

On the Geometry of Supersymmetric Quantum Mechanical Systems*

D. Lundholm[†]

Department of Mathematics, Royal Institute of Technology
SE-10044 Stockholm, Sweden

Abstract

We consider some simple examples of supersymmetric quantum mechanical systems and explore their possible geometric interpretation with the help of geometric aspects of real Clifford algebras. This leads to natural extensions of the considered systems to higher dimensions and more complicated potentials.

1 Introduction

In the following, a supersymmetric system will mean a supersymmetric quantum mechanics (SUSYQM) according to the following definition¹: On a complex separable Hilbert space acts a hamiltonian H , a number of supercharges $Q_{j=1,\dots,\mathcal{N}}$, and a grading operator K which splits the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_b \oplus \mathcal{H}_f$ into a bosonic and a fermionic sector. These operators are self-adjoint on their respective domains and satisfy the relations

$$\{Q_j, Q_k\} = 2\delta_{jk}H, \quad K^2 = 1, \quad \{Q_j, K\} = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $\{A, B\} := AB + BA$ is the anticommutator.

A classic example of a SUSYQM with a geometric interpretation is provided by the Dirac operator [1, 3, 4]. That is perhaps a rather uninteresting example in the flat space case, where only local geometry is non-trivial, but its extension to the setting of curved manifolds has led to new insights in global geometry and index theory. Here, we will focus on the local geometry of supersymmetric systems with Schrödinger-like hamiltonians. In particular, we are interested in hamiltonians of the form $H = H_B + H_F$, where the so-called bosonic part H_B is an ordinary Schrödinger operator and the fermionic part H_F is a matrix- or algebra-valued multiplication operator. Since such operators involve a laplacian, their corresponding supercharges will necessarily have to involve some form of Dirac operator.

*Supported by the Swedish Research Council

[†]dogge@math.kth.se

¹See [1, 2] and references therein for a discussion of possible definitions.

de Crombrugghe and Rittenberg [5] have carried out a rather general algebraic analysis of SUSYQM hamiltonians, but with focus on cases when the supercharges are linear in the Clifford generators. This is true for a single Dirac operator, but will apart from that generally not be the case in the examples we are considering. Furthermore, when studying the algebraic properties of supersymmetric systems it is common to work with creation and annihilation operators $a_j, a_j^\dagger, c_k, c_k^\dagger$ and consider a Fock representation of these on a Hilbert space with a particle interpretation. We will on the other hand stick to the alternative Schrödinger representation involving coordinates and momenta x_j, p_{x_j} acting as multiplication and differential operators on an L^2 -space, and Clifford generators e_{k1}, e_{k2} acting in a representation of the corresponding Clifford algebra. We will emphasize the real geometry in the systems we consider and use it to ‘explain’ the appearance of complex structures. This leads to the identification of additional structures, properties and possible extensions of these systems which might not have been at all obvious from the conventional complex formulation. We will also point out that it is possible to find a notion of supersymmetric system even in a purely real setting with no canonical complex structure.

Apart from the purely mathematical interest in investigating the structure of these types of systems, one motivation from physics is that it seems worthwhile to explore the possibility of giving more complicated, but related, SUSYQM systems such as supersymmetric matrix models a more geometric interpretation.

2 Geometric algebra

In order to appreciate the geometric interpretation of the systems which we consider it is helpful to have available some tools and notions from geometric algebra, i.e. Clifford algebra with emphasis on the geometry of the underlying, usually real, vector space. For a more complete introduction to the geometric aspects of Clifford algebra, see e.g. [6] or [7].

Given a real vector space V of dimension d with a non-degenerate bilinear form $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}$ (e.g. an inner product, Minkowski metric, etc.) there is a naturally associated Clifford algebra or *geometric algebra* $\mathcal{G}(V)$ in the following way. For an orthonormal basis $E = \{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_d\}$ of V we let $\mathcal{G}(V)$ (or just \mathcal{G}) denote the free associative algebra generated by E with the relations $\mathbf{e}_i^2 = \mathbf{e}_i \cdot \mathbf{e}_i = \pm 1$ and $\mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_j = -\mathbf{e}_j \mathbf{e}_i, i \neq j$. Hence, normalized vectors square to unity, orthogonal vectors anticommute, and

$$\mathcal{G}(V) = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{1, \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_j, \dots, \mathbf{e}_1 \mathbf{e}_2 \dots \mathbf{e}_d\}_{i < j < \dots} \quad (2)$$

As vector spaces, $\mathcal{G}(V)$ is isomorphic to the graded exterior algebra $\bigwedge^* V$, and there is a corresponding exterior product \wedge in $\mathcal{G}(V)$ with respect to which this isomorphism extends to the level of algebras. Hence we can identify these spaces. We let \mathcal{G}^\pm denote the subspaces of even/odd grades in \mathcal{G} . The highest grade element $I := \mathbf{e}_1 \mathbf{e}_2 \dots \mathbf{e}_d = \mathbf{e}_1 \wedge \mathbf{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{e}_d$ determines an orientation for V and is called the pseudoscalar. An arbitrary element of \mathcal{G} is called a multivector.

The orthogonal groups have spin representations embedded in \mathcal{G} as can be seen by action of Clifford multiplication on $V \subseteq \mathcal{G}$. For example, a reflection along (i.e. in the hyperplane orthogonal to) a unit vector $\mathbf{n} \in S^{d-1} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ acting on a vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ can be written $\mathbf{v} \mapsto -\mathbf{n} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{n}$. A rotation (being a composition

of an even number of reflections) has the *spin* or *rotor* representation $\mathbf{v} \mapsto R^\dagger \mathbf{v} R$, where $R = \mathbf{n}_1 \mathbf{n}_2 \dots \mathbf{n}_{2k}$ is a product of unit vectors and the dagger denotes reversion of the order of any Clifford product. The Dirac operator

$$\nabla := \sum_{j=1}^d \mathbf{e}_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \quad (3)$$

in V (flat space) is of course also a natural object, combining the properties of differentiation with the properties of the Clifford product.

A spinor is an element of a (often irreducible) representation of a spin group and as such can also be viewed as an element of the geometric algebra itself. Namely, any irreducible spin representation can be constructed by letting the spin group $\text{Spin} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ act on an ideal of \mathcal{G} (or some other invariant subspace such as \mathcal{G}^+) by left multiplication. In this way, also any irreducible spinor bundle $S(M)$ on a manifold M with associated principal spin bundle $P_{\text{Spin}}(M)$ can be viewed as coming from the Clifford bundle $Cl(M)$ associated with the tangent space, but with left multiplication ℓ instead of adjoint action Ad . In other words,

$$\begin{aligned} Cl(M) &= P_{\text{Spin}}(M) \times_{\text{Ad}} \mathcal{G}(V), \\ S(M) &\subseteq P_{\text{Spin}}(M) \times_{\ell} \mathcal{G}(V), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $V \cong T_p M$ for any $p \in M$. (These bundle constructions will not be needed in the following; see e.g. Example 3.7, Chapter II in [8] for details.)

For euclidean spaces the associated geometric algebra has a positive definite scalar product² $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{G}} : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\langle A, B \rangle_{\mathcal{G}} := \langle A^\dagger B \rangle_0, \quad (5)$$

where $\langle \cdot \rangle_p$ denotes projection onto the grade- p part of the expression. This scalar product is inherited by the chosen spinor space $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ and can also be used to construct a hermitian inner product on \mathcal{S} as will be thoroughly explained in the examples.

