

Non-intersecting squared Bessel paths and multiple orthogonal polynomials for modified Bessel weights

A.B.J. Kuijlaars, A. Martínez-Finkelshtein, and F. Wielonsky

Abstract

We study a model of n non-intersecting squared Bessel processes in the confluent case: all paths start at time $t = 0$ at the same positive value $x = a$, remain positive, and are conditioned to end at time $t = T$ at $x = 0$. In the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, after appropriate rescaling, the paths fill out a region in the tx -plane that we describe explicitly. In particular, the paths initially stay away from the hard edge at $x = 0$, but at a certain critical time t^* the smallest paths hit the hard edge and from then on are stuck to it. For $t \neq t^*$ we obtain the usual scaling limits from random matrix theory, namely the sine, Airy, and Bessel kernels. A key fact is that the positions of the paths at any time t constitute a multiple orthogonal polynomial ensemble, corresponding to a system of two modified Bessel-type weights. As a consequence, there is a 3×3 matrix valued Riemann-Hilbert problem characterizing this model, that we analyze in the large n limit using the Deift-Zhou steepest descent method. There are some novel ingredients in the Riemann-Hilbert analysis that are of independent interest.

1 Introduction

Determinantal point processes are of considerable current interest in probability theory and mathematical physics, since they arise naturally in random matrix theory, non-intersecting paths, certain combinatorial and stochastic growth models and representation theory of large groups, see e.g. Deift [22], Johansson [31], Katori and Tanemura [37], Borodin and Olshanski [11], and many other papers cited therein. See also the surveys of Soshnikov [49], König [38], Hough et al. [30], and Johansson [32].

A determinantal point process is characterized by a correlation kernel K such that for every m the m -point correlation function (or joint intensities) takes the determinantal form

$$\det [K(x_j, x_k)]_{j,k=1,\dots,m}$$

We will only consider determinantal point processes on \mathbb{R} .

As pointed out by Borodin [9] certain determinantal point processes arise as biorthogonal ensembles, i.e., joint probability density functions on \mathbb{R}^n of the form

$$\mathcal{P}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{Z_n} \det[f_j(x_k)]_{j,k=1,\dots,n} \det[g_j(x_k)]_{j,k=1,\dots,n} \quad (1.1)$$

for certain given functions f_1, \dots, f_n , and g_1, \dots, g_n . The correlation kernel is then given by

$$K(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^n \phi_j(x) \psi_j(y) \quad (1.2)$$

where $\phi_j, \psi_j, j = 1, \dots, n$ are such that

$$\text{span}\{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n\} = \text{span}\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}, \quad \text{span}\{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n\} = \text{span}\{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$$

and they have the biorthogonality property

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_j(x) \psi_k(x) dx = \delta_{j,k}.$$

The joint probability distribution function for the eigenvalues of unitary invariant ensembles of random Hermitian matrices $(1/\tilde{Z}_n) e^{-\text{Tr} V(M)} dM$ has the form (1.1) where

$$f_j(x) = g_j(x) = x^{j-1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}V(x)}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (1.3)$$

Orthogonalizing the functions (1.3) leads to

$$\phi_j(x) = \psi_j(x) = p_{j-1}(x) e^{-\frac{1}{2}V(x)}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where p_{j-1} is the orthonormal polynomial of degree $j-1$ with respect to the weight $e^{-V(x)}$ on \mathbb{R} . The kernel (1.2) is then the orthogonal polynomial kernel, also called the Christoffel-Darboux kernel because of the Christoffel-Darboux formula for orthogonal polynomials, and the ensemble is called an orthogonal polynomial ensemble [38].

Other examples for biorthogonal ensembles arise in the context of non-intersecting paths as follows. Consider a one-dimensional diffusion process $X(t)$ (i.e., a strong Markov process on \mathbb{R} with continuous sample paths) with transition probability functions $p_t(x, y)$, $t > 0$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Take n independent copies $X_j(t)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, conditioned so that

- $X_j(0) = a_j$, $X_j(T) = b_j$, where $T > 0$, and $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n$, $b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_n$ are given values,
- the paths do not intersect for $0 < t < T$.

It then follows from a remarkable theorem of Karlin and McGregor [33] that the positions of the paths at any given time $t \in (0, T)$ have the joint probability density (1.1) with functions

$$f_j(x) = p_t(a_j, x), \quad g_j(x) = p_{T-t}(x, b_j), \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

[Properly speaking the joint probability density function is first defined for ordered n -tuples $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n$ only. It is extended in a symmetric way to all of \mathbb{R}^n .]

An important feature of determinantal point processes is that they seem to have universal limits. By now, this is well-established for the eigenvalue distributions of unitary random matrix ensembles. Indeed if K_n is the eigenvalue correlation kernel for the random matrix ensemble (note the n -dependence of the potential)

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{Z}_n} e^{-n \text{Tr} V(M)} dM$$

then we have under mild assumptions on V that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} K_n(x, x) =: \rho(x)$$

exists. In addition if V is real analytic, and if x^* is in the bulk of the spectrum (i.e., $\rho(x^*) > 0$), then (see [24])

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n\rho(x^*)} K_n \left(x^* + \frac{x}{n\rho(x^*)}, x^* + \frac{y}{n\rho(x^*)} \right) = \frac{\sin \pi(x-y)}{\pi(x-y)}. \quad (1.4)$$

Universality of local eigenvalue statistics is expressed by (1.4) in the sense that the sine kernel arises as the limit regardless of V and x^* . The universality (1.4) is extended in many ways and (as its name suggests) under very mild assumptions (see the recent works [43, 44]).

The limit (1.4) does not hold at special points x^* of the spectrum where $\rho(x^*) = 0$. However it turns out that K_n has scaling limits at such special points that are determined by the macroscopic nature of x^* , and in that sense they are again universal (see e.g. [14, 15, 16, 17, 23]).

It is reasonable to expect that such universal limit results hold generically for non-intersecting paths as well, although results are more sparse. For recent progress related to discrete random walks, random tilings and random matrices with external source see [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 47, 50].

It is the aim of this paper to study a model of n non-intersecting squared Bessel processes in the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$. Recall that if $\{\mathbf{X}(t) : t \geq 0\}$ is a d -dimensional Brownian motion, then the diffusion process

$$R(t) = \|\mathbf{X}(t)\|_2 = \sqrt{X_1(t)^2 + \dots + X_d(t)^2}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

is the *Bessel process* with parameter $\alpha = \frac{d}{2} - 1$, while $R^2(t)$ is the *squared Bessel process* usually denoted by BESQ^d (see e.g. [34, Ch. 7], [39]). These are an important family of diffusion processes which have applications in finance and other areas. The well known Cox-Ingersoll-Ross (CIR) model in finance describing the short term evolution of interest rates or different models of the growth optimal portfolio (GOP) represent important examples of squared Bessel processes [29, 48]. The Bessel process $R(t)$ for $d = 1$ reduces to the Brownian motion reflected at the origin, while for $d = 3$ it is connected with the Brownian motion absorbed at the origin [36, 37].

A system of n particles performing BESQ^d conditioned never to collide with each other and conditioned to start and end at the origin, can be realized as a process of eigenvalues of a hermitian matrix-valued diffusion process, known as the *chiral* or *Laguerre ensemble*, see e.g. [27, 35, 39, 51] and below. In this paper we consider the case where all particles start at the same positive value $a > 0$ and end at 0. Of particular interest here is the interaction of the non-intersecting paths with the hard edge at 0. Due to the nature of the squared Bessel process, the paths starting at a positive value remain positive, but they are conditioned to end at time T at 0. After appropriate rescaling we will see that in the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ the paths fill out a region in the tx -plane. The paths start at $t = 0$ and initially stay away from the hard edge at $x = 0$. At a certain critical time the smallest paths hit the hard edge and from then on are stuck to it. The phase transition at the critical time is a new feature of the present model. It is a new soft-to-hard edge transition.

We are able to analyze the model in great detail since in the confluent case the biorthogonal ensemble reduces to a multiple orthogonal polynomial ensemble, as we will show in Subsection 2 below. The correlation kernel for the multiple orthogonal polynomial ensemble is expressed via a 3×3 matrix-valued Riemann-Hilbert (RH) problem [6, 20].

We analyze the RH problem in the large n limit using the Deift-Zhou steepest descent method for RH problems [26]. There are some novel ingredients in our analysis which we

feel are of independent interest. First of all, there is a first preliminary transformation which makes use of the explicit structure of the RH jump matrix. It contains the modified Bessel functions I_α and $I_{\alpha+1}$ and we use the explicit properties of Bessel functions. A result of the first transformation is that a jump is created on the negative real axis, see Section 3.

The multiple orthogonal polynomials for modified Bessel functions were studied before by Coussement and Van Assche [18, 19]. We use their results to make an ansatz about an underlying Riemann surface that allows us to define the second transformation in the steepest descent analysis in Section 4. The use of the Riemann surface is similar to what is done in [7, 42]. In the appendix we mention an alternative approach via equilibrium measures and associated g -functions. The further steps in the RH analysis follow the general scheme laid out by Deift et al. [24, 25] in the context of orthogonal polynomials. An important feature of the present situation is that there is an unbounded cut along the negative real axis and we have to deal with this technical issue in the construction of the global parametrix in Section 6. The construction of the local parametrices at the hard edge 0 also presents a new technical issue, see Section 8.

The main results of the paper are stated in the next section.

2 Statement of results

2.1 Squared Bessel processes

The transition probability density of a squared Bessel process with parameter $\alpha > -1$ is given by (see [12, 39])

$$p_t^\alpha(x, y) = \frac{1}{2t} \left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^{\alpha/2} e^{-(x+y)/(2t)} I_\alpha\left(\frac{\sqrt{xy}}{t}\right), \quad x, y > 0, \quad (2.1)$$

$$p_t^\alpha(0, y) = \frac{y^\alpha}{(2t)^{\alpha+1} \Gamma(\alpha+1)} e^{-y/(2t)}, \quad y > 0, \quad (2.2)$$

where I_α denotes the modified Bessel function of the first kind of order α ,

$$I_\alpha(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z/2)^{2k+\alpha}}{k! \Gamma(k+\alpha+1)}; \quad (2.3)$$

see [1, Section 9.6] for the main properties of the modified Bessel functions. If $d = 2(\alpha+1)$ is an integer, then the squared Bessel process can be seen as the square of the distance to the origin of a d -dimensional standard Brownian motion.

If the starting points a_j and the endpoints b_j are all different, then (as explained in the introduction) the positions of the paths at a fixed time $t \in (0, T)$ have a joint probability density

$$\mathcal{P}_{n,t}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{Z_{n,t}} \det [p_t^\alpha(a_j, x_k)]_{j,k=1,\dots,n} \det [p_{T-t}^\alpha(x_j, b_k)]_{j,k=1,\dots,n},$$

where $Z_{n,t}$ is the normalization constant such that

$$\int_{(0,\infty)^n} \mathcal{P}_{n,t}(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_1 \cdots dx_n = 1.$$

This is a biorthogonal ensemble (1.1) with functions

$$f_j(x) = p_t^\alpha(a_j, x), \quad g_j(x) = p_{T-t}^\alpha(x, b_j).$$

We are going to take the confluent limit $a_j \rightarrow a > 0$, and $b_j \rightarrow 0$. Then the biorthogonal ensemble structure is preserved. In our first result we identify the functions f_j and g_j for this situation.

Proposition 2.1. *In the confluent limit $a_j \rightarrow a > 0$, $b_j \rightarrow 0$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, the positions of the non-intersecting squared Bessel paths at time $t \in (0, T)$ are a biorthogonal ensemble with functions*

$$f_{2j-1}(x) = x^{j-1} p_t^\alpha(a, x), \quad j = 1, \dots, n_1 := \lceil n/2 \rceil, \quad (2.4)$$

$$f_{2j}(x) = x^{j-1} p_t^{\alpha+1}(a, x), \quad j = 1, \dots, n_2 := n - n_1, \quad (2.5)$$

$$g_j(x) = x^{j-1} e^{-\frac{x}{2(T-t)}}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. In the confluent limit $a_j \rightarrow a$, the linear space spanned by the functions $y \mapsto p_t^\alpha(a_j, y)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, tends to the linear space spanned by

$$y \mapsto \frac{\partial^{j-1}}{\partial x^{j-1}} p_t^\alpha(a, y), \quad j = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2.7)$$

Using the differential relations satisfied by the transition probabilities, (see e.g. [1] or [18, 19]):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} p_t^\alpha(x, y) &= \frac{1}{2t} (p_t^{\alpha+1}(x, y) - p_t^\alpha(x, y)), \\ x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} p_t^{\alpha+1}(x, y) &= \frac{y}{2t} p_t^\alpha(x, y) - \left(\frac{x}{2t} + \alpha + 1 \right) p_t^{\alpha+1}(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

it is easily shown inductively, that the linear span of (2.7) is the same as the linear space spanned by

$$\begin{aligned} y \mapsto y^{j-1} p_t^\alpha(a, y), \quad j = 1, \dots, n_1, \\ y \mapsto y^{j-1} p_t^{\alpha+1}(a, y), \quad j = 1, \dots, n_2 \end{aligned}$$

which are exactly the functions in (2.4), (2.5).

Next, the linear space spanned by the functions $x \mapsto p_{T-t}^\alpha(x, b_j)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, tends in the confluent limit $b_j \rightarrow 0$ to the linear space spanned by the functions

$$x \mapsto \frac{\partial^{j-1}}{\partial y^{j-1}} \left[y^{-\alpha} p_{T-t}^\alpha(x, y) \right] \Big|_{y=0}. \quad (2.8)$$

By (2.1) and (2.3) we have that

$$y^{-\alpha} p_{T-t}^\alpha(x, y) = \frac{1}{(2(T-t))^{\alpha+1}} e^{-(x+y)/(2(T-t))} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(xy)^k}{k! \Gamma(k + \alpha + 1) (2(T-t))^{2k}}$$

which is an entire function in y of the form

$$y^{-\alpha} p_{T-t}^\alpha(x, y) = e^{-\frac{x}{2(T-t)}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k(x) y^k$$

where each $P_k(x)$ is a polynomial in x of exact degree k . Thus the linear space spanned by the functions (2.8) is equal to the linear space spanned by the functions (2.6), which completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Remark 2.2. In the next subsection we will see how Proposition 2.1 allows us to identify the ensemble of non-intersecting squared Bessel paths at any time $t \in (0, T)$ as a multiple orthogonal polynomial ensemble. For the transition probability density of the (non-squared) Bessel process the calculations as in the proof of Proposition 2.1 would not work and in fact the positions of non-intersecting Bessel paths are not a multiple orthogonal polynomial ensemble. This is the reason why we concentrate on squared Bessel paths.

Of course, by taking square roots we can transplant results on non-intersecting squared Bessel paths to non-intersecting Bessel paths, see Remark 2.10 below.

2.2 Multiple orthogonal polynomial ensemble

According to Proposition 2.1 the biorthogonal ensemble in the confluent case is an example of what we call a multiple orthogonal polynomial ensemble. A multiple orthogonal polynomial ensemble in general may involve an arbitrary number of weights and an arbitrary multi-index, but we will discuss here the case of weight functions $\widehat{w}_0, \widehat{w}_1, \widehat{w}_2$ and a multi-index (n_1, n_2) where $n_1 + n_2 = n$ and $n_1 = \lceil n/2 \rceil$. We take functions

$$f_{2j-1}(x) = x^{j-1} \widehat{w}_1(x), \quad f_{2j}(x) = x^{j-1} \widehat{w}_2(x)$$

and

$$g_j(x) = x^{j-1} \widehat{w}_0(x), \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

and we use these functions for a biorthogonal ensemble (1.1). Note that in the squared Bessel case, we have by Proposition 2.1 and (2.1) that (where we drop irrelevant constants)

$$\widehat{w}_1(x) = x^{\alpha/2} e^{-\frac{x}{2t}} I_{\alpha} \left(\frac{\sqrt{ax}}{t} \right) \quad (2.9)$$

$$\widehat{w}_2(x) = x^{(\alpha+1)/2} e^{-\frac{x}{2t}} I_{\alpha+1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{ax}}{t} \right) \quad (2.10)$$

$$\widehat{w}_0(x) = e^{-\frac{x}{2(T-t)}} \quad (2.11)$$

The biorthogonalization process leads to bases ϕ_j, ψ_j , $j = 1, \dots, n$, and we may take them so that

$$\phi_j(x) = A_{j-1,1}(x) \widehat{w}_1(x) + A_{j-1,2}(x) \widehat{w}_2(x), \quad \psi_j(x) = B_{j-1}(x) \widehat{w}_0(x),$$

where $A_{j-1,1}$ and $A_{j-1,2}$ are polynomials of degrees $\lceil (j-1)/2 \rceil$ and $\lfloor (j-1)/2 \rfloor$, respectively, and B_{j-1} is a monic polynomial of degree $j-1$. The biorthogonality property is

$$\int (A_{j,1} w_1(x) + A_{j,2} w_2(x)) B_k(x) dx = \delta_{j,k}, \quad j, k = 0, \dots, n-1, \quad (2.12)$$

where we have put

$$w_1(x) = \widehat{w}_0(x) \widehat{w}_1(x), \quad w_2(x) = \widehat{w}_0(x) \widehat{w}_2(x). \quad (2.13)$$

The polynomials $A_{j,1}$ and $A_{j,2}$ satisfying (2.12) are called multiple orthogonal polynomials of type I and the polynomials B_k are called multiple orthogonal polynomials of type II. The correlation kernel

$$\widehat{K}_n(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^n \phi_j(x) \psi_j(y) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (A_{j,1} \widehat{w}_1(x) + A_{j,2} \widehat{w}_2(x)) B_j(y) \widehat{w}_0(y)$$

is called a multiple orthogonal polynomial kernel. We will use the equivalent form (it is equivalent since it gives rise to the same m -point correlation functions)

$$K_n(x, y) = \frac{\widehat{w}_0(x)}{\widehat{w}_0(y)} \widehat{K}_n(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^n (A_{j,1} w_1(x) + A_{j,2} w_2(x)) B_j(y) \quad (2.14)$$

which has a characterization through a RH problem, [6, 20]

$$K_n(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i(x-y)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & w_1(y) & w_2(y) \end{pmatrix} Y_+^{-1}(y) Y_+(x) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where Y is a solution of the following 3×3 matrix valued RH problem.

1. Y is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$.
2. On the real axis, Y possesses continuous boundary values Y_+ (from the upper half plane) and Y_- (from the lower half plane), and

$$Y_+(x) = Y_-(x) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & w_1(x) & w_2(x) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.15)$$

3. $Y(z)$ has the following behavior at infinity:

$$Y(z) = \left(I + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) \right) \begin{pmatrix} z^n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z^{-n_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z^{-n_2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \rightarrow \infty, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.16)$$

If the weight functions are not defined on the whole real line (as it will be for the non-intersecting squared Bessel paths: the case of interest in this paper), we have to supplement the RH problem with appropriate conditions at the endpoints. The RH problem is an extension of the RH problem for orthogonal polynomials of Fokas, Its, and Kitaev [28] to multiple orthogonal polynomials due to Van Assche et al. [52].

In this paper we have by (2.9), (2.10), (2.11), and (2.13)

$$\begin{aligned} w_1(x) &= x^{\alpha/2} \exp\left(-\frac{Tx}{2t(T-t)}\right) I_\alpha\left(\frac{\sqrt{ax}}{t}\right), \\ w_2(x) &= x^{(\alpha+1)/2} \exp\left(-\frac{Tx}{2t(T-t)}\right) I_{\alpha+1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{ax}}{t}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

The weights are defined on $[0, \infty)$ so that the jump condition (2.15) only holds for $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and the RH problem (2.15), (2.16) is supplemented with the following endpoint condition.

4. $Y(z)$ has the following behavior near the origin, as $z \rightarrow 0$, $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$Y(z) = \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & h(z) & 1 \\ 1 & h(z) & 1 \\ 1 & h(z) & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{with } h(z) = \begin{cases} |z|^\alpha, & \text{if } -1 < \alpha < 0, \\ \log |z|, & \text{if } \alpha = 0, \\ 1, & \text{if } 0 < \alpha. \end{cases} \quad (2.18)$$

The \mathcal{O} condition in (2.18) is to be taken entrywise.

2.3 Multiple orthogonal polynomials for modified Bessel weights

We are fortunate that the multiple orthogonal polynomials associated with the weights (2.17) were studied before by Coussement and Van Assche [18, 19]. They showed that all polynomials $A_{j,1}$, $A_{j,2}$ and B_k exist so that the above RH problem has a unique solution and

$$\det Y(z) \equiv 1, \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+.$$

In addition B_k satisfies interesting recurrence and differential relations which they were able to identify explicitly.

