\nu{}_\alpha w_d^\alpha{}_\mu \right) \quad (5)$$

is the Chern Simons current density whose divergence is the topological number called the gravitational Pontryagyn density,  $P \equiv *RR \equiv (\epsilon^{abcd} R_{ab\ \mu}^\nu R_{cd\ \nu}^\mu)$ , corr  $P \equiv *RR \equiv (\epsilon^{abcd} R_{ab\ \mu}^\nu R_{cd\ \nu}^\mu)$ .

For simplicity, let us restrict ourselves to the case when  $\tau$  does not depend on the geometric variables  $e_\mu^a, w_a^\mu{}_\nu$ . Notice remarkably that the matter fields are coupled to the geometry through the topological term. The third term of (3) encodes the dynamics of the field  $\phi$  but we do not give here any explicit Lagrangian [6] [12]. However we can notice that in general, the gravitational Pontryagyn density constitutes a *source* (which is a topological charge) for the equation of motion of  $\phi$ , i.e:

$$\frac{1}{\tau'(\phi)} \left( \nabla_a \left( \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{matter}}{\delta \nabla_a \phi} \right) - \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{matter}}{\delta \phi} \right) = P \quad (6)$$

where we have assumed that  $\tau$  is only a pointwise function of  $\phi$  but not of its derivatives.

In particular, if we consider the simplest case, where  $\tau \equiv \phi$ , and  $S_{matter}[\phi]$  is a Klein-Gordon field on a curved spacetime:

$$(\nabla^a \nabla_a - m^2)\phi = P. \quad (7)$$

Let us notice that if  $S_{matter} \equiv 0$ , by varying the action with respect to  $\phi$  one obtains an additional equation of motion which constraints the space time geometry, the Pontryagyn constraint:

$$P = 0. \quad (8)$$

Because this action is diffeomorphism invariant, the Einstein tensor  $G_\mu^a$ , defined in the EC approach as the variation of the action with respect to tetrad, is divergence-free in this case. Simultaneously, so as in the second order formulation [1], one may verify here that  $\nabla_a G_\mu^a \propto P e_\mu^a \partial_a \tau$ . Therefore, the Pontryagyn constraint implies that this divergence vanishes [9]. In contrast, if one adopts a more *genuine*-BLS point of view, where  $\tau$  is assumed to be an external arbitrary function of the spacetime point (a background field), in principle this constraint could not be satisfied, and consequently, the conservation of energy-momentum of the system would be also violated<sup>5</sup>. However there is no conceptual problem with this fact, which is consistent with translation/boost symmetry violation caused by the presence of the BLS-external field.

---

<sup>5</sup>Because non trivial contributions of the torsion to the covariant divergence that shall appear in the present formulation.

Let us now derive the equations of motion for the geometry. Varying the action with respect to  $e_a^\mu$ , we have:

$$e_a^\mu R_{ab}^{\mu\nu} = \kappa^2 T_b^{\nu} = \kappa^2 e^\nu{}^a T'_{ab} \quad (9)$$

where one has defined  $T'_{ab} := T_{ab} + g_{ab}(T_{cd}g^{cd})/2$ ,  $T_{ab}$  being the energy momentum tensor, and the constant  $\kappa$  is related to the gravitation constant,  $G$ , by  $\kappa^2 = 8\pi G$ . Defining the torsion as

$$\Theta^\mu = D \wedge e^\mu = d \wedge e^\mu + w^\mu{}_\nu \wedge e^\nu = \quad (10)$$

which vanishes in the standard formulation, constituting the second Einstein-Cartan equation. Here, varying the modified action with respect to  $w_a^\mu{}_\nu$ , we obtain the equation

$$D \wedge * (e^\mu \wedge e^\nu) = 2d\tau \wedge R^{\mu\nu}. \quad (11)$$

By using that the totally antisymmetric tensor, defined in the tangent space, may be expressed as  $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = * (e^\mu \wedge e^\nu \wedge e^\alpha \wedge e^\beta)$ . Then, by multiplying both sides of this relation by  $e_\alpha e_\beta$ , one may finally express the equation of motion (11) in terms of the torsion tensor as follows:

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu}{}_{\alpha\beta} e^\alpha \wedge \Theta^\beta = d\tau \wedge R^{\mu\nu}. \quad (12)$$

This determines the effect of the Chern Simons deformation on the spacetime geometry, through an effective contribution to the torsion which depends on the external field. So equations (9), (12) describe the deformed geometry in the Einstein Cartan formulation.

