

On Some Explicit Semi-stable Degenerations of Toric Varieties

Marina Marchisio, Vittorio Perduca

Abstract

We study semi-stable degenerations of toric varieties determined by certain partitions of their moment polytopes. Analyzing their defining equations we prove a property of uniqueness.

MSC 2000: 14M25

1 Background

1.1 Polytopes and semi-stable partitions

In his paper [5], Hu provides a toric construction for semi-stable degenerations of toric varieties. We study the uniqueness of this construction for a toric variety X in the particular case of a semi-stable partition of its moment polytope in two subpolytopes. Adapting a theorem by Strumfels on toric ideals (Lemma 4.1 in [9] and Section 2 in [8]) to particular open polytopes, we investigate the equations of the degeneration of X as embedded variety.

Let $M \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a lattice and N its dual. We consider polytopes $\Delta \subset M$ which describe smooth algebraic varieties X_Δ ; Δ determines the normal fan $\Sigma_{X_\Delta} \subset N$. Recall that convex polytopes Δ determine a toric manifold X_Δ together with an ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}_\Delta: (X_\Delta, \mathcal{L}_\Delta)$. If the polytope is non singular of dimension n , then \mathcal{L}_Δ is very ample, we then have an embedding $X_\Delta \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^\ell$, for some ℓ [7].

Now fix a (compact) polytope Δ and suppose $\Delta \cap M = \{\mathbf{m}_0, \dots, \mathbf{m}_\ell\}$. Take x_0, \dots, x_ℓ as homogeneous coordinates in \mathbb{P}^ℓ . We can define $X = X_\Delta$ as the closure in \mathbb{P}^ℓ of the image of the map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi: (\mathbb{C}^*)^n &\rightarrow \mathbb{P}^\ell \\ \mathbf{t} &\mapsto [\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{m}_0}, \dots, \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{m}_\ell}], \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ and given $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ we use the notation $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{u}} = t_1^{u_1} \cdots t_n^{u_n}$. Taking homogeneous coordinates in X_Δ , this map extends to a map $X_\Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^\ell$, which is an embedding under the assumption X_Δ smooth (see [2]).

We assume that there exists a suitable finite partition Γ of Δ in subpolytopes $\{\Delta_j\}_{j=1}^k$. We will assume that the toric varieties X_{Δ_j} corresponding to each Δ_j are also smooth. We call an open l -face σ of Δ_j an l -face of Γ and we declare that the 0-faces of Δ are *not* 0-faces of Γ . Following [1, 5] we ask Γ to be *semi-stable*:

Definition 1.1 Γ is semi-stable if for any l -face σ of Γ , if θ is a k -face of Δ such that $\sigma \subset \theta$, then there are exactly $k - l + 1$ Δ_j 's such that θ is a face of each of them.

In fact:

Theorem 1.2 [1, 5] If $\{\Delta_j\}_{j=1}^k$ is a semi-stable partition of Δ , then there exists a semi-stable degeneration of X , $f : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with central fiber $f^{-1}(0) = \cup_{j=1}^k X_{\Delta_j}$; the central fiber is completely described by the polytope partition $\{\Delta_j\}_{j=1}^k$.

\tilde{X} is constructed by a *lift* of Δ (see Definition (1.3)). From Theorem 2.8 in [5], \tilde{X} is unique: we study the uniqueness of \tilde{X} for semi-stable partitions of Δ in two subpolytopes Δ_1, Δ_2 , and we describe its defining equations. In particular, in Section 2 of [5], Hu shows that the ordering (arbitrarily fixed) $\{\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_k\}$ of the polytopes in Γ determines a piecewise affine function on the partition $F : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which takes rational values on the points in the lattice M . F can be chosen to be concave and it is called *lifting function*.

Definition 1.3

$$\tilde{\Delta}_F = \{(m, \tilde{m}) \in M \times \mathbb{Z} \text{ such that } m \in \Delta \text{ and } \tilde{m} \geq F(m)\}$$

is an open lifting (here simply lift) of Δ with respect to Γ .

