

# Comment on the 2nd order Seiberg-Witten maps

Josip Trampetić<sup>1</sup> and Michael Wohlgenannt<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Theoretical Physics Division, Rudjer Bošković Institute, Zagreb, Croatia*

<sup>2</sup>*Erwin Schrödinger International Institute for Mathematical Physics, Boltzmannngasse 9, 1090 Wien, Austria*

(Dated: January 2, 2019)

In this comment, we discuss the Seiberg-Witten maps up to the second order in the noncommutative parameter  $\theta$ . They add to the recently published solutions in [1]. Expressions for the vector, fermion and Higgs fields are given explicitly.

PACS numbers: 11.10.Nx, 11.15.-q, 12.60.-i

The main purpose of this comment is to complete the second order Seiberg-Witten (SW) maps constructed in [1, 2]. We consider canonically deformed space-time. The commutator of coordinates is given by the constant antisymmetric matrix  $\theta^{\mu\nu}$ ,

$$[x^\mu \star, x^\nu] \equiv x^\mu \star x^\nu - x^\nu \star x^\mu = i\theta^{\mu\nu}, \quad (1)$$

where we have used the Weyl-Moyal star product

$$(f \star g)(x) = \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{2} \theta^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \right\} f(y)g(x) \Big|_{y \rightarrow x}. \quad (2)$$

A prescription for constructing arbitrary gauge theories on a NC space-time was presented in [3]. Seiberg-Witten maps [3, 4] relate noncommutative (NC) gauge fields and ordinary fields in commutative theory via a power series expansion in  $\theta$ . In simplest possible approach to the construction of NC gauge field theories all field products are replaced by  $\star$ -products. This approach, however, fails for general gauge theories. For example for  $SU(N)$  gauge theories, the  $\star$ -commutator of two infinitesimal gauge transformations does not close in the  $SU(N)$  Lie algebra. This is the reason one has to go to the enveloping algebra [5] of the Lie algebra of a given group. Higher-order SW terms are now expressed in terms of the zeroth-order (commutative) fields, and as a consequence we do have the same number of degrees of freedom as in the commutative case.

The SW maps are not unique. The free parameters are chosen such that the non-commutative gauge fields are hermitian and the action is real. Still, there is some remaining freedom including the