If we solve first for the spin coefficients  $w_a^\mu{}_\nu$  in terms of  $e_a^\nu$  and  $\partial_a \tau$  in Eq. (12, using 10) and replace into (9), we recover the modified Einstein equation for the tetrad  $e_a^\nu$  (or, equivalently, for the metric  $g_{ab}$ ) obtained in the Jackiw-Pi approach [1], however, as we will see below, the geometries described by its solutions are very different.

We would like end this part by pointing out some features of this modified gravity which will motivate some of the remarks on holography we are going to consider in this work.

The gradient of the external field  $\tau$  dictates the coupling of the geometric degrees of freedom with the  $SO(1, 3)$  Chern-Simons 3-form Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{CS} = w_{\mu\nu} \wedge R^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{3} w^\mu{}_\nu \wedge w^\nu{}_\alpha \wedge w^\alpha{}_\mu \equiv w \wedge R - \frac{1}{3} w \wedge w \wedge w. \quad (13)$$

In fact, this may be expressed as  $\nabla_a \tau \equiv g V_a$  ( $\Rightarrow g \equiv |d\tau| \geq 0$ ) where  $V$  is a unit vector in the gradient direction. In the limit  $g \rightarrow 0$  the standard torsion-free Einstein theory is recovered and, on the other hand, when  $g \rightarrow \infty$ , the CS term governs the action. In fact, notice that if  $g$  is considered nearly constant and we rescale the spin connection and define the new gauge variable  $A^{\mu\nu} \equiv \sqrt{g} w^{\mu\nu}$  and the field strength  $F^{\mu\nu} \equiv dA^{\mu\nu} + g^{-1/2} A^{\mu\alpha} \wedge A^{\beta\nu} \eta_{\alpha\beta}$ , the action (3) may be written as:

$$S_{Grav}[e, A, \phi] = \int_M dx^4 \left( g^{-1/2} e^\mu \wedge e^\nu \wedge * F_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} V \wedge A \wedge F \right), \quad (14)$$

where we have used the equivalence of the second term of (3) with the Chern-Simons form. Thus, we can see in this expression that, in this case, the first term is a first order perturbation in  $g^{-1}$  while the second one, a Chern-Simons action, is considered the free kinetic term (zero order)[7].

In this case, by a similar argument as that for Electrodynamics (shown in the Introduction), the theory becomes a 2 + 1-dimensional topological theory, which is precisely equivalent to 3d-gravity, is exactly soluble and its quantization is well understood [13] <sup>6</sup>. In fact, on each level-(hyper)surface of the

---

<sup>6</sup>In such a theory of gravity there are no local degrees of freedom and all solutions are conformally flat.

field  $\tau(\phi(x))$ ), we have a Chern Simons action for the connection  $w^{\mu\nu}$  in the group  $SO(1, 3)$ , which contains the Lorentz-Poincaré group  $ISO(1, 2)$  if the dreibein  $E^{\hat{\mu}}$  ( $\hat{\mu}, \hat{\nu} = 0, 1, 2$ ), the gauge field associated with translations on those hypersurfaces, is identified with  $w^{\hat{\mu}, 3}$  and the spin connection  $w^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}$  is the gauge field associated with  $SO(1, 2)$ .

This rise an important question which is clearly connected to the Holographic interpretation: could this strong/weak behaviour be interpreted as duality? We are going to focus on this point later on.