There are many possible lifts of Δ with respect to Γ ; if Γ consists of two subpolytopes, then two lifts exist. By construction there exists a morphism $f : \tilde{X}_F := X_{\tilde{\Delta}_F} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which realizes a semi-stable degeneration of X . As

before we have embeddings $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^\ell$ and $\tilde{X}_F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^\ell \times \mathbb{C}$. In particular we can define \tilde{X}_F as the closure in $\mathbb{P}^\ell \times \mathbb{C}$ of the image of the map:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_F : (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \times \mathbb{C} &\rightarrow \mathbb{P}^\ell \times \mathbb{C} \\ (\mathbf{t}, \lambda) &\mapsto ([\lambda^{F(\mathbf{m}_0)} \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{m}_0}, \lambda^{F(\mathbf{m}_1)} \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{m}_1}, \dots, \lambda^{F(\mathbf{m}_\ell)} \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{m}_\ell}], \lambda). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Theorem 2.8 in [5] claims that the image of $\psi := \psi_F$, and hence \tilde{X}_F , is independent of the lifting function F .

We explicitly study this statement for semi-stable partitions of Δ in two subpolytopes. If Γ consists of two subpolytopes Δ_1, Δ_2 , then we can construct two possible lifting functions F, G and then Δ has two lifts, say $\tilde{\Delta}_F$ and $\tilde{\Delta}_G$. In particular let y_1, \dots, y_n be coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^n \supset \Delta$ and let

$$a_1 y_1 + \dots + a_n y_n + a_{n+1} = 0$$

be an equation of the *cut* $\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2$ in the lattice, where we take $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for all $\mathbf{m}_j = (m_{1j}, \dots, m_{nj}) \in \Delta_2 \cap M$ we have

$$a_1 m_{1j} + \dots + a_n m_{nj} + a_{n+1} \geq 0.$$

Following the construction in [5], the functions F, G we obtain look like:

$$\begin{aligned} F(\mathbf{m}_j) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mathbf{m}_j \in \Delta_1 \\ L_F(\mathbf{m}_j) := a_1 m_{1j} + \dots + a_n m_{nj} + a_{n+1} & \text{if } \mathbf{m}_j \in \Delta_2, \end{cases} \\ G(\mathbf{m}_j) &= \begin{cases} L_G(\mathbf{m}_j) := -a_1 m_{1j} - \dots - a_n m_{nj} - a_{n+1} & \text{if } \mathbf{m}_j \in \Delta_1 \\ 0 & \text{if } \mathbf{m}_j \in \Delta_2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We prove that the two non-compact toric varieties defined by the open polytopes $\tilde{\Delta}_F$ and $\tilde{\Delta}_G$ have the same toric ideals. To do this we adapt a Strumfels's theorem on toric ideals (Lemma 4.1 in [9] and Section 2 in [8]) to this non-compact context.

1.2 Toric ideals

In [8] Sottile describes the ideal I of the compact toric variety X (*toric ideal*) defined as the closure of the image of a map (1), following Strumfels's book [9].

Take x_0, \dots, x_ℓ as homogeneous coordinates in \mathbb{P}^ℓ . With the notation of the previous section, suppose $\mathbf{m}_j = (m_{1j}, \dots, m_{nj})$, $j = 0, \dots, \ell$ and consider the $(n+1) \times (\ell+1)$ matrix

$$\mathcal{A}^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ m_{10} & m_{11} & \dots & m_{1\ell} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ m_{n0} & m_{n1} & \dots & m_{n\ell} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Observe that if $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\ell+1}$, then we may write \mathbf{u} uniquely as $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}^+ - \mathbf{u}^-$, where $\mathbf{u}^+, \mathbf{u}^- \in \mathbb{N}^{\ell+1}$, but \mathbf{u}^+ and \mathbf{u}^- have no non-zero components in common. For instance, if $\mathbf{u} = (1, -2, 1, 0)$, then $\mathbf{u}^+ = (1, 0, 1, 0)$ and $\mathbf{u}^- = (0, 2, 0, 0)$ (Sottile's notation).