3 The Dirac operator

As a first example of a SUSYQM system in this formalism we consider the Dirac operator on \mathbb{R}^d . A spinor on \mathbb{R}^d should be an element of a representation space \mathcal{S} for $\text{Spin}(d)$. According to the above we can choose $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ or let \mathcal{S} be some other invariant subspace of \mathcal{G} . Sometimes this space comes equipped with a natural complex structure, such as for $\mathcal{G}^+(\mathbb{R}^2) \cong \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cong \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$, in which case the real representation extends to a complex one. Sometimes we can nevertheless find a complex representation by e.g. acting on a spinor from the right with an element of \mathcal{G} squaring to -1 (assuming then that the chosen spinor space is right-invariant under that action). For example, in dimensions such that $I^2 = -1$ (equivalently $I^\dagger = -I$) we can consider the *real* Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{H} := L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cong L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \otimes \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad (6)$$

²Symmetry follows from $\langle A^\dagger \rangle_0 = \langle A \rangle_0$ and $\langle AB \rangle_0 = \langle BA \rangle_0$.

and define an operator \hat{i} on \mathcal{H} by $\hat{i}(\Psi) := \Psi I$. Since left-action commutes with right-action this will behave just like the ordinary complex imaginary i , thus providing a complex structure³ for the Hilbert space. The question of choice of hermitian inner product on \mathcal{H} will be addressed in the next example.

A hermitian supercharge operator corresponding to the Dirac operator is e.g. given by $Q := -\hat{i}\nabla$. This squares to the positive self-adjoint hamiltonian $H := -\Delta$, i.e. minus the laplacian on \mathbb{R}^d . For *even* dimensions such that $I^2 = -1$, i.e. $d = 2, 6, 10, \dots$, there is also a natural grading operator given by $K := -\hat{i}I$. This anticommutes with the Dirac operator (a grade 1 object) and has value $+1$ on \mathcal{G}^+ and -1 on \mathcal{G}^- , hence it induces a boson/fermion grading of the Hilbert space into even and odd elements of \mathcal{G} : $\mathcal{H}_\pm := L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{G}^\pm(\mathbb{R}^d))$. The space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_-$ together with the operators H, K, Q form an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric system. (As pointed out in [2], we actually have $\mathcal{N} = 2$.)

Note that we could also consider the anti-hermitian supercharge $\tilde{Q} := \hat{i}Q = \nabla$, i.e. the actual Dirac operator, and regard this as a ‘supersymmetric system’ $(\mathcal{H}, H, P, \tilde{Q})$ with $H = -\tilde{Q}^2$ and $\{P, \tilde{Q}\} = 0$, where $P : \Psi(\mathbf{x}) \mapsto \Psi(-\mathbf{x})$ is the parity operator. Another option is to define K by $K(\Psi) := \Psi^*$, where $A^* := \pm A$, for $A \in \mathcal{G}^\pm$, is the grade involution of \mathcal{G} . This leaves out the need for an operator \hat{i} completely and puts no restriction on the dimension d . The Hilbert space would in general be purely real (i.e. without any complex structure) in this canonical construction, however, unless we formally complexify \mathcal{G} or the spinor subspace \mathcal{S} .

As usual, we can also extend the Dirac operator to be covariant under local $U(1)$ gauge transformations w.r.t. the complex structure, by introducing a connection vector field $\mathbf{A} = \sum_j A_j \mathbf{e}_j$. The supercharge then becomes

$$Q = -\hat{i} \sum_j \mathbf{e}_j (\partial_j - \hat{i}A_j) = -\hat{i}\nabla - \mathbf{A}. \quad (7)$$

The hamiltonian is now

$$\begin{aligned} H &= (-\hat{i}\nabla - \mathbf{A})^2 \\ &= \sum_j \mathbf{e}_j \mathbf{e}_j (\hat{i}\partial_j + A_j)^2 + \sum_{j \neq k} \mathbf{e}_j \mathbf{e}_k \frac{1}{2} [\hat{i}\partial_j + A_j, \hat{i}\partial_k + A_k] \\ &= (-\hat{i}\nabla - \mathbf{A})^2|_{\text{scalar}} + \hat{i}F, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where $(-\hat{i}\nabla - \mathbf{A})^2|_{\text{scalar}} := \sum_j (-\hat{i}\partial_j - A_j)^2 \geq 0$ is the usual ‘scalar representation’ of the operator $(-\hat{i}\nabla - \mathbf{A})^2$, and $F := \nabla \wedge \mathbf{A} = \sum_{j < k} \mathbf{e}_j \mathbf{e}_k (\partial_j A_k - \partial_k A_j)$ is the field strength 2-form corresponding to \mathbf{A} which appears as an extra term in the spinor representation. With $d = 3$, $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{G}^+(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and the identification⁴ of $\mathcal{S} \cong \mathbb{C}^2$ for spinors in three-dimensional space e.g. considered in [6], this H becomes the supersymmetric Pauli hamiltonian for a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particle in a three-dimensional magnetic field (see e.g. [2], [4], or [1]).

³Note that \hat{i} not only squares to -1 but is also orthogonal w.r.t (5).

⁴The representation of \mathcal{G} chosen in this case is not purely left-action on \mathcal{S} , but a combination of left- and right-action in order to keep \mathcal{S} invariant. Spin(3) will still be represented by left-action, however.

4 Supermembrane toy model

Our main example is often considered as a simple toy model for a supermembrane. This is because it shares many features with a more complicated SUSYQM matrix model which arises from a certain regularization of a supermembrane, or equivalently from dimensional reduction of supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory.

4.1 Formulation of the model

In a common representation, this system is described by the hamiltonian

$$H := (p_x^2 + p_y^2 + x^2 y^2)1 + x\sigma_3 + y\sigma_1, \quad (9)$$

acting on \mathbb{C}^2 -valued wavefunctions $\psi \in \mathcal{H}' := L^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{C}^2)$. The σ_j are Pauli matrices satisfying $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 = i$. The corresponding supercharge is

$$Q := p_x \sigma_3 - p_y \sigma_1 - xy \sigma_2, \quad (10)$$

and there is an additional discrete symmetry of the hamiltonian expressed by the ‘reflection’ operator

$$(P\psi)(x, y) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\sigma_1 + \sigma_3)\psi(y, x). \quad (11)$$

The system (\mathcal{H}', H, P, Q) exhibits supersymmetry:

$$H = Q^2, \quad P^2 = 1, \quad \{Q, P\} = 0. \quad (12)$$

The main property of this model which makes it interesting to study both from a physics and a functional analysis perspective is the form of the potential term $V := x^2 y^2$ (cp. [9, 10]). It is non-negative, but has valleys of zero energy extending to infinity along the coordinate axes. Because of this, a classical (scalar) particle described by the bosonic hamiltonian $H_B := p_x^2 + p_y^2 + V$ has the possibility to escape to infinity, i.e. is unconfined. However, the corresponding quantum mechanical system *is* confined, because the operator H_B has a discrete spectrum. This can be understood intuitively by the fact that the valleys become steeper and narrower towards infinity which forces a wave packet to localize, thus increasing its kinetic energy due to the uncertainty principle. The discreteness of the spectrum of H_B was first proved in a more general context in [11] but, as noted there, can also easily be seen from the operator inequality (in quadratic form sense)

$$H_B = \frac{1}{2} (p_x^2 + p_y^2 + (p_x^2 + y^2 x^2) + (p_y^2 + x^2 y^2)) \geq \frac{1}{2} (p_x^2 + p_y^2 + |y| + |x|) \gg 0, \quad (13)$$

i.e. the spectrum is discrete and bounded away from zero. On the other hand, it was proved in [10] that when one considers the supersymmetric hamiltonian H , the negative contribution from the fermionic term $H_F := x\sigma_3 + y\sigma_1$ precisely cancels the minimal bosonic energy in the valleys, resulting in H having a continuous spectrum on the whole positive real axis (the bound below by zero follows from the supersymmetry algebra). That does not rule out the existence

of normalizable eigenstates, however, but it was shown in [12] that zero (which is the most interesting point in the spectrum, both with supersymmetry in mind, and from the relation of this model to more complicated SUSYQM systems) is *not* an eigenvalue. The question of existence of embedded nonzero eigenvalues in the continuous spectrum is still open, although a positive answer has been suggested by numerical methods [13]. There is also a certain interest in understanding the generalized (distributional) zero energy eigenfunction(s) beyond smoothness (i.e. elliptic regularity [14]) and decay rate towards infinity along a valley [15].