## 2.1 BLS/CS Deformation and Persistence of Solutions.

Let us study some remarkable aspects of the problem of the persistence of the solutions in the Einstein-Cartan formulation of BLS/CS gravity. Consider the decomposition of the curvature

$$R^{\mu\nu} = r^{\mu\nu} \wedge V + \hat{F}^{\mu\nu}. \quad (15)$$

Because  $V$  is hypersurface orthogonal, we may define the projector  $h \equiv g - V \otimes V$ <sup>7</sup> on each hyper-surface  $\Sigma_\tau$  of the foliation, then  $\hat{F}_{ab}^{\mu\nu} \equiv h_a^c R_{cd}^{\mu\nu} h_b^d \equiv h R h$ . Therefore, eq. (12) may be expressed as **corr**:

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu}{}_{\alpha\beta} e^{[\alpha} \wedge \Theta^{\beta]} = d\tau \wedge \hat{F}^{\mu\nu}. \quad (16)$$

If we also consider the spin connection one form decomposition  $w^{\mu\nu} \equiv \alpha^{\mu\nu} V + \hat{w}^{\mu\nu}$ , where  $\hat{w}_a^{\mu\nu} \equiv h_a^c w_b^{\mu\nu} \equiv h w^{\mu\nu}$ , and use  $dV = dd\tau = 0$ , one may verify that  $\hat{F}^{\mu\nu}$  is the curvature corresponding to the connection  $\hat{w}^{\mu\nu}$ .

Notice that the theory is torsion free if and only if the connection  $\hat{w}^{\mu\nu}$ , defined on the 2+1-embedded surfaces and valued on the De-Sitter group in 2 + 1-d,  $SO(1, 3)$ , is such that the associated (three-dimensional) curvature vanishes, which reveals an interesting structure related to the homothopic classes. So, the condition for the persistence of EC-solutions reads:

$$\hat{F}^{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (17)$$

where  $\hat{F}^{\mu\nu}$  is the curvature of the gauge variable corresponding to the De-Sitter Group of the  $\Sigma_\tau$ -submanifolds. Therefore, such connections on appropriate foliations, represent torsion free geometries, and furthermore (remarkably), the solutions coincide with those of standard Einstein theory. Then, for each solution of (17), a pure gauge, one has a persistent solution. They may be expressed as

$$\hat{w}_\nu^\mu = G^{\mu\alpha} dG_{\alpha\nu}^{-1}, \quad , \quad G \in SO(1, 3). \quad (18)$$

Finally one may use this form in the EC equations, and in this way, *to construct* all the GR (torsion free) preserving solutions<sup>8</sup>.

Notice that the present preserving condition is stronger than the Pontryagyn constraint  $P = 0$  emphasized in the second order based model. In fact, by using (15), we get

$$P = *(R_{\mu\nu} \wedge R^{\mu\nu}) = *((r_{\mu\nu} \wedge V + \hat{F}_{\mu\nu}) \wedge (r^{\mu\nu} \wedge V + \hat{F}^{\mu\nu})) = *(2r_{\mu\nu} \wedge V \wedge \hat{F}^{\mu\nu} + \hat{F}_{\mu\nu} \wedge \hat{F}^{\mu\nu}), \quad (19)$$

which vanishes for the solutions of (17). This is important to check out consistency with the vanishing of the divergence of the energy-momentum tensor discussed in the previous Section, even when the field  $\tau$  is considered external. In fact, for (vacuum) persistent solutions, the Pontryagyn constraint is satisfied, and therefore, the Einstein tensor is divergence-free as expected.

Finally, we would like to remark that in nearly flat regions of the space time (e.g. the spacial infinity of asymptotically flat solutions) the GR solutions are preserved independently of the magnitude of  $g = |d\tau|$ . In particular, for all asymptotically flat spacetime of the undeformed GR theory, the right hand side of eq. (12) vanishes, and BLS is undetectable near of the spacial infinity.