We therefore have:

Theorem 1.4 ([8], Corollary 2.3)

$$I = \langle \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}^+} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}^-} \mid \mathbf{u} \in \ker(\mathcal{A}^+) \text{ and } \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\ell+1} \rangle.$$

There are no simple formulas for a finite set of generators of a general toric ideal. An effective method for computing a finite set of equations defining X_Δ in \mathbb{P}^ℓ is applying elimination theory algorithms to its parametrization in homogeneous coordinates. These algorithms are implemented in the well known computer algebra system Maplesoft [4].

2 First examples

To illustrate the previous section, we describe the semi-stable degenerations of a curve and a surface determined by a subdivision of their moment polytopes in two subpolytopes.

2.1 The twisted cubic

The twisted cubic $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ can be defined as \mathbb{P}^1 embedded in \mathbb{P}^3 by cubics, that is, as the toric curve $(X_\Delta, \mathcal{L}_\Delta) = (\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(3))$, where Δ is the polytope below.

Here $M = \mathbb{Z}$, $\Delta \cap M = \{\mathbf{m}_j = j, j = 0, \dots, 3\}$, X is the closure of the image of

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \mathbb{C}^* &\rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3 \\ t &\mapsto [1, t, t^2, t^3], \end{aligned}$$




Figure 1: The moment polytope Δ of the twisted cubic $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$.

which extends to the embedding

$$\begin{aligned} X_\Delta &\hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3 \\ (v_0, v_1) &\mapsto [v_1^3, v_0v_1^2, v_0^2v_1, v_0^3], \end{aligned}$$

where v_0, v_1 are homogeneous coordinates in X_Δ .

The toric ideal of X is of course computed to be

$$I = \langle x_0x_2 - x_1^2, x_1x_3 - x_2^2, x_0x_3 - x_1x_2 \rangle.$$

Now consider the semi-stable partition $\{\Delta_1, \Delta_2\}$ of Δ , where $\Delta_1 = [0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $\Delta_2 = [1, 3] \subset \mathbb{R}$. This partition gives the semi-stable degeneration of X to the union of two curves $X_1 \cup X_2$, where $X_1 = (\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(1))$ and $X_2 = (\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(2))$.

The two possible lifting functions are

$$F(j) = \begin{cases} 0 & j = 0, 1 \\ j-1 & j = 2, 3 \end{cases}, \quad G(j) = \begin{cases} 1 & j = 0 \\ 0 & j \neq 0 \end{cases}.$$




Figure 2: Δ_F and Δ_G .

Using the notation of (2), in local coordinates the embeddings of \tilde{X}_F and \tilde{X}_G in $\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{C}$ are $([1, t, \lambda t^2, \lambda^2 t^3], \lambda)$ and $([\lambda, t, t^2, t^3], \lambda)$, while in homogeneous coordinates these are

$$([v_1^3, v_0v_1^2, \lambda v_0^2v_1, \lambda^2 v_0^3], \lambda)$$

and

$$([\lambda v_1^3, v_0 v_1^2, v_0^2 v_1, v_0^3], \lambda).$$

We therefore observe that \tilde{X}_F and \tilde{X}_G have different parametric equations, nevertheless it is easy to see that both of them are defined in $\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{C}$ by the equations

$$x_0 x_2 - \eta x_1^2 = 0, x_1 x_3 - x_2^2 = 0, x_0 x_3 - \eta x_1 x_2 = 0,$$

where η is the non-homogeneous coordinate in \mathbb{C} . These equations can also be found applying elimination theory algorithms to the two parametrizations in homogeneous coordinates, computations can be performed by hand or using computer algebra systems.

