

DOMINANCE OF A RATIONAL MAP TO THE COBLE QUARTIC

SUKMOON HUH

ABSTRACT. We show the dominance of the restriction map from a moduli space of stable sheaves on the projective plane to the Coble sixfold quartic. With the dominance and the interpretation of a stable sheaf on the plane in terms of hyperplane arrangements, we expect these tools to reveal the geometry of the Coble quartic.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let C be a smooth non-hyperelliptic curve of genus 3 over complex numbers, then C is embedded into $\mathbb{P}_2 \simeq \mathbb{P}H^0(K_C)^*$ by canonical embedding as a plane quartic curve. The moduli space $SU_C(2, K_C)$ of semistable vector bundles of rank 2 with canonical determinant over C is known to be a hypersurface in \mathbb{P}_7 , called the ‘Coble quartic’ [3][13]. Let \mathcal{W}^r be the closure of the following set

$$(1) \quad \{E \in SU_C(2, K_C) \mid h^0(C, E) \geq r + 1\}.$$

Then we have the following inclusions [14] on the Brill-Noether loci,

$$(2) \quad SU_C(2, K_C) \supset \mathcal{W} \supset \mathcal{W}^1 \supset \mathcal{W}^2 \supset \mathcal{W}^3 = \emptyset,$$

where $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}^0$. Many properties on the geometry of these Brill-Noether loci have been discovered in [14].

Let $\overline{M}(c_1, c_2)$ be the moduli space of stable sheaves of rank 2 with the Chern classes (c_1, c_2) on the projective plane. The dimension of this space is known to be $4c_2 - 3$ if $c_1 = 0$ [2], and $4c_2 - 4$ if $c_1 = -1$ [9]. Then there exists a rational map [8]

$$(3) \quad \Phi_k : \overline{M}(1, k) \dashrightarrow SU_C(2, K_C), \quad 1 \leq k \leq 4$$

defined by sending E to $E|_C$. It is shown in [8] that Φ_k is a dominant map to $\mathcal{W}^2, \mathcal{W}^1$ and \mathcal{W} , for $k = 1, 2, 3$, respectively. In this article, we give a proof of the dominance of the rational map Φ_4 . This is equivalent to the dominance of the rational map from $\overline{M}(3, 6)$ to $SU_C(2, 3K_C)$ by twisting. For a general bundle $E \in SU_C(2, 3K_C)$, we embed C with \mathbb{P}_2 into a Grassmannian $Gr(5, 2)$ and take the pull-back of the universal quotient

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14D20; Secondary 14M15.

This article is part of the revised version of the author’s thesis at the University of Michigan. The author would like to express his deepest gratitude to his advisor Professor Igor Dolgachev. The author is also grateful to the referee for many suggestions.

bundle of $Gr(5, 2)$ to \mathbb{P}_2 . This bundle is shown to be stable and have the Chern classes (3,6).

As a quick consequence, we can obtain the old result that $SU_C(2, K_C)$ is unirational since $\overline{M}(1, 4)$ is rational. The unirationality implies the rationally connectedness. We see how we can obtain a rational curve through two general points of the Coble quartic in terms of hyperplane arrangements.

The restriction of vector bundles on \mathbb{P}_2 to plane curves, was also studied in [7], where the author investigated the restriction of the tangent bundle of \mathbb{P}_2 to plane curves and gave the conditions for a vector bundle E on a plane curve to be a pull-back of the tangent bundle of \mathbb{P}_2 , twisted by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1}(-1)$.

For the background on vector bundles, we suggest [12] as a good reference.

2. EMBEDDING PLANE QUARTICS IN GRASSMANNIANS

Let E be a semistable vector bundle of rank 2 with the determinant $3K_C$ over C , i.e. $E \in SU_C(2, 3K_C)$. By the following lemma, we can obtain a morphism

$$\varphi : C \rightarrow Gr(H^0(E), 2)$$

sending $p \in C$ to the 2 dimensional quotient space E_p of $H^0(E)$.

Lemma 2.1. $H^1(C, E) = 0$ and E is globally generated.