<sup>7</sup>If  $V$  is timelike, it must be defined:  $h \equiv g + V \otimes V$

<sup>8</sup>This is the specific subject of a forthcoming article

### 3 Holographic Correspondence in Modified Gravity.

One may prove duality between two apparently different theories, say  $S_1(A)$ ,  $S_2(B)$ , by proposing an action for the variables of both theories,  $S(A; B)$ , and then both theories shall be recovered as effective actions, when each respective set of variables is integrated out in the path integral. However in gravitation, an integration of the variables is related to the well known problems of quantum gravity, it only could be considered formally<sup>9</sup>. In quadratic (Gaussian) actions this procedure is generically equivalent to solve the equations for the two set of variables separately, and to plug the result back into the original action in order to obtain  $S_1(A)$ ,  $S_2(B)$  respectively [14]. This method could in principle be extended to argue duality even in cases where the action is not Gaussian (e.g. the Einstein-Hilbert action), by properly using a saddle point approximation. We are going to employ here this procedure and generically refer to it as the Parent Action (PA) approach. The PA approach has been shown to be effective to prove dualities between theories of different characteristics<sup>10</sup> and in very diverse contexts [14, 15].

We are going to show here that if we consider (3) (with  $S_{matter}[\phi] = 0$ ) as a PA, this interpolates between gravity in four dimensions which approaches to the Einstein Hilbert in the zero torsion limit, and a collection of decoupled Chern Simons gauge theories in 2+1-dimensional manifolds. Let us show this statement

Solving first for the tetrad field  $e_a^\nu$  we obtain the Einstein equation (9) with  $T' = 0$ , plugging this back into the action we obtain that the first term vanishes and the resulting action is the Chern Simons one (4), where  $\tau$  parametrizes the family of hypersurfaces.

On the other hand if we solve for  $w$ , we get the equation (12), substituting the solution into the Master Action we obtain

$$S[e, \phi] = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int_M dx^4 (e^\mu \wedge e^\nu \wedge *R_{\mu\nu}[e^\mu] - \tau R[e^\mu] \wedge R[e^\mu])|_{\tau'=g=0} + o(\tau') \quad (20)$$

which to leading order, is the usual Einstein-Hilbert action plus topological terms. This completes the proof of our statement on duality.

This is a duality between a gauge theory (Chern-Simons Gravity), which is topological and lives on a three dimensional surface, and Einstein gravity. One has to remark however that we have to consider a large number of CS-surfaces to reproduce the Einstein theory, otherwise, we get torsion geometries. In fact as explained in the first section, the function  $|\tau'|$  describes the density of these hypersurfaces. When this number is large, the theory approaches to the CS description.

This might be consider a toy model to understand the emergence of the space time, usually formulated in the context of the AdS/CFT duality [18][19]. In this sense, one learns that bulk gravity is recovered in a large number limit of CS theories, a sort of macroscopic limit where the microscopic component are 2 + 1 dimensional manifolds equipped with CS theories<sup>11</sup>.

Although such a correspondence could seem surprising, if the Holographic hypothesis is taken seriously, it *implies* that the actual degrees of freedom of the four dimensional Einstein gravity *correspond* to the ones of certain three dimensional theories, we only should determine what is the proper context where this works and which are such planar theories. The present framework could precisely be considered as a model where this paradigm is realized in a technically simpler way.

Despite this could be specially helpful for quantum purposes [13], let us discuss now about how this duality works in the classical sense (on shell). First of all it is necessary to make a *gauge choice* where the vector  $V$  is identified with one element of the tetrad, say  $e^3$ , then  $d\tau = g e^3$ .

The introduction of that vector is related to the Wigner-Inonu contraction which reduces  $SO(3; 1)$  to  $ISO(2, 1)$ , the 2 + 1-Lorentz-Poincaré group [21]. Notice, however, that a contraction parameter has not

<sup>9</sup>Except in some contexts as Euclidean Quantum Gravity, and using saddle point approximations.

<sup>10</sup>Including non quadratic cases, for instance, non-Abelian gauge theories [16] and non-commutative ones[17].

<sup>11</sup>The reader may find some remarkable related perspectives in Ref. [20]

yet been introduced <sup>12</sup> and this will be not necessary in this construction.