2.2 $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ blown up in a point

Consider the polytope Δ in figure 3 with its associated normal fan. The toric




Figure 3: $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ blown up in a point and its normal fan.

surface X determined by Δ is $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ blown up in a point and embedded in \mathbb{P}^7 . In local coordinates it is the closure of the image of

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : (\mathbb{C}^*)^2 &\rightarrow \mathbb{P}^7 \\ (t_1, t_2) &\mapsto [1, t_1, t_1^2, t_2, t_1 t_2, t_1^2 t_2, t_2^2, t_1 t_2^2], \end{aligned}$$

while taking homogeneous coordinates v_0, \dots, v_4 for X_Δ (one for each facet of Δ), the embedding is

$$\begin{aligned} X_\Delta &\hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^7 \\ (v_0, \dots, v_4) &\mapsto [v_2^2 v_3^3 v_4^2, v_0 v_2 v_3^2 v_4^2, v_0^2 v_3 v_4^2, v_1 v_2^2 v_3^2 v_4, v_0 v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4, \\ &\quad v_0^2 v_1 v_4, v_1^2 v_2^2 v_3, v_0 v_1^2 v_2 v_4]. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Consider the semi-stable partition $\{\Delta_1, \Delta_2\}$ of Δ :




Figure 4: A semistable partition of X .

This partition gives the semi-stable degeneration of X to the union of two surfaces $X_1 \cup X_2$, where $X_1 = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $X_2 = \mathbb{F}^1$.

The two possible lifting functions are

$$F(\mathbf{m}_j) = \begin{cases} 0 & j = 0, \dots, 5 \\ 1 & j = 6, 7 \end{cases}, \quad G(\mathbf{m}_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & j = 0, 1, 2 \\ 0 & j = 3, \dots, 7 \end{cases}.$$

In local coordinates the embeddings of \tilde{X}_F and \tilde{X}_G in $\mathbb{P}^7 \times \mathbb{C}$ are

$$([1, t_1, t_1^2, t_2, t_1 t_2, t_1^2 t_2, \lambda t_2^2, \lambda t_1 t_2^2], \lambda)$$

and

$$([\lambda, \lambda t_1, \lambda t_1^2, t_2, t_1 t_2, t_1^2 t_2, t_2^2, t_1 t_2^2], \lambda).$$

We have embeddings

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_F : \tilde{X}_F &\hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^7 \times \mathbb{C} \\ (v_0, \dots, v_4, \lambda) &\mapsto ([v_2^2 v_3^3 v_4^2, v_0 v_2 v_3^2 v_4^2, v_0^2 v_3 v_4^2, v_1 v_2^2 v_3^2 v_4, v_0 v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4, \\ &\quad v_0^2 v_1 v_4, \lambda v_1^2 v_2^2 v_3, \lambda v_0 v_1^2 v_2 v_4], \lambda), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_G : \tilde{X}_G &\hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^7 \times \mathbb{C} \\ (v_0, \dots, v_4, \lambda) &\mapsto ([\lambda v_2^2 v_3^3 v_4^2, \lambda v_0 v_2 v_3^2 v_4^2, \lambda v_0^2 v_3 v_4^2, v_1 v_2^2 v_3^2 v_4, v_0 v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4, \\ &\quad v_0^2 v_1 v_4, v_1^2 v_2^2 v_3, v_0 v_1^2 v_2 v_4], \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

\tilde{X}_F and \tilde{X}_G have different parametric equations. We find that \tilde{X}_F, \tilde{X}_G are both defined in $\mathbb{P}^7 \times \mathbb{C}$ by the following nine quadratic equations:

$$\begin{aligned} x_3 x_5 - x_4^2 &= 0, x_2 x_6 - \lambda x_4^2 = 0, x_1 x_6 - \lambda x_3 x_4 = 0 \\ x_1 x_5 - x_2 x_4 &= 0, x_1 x_4 - x_2 x_3 = 0, x_0 x_6 - \lambda x_3^2 = 0 \\ x_0 x_5 - x_2 x_3 &= 0, x_0 x_4 - x_1 x_3 = 0, x_0 x_2 - x_1^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Omitting λ in these equations we obtain a set of equation for X_Δ embedded in \mathbb{P}^7 : these are the same equations one can compute from (3) trough elimination.