The type II multiple orthogonal polynomials B_k satisfy a four term recurrence relation

$$xB_k(x) = B_{k+1}(x) + b_k B_k(x) + c_k B_{k-1}(x) + d_k B_{k-2}(x)$$

with recurrence coefficients that are obtained from [19, Theorem 9] after appropriate rescaling and identification of parameters

$$\begin{aligned} b_k &= \frac{a(T-t)^2}{T^2} + \frac{2t(T-t)}{T}(2k + \alpha + 1), \\ c_k &= \frac{4at(T-t)^3}{T^3}k + \frac{4t^2(T-t)^2}{T^2}k(k + \alpha), \\ d_k &= \frac{4at^2(T-t)^4}{T^4}k(k-1). \end{aligned}$$

In addition $y = B_n$ is a solution of the third order differential equation [19, Theorem 11]

$$\begin{aligned} xy''' + \left(-\frac{Tx}{t(T-t)} + \alpha + 2 \right) y'' \\ + \left(\frac{T^2}{4t^2(T-t)^2}x + \frac{(n-\alpha-2)T}{2t(T-t)} - \frac{a}{4t^2} \right) y' - \frac{nT^2}{4t^2(T-t)^2}y = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

2.4 Time scaling and large n limit

We want to analyze the kernel K_n from (2.14) in the large n limit. To obtain interesting results, we make the time variable depend on the number n of paths. Hence, we rescale the time in an appropriate way, namely we replace the variables t and T

$$t \mapsto \frac{t}{2n}, \quad T \mapsto \frac{1}{2n},$$

so that $0 < t < 1$. Thus, the system of weights (2.17) now becomes n -dependent

$$\begin{aligned} w_1(x) = w_{1,n}(x) &= x^{\alpha/2} \exp\left(-\frac{nx}{t(1-t)}\right) I_\alpha\left(\frac{2n\sqrt{ax}}{t}\right), \\ w_2(x) = w_{2,n}(x) &= x^{(\alpha+1)/2} \exp\left(-\frac{nx}{t(1-t)}\right) I_{\alpha+1}\left(\frac{2n\sqrt{ax}}{t}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

Alternatively, we could have performed space scaling, putting $T = 1$ and replacing the position variable x with $2nx$ and the starting position a with $2na$.

After the change of time parameters $t \mapsto t/(2n)$, $T \mapsto 1/(2n)$ the differential equation (2.19) turns into (with x replaced by z)

$$zy'''(z) + \left((2 + \alpha) - \frac{2nz}{t(1-t)} \right) y''(z) + \left(\frac{n^2z}{t^2(1-t)^2} + \frac{n(n-\alpha-2)}{t(1-t)} - \frac{an^2}{t^2} \right) y'(z) - \frac{n^3}{t^2(1-t)^2} y(z) = 0, \quad (2.21)$$

Expressing (2.21) in terms of the scaled logarithmic derivative $\zeta = y'/(ny)$ and keeping only the dominant terms with respect to n as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we arrive at the algebraic equation for $\zeta = \zeta(z)$,

$$z\zeta^3 - \frac{2z}{t(1-t)}\zeta^2 + \left(\frac{z}{t^2(1-t)^2} + \frac{1}{t(1-t)} - \frac{a}{t^2} \right) \zeta - \frac{1}{t^2(1-t)^2} = 0, \quad (2.22)$$

which will play a central role in what follows. By solving for z , it may be written as

$$z = \frac{1 - k\zeta}{\zeta(1 - t(1-t)\zeta)^2}, \quad k = (1-t)(t - a(1-t)). \quad (2.23)$$

Proposition 2.3. *For every $t \in (0, 1)$ the three-sheeted Riemann surface associated with (2.23) has four branch points at 0 , ∞ , p and q with $p < q$. There is a critical time*

$$t^* = \frac{a}{a+1} \in (0, 1)$$

such that

Case 1: for $t < t^*$ we have $0 < p < q$,

Case 2: for $t > t^*$ we have $p < 0 < q$,

Case 3: for $t = t^*$ we have $p = 0 < q$.

Note that the three cases correspond to $k < 0$, $k > 0$, and $k = 0$, respectively, where k is the constant in (2.23). The proof of Proposition 2.3 follows from the discussion in Section 4.

In this paper we are going to analyze Case 1 and Case 2. In order to handle the two cases simultaneously, we shall denote the real branch points by $p_- < p_+ < q$, where

$$p_- = \min(0, p), \quad p_+ = \max(0, p).$$

Functions defined on the Riemann surface associated with (2.23) will play a major role in the steepest descent analysis. There is an alternative approach based on an equilibrium problem for logarithmic potentials and so-called g -functions. We briefly outline this approach in the appendix of this paper.

2.5 Statement of results

We state our results for the kernel (2.14),

$$K_n(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i(x-y)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & w_1(y) & w_2(y) \end{pmatrix} Y_+^{-1}(y) Y_+(x) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.24)$$

where Y is the solution of the RH problem (2.15), (2.16), (2.18) with weights w_1 and w_2 as in (2.20). Note that K_n depends on $a > 0$ and $t \in (0, 1)$. In the following a will be fixed. To indicate the dependence on t we occasionally write

$$K_n(x, y) = K_n(x, y; t).$$

To emphasize the dependence of the branch points on t we may write $p(t)$, $q(t)$, $p_-(t)$, and $p_+(t)$.

Theorem 2.4. *Under the rescaling described above, the following hold.*

For every $t \in (0, 1)$, the limiting mean density of the positions of the paths at time t

$$\rho(x) = \rho(x; t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} K_n(x, x; t)$$

exists, and is supported on the interval $[p_+(t), q(t)] \subset [0, \infty)$. The density ρ satisfies

$$\rho(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} |\operatorname{Im} \zeta(x)|, \quad p_+(t) \leq x \leq q(t), \quad (2.25)$$

where $\zeta = \zeta(x)$ is a non-real solution of the equation (2.23).

From Theorem 2.4 it follows that as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the non-intersecting squared Bessel processes fill out a simply connected region in the tx -plane given by

$$0 < t < 1, \quad p_+(t) < x < q(t).$$

This region can be seen in Figure 1.

From the definition of $p_+(t)$ and $q(t)$ as branch points of the Riemann surface for (2.22) it may be shown that $x = p_+(t)$, $x = q(t)$ are solutions of the algebraic equation

$$4ax^3 + x^2(t^2 - 20at(1-t) - 8a^2(1-t)^2) - 4x(1-t)(t - a(1-t))^3 = 0. \quad (2.26)$$

The locus of this algebraic curve in $0 < t < 1$, $x > 0$ gives us the boundary curve. Observe that it depends only on a , and is independent from the parameter α .

There are some peculiar features of the boundary curve, which may be checked by direct calculation that we leave to the reader.

Corollary 2.5. *For every $a > 0$ we have the following.*

- (a) *The lower boundary curve $x = p_+(t)$ is positive for $t < t^* = a/(a+1)$ and it is zero for $t \geq t^*$. At $t = t^*$ it has continuous first and second order derivatives.*
- (b) *The upper boundary curve $x = q(t)$ has a slope*

$$q'(1) = -4$$

at $t = 1$ which is independent of the value of a .

- (c) *The upper boundary curve $x = q(t)$ is concave if $a \leq 1$. It is not concave on the full interval $[0, 1]$ if $a > 1$.*
- (d) *The maximum of the upper boundary curve $x = q(t)$ is $a + 1$.*




Figure 1: Numerical simulation of 50 rescaled non-intersecting BESQ^2 with $a = 1$ (top) and $a = 5$ (bottom). Bold line is the boundary of the domain described in Theorem 2.4.

By continuity the results of Theorem 2.4 and Corollary 2.5 continue to hold for $a = 0$, which is the case of non-intersecting squared Bessel bridges [35].

Remark 2.6. The numerical experiments leading to Figure 1 have been carried out exploiting the connection of the non-intersecting squared Bessel paths with the matrix-valued Laguerre process, as described in [36, 39]. Indeed, let $\alpha \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and b_{jk}, \tilde{b}_{jk} , $1 \leq j \leq n + \alpha$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, be independent one-dimensional standard Brownian motions. Consider the $(n + \alpha) \times n$ matrix-valued process $M(t) = (m_{jk})$ with entries $m_{jk}(t) = b_{jk}(t) + i\tilde{b}_{jk}(t)$ and define the $n \times n$ symmetric positive definite matrix-valued process, called the Laguerre process, by

$$\Xi(t) = M(t)^* M(t), \quad t \in [0, +\infty),$$

where $M(t)^*$ denotes the conjugate transpose of $M(t)$. Then the process of eigenvalues of $\Xi(t)$ and the noncolliding n -particle system of BESQ^d , with $d = 2(\alpha + 1)$, are equivalent in distribution.

Finally, in the non-critical case $t \neq t^*$ we find the usual scaling limits from random matrix theory, namely the sine, Airy, and Bessel kernels.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $t \neq t^*$. Then for $x^* \in (p_+(t), q(t))$, we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n\rho(x^*)} K_n \left(x^* + \frac{x}{n\rho(x^*)}, x^* + \frac{y}{n\rho(x^*)} \right) = \frac{\sin \pi(x-y)}{\pi(x-y)}$$

uniformly for x and y in compact subsets of \mathbb{R} .

Theorem 2.8. *Let $t \neq t^*$. Then for some constant $c > 0$,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{cn^{2/3}} K_n \left(q(t) + \frac{x}{cn^{2/3}}, q(t) + \frac{y}{cn^{2/3}} \right) = \frac{\text{Ai}(x) \text{Ai}'(y) - \text{Ai}'(x) \text{Ai}(y)}{x-y}.$$

If $t < t^$, then for some constant $c > 0$,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{cn^{2/3}} K_n \left(p_+(t) - \frac{x}{cn^{2/3}}, p_+(t) - \frac{y}{cn^{2/3}} \right) = \frac{\text{Ai}(x) \text{Ai}'(y) - \text{Ai}'(x) \text{Ai}(y)}{x-y}.$$

Theorem 2.9. *Let $t > t^*$. Then for some constant $c > 0$, and $x, y > 0$,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{cn^2} K_n \left(\frac{x}{cn^2}, \frac{y}{cn^2} \right) = \left(\frac{y}{x} \right)^{\alpha/2} \frac{J_\alpha(\sqrt{x}) \sqrt{y} J'_\alpha(\sqrt{y}) - \sqrt{x} J'_\alpha(\sqrt{x}) J_\alpha(\sqrt{y})}{2(x-y)}.$$

In the bulk we find the sine kernel, at the soft edges we find the Airy kernel, and at the hard edge 0 we find the Bessel kernel of order α . Note that the factor $(y/x)^{\alpha/2}$ in the Bessel kernel is not important since it will not influence the determinantal correlation functions. This observation also explains why totally symmetric results are obtained if we reverse the process and study n non-intersecting BESQ^d paths starting at the origin and ending at a positive value a . Indeed, $(y/x)^{\alpha/2}$ is the only factor in the transition probabilities (2.1) that is not symmetric in its variables.

At $t = t^*$ there is a transition from the Airy kernel to the Bessel kernel. This is when the non-intersecting squared Bessel paths first hit the hard edge. The soft-to-hard edge transition is different from previous ones considered in [10, 15]. We will treat this transition in a separate publication.

Observe also that neither the boundary of the domain filled by the scaled paths, nor the behavior in the bulk or at the soft edge depends on the parameter α related to the dimension d of the BESQ^d. This dependency appears only in the interaction with the hard edge at $x = 0$. A possible interpretation may be that α is a measure for the interaction with the hard edge. It does not influence the global behavior as $n \rightarrow \infty$, but only the local behavior near 0.

Remark 2.10. By taking square roots we can transplant Theorems 2.4 and 2.7–2.9 to the case of non-intersecting Bessel paths. The correlation kernel for the positions of non-intersecting Bessel paths, starting at \sqrt{a} and ending at 0 is

$$2\sqrt{xy} K_n(x^2, y^2)$$

where K_n is the kernel (2.24) as before. It is then easy to show from Theorems 2.7 and 2.8 that the scaling limits are again the sine kernel in the bulk and the Airy kernel at the soft edges. At the hard edge however, Theorem 2.9 gives the scaling limit

$$\left(\frac{y}{x} \right)^\alpha \frac{\sqrt{xy} J_\alpha(x) y J'_\alpha(y) - x J'_\alpha(x) J_\alpha(y)}{x+y} \frac{1}{x-y}.$$

The proofs of Theorems 2.4, 2.7, 2.8, and 2.9 are given in Section 10. They follow from the steepest descent analysis of the RH problem for Y . The steepest descent analysis itself takes most of the paper, see Sections 3–9.

Since we will be dealing extensively with 3×3 matrices we find it useful to use the notation E_{ij} to denote the 3×3 elementary matrix whose entries are all 0, except for the (i, j) -th entry, which is 1. Thus

$$(E_{ij})_{k,l} = \delta_{i,k}\delta_{j,l} \quad (2.27)$$

for $i, j, k, l \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. The following properties can be easily checked and will be used without comment.

Lemma 2.11. (a) For $i, j, k, l \in \{1, 2, 3\}$,

$$E_{ij}E_{kl} = \begin{cases} E_{il}, & \text{if } j = k, \\ O, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(b) If $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $i \neq j$, then $I + cE_{ij}$ is invertible, and

$$(I + cE_{ij})^{-1} = I - cE_{ij}.$$

3 First transformation of the RH problem

We apply the Deift-Zhou method of steepest descent to the RH problem (2.15), (2.16), (2.18) with weights w_1 and w_2 given by (2.20) and with indices n_1 and n_2 as follows:

$$n_1 = \begin{cases} n/2, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ (n+1)/2, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad n_2 = \begin{cases} n/2, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ (n-1)/2, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

The steepest descent analysis has certain new features that have not appeared in the literature before.

A possible approach was suggested by Van Assche et al. in [52], since the system of weights (2.20) is a Nikishin system [46]. This means (in this case) that

$$\frac{w_2(x)}{w_1(x)} = x \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{d\sigma_n(u)}{x-u}, \quad (3.2)$$

where σ_n is a discrete measure on the negative real line, see [19, Theorem 1], with masses at the point

$$-(t j_{\alpha,k}/(2n\sqrt{a}))^2, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (3.3)$$

where $j_{\alpha,k}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, are the positive zeros of the Bessel function J_α . The approach of [52] would involve a preliminary transformation

$$X = Y \left(I - \frac{w_2}{w_1} E_{23} \right) \quad (3.4)$$

which would result in a jump condition

$$X_+ = X_- (I + w_1 E_{12}) \quad (3.5)$$

on \mathbb{R}_+ . Since $\frac{w_2}{w_1}$ has poles on the negative real line, the third column of X has poles on the negative real line, which could be described by residue conditions as in [4]. We might then continue as in [4] by turning the residue conditions into jump conditions. However we will not follow this approach and we will not use the transformation (3.4).

Instead, our first transformation is based on the special properties of the modified Bessel functions. We introduce the two functions

$$y_1(z) = z^{(\alpha+1)/2} I_{\alpha+1}(2\sqrt{z}), \quad y_2(z) = z^{(\alpha+1)/2} K_{\alpha+1}(2\sqrt{z}), \quad (3.6)$$

where $K_{\alpha+1}$ denotes the modified Bessel function of the second kind, see [1, Section 9.6] for its main properties. The functions y_1 and y_2 are defined and analytic in the complex plane with a branch cut along the negative real axis. The jumps on \mathbb{R}_- can be computed from the formulas 9.6.30 and 9.6.31 of [1]. We have

$$\begin{aligned} y_{1+}(x) &= e^{2i\alpha\pi} y_{1-}(x), & x < 0, \\ y_{2+}(x) &= y_{2-}(x) - i\pi e^{i\alpha\pi} y_{1-}(x), & x < 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

From the expressions for the derivatives of the modified Bessel functions, see [1, formulas 9.6.26], we deduce that

$$y_1'(z) = z^{\alpha/2} I_{\alpha}(2\sqrt{z}), \quad y_2'(z) = -z^{\alpha/2} K_{\alpha}(2\sqrt{z}). \quad (3.8)$$

The relations (3.6) and (3.8) imply that the weights w_1 and w_2 defined by (2.20) can be expressed in terms of the function y_1 and its derivative y_1' as

$$\begin{aligned} w_1(x) &= \tau^{-\alpha} \exp\left(-\frac{nx}{t(1-t)}\right) y_1'(\tau^2 x), \\ w_2(x) &= \tau^{-\alpha-1} \exp\left(-\frac{nx}{t(1-t)}\right) y_1(\tau^2 x). \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where we have put

$$\tau = \tau_n = \frac{n\sqrt{a}}{t}.$$

We also need the following wronskian relation, see formula 9.6.15 of [1],

$$y_1(z)y_2'(z) - y_1'(z)y_2(z) = -\frac{z^{\alpha}}{2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_-. \quad (3.10)$$

Now, we are in a position to define the first transformation of the RH problem (2.15)–(2.18). The aim of the first transformation is to modify the jump matrix in order to have only one remaining weight on \mathbb{R}_+ , as in (3.5), which is also simpler than the weights w_1 and w_2 . Indeed, relations (3.9) and (3.10) allow to remove the modified Bessel functions from the jumps, replacing them by a simple power function. The price we have to pay for the simpler jump on \mathbb{R}_+ will be a new jump appearing on \mathbb{R}_- and on two contours Δ_2^{\pm} that are taken as in Figure 2. We take Δ_2^+ as an unbounded contour in the second quadrant asymptotic to a ray $\arg z = \theta$ for some $\theta \in (\pi/2, \pi)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$, and meeting the real axis at the point $p_- \leq 0$. Its mirror image in the real axis is the contour Δ_2^- . The contours Δ_2^{\pm} are the boundary of a domain containing the interval $(-\infty, p_-)$ and we refer to this domain as the lens around $(-\infty, p_-)$.




Figure 2: Contour for the first transformation.

We define for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$,

$$\tilde{X}(z) = C_1 Y(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \tau \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2y_2(\tau^2 z) & -z^{-\alpha} y_1(\tau^2 z) \\ 0 & -2y_2'(\tau^2 z) & z^{-\alpha} y_1'(\tau^2 z) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tau^{-\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2\pi i \tau^{-\alpha} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.11)$$

where C_1 is the constant matrix

$$C_1 = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & i \frac{4(\alpha+1)^2 - 1}{16\tau} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (2\pi\tau)^{-1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i(2\pi\tau)^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{4\alpha^2 - 1}{16\tau} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (2\pi\tau)^{-1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i(2\pi\tau)^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (3.12)$$

Note that, in view of the wronskian relation (3.10), the determinant of the fifth matrix in the right-hand side of (3.11) is equal to $\tau^{2\alpha}$. Then it is easy to see that $\det \tilde{X}(z) \equiv 1$. The matrix $\tilde{X}(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ since the matrix $Y(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+$ and $y_1(\tau^2 z)$ and $y_2(\tau^2 z)$ are analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_-$. Now define

$$X(z) = \tilde{X}(z) \quad (3.13)$$

for z outside the lens around $(-\infty, p_-)$, and

$$X(z) = \tilde{X}(z) (I \mp e^{\pm\alpha\pi i} z^{-\alpha} E_{23}) \quad (3.14)$$

for z in the part of the lens bounded by Δ_2^\pm and $(-\infty, p_-)$. [Recall that E_{ij} is used to denote the elementary matrix (2.27).]

From (3.9), (3.10), the jump relations (3.7), and the fact that $Y(z)$ is the solution of the RH problem (2.15)–(2.18), one derives the jump relations (3.15)–(3.18) below. As $z \rightarrow 0$, we note the following behavior

$$\begin{aligned} y_1(z) &\sim \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} z^{\alpha+1}, & y_2(z) &\sim \frac{1}{2} \Gamma(\alpha+1), \\ y_1'(z) &\sim \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} z^\alpha, & y_2'(z) &\sim \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2} \Gamma(\alpha), & \alpha > 0, \\ \frac{1}{2} \log(z), & \alpha = 0, \\ -\frac{1}{2} \Gamma(-\alpha) z^\alpha, & \alpha < 0, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

which is a consequence of the known behavior of the modified Bessel functions near 0, see formulas 9.6.7–9.6.9 of [1]. This shows that $\tilde{X}(z)$ has the same kind of behavior as $Y(z)$ at the origin. The behavior of $X(z)$ near the origin is then also the same, except in case $p_- = 0$ and $\alpha \geq 0$, see (3.14). The result is that $X(z)$ is the solution of the following RH problem:

Proposition 3.1. *The matrix-valued function $X(z)$ defined by (3.11), (3.13), and (3.14) is the unique solution of the following RH problem.*

1. $X(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus (\mathbb{R} \cup \Delta_2^\pm)$.
2. $X(z)$ possesses continuous boundary values on $(\mathbb{R} \cup \Delta_2^\pm) \setminus \{0\}$ denoted by X_+ and X_- , where X_+ and X_- denote the limiting values of $X(z)$ as z approaches the contour from the left and the right, according to the orientation on \mathbb{R} and Δ_2^\pm as indicated in Figure 2, and

$$X_+(x) = X_-(x) \left(I + x^\alpha e^{-\frac{nx}{t(1-t)}} E_{12} \right) \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad (3.15)$$

$$X_+(x) = X_-(x) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -|x|^{-\alpha} \\ 0 & |x|^\alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in (-\infty, p_-), \quad (3.16)$$

$$X_+(x) = X_-(x) (I + |x|^\alpha E_{32}) \quad x \in (p_-, 0), \quad (3.17)$$

$$X_+(z) = X_-(z) (I + e^{\pm\alpha\pi i} z^{-\alpha} E_{23}) \quad z \in \Delta_2^\pm. \quad (3.18)$$

3. $X(z)$ has the following behavior near infinity:

$$X(z) = \left(I + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) \right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z^{(-1)^n/4} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z^{-(-1)^n/4} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z^{\alpha/2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z^{-\alpha/2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z^n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z^{-n/2} e^{-2n\sqrt{az}/t} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z^{-n/2} e^{2n\sqrt{az}/t} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.19)$$

uniformly as $z \rightarrow \infty$, $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$.