Defining the Hodge operation in the induced 2 + 1-d geometry as

$$*(\dots) \equiv *(V \wedge \dots) = \epsilon^{abcd}(V_a \dots) \quad (21)$$

Let us see first how 3-dimensional Chern Simons fields are variables the four dimensional geometry produces a *source* for the three dimensional geometry variables through the Chern Simons equation of motion.

$$*F = J \quad , \quad (22)$$

where the source is given by the four-dimensional geometry variables as :

$$J := \epsilon^{\mu\nu}{}_{\alpha\beta} *(e^\alpha \wedge \Theta^\beta) = \frac{1}{2} *D \wedge *(e^\mu \wedge e^\nu) \quad . \quad (23)$$

Let us remark that here, so as in the Ads/CFT example of holography, the “bulk” geometry works by inducing a source for the lower dimensional boundary theory (the gauge theory in AdS/CFT). The initial conditions for this theory also may be induced from the four dimensional ones through some consistency requirements.

On the other side of the correspondence, the question is how the four dimensional geometry may be reconstructed from the 3-dimensional variables and solutions. Given a solution  $\hat{w}^{\mu\nu}(x)$  for the  $ISO(1, 2)$ -gauge field, one may calculate the space time torsion through the equation (12), but one must use the definition (10), to solve for the  $SO(1, 3)$ -spin connection in terms of the tetrad. Finally, the spacetime geometry is recovered by substituting the result into Einstein equation (9), according to usual procedures in the Einstein-Cartan framework. So we may interpret this duality as follows. If we linearize the bulk geometry (saddle point approximation in a path integral approach), the fluctuations are in correspondence with topological (Chern simons) degrees of freedom in a proper collection/distribution of 2+1-submanifolds <sup>13</sup>.

Finally, a brief analysis of the theory in both weak/strong coupling limit, may shed some additional light on certain additional aspects of this duality. One may see how, in principle, the two set of variables,  $e^\mu$  and  $w^{\mu\nu}$  decouple among them and form two closed systems, which shall describe the same theory.

In the usual way, one may in principle to solve the equation for the torsion (12) (using (10)), and find out a solution for the spin connection in terms of  $e^\mu$  and their derivatives:

$$w = W(e, de) + o^1(g) \quad (24)$$

In the weak coupling limit this equation is algebraic and the solution may be expressed in this way. Plugging this solution back into (9) for the vacuum, we obtain the independent equation of motion for  $e^\mu$  at leading order in the coupling constant  $g$

$$e_\mu^a R_{ab}[W(e, de)]^{\mu\nu} + o^{\geq 1}(g) = \kappa^2 T_b^{\nu} = \kappa^2 e^\nu{}^a T'_{ab} \quad (25)$$

which is the usual second order Einstein differential equation for general relativity for the variables  $e^\mu$ , up to contributions of the BLS term, which are first (or upper) order in the coupling constant.

Conversely, in a less standard procedure, one could try to solve for  $e^\mu$  in terms of the spin-connection components and their derivatives from the Einstein equation (with  $T' \equiv 0$  for simplicity):

$$e_\mu^a R_{ab}^{\mu\nu}(w, dw) = 0, \quad (26)$$

which in fact is a non-square linear system of ten (*algebraic*) equations for the sixteen-components field  $e^\mu$ . Therefore, to formulate this in a solvable form one should consider further extra conditions, as the

---

<sup>12</sup>One may be tempted to consistently relate this to  $g$ , for instance, it could be defined as  $g^{-1}$  in such a way that for  $g^{-1} \rightarrow 0$  one recovers the standard relativistic symmetry for 2 + 1-d.

<sup>13</sup>A discussion on related perspectives may be seen in Ref. [20]

orthonormality relations of the tetrad and a kind of gauge fixing (related to a convenient orientation of the frame). Another possible simplification to deal with this, is to consider a background and a linearized version of this equation (also considering extra conditions)<sup>14</sup> [16], however for our purposes here, the important point is that even if such a solution exists in some sense of this type, formally expressed as  $e = E(w, dw)$ , its contribution to the equation of motion for the field  $w$  vanishes in the strong coupling limit. So one always may obtain a decoupled equation of motion decoupled of the tetrad frame in the limit  $g^{-1}$ :

$$V \wedge R^{\mu\nu} = o(g^{-1}), \quad (27)$$

which is equivalent to the 2+1 dimensional family of Chern Simons gravities discussed above. At this point we would like to mention the possibility of recovering the bulk gravity perturbatively [7], in the sense of Ref. [23] and also [24].<sup>15</sup>

## 4 Final remarks

This work consists partly, in the natural application to gravity of some ideas about an effective dimensional reduction in theories with a Chern-Simons term in four dimensions, which breaks the Lorentz symmetry through a formulation where the gauge structure of the theory is explicit [6].