Proof. $H^1(E) \simeq H^0(E^* \otimes K_C) \neq 0$ implies the existence of a nonzero homomorphism $E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(K_C)$ which contradicts the semistability of E . Now, by the same argument, we have $H^1(E(-p)) = 0$ for all $p \in C$. From the long exact sequence of the following sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E(-p) \rightarrow E \rightarrow E_p \rightarrow 0,$$

we obtain the surjective evaluation map $H^0(E) \rightarrow E_p$, which implies the global generation of E . \square

In fact, the morphism φ fits in the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & Gr(H^0(E), 2) \\ |3K_C| \downarrow & & \downarrow \vartheta \\ \mathbb{P}H^0(3K_C)^* & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}\lambda^*} & \mathbb{P}(\wedge^2 H^0(E)^*) \end{array}$$

where ϑ is the Plucker embedding and $\mathbb{P}\lambda^*$ comes from the dual of the following homomorphism

$$\lambda : \wedge^2 H^0(E) \rightarrow H^0(\wedge^2 E) \simeq H^0(3K_C).$$

By the following lemma, $\mathbb{P}\lambda^*$ is an embedding and so is φ for general E .

Lemma 2.2. *The homomorphism λ is surjective for general $E \in SU_C(2, 3K_C)$.*

Proof. If E is stable, then by Nagata-Severi theorem [11], we have the following exact sequence for $E(-K_C)$,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(D) \rightarrow E(-K_C) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(K_C - D) \rightarrow 0,$$

where D is a divisor of degree 1. For general E , we have $H^0(E(-K_C)) = 0$, i.e. we can assume that $H^0(\mathcal{O}(D)) = 0$, i.e. D is non-effective.

Let $L = \mathcal{O}(K_C + D)$ and $F = \mathcal{O}(2K_C - D)$. Then we have

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow E \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that $h^0(L) = 3$, $h^0(F) = 5$ and $h^1(L) = h^1(F) = 0$ and from the long exact sequence of the above sequence, we have

$$H^0(E) \simeq H^0(L) \oplus H^0(F)$$

and hence it is enough to show the surjectivity of the following map

$$H^0(L) \otimes H^0(F) \rightarrow H^0(L \otimes F) \simeq H^0(3K_C).$$

For every $p \in C$, $h^0(L(-p)) = 2 + h^1(L(-p)) = 2 + h^0(p - D) = 2$ since D is not effective. Hence, we can have a map from C to $Gr(2, H^0(L))$ sending p to $H^0(L(-p))$. Since $Gr(2, H^0(L)) \simeq \mathbb{P}_2$, we can choose $W \in Gr(2, H^0(L))$ which is not the same as $H^0(L(-p))$ for any $p \in C$. Then by the choice of W , it does not have base locus on C . Now consider the map

$$W \otimes H^0(F) \rightarrow H^0(3K_C)$$

By the Base-Point-Free Pencil Trick [1], the kernel of this map is isomorphic to $H^0(C, F \otimes L^{-1})$ and this is isomorphic to $H^0(K_C - 2D)$. Note that $h^0(K_C - 2D) = h^0(2D)$ by the Riemann-Roch theorem. If $h^0(2D) = 0$, then $W \otimes H^0(F)$ is isomorphic to $H^0(3K_C)$ by the counting of the dimensions. Hence, it is enough to show that $H^0(2D) = 0$ for general E .

Assume that $h^0(2D) > 0$ and then $\mathcal{O}(2D)$ is an element of the theta divisor in $\text{Pic}^2(C)$. Since the map

$$\text{Pic}^1(C) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^2(C)$$

defined by $D \mapsto 2D$, is a finite surjective map of degree 64. Hence the subvariety of $\text{Pic}^1(C)$ whose elements are D such that $h^0(D) = 0$ and $h^0(2D) > 0$ is of 2 dimension. For these divisors D , the extensions of $\mathcal{O}(K_C - D)$ by $\mathcal{O}(D)$ is parametrized by \mathbb{P}_3 , which means that the vector bundles which does not satisfy $h^0(2D) = 0$, are of at most 5 dimension. Hence $h^0(2D) = 0$ in general. \square

Now, for the 5 dimensional subspace $V \subset H^0(E)$, we have the following diagram

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C & \overset{\varphi_V}{\dashrightarrow} & Gr(V, 2) \\ \downarrow |3K_C| & & \downarrow \vartheta \\ \mathbb{P}H^0(3K_C)^* & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}\lambda^*} & \mathbb{P}(\wedge^2 V^*) \end{array}$$

Consider a natural map

$$(5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}(\wedge^2 \mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbb{P}(\wedge^2 V_7) \\ \downarrow & & \\ Gr(5, V_7) & & \end{array}$$

where \mathcal{E} is the universal subbundle, V_7 is a 7-dimensional vector space and $Gr(5, V_7)$ is the Grassmannian of 5-dimensional subspaces of V_7 . Over $[V_5] \in Gr(5, V_7)$, the fibre $\wedge^2 V_5$ is linearly embedded into $\wedge^2 V_7$.