4. $X(z)$ has the same behavior as $Y(z)$ at the origin, see (2.18), either if $p_- < 0$ or if $z \rightarrow p_- = 0$ outside the lens around $(-\infty, p_-)$. If $p_- = 0$ and $z \rightarrow 0$ in the lens around $(-\infty, p_-)$, then

$$X(z) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & |z|^\alpha & 1 \\ 1 & |z|^\alpha & 1 \\ 1 & |z|^\alpha & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } \alpha < 0, \\ \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \log |z| & \log |z| \\ 1 & \log |z| & \log |z| \\ 1 & \log |z| & \log |z| \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } \alpha = 0, \\ \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & |z|^{-\alpha} \\ 1 & 1 & |z|^{-\alpha} \\ 1 & 1 & |z|^{-\alpha} \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } \alpha > 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.20)$$

Proof. Statements in items 1, 2 and 4 are proved by straightforward calculations. It only remains to check the asymptotic behavior at infinity given in item 3. This follows from the asymptotic expansions

$$\begin{aligned} y_1(z) &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} z^{\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{1}{4}} e^{2\sqrt{z}} \left(1 - \frac{4(\alpha+1)^2 - 1}{16\sqrt{z}} + \frac{(4(\alpha+1)^2 - 1)(4(\alpha+1)^2 - 9)}{512z} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z^{3/2}}\right) \right), \\ y_1'(z) &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} z^{\frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{1}{4}} e^{2\sqrt{z}} \left(1 - \frac{4\alpha^2 - 1}{16\sqrt{z}} + \frac{(4\alpha^2 - 1)(4\alpha^2 - 9)}{512z} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z^{3/2}}\right) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

as $z \rightarrow \infty$, $|\arg z| < \pi$, and

$$\begin{aligned} y_2(z) &= \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} z^{\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{1}{4}} e^{-2\sqrt{z}} \left(1 + \frac{4(\alpha+1)^2 - 1}{16\sqrt{z}} + \frac{(4(\alpha+1)^2 - 1)(4(\alpha+1)^2 - 9)}{512z} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z^{3/2}}\right) \right), \\ y_2'(z) &= -\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} z^{\frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{1}{4}} e^{-2\sqrt{z}} \left(1 + \frac{4\alpha^2 - 1}{16\sqrt{z}} + \frac{(4\alpha^2 - 1)(4\alpha^2 - 9)}{512z} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z^{3/2}}\right) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

as $z \rightarrow \infty$, $|\arg z| < 3\pi$. These formulas are consequences of the corresponding asymptotic expansions of the modified Bessel functions, see formulas (9.7.1)–(9.7.4) of [1].

It follows from (3.21) and (3.22) that

$$\begin{aligned} A(z) &:= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \tau \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2y_2(\tau^2 z) & -z^{-\alpha} y_1(\tau^2 z) \\ -2y_2'(\tau^2 z) & z^{-\alpha} y_1'(\tau^2 z) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tau^{-\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & -2\pi i \tau^{-\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \sqrt{\pi\tau} z^{\sigma_3/4} \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ 1 & -i \end{pmatrix} + \frac{D_1}{z^{1/2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ i & -1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{D_2}{z} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3/2}) \right] z^{\alpha\sigma_3/2} e^{-2\tau\sqrt{z}\sigma_3} \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

as $z \rightarrow \infty$, $|\arg z| < \pi$, where D_1 and D_2 are diagonal matrices

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= \frac{1}{16\tau} \begin{pmatrix} 4(\alpha+1)^2 - 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -i(4\alpha^2 - 1) \end{pmatrix}, \\ D_2 &= \frac{1}{512\tau^2} \begin{pmatrix} (4(\alpha+1)^2 - 1)(4(\alpha+1)^2 - 9) & 0 \\ 0 & -i(4\alpha^2 - 1)(4\alpha^2 - 9) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and $\sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ is the third Pauli matrix. Thus

$$A(z) = \sqrt{\pi\tau} z^{\sigma_3/4} \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} + \frac{D_1\sigma_2}{z^{1/2}} + \frac{D_2}{z} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3/2}) \right] \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix} z^{\alpha\sigma_3/2} e^{-2\tau\sqrt{z}\sigma_3} \quad (3.24)$$

where $\sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Now $\frac{D_2}{z}$ commutes with $z^{\sigma_3/4}$ since both are diagonal matrices. We also have

$$z^{\sigma_3/4} \frac{D_1\sigma_2}{z^{1/2}} = D_1 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ iz^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} z^{\sigma_3/4}.$$

The result is that (3.24) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} A(z) &= \sqrt{\pi\tau} \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} + D_1 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1}) \right] z^{\sigma_3/4} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix} z^{\alpha\sigma_3/2} e^{-2\tau\sqrt{z}\sigma_3} \\ &= \sqrt{2\pi\tau} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i\frac{4(\alpha+1)^2-1}{16\tau} \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} (I + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1})) z^{\sigma_3/4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix} z^{\alpha\sigma_3/2} e^{-2\tau\sqrt{z}\sigma_3} \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

as $z \rightarrow \infty$, $|\arg z| < \pi$.

Now if n is even we use (2.16) with $n_1 = n_2 = n/2$, see (3.1), along with (3.23), (3.25) in (3.11)–(3.13) to find that (3.19) holds as $z \rightarrow \infty$ in the region exterior to Δ_2^\pm . The asymptotics is uniform in that region.

If n is odd then $n_1 = n/2 + 1/2$ and $n_2 = n/2 - 1/2$, see (3.1). Then we need to analyze $z^{-\sigma_3/2}A(z)$ with A given by (3.23). A computation similar to the one that led to (3.25) gives us

$$z^{-\sigma_3/2}A(z) = \sqrt{2\pi\tau} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{4\alpha^2-1}{16\tau} & -i \end{pmatrix} (I + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1})) z^{\sigma_3/4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix} z^{\alpha\sigma_3/2} e^{-2\tau\sqrt{z}\sigma_3}$$

and (3.19) follows as well, taking into account the different formula (3.12) for the case n is odd.

The asymptotic formulas (3.21) are not valid uniformly up to the negative real axis. The special combination $y_1 - \frac{1}{\pi i} e^{\alpha\pi i} y_2$ however, does have the asymptotics (3.21) uniformly for $\pi/2 < \arg z \leq \pi$ and $y_1 + \frac{1}{\pi i} e^{-\alpha\pi i} y_2$ has the asymptotics (3.21) uniformly for $-\pi \leq \arg z < -\pi/2$. This can be seen from the formulas that connect the various Bessel functions (combine formulas 9.1.3-4, 9.1.35, 9.6.3-4 of [1])

$$\begin{aligned} y_1(z) - \frac{1}{\pi i} e^{\alpha\pi i} y_2(z) &= z^{(\alpha+1)/2} H_{\alpha+1}^{(1)}(2\sqrt{z}e^{-\pi i/2}), \\ y_1'(z) - \frac{1}{\pi i} e^{\alpha\pi i} y_2'(z) &= z^{\alpha/2} H_{\alpha}^{(1)}(2\sqrt{z}e^{-\pi i/2}), \\ y_1(z) + \frac{1}{\pi i} e^{-\alpha\pi i} y_2(z) &= z^{(\alpha+1)/2} H_{\alpha+1}^{(2)}(2\sqrt{z}e^{\pi i/2}), \\ y_1'(z) + \frac{1}{\pi i} e^{-\alpha\pi i} y_2'(z) &= z^{\alpha/2} H_{\alpha}^{(2)}(2\sqrt{z}e^{\pi i/2}), \end{aligned}$$

where $H_{\alpha}^{(1)}$ and $H_{\alpha}^{(2)}$ are the Hankel functions, and the asymptotic expansions (see [1, 9.2.7-10]) of the Hankel functions in the upper and lower half-planes, respectively. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} y_1(z) \mp \frac{1}{\pi i} e^{\pm\alpha\pi i} y_2(z) &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} z^{\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{1}{4}} e^{2\sqrt{z}} \left(1 - \frac{4(\alpha+1)^2 - 1}{16\sqrt{z}} + \frac{(4(\alpha+1)^2 - 1)(4(\alpha+1)^2 - 9)}{512z} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z^{3/2}}\right) \right), \\ y_1'(z) \mp \frac{1}{\pi i} e^{\pm\alpha\pi i} y_2'(z) &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} z^{\frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{1}{4}} e^{2\sqrt{z}} \left(1 - \frac{4\alpha^2 - 1}{16\sqrt{z}} + \frac{(4\alpha^2 - 1)(4\alpha^2 - 9)}{512z} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z^{3/2}}\right) \right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

uniformly as $z \rightarrow \infty$ in the region bounded by Δ_2^\pm and the negative real axis. Using the asymptotics (3.22) and (3.26), and the definition (3.14) of $X(z)$ in the regions bounded by Δ_2^\pm and the negative real axis, we obtain by the same calculations that (3.19) holds uniformly as $z \rightarrow \infty$ in these regions as well.

This completes the proof of Proposition 3.1. \square

4 The Riemann surface and the second transformation of the RH problem

The Riemann surface \mathcal{R} for the algebraic equation (2.22) plays an important role in the next transformation of the RH problem. We repeat it here in the form (2.23)

$$z = \frac{1 - k\zeta}{\zeta(1 - t(1 - t)\zeta)^2}, \quad k = (1 - t)(t - a(1 - t)). \quad (4.1)$$

There are three inverse functions to (4.1), which we choose such that as $z \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\zeta_1(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{(1 - t)(t + a(1 - t))}{z^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z^3}\right), \quad (4.2)$$

$$\zeta_2(z) = \frac{1}{t(1 - t)} - \frac{\sqrt{a}}{tz^{1/2}} - \frac{1}{2z} - \frac{t + 4a(1 - t)}{8\sqrt{a}z^{3/2}} - \frac{(1 - t)(t + a(1 - t))}{2z^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z^{5/2}}\right), \quad (4.3)$$

$$\zeta_3(z) = \frac{1}{t(1 - t)} + \frac{\sqrt{a}}{tz^{1/2}} - \frac{1}{2z} + \frac{t + 4a(1 - t)}{8\sqrt{a}z^{3/2}} - \frac{(1 - t)(t + a(1 - t))}{2z^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z^{5/2}}\right). \quad (4.4)$$

Here, as in the rest of the paper, all fractional powers are taken as principal branch, that is, positive on \mathbb{R}_+ , with the branch cut along \mathbb{R}_- . The behavior of these functions for real values of z can be deduced from Figure 3 which shows the graph of $z = z(\zeta)$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}$, and which also indicates the branches of the inverses $\zeta = \zeta_k(z)$ for real z .

It is straightforward to check that the discriminant of equation (2.22) is equal (up to a non-vanishing factor depending only on t) to the polynomial in the left hand side of (2.26). Its three roots along with the point at infinity constitute the four branch points of the Riemann surface \mathcal{R} . Analyzing the signs of the coefficients in (2.26) it is easy to show that, according to the value of $t \in (0, 1)$ with respect to the critical value $t = t^* = a/(a + 1)$, the following cases arise (see Figure 3):

- Case 1: $t \in (0, t^*)$, i.e., $k < 0$. The Riemann surface \mathcal{R} has three simple real branch points $0 < p < q$, plus a simple branch point at infinity. This is the left-most graph in Figure 3.
- Case 2: $t \in (t^*, 1)$, i.e., $k > 0$. The Riemann surface \mathcal{R} has three simple branch points $p < 0 < q$, plus a simple branch point at infinity. This is the right-most graph in Figure 3.
- Case 3: $t = t^*$, i.e., $k = 0$. This is the critical case where the Riemann surface \mathcal{R} has two real branch points, 0 and $q > 0$, 0 being degenerate (of order 2), and q being simple. The point at infinity is still a simple branch point of \mathcal{R} .

These assertions coincide with the statement of Proposition 2.3. The rest of the assertions of Corollary 2.5 is a consequence of straightforward although tedious computations based on equation (2.26).

In this paper, we shall analyze Case 1 and Case 2. The sheet structure of \mathcal{R} is shown in Figure 4. As before we use $p_- = \min(p, 0)$ and $p_+ = \max(p, 0)$. The sheets \mathcal{R}_1 and \mathcal{R}_2 are glued together along the cut $\Delta_1 = [p_+, q]$ and the sheets \mathcal{R}_3 and \mathcal{R}_2 are glued together along




Figure 3: Plots of $z = \frac{1-k\zeta}{\zeta(1-t(1-t)\zeta^2)}$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}$, for Case 1 ($k < 0$; left) and Case 2 ($k > 0$; right).

the cut $\Delta_2 = (-\infty, p_-]$. The functions ζ_1 , ζ_2 , ζ_3 are defined and analytic on the sheets \mathcal{R}_1 , \mathcal{R}_2 , and \mathcal{R}_3 respectively, and we have the jump relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{1\pm}(x) &= \zeta_{2\mp}(x), & x \in \Delta_1, \\ \zeta_{2\pm}(x) &= \zeta_{3\mp}(x), & x \in \Delta_2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

On Δ_2 , the function ζ_1 is real and ζ_2 and ζ_3 are complex conjugate, while on Δ_1 , the function ζ_3 is real and ζ_1 and ζ_2 are complex conjugate, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{1\pm}(x) &= \overline{\zeta_{2\pm}(x)}, & x \in \Delta_1, \\ \zeta_{2\pm}(x) &= \overline{\zeta_{3\pm}(x)}, & x \in \Delta_2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Near the origin, one may check from (4.1) that, as $z \rightarrow 0$,

$$\zeta_1(z) = \frac{1}{k} + \mathcal{O}(z), \quad \zeta_2(z) = -\frac{k_1}{z^{1/2}} + k_2 + \mathcal{O}(z^{1/2}), \quad \zeta_3(z) = \frac{k_1}{z^{1/2}} + k_2 + \mathcal{O}(z^{1/2}), \quad (4.7)$$

in Case 1 ($p_- = 0$), while

$$\zeta_1(z) = -\frac{k_1}{(-z)^{1/2}} + k_2 + \mathcal{O}(z^{1/2}), \quad \zeta_2(z) = \frac{k_1}{(-z)^{1/2}} + k_2 + \mathcal{O}(z^{1/2}), \quad \zeta_3(z) = \frac{1}{k} + \mathcal{O}(z), \quad (4.8)$$

in Case 2 ($p_+ = 0$), where we have set $k = (1-t)(t-a(1-t))$ as before, and

$$k_1 = -\frac{\sqrt{|k|}}{t(1-t)}, \quad k_2 = \frac{1}{t(1-t)} - \frac{1}{2k}.$$

Next, we introduce the integrals of the ζ -functions,

$$\lambda_1(z) = \int_q^z \zeta_1(s) ds, \quad (4.9)$$

$$\lambda_2(z) = \int_q^z \zeta_2(s) ds, \quad (4.10)$$

$$\lambda_3(z) = \int_{p_-}^z \zeta_3(s) ds + \lambda_{2-}(p_-). \quad (4.11)$$




Figure 4: The Riemann surface \mathcal{R} , $p_- = 0$ and $p_+ = p$ in Case 1, $p_- = p$ and $p_+ = 0$ in Case 2.

The functions λ_1 and λ_2 are defined and analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, q]$, and the function λ_3 is defined and analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, p_-]$. By (4.7)–(4.8), these functions are bounded in the neighborhood of each branch point p_-, p_+, q . By (4.6),

$$\lambda_{1\pm}(x) = \overline{\lambda_{2\pm}(x)}, \quad x \in \Delta_1. \quad (4.12)$$

From (4.2)–(4.4), it follows that, as $z \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\lambda_1(z) = \log z + \ell_1 - \frac{(1-t)(t+a(1-t))}{z} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z^2}\right), \quad (4.13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_2(z) = & \frac{z}{t(1-t)} - \frac{2\sqrt{az}}{t} - \frac{1}{2} \log z + \ell_2 \\ & + \frac{t+4a(1-t)}{4\sqrt{az}} + \frac{(1-t)(t+a(1-t))}{2z} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z^{3/2}}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_3(z) = & \frac{z}{t(1-t)} + \frac{2\sqrt{az}}{t} - \frac{1}{2} \log z + \ell_3 \\ & - \frac{t+4a(1-t)}{4\sqrt{az}} + \frac{(1-t)(t+a(1-t))}{2z} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z^{3/2}}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

where ℓ_j , $j = 1, 2, 3$, are certain integration constants.

We will need the following relation between ℓ_2 and ℓ_3 .

Lemma 4.1. *We have $\ell_3 = \ell_2 + \pi i$.*

Proof. By the definition of λ_2 and λ_3 we have for $z = -R$ on the lower side of the cut Δ_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)(z) &= \int_{(p_-)_-}^z \zeta_2(s) ds - \int_{p_-}^z \zeta_3(s) ds = \int_{p_-}^z (\zeta_{2-} - \zeta_{3-})(s) ds \\ &= \int_{p_-}^{-R} (\zeta_{3+} - \zeta_{3-})(s) ds = \int_{\gamma_{R,\varepsilon}} \zeta_3(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma_{R,\varepsilon}$ is a contour from $-R$ to $p_- - \varepsilon$ on the lower side of Δ_2 continued with the circle around p_- of radius ε , and then back from $p_- - \varepsilon$ to $-R$ on the upper side of Δ_2 . Here $\varepsilon > 0$ is taken sufficiently small. Then we write

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)(z) &= \int_{\gamma_{R,\varepsilon}} \left(\zeta_3(s) - \frac{1}{t(1-t)} - \frac{\sqrt{a}}{t(s-p_-)^{1/2}} + \frac{1}{2(s-p_-)} \right) ds \\ &\quad + \int_{\gamma_{R,\varepsilon}} \frac{\sqrt{a}}{t(s-p_-)^{1/2}} ds - \int_{\gamma_{R,\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{2(s-p_-)} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since the integrand of the first term on the right-hand side is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, p_-]$ and behaves as $\mathcal{O}(s^{-3/2})$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$ (due to (4.4)), it follows that the first term tends to 0 as $R \rightarrow \infty$. For the second term we have

$$\int_{\gamma_{z,\varepsilon}} \frac{\sqrt{a}}{t(s-p_-)^{1/2}} ds + \frac{4\sqrt{az}}{t} \rightarrow 0$$

as $R \rightarrow \infty$, $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, and the third term is just simply $-\pi i$. Thus

$$(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)(z) = -\frac{4\sqrt{az}}{t} - \pi i + o(1) \quad (4.16)$$

which gives the lemma in view of (4.14) and (4.15). \square

From (4.5), the definitions of the λ -functions, and the relations

$$\oint \zeta_1(s) ds = 2\pi i, \quad \oint \zeta_2(s) ds = -2\pi i,$$

(which follow by a residue calculation from the expansion (4.2) of ζ_1 at infinity), where the integrals are taken on a positively oriented closed contour around Δ_1 , we check that the following jump relations hold true,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{1+}(x) &= \lambda_{1-}(x) + 2\pi i, \quad x \in (-\infty, p_+], \\ \lambda_{1\pm}(x) &= \lambda_{2\mp}(x), \quad x \in \Delta_1 = [p_+, q], \\ \lambda_{2+}(x) &= \lambda_{2-}(x) - 2\pi i, \quad x \in [p_-, p_+], \\ \lambda_{2+}(x) &= \lambda_{3-}(x) - 2\pi i, \quad \lambda_{2-}(x) = \lambda_{3+}(x), \quad x \in \Delta_2 = (-\infty, p_-]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

A straightforward consequence of these relations is the following statement:

Lemma 4.2. *Functions $e^{\lambda_1(z)}$, $e^{\lambda_2(z)}$ and $e^{\lambda_3(z)}$ are analytic and single-valued outside of Δ_1 , $\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2$, and Δ_2 respectively.*

In the sequel, we will need to compare the real parts of the λ -functions on \mathbb{R} and in neighborhoods of Δ_2 and Δ_1 . This is the aim of the next lemma.