We found the conditions to get persistent GR-solutions. They have a simple geometric interpretation and link with topological gauge theories. In a forthcoming paper, we will focus on the study of these and other exact solutions of the deformed theory.

We furthermore pointed out a novel duality present in the theory which resembles many of the aspects of *holography* and may shed light on questions associated with it and with the mechanism of emergence of the space time, as the fact of recovering GR as a sort of *ensemble* of several (non-interacting) planar gravities embedded in a four-dimensional manifold. Then this would open a possible route to quantize the Einstein gravity from 2 + 1-d quantum gravities [13].

## 5 Appendix: The Abstract index Notation and Einstein-Cartan formalism

In this work, we shall use the abstract index notation [22]; namely, a tensor of type  $(n, m)$  shall be denoted by  $T_{b_1 \dots b_m}^{a_1 \dots a_n}$ , where the latin index stand for the numbers and types of variables on which the tensor acts and not as the components themselves on a certain basis. Then, this is an object having a basis-independent meaning. In contrast, greek letters label the components, for example  $T_{\alpha}^{\mu\nu}$  denotes a basis component of the tensor  $T_c^{ab}$ . We start off with the Cartan's formalism of GR. We introduce [22] an orthonormal basis of smooth vector fields  $(e_{\mu})^a$ , satisfying

$$(e_{\mu})^a (e_{\nu})_a = \eta_{\mu\nu}, \quad (29)$$

where  $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$ . In general,  $(e_{\mu})^a$  is referred to as *vielbein*. The metric tensor is expressed as

$$g_{ab} = (e^{\mu})_a (e^{\nu})_b \eta_{\mu\nu}. \quad (30)$$

From now on, component indices  $\mu, \nu, ..$  will be raised and lowered using the flat metric  $\eta_{\mu\nu}$  and the the abstract ones,  $a, b, c, ..$  with space-time metric  $g_{ab}$ .

<sup>14</sup>This is an interesting issue which shall be analyzed in a more appropriate context.

<sup>15</sup>If a solution  $e = E(w, dw)$  may be achieved, by substituting this into eq. one get a decoupled equation of motion for the gauge field  $w$  for an arbitrary  $g^{-1}$  :

$$g^{-1} \epsilon^{\mu\nu}{}_{\alpha\beta} E(w, dw)^{[\alpha} \wedge D \wedge (E(w, dw)^{\beta]} = V \wedge R^{\mu\nu}, \quad (28)$$

however, in this case it must be noticed that this equation is no longer *planar*, and the LHS of this equation contributes to a bulk dependence of the variables.



Now we define the *Ricci rotation coefficients*, or *spin-connection*,

$$(w_{\mu\nu})_a = (e_\mu)^b \nabla_a (e_\nu)_b, \quad (31)$$

where  $w_{a\mu\nu}$  is antisymmetric what, together with (29), is equivalent to the compatibility condition

$$\nabla_a g_{bc} = 0. \quad (32)$$

From (31), we have

$$\nabla_a e^\mu_b = -w^{\mu\nu}_a e_{\nu b}, \quad (33)$$

whose antisymmetric part is (by adopting the convention of anti-symmetrization:  $(\dots)_{[ab]} = ((\dots)_{ab} - (\dots)_{ba})/2$ ),

$$\nabla_{[a} e^\mu_{b]} = -w^{\mu\nu}_{[a} e^\alpha_{b]} \eta_{\nu\alpha}. \quad (34)$$

In the original Einstein formulation of GR, the connection is assumed to be torsion-free. This is expressed by:

$$\partial_{[a} e^\mu_{b]} = -w^{\mu\nu}_{[a} e^\alpha_{b]} \eta_{\nu\alpha}. \quad (35)$$

The components of the Riemman's tensor in this orthonormal basis are given as follows

$$R_{ab}{}^{\mu\nu} := 2\partial_{[a} w^{\mu\nu}_{b]} + 2w^{\mu\rho}_{[a} w^{\sigma\nu}_{b]} \eta_{\rho\sigma}. \quad (36)$$

Equations (35) and (36) are the *structure equations* of GR in Cartan's framework.