4.2 Geometric formulation

Our aim is to find a geometric interpretation of all aspects of this system by reformulating it in terms of geometric algebra. We do this reformulation in some detail in order to eventually make an effortless transition to a more general setting. The form of the resulting model will also serve to ‘guide’ us through a certain coordinate transformation which could lead to a better understanding of possible eigenfunctions. Some of the geometric features of the model have been considered in [13], but in that case as a three-dimensional spin system in the plane. Here we will focus on the geometry of the plane itself.

We start by using the representation $p_j = -i\partial_j$ and denote $\gamma_1 := \sigma_3$, $\gamma_2 := -\sigma_1$, and $\gamma_3 := -\sigma_2 = -i\gamma_1\gamma_2$. Then the hamiltonian becomes

$$H = -\Delta + x^2y^2 + x\gamma_1 - y\gamma_2, \quad (14)$$

and we will instead of Q consider the anti-hermitian supercharge

$$\tilde{Q} := iQ = \gamma_1\partial_x + \gamma_2\partial_y + xy\gamma_1\gamma_2, \quad (15)$$

so that $H = -\tilde{Q}^2$. Furthermore, in this notation

$$(P\psi)(x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)\psi(y, x). \quad (16)$$

For concreteness, we choose the representation of γ_j (or equivalently σ_j) such that

$$\gamma_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \gamma_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \gamma_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (17)$$

Note that neither i nor γ_3 enter in the expressions (14)-(16) for the operators H , \tilde{Q} and P . Remaining are only the coordinate multiplication operators x, y , their corresponding partial differentiations ∂_x, ∂_y , and the matrices γ_1 and γ_2 which generate a (matrix representation of a) Clifford algebra over two dimensions. Since the bosonic configuration space of this model is \mathbb{R}^2 it is actually natural to consider the geometric algebra generated by the orthonormal standard basis $\{\mathbf{e}_x, \mathbf{e}_y\}$ in \mathbb{R}^2 corresponding to the (x, y) -coordinate system, i.e. $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}^2) = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{1, \mathbf{e}_x, \mathbf{e}_y, \mathbf{e}_x\mathbf{e}_y\}$. Note that $I = \mathbf{e}_x\mathbf{e}_y$ and $I^2 = -1$, implying $\mathcal{G}^+ \cong \mathbb{C}$. With the identification $\gamma_1 \leftrightarrow \mathbf{e}_x$ and $\gamma_2 \leftrightarrow \mathbf{e}_y$, we then have

$$H = -\Delta + x^2y^2 + x\mathbf{e}_x - y\mathbf{e}_y \quad (18)$$

and

$$\tilde{Q} = \mathbf{e}_x\partial_x + \mathbf{e}_y\partial_y + xy\mathbf{e}_x\mathbf{e}_y = \nabla + xyI. \quad (19)$$

These are now acting by left multiplication on the real Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{H} := L^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}^2)). \quad (20)$$

Hence, an arbitrary wavefunction $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}$ can be written

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi &= \psi_\emptyset + \psi_x \mathbf{e}_x + \psi_y \mathbf{e}_y + \psi_{xy} I \\ &= (\psi_\emptyset + \psi_{xy} I) + \mathbf{e}_x(\psi_x + \psi_y I), \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where $\psi_\emptyset, \psi_x, \psi_y, \psi_{xy}$ are real-valued functions. This makes the correspondence

$$\psi = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_\emptyset + \psi_{xy} i \\ \psi_x + \psi_y i \end{bmatrix} \leftrightarrow \Psi \quad (22)$$

between \mathcal{H}^i and \mathcal{H} explicit. We find that the identification in (21) and (22) really is the desired one in this representation of γ_j since e.g.

$$\gamma_1 \psi = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_x + \psi_y i \\ \psi_\emptyset + \psi_{xy} i \end{bmatrix} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{e}_x \Psi = (\psi_x + \psi_y I) + \mathbf{e}_x(\psi_\emptyset + \psi_{xy} I) \quad (23)$$

and

$$\gamma_2 \psi = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_y - \psi_x i \\ -\psi_{xy} + \psi_\emptyset i \end{bmatrix} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{e}_y \Psi = (\psi_y - \psi_x I) + \mathbf{e}_y(-\psi_{xy} + \psi_\emptyset I). \quad (24)$$

Furthermore, even though we have not had use for it yet, in this two-dimensional setting there is also the natural operator \hat{i} taking the role as imaginary unit:

$$i\psi \leftrightarrow \hat{i}\Psi = \Psi I. \quad (25)$$

Note that $\gamma_3 \leftrightarrow -\hat{i}I$ coincides with the grading operator K defined in the previous section. This is consistent with the fact that ψ_1 and ψ_2 represent even and odd elements of \mathcal{G} , respectively. However, in this system, K is no longer a symmetry of the hamiltonian H .

Let us now turn to the issue of an inner product on \mathcal{H} . We have so far considered \mathcal{H} as a real Hilbert space with an inner product inherited from the real scalar product (5) on \mathcal{G} , i.e.

$$\langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \langle \Phi(\mathbf{x}), \Psi(\mathbf{x}) \rangle_{\mathcal{G}} dx dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \langle \Phi(\mathbf{x})^\dagger \Psi(\mathbf{x}) \rangle_0 dx dy. \quad (26)$$

However, using the complex structure induced by the operator \hat{i} and a standard technique, we can construct a hermitian inner product from the real one:

$$\langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} := \langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} - i \langle \Phi, \hat{i}\Psi \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}, \quad (27)$$

so that e.g. $\langle \Phi, \hat{i}\Psi \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = i \langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$. Note that for $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{G}$

$$\langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle_{\mathcal{G}} = \langle \Phi^\dagger \Psi \rangle_0 = \phi_\emptyset \psi_\emptyset + \phi_{xy} \psi_{xy} + \phi_x \psi_x + \phi_y \psi_y = \text{Re } \phi^\dagger \psi, \quad (28)$$

where $\phi^\dagger = [\phi_1^*, \phi_2^*] = [\phi_\emptyset - \phi_{xy} i, \phi_x - \phi_y i]$, and hence

$$\langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle_{\mathcal{G}} - i \langle \Phi, \hat{i}\Psi \rangle_{\mathcal{G}} = \text{Re } \phi^\dagger \psi - i \text{Re } \phi^\dagger i \psi = \text{Re } \phi^\dagger \psi + i \text{Im } \phi^\dagger \psi = \phi^\dagger \psi. \quad (29)$$

Thus, we have actually constructed the standard inner product on the complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}' = L^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{C}^2)$,

$$\langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \phi^\dagger \psi. \quad (30)$$

Now, since $\mathbb{C} \cong \mathcal{G}^+$ we might as well represent the value of this inner product in \mathcal{G}^+ itself by instead of (27) taking

$$\langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle := \langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} - \langle \Phi, \hat{\nu} \Psi \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} I = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\langle \Phi^\dagger \Psi \rangle_0 - \langle \Phi^\dagger \Psi I \rangle_0 I). \quad (31)$$