Lemma 2.3. *The image of f is the secant variety of $Gr(2, V_7) \subset \mathbb{P}(\wedge^2 V_7)$ and its dimension is equal to 17.*

Proof. Let $[x] \in \text{Im}(f)$, i.e. there exists a V_5 such that $x \in \wedge^2 V_5$. Consider $G = Gr(2, V_5) \subset \mathbb{P}(\wedge^2 V_5)$ and since the secant variety of G is $\mathbb{P}(\wedge^2 V_5)$, we can express x by

$$(v \wedge w) \text{ or } (v_1 \wedge v_2 + v_3 \wedge v_4),$$

which proves that $\text{Im}(f)$ is contained in the secant variety of $Gr(2, V_7)$.

Now we show the inclusion $\text{Sec}(Gr(2, V_7)) \hookrightarrow \text{Im}(f)$. Assume that x is a general point in the secant variety. This means that

$$x = v_1 \wedge v_2 + v_3 \wedge v_4,$$

where $U = \langle v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 \rangle$ is a 4-dimensional space. For any $V_5 \supset U$, we have $x \in \wedge^2 V_5$. This shows that

$$\text{Sec}(Gr(2, V_7)) = \text{Im}(f),$$

since both sides are closed subvarieties of $\mathbb{P}(\wedge^2 V_7)$. Also the set of such V_5 is 2-dimensional and $\dim f^{-1}([x]) = 2$. Hence the dimension of $\text{Im}(f)$ is 17, since $\dim(\mathbb{P}(\wedge^2 \mathcal{E})) = 19$. \square

Remark 2.4. $Gr(2, V_7)$ is a Scorza variety of defect $\delta = 4$ [16]. So, it is known that $\dim \text{Sec}(Gr(2, V_7)) = 17$.

Lemma 2.5. *For general $E \in SU_C(2, 3K_C)$ and general 5-dimensional vector subspace $V \subset H^0(E)$, the restriction of λ to $\wedge^2 V$,*

$$\lambda : \bigwedge^2 V \rightarrow H^0(3K_C)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. In the proof of (2.2), let

$$V_7 := W \oplus V_5,$$

where $V_5 \simeq H^0(F)$. In fact, we can take any $V_5 \subset V_7$ with $V_5 \cap H^0(L) = 0$. Then, the restriction of λ to $\wedge^2 V_7$ is also surjective. Let $K = \ker(\lambda)$ be the 11-dimensional subspace of $\wedge^2 V_7$. Consider an incidence variety $\mathcal{R} \subset Gr(5, V_7) \times \mathbb{P}(K)$

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{R} = \{(V_5, [x]) \mid x \in \wedge^2 V_5 \cap K\}.$$

We have the following diagram

$$(7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{R} & \\ \swarrow \text{pr}_1 & & \searrow \text{pr}_2 \\ Gr(5, V_7) & & \mathbb{P}(K) \end{array} .$$

It is enough to show that the map pr_1 is not dominant, which means that for the general $V_5 \subset V_7$ not in the image of pr_1 , we have the surjection in the assertion. Assume that pr_1 is dominant, then

$$\dim(\mathcal{R}) \geq 10.$$

If we consider the following map again

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}(\wedge^2 \mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbb{P}(\wedge^2 V_7) \\ \downarrow & & \\ Gr(5, V_7) & & \end{array}$$

then the image of pr_2 in $\mathbb{P}(K)$ is the intersection of $\text{Im}(f) = \text{Sec}(Gr(2, V_7))$ with $\mathbb{P}(K)$ in $\mathbb{P}(\wedge^2 V_7) \simeq \mathbb{P}_{20}$. Since $\text{Im}(f)$ is 17-dimensional, we have

$$7 \leq \dim \text{Im}(pr_2) \leq 10.$$

It is clear that $\mathbb{P}(K)$ contains a point in $\text{Sec}(Gr(2, V_7))$, but not in $Gr(2, V_7)$. The fibre over this point in \mathcal{R} is isomorphic to $Gr(1, 3) \simeq \mathbb{P}_2$. Thus the dimension of $\text{Im}(pr_2)$ is greater than 7.

Now assume that $\dim \mathbb{P}(K) \cap \text{Sec}(Gr(2, 7)) \geq 8$. In the proof of (2.2), we have

$$K \cap (W \wedge V_5) = (0),$$

if $V_5 \cap W = (0)$. If $V_5 \cap W \neq (0)$, the intersection is always $[\wedge^2 W]$. Let us consider the canonical map

$$s : W \otimes V_7/W \rightarrow \wedge^2 V_7 / \wedge^2 W.$$

For all V_5 with $V_5 \cap W = (0)$, the images in $\wedge^2 V_7 / \wedge^2 W$ are same as a 10-dimensional vector space. If we take the preimage of this space in $\wedge^2 V_7$, then it is the union of $W \wedge V_5$ for all V_5 , which is now an 11-dimensional space. Note that $K \cap (W \wedge V_5) = [\wedge^2 W]$ if $W \cap V_5 \neq (0)$. Let us denote by D the projectivization of the preimage of $s(W \otimes V_7/W)$ in $\wedge^2 V_7$. Then D is a 10-dimensional subvariety of $\mathbb{P}(\wedge^2 V_7)$ and it intersects with $\mathbb{P}(K)$ at the unique point $[\wedge^2 W]$. In fact, D is the projective tangent space $\mathbb{P}T_{[W]}Gr(2, V_7)$ of $Gr(2, V_7)$ at $[W]$ in $\mathbb{P}(\wedge^2 V_7)$. Recall that

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} T_{[W]}Gr(2, V_7) &= \text{Hom}(W, V_7/W) \simeq W^* \otimes V_7/W \\ T_{[\wedge^2 W]}\mathbb{P}(\wedge^2 V_7) &= \text{Hom}(\wedge^2 W, \wedge^2 V_7 / \wedge^2 W) \end{aligned}$$

The differential map of the Plücker embedding at $[W]$ is defined as follow:
 $x = w^* \otimes e \in T_{[W]}Gr(2, V_7)$ is sent to the map

$$w_1 \wedge w_2 \mapsto s((w^*(w_1)w_2 - w_1w^*(w_2)) \otimes e),$$

where $W = \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle$. This explains the assertion.

Now since the union of the secant lines of $Gr(2, V_7)$ passing through $[\wedge^2 W]$ is 11 dimensional and $\mathbb{P}(K) \cap \text{Sec}(Gr(2, V_7))$ is of dimension ≥ 8 , we can pick an element $[U] \in \mathbb{P}(K) \cap Gr(2, V_7)$ and then the secant line $\overline{[U][W]}$ lies in $\mathbb{P}(K)$. From the condition on W , U and W span a 4-dimensional subspace of V_7 . In particular, general points on the secant line $\overline{[U][W]}$ are indecomposable. Let p be such a point. Since $\text{Sing}(\text{Sec}(Gr(2, V_7))) = Gr(2, V_7)$ [16], the dimension of $T_p(\text{Sec}(Gr(2, V_7)))$ is 17. Note that

$$(9) \quad T_p(\text{Sec}(Gr(2, V_7))) = \langle T_{[W]}G, T_{[U]}G \rangle.$$

Since

$$T_p(\mathbb{P}(K) \cap \text{Sec}(Gr(2, V_7))) = \mathbb{P}(K) \cap T_p(\text{Sec}(Gr(2, V_7)))$$

is at least 8-dimensional, $\mathbb{P}(K)$ intersects $T_{[W]}G$ along at least 1-dimensional subspace, which is contradiction because $\mathbb{P}(K) \cap D$ is a single point. \square

From the previous lemma, we have the following commutative diagram

$$(10) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{P}_2 \simeq \mathbb{P}H^0(K_C)^* & \xleftarrow{v_3} & & \xrightarrow{} & \mathbb{P}H^0(3K_C)^* \\ \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\ C & \longrightarrow & Gr(H^0(E), 2) & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{P}(\wedge^2 H^0(E)^*) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & Gr(V, 2) & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{P}(\wedge^2 V^*), \end{array}$$

where the composite of the two vertical maps on the right,

$$(11) \quad \mathbb{P}H^0(3K_C)^* \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\wedge^2 H^0(E)^*) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\wedge^2 V^*),$$

is an isomorphism and v_3 is the 3-tuple Veronese embedding, i.e. v_3 is given by the complete linear system $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(3)|$. In particular, C is embedded into $Gr(V, 2)$. Note that C is non-degenerate in $\mathbb{P}_9 \simeq \mathbb{P}(\wedge^2 V^*)$ due to the Riemann-Roch theorem and the Noether theorem.