Einstein's equation in this framework reads

$$e_\mu{}^a R_{ab}{}^{\mu\nu} = \kappa^2 e^\nu{}^a T'_{ab}, \quad (37)$$

where one has defined  $T'_{ab} := T_{ab} + g_{ab}(T_{cd}g^{cd})/2$ ,  $T_{ab}$  being the energy momentum tensor, and the constant  $\kappa$  is related to the gravitation constant,  $G$ , by  $\kappa^2 = 8\pi G$ .

Equations (33) and (37) are a system of coupled first-order non-linear equations for the variables  $(e, w)$  which determine<sup>16</sup> the dynamics of GR. Metric and covariant derivative result finally defined in terms of these variables as seen from (30) and (33).

This yields the so-called "Einstein-Cartan formalism"; we obtain, thereby, a first order Einstein-Hilbert action which can be expressed as

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int dx^D e R_{ab}{}^{\mu\nu} e_\mu{}^a e_\nu{}^b, \quad (38)$$

where  $e = (-\det g)^{1/2} = \det(e^\mu{}_a)$ . If we wish to consider a non-vanishing cosmological constant,  $\Lambda$ ,  $R_{ab}{}^{\mu\nu}$  must be replaced by

$$R_{ab}{}^{\mu\nu} + \Lambda e^{[\mu}{}_a e^{\nu]}{}_b. \quad (39)$$

## 6 Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank to G. Silva (IFLP) for fruitful conversations on the subject of this paper. O. A. Reula (FaMAF) and J. A. Helayel-Neto (CBPF) are specially acknowledged for useful comments and observations. This work was supported by CONICET.

<sup>16</sup>Together with the antisymmetry condition for  $w_a$ .