This is in fact a convenient expression since for any $A \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}^2)$

$$\langle A \rangle_0 + \langle AI^\dagger \rangle_0 I = \langle A \rangle_0 + \langle A \rangle_2 = \langle A \rangle_+, \quad (32)$$

i.e. the projection onto the even part of A . One can also directly verify that

$$\langle \Phi^\dagger \Psi \rangle_+ = (\phi_0 - \phi_{xy} I)(\psi_0 + \psi_{xy} I) + (\phi_x - \phi_y I)(\psi_x + \psi_y I) \leftrightarrow \phi_1^* \psi_1 + \phi_2^* \psi_2 = \phi^\dagger \psi. \quad (33)$$

The \mathcal{G}^+ -valued inner product on \mathcal{H} is therefore given by

$$\langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \langle \Phi(\mathbf{x})^\dagger \Psi(\mathbf{x}) \rangle_+ dx dy, \quad (34)$$

and hence the expectation value of a self-adjoint operator $\mathcal{O}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ in the state Ψ :

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_{\Psi} := \langle \Psi, \mathcal{O} \Psi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \langle \Psi^\dagger \mathcal{O} \Psi \rangle_+. \quad (35)$$

This has a clear geometric interpretation; e.g. for multivector-valued multiplication operators $\Gamma \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}^2))$ and even wavefunctions $\Psi = \rho R \in \mathcal{H}_+$, where $\rho(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $R(\mathbf{x}) \in \text{Spin}(2) \cong \text{U}(1)$, it is just the even part of the rotated quantity $R^\dagger \Gamma R$, averaged over space with weight ρ^2 .

It is instructive to check that e.g. vector-valued multiplication operators \mathbf{v} are hermitian under the inner product (34) since

$$\langle (\mathbf{v} \Phi)^\dagger \Psi \rangle_+ = \langle \Phi^\dagger \mathbf{v}^\dagger \Psi \rangle_+ = \langle \Phi^\dagger (\mathbf{v} \Psi) \rangle_+, \quad (36)$$

while $\hat{\nu}$ and the Dirac operator ∇ are anti-hermitian as seen by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\Phi I)^\dagger \Psi \rangle_+ &= \langle I^\dagger \Phi^\dagger \Psi \rangle_+ = -\langle I \Phi^\dagger \Psi \rangle_+ = -\langle I \Phi^\dagger \Psi \rangle_0 + \langle I \Phi^\dagger \Psi I \rangle_0 I \\ &= -\langle \Phi^\dagger \Psi I \rangle_0 + \langle \Phi^\dagger \Psi I^2 \rangle_0 I = -\langle \Phi^\dagger (\Psi I) \rangle_+, \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

and for smooth wavefunctions of compact support, $\Phi, \Psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathcal{G})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla \Phi, \Psi \rangle &= \sum_j \int \langle (e_j \partial_j \Phi)^\dagger \Psi \rangle_+ = \sum_j \int \langle \partial_j (\Phi^\dagger) e_j \Psi \rangle_+ \\ &= \sum_j \int \partial_j (\langle \Phi^\dagger e_j \Psi \rangle_+) - \sum_j \int \langle \Phi^\dagger e_j \partial_j (\Psi) \rangle_+ \\ &= 0 - \langle \Phi, \nabla \Psi \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Therefore the anti-hermitian supercharge \tilde{Q} really *is* anti-hermitian w.r.t. the inner product and we have e.g. (as expected from supersymmetry, of course)

that the expectation value of the identity in the state $\tilde{Q}\Psi$ is the expectation value of the hamiltonian (i.e. the energy) in the state Ψ ,

$$\langle 1 \rangle_{\tilde{Q}\Psi} = \langle \tilde{Q}\Psi, \tilde{Q}\Psi \rangle = -\langle \Psi, \tilde{Q}^2\Psi \rangle = \langle H \rangle_{\Psi}. \quad (39)$$

We can also find a geometric interpretation of the operator P . Defining the unit vector $\mathbf{n} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathbf{e}_x - \mathbf{e}_y)$, we obtain from (16)

$$(P\Psi)(x, y) = \mathbf{n}\Psi(y, x). \quad (40)$$

Note that the hyperplane orthogonal to \mathbf{n} is the line of reflection $x = y$ in this case, and we find that P is (almost) a ‘square root of a reflection’ in the sense that for multiplication operators $\Gamma: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}^2)$,

$$\langle \Gamma \rangle_{P\Psi} = \langle \bar{P}\Gamma^* \rangle_{\Psi}, \quad (41)$$

where $(\bar{P}\Gamma)(x, y) := \mathbf{n}\Gamma(y, x)\star\mathbf{n}$ is the expected action of such a reflection on multivector fields inherited from the action $\mathbf{n}\mathbf{v}(y, x)\star\mathbf{n}$ on vector fields. Namely, using that

$$((P\Psi)^\dagger\Gamma(P\Psi))(x, y) = \Psi(y, x)^\dagger\mathbf{n}\Gamma(x, y)\mathbf{n}\Psi(y, x) \quad (42)$$

and a change of variables $x \leftrightarrow y$ in the integral, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Gamma \rangle_{P\Psi} &= \int \langle (P\Psi)^\dagger\Gamma(P\Psi) \rangle_+ = \int \langle \Psi(y, x)^\dagger\mathbf{n}\Gamma(x, y)\mathbf{n}\Psi(y, x) \rangle_+ dx dy \\ &= \int \langle \Psi(x, y)^\dagger\mathbf{n}\Gamma(y, x)\mathbf{n}\Psi(x, y) \rangle_+ dx dy = \langle \bar{P}\Gamma^* \rangle_{\Psi}. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

As an example, consider the expectation value of the vector field $\Gamma = x\mathbf{e}_x$ in the ‘reflected’ state $P\Psi$,

$$\langle x\mathbf{e}_x \rangle_{P\Psi} = \langle \bar{P}(-x\mathbf{e}_x) \rangle_{\Psi} = \langle y\mathbf{n}\mathbf{e}_x\mathbf{n} \rangle_{\Psi} = \langle -y\mathbf{e}_y \rangle_{\Psi}. \quad (44)$$

Note that, because of the \star in (41), this will yield a true reflection on even multivectors and minus a reflection on odd multivectors. It is however possible to define a true square root of a reflection by $(\tilde{P}\Psi)(x, y) := I\mathbf{n}\Psi(y, x)$ since this operator \tilde{P} is also hermitian and $(\tilde{P}\Gamma\tilde{P})(x, y) = -\mathbf{n}I\Gamma(y, x)I\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n}\Gamma(y, x)\star\mathbf{n}$.

4.3 Coordinate transformation

It is interesting to note that $H_F = \nabla\frac{1}{2}(x^2 - y^2) = -\nabla(xy)I$. This suggests that we consider the coordinate transformation

$$\mathbf{x} = (x, y) \mapsto \mathbf{u} = (u, v) := \left(\frac{1}{2}(x^2 - y^2), xy\right). \quad (45)$$

Note that this is exactly the complex transformation $z \mapsto w(z) := \frac{1}{2}z^2$. It is conformal everywhere except at the origin and maps the plane to itself twice. So, if we leave aside global issues of this transformation and instead focus on its local properties, then we can e.g. restrict attention to the open right half-plane \mathbb{R}_+^2 which maps conformally and bijectively to the whole plane with the negative half-line removed.