Lemma 4.3. (a) *The following inequalities hold true,*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \lambda_1 &< \operatorname{Re} \lambda_2 && \text{on } (0, p_+) \text{ in Case 1,} \\ \operatorname{Re} \lambda_3 &< \operatorname{Re} \lambda_2 && \text{on } (p_-, 0) \text{ in Case 2,} \\ \operatorname{Re} \lambda_1 &< \operatorname{Re} \lambda_2 && \text{on } (q, +\infty). \end{aligned}$$

(b) *The open interval (p_+, q) has a neighborhood U_1 in the complex plane such that*

$$\operatorname{Re} \lambda_2(z) < \operatorname{Re} \lambda_1(z), \quad z \in U_1 \setminus (p_+, q).$$

(c) *The open interval $(-\infty, p_-)$ has a neighborhood U_2 in the complex plane such that*

$$\operatorname{Re} \lambda_2(z) < \operatorname{Re} \lambda_3(z), \quad z \in U_2 \setminus (-\infty, p_-).$$

The neighborhood U_2 is unbounded and contains a full neighborhood of infinity.

Proof. It is easy to check (see also the left-most picture in Figure 3) that, in Case 1, $\zeta_2(s) < \zeta_1(s) < \zeta_3(s)$ for $s \in (0, p_+)$. Hence, from the definitions of the functions λ_1 and λ_2 and (4.12), we may conclude that $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_1 < \operatorname{Re} \lambda_2$ on $(0, p_+)$. In Case 2, we have $\zeta_1(s) < \zeta_3(s) < \zeta_2(s)$ for $s \in (p_-, 0)$ (see right-most picture in Figure 3). Moreover, since

$$(\lambda_3 - \lambda_2)(z) = \int_{(p_-)_+}^z (\zeta_3 - \zeta_2)(s) ds + \lambda_{2-}(p_-) - \lambda_{2+}(p_-),$$

we get, along with the third jump relation in (4.17), that $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_3 < \operatorname{Re} \lambda_2$ on $(p_-, 0)$. Finally, on (q, ∞) , $\zeta_1(s) < \zeta_2(s) < \zeta_3(s)$ so that the third inequality in assertion (a) is indeed satisfied.

On the $+$ side of Δ_1 , $(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)_+$ is purely imaginary. Its derivative $(\zeta_2 - \zeta_1)_+(z)$ is purely imaginary as well. By inspection of the Riemann surface \mathcal{R} , it can be shown that this imaginary part is actually positive. Hence by the Cauchy-Riemann equations the real part of $(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)(z)$ decreases as z moves into the upper half-plane, so that $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_2(z) < \operatorname{Re} \lambda_1(z)$ for z near Δ_1 in the upper half-plane. Similarly, $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_2(z) < \operatorname{Re} \lambda_1(z)$ for z near Δ_1 in the lower half-plane, which shows assertion (b).

The proof of assertion (c) is similar. In order to see that U_2 contains a full neighborhood of infinity, it is sufficient to use (4.16), where $\sqrt{a}/t > 0$. \square

A consequence of Lemma 4.3 is that we may (and do) assume that contours Δ_2^\pm , defined in Section 3 (and depicted in Figure 2) meet the real line at the branch point p_- , and lie in the neighborhood U_2 of Δ_2 where $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3) < 0$.

Using functions λ_j , we can now define the second transformation of the RH problem.

$$U(z) = C_2 X(z) \begin{pmatrix} e^{-n\lambda_1(z)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-n(\lambda_2(z) - \frac{z}{i(1-t)})} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-n(\lambda_3(z) - \frac{z}{i(1-t)})} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.18)$$

where

$$C_2 = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -in \frac{t+4a(1-t)}{4\sqrt{a}} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} L^n, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & n \frac{t+4a(1-t)}{4\sqrt{a}} & i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix} L^n, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad (4.19)$$

and L is the constant diagonal matrix

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\ell_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\ell_2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{\ell_3} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.20)$$

By Lemma 4.2, the matrix-valued function U is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$.

Making use of the jump relations (3.15)–(3.18) for X and the definition (4.18) one easily gets that the following jump relations for U :

$$U_+(x) = U_-(x) \begin{pmatrix} e^{n(\lambda_{1-}(x)-\lambda_{1+}(x))} & x^\alpha e^{n(\lambda_{1-}(x)-\lambda_{2+}(x))} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{n(\lambda_{2-}(x)-\lambda_{2+}(x))} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{n(\lambda_{3-}(x)-\lambda_{3+}(x))} \end{pmatrix}$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$U_+(x) = U_-(x) \begin{pmatrix} e^{n(\lambda_{1-}(x)-\lambda_{1+}(x))} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{n(\lambda_{2-}(x)-\lambda_{2+}(x))} & 0 \\ 0 & |x|^\alpha e^{n(\lambda_{3-}(x)-\lambda_{2+}(x))} & e^{n(\lambda_{3-}(x)-\lambda_{3+}(x))} \end{pmatrix}$$

for $x \in (p_-, 0)$ (in Case 2),

$$U_+(x) = U_-(x) \begin{pmatrix} e^{n(\lambda_{1-}(x)-\lambda_{1+}(x))} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -|x|^{-\alpha} e^{n(\lambda_{3-}(x)-\lambda_{2+}(x))} \\ 0 & |x|^\alpha e^{n(\lambda_{3-}(x)-\lambda_{2+}(x))} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for $x \in (-\infty, p_-)$, and

$$U_+(z) = U_-(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & e^{\pm\alpha\pi i} z^{-\alpha} e^{n(\lambda_2-\lambda_3)(z)} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \Delta_2^\pm.$$

Using the jump relations (4.17), one checks easily that the jump properties for U simplify to the ones stated in the following proposition with the just introduced notation.

Proposition 4.4. *The matrix-valued function $U(z)$ defined by (4.18) is the unique solution of the following RH problem.*

1. $U(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus (\mathbb{R} \cup \Delta_2^\pm)$.
2. $U(z)$ possesses continuous boundary values at $(\mathbb{R} \cup \Delta_2^\pm) \setminus \{p_-, 0\}$, and

$$U_+(x) = U_-(x) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -|x|^{-\alpha} \\ 0 & |x|^\alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in \Delta_2 = (-\infty, p_-), \quad (4.21)$$

$$U_+(x) = U_-(x) \times \begin{cases} I + x^\alpha e^{n(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)(x)} E_{12}, & x \in (0, p_+) \text{ in Case 1,} \\ I + |x|^\alpha e^{n(\lambda_3-\lambda_2)(x)} E_{32}, & x \in (p_-, 0) \text{ in Case 2,} \end{cases} \quad (4.22)$$

$$U_+(x) = U_-(x) \begin{pmatrix} e^{n(\lambda_2-\lambda_1)_+(x)} & x^\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & e^{n(\lambda_2-\lambda_1)_-(x)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in \Delta_1 = (p_+, q). \quad (4.23)$$

$$U_+(x) = U_-(x) \left(I + x^\alpha e^{n(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(x)} E_{12} \right), \quad x \in (q, \infty), \quad (4.24)$$

$$U_+(z) = U_-(z) \left(I + e^{\pm \alpha \pi i} z^{-\alpha} e^{n(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)(z)} E_{23} \right), \quad z \in \Delta_2^\pm. \quad (4.25)$$

3. As $z \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$U(z) = \left(I + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) \right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z^{1/4} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z^{-1/4} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z^{\alpha/2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z^{-\alpha/2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.26)$$

4. $U(z)$ is bounded at p_- if $p_- < 0$, and has the same behavior as $X(z)$ at the origin, see (2.18) and (3.20).

Proof. Jumps (4.21)–(4.25) are result of straightforward calculations and Lemma 4.2.

For the proof of the asymptotic condition in item 3 we note that property (3.19) of X and the asymptotic behaviors (4.13)–(4.15) of the λ_j -functions yield

$$\begin{aligned} X(z) & \begin{pmatrix} e^{-n\lambda_1(z)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-n(\lambda_2(z) - \frac{z}{i(1-t)})} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-n(\lambda_3(z) - \frac{z}{i(1-t)})} \end{pmatrix} \\ & = \left(I + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) \right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z^{(-1)^n/4} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z^{-(-1)^n/4} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z^{\alpha/2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z^{-\alpha/2} \end{pmatrix} \\ & \quad \times L^{-n} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - \frac{c_1}{z^{1/2}} + \frac{c_2}{z} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z^{3/2}}\right) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 + \frac{c_1}{z^{1/2}} + \frac{c_2}{z} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z^{3/2}}\right) \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.27) \end{aligned}$$

as $z \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$c_1 = n \frac{t + 4a(1-t)}{4\sqrt{a}}, \quad c_2 = \frac{c_1^2 - nk}{2}.$$

If n is even, then by Lemma 4.1 we have that L^{-n} commutes with all matrices before it. The last matrix in the right-hand side of (4.27) can be moved to the left as in the proof of Proposition 3.1. The result is that (4.27) is equal to

$$L^{-n} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & ic_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \left(I + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) \right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z^{1/4} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z^{-1/4} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z^{\alpha/2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z^{-\alpha/2} \end{pmatrix},$$

as $z \rightarrow \infty$. Then (4.26) follows by the definition (4.18)–(4.20) of U .

If n is odd, then by Lemma 4.1, we have that

$$L^{-n} \text{diag}(1, 1, -1) = \text{diag}\left(e^{-n\ell_1}, e^{-n\ell_2}, e^{-n\ell_2}\right)$$

commutes with all factors before it in (4.27). The result now is that (4.27) is equal to

$$L^{-n} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & -i & c_1 \end{pmatrix} \left(I + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) \right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z^{1/4} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z^{-1/4} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z^{\alpha/2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z^{-\alpha/2} \end{pmatrix},$$

as $z \rightarrow \infty$, and again (4.26) follows by the definition (4.18)–(4.20) of U .

The behavior of U at the origin given in item 4 follows from the corresponding behavior of X , and the fact that the λ_j functions all remain bounded near the origin. \square

It follows from Lemma 4.3 that the jump matrices in (4.22), (4.24), and (4.25) tend to the identity matrix I as $n \rightarrow \infty$ at an exponential rate. Moreover, by (4.17), $(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)_+ = -(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)_-$ is purely imaginary on Δ_1 , so that the first two diagonal elements of the jump matrices in (4.23) are oscillatory. In the third transformation we open a lens around Δ_1 and we turn the oscillatory entries into exponentially small entries.

5 Third transformation of the RH problem

Here, the goal is to transform the oscillatory diagonal terms in the jump matrices on Δ_1 into exponentially small off-diagonal terms. This we do by opening a lens around Δ_1 , see Figure 5. We assume that the lens is contained in U_1 , see Lemma 4.3.




Figure 5: Deformation of contours around Δ_1 .

We use the following factorizations of the 2×2 non-trivial block of the jump matrix in (4.23),

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{n(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)_+(x)} & x^\alpha \\ 0 & e^{n(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)_-(x)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ x^{-\alpha} e^{n(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)_-(x)} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x^\alpha \\ -x^{-\alpha} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ x^{-\alpha} e^{n(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)_+(x)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We set

$$T(z) = U(z) \left(I \mp z^{-\alpha} e^{n(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)(z)} E_{21} \right), \quad (5.1)$$

for z in the domain bounded by Δ_1^\pm and Δ_1 , and we let

$$T(z) = U(z) \quad (5.2)$$

for z outside of the lens.

Straightforward calculations show that $T(z)$ is a solution of the following Riemann–Hilbert problem which is stated in the next proposition.

Proposition 5.1. *The matrix-valued function $T(z)$ is the unique solution of the following RH problem.*

1. T is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus (\mathbb{R} \cup \Delta_1^\pm \cup \Delta_2^\pm)$.
2. T has a jump $T_+(z) = T_-(z) j_T(z)$ on each of the oriented contours shown in Figure 5. They are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
j_T(x) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -|x|^{-\alpha} \\ 0 & |x|^\alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in \Delta_2, \\
j_T(z) &= I + e^{\pm\alpha\pi i} z^{-\alpha} e^{n(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)(z)} E_{23}, \quad z \in \Delta_2^\pm, \\
j_T(x) &= \begin{cases} I + x^\alpha e^{n(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(x)} E_{12}, & x \in (0, p_+) \text{ in Case 1,} \\ I + |x|^\alpha e^{n(\lambda_3 - \lambda_2)(x)} E_{32}, & x \in (p_-, 0) \text{ in Case 2,} \end{cases} \\
j_T(x) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x^\alpha & 0 \\ -x^{-\alpha} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in \Delta_1, \\
j_T(z) &= I + z^{-\alpha} e^{n(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)(z)} E_{21}, \quad z \in \Delta_1^\pm, \\
j_T(x) &= I + x^\alpha e^{n(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(x)} E_{12}, \quad x \in (q, +\infty).
\end{aligned}$$

3. As $z \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$T(z) = \left(I + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) \right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z^{1/4} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z^{-1/4} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z^{\alpha/2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z^{-\alpha/2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.3)$$

4. For $-1 < \alpha < 0$, $T(z)$ behaves near the origin like:

$$T(z) = \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & |z|^\alpha & 1 \\ 1 & |z|^\alpha & 1 \\ 1 & |z|^\alpha & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 0.$$

For $\alpha = 0$, $T(z)$ behaves near the origin like:

$$T(z) = \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \log |z| & 1 \\ 1 & \log |z| & 1 \\ 1 & \log |z| & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 0 \text{ outside the lens that ends in } 0,$$

and

$$T(z) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \log |z| & \log |z| \\ 1 & \log |z| & \log |z| \\ 1 & \log |z| & \log |z| \end{pmatrix}, & \text{as } z \rightarrow 0 \text{ inside the lens around } \Delta_2 \text{ in Case 1,} \\ \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} \log |z| & \log |z| & 1 \\ \log |z| & \log |z| & 1 \\ \log |z| & \log |z| & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{as } z \rightarrow 0 \text{ inside the lens around } \Delta_1 \text{ in Case 2.} \end{cases}$$

For $\alpha > 0$, $T(z)$ behaves near the origin like:

$$T(z) = \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 0 \text{ outside the lens that ends in } 0,$$

and

$$T(z) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & |z|^{-\alpha} \\ 1 & 1 & |z|^{-\alpha} \\ 1 & 1 & |z|^{-\alpha} \end{pmatrix}, & \text{as } z \rightarrow 0 \text{ inside the lens around } \Delta_2 \text{ in Case 1,} \\ \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} |z|^{-\alpha} & 1 & 1 \\ |z|^{-\alpha} & 1 & 1 \\ |z|^{-\alpha} & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{as } z \rightarrow 0 \text{ inside the lens around } \Delta_1 \text{ in Case 2.} \end{cases}$$

5. T is bounded at p and q .

Proof. All properties follow by straightforward calculations.

Because of the prescribed behavior at the origin, it is not immediate that the RH problems for U and T are equivalent. Reasoning as in [41, Lemma 4.1] we can still show that they are. Thus in particular the solution of the RH problem for T is unique. \square

6 Model RH problem for the global parametrix

In view of Lemma 4.3 the jump matrices in the RH problem for T all tend to the identity matrix exponentially fast as $n \rightarrow \infty$, except for the jump matrices on Δ_1 and Δ_2 . Thus we expect that the main contribution to the asymptotic behavior of T is described by a solution N_α of the following model RH problem.

1. N_α is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus (\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2)$.
2. N_α has continuous boundary values on $\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2$, satisfying the following jump relations:

$$N_{\alpha+}(x) = N_{\alpha-}(x) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x^\alpha & 0 \\ -x^{-\alpha} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in \Delta_1, \quad (6.1)$$

$$N_{\alpha+}(x) = N_{\alpha-}(x) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -|x|^{-\alpha} \\ 0 & |x|^\alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in \Delta_2. \quad (6.2)$$

3. As $z \rightarrow \infty$, $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Delta_2$,

$$N_\alpha(z) = \left(I + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{z} \right) \right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z^{1/4} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z^{-1/4} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z^{\alpha/2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z^{-\alpha/2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.3)$$

The asymptotic condition at infinity looks a bit awkward. However it is consistent with the jump on Δ_2 since it may be checked that

$$B(z) = \begin{pmatrix} z^{1/4} & 0 \\ 0 & z^{-1/4} \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z^{\alpha/2} & 0 \\ 0 & z^{-\alpha/2} \end{pmatrix},$$

satisfies

$$B_+(x) = B_-(x) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -|x|^{-\alpha} \\ |x|^\alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in (-\infty, 0).$$

We solve the RH problem for N_α in two steps. First we solve it for the special value $\alpha = 0$ and then we use this to solve it for general values of α . In both steps we will use the mapping function (4.1)

$$z = \frac{1 - k\zeta}{\zeta(1 - c\zeta)^2}$$

with

$$c = t(1 - t) \quad \text{and} \quad k = (1 - t)(t - a(1 - t)), \quad (6.4)$$

which gives a bijection between the Riemann surface \mathcal{R} and the extended ζ -plane. The mapping properties are summarized in Figure 6 for the two cases (Case 1 in the upper part and Case 2 in the lower part of the figure).

The figure shows the domains

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_j = \zeta_j(\mathcal{R}_j), \quad j = 1, 2, 3,$$

where \mathcal{R}_j is the j th sheet of the Riemann surface, and also the location of the points

$$\zeta_p = \zeta_2(p), \quad \zeta_q = \zeta_2(q), \quad \zeta_\infty = \zeta_2(\infty) = \frac{1}{t(1 - t)} = \frac{1}{c}$$

for the two cases. We observe that $\zeta_{2+}(\Delta_1)$ and $\zeta_{2+}(\Delta_2)$ are in the upper half plane, while $\zeta_{2-}(\Delta_1)$ and $\zeta_{2-}(\Delta_2)$ are in the lower half plane.

To solve the model RH problem for $\alpha = 0$, we use the polynomial $D(\zeta)$

$$D(\zeta) = (\zeta - \zeta_p)(\zeta - \zeta_q)(\zeta - \zeta_\infty). \quad (6.5)$$

The square root $D(\zeta)^{1/2}$, which branches at these three points, is defined with a cut on $\zeta_{2-}(\Delta_1) \cup \zeta_{2-}(\Delta_2)$, which, as noted before, are the parts of the boundary of $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_2$ that are in the lower half of the ζ -plane. We assume that the square root is positive for large positive ζ .

Proposition 6.1. *A solution of the model RH problem for N_0 is given by*

$$N_0(z) = \begin{pmatrix} F_1(\zeta_1(z)) & F_1(\zeta_2(z)) & F_1(\zeta_3(z)) \\ F_2(\zeta_1(z)) & F_2(\zeta_2(z)) & F_2(\zeta_3(z)) \\ F_3(\zeta_1(z)) & F_3(\zeta_2(z)) & F_3(\zeta_3(z)) \end{pmatrix} \quad (6.6)$$

where

$$F_1(\zeta) = K_1 \frac{(\zeta - \zeta_\infty)^2}{D(\zeta)^{1/2}}, \quad F_2(\zeta) = K_2 \frac{\zeta(\zeta - \zeta^*)}{D(\zeta)^{1/2}}, \quad F_3(\zeta) = K_3 \frac{\zeta(\zeta - \zeta_\infty)}{D(\zeta)^{1/2}}, \quad (6.7)$$

with $D(\zeta)$ given by (6.5). Furthermore, $\zeta^* \neq \zeta_\infty$, and K_1, K_2, K_3 are explicitly computable non-zero constants that depend on a and t .




Figure 6: Bijection (4.1) between the Riemann surface \mathcal{R} and the extended ζ -plane in the Case 1 (top) and 2 (bottom).

Proof. Note that each of the functions F_j , $j = 1, 2, 3$, defined in (6.7) satisfies

$$\begin{cases} F_{j+}(\zeta) = -F_{j-}(\zeta), & \zeta \in \partial\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_2 \cap \{\text{Im } \zeta < 0\}, \\ F_{j+}(\zeta) = F_{j-}(\zeta), & \zeta \in \partial\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_2 \cap \{\text{Im } \zeta > 0\}, \end{cases}$$

because of the choice of the branch cut for $D(\zeta)^{1/2}$. From this it follows that the j th row (N_{j1}, N_{j2}, N_{j3}) of N_0 given in (6.6) has the following jumps on Δ_1 :

$$\begin{cases} (N_{j1})_+(z) = -(N_{j2})_-(z), \\ (N_{j2})_+(z) = (N_{j1})_-(z), \\ (N_{j3})_+(z) = (N_{j3})_-(z), \end{cases} \quad z \in \Delta_1,$$

and the following jumps on Δ_2 :

$$\begin{cases} (N_{j1})_+(z) &= (N_{j1})_-(z), \\ (N_{j2})_+(z) &= (N_{j2})_-(z), \\ (N_{j3})_+(z) &= -(N_{j2})_-(z), \end{cases} \quad z \in \Delta_2.$$

These are exactly the jumps required by (6.1) and (6.2) when $\alpha = 0$.