## References

- [1] R. Jackiw, S.-Y. Pi, Phys.Rev. D68 (2003) 104012 [arXiv:gr-qc/0308071]
- [2] S. M. Carroll, G. B. Field, Roman Jackiw, Phys. Rev. D 41:1231,1990
- [3] F.R. Klinkhamer, NPB 578 (2000) 277, [arXiv:hep-th/9912169].
- [4] C. Adam, F.R. Klinkhamer, Nucl.Phys. B607 (2001) 247-267 and Phys.Lett. B513 (2001) 245-250 ; A. Kosteletsky, R. Lehnert, Phys.Rev. D63 (2001) 065008 ; A. A. Andrianov, R. Soldati, L. Sorbo, Phys.Rev. D59 (1999) 025002; R. Jackiw, A. Kosteletsky, Phys.Rev.Lett. 82 (1999) 3572-3575; J.-M. Chung, B. K. Chung, Phys.Rev. D63 (2001) 105015; J.-M. Chung , Phys.Rev. D60 (1999) 127901; M. Perez-Victoria, Phys.Rev.Lett. 83 (1999) 2518-2521; G. Bonneau, Nucl.Phys. B593 (2001) 398-412; M. Perez-Victoria, JHEP 0104 (2001) 032.
- [5] H. Belich, M.M. Ferreira Jr., J.A. Helayl-Neto, M.T.D. Orlando Phys.Rev. D67 (2003) 125011 [arXiv:hep-th/0212330]; H. Belich, M.M. Ferreira Jr., J.A. Helayl-Neto, M.T.D. Orlando Phys.Rev. D68 (2003) 025005 [arXiv:hep-th/0301224]; H. Belich, M.M. Ferreira Jr., J.A. Helayl-Neto Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl. 127 (2004) 105 [arXiv:hep-th/0305089] M.M. Ferreira, Phys.Rev.D70 (2004) 045013.
- [6] M. Botta Cantcheff , Eur. Jour. Phys. C 46 (2006) 3127 [arXiv:hep-th/0411254]
- [7] M. Botta Cantcheff, *Lorentz symmetry Breaking in Gravity and Dimensional Reduction*, Preliminar version prepared for the Fifth International Conference on Mathematical Methods in Physics IC2006, April 24-28 2006, CBPF, Brazil. Proceedings of Science, **PoS** IC2006:060, SISSA.
- [8] D. Guarrera and A. J. Hariton, Phys. Rev. D76, 044011 (2007), [arXiv:gr-qc/0702029].
- [9] D. Grumiller and N. Yunes, *How do Black Holes Spin in Chern-Simons Modified Gravity?*, [arXiv:gr-qc/0711.1868].
- [10] G. 'T Hooft, *Dimentional Reduction in Quantum Gravity*, [arXiv:gr-qc/9310026]; L. Susskind, *The world as a hologram*, J. Math. Phys. 36 (1995) 6377 [arXiv:hep-th/9409089].
- [11] A. Kosteletsky ,Phys.Rev. D 69 (2004) 105009 [arXiv:hep-th/0312310], A. Kosteletsky, [arXiv:hep-ph/0412406] and references therein; V. B. Bezerra, C.N. Ferreira, J. A. Helayel-Neto, Phys.Rev. D71 (2005) 044018.
- [12] S. H. S. Alexander, M. E. Peskin, and M. M. Sheik- Jabbari, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 (2006) 081301 [arXiv:hep-th/0403069]; S. Alexander and J. Martin, Phys. Rev. D71 (2005) 063526 [arXiv:hep-th/0410230].
- [13] E. Witten, *(2+1)-Dimensional Gravity As An Exactly Soluble System* Nucl.Phys. B311 (1988) 46.
- [14] For a review in the use of the parent action in proving duality in diverse areas see: S. E. Hjeltnelund, U. Lindström, UIO-PHYS-97-03, [arXiv:hep-th/9705122].
- [15] S. Deser and R. Jackiw, Phys. Lett. B 139 (1984) 2366; A. Karlhed, U. Lindstrom, M. Rocek and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Phys. Lett. B 186 (1987) 96. M. Botta Cantcheff, , [arXiv:hep-th/0110264], Phys. Lett. B 533 (2002) 126; M. Botta Cantcheff, and J. A. Helayël-Neto, [arXiv:hep-th/0204057], Phys. Rev. D 67 (2003) 025016.
- [16] M. Botta Cantcheff, [arXiv:hep-th/0110211], Phys. Lett. B 528 (2002) 283-287.
- [17] M. Botta Cantcheff, and P. Minces [arXiv:hep-th/0306206], Eur. Phys. J. C 34 (2004) 393; M. Botta Cantcheff, and P. Minces, [arXiv:hep-th/0212031], Phys. Lett. B 557 (2003) 283.

- [18] J. M. Maldacena, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2, 231 (1998) [Int. J. Theor. Phys. 38, 1113 (1999)], [arXiv:hep-th/9711200].
- [19] G. Horowitz, J. Polchinski, *Gauge/gravity duality*, [arXiv:gr-qc/0602037]. D. Berenstein, JHEP 0601 (2006) 125 [arXiv:hep-th/0507203].
- [20] M. Botta Cantcheff, *On the Microscopical Structure of the Classical Spacetime.*, [arXiv:hep-th/0408151]; T. Padmanabhan, *Gravity As Elasticity Of Spacetime: A Paradigm To Understand Horizon Thermodynamics And Cosmological Constant* [arXiv:gr-qc/0408051].
- [21] MacDowell, S. W. and Mansouri, Phys. Rev. D55 (1977) 7593 ; Stelle and West, Phys. Rev. D21 1466 (1980); F.W. Hehl et al, Phys. Rep. 258 (1995) 1; M. Botta Cantcheff, [arXiv:gr-qc/0010080], Gen. Rel. Grav. 34 (2002) 1781 .
- [22] Wald, Robert M "General Relativity" (1984), Chicago and London.
- [23] *Quantum gravity in terms of topological observables*, L. Freidel, A. Starodubtsev [arXiv:hep-th/0501191]
- [24] *On the perturbative expansion of a quantum field theory around a topological sector* C. Rovelli, S. Speziale [arXiv:gr-qc/0508106].