3 Main results

We use the notation of the previous sections.

Let I_F be the ideal of all polynomials in the coordinates x_0, \dots, x_ℓ, η homogeneous in x_0, \dots, x_ℓ and vanishing on \tilde{X}_F , where η is the non-homogeneous coordinate in \mathbb{C} . In analogy with the compact case we use the notation

$$\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{u}} = x_0^{u_0} \dots x_\ell^{u_\ell} \eta^{u_{\ell+1}},$$

with $\mathbf{u} = (u_0, \dots, u_\ell, u_{\ell+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\ell+2}$.

Consider the $(n+2) \times (\ell+2)$ matrix

$$\mathcal{B}^+ = \mathcal{B}_F^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ m_{10} & m_{11} & \dots & m_{1\ell} & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ m_{n0} & m_{n1} & \dots & m_{n\ell} & 0 \\ F(\mathbf{m}_0) & F(\mathbf{m}_1) & \dots & F(\mathbf{m}_\ell) & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 3.1 *I_F is the linear span of all binomials $\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{v}}$ with vectors $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{N}^{\ell+2}$ such that $\mathcal{B}^+ \mathbf{u} = \mathcal{B}^+ \mathbf{v}$.*

Proof. We follow Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 [8].

A binomial $\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{v}}$, with $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{N}^{\ell+2}$, vanishing on $\psi((\mathbb{C}^*)^n \times \mathbb{C})$ needs to be homogeneous in the coordinates x_0, \dots, x_ℓ , i.e.

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\ell} u_i = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} v_i. \quad (4)$$

Therefore we prove that I_F is the linear span of all binomials $\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{v}}$ with vectors \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} such that (4) holds and $\mathcal{B}\mathbf{u} = \mathcal{B}\mathbf{v}$, where

$$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_F = \begin{pmatrix} m_{10} & m_{11} & \dots & m_{1\ell} & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ m_{n0} & m_{n1} & \dots & m_{n\ell} & 0 \\ F(\mathbf{m}_0) & F(\mathbf{m}_1) & \dots & F(\mathbf{m}_\ell) & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider a monomial $\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{u}}$ and restrict it to $\psi((\mathbb{C}^*)^n \times \mathbb{C})$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{u}}|_{\psi((\mathbb{C}^*)^n \times \mathbb{C})} &= (x_0^{u_0} \dots x_\ell^{u_\ell} \eta^{u_{\ell+1}})|_{\psi((\mathbb{C}^*)^n \times \mathbb{C})} = \\
&= (t_1^{m_{10}} \dots t_n^{m_{n0}} \lambda^{F(\mathbf{m}_0)})^{u_0} \dots (t_1^{m_{1\ell}} \dots t_n^{m_{n\ell}} \lambda^{F(\mathbf{m}_\ell)})^{u_\ell} \cdot \\
&\quad \cdot \lambda^{u_{\ell+1}} = \\
&= t_1^{m_{10}u_0 + \dots + m_{1\ell}u_\ell} \dots t_n^{m_{n0}u_0 + \dots + m_{n\ell}u_\ell} \cdot \\
&\quad \cdot \lambda^{F(\mathbf{m}_0)u_0 + \dots + F(\mathbf{m}_\ell)u_\ell + u_{\ell+1}} = \\
&= T^{\mathcal{B}\mathbf{u}},
\end{aligned}$$

with $T = (t_1, \dots, t_n, \lambda)$.

This shows that in the hypothesis (4), $\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{v}}$ vanishes on $\psi((\mathbb{C}^*)^n \times \mathbb{C})$ (and hence belongs to I_F) if and only if $\mathcal{B}\mathbf{u} = \mathcal{B}\mathbf{v}$.