Corollary 2.6. *General element E in $SU_C(2, 3K_C)$ is generated by 5-dimensional subspace of $H^0(E)$.*

3. EMBEDDING THE PROJECTIVE PLANE INTO GRASSMANNIAN

In the diagram 10, the projective plane $\mathbb{P}H^0(K_C)^* \simeq \mathbb{P}_2$ is embedded into the projective space $\mathbb{P}(\wedge^2 V^*) \simeq \mathbb{P}_9$ by the Plücker embedding.

Lemma 3.1. *For general $E \in SU_C(2, 3K_C)$, there exists a 5-dimensional vector subspace $V \subset H^0(E)$ such that $\mathbb{P}H^0(K_C)^*$ is embedded into $Gr(V, 2)$ in the diagram 10.*

Proof. Let $V \subset H^0(E)$ be a 5-dimensional subspace selected in 2.5 and assume that $\mathbb{P}H^0(K_C)^*$ is not embedded into $Gr(V, 2)$. Recall that $Gr(V, 2)$ is cut out by 4-dimensional projectively linear family of quadrics of rank 6 in \mathbb{P}_9 whose singular locus is \mathbb{P}_3 contained in $Gr(V, 2)$ as the Schubert variety of lines through a point corresponding to the quadric in \mathbb{P}_4 [15]. Let $Q(p)$ be one of the quadrics of rank 6 containing $Gr(V, 2)$ which does not contain S where p is a point in \mathbb{P}_4 and S is the image of \mathbb{P}_2 by v_3 . Since $v_3^{-1}(Q(p))$ is a plane sextic curve, we have

$$v_3^{-1}(Q(p)) = C + C',$$

where C' is a conic. First, assume that $Gr(V, 2) \cap S = C + C'$. If we consider the incidence variety $Z_C = \{(l, x) | x \in l\} \subset C \times \mathbb{P}_4$, we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Z_C & \\ p \swarrow & & \searrow q \\ C & & \mathbb{P}_4 \end{array}$$

and let S_C be the image of q in \mathbb{P}_4 . If S_C is degenerate, i.e. there exists a hyperplane $\mathbb{P}_3 \subset \mathbb{P}_4$ containing S_C , then C is contained in some grassmanian $Gr(4, 2) \subset Gr(V, 2)$ and in particular, C is contained in \mathbb{P}_5 , the Plücker space of $Gr(4, 2)$, which is contradiction to the non-degeneracy of C in \mathbb{P}_9 . Similarly we can define $Z_{C'}$ and $S_{C'}$. Recall the well known fact that

$$\deg(C) = \deg(S_C) \cdot \deg(q).$$

If $\deg(S_C) = 1$, i.e. S_C is a plane in \mathbb{P}_4 , then C must be contained in $\mathbb{P}_3(p)$, the singular locus of a quadric $Q(p)$ for $p \in S_C$, which is contradiction to the fact that $C \subset \mathbb{P}_9$ is nondegenerate. Hence $\deg(S_C) \geq 2$ and so $\deg(q) \leq 6$. This implies that the number of points in $\mathbb{P}_3(p) \cap C$ is less than 7 for $p \in S_C$. Since the intersection of S_C and $S_{C'}$ is at most 1-dimensional in S_C , we have still 2-dimensional choices for p for which $\mathbb{P}_3(p) \cap (C + C') = \mathbb{P}_3(p) \cap C$ is less than 7 points. We can also have the same conclusion on the intersection number of $\mathbb{P}_3(p) \cap (C + C')$ in the case when $Gr(V, 2) \cap S$ is the proper subset of $C + C'$ since it still contains C . Now choose $p \in \mathbb{P}_4$ such that the singular locus $\mathbb{P}_3(p)$ of $Q(p)$ meets $C + C'$ with k points where $0 < k < 7$. We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & \mathbb{P}_3(p) & & & & & \\ & \downarrow & & & & & \\ Gr(V, 2) & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{P}(\wedge^2 V^*) & \longleftarrow & S & \longleftarrow & C + C' \\ & \vdots & & & \vdots & & \downarrow \\ Gr(4, 2) & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{P}_5 & \longleftarrow & \overline{S} & \longleftarrow & \overline{C + C'}, \end{array}$$

where \overline{S} , $\overline{C + C'}$ are the image of S , $C + C'$, respectively, via the projection and the image of $Gr(V, 2)$ lies in the image of the quadric Q , i.e. the Grassmannian $Gr(4, 2) \subset \mathbb{P}_5$. Let Q' be another quadric cutting $Gr(V, 2)$ with singular locus \mathbb{P}'_3 . Since $\mathbb{P}_3 \cap \mathbb{P}'_3$ is a single point, The image of Q' by the projection is \mathbb{P}_5 . Thus the image of $Gr(V, 2)$ is $Gr(4, 2)$. Note that the degree of $\overline{C + C'}$ is $18 - k$ and the degree of \overline{S} is $9 - k$ since $\mathbb{P}_3(p) \cap S = \mathbb{P}_3(p) \cap (C + C')$. If $Q(p)$ contains S for all such $p \in S_C$, then all quadrics containing $Gr(V, 2)$ of rank 6, should contain S since S_C is nondegenerate in \mathbb{P}_4 . In particular, $Gr(V, 2)$ should contain S , which is against the assumption. So there exists a $p \in S_C$ for which S is not contained in $Q(p)$. Thus the image of S by the projection is not also contained in the image of $Q(p)$, i.e. $Gr(4, 2)$. But the degree of intersection $Gr(4, 2) \cap \overline{S}$ is $2 \times (9 - k) < 18 - k$, which is contradiction to the fact that this intersection contains $\overline{C + C'}$. \square

Let U_V and $\overline{U_V}$ be the universal subbundle and quotient bundle of $Gr(V, 2)$, respectively. With the condition on V in the previous lemma, let

$$(12) \quad E_V := v_3^* \overline{U_V},$$

which implies that the restriction of E_V to C is E , i.e. $E_V|_C = E$.

Lemma 3.2. *E_V is stable with the Chern classes $(3, 6)$, i.e. $E_V \in \overline{M}(3, 6)$.*

Proof. Since the first Chern class of $\overline{U_V}$ is the hyperplane section of $Gr(V, 2)$ in $\mathbb{P}(\wedge^2 V^*)$ and v_3 is the 3-tuple Veronese embedding, we get $c_1(E_V) = 3$.

By the choice of V , we have an exact sequence,

$$(13) \quad 0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2} \rightarrow E_V \rightarrow 0,$$

where G is the kernel of the surjection $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2} \rightarrow E_V$ and V is a 5-dimensional vector subspace of $H^0(E_V)$. In particular, $h^0(E_V) \geq 5$. By the choice of E , we have $h^0(E_V(-1)|_C) = 0$. From the long exact sequence of cohomology of the following exact sequence,

$$0 \rightarrow E_V(-5) \rightarrow E_V(-1) \rightarrow E_V(-1)|_C \rightarrow 0,$$

we have

$$H^0(E_V(-5)) \simeq H^0(E_V(-1)).$$

For a line $H \subset \mathbb{P}_2$, $E_V|_H \simeq \mathcal{O}_H(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_H(3 - a)$ for $a = 2$ or 3 since E_V is globally generated. In particular, $h^0(E_V(-k)|_H) = 0$ for $k \geq 4$. From the long exact sequence of cohomology of the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E_V(-k - 1) \rightarrow E_V(-k) \rightarrow E_V(-k)|_H \rightarrow 0,$$

we have $h^0(E_V(-k - 1)) = h^0(E_V(-k))$ for all $k \geq 4$. Since $h^0(E_V(-k)) = 0$ for sufficiently large k , we have $h^0(E_V(-k)) = 0$ for $k \geq 4$ and in particular, $h^0(E_V(-1)) = h^0(E_V(-5)) = 0$, i.e. $h^0(E_V(-k)) = 0$ for all $k \geq 1$. Hence the vector bundle E_V is stable.

Again, let H be a line in \mathbb{P}_2 . From the following exact sequence,

$$0 \rightarrow E_V(-1) \rightarrow E_V \rightarrow E_V|_H \rightarrow 0,$$

we get $h^0(E_V) \leq h^0(E_V|_H)$. Since $E_V|_H \simeq \mathcal{O}_H(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_H(3-a)$ for $a = 2$ or 3 , $h^0(E_V|_H) = 5$ and so $h^0(E_V) \leq 5$. Thus we obtain $h^0(E_V) = \dim V = 5$.

Now from the long exact sequence of cohomology of 13, we have $h^0(\mathbb{P}_2, G) = 0$. If we twist 13 by -1 , we have $h^1(\mathbb{P}_2, G(-1)) = 0$. For any line $l \subset \mathbb{P}_2$, consider the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G(-1) \rightarrow G \rightarrow G|_l \rightarrow 0.$$

From the above statement, we get $H^0(G|_l) = 0$. Since $c_1(G) = -c_1(E_V) = -3$, we have $G|_l \simeq \mathcal{O}_l(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_l(b) \oplus \mathcal{O}_l(c)$ with $a + b + c = -3$. The only choice from the vanishing of $H^0(G|_l)$ is $(a, b, c) = (-1, -1, -1)$. Hence G is a uniform vector bundle of rank 3 on \mathbb{P}_2 with the splitting type $(-1, -1, -1)$. From the classification of such bundles [5], we have

$$G \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-1)^{\oplus 3}.$$

In particular, $c_2(G) = 3$ and so $c_2(E_V) = 6$. □

Since we can pick an element $E_V \in \overline{M}(3, 6)$ mapping to a general element $E \in SU_C(2, 3K_C)$, the rational map

$$(14) \quad \overline{M}(3, 6) \dashrightarrow SU_C(2, 3K_C)$$

is dominant. By twisting the map (14) with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-1)$ and $\mathcal{O}_C(-K_C)$, we have the following main theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *The restriction map*

$$\Phi_4 : \overline{M}(1, 4) \dashrightarrow SU_C(2, K_C)$$

is dominant.

Remark 3.4. Dolgachev and Kapranov [4] showed that the logarithmic bundles $E(\mathcal{H})$ attached to the general hyperplane arrangement $\mathcal{H} = (H_1, \dots, H_6)$ in \mathbb{P}_2 , form an open zariski subset $U \subset \overline{M}(3, 6)$. For these bundles $E(\mathcal{H})$, we have a Steiner resolution

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-1)^{\oplus 3} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}^{\oplus 5} \rightarrow E(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow 0.$$

From this, we have a 5 dimensional space $V = H^0(\mathbb{P}_2, E(\mathcal{H}))$ and by the tensoring the following exact sequence by $E(\mathcal{H})$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-4) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow 0,$$

we can consider V as a subspace of $H^0(C, E(\mathcal{H})|_C)$, which is 8-dimensional. As we have seen already in the proof of 3.2, the bundle E_V has a Steiner resolution, pulled back from the universal exact sequence on the Grassmannian $Gr(V, 2)$. This motivates the whole argument in this paper.

Since $\overline{M}(1, 4)$ is rational and the map Φ_4 is dominant, $SU_C(2, K_C)$ is unirational. It implies that $SU_C(2, K_C)$ is rationally connected and so rationally chain-connected. Let $\mathcal{H} = (H_0, \dots, H_6)$ be a general arrangement of 6 lines on \mathbb{P}_2 and then we can associate a logarithmic bundle $E(\mathcal{H}) \in \overline{M}(3, 6)$ to

\mathcal{H} . It is known [4] that the logarithmic bundles $E(\mathcal{H})$ form an open Zariski subset of $\overline{M}(3, 6)$ and, after twisting by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-1)$, $\overline{M}(1, 4)$. Let \mathcal{F} be a family of arrangements of 6 lines on \mathbb{P}_2 and $E(\mathcal{F})$ be the closure of the subvariety of $\overline{M}(1, 4)$ whose closed points correspond to $E(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-1)$ with $\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{F}$.

Proposition 3.5. *$SU_C(2, K_C)$ is rationally chain-connected. In fact, any two general points in $SU_C(2, K_C)$ can be connected by at most 6 rational curves which can be described explicitly.*

Proof. Let us consider a special type of arrangements of 6 lines. Let H_0, H_1, \dots, H_5 be 6 lines in general position on \mathbb{P}_2 and p be a fixed point on H_0 in general position. If we fix H_1, \dots, H_5 , then we have a 1-dimensional family \mathcal{F} of 6 lines with H_0 moving. Consider a map

$$\Psi : \mathbb{P}_1(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow SU_C(2, K_C),$$

sending \mathcal{H} to $E(\mathcal{H})(-1)|_C$. Since $SU_C(2, K_C)$ is projective, this map is a morphism [6]. Clearly Ψ is not a constant map, otherwise Φ_4 is also a constant map, which is not true. From the fact that logarithmic bundles associated to 6 lines in general position, form an open Zariski subset of $\overline{M}(3, 6)$ and Φ_4 is dominant, we can find a 1-dimensional family of 6 lines \mathcal{F} which maps to a rational curve on $SU_C(2, K_C)$ via Ψ for a general element of $SU_C(2, K_C)$. Furthermore, for two general elements $E_1, E_2 \in \overline{M}(3, 6)$, we can find 6 families of 6 lines \mathcal{F}_i , $1 \leq i \leq 6$, as above, such that the arrangements corresponding to E_1, E_2 lie in $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_6$, respectively and $\mathcal{F}_i \cap \mathcal{F}_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$. From this fact with the dominance of Φ_4 , we can find 6 rational curves passing through two general points on $SU_C(2, K_C)$. \square

Remark 3.6. Note that we can choose these rational curves not contained in the singular locus of $SU_C(2, K_C)$ which is the Kummer variety of $\text{Pic}^2(C)$. Let \tilde{S} be a desingularization by the blow-up [10] and consider the proper transform of the previous 6 rational curves on $SU_C(2, K_C)$. It shows the rationally chain-connected of \tilde{S} and since \tilde{S} is smooth, it implies the rational connectedness, i.e. the chain of these 6 curves can be deformed to a rational curve and its image on $SU_C(2, K_C)$ will give us a rational curve through two general points.

REFERENCES

1. E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P. A. Griffiths, and J. Harris, *Geometry of algebraic curves. Vol. I*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 267, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985. MR MR770932 (86h:14019)
2. W. Barth, *Moduli of vector bundles on the projective plane*, Invent. Math. **42** (1977), 63–91. MR MR0460330 (57 #324)
3. Arthur B. Coble, *Algebraic geometry and theta functions*, Revised printing. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publication, vol. X, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1961. MR MR0123958 (23 #A1279)
4. I. Dolgachev and M. Kapranov, *Arrangements of hyperplanes and vector bundles on \mathbb{P}^n* , Duke Math. J. **71** (1993), no. 3, 633–664. MR MR1240599 (95e:14029)

5. G. Elencwajg, *Les fibrés uniformes de rang 3 sur $\mathbf{P}_2(\mathbf{C})$ sont homogènes*, Math. Ann. **231** (1977/78), no. 3, 217–227. MR MR0481133 (58 #1278)
6. Robin Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. MR MR0463157 (57 #3116)
7. G. Hein, *The tangent bundle of \mathbf{P}^2 restricted to plane curves*, Complex analysis and geometry (Trento, 1995), Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., vol. 366, Longman, Harlow, 1997, pp. 137–140. MR MR1477446 (98j:14047)
8. S. Huh, *Moduli spaces of stable sheaves on the projective plane and on the plane quartic curve*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Michigan, May 2007.
9. Klaus Hulek, *Stable rank-2 vector bundles on \mathbf{P}_2 with c_1 odd*, Math. Ann. **242** (1979), no. 3, 241–266. MR MR545217 (80m:14011)
10. Young-Hoon Kiem, *The stringy E-function of the moduli space of rank 2 bundles over a Riemann surface of genus 3*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **355** (2003), no. 5, 1843–1856 (electronic). MR MR1953528 (2003j:14045)
11. Herbert Lange, *Higher secant varieties of curves and the theorem of Nagata on ruled surfaces*, Manuscripta Math. **47** (1984), no. 1-3, 263–269. MR MR744323 (85f:14043)
12. Shigeru Mukai, *An introduction to invariants and moduli*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 81, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003, Translated from the 1998 and 2000 Japanese editions by W. M. Oxbury. MR MR2004218 (2004g:14002)
13. M. S. Narasimhan and S. Ramanan, *2ϑ -linear systems on abelian varieties*, Vector bundles on algebraic varieties (Bombay, 1984), Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math., vol. 11, Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay, 1987, pp. 415–427. MR MR893605 (88j:14014)
14. W. M. Oxbury, C. Pauly, and E. Previato, *Subvarieties of $\mathrm{SU}_{\mathbf{C}}(2)$ and 2ϑ -divisors in the Jacobian*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **350** (1998), no. 9, 3587–3614. MR MR1467474 (98m:14034)
15. J. G. Semple and L. Roth, *Introduction to Algebraic Geometry*, Oxford, at the Clarendon Press, 1949. MR MR0034048 (11,535d)
16. F. L. Zak, *Tangents and secants of algebraic varieties*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 127, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1993, Translated from the Russian manuscript by the author. MR MR1234494 (94i:14053)

CIRM, FONDAZIONE BRUNO KESSLER, VIA SOMMARIVE, 14-POVO, 38100-TRENTO
E-mail address: sukmoon.huh@math.unizh.ch