Consider first the bosonic hamiltonian H_B acting on scalar wavefunctions. Since the transformation is conformal, we have a simple transformation rule for the scalar laplacian,

$$\Delta_{xy} = |w'(z)|^2\Delta_{uv} = h^{-2}\Delta_{uv}, \quad (46)$$

where $\Delta_{uv} = \partial_u^2 + \partial_v^2$ and the scale factor h can also be found from

$$dx^2 + dy^2 = h^2(du^2 + dv^2), \quad (47)$$

i.e. $h^{-1} := |\mathbf{x}| = \sqrt{2|\mathbf{u}|}$. Using $dxdy = h^2dudv$ for the integral measure we then have, for $\Phi, \Psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^2; \mathcal{G}^+)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi, H_B \Psi \rangle_{xy} &= \int \Phi^\dagger(x, y)(-\Delta_{xy} + x^2y^2)\Psi(x, y)dxdy \\ &= \int (h\Phi)^\dagger(u, v)(-h^{-1}\Delta_{uv}h^{-1} + v^2)(h\Psi)(u, v)dudv \\ &= \langle \tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{H}_B \tilde{\Psi} \rangle_{uv}, \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

where we have introduced transformed wavefunctions $\tilde{\Psi} := h\Psi$ and a transformed hamiltonian $\tilde{H}_B := -h^{-1}\Delta_{uv}h^{-1} + v^2$. In particular, the eigenvalue equation $H_B\Psi = \lambda\Psi$ becomes

$$0 = (H_B - \lambda)\Psi = h^{-1}(\tilde{H}_B - \lambda)\tilde{\Psi} = h^{-2} \left(-\Delta_{uv} + \frac{v^2 - \lambda}{2\sqrt{u^2 + v^2}} \right) \tilde{\Psi}. \quad (49)$$

Let us now turn to the case of wavefunctions with spin, i.e. we consider the transformation properties of the supersymmetry operators Q and H . In order to ease the application of standard techniques, we will do much of this in the conventional complex representation. However, because of the 1-to-1 correspondence (22)-(25) between them, it is possible to translate each step into the geometric representation. First note that Q involves a Dirac operator and the eigenvalue equation $Q\psi = \lambda\psi$ has the form of a 2D Dirac equation, $(\gamma_1\partial_x + \gamma_2\partial_y + \Gamma)\psi = 0$, with $\Gamma \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2})$. Now, denoting $\mathbf{x} = (x_j)_{j=1,2}$, $\mathbf{u} = (\tilde{x}_j)_{j=1,2}$, etc. as conventional, we have

$$\left(\sum_j \gamma_j \partial_j + \Gamma \right) \psi = \left(h^{-1} \sum_j \tilde{\gamma}_j \tilde{\partial}_j + \Gamma \right) \psi, \quad (50)$$

with space-dependent matrices $\tilde{\gamma}_j := h \sum_k \frac{\partial \tilde{x}_j}{\partial x_k} \gamma_k$, i.e. $\tilde{\gamma}_1 = h(x\gamma_1 - y\gamma_2)$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_2 = h(y\gamma_1 + x\gamma_2)$. This amounts to introducing the space-dependent orthonormal basis $\{\mathbf{e}_u, \mathbf{e}_v\}$ corresponding to the orthogonal coordinate system (u, v) , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{e}_u &:= |\nabla u|^{-1} \nabla u = h(x\mathbf{e}_x - y\mathbf{e}_y), \\ \mathbf{e}_v &:= |\nabla v|^{-1} \nabla v = h(y\mathbf{e}_x + x\mathbf{e}_y). \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

In the geometric representation we therefore have e.g.

$$\tilde{Q} = h^{-1}(\mathbf{e}_u \partial_u + \mathbf{e}_v \partial_v) + vI \quad (52)$$

and

$$H = -h^{-2}\Delta_{uv} + v^2 + h^{-1}\mathbf{e}_u. \quad (53)$$

It is conventional to transform away the space-dependence of the gamma matrices by applying a pointwise change of spinor basis, i.e. a local Spin(2) gauge transformation $\psi \mapsto R\psi$. For this we make the observation that if we let $\phi := \arctan \frac{y}{x}$ denote the polar angle in the (x, y) -coordinate system, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{e}_u &= \cos \phi \mathbf{e}_x - \sin \phi \mathbf{e}_y = R^\dagger \mathbf{e}_x R, \\ \mathbf{e}_v &= \sin \phi \mathbf{e}_x + \cos \phi \mathbf{e}_y = R^\dagger \mathbf{e}_y R = \mathbf{e}_u I, \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

with $R := e^{-\frac{\phi}{2}I}$. Hence, $\tilde{\gamma}_j = R^\dagger \gamma_j R$ (we identify $I = \gamma_1 \gamma_2$ according to the correspondence), and (50) becomes

$$\left(h^{-1} \sum_j R^\dagger \gamma_j R \tilde{\partial}_j + \Gamma \right) R^\dagger R \psi = R^\dagger \left(h^{-1} \sum_j \gamma_j (\tilde{\partial}_j + R \tilde{\partial}_j R^\dagger) + R \Gamma R^\dagger \right) (R \psi), \quad (55)$$

so the cost of making the local gauge transformation is, naturally, the addition of a non-vanishing connection term $\Omega_j := R \tilde{\partial}_j R^\dagger = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\partial}_j \phi I$.

As described in [16], it is always possible to ‘absorb’ the connection resulting from a conformal transformation by rescaling (alt. see Theorem 5.24, Chapter II in [8]). Namely, observing that

$$\partial_u \phi = -\partial_v \ln h^{-1}, \quad \partial_v \phi = \partial_u \ln h^{-1}, \quad (56)$$

we find

$$\sum_j \gamma_j (R \tilde{\partial}_j R^\dagger + h^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\partial}_j h^{-\frac{1}{2}}) = 0, \quad (57)$$

and hence (50) and (55) give

$$\left(\sum_j \gamma_j \partial_j + \Gamma \right) \psi = h^{-\frac{1}{2}} R^\dagger \left(h^{-1} \sum_j \gamma_j \tilde{\partial}_j + R \Gamma R^\dagger \right) (h^{\frac{1}{2}} R \psi). \quad (58)$$

Note that we can think of the constant matrices γ_j as now representing the basis vectors $\mathbf{e}_u, \mathbf{e}_v$ (but in a transformed frame where these are now constant), since e.g. $\Gamma = \tilde{\gamma}_1 \leftrightarrow \mathbf{e}_u$ transforms into $R \Gamma R^\dagger = \gamma_1$. Denoting $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_u := \mathbf{e}_x \leftrightarrow \gamma_1$, $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_v := \mathbf{e}_y \leftrightarrow \gamma_2$, and $\nabla_{uv} := \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_u \partial_u + \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_v \partial_v$, we find that the eigenvalue equation $(Q - \lambda)\Psi = 0$ can be written

$$\left(\nabla_{uv} + \frac{vI - \lambda i}{\sqrt{2}(u^2 + v^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}} \right) (h^{\frac{1}{2}} R \Psi) = 0. \quad (59)$$

With respect to inner products we have, for $\Phi, \Psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^2; \mathcal{G})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi, \tilde{Q} \Psi \rangle_{xy} &= \int \langle \Phi^\dagger(x, y) (\nabla_{xy} + xyI) \Psi(x, y) \rangle_+ dx dy \\ &= \int \left\langle (h^{\frac{1}{2}} R \Phi)^\dagger(u, v) (\nabla_{uv} + hvI) (h^{\frac{1}{2}} R \Psi)(u, v) \right\rangle_+ dudv \\ &= \langle \hat{\Phi}, \hat{Q} \hat{\Psi} \rangle_{uv}, \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

with transformed wavefunctions $\hat{\Psi} := hR\Psi$ and anti-hermitian supercharge

$$\hat{Q} := h^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nabla_{uv} h^{-\frac{1}{2}} + vI. \quad (61)$$