Now we show that these binomials generate I_F as a \mathbb{C} -vector space: we follow Strumfels's book [9]. Strumfels considers the (compact) toric variety defined as in (1) and doesn't deal with the homogeneous vs. non-homogeneous question.

Fix a monomial ordering $>$ on $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_\ell, \eta]$, and remember that this is a well-ordering on the set of monomials $\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{u}}$. Suppose the set R of polynomials $f \in I_F$ which cannot be written as a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of binomials as above is non-empty and take $f \in R$ such that

$$LM_{>}(f) = \min_{g \in R} LM_{>}(g),$$

where $LM_{>}(f)$ is the leading monomial of f with respect to $>$. We can suppose f to be monic, so that its leading term $LT_{>}(f)$ is its leading monomial, let this be the monomial $\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{u}}$.

When we restrict f to $\psi((\mathbb{C}^*)^n \times \mathbb{C})$ we get an expression containing $T^{\mathcal{B}\mathbf{u}}$ as a term and which is equal to zero. Hence the term $T^{\mathcal{B}\mathbf{u}}$ must cancel in this expression. This means that there is some other monomial $\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{v}}$ appearing in f such that $\mathcal{B}\mathbf{u} = \mathcal{B}\mathbf{v}$ and (4) holds.

Moreover $\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{u}} > \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{v}}$. The polynomial

$$f' := f - \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{u}} + \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{v}}$$

belongs to I_F and to R but since $LM_{>}(f) > LM_{>}(f')$, we get a contradiction. \square

Theorem 3.2 $I_F = \langle \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{u}^+} - \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{u}^-} \mid \mathbf{u} \in \ker(\mathcal{B}^+) \text{ and } \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\ell+2} \rangle$.

Proof. On one hand, $\mathbf{u} \in \ker(\mathcal{B}^+)$ if and only if $\mathcal{B}^+\mathbf{u}^+ = \mathcal{B}^+\mathbf{u}^-$. On the other hand we show that if $\mathcal{B}^+\mathbf{v} = \mathcal{B}^+\mathbf{w}$ (and (4) holds), then $\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{v}} - \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{w}} = h(\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{u}^+} - \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{u}^-})$, for some polynomial h and vector $\mathbf{u} \in \ker(\mathcal{B}^+) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{\ell+2}$; the statement will then follow from the theorem.

If $\mathcal{B}^+\mathbf{v} = \mathcal{B}^+\mathbf{w}$, then $\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w} \in \ker(\mathcal{B}^+)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{v}} - \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{w}} &= \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{w}} - 1) = \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{w}}\mathbf{z}^{-(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{w})^-}(\mathbf{z}^{(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{w})^+} - \mathbf{z}^{(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{w})^-}) \\ &= \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{w}-(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{w})^-}(\mathbf{z}^{(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{w})^+} - \mathbf{z}^{(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{w})^-}) \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to show that $\mathbf{w} - (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w})^- \in \mathbb{N}^{\ell+2}$. \square

Now let G be the second lift, then we can consider the matrix \mathcal{B}_G^+ and characterize the toric ideal I_G of \tilde{X}_G as above. In general \tilde{X}_G will have a different parametrization from the one of \tilde{X}_F , moreover the normal fans are different.

Our main result is

Theorem 3.3 \tilde{X}_F and \tilde{X}_G have the same equations in $\mathbb{P}^\ell \times \mathbb{C}$, i.e. $I_F = I_G$.