It remains to verify the asymptotic condition (6.3) with $\alpha = 0$. Since the computations are straightforward but cumbersome, we give here the outline of the argument. Observe that

$$\zeta_1(\infty) = 0, \quad \zeta_2(\infty) = \zeta_3(\infty) = \zeta_\infty. \quad (6.8)$$

Function F_1 verifies

$$F_1(\zeta) = K_1 \frac{\zeta_\infty^2}{D(0)^{1/2}} + \mathcal{O}(\zeta), \quad \zeta \rightarrow 0, \quad F_1(\zeta) = \mathcal{O}\left((\zeta - \zeta_\infty)^{3/2}\right), \quad \zeta \rightarrow \zeta_\infty.$$

Taking into account (6.8) and (4.2)–(4.4), we get that as $z \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} N_{11}(z) &= F_1(\zeta_1(z)) = K_1 \frac{\zeta_\infty^2}{D(0)^{1/2}} + \mathcal{O}(1/z), \\ N_{12}(z) &= F_1(\zeta_2(z)) = \mathcal{O}\left(z^{-3/4}\right), \quad N_{13}(z) = F_1(\zeta_3(z)) = \mathcal{O}\left(z^{-3/4}\right). \end{aligned}$$

With $K_1 = \zeta_\infty^{-2} D(0)^{1/2}$ it yields

$$N_{11}(z) = 1 + \mathcal{O}(1/z), \quad N_{12}(z) = \mathcal{O}(z^{-3/4}), \quad N_{13}(z) = \mathcal{O}(z^{-3/4}),$$

as $z \rightarrow \infty$, which matches the asymptotic condition for the first row of N_0 in (6.3).

Analogously,

$$\begin{aligned} F_2(\zeta) &= \mathcal{O}(\zeta), \quad \zeta \rightarrow 0, \\ F_2(\zeta) &= \beta_1(\zeta - \zeta_\infty)^{-1/2} + \beta_2(\zeta - \zeta_\infty)^{1/2} + \mathcal{O}\left((\zeta - \zeta_\infty)^{3/2}\right), \quad \zeta \rightarrow \zeta_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

where β_1 and β_2 are explicitly computable in terms of K_2 , ζ^* and the rest of the parameters of \mathcal{R} . By (4.2)–(4.4), and taking into account the second relation in (4.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_{21}(z) &= F_2(\zeta_1(z)) = \mathcal{O}(1/z), \quad z \rightarrow \infty, \\ N_{22}(z) &= F_2(\zeta_2(z)) = z^{1/4} \left(\tilde{\beta}_1 + \tilde{\beta}_2 z^{-1/2} + \tilde{\beta}_3 z^{-1} + \mathcal{O}\left(z^{-3/2}\right) \right), \quad z \rightarrow \infty, \\ N_{23}(z) &= F_2(\zeta_3(z)) = i z^{1/4} \left(\tilde{\beta}_1 - \tilde{\beta}_2 z^{-1/2} + \tilde{\beta}_3 z^{-1} + \mathcal{O}\left(z^{-3/2}\right) \right), \quad z \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where again $\tilde{\beta}_j$'s are explicit. Imposing the condition that $\tilde{\beta}_1 = 1/\sqrt{2}$ and $\tilde{\beta}_2 = 0$, which determines K_2 and ζ^* , we obtain that for a certain constant a_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} N_{21}(z) &= \mathcal{O}(1/z), \\ N_{22}(z) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} z^{1/4} \left(1 + \frac{a_2}{z} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3/2}) \right), \\ N_{23}(z) &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} z^{1/4} \left(1 + \frac{a_2}{z} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3/2}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

matching the asymptotic condition for the second row of N_0 in (6.3).

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} F_3(\zeta) &= \mathcal{O}(\zeta), \quad \zeta \rightarrow 0, \\ F_3(\zeta) &= \gamma_1(\zeta - \zeta_\infty)^{1/2} + \mathcal{O}\left((\zeta - \zeta_\infty)^{3/2}\right), \quad \zeta \rightarrow \zeta_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

where γ_1 is explicitly computable in terms of K_3 and the rest of the parameters of \mathcal{R} . By (4.2)–(4.4), and taking again into account the second relation in (4.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_{31}(z) &= F_3(\zeta_1(z)) = \mathcal{O}(1/z), \quad z \rightarrow \infty, \\ N_{32}(z) &= F_3(\zeta_2(z)) = z^{-1/4} \left(\tilde{\gamma}_1 + \tilde{\gamma}_2 z^{-1/2} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1}) \right), \quad z \rightarrow \infty, \\ N_{33}(z) &= F_3(\zeta_3(z)) = -i z^{1/4} \left(\tilde{\gamma}_1 - \tilde{\gamma}_2 z^{-1/2} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1}) \right), \quad z \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where again $\tilde{\gamma}_j$'s are explicit. Imposing the condition that $\tilde{\gamma}_1 = i/\sqrt{2}$, which determines K_3 , we obtain that for a certain constant a_3 ,

$$\begin{aligned} N_{31}(z) &= \mathcal{O}(1/z), \\ N_{32}(z) &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} z^{-1/4} \left(1 + \frac{a_3}{z^{1/2}} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1}) \right), \\ N_{33}(z) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} z^{-1/4} \left(1 - \frac{a_3}{z^{1/2}} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

as $z \rightarrow \infty$. This is precisely the asymptotic condition for the third row of N_0 in (6.3). This concludes the proof. \square

To construct the solution for general α , we use functions

$$\begin{aligned} r_1(\zeta) &= \log(1 - c\zeta), & \zeta \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_1, \\ r_2(\zeta) &= \log(1 - k\zeta) - \log \zeta - \log(1 - c\zeta), & \zeta \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_2, \\ r_3(\zeta) &= \log(1 - c\zeta) + i\pi, & \zeta \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_3. \end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

where c and k are as in (6.4). The branches of the logarithm are defined as follows.

- $\log(1 - c\zeta)$ vanishes for $\zeta = 0$, and has a branch cut along $\zeta_{2-}(\Delta_2)$ in Case 1, and along $\zeta_{2-}(\Delta_2) \cup [\zeta_p, +\infty)$ in Case 2.
- $\log(1 - k\zeta)$ vanishes for $\zeta = 0$, and has a branch cut along $(-\infty, 1/k]$ in case 1 (when $k < 0$), and along $[1/k, +\infty)$ in Case 2 (when $k > 0$).
- $\log \zeta$ is the principal branch of the logarithm, i.e., with a cut along $(-\infty, 0]$.

With these definitions of the branches we have that r_j is defined and analytic on $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_j$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$. To see this for $j = 2$, it is important to note that $1/k$ is in $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_1$ in Case 1, and $1/k$ is in $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_3$ in Case 2.

Proposition 6.2. *A solution of the model RH problem for general α is given by*

$$N_\alpha(z) = C_\alpha N_0(z) \begin{pmatrix} e^{\alpha G_1(z)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\alpha G_2(z)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{\alpha G_3(z)} \end{pmatrix} \quad (6.10)$$

where N_0 is given by (6.6),

$$G_j(z) = r_j(\zeta_j(z)), \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \quad z \in \mathcal{R}_j, \quad (6.11)$$

with r_1, r_2, r_3 defined in (6.9), and C_α is a constant matrix given explicitly in (6.14) below.

Proof. From the definitions (6.9) with the specified branches of the logarithm it follows that the functions $r_j, j = 1, 2, 3$, satisfy the following boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} r_2(\zeta) &= r_1(\zeta) + \log z, & \zeta \in \partial\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_1, \\ r_2(\zeta) &= r_3(\zeta) + \log |z|, & \zeta \in \partial\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_3, \end{aligned} \quad (6.12)$$

where $z = z(\zeta)$ is given by (4.1). Then by (6.12) and (6.11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G_{2\pm}(x) &= \log x + G_{1\mp}(x), & x \in \Delta_1, \\ G_{2\pm}(x) &= \log |x| + G_{3\mp}(x), & x \in \Delta_2. \end{aligned} \quad (6.13)$$

Using (6.13) and the jump properties (6.1), (6.2) for $\alpha = 0$, it is then an easy calculation to show that (6.10) satisfies the jump conditions (6.2) and (6.1).

We note that by (6.9) and (6.11),

$$e^{G_1(z)} = 1 - c\zeta_1(z), \quad e^{G_2(z)} = z(1 - c\zeta_2(z)), \quad e^{G_3(z)} = c\zeta_3(z) - 1,$$

and thus as $z \rightarrow \infty$ by (4.2), (4.3), (4.4),

$$\begin{aligned} e^{G_1(z)} &= 1 + \mathcal{O}(1/z), \\ e^{G_2(z)} &= z^{1/2} \left(\sqrt{a}(1-t) + \frac{t(1-t)}{2\sqrt{z}} + \frac{t(1-t)(t+4a(1-t))}{8\sqrt{a}z} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3/2}) \right), \\ e^{G_3(z)} &= z^{-1/2} \left(\sqrt{a}(1-t) - \frac{t(1-t)}{2\sqrt{z}} + \frac{t(1-t)(t+4a(1-t))}{8\sqrt{a}z} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3/2}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

To obtain (6.3) we should then take the constant prefactor C_α in (6.10) as

$$C_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{a}(1-t) & \frac{it(1-t)}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{a}(1-t) \end{pmatrix}^{-\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (\sqrt{a}(1-t))^{-\alpha} & -\frac{iat(1-t)}{2}(\sqrt{a}(1-t))^{-\alpha-1} \\ 0 & 0 & (\sqrt{a}(1-t))^{-\alpha} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.14)$$

Then with the choice of (6.14), we indeed have that N_α defined in (6.10) satisfies the conditions in the model RH problem for general α . \square

Lemma 6.3. *The solution N_α of the model RH problem given in Proposition 6.2 has the following behavior near the branch points*

(a) In Case 1 we have

$$N_\alpha(z) = \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} |z-q|^{-1/4} & |z-q|^{-1/4} & 1 \\ |z-q|^{-1/4} & |z-q|^{-1/4} & 1 \\ |z-q|^{-1/4} & |z-q|^{-1/4} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow q, \quad (6.15)$$

$$N_\alpha(z) = \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} |z-p|^{-1/4} & |z-p|^{-1/4} & 1 \\ |z-p|^{-1/4} & |z-p|^{-1/4} & 1 \\ |z-p|^{-1/4} & |z-p|^{-1/4} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow p, \quad (6.16)$$

and

$$N_\alpha(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z^{-\alpha/2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z^{\alpha/2} \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & |z|^{-1/4} & |z|^{-1/4} \\ 1 & |z|^{-1/4} & |z|^{-1/4} \\ 1 & |z|^{-1/4} & |z|^{-1/4} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 0, \quad (6.17)$$

(b) In Case 2 we have

$$N_\alpha(z) = \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} |z-q|^{-1/4} & |z-q|^{-1/4} & 1 \\ |z-q|^{-1/4} & |z-q|^{-1/4} & 1 \\ |z-q|^{-1/4} & |z-q|^{-1/4} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow q, \quad (6.18)$$

$$N_\alpha(z) = \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & |z-p|^{-1/4} & |z-p|^{-1/4} \\ 1 & |z-p|^{-1/4} & |z-p|^{-1/4} \\ 1 & |z-p|^{-1/4} & |z-p|^{-1/4} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow p, \quad (6.19)$$

and

$$N_\alpha(z) \begin{pmatrix} z^{\alpha/2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z^{-\alpha/2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} |z|^{-1/4} & |z|^{-1/4} & 1 \\ |z|^{-1/4} & |z|^{-1/4} & 1 \\ |z|^{-1/4} & |z|^{-1/4} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 0. \quad (6.20)$$

Proof. Observe that for $j = 1, 2, 3$, $F_j(\zeta) = \mathcal{O}((\zeta - \zeta_q)^{-1/2})$ as $\zeta \rightarrow \zeta_q$, where F_j 's are defined in (6.7). Furthermore, for the mapping (4.1), $\zeta_1^{-1}(\zeta_q) = \zeta_3^{-1}(\zeta_q) = q$, and $\zeta_3^{-1}(\zeta_q)$ is a regular point of \mathcal{R} . Since functions ζ_1 and ζ_2 are bounded and have a square root branch at q , by definition (6.6) we obtain (6.15) for N_0 . Since the transformation in (6.10) does not affect the behavior at q , this proves the first identity of the Lemma. The rest of the conditions is analyzed in a similar fashion, and we omit the details. \square

By (6.1)–(6.2), we have that $\det N_\alpha$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, p, q\}$, and by Lemma 6.3, $\det N_\alpha(z) = \mathcal{O}(|z - z_0|^{-1/2})$ as $z \rightarrow z_0$ where z_0 is any one of the branch points $0, p$ and q . Hence, $\det N_\alpha$ is entire. From (6.3), $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \det N_\alpha(z) = 1$, and we conclude that

$$\det N_\alpha(z) \equiv 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (6.21)$$

Comparing the local behavior in Proposition 5.1 and Lemma 6.3, we see that near the branch points, the matrix TN_α^{-1} is not bounded which means that N_α is not a good approximation to T . Hence we need a local analysis around these points.

7 Parametrices near the branch points p and q (soft edges)

We are going to construct a local parametrix P around q . The local parametrix around p can be built in a similar way, and is not further discussed here. Consider a small fixed disk B_δ with radius $\delta > 0$ and center at q that does not contain any other branch point. We look for a 3×3 matrix valued function P such that




Figure 7: Construction of a parametrix around q .

1. P is analytic in $B_\delta \setminus (\mathbb{R} \cup \Delta_1^\pm)$.
2. P has a jump $P_+(z) = P_-(z) j_T(z)$ on each of the oriented contours shown in Figure 7, given by the restriction of j_T in Proposition 5.1 to these contours. Namely,

$$j_T(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x^\alpha & 0 \\ -x^{-\alpha} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in (q - \delta, q) = \Delta_1 \cap B_\delta,$$

$$j_T(z) = I + z^{-\alpha} e^{n(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)(z)} E_{21}, \quad z \in \Delta_1^\pm \cap B_\delta,$$

$$j_T(x) = I + x^\alpha e^{n(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(x)} E_{12}, \quad x \in (q, q + \delta).$$

3. As $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$P(z) = N_\alpha(z)(I + \mathcal{O}(1/n)) \quad \text{uniformly for } z \in \partial B_\delta \setminus (\mathbb{R} \cup \Delta_1^\pm),$$

where N_α is the global parametrix built in Section 6.

4. P is bounded as $z \rightarrow q$, $z \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \Delta_1^\pm$.

The solution of the RH problem 1.–4.) can be built in a standard way using the Airy functions; we follow the scheme proposed in [22, 24, 25] and developed, for instance, in [7, 21, 42]. The function

$$f(z) = \left[\frac{3}{4}(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)(z) \right]^{2/3} \tag{7.1}$$

is a biholomorphic (conformal) map of a neighborhood of q onto a neighborhood of the origin such that $f(z)$ is real and positive for $z > q$. We may deform the contours Δ_1^\pm near q in such a way that f maps $\Delta_1^\pm \cap B_\delta$ to the rays with angles $\frac{2\pi}{3}$ and $-\frac{2\pi}{3}$, respectively. We put

$$y_0(s) = \text{Ai}(s), \quad y_1(s) = \omega \text{Ai}(\omega s), \quad y_2(s) = \omega^2 \text{Ai}(\omega^2 s), \quad \omega = e^{2\pi i/3},$$

where Ai is the usual Airy function. Define the matrix Ψ by

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(s) &= \begin{pmatrix} y_0(s) & -y_2(s) & 0 \\ y_0'(s) & -y_2'(s) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \arg s \in (0, 2\pi/3), \\ \Psi(s) &= \begin{pmatrix} -y_1(s) & -y_2(s) & 0 \\ -y_1'(s) & -y_2'(s) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \arg s \in (2\pi/3, \pi), \\ \Psi(s) &= \begin{pmatrix} -y_2(s) & y_1(s) & 0 \\ -y_2'(s) & y_1'(s) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \arg s \in (-\pi, -2\pi/3), \\ \Psi(s) &= \begin{pmatrix} y_0(s) & y_1(s) & 0 \\ y_0'(s) & y_1'(s) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \arg s \in (-2\pi/3, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Then (see e.g. [22, Section 7.6]), for any analytic prefactor E , we have that

$$P(z) = E(z)\Psi\left(n^{2/3}f(z)\right) \text{diag}\left(z^{-\alpha/2}e^{\frac{n}{2}(\lambda_2-\lambda_1)(z)}, z^{\alpha/2}e^{-\frac{n}{2}(\lambda_2-\lambda_1)(z)}, 1\right) \quad (7.2)$$

satisfies the parts 1.–3. of the RH problem for P . The freedom in E can be used to satisfy also the matching condition (4). The construction of E uses the asymptotics of the Airy function $\text{Ai}(s)$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$, and follows the scheme, exposed in the literature (see e.g. [40]), and we omit the details here. The result is the following.

Proposition 7.1. *The matrix-valued function P given in (7.2) with E given by*

$$\begin{aligned} E(z) &= N_\alpha(z) \begin{pmatrix} z^{\alpha/2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z^{-\alpha/2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad \times \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\pi} & -\sqrt{\pi} & 0 \\ -i\sqrt{\pi} & -i\sqrt{\pi} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} n^{1/6}f^{1/4}(z) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & n^{-1/6}f^{-1/4}(z) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7.3) \end{aligned}$$

satisfies all conditions 1.–4. in the RH problem for P .

8 Parametrix near the branch point 0 (hard edge)

From the local behavior of $T(z)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$, described in Proposition 5.1, it follows that the local parametrix P at the origin will be different from the parametrices at the other branch points. Fortunately, this kind of behavior has been analyzed in [41] (for a 2×2 matrix valued RH problem), and in [45] (for a 3×3 matrix valued RH problem) and we use the construction from these papers. There will be a new feature though in the case $-1 < \alpha < 0$.

8.1 Case 1.

Let B_δ be a small fixed disk with radius $\delta > 0$, now centered at the origin, that does not contain any other branch point. Consider all the jumps matrices j_T of matrix T on curves meeting at 0, see item 2. in Proposition 5.1. The off-diagonal entry in j_T on $(0, \delta)$ is $x^\alpha e^{n(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(x)}$, which is exponentially small since $\text{Re}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) < -c < 0$ on $[0, \delta)$. This suggests that we may ignore the jump on $(0, \delta)$ in the construction of the local parametrix. Note however, that x^α is not bounded as $x \rightarrow 0$ in case $-1 < \alpha < 0$, which explains why we need an extra argument for this case.




Figure 8: Contours for the local parametrix around 0 in the Case 1, for $\alpha \geq 0$ (left picture) and for $-1 < \alpha < 0$ (right picture).

8.1.1 First part of the construction (which works for $\alpha \geq 0$)

In this part we simply disregard the jump matrix on $(0, \delta)$. Taking into account Proposition 5.1, we thus look for a 3×3 matrix valued function Q such that

1. Q is analytic in $B_\delta \setminus (\Delta_2 \cup \Delta_2^\pm)$.
2. Q has a jump $Q_+(z) = Q_-(z)j_T(z)$ on $(\Delta_2 \cup \Delta_2^\pm) \cap B_\delta$, see the left picture in Figure 8. The jump matrices are given by

$$j_T(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -|x|^{-\alpha} \\ 0 & |x|^\alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in (-\delta, 0) = \Delta_2 \cap B_\delta,$$

$$j_T(z) = I + e^{\pm\alpha\pi i} z^{-\alpha} e^{n(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)(z)} E_{23}, \quad z \in \Delta_2^\pm \cap B_\delta.$$

3. For $-1 < \alpha < 0$, $Q(z)$ behaves near the origin like:

$$Q(z) = \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & |z|^\alpha & 1 \\ 1 & |z|^\alpha & 1 \\ 1 & |z|^\alpha & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 0. \quad (8.1)$$

For $\alpha = 0$, $Q(z)$ behaves near the origin like:

$$Q(z) = \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \log |z| & \log |z| \\ 1 & \log |z| & \log |z| \\ 1 & \log |z| & \log |z| \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 0. \quad (8.2)$$

For $\alpha > 0$, $Q(z)$ behaves near the origin like:

$$Q(z) = \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & |z|^{-\alpha} \\ 1 & 1 & |z|^{-\alpha} \\ 1 & 1 & |z|^{-\alpha} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 0 \text{ in the lens around } \Delta_2, \text{ bounded by } \Delta_2^\pm, \quad (8.3)$$

$$Q(z) = \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 0 \text{ outside the lens.} \quad (8.4)$$

4. As $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$Q(z) = N_\alpha(z)(I + \mathcal{O}(1/n)) \quad \text{uniformly for } z \in \partial B_\delta \setminus (\Delta_2 \cup \Delta_2^\pm), \quad (8.5)$$

where N_α is the parametrix built in Section 6.

Consider

$$\tilde{Q}(z) = Q(z) \text{diag} \left(1, (\pm 1)^n z^{-\alpha/2} e^{\frac{n}{2}(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)(z)}, (\pm 1)^n z^{\alpha/2} e^{-\frac{n}{2}(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)(z)} \right), \quad \text{for } \pm \text{Im } z > 0, \quad (8.6)$$

where $z^{\alpha/2}$ denotes the principal branch, as usual. By Lemma 4.2, the diagonal factor in (8.6) is analytic in $B_\delta \setminus (-\delta, 0)$. It follows that the matrix valued function \tilde{Q} should satisfy:

1. \tilde{Q} is analytic in $B_\delta \setminus (\mathbb{R} \cup \Delta_2^\pm)$.
2. \tilde{Q} has a jump $\tilde{Q}_+(z) = \tilde{Q}_-(z)j_{\tilde{Q}}(z)$ on each of the oriented contours shown in Figure 8, left. They are given by

$$j_{\tilde{Q}}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in (-\delta, 0) = \Delta_2 \cap B_\delta,$$

$$j_{\tilde{Q}}(z) = I + e^{\pm \alpha \pi i} E_{23}, \quad z \in \Delta_2^\pm \cap B_\delta,$$

where we have used the last identity in (4.17).

3. For $-1 < \alpha < 0$, $\tilde{Q}(z)$ behaves near the origin like:

$$\tilde{Q}(z) = \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & |z|^{\alpha/2} & |z|^{\alpha/2} \\ 1 & |z|^{\alpha/2} & |z|^{\alpha/2} \\ 1 & |z|^{\alpha/2} & |z|^{\alpha/2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 0. \quad (8.7)$$

For $\alpha = 0$, $\tilde{Q}(z)$ behaves near the origin like:

$$\tilde{Q}(z) = \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \log |z| & \log |z| \\ 1 & \log |z| & \log |z| \\ 1 & \log |z| & \log |z| \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 0. \quad (8.8)$$

For $\alpha > 0$, $\tilde{Q}(z)$ behaves near the origin like:

$$\tilde{Q}(z) = \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & |z|^{\alpha/2} & |z|^{-\alpha/2} \\ 1 & |z|^{\alpha/2} & |z|^{-\alpha/2} \\ 1 & |z|^{\alpha/2} & |z|^{-\alpha/2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 0 \text{ outside } \Delta_2^\pm, \quad (8.9)$$

and

$$\tilde{Q}(z) = \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & |z|^{-\alpha/2} & |z|^{-\alpha/2} \\ 1 & |z|^{-\alpha/2} & |z|^{-\alpha/2} \\ 1 & |z|^{-\alpha/2} & |z|^{-\alpha/2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 0 \text{ inside } \Delta_2^\pm. \quad (8.10)$$

Although we have different expressions for \tilde{Q} for the cases n even and n odd, there is no distinction between these two cases in the conditions on \tilde{Q} .

The problem for \tilde{Q} has a solution in terms of the modified Bessel functions of order α see [41, Section 6]. Namely, with the modified Bessel functions I_α and K_α , and the Hankel functions $H_\alpha^{(1)}$ and $H_\alpha^{(2)}$ (see [1, Chapter 9]), we define a 2×2 matrix $\Psi(\zeta)$ for $|\arg \zeta| < 2\pi/3$ as

$$\Psi(\zeta) = \begin{pmatrix} I_\alpha(2\zeta^{1/2}) & \frac{i}{\pi} K_\alpha(2\zeta^{1/2}) \\ 2\pi i \zeta^{1/2} I'_\alpha(2\zeta^{1/2}) & -2\zeta^{1/2} K'_\alpha(2\zeta^{1/2}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8.11)$$

For $2\pi/3 < \arg \zeta < \pi$ we define it as

$$\Psi(\zeta) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} H_\alpha^{(1)}(2(-\zeta)^{1/2}) & \frac{1}{2} H_\alpha^{(2)}(2(-\zeta)^{1/2}) \\ \pi \zeta^{1/2} (H_\alpha^{(1)})'(2(-\zeta)^{1/2}) & \pi \zeta^{1/2} (H_\alpha^{(2)})'(2(-\zeta)^{1/2}) \end{pmatrix} e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha\pi i\sigma_3}. \quad (8.12)$$

And finally for $-\pi < \arg \zeta < -2\pi/3$ it is defined as

$$\Psi(\zeta) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} H_\alpha^{(2)}(2(-\zeta)^{1/2}) & -\frac{1}{2} H_\alpha^{(1)}(2(-\zeta)^{1/2}) \\ -\pi \zeta^{1/2} (H_\alpha^{(2)})'(2(-\zeta)^{1/2}) & \pi \zeta^{1/2} (H_\alpha^{(1)})'(2(-\zeta)^{1/2}) \end{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha\pi i\sigma_3}. \quad (8.13)$$

Then we define a 3×3 matrix $\tilde{\Psi}$, given in block form by

$$\tilde{\Psi}(\zeta) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_1 \Psi(\zeta) \sigma_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8.14)$$

[The conjugation by σ_1 is needed to interchange the second and third rows and columns.]
The function

$$f(z) = \left[\frac{1}{2} (\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)(z) \right]^2 = \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_0^z (\zeta_2 - \zeta_3)(s) ds \right]^2$$

can be continued analytically from $B_\delta \setminus (-\delta, 0]$ to the full neighborhood B_δ , giving a biholomorphic (conformal) homeomorphism of a neighborhood of the origin onto itself (see (4.7)) such that $f(x)$ is real and positive for $x \in (0, \delta)$. Again, we may deform the contours Δ_2^\pm near 0 in such a way that f maps $\Delta_2^\pm \cap B_\delta$ to the rays with angles $\frac{2\pi}{3}$ and $-\frac{2\pi}{3}$, respectively. It follows from [41] that for any analytic prefactor E , we have that

$$\tilde{Q}(z) = E(z) \tilde{\Psi}(n^2 f(z))$$

satisfies the conditions (1), (2), (3) needed for \tilde{Q} . So we complete the construction of Q by defining

$$Q(z) = E(z)\tilde{\Psi}(n^2 f(z)) \operatorname{diag} \left(1, z^{\alpha/2} e^{-\frac{n}{2}(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)(z)}, z^{-\alpha/2} e^{\frac{n}{2}(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)(z)} \right), \quad (8.15)$$

where E , analytic in B_δ , is chosen to satisfy the matching condition on ∂B_δ . Using again the results of [41], and taking into account that we have to interchange the second and third rows and columns, we define

$$E(z) = N_\alpha(z) \operatorname{diag} \left(1, z^{-\alpha/2}, z^{\alpha/2} \right) \operatorname{diag} \left(1, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ -i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ \times \operatorname{diag} \left(1, (2\pi n)^{-1/2} f(z)^{-1/4}, (2\pi n)^{1/2} f(z)^{1/4} \right). \quad (8.16)$$

Here the branch of $f^{1/4}(z)$ is positive for $z \in (0, \delta)$. Observe that $f^{1/4}(z) = \mathcal{O}(z^{1/4})$ as $z \rightarrow 0$, so by (6.17),

$$E(z) = \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & z^{-1/2} & 1 \\ 1 & z^{-1/2} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 0.$$

It is easy to check that

$$E_+(x) = E_-(x) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i(f_+/f_-)^{-1/4}(z) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i(f_+/f_-)^{1/4}(z) \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in (-\delta, 0).$$

Since $f_+^{1/4}(x) = i f_-^{1/4}(x)$ for $x \in (-\delta, 0)$ and E cannot have a pole at the origin, we conclude that E is analytic in B_δ .

Finally, the matching condition (8.5) in condition (4) of the RH problem for Q is satisfied by results of [41]. We have thus established the following.

Proposition 8.1. *The matrix-valued function Q defined by (8.15), (8.16), with $\tilde{\Psi}$ as in (8.14) satisfies the conditions 1.-4. of the RH problem for Q .*

Taking into account (6.21) and that $\det \tilde{\Psi} = 1$ (see [41]) we also conclude that

$$\det Q(z) \equiv 1, \quad z \in B_\delta. \quad (8.17)$$

If we would take Q as the local parametrix for T , we would define the final transformation as

$$R(z) = T(z)Q(z)^{-1}, \quad z \in B_\delta.$$

Then R would be analytic in $B_\delta \setminus (0, \infty)$ with the following jump for $x \in (0, \delta)$,

$$R_-(x)^{-1} R_+(x) = Q(x) T_-(x)^{-1} T_+(x) Q(x)^{-1} \\ = Q(x) \left(I + x^\alpha e^{n(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(x)} E_{12} \right) Q(x)^{-1} \\ = I + x^\alpha e^{n(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(x)} Q(x) E_{12} Q(x)^{-1}. \quad (8.18)$$

Lemma 8.2. *For $\alpha \geq 0$, the matrix $Q(x)E_{12}Q(x)^{-1}$ is bounded as $x \rightarrow 0$, $x > 0$.*

Proof. If $\alpha > 0$, then it follows from (8.4) and (8.17) that both $Q(x)$ and $Q(x)^{-1}$ are bounded as $x \rightarrow 0$, $x > 0$, and the lemma follows.

For $\alpha = 0$, the above argument, now based on (8.2) instead of (8.4), does not work, since it would lead to a bound $\mathcal{O}(\log|x|)$ as $x \rightarrow 0$. To prove the lemma for $\alpha = 0$, we look at the precise construction of Q . From (8.11) and the known behavior of $I_0(\zeta)$ and $K_0(\zeta)$ as $\zeta \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$\Psi(\zeta) = \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \log|\zeta| \\ 1 & \log|\zeta| \end{pmatrix}$$

Since $\det \Psi(\zeta) = 1$, it then follows by (8.14) that

$$\tilde{\Psi}(\zeta)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(1) \\ 0 & \mathcal{O}(\log|\zeta|) & \mathcal{O}(\log|\zeta|) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{as } \zeta \rightarrow 0.$$

Using this in (8.15) we obtain

$$Q(x)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(1) \\ 0 & \mathcal{O}(\log|x|) & \mathcal{O}(\log|x|) \end{pmatrix} E^{-1}(x), \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow 0, x > 0, \quad (8.19)$$

where $E^{-1}(x)$ is bounded near $x = 0$. Since

$$Q(x)E_{12}Q(x)^{-1} = Q(x) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} (0 \ 1 \ 0) Q(x)^{-1}$$

and $Q(x) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is bounded by (8.2) and $(0 \ 1 \ 0) Q(x)^{-1}$ is bounded by (8.19), the lemma follows for $\alpha = 0$ as well. \square

From Lemma 8.2 and the fact that $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) < -c < 0$, for some $c > 0$, it follows that the jump matrix (8.18) is exponentially close to the identity matrix as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly for $x \in (0, \delta)$, in case $\alpha \geq 0$. We take the parametrix $P = Q$ in case $\alpha \geq 0$.

This does not work if $\alpha < 0$, since then we would get that $Q(x)E_{12}Q(x)^{-1}$ is of order x^α as $x \rightarrow 0$. Then for any fixed $x > 0$, the jump matrix is close to the identity matrix as $n \rightarrow \infty$, but it is not valid uniformly for $x \in (0, \delta)$.

8.1.2 Second part of the construction, for $-1 < \alpha < 0$

Let us analyze now the case when $-1 < \alpha < 0$. Now we cannot simply ignore the jump matrix of T on $(0, \delta)$, so we will try to match all four jumps. Namely, we build a 3×3 matrix valued function P such that

1. P is analytic in $B_\delta \setminus (\Delta_2 \cup \Delta_2^\pm \cup (0, \delta))$.
2. P has a jump $P_+(z) = P_-(z)j_T(z)$ on each of the oriented contours shown in the right picture of Figure 8. The jump matrices are given by

$$j_T(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -|x|^{-\alpha} \\ 0 & |x|^\alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in (-\delta, 0) = \Delta_2 \cap B_\delta,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
j_T(z) &= I + e^{\pm\alpha\pi i} z^{-\alpha} e^{n(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)(z)} E_{23}, \quad z \in \Delta_2^\pm \cap B_\delta, \\
j_T(x) &= I + x^\alpha e^{n(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(x)} E_{12}, \quad x \in (0, \delta).
\end{aligned}$$

3. $P(z)$ behaves near the origin like:

$$P(z) = \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & |z|^\alpha & 1 \\ 1 & |z|^\alpha & 1 \\ 1 & |z|^\alpha & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 0. \quad (8.20)$$

4. As $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$P(z) = N_\alpha(z)(I + \mathcal{O}(1/n)) \quad \text{uniformly for } z \in \partial B_\delta \setminus (\Delta_2 \cup \Delta_2^\pm \cup (0, \delta)), \quad (8.21)$$

where N_α is the parametrix built in Section 6.

We use the matrix-valued function Q given by formulas (8.15) and (8.16), that worked as a parametrix for the case $\alpha \geq 0$. We take P in the form

$$P(z) = Q(z)S(z), \quad (8.22)$$

where S is given in the four components of $B_\delta \setminus (\Delta_2 \cup \Delta_2^\pm \cup (0, \delta))$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
S(z) &= I + \frac{1}{1 - e^{2\alpha\pi i}} z^\alpha e^{n(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(z)} E_{12}, \\
&\quad \text{for } z \text{ in the region bounded by } (0, \delta) \text{ and } \Delta_2^+, \quad (8.23)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S(z) &= I + \frac{e^{2\alpha\pi i}}{1 - e^{2\alpha\pi i}} z^\alpha e^{n(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(z)} E_{12}, \\
&\quad \text{for } z \text{ in the region bounded by } (0, \delta) \text{ and } \Delta_2^-, \quad (8.24)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S(z) &= I + \frac{1}{1 - e^{2\alpha\pi i}} z^\alpha e^{n(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(z)} E_{12} - \frac{e^{\alpha\pi i}}{1 - e^{2\alpha\pi i}} e^{n(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)(z)} E_{13}, \\
&\quad \text{for } z \text{ in the region bounded by } \Delta_2 \text{ and } \Delta_2^+, \quad (8.25)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S(z) &= I + \frac{e^{2\alpha\pi i}}{1 - e^{2\alpha\pi i}} z^\alpha e^{n(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(z)} E_{12} + \frac{e^{\alpha\pi i}}{1 - e^{2\alpha\pi i}} e^{n(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)(z)} E_{13}, \\
&\quad \text{for } z \text{ in the region bounded by } \Delta_2 \text{ and } \Delta_2^-. \quad (8.26)
\end{aligned}$$

This construction is actually valid for any non-integer α .

It is a straightforward, although somewhat lengthy, calculation to show that P satisfies all the jump conditions from item 2. in the RH problem for P . To check the jump on $\Delta_2 = (-\delta, 0)$ one has to keep in mind that $\lambda_{2+} = \lambda_{3-} - 2\pi i$ on Δ_2 , see (4.17), and that z^α is defined with a cut on $(-\infty, 0]$. The conditions 1., 3., and 4. in the RH problem for P are easy to verify from the above definitions and the corresponding conditions in the RH problem for Q . For condition 4. we also need to note that $\text{Re}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_j)(z) < -c < 0$ for $j = 2, 3$ and $z \in B_\delta$.

In order to unify notation for $\alpha \geq 0$ and $-1 < \alpha < 0$, we take as the parametrix in B_δ in the Case 1 the matrix valued function $P = QS$, where $S = I$ if $\alpha \geq 0$, and S is given by (8.23)–(8.26), if $-1 < \alpha < 0$.

8.2 Case 2.

The construction of the local parametrix P near the origin in Case 2 follows along similar lines as the construction in Case 1. In Case 2 the geometry of the curves in the RH problem for T is shown in the right picture of Figure 9. Now the jump matrix on $(-\delta, 0)$ is exponentially close to the identity matrix if n is large, and in the first step of the construction we ignore the jump on $(-\delta, 0)$, thereby giving us the contours as in the left picture of Figure 9.




Figure 9: Contours for the local parametrix around 0 in the Case 2, for $\alpha \geq 0$ (left picture) and for $-1 < \alpha < 0$ (right picture).

8.2.1 Construction for $\alpha \geq 0$

We start by constructing a solution to the following RH problem (see left picture of Figure 9).

1. Q is analytic in $B_\delta \setminus (\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_1^\pm)$.
2. Q has a jump $Q_+(z) = Q_-(z)j_T(z)$ on each of the oriented contours shown in Figure 9. They are given by

$$j_T(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x^\alpha & 0 \\ -x^{-\alpha} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in (0, \delta) = \Delta_1 \cap B_\delta,$$

$$j_T(z) = I + z^{-\alpha} e^{n(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)(z)} E_{21}, \quad z \in \Delta_1^\pm \cap B_\delta.$$

3. For $-1 < \alpha < 0$, $Q(z)$ behaves near the origin like:

$$Q(z) = \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & |z|^\alpha & 1 \\ 1 & |z|^\alpha & 1 \\ 1 & |z|^\alpha & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 0. \quad (8.27)$$

For $\alpha = 0$, $Q(z)$ behaves near the origin like:

$$Q(z) = \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} \log |z| & \log |z| & 1 \\ \log |z| & \log |z| & 1 \\ \log |z| & \log |z| & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 0, \quad (8.28)$$

For $0 < \alpha$, $Q(z)$ behaves near the origin like:

$$Q(z) = \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} |z|^{-\alpha} & 1 & 1 \\ |z|^{-\alpha} & 1 & 1 \\ |z|^{-\alpha} & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 0 \text{ in the lens around } \Delta_1, \quad (8.29)$$

$$Q(z) = \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 0 \text{ outside the lens.} \quad (8.30)$$

4. As $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$Q(z) = N_\alpha(z)(I + \mathcal{O}(1/n)) \quad \text{uniformly for } z \in \partial B_\delta \setminus (\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_1^\pm), \quad (8.31)$$

where N_α is the parametrix built in Section 6.

With Ψ built in (8.11)–(8.13) we define a 3×3 matrix-valued function

$$\widehat{\Psi}(\zeta) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \sigma_3 \Psi(-\zeta) \sigma_3 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 1 \end{array} \right), \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8.32)$$

where now Ψ is in the upper left block, and

$$Q(z) = E(z) \widehat{\Psi}(n^2 f(z)) \text{diag} \left((\pm 1)^n (-z)^{-\alpha/2} e^{\frac{n}{2}(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)(z)}, (\pm 1)^n (-z)^{\alpha/2} e^{-\frac{n}{2}(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)(z)}, 1 \right), \quad (8.33)$$

for $\pm \text{Im } z > 0$, where $(-z)^{\alpha/2}$ is positive for $z \in (-\delta, 0)$ and is defined with a cut on $(0, +\infty)$. Here f is the conformal map

$$f(z) = \left[\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)(z) - \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)(0) \right]^2 = \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_0^z (\zeta_2 - \zeta_1)(s) ds \right]^2, \quad (8.34)$$

and the analytic prefactor E is

$$E(z) = N_\alpha(z) \text{diag} \left((-z)^{\alpha/2}, (-z)^{-\alpha/2}, 1 \right) \text{diag} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right) \\ \times \text{diag} \left((2\pi n)^{1/2} f(z)^{1/4}, (2\pi n)^{-1/2} f(z)^{-1/4}, 1 \right). \quad (8.35)$$

Then we find the following analogue of Proposition 8.1.

Proposition 8.3. *The matrix-valued function Q defined by (8.33), (8.35), with $\widehat{\Psi}$ as in (8.32) and f as in (8.34), satisfies the conditions 1.–4. of the RH problem for Q .*

8.2.2 Construction for $-1 < \alpha < 0$

The above constructed Q can be used as a parametrix P for T in case $\alpha \geq 0$. For $-1 < \alpha < 0$, the parametrix should also have the same jump as T on $(-\delta, 0)$, and we seek a 3×3 matrix valued function P such that

1. P is analytic in $B_\delta \setminus (\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_1^\pm \cup (-\delta, 0))$.

2. P has a jump $P_+(z) = P_-(z)j_T(z)$ on each of the oriented contours shown in Figure 9, right. They are given by

$$\begin{aligned} j_T(x) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x^\alpha & 0 \\ -x^{-\alpha} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in (0, \delta) = \Delta_1 \cap B_\delta, \\ j_T(z) &= I + z^{-\alpha} e^{n(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)(z)} E_{21}, \quad z \in \Delta_2^\pm \cap B_\delta, \\ j_T(x) &= I + |x|^\alpha e^{n(\lambda_3 - \lambda_2)(x)} E_{32}, \quad x \in (-\delta, 0). \end{aligned}$$

3. $P(z)$ behaves near the origin like:

$$P(z) = \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & |z|^\alpha & 1 \\ 1 & |z|^\alpha & 1 \\ 1 & |z|^\alpha & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 0. \quad (8.36)$$

4. As $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$P(z) = N_\alpha(z)(I + \mathcal{O}(1/n)) \quad \text{uniformly for } z \in \partial B_\delta \setminus (\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_1^\pm \cup (-\delta, 0)), \quad (8.37)$$

where N_α is the parametrix built in Section 6.

Just as in Case 1, we build P in the form (8.22),

$$P(z) = Q(z)S(z), \quad (8.38)$$

where Q is the matrix valued function constructed by formulas (8.33)–(8.35), and S is now explicitly given in each of the four components of $B_\delta \setminus (\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_1^\pm \cup (-\delta, 0))$ by

$$\begin{aligned} S(z) &= I - \frac{e^{\alpha\pi i}}{1 - e^{2\alpha\pi i}} z^\alpha e^{n(\lambda_3 - \lambda_2)(z)} E_{32}, \\ &\text{for } z \text{ in the region outside the lens,} \end{aligned} \quad (8.39)$$

$$\begin{aligned} S(z) &= I - \frac{e^{\alpha\pi i}}{1 - e^{2\alpha\pi i}} z^\alpha e^{n(\lambda_3 - \lambda_2)(z)} E_{32} + \frac{e^{\alpha\pi i}}{1 - e^{2\alpha\pi i}} e^{n(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)(z)} E_{31}, \\ &\text{for } z \text{ in the upper part of the lens around } \Delta_1, \end{aligned} \quad (8.40)$$

$$\begin{aligned} S(z) &= I - \frac{e^{\alpha\pi i}}{1 - e^{2\alpha\pi i}} z^\alpha e^{n(\lambda_3 - \lambda_2)(z)} E_{32} - \frac{e^{\alpha\pi i}}{1 - e^{2\alpha\pi i}} e^{n(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)(z)} E_{31}, \\ &\text{for } z \text{ in the lower part of the lens around } \Delta_1. \end{aligned} \quad (8.41)$$

Then by straightforward calculations it can again be checked that all conditions 1.–4. of the RH problem for P are satisfied.

In order to unify notation for $\alpha \geq 0$ and $-1 < \alpha < 0$, we take as the parametrix in B_δ in the Case 2 the matrix valued function $P = QS$, where $S = I$ if $\alpha \geq 0$, and S is given by (8.39)–(8.41), if $-1 < \alpha < 0$.

9 Final transformation

We denote generically by B_δ the small disks around the branch points 0 , p and q , and by P the local parametrices built in B_δ . We define the matrix valued function R as

$$R(z) = \begin{cases} T(z)P^{-1}(z), & \text{in the neighborhoods } B_\delta, \\ T(z)N_\alpha^{-1}(z), & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases} \quad (9.1)$$

Then R is defined and analytic outside the real line, the lips Δ_1^\pm and Δ_2^\pm of the lenses and the circles around the three branch points. If $\alpha \geq 0$, the jump matrices of T and N_α coincide on Δ_1 and Δ_2 and the jump matrices of T and P coincide inside the three disks with the exception of the interval $(0, \delta)$ in Case 1, and $(-\delta, 0)$ in Case 2. It follows that R has an analytic continuation to the complex plane minus the contours shown in Figure 10.




Figure 10: Jump contours for the RH problem for R , when $\alpha \geq 0$: Cases 1 (top) and 2 (bottom).

We find that R satisfies the following RH problem, that we describe explicitly only in the Case 1 (Case 2 is similar):

1. R is analytic outside of the contours in Figure 10.
2. R has a jump $R_+(z) = R_-(z)j_R(z)$ on each of the oriented contours in Figure 10, with jump matrix

$$j_R(z) = N_\alpha(z)j_T(z)N_\alpha^{-1}(z), \quad z \in \Delta_1^\pm \cup \Delta_2^\pm \cup (\delta, p - \delta) \cup (q + \delta, \infty), \quad (9.2)$$

$$j_R(z) = N_\alpha(z)P^{-1}(z), \quad z \in \partial B_\delta, \quad (9.3)$$

$$j_R(z) = P(z)j_T(z)P^{-1}(z), \quad z \in (0, \delta). \quad (9.4)$$




Figure 11: Jump contours for the RH problem for R , when $-1 < \alpha < 0$: Cases 1 (top) and 2 (bottom).

3. $R(z) = I + O(1/z)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$.

Note that it is only after this final transformation that the RH problem is normalized at infinity. Item 3. follows from (5.3) and (6.3) and the definition (9.1) of R .

If $-1 < \alpha < 0$, the situation is even simpler, since now R has an analytic continuation to the complex plane minus the contours shown in Figure 11, so that only jumps (9.2)–(9.3) remain. By (8.20) and (8.36), $R(z)$ is at most $\mathcal{O}(|z|^\alpha)$ as $z \rightarrow 0$, so that the singularity at 0 is removable.

From the matching conditions for the local parametrices it follows that

$$j_R(z) = I + \mathcal{O}(1/n) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ uniformly for } z \text{ on the boundary of the disks.}$$

If $\alpha \geq 0$, for x in the interval $(0, \delta)$ (in Case 1) or $(-\delta, 0)$ (in Case 2), we have for some $c > 0$,

$$j_R(x) = I + \mathcal{O}(x^\alpha e^{-cn}).$$

On the remaining contours we have for some $c > 0$,

$$j_R(z) = I + \mathcal{O}(e^{-cn|z|}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We can use standard arguments (see e.g. [7]) to conclude that

$$R(z) = I + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n(|z|+1)}\right), \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (9.5)$$

uniformly for z in the complex plane outside of these contours. Then by Cauchy's theorem also

$$R'(z) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n(|z|+1)}\right), \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (9.6)$$

Thus, we obtain the following estimate which will be useful in the next section

$$R^{-1}(y)R(x) = I + R^{-1}(y)(R(x) - R(y)) = I + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x-y}{n}\right). \quad (9.7)$$

10 Proofs of the theorems

The proofs of Theorems 2.4–2.9 are based on the asymptotic analysis of the kernel $K_n(x, y)$. If we use (2.24) and follow the steps of the RH steepest descent analysis, we find that for $x, y > 0$ and $x, y \in \Delta_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} K_n(x, y) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i(x-y)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & w_1(y) & w_2(y) \end{pmatrix} Y_+^{-1}(y)Y_+(x) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i(x-y)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y^\alpha e^{-n\frac{y}{i(1-i)}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} X_+^{-1}(y)X_+(x) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i(x-y)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y^\alpha e^{-n\lambda_{2,+}(y)} & 0 \end{pmatrix} U_+^{-1}(y)U_+(x) \begin{pmatrix} e^{n\lambda_{1,+}(x)} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i(x-y)} \begin{pmatrix} -e^{-n\lambda_{1,+}(y)} & y^\alpha e^{-n\lambda_{2,+}(y)} & 0 \end{pmatrix} T_+^{-1}(y)T_+(x) \begin{pmatrix} e^{n\lambda_{1,+}(x)} \\ x^{-\alpha} e^{n\lambda_{2,+}(x)} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (10.1) \end{aligned}$$

This will be our basic formula for the kernel.

Proof of Theorem 2.4. We take x and y in the interior of Δ_1 , and we may assume that the circles around the branch points are such that x and y lie outside of these disks, so that

$$T(x) = R(x)N_\alpha(x), \quad T(y) = R(y)N_\alpha(y).$$

Thus, by (9.7)

$$\begin{aligned} T_+^{-1}(y)T_+(x) &= N_{\alpha,+}^{-1}(x)R_+^{-1}(x)R_+(y)N_{\alpha,+}(y) \\ &= N_{\alpha,+}^{-1}(x) \left(I + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x-y}{n}\right) \right) N_{\alpha,+}(y) \\ &= I + \mathcal{O}(x-y) \quad \text{as } y \rightarrow x, \end{aligned}$$

and also

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y^\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} T_+^{-1}(y)T_+(x) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = I + \mathcal{O}(x-y) \quad \text{as } y \rightarrow x.$$

Taking into account that on Δ_1 both λ_1 and λ_2 are purely imaginary on Δ_1 and $\lambda_{2+} = \overline{\lambda_{1+}}$

on Δ_1 , we can rewrite (10.1) as

$$\begin{aligned}
K_n(x, y) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i(x-y)} \begin{pmatrix} -e^{-ni \operatorname{Im} \lambda_{1,+}(y)} & e^{ni \operatorname{Im} \lambda_{1,+}(y)} & 0 \end{pmatrix} (I + \mathcal{O}(x-y)) \begin{pmatrix} e^{ni \operatorname{Im} \lambda_{1,+}(x)} \\ e^{-ni \operatorname{Im} \lambda_{1,+}(x)} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi i(x-y)} \left(e^{ni \operatorname{Im} (\lambda_{1,+}(y) - \lambda_{1,+}(x))} - e^{-ni \operatorname{Im} (\lambda_{1,+}(y) - \lambda_{1,+}(x))} + \mathcal{O}(x-y) \right) \\
&= \frac{\sin(n \operatorname{Im} (\lambda_{1,+}(y) - \lambda_{1,+}(x)))}{\pi(x-y)} + \mathcal{O}(1), \quad \text{as } y \rightarrow x,
\end{aligned} \tag{10.2}$$

where $\mathcal{O}(1)$ holds uniformly in n . Now we let $y \rightarrow x$. Using (4.9) and the L'Hopital rule, we get that

$$K_n(x, x) = -\frac{n}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \zeta_{1,+}(x) + \mathcal{O}(1) = \frac{n}{\pi} |\operatorname{Im} \zeta_{1,+}(x)| + \mathcal{O}(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

(see e.g. (4.8)), and so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} K_n(x, x) = \frac{1}{\pi} |\operatorname{Im} \zeta_{1,+}(x)|.$$

If $x \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \Delta_1$, then it can be proved analogously that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} K_n(x, x) = 0.$$

This proves that the limiting mean density of paths exists and is supported on $[p_+, q]$. This proves Theorem 2.4. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.7. Let $x^* \in (p_+(t), q(t))$, where $p_+(t) < q(t)$ are the end points of the interval Δ_1 , described in Theorem 2.4. Then $\rho(x^*) > 0$, where ρ is the density given in (2.25). For given $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, we take

$$x_n = x^* + \frac{x}{n\rho(x^*)}, \quad y_n = x^* + \frac{y}{n\rho(x^*)}.$$

Then for n large enough, we have $x_n, y_n \in (p_+(t), q(t))$, so that (10.2) holds. Then by Taylor expansion,

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Im} (\lambda_{1,+}(y_n) - \lambda_{1,+}(x_n)) &= (y_n - x_n) \operatorname{Im} \zeta_{1,+}(x^*) + \mathcal{O}(y_n - x_n)^2 \\
&= \frac{y-x}{n\rho(x^*)} \cdot (-\pi\rho(x^*)) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) \\
&= \frac{\pi(x-y)}{n} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{n\rho(x^*)} K_n(x_n, y_n) &= \frac{\sin(n \operatorname{Im} (\lambda_{1,+}(y_n) - \lambda_{1,+}(x_n)))}{\pi(x-y)} + \mathcal{O}(1/n) \\
&= \frac{\sin \pi(x-y)}{\pi(x-y)} + \mathcal{O}(1/n),
\end{aligned}$$

which proves Theorem 2.7. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.8. Take $c = f'(q)$ where f is the conformal map from (7.1). For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ we put $x_n = q + \frac{x}{cn^{2/3}}$ and $y_n = q + \frac{y}{cn^{2/3}}$. This implies that

$$n^{2/3}f(x_n) \rightarrow x, \quad n^{2/3}f(y_n) \rightarrow y.$$

If $x, y < 0$, then we still can apply (10.1), but now, for n large enough, x_n, y_n belong to the small disk B_δ around q , so that

$$\begin{aligned} T(x_n) &= R(x_n)P(x_n) \\ &= R(x_n)E(x_n)\Psi \left(n^{2/3}f(x_n) \right) \text{diag} \left(x_n^{-\alpha/2} e^{\frac{n}{2}(\lambda_2(x_n) - \lambda_1(x_n))}, x_n^{\alpha/2} e^{-\frac{n}{2}(\lambda_2(x_n) - \lambda_1(x_n))}, 1 \right), \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for $T(y_n)$. Therefore,

$$T_+(x_n) \begin{pmatrix} e^{n\lambda_{1,+}(x_n)} \\ x_n^{-\alpha} e^{n\lambda_{2,+}(x_n)} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = x_n^{-\alpha/2} e^{\frac{n}{2}(\lambda_{1,+}(x_n) + \lambda_{2,+}(x_n))} R(x_n)E(x_n)\Psi_+ \left(n^{2/3}f(x_n) \right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} -e^{-n\lambda_{1,+}(y_n)} & y_n^\alpha e^{-n\lambda_{2,+}(y_n)} & 0 \end{pmatrix} T_+^{-1}(y_n) \\ &= y_n^{\alpha/2} e^{-\frac{n}{2}(\lambda_{1,+}(y_n) + \lambda_{2,+}(y_n))} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Psi_+^{-1} \left(n^{2/3}f(y_n) \right) E^{-1}(y_n)R^{-1}(y_n). \end{aligned}$$

As in [7, Section 9], we can show that

$$E^{-1}(y_n)R^{-1}(y_n)R(x_n)E(x_n) \rightarrow I.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{cn^{2/3}} K_n(x_n, y_n) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i(x-y)} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Psi_+^{-1}(x)\Psi_+(y) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{\text{Ai}(x)\text{Ai}'(y) - \text{Ai}'(x)\text{Ai}(y)}{x-y}. \end{aligned}$$

Similar calculations give the same result if x and/or y are positive.

The scaling limit near p in case $t < t^*$ follows in a similar way. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.9. Now we assume $t > t^*$ so that we are in Case 2. For x and y are in the δ -neighborhood B_δ of 0, we use the expression (10.1) for $K_n(x, y)$ with $T = RP = RQS$, where $S = I$ in case $\alpha \geq 0$, or S is given by (8.40) in case $-1 < \alpha < 0$. In both cases it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} e^{-n\lambda_{1,+}(y)} & y^\alpha e^{-n\lambda_{2,+}(y)} \end{pmatrix} S_+^{-1}(y) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-n\lambda_{1,+}(y)} & y^\alpha e^{-n\lambda_{2,+}(y)} \end{pmatrix}, \\ & S_+(x) \begin{pmatrix} e^{n\lambda_{1,+}(x)} \\ x^{-\alpha} e^{n\lambda_{2,+}(x)} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{n\lambda_{1,+}(x)} \\ x^{-\alpha} e^{n\lambda_{2,+}(x)} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

so that by (10.1)

$$K_n(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i(x-y)} \begin{pmatrix} -e^{-n\lambda_{1,+}(y)} & y^\alpha e^{-n\lambda_{2,+}(y)} & 0 \end{pmatrix} Q_+^{-1}(y) R_+(y)^{-1} \\ \times R_+(x) Q_+(x) \begin{pmatrix} e^{n\lambda_{1,+}(x)} \\ x^{-\alpha} e^{n\lambda_{2,+}(x)} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (10.3)$$

Let now $x, y > 0$ be arbitrary. Let $c = -f'(0) > 0$ where f is the conformal map from (8.34) and take $x_n = \frac{x}{4cn^2}$, $y_n = \frac{y}{4cn^2}$ so that

$$n^2 f(x_n) \rightarrow -x/4, \quad n^2 f(y_n) \rightarrow -y/4$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then for n large enough, we have that x_n and y_n are in the δ -neighborhood B_δ of 0, so that we can use (10.3) with x and y replaced by x_n and y_n . We then have

$$R_+(x_n) Q_+(x_n) = R(x_n) E(x_n) \widehat{\Psi}_+(n^2 f(x_n)) \\ \times \text{diag} \left(e^{\alpha\pi i/2} x_n^{-\alpha/2} e^{\frac{n}{2}(\lambda_{2,+}(x_n) - \lambda_{1,+}(x_n))}, e^{-\alpha\pi i/2} x_n^{\alpha/2} e^{-\frac{n}{2}(\lambda_{2,+}(x_n) - \lambda_{1,+}(x_n))}, 1 \right),$$

and similarly for $R_+(y_n) Q_+(y_n)$. Thus,

$$R_+(x_n) Q_+(x_n) \begin{pmatrix} e^{n\lambda_{1,+}(x_n)} \\ x_n^{-\alpha} e^{n\lambda_{2,+}(x_n)} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = x_n^{-\alpha/2} e^{\frac{n}{2}(\lambda_{1,+}(x_n) + \lambda_{2,+}(x_n))} R(x_n) E(x_n) \widehat{\Psi}_+(n^2 f(x_n)) \begin{pmatrix} e^{\alpha\pi i/2} \\ e^{-\alpha\pi i/2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} -e^{-n\lambda_{1,+}(y_n)} & y_n^\alpha e^{-n\lambda_{2,+}(y_n)} & 0 \end{pmatrix} Q_+^{-1}(y_n) R_+^{-1}(y_n) \\ = y_n^{\alpha/2} e^{-\frac{n}{2}(\lambda_{1,+}(y_n) + \lambda_{2,+}(y_n))} \begin{pmatrix} -e^{-\alpha\pi i/2} & e^{\alpha\pi i/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \widehat{\Psi}_+^{-1}(n^2 f(y_n)) E^{-1}(y_n) R^{-1}(y_n).$$

Then it may be shown (see (9.7) and [7]) that

$$E^{-1}(y_n) R^{-1}(y_n) R(x_n) E(x_n) \rightarrow I,$$

and we arrive at

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{cn^2} K_n(x_n, y_n) = \frac{1}{2\pi i(x-y)} \left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^{\alpha/2} \begin{pmatrix} -e^{-\alpha\pi i/2} & e^{\alpha\pi i/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \widehat{\Psi}_+^{-1}(y/4) \widehat{\Psi}_+(x/4) \begin{pmatrix} e^{\alpha\pi i/2} \\ e^{-\alpha\pi i/2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

To evaluate this further, we first note that by definition of $\widehat{\Psi}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \begin{pmatrix} -e^{-\alpha\pi i/2} & e^{\alpha\pi i/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \widehat{\Psi}_+^{-1}(y/4) \widehat{\Psi}_+(x/4) \begin{pmatrix} e^{\alpha\pi i/2} \\ e^{-\alpha\pi i/2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} -e^{-\alpha\pi i/2} & e^{\alpha\pi i/2} \end{pmatrix} \sigma_3 \Psi_-^{-1}(-y/4) \Psi_-(-x/4) \sigma_3 \begin{pmatrix} e^{\alpha\pi i/2} \\ e^{-\alpha\pi i/2} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\alpha\pi i/2} & e^{\alpha\pi i/2} \end{pmatrix} \Psi_-^{-1}(-y/4) \Psi_-(-x/4) \begin{pmatrix} -e^{\alpha\pi i/2} \\ e^{-\alpha\pi i/2} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= (1 \quad 1) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} H_\alpha^{(2)}(\sqrt{y}) & -\frac{1}{2} H_\alpha^{(1)}(\sqrt{y}) \\ \frac{1}{2} \pi i \sqrt{y} \left(H_\alpha^{(2)} \right)'(\sqrt{y}) & -\frac{1}{2} \pi i \sqrt{y} \left(H_\alpha^{(1)} \right)'(\sqrt{y}) \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \\
&\quad \times \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} H_\alpha^{(2)}(\sqrt{x}) & -\frac{1}{2} H_\alpha^{(1)}(\sqrt{x}) \\ \frac{1}{2} \pi i \sqrt{x} \left(H_\alpha^{(2)} \right)'(\sqrt{x}) & -\frac{1}{2} \pi i \sqrt{x} \left(H_\alpha^{(1)} \right)'(\sqrt{x}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

where for the last line we used the definition of $\Psi(\zeta)$ in terms of the Hankel functions that is valid for $-\pi < \arg \zeta < -2\pi/3$. Since

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(H_\alpha^{(1)} + H_\alpha^{(2)} \right) = J_\alpha$$

and since the above matrices with the Hankel functions have determinant one, it follows that the above expression is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \begin{pmatrix} -\pi i \sqrt{y} J'_\alpha(\sqrt{y}) & J_\alpha(\sqrt{y}) \\ -\pi i \sqrt{x} J'_\alpha(\sqrt{x}) & J_\alpha(\sqrt{x}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -J_\alpha(\sqrt{x}) \\ -\pi i \sqrt{x} J'_\alpha(\sqrt{x}) \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \pi i \left(J_\alpha(\sqrt{x}) \sqrt{y} J'_\alpha(\sqrt{y}) - \sqrt{x} J'_\alpha(\sqrt{x}) J_\alpha(\sqrt{y}) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Using this in the expression for the scaling limit we obtain the theorem. \square

11 Appendix: approach via equilibrium measures

In the appendix we indicate an approach via equilibrium measures. Our starting point is the RH problem for X , see Proposition 3.1. Instead of the λ -functions that come from the Riemann surface we use the so-called g -functions to make the second transformation of the RH problem.

As an intermediate step we first define

$$\widetilde{U}(z) = X(z) \operatorname{diag}(1, e^{2n\sqrt{az}/t}, e^{-2n\sqrt{az}/t}) \quad (11.1)$$

with the usual principal branch of the square root function. Then \widetilde{U} satisfies the following RH problem.

1. $\widetilde{U}(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus (\mathbb{R} \cup \Delta_2^\pm)$.

2. $\tilde{U}(z)$ possesses continuous boundary values on $\mathbb{R} \cup \Delta_2^\pm$ denoted by \tilde{U}_+ and \tilde{U}_- , and

$$\tilde{U}_+(x) = \tilde{U}_-(x) \left(I + x^\alpha e^{-n \left(\frac{x}{t(1-t)} - \frac{2\sqrt{ax}}{t} \right)} E_{12} \right) \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad (11.2)$$

$$\tilde{U}_+(x) = \tilde{U}_-(x) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -|x|^{-\alpha} \\ 0 & |x|^\alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in (-\infty, p_-), \quad (11.3)$$

$$\tilde{U}_+(x) = \tilde{U}_-(x) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{4in|ax|^{1/2}/t} & 0 \\ 0 & |x|^\alpha & e^{-4in|ax|^{1/2}/t} \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in (p_-, 0), \quad (11.4)$$

$$\tilde{U}_+(z) = \tilde{U}_-(z) \left(I + e^{\pm\alpha\pi i} z^{-\alpha} e^{-4n(az)^{1/2}/t} E_{23} \right) \quad z \in \Delta_2^\pm. \quad (11.5)$$

3. $\tilde{U}(z)$ has the following behavior near infinity:

$$\tilde{U}(z) = \left(I + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) \right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z^{1/4} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z^{-1/4} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z^{\alpha/2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z^{-\alpha/2} \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} z^n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z^{-n/2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z^{-n/2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \rightarrow \infty, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\mathbb{R} \cup \Delta_2^\pm), \quad (11.6)$$

4. $\tilde{U}(z)$ has the same behavior as $X(z)$ at the origin, see (3.20).

Now we consider the following variational problem for two measures μ_1 and μ_2 . Minimize

$$\iint \log \frac{1}{|x-y|} d\mu_1(x) d\mu_1(y) - \iint \log \frac{1}{|x-y|} d\mu_1(x) d\mu_2(y) \\ + \iint \log \frac{1}{|x-y|} d\mu_2(x) d\mu_2(y) + \int \left(\frac{x}{t(1-t)} - \frac{2\sqrt{ax}}{t} \right) d\mu_1(x) \quad (11.7)$$

over all pairs (μ_1, μ_2) such that

$$\text{supp}(\mu_1) \subset [0, \infty), \quad \int d\mu_1 = 1, \\ \text{supp}(\mu_2) \subset (-\infty, 0], \quad \int d\mu_2 = 1/2, \quad (11.8)$$

and

$$\mu_2 \leq \sigma, \quad (11.9)$$

where σ is the (unbounded) measure on $(-\infty, 0]$ with density

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx} = \frac{\sqrt{a}}{\pi t} |x|^{-1/2}, \quad x \in (-\infty, 0]. \quad (11.10)$$

It is possible to show that there is a unique minimizing pair (μ_1, μ_2) . The measures are absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure and their densities are related to the functions ζ_1 and ζ_3 coming from the Riemann surface as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d\mu_1}{dx} &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} (\zeta_{1+} - \zeta_{1-}), \\ \frac{d\mu_2}{dx} &= \frac{d\sigma}{dx} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} (\zeta_{3+}(x) - \zeta_{3-}(x)).\end{aligned}\tag{11.11}$$

Thus

$$\text{supp}(\mu_1) = \Delta_1, \quad \text{supp}(\mu_2) = (-\infty, 0], \quad \text{supp}(\sigma - \mu_2) = \Delta_2,$$

and the constraint (11.9) on μ_2 is active only in Case 2.

The following variational equalities and inequalities hold for certain Lagrange multipliers l_1 and l_2 :

$$2 \int \log|x-s|d\mu_1(s) - \int \log|x-s|d\mu_2(s) - \frac{x}{t(1-t)} + \frac{2\sqrt{ax}}{t} \begin{cases} = l_1, & x \in \Delta_1, \\ < l_1, & x \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \Delta_1, \end{cases}\tag{11.12}$$

$$2 \int \log|x-y|d\mu_2(s) - \int \log|x-y|d\mu_1(s) \begin{cases} = l_2, & x \in \Delta_2, \\ > l_2, & x \in \mathbb{R}_- \setminus \Delta_2. \end{cases}\tag{11.13}$$

This is a vector equilibrium for the pair of measures μ_1 and μ_2 , supported on \mathbb{R}_+ and \mathbb{R}_- , respectively, with the matrix of interaction

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

characteristic of a Nikishin system [13, 46] (see [2] for a survey), but with two additional features:

- (i) there is an external field

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{x}{t(1-t)} - \frac{2\sqrt{ax}}{t}$$

acting on \mathbb{R}_+ , motivated by the varying character of the orthogonality weights in (2.20);

- (ii) there is an upper constraint (11.9) originated in the fact that w_2/w_1 is the Cauchy transform of a discrete measure on \mathbb{R}_- , see (3.2). The upper constraint (11.10) is equal to the limiting distribution of the points (3.3) that are related to the positive zeros of the Bessel function J_α .

We introduce the g -functions

$$g_j(z) = \int \log(z-s)d\mu_j(s), \quad j = 1, 2,\tag{11.14}$$

and define the transformation

$$U(z) = C_n \text{diag} \left(e^{-nl_1}, 1, e^{nl_2} \right) \tilde{U}(z) \text{diag} \left(e^{-n(g_1(z)-l_1)}, e^{n(g_1(z)-g_2(z))}, e^{n(g_2(z)-l_2)} \right)\tag{11.15}$$

where l_1 and l_2 are the constants from (11.12) and (11.13) and C_n is a constant matrix (see the first matrix in the right-hand side of (4.18)). Then U satisfies a RH problem.

1. $U(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus (\mathbb{R} \cup \Delta_2^\pm)$.

2. $U(z)$ possesses continuous boundary values on $\mathbb{R} \cup \Delta_2^\pm$ denoted by U_+ and U_- , and

$$U_+(x) = U_-(x) \begin{pmatrix} e^{-n(g_{1+}(x)-g_{1-}(x))} & x^\alpha e^{n(g_{1+}(x)+g_{1-}(x)-g_2(x)-\frac{x}{t(1-t)}+\frac{2\sqrt{ax}}{t}-l_1)} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{n(g_{1+}(x)-g_{1-}(x))} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad (11.16)$$

$$U_+(x) = U_-(x) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -|x|^{-\alpha} \\ 0 & |x|^\alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in (-\infty, p_-), \quad (11.17)$$

$$U_+(x) = U_-(x) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{4in|ax|^{1/2}/t} e^{-n(g_{2+}(x)-g_{2-}(x))} & 0 \\ 0 & |x|^\alpha e^{n(g_{1+}(x)-g_{2+}(x)-g_{2-}(x)+l_2)} & e^{-4in|ax|^{1/2}/t} e^{n(g_{2+}(x)-g_{2-}(x))} \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in (p_-, 0), \quad (11.18)$$

$$U_+(z) = U_-(z) \left(I + e^{\pm\alpha\pi i} z^{-\alpha} e^{-4n(az)^{1/2}/t} e^{n(2g_2(z)-g_1(z)-l_2)} E_{23} \right) \quad z \in \Delta_2^\pm. \quad (11.19)$$

3. $U(z)$ has the following behavior as $z \rightarrow \infty$, $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\mathbb{R} \cup \Delta_2^\pm)$:

$$U(z) = \left(I + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) \right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z^{1/4} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z^{-1/4} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z^{\alpha/2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z^{-\alpha/2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (11.20)$$

4. $U(z)$ has the same behavior as $X(z)$ at the origin, see (3.20).

Due to the equilibrium conditions we have that the jump (11.16) simplifies on the interval Δ_1 to

$$U_+(x) = U_-(x) \begin{pmatrix} e^{-n(g_{1+}(x)-g_{1-}(x))} & x^\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & e^{n(g_{1+}(x)-g_{1-}(x))} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in \Delta_1. \quad (11.21)$$

A calculation that uses the fact that $\mu_2 = \sigma$ on $(p_-, 0)$ shows that the diagonal entries of the jump matrix (11.18) on $(p_-, 0)$ are equal to 1, so that

$$U_+(x) = U_-(x) \left(I + |x|^\alpha e^{n(g_{1+}(x)-g_{2+}(x)-g_{2-}(x)+l_2)} E_{32} \right) \quad x \in (p_-, 0), \quad (11.22)$$

with an off-diagonal entry that is tending to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Of course the jump (11.22) is only relevant in Case 2.

We can then go on by opening a lens around Δ_1 as discussed in the main part of the text.

We conclude this appendix by giving the relation between the g -functions and the λ -functions coming from the Riemann surface. We have

$$g_1(z) = \lambda_1(z) - \ell_1, \quad (11.23)$$

$$g_1(z) - g_2(z) = -\lambda_2(z) + \frac{z}{t(1-t)} - \frac{2\sqrt{az}}{t} + \ell_2, \quad (11.24)$$

$$g_2(z) = -\lambda_3(z) + \frac{z}{t(1-t)} + \frac{2\sqrt{az}}{t} + \ell_3. \quad (11.25)$$

with constants ℓ_1 , ℓ_2 , and ℓ_3 appearing in (4.13)–(4.15). These relations and (4.13)–(4.15) show that

$$g_1(z) = \log z - \frac{(1-t)(t+a(1-t))}{z} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z^2}\right), \quad (11.26)$$

$$g_2(z) = \frac{1}{2} \log z + \frac{t+4a(1-t)}{4\sqrt{az}} - \frac{(1-t)(t+a(1-t))}{2z} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z^{3/2}}\right), \quad (11.27)$$

as $z \rightarrow \infty$.

Acknowledgements

ABJK is supported by FWO-Flanders project G.0455.04, by K.U. Leuven research grant OT/04/21, by the Belgian Interuniversity Attraction Pole P06/02, and by the European Science Foundation Program MISGAM.

AMF is partially supported by Junta de Andalucía, grants FQM-229, FQM-481, and P06-FQM-01738.

Additionally, ABJK and AMF are partially supported by the Ministry of Education and Science of Spain, project code MTM2005-08648-C02-01.

References

- [1] M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun, Handbook of Mathematical Functions, Dover Publications, New York, 1968.
- [2] A.I. Aptekarev, Multiple orthogonal polynomials, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 99 (1998), 423–447.
- [3] A.I. Aptekarev, P.M. Bleher and A.B.J. Kuijlaars, Large n limit of Gaussian random matrices with external source, part II, Comm. Math. Phys. 259 (2005), 367–289.
- [4] J. Baik, T. Kriecherbauer, K.T-R McLaughlin, and P. Miller, Discrete Orthogonal Polynomials, Annals of Math. Studies 164, Princenton Univ. Press, 2007.
- [5] J. Baik and T. Suidan, Random matrix central limit theorems for nonintersecting random walks, Ann. Probab. 35 (2007), 1807–1834.
- [6] P.M. Bleher and A.B.J. Kuijlaars, Random matrices with external source and multiple orthogonal polynomials, Int. Math. Research Notices 2004, no 3 (2004), 109–129.
- [7] P.M. Bleher and A.B.J. Kuijlaars, Large n limit of Gaussian random matrices with external source, part I, Comm. Math. Phys. 252 (2004), 43–76.
- [8] P.M. Bleher and A.B.J. Kuijlaars, Large n limit of Gaussian random matrices with external source, part III, double scaling limit, Comm. Math. Phys. 270 (2007), 481–517.
- [9] A. Borodin, Biorthogonal ensembles, Nucl. Phys. B 536 (1998), 704–732.
- [10] A. Borodin and P. Forrester, Increasing subsequences and the hard-to-soft edge transition in matrix ensembles, J. Phys. A 36 (2003), 2963–2981.

- [11] A. Borodin and G. Olshanski, Representation theory and random point processes, European Congress of Mathematics, 73–94, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2005
- [12] A. Borodin and P. Salminen, Handbook of Brownian motion: facts and formulae, second edition. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1996.
- [13] J. Bustamante and G. López Lagomasino, Hermite-Padé approximations for Nikishin systems of analytic functions, *Matem. Sbornik* 183 (1992), 117–138; English transl. in *Russian Acad. Sci. Sb. Math.* 77 (1994), 367–384.
- [14] T. Claeys and A.B.J. Kuijlaars, Universality of the double scaling limit in random matrix models, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 59 (2006), 1573–1603.
- [15] T. Claeys and A.B.J. Kuijlaars, Universality in unitary random matrix ensembles when the soft edge meets the hard edge, preprint arxiv:math-ph/0701003.
- [16] T. Claeys, A.B.J. Kuijlaars and M. Vanlessen, Multi-critical unitary random matrix ensembles and the general Painlevé II equation, preprint arxiv:math-ph/0508062, to appear in *Ann. Math.*
- [17] T. Claeys and M. Vanlessen, Universality of a double scaling limit near singular edge points in random matrix models, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 273 (2007), 499–532.
- [18] E. Coussement, W. Van Assche, Asymptotics of multiple orthogonal polynomials associated with the modified Bessel functions of the first kind, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 153 (2003), 141–149.
- [19] E. Coussement and W. Van Assche, Multiple orthogonal polynomials associated with the modified Bessel functions of the first kind, *Constr. Approx.* 19 (2003), 237–263.
- [20] E. Daems and A.B.J. Kuijlaars, A Christoffel-Darboux formula for multiple orthogonal polynomials, *J. Approx. Theory* 130 (2004), 188–200.
- [21] E. Daems, A.B.J. Kuijlaars, and W. Veys, Asymptotics of non-intersecting Brownian motions and a 4×4 Riemann-Hilbert problem, preprint math.CV/0701923, to appear in *J. Approx. Theory*.
- [22] P. Deift, *Orthogonal Polynomials and Random Matrices: a Riemann-Hilbert approach*. Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics Vol. 3, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence R.I. 1999.
- [23] P. Deift and D. Gioev, Universality at the edge of the spectrum for unitary, orthogonal, and symplectic ensembles of random matrices. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 60 (2007), 867–910.
- [24] P. Deift, T. Kriecherbauer, K.T.-R. McLaughlin, S. Venakides and X. Zhou, Uniform asymptotics for polynomials orthogonal with respect to varying exponential weights and applications to universality questions in random matrix theory, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* 52 (1999), 1335–1425.
- [25] P. Deift, T. Kriecherbauer, K.T.-R. McLaughlin, S. Venakides, and X. Zhou, Strong asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials with respect to exponential weights, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* 52 (1999), 1491–1552.

- [26] P. Deift and X. Zhou, A steepest descent method for oscillatory Riemann-Hilbert problems. Asymptotics for the MKdV equation, *Ann. Math. (2)* 137 (1993) no. 2, 295–368.
- [27] P. Desrosiers and P.J. Forrester, A note on biorthogonal ensembles, preprint math-ph/0608052.
- [28] A.S. Fokas, A.R. Its, and A.V. Kitaev, The isomonodromy approach to matrix models in 2D quantum gravity, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 147 (1992), 395–430.
- [29] A. Göing-Jaeschke and M. Yor, A survey and some generalizations of Bessel processes, *Bernoulli* 9 (2003), 313–349.
- [30] J.B. Hough, M. Krishnapur, Y. Peres, and B. Virag, Determinantal processes and independence, *Probability Surveys* 3 (2006), 206–229.
- [31] K. Johansson, Non-intersecting paths, random tilings and random matrices, *Probab. Theory Related Fields* 123 (2002), 225–280.
- [32] K. Johansson, Random matrices and determinantal processes, preprint arxiv:math-ph/0510038
- [33] S. Karlin and J. McGregor, Coincidence probabilities, *Pacific J. Math.* 9 (1959), 1141–1164.
- [34] S. Karlin and H.M. Taylor, A first course in stochastic processes, second edition. Academic Press, N.Y., 1975.
- [35] M. Katori, M. Izumi, and N. Kobayashi, Two Bessel bridges conditioned never to collide, double Dirichlet series, and Jacobi theta function, preprint arXiv:0711.1710.
- [36] M. Katori and H. Tanemura, Symmetry of matrix-valued stochastic processes and non-colliding diffusion particle systems, *J. Math. Phys.* 45 (2004), 3058–3085.
- [37] M. Katori and H. Tanemura, Noncolliding Brownian motion and determinantal processes, preprint arXiv:math.PR/0705.2460, to appear in *J. Stat. Phys.*
- [38] W. König, Orthogonal polynomial ensembles in probability theory, *Prob. Surveys* 2 (2005), 385–447.
- [39] W. König and N. O’Connell, Eigenvalues of the Laguerre process as non-colliding squared Bessel processes, *Elect. Comm. Probab.* 6 (2001), 107–114.
- [40] A.B.J. Kuijlaars, Riemann-Hilbert analysis for orthogonal polynomials. In: “Orthogonal Polynomials and Special Functions” (E. Koelink and W. Van Assche, eds), *Lecture Notes in Math.* 1817, Springer, Berlin, 2003, pp. 167–210.
- [41] A.B.J. Kuijlaars, K. T-R McLaughlin, W. Van Assche, and M. Vanlessen, The Riemann-Hilbert approach to strong asymptotics for orthogonal polynomials on $[-1, 1]$, *Advances in Math.* 188 (2004), 337–398.
- [42] A.B.J. Kuijlaars, W. Van Assche, and F. Wielonsky, Quadratic Hermite-Padé approximation to the exponential function: a Riemann-Hilbert approach, *Constr. Approx.* 21 (2005), 351–412.

- [43] D.S. Lubinsky, A new approach to universality at the edge of the spectrum, preprint arXiv:math.CA/0701169.
- [44] D.S. Lubinsky, A new approach to universality limits involving orthogonal polynomials, preprint arXiv:math.CA/0701307, to appear in Ann. Math.
- [45] V. Lysov and F. Wielonsky, Strong asymptotics for multiple Laguerre polynomials, Constr. Approx. 28 (2008), 61–111.
- [46] E. M. Nikishin and V. N. Sorokin, Rational Approximations and Orthogonality, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2), vol. 92, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1991.
- [47] A. Okounkov and N. Reshetikhin, Random skew plane partitions and the Pearcey process, Comm. Math. Phys. 269 (2007), 571–609.
- [48] E. Platen, A benchmark approach to finance. Mathem. Finance 16 (2006), 131–151.
- [49] A. Soshnikov, Determinantal random point fields, Russian Mathematical Surveys, 55 (2000), 923–975.
- [50] C. Tracy and H. Widom, The Pearcey process, Comm. Math. Phys. 263 (2006), 381–400.
- [51] C. Tracy and H. Widom, Nonintersecting Brownian excursions, Ann. Appl. Probab. 17 (2007), 953–979.
- [52] W. Van Assche, J.S. Geronimo and A.B.J. Kuijlaars, Riemann-Hilbert problems for multiple orthogonal polynomials. In: “Special Functions 2000: Current Perspectives and Future Directions” (J. Bustoz et al., eds.), Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2001, pp. 23–59.

A. B. J. Kuijlaars (arno.kuijlaars@wis.kuleuven.be)
 Department of Mathematics
 Katholieke Universiteit Leuven
 Celestijnenlaan 200B
 3001 Leuven, BELGIUM

A. Martínez-Finkelshtein (andrei@ual.es)
 Department of Statistics and Applied Mathematics
 University of Almería, SPAIN, and
 Instituto Carlos I de Física Teórica y Computacional
 Granada University, SPAIN

F. Wielonsky (Franck.Wielonsky@math.univ-lille1.fr)
 Laboratoire de Mathématiques P. Painlevé
 UMR CNRS 8524 - Bat.M2
 Université des Sciences et Technologies Lille
 F-59655 Villeneuve d’Ascq Cedex, FRANCE