The square of this supercharge,

$$\hat{Q}^2 = h^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nabla_{uv} h^{-1} \nabla_{uv} h^{-\frac{1}{2}} + h^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\dot{\nabla}_{uv} vI + v \nabla_{uv} I + vI \nabla_{uv} \right) h^{-\frac{1}{2}} - v^2, \quad (62)$$

yields minus the expected transformed hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} := -h^{-1} \hat{\Delta} h^{-1} + v^2 + h^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_u, \quad (63)$$

where $\hat{\Delta}$ is the connection laplacian (see [8]). Namely, using (57) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Delta} &:= h^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla_{uv} h^{-\frac{1}{2}} h^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nabla_{uv} h^{\frac{1}{2}} = (\nabla_{uv} + h^{\frac{1}{2}} \dot{\nabla}_{uv} h^{-\frac{1}{2}}) (\nabla_{uv} - h^{\frac{1}{2}} \dot{\nabla}_{uv} h^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= \sum_{j,k} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_j (\tilde{\partial}_j - \Omega_j) \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_k (\tilde{\partial}_k + \Omega_k) = \sum_j (\tilde{\partial}_j + \Omega_j)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

Furthermore, using that $\nabla_{uv} \ln h^{-\frac{1}{2}} = h^4 \mathbf{u}$, with $\mathbf{u} = u\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_u + v\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_v$, and that $\Delta_{uv} \ln h^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{4}\Delta_{uv} \text{Re} \log w = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Delta} &= (\nabla_{uv} + \dot{\nabla}_{uv} \ln h^{-\frac{1}{2}})(\nabla_{uv} - \dot{\nabla}_{uv} \ln h^{-\frac{1}{2}}) = (\nabla_{uv} + h^4 \mathbf{u})(\nabla_{uv} - h^4 \mathbf{u}) \\ &= \Delta_{uv} - \dot{\nabla}_{uv}(h^4 \mathbf{u}) - h^4 \dot{\nabla}_{uv} \mathbf{u} + h^4 \mathbf{u} \nabla_{uv} - h^8 \mathbf{u}^2 \\ &= \Delta_{uv} - \frac{1}{4}h^4 + 2h^4 \mathbf{u} \wedge \nabla_{uv}. \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

The last term contains an angular momentum operator $\mathbf{u} \wedge \nabla_{uv} = I(u\partial_v - v\partial_u)$. Summing up, we have

$$h\hat{H}h = -\Delta_{uv} + \frac{v^2}{2|\mathbf{u}|} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}|\mathbf{u}|}\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_u + \frac{1}{16|\mathbf{u}|^2}(1 - 8\mathbf{u} \wedge \nabla_{uv}), \quad (66)$$

which would diagonalize on the subspaces $(1 \pm \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_u)\mathcal{H}$, had it not been for the angular momentum term. Taking $u \rightarrow +\infty$ while keeping v finite we recover, in terms of these coordinates, the observation of [10] that the negative energy of the fermionic part precisely cancels the minimal energy of the resulting harmonic oscillator in the v coordinate, while remaining terms approach a free laplacian in u . With u finite and $v \rightarrow \infty$ we (instead of an oscillator) approach an Airy equation in v .

4.4 Generalized model

Returning to the original cartesian coordinate system, we have noted that $H = -\Delta + v^2 - (\nabla v)I$ with $v = xy$. It is straightforward to generalize this setup. In particular, we can for any sufficiently regular scalar field $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ define the operator

$$\tilde{Q}_\varphi := \nabla + \varphi I \quad (67)$$

and find a corresponding ‘supersymmetric hamiltonian’

$$H_\varphi := -\tilde{Q}_\varphi^2 = -(\nabla^2 + \varphi^2 I^2 + \dot{\nabla}\varphi I + \varphi \nabla I + \varphi I \nabla) = -\Delta + \varphi^2 - (\nabla \varphi)I. \quad (68)$$

Furthermore, since the simplification in the last step only relies on the fact that I anticommutes with vectors and squares to -1 , this operator algebra actually extends to every other even dimension $d = 2, 6, 10, \dots$. This coincides with the dimensions for which we have the grading operator K and the complex structure \hat{i} . Following the procedure above for the choice of a hermitian inner product on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^{(d)} := L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ using this given complex structure, we find that it can be written

$$\langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \Phi^\dagger \Psi \rangle_{0,d}. \quad (69)$$

This inner product takes values in the subspace $\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_0 \oplus \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_d \cong \mathbb{C}$ and hermiticity of operators with respect to it, etc. follows exactly as above.

Given some unit vector $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, it is natural to define corresponding generalized reflection operators $P_{\mathbf{n}}$, $\tilde{P}_{\mathbf{n}}$, and $\bar{P}_{\mathbf{n}}$ by

$$(P_{\mathbf{n}}\Psi)(\mathbf{x}) := \mathbf{n}\Psi(-\mathbf{n}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{n}), \quad (\tilde{P}_{\mathbf{n}}\Psi)(\mathbf{x}) := I\mathbf{n}\Psi(-\mathbf{n}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{n}), \quad (70)$$

and

$$(\tilde{P}_n \Gamma)(\mathbf{x}) := \mathbf{n} \Gamma(-\mathbf{n} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{n})^* \mathbf{n} = (P_n \Gamma^* P_n)(\mathbf{x}) = (\tilde{P}_n \Gamma \tilde{P}_n)(\mathbf{x}), \quad (71)$$

for $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}^{(d)}$ and multivector fields $\Gamma: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. It is easy to verify that $\{P_n, \tilde{Q}_\varphi\} = 0$ whenever \mathbf{n} is a direction of reflection symmetry of φ , i.e. whenever $\varphi(-\mathbf{n} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{n}) = \varphi(\mathbf{x})$, while $[\tilde{P}_n, \tilde{Q}_\varphi] = 0$ for an antisymmetry, $\varphi(-\mathbf{n} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{n}) = -\varphi(\mathbf{x})$. Hence, even in this general setting, as long as φ possesses such a reflection symmetry we obtain a supersymmetric system $(\mathcal{H}^{(d)}, H_\varphi, P_n, Q_\varphi)$ with hermitian supercharge $Q_\varphi := -\hat{i} \tilde{Q}_\varphi = -\hat{i} \nabla + \varphi K$.

As an application of this general framework we can consider a higher-dimensional analogue of the toy model. We take as a scalar field (with a number of reflection symmetries)

$$\varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) := x_1 x_2 \dots x_d \quad (72)$$

so that

$$\tilde{Q}_\varphi = \nabla + x_1 x_2 \dots x_d I \quad (73)$$

and

$$H_\varphi = -\Delta + x_1^2 x_2^2 \dots x_d^2 - \sum_{k=1}^d x_1 \dots x_{k-1} x_{k+1} \dots x_d \mathbf{e}_k I. \quad (74)$$

Just as in the case $d = 2$ for the toy model, the bosonic part of this hamiltonian, $H_B = -\Delta + x_1^2 \dots x_d^2$, has a strictly positive and purely discrete spectrum (use e.g. Prop. 6 in [17]). Furthermore, the fermionic part $H_F = -(\nabla \varphi) I$ is still just a multiplication operator and satisfies

$$H_F^2 = (\nabla \varphi) I (\nabla \varphi) I = -(\nabla \varphi)^2 I^2 = (\nabla \varphi)^2 = \sum_{k=1}^d x_1^2 \dots x_{k-1}^2 x_{k+1}^2 \dots x_d^2. \quad (75)$$

Hence, it has in each point eigenvalues

$$\pm |\nabla \varphi| = \pm \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^d x_1^2 \dots x_{k-1}^2 x_{k+1}^2 \dots x_d^2} \quad (76)$$

on the spinor subspaces (ideals) $\left(1 \mp \frac{\nabla \varphi}{|\nabla \varphi|} I\right) \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, respectively. Note that if e.g. x_d is taken very small compared to x_1, \dots, x_{d-1} then these eigenvalues tend to $\pm |x_1 x_2 \dots x_{d-1}|$. This suggests the following asymptotic analysis: Let, say, $x_1 \sim x_2 \sim \dots \sim x_{d-1} \sim \chi$ (slow variables) and take $\chi \rightarrow \infty$ while keeping the coordinate x_d finite (fast variable). Then

$$H_F \sim \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} \chi^{d-2} x_d \mathbf{e}_k I + \chi^{d-1} \mathbf{e}_d I \sim \chi^{d-1} \mathbf{e}_d I \quad (77)$$

and

$$H_B \sim -\sum_{k=1}^{d-1} \partial_k^2 - \partial_d^2 + \chi^{2(d-1)} x_d^2 \sim -\sum_{k=1}^{d-1} \partial_k^2 + \chi^{d-1} \left(-\frac{1}{\chi^{d-1}} \partial_d^2 + \chi^{d-1} x_d^2 \right), \quad (78)$$

again allowing for a cancellation of minimal energies, which suggests that the spectrum of H_φ is continuous.

Another SUSYQM is obtained by taking $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) := |\mathbf{x}|$, i.e.

$$\tilde{Q}_\varphi = \nabla + |\mathbf{x}|I \quad (79)$$

and

$$H_\varphi = -\Delta + \mathbf{x}^2 - e_r I, \quad (80)$$

where $e_r := \mathbf{x}/|\mathbf{x}| = \nabla|\mathbf{x}|$. In this case, $H_B = -\Delta + \mathbf{x}^2$ is an ordinary d -dimensional harmonic oscillator and $H_F = -e_r I$ has pointwise eigenspaces $(1 \mp e_r I)\mathcal{G}$ with eigenvalue ± 1 . Taking expectation values, we find $H_\varphi \geq d - 1 \gg 0$, and hence this system does not possess a supersymmetric ground state.

5 An alternative higher-dimensional supersymmetric harmonic oscillator

Witten [18, 19], de Crombrugghe and Rittenberg [5], and many others have considered the simple $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSYQM system described by the supercharges

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= p_x \sigma_1 + W(x) \sigma_2, \\ Q_2 &= p_x \sigma_2 - W(x) \sigma_1, \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

satisfying

$$Q_1^2 = Q_2^2 = p_x^2 + W(x)^2 + W'(x) \sigma_3. \quad (82)$$

As a special case, $W(x) := x$, one has

$$H_x := Q_1^2 = Q_2^2 = p_x^2 + x^2 + \sigma_3, \quad (83)$$

i.e. a one-dimensional supersymmetric harmonic oscillator [20].

Operators of the form (81) arise e.g. when studying the Dirac equation in 1+1 spacetime dimensions. However, we would like to investigate whether there is an alternative geometric interpretation underlying the presence of the two Clifford generators σ_1 and σ_2 . These pair up to form the fermionic oscillator term $\sigma_3 = -i\sigma_1\sigma_2$ (alternatively, in the particle interpretation, they pair up to form fermionic creation and annihilation operators c^\dagger and c s.t. $\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3 = c^\dagger c - \frac{1}{2}$). Along the lines of our approach to previous examples, we choose to consider the Clifford generators as being more fundamental and generating the real geometric algebra $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, with $\sigma_1 \leftrightarrow e_x$ and $\sigma_2 \leftrightarrow e_y$. Moreover, we consider the extension of the bosonic coordinate space \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R}^2 and let the supercharges (81) act on different coordinates x and y , while still ‘sharing the same fermion’ by involving the same pair of Clifford generators e_x and e_y . Thus, for the case of the oscillator potential, we define

$$\begin{aligned} Q_x &:= p_x e_x + x e_y, \\ Q_y &:= p_y e_y - y e_x. \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

Separately, we have a pair of supersymmetric oscillators,

$$Q_x^2 = p_x^2 + x^2 + K, \quad Q_y^2 = p_y^2 + y^2 + K, \quad (85)$$

(where as usual $K := -\hat{i}\mathbf{e}_x\mathbf{e}_y$ in 2D), but combined, $Q := Q_x + Q_y$, we find

$$Q^2 = Q_x^2 + Q_y^2 + \{Q_x, Q_y\} = p_x^2 + p_y^2 + x^2 + y^2 + 2K + xp_y - yp_x. \quad (86)$$

This is a two-dimensional bosonic oscillator together with two copies of the same fermionic oscillator, plus the angular momentum operator in two dimensions which gives is an indication of the rotational symmetry now present in the pair of supercharges (84). Note that we can write

$$Q = p_x\mathbf{e}_x + p_y\mathbf{e}_y + (x\mathbf{e}_x + y\mathbf{e}_y)\mathbf{e}_x\mathbf{e}_y = -i\nabla + \mathbf{x}I. \quad (87)$$

As in our previous examples, the supercharge (87) generalizes straightforwardly to the dimensions where the algebra works out. In particular, on $\mathcal{H}^{(d)}$ we define

$$Q := -i\nabla + \mathbf{x}I, \quad (88)$$

and for $d = 2, 6, 10, \dots$ we again see a simplification in the expression for the square of this operator:

$$\begin{aligned} Q^2 &= -\nabla^2 + \mathbf{x}I\mathbf{x}I - i\left(\dot{\nabla}\dot{\mathbf{x}}I + \sum_j \mathbf{e}_j\mathbf{x}I\partial_j + \sum_j \mathbf{x}I\mathbf{e}_j\partial_j\right) \\ &= -\Delta + \mathbf{x}^2 + K(d - 2L). \end{aligned} \quad (89)$$

Here,

$$L := \mathbf{x} \wedge \nabla = \sum_{j < k} \mathbf{e}_j\mathbf{e}_k(x_j\partial_k - x_k\partial_j) \quad (90)$$

is a generalized angular momentum operator in the sense that each bivector component $\mathbf{e}_j \wedge \mathbf{e}_k$ contains the corresponding generator iL_{jk} of rotations in the x_jx_k -plane. In particular, $L\Psi = 0$ if Ψ is rotationally invariant.

The above observations suggest the following interesting interpretation of this system. Consider the hamiltonian

$$H := -\Delta + \mathbf{x}^2 + Kd. \quad (91)$$

This can be viewed as a sum of hamiltonians of the form H_x for each coordinate, hence the d -dimensional bosonic oscillator term $H_B = -\Delta + \mathbf{x}^2$, but each is accompanied by the same fermionic term K , resulting in $H_F = Kd$. We have that Q anticommutes with K , and $K^2 = 1$, but with respect to the hamiltonian H we do not quite have a SUSYQM according to the definition since

$$Q^2 = H - 2KL. \quad (92)$$

However, note that H is rotationally symmetric. If we consider the subspace of rotationally symmetric states, $\mathcal{H}_{\text{sym}} := \ker \langle L^2 \rangle_0 = \ker \sum_{j < k} L_{jk}^2$, we have there the supersymmetry algebra $Q^2 = H$. Furthermore, we know that the spectrum of H is $\{2n_1 + \dots + 2n_d + d \pm d\}_{n_j=0,1,2,\dots}$ and zero energy states are explicitly given by $\Psi_0(\mathbf{x}) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^2}\Psi_- \in \mathcal{H}_-^{(d)}$, where $\Psi_- \in \mathcal{G}^-$ is a constant odd spinor. These *do* lie in \mathcal{H}_{sym} and are thus supersymmetric.

It is also tempting to view the resulting system (92) as a SUSYQM with constraints. Namely, say that we start with the kinematical Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^{(d)}$ and the hamiltonian H with rotational invariance as a gauge symmetry, expressed by $[H, L] = 0$. Let us require *physical* states to be gauge-invariant, hence we consider the subspace of states annihilated by the constraint operator L . On

this physical Hilbert space of gauge-invariant states, $\mathcal{H}_{\text{phys}} := \ker L$, we have a ‘supersymmetric system’ $(\mathcal{H}_{\text{phys}}, H, K, Q)$. However, this is not a SUSYQM in the strict sense since $[Q, L] \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\text{phys}}$ is not invariant under Q .

As in previous examples, we can lighten our conditions a bit and instead of Q consider the anti-hermitian supercharge $\tilde{Q} := \hat{i}Q = \nabla - \mathbf{x}K$. We have $\{\tilde{Q}, K\} = 0$ and

$$\tilde{Q}^2 = \Delta + \mathbf{x}K\mathbf{x}K - \dot{\nabla}\mathbf{x}K - \dot{\nabla}\mathbf{x}K(\cdot) - \mathbf{x}K\nabla = -(H - 2KL). \quad (93)$$

We can even take as K the grade involution operator defined in all dimensions, and since we have removed the need for a complex structure we find that we have this type of ‘supersymmetric system’ in arbitrary dimensions. Furthermore, it is also possible to define

$$\tilde{Q} := \nabla - \mathbf{f}K \quad (94)$$

for any sufficiently regular vector field $\mathbf{f}: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ and in that case obtain

$$-\tilde{Q}^2 = -\Delta + |\mathbf{f}|^2 + K(\dot{\nabla}\mathbf{f} - 2\mathbf{f} \wedge \nabla), \quad (95)$$

with $\nabla\mathbf{f} = \text{div}\mathbf{f} + \nabla \wedge \mathbf{f}$.

A system similar to (91)-(92) above has been considered in [21], but using parity instead of spinor grades, and only in $d = 4$ for the explicitly supersymmetric case. See also e.g. [22], where the parity operator is used to add supersymmetry to otherwise purely bosonic systems.

6 Conclusion

By reformulating the supersymmetric systems we started out from in terms of geometric algebra, we could easily identify them as special cases of the following:

Dirac operator (with magnetic field):

$$H = \sum_j (-i\partial_j - A_j)^2 + i\nabla \wedge \mathbf{A}, \quad K, \quad Q = -i\nabla - \mathbf{A}$$

Supermembrane toy model:

$$H = -\Delta + \varphi^2 - (\nabla\varphi)I, \quad P_n, \quad Q = -i\nabla + \varphi K$$

Oscillator:

$$H = -\Delta + |\mathbf{f}|^2 + (\text{div}\mathbf{f} + \nabla \wedge \mathbf{f} - 2\mathbf{f} \wedge \nabla)K, \quad K, \quad Q = -i\nabla + \mathbf{f}I$$

Not only do we have a clear geometric interpretation of every constituent of these systems, but relations between them are also simple to derive in this language. It is also interesting to note the similarities between these higher-dimensional systems and the well-studied one-dimensional toy model (82). Furthermore, by relaxing the requirement of a canonical complex structure and hermiticity of the supercharges, we have seen that we can also find purely real analogues of these systems in arbitrary dimensions.

Acknowledgements

I thank Jens Hoppe and Lars Svensson for useful discussions and valuable comments on the manuscript. I would also like to thank Volker Bach and Hubert Kalf for discussions and hospitality at Mainz University and King's College London, respectively, as well as Gian Michele Graf for discussions.

References

- [1] J. Fröhlich, O. Grandjean, A. Recknagel, *Supersymmetric Quantum Theory and Differential Geometry*, Commun. Math. Phys. 193 (1998) 527-594.
- [2] M. Combescure, F. Gieres, M. Kibler, *Are $N=1$ and $N=2$ supersymmetric quantum mechanics equivalent?*, J. Phys. A 37 (2004) 10385-10396; [arXiv:quant-ph/0401120](#).
- [3] A. Kirchberg, J.D. Länge, A. Wipf, *Extended Supersymmetries and the Dirac Operator*, Ann. Phys. 315 (2005) 467-487, [arXiv:hep-th/0401134](#).
- [4] H.L. Cycon, R.G. Froese, W. Kirsch, B. Simon, *Schrödinger Operators – with Applications to Quantum Mechanics and Global Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 1987.
- [5] M. de Crombrugghe, V. Rittenberg, *Supersymmetric Quantum Mechanics*, Ann. Phys. 151 (1983) 99-126.
- [6] C. Doran, A. Lasenby, *Geometric algebra for physicists*, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [7] D. Lundholm, *Geometric (Clifford) algebra and its applications*, Master of Science Thesis, KTH, 2006, [arXiv:math/0605280 \[math.RA\]](#).
- [8] H.B. Lawson, M.-L. Michelsohn, *Spin Geometry*, Princeton University Press, 1989.
- [9] J. Hoppe, *Two Problems in Quantum Mechanics*, Master of Science Thesis, MIT, 1980.
- [10] B. de Wit, W. Lüscher, H. Nicolai, *The supermembrane is unstable*, Nucl. Phys. B 320 (1989), 135-159.
- [11] B. Simon, *Some Quantum Mechanical Operators with Discrete Spectrum but Classically Continuous Spectrum*, Ann. Phys. 146 (1983), 209-220.
- [12] G.M. Graf, D. Hasler, J. Hoppe, *No Zero Energy States for the Supersymmetric x^2y^2 Potential*, Lett. Math. Phys. 60 (2002) 191-196, [arXiv:math-ph/0109032](#).
- [13] P. Korcyl, *Classical trajectories and quantum supersymmetry*, Phys. Rev. D 74 (2006) 115012, [arXiv:hep-th/0610105](#).
- [14] F.E. Browder, *Strongly elliptic systems of differential equations*, Annals of Mathematics Studies 33 (1954), 15-51.

- [15] J. Fröhlich, G.M. Graf, D. Hasler, J. Hoppe, S.-T. Yau, *Asymptotic form of zero energy wave functions in supersymmetric matrix models*, Nucl. Phys. B 567 (2000), 231-248.
- [16] P. Schlüter, K.-H. Wietschorke, W. Greiner, *The Dirac equation in orthogonal coordinate systems: I. The local representation*, J. Phys. A 16 (1983) 1999-2016.
- [17] M.P. Garcia del Moral, L. Navarro, A.J. Pérez A., A. Restuccia, *Intrinsic moment of inertia of membranes as bounds for the mass gap of Yang-Mills theories*, Nucl. Phys. B 765 (2007) 287-298, [arXiv:hep-th/0607234](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0607234).
- [18] E. Witten, *Dynamical breaking of supersymmetry*, Nucl. Phys. B 185 (1981), 513-554.
- [19] E. Witten, *Constraints on supersymmetry breaking*, Nucl. Phys. B 202 (1982), 253-316.
- [20] H. Nicolai, *Supersymmetry and spin systems*, J. Phys. A 9 (1976), 1497-1506.
- [21] R. Delbourgo, *Square root of the harmonic oscillator*, Phys. Rev. A 52 (1995), 3356-3359.
- [22] M.S. Plyushchay, *Deformed Heisenberg Algebra, Fractional Spin Fields, and Supersymmetry without Fermions*, Ann. Phys. 245 (1996), 339-360.