Proof. Reorder the \mathbf{m}_j 's such that $\mathbf{m}_0, \dots, \mathbf{m}_r \in \Delta_1 - \Delta_2$, $\mathbf{m}_{r+1}, \dots, \mathbf{m}_s \in \Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2$ and $\mathbf{m}_{s+1}, \dots, \mathbf{m}_\ell \in \Delta_2 - \Delta_1$, then we have

$$\mathcal{B}_F^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & .. & 1 & 1 & .. & 1 & 1 & .. & 1 & 0 \\ m_{10} & .. & m_{1r} & m_{1,r+1} & .. & m_{1s} & m_{1,s+1} & .. & m_{1\ell} & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ m_{n0} & .. & m_{nr} & m_{n,r+1} & .. & m_{ns} & m_{n,s+1} & .. & m_{n\ell} & 0 \\ 0 & .. & 0 & 0 & .. & 0 & L_F(\mathbf{m}_{s+1}) & .. & L_F(\mathbf{m}_\ell) & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}_G^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & .. & 1 & 1 & .. & 1 & 1 & .. & 1 & 0 \\ m_{10} & .. & m_{1r} & m_{1,r+1} & .. & m_{1s} & m_{1,s+1} & .. & m_{1\ell} & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ m_{n0} & .. & m_{nr} & m_{n,r+1} & .. & m_{ns} & m_{n,s+1} & .. & m_{n\ell} & 0 \\ L_G(\mathbf{m}_0) & .. & L_G(\mathbf{m}_r) & 0 & .. & 0 & 0 & .. & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let E be the $(n+2) \times (n+2)$ elementary matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ a_{n+1} & a_1 & \dots & a_n & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in SL_{n+2}(\mathbb{Z})$$

we have

$$E \cdot \mathcal{B}_G^+ = \mathcal{B}_F^+,$$

and hence

$$\ker \mathcal{B}_F^+ = \ker \mathcal{B}_G^+.$$

The theorem follows from Theorem (3.2). \square

Going back to the examples above, if X is the twisted cubic, we have

$$\mathcal{B}_F^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \mathcal{B}_G^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and E is the 3×3 elementary matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in SL_3(\mathbb{Z}).$$

In the case of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ blown up in a point, we have

$$\mathcal{B}_F^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{B}_G^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in SL_4(\mathbb{Z}).$$

It would be interesting to extend such results to semi-stable partitions of a polytope Δ in an arbitrary number of subpolytopes.

References

- [1] V. Alexeev, *Complete moduli in the presence of semiabelian group action*, Ann. Math., **155** (2002) 611–708.
- [2] D. Cox, *What is a toric variety?*, Topics in algebraic geometry and geometric modeling, 203–223, Contemp. Math., **334**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2003); also available at <http://www.amherst.edu/~dcox/>
- [3] W. Fulton, *Introduction to Toric Varieties*, Ann. of Math. Studies, **13**, Princeton University Press, (1993).
- [4] Maplesoft. Maple10. [<http://maplesoft.com>].
- [5] S. Hu, *Semistable Degeneration of Toric Varieties and Their Hypersurfaces*, Communications in Analysis and Geometry, Volume 14, Number 1 (2006), 59–89; arXiv:math.AG/0110091, (2001) 1-26.
- [6] M. Marchisio - V. Perduca, *On Some Properties of Explicit Toric Degenerations*, Bollettino U.M.I. (8) **9-B** (2006), 779-784.
- [7] T. Oda, *Convex Bodies and Algebraic Geometry*, **15**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg (1988).
- [8] F. Sottile, *Toric ideals, real toric varieties, and the moment map*, Topics in algebraic geometry and geometric modeling, 225–240, Contemp. Math., **334**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2003); arXiv:math.AG/0212044.
- [9] B. Sturmfels, *Gröbner Bases and Convex Polytopes*, American Mathematical Society, University Lecture Series, Volume 8, Providence, RI (1996). MR **97b**:13034.

Marina Marchisio
Università di Torino
Dipartimento di Matematica
Via Carlo Alberto, 10
10123 Torino (Italy)
marina.marchisio@unito.it

Vittorio Perduca
Università di Torino
Dipartimento di Matematica
Via Carlo Alberto, 10
10123 Torino (Italy)
vittorio.perduca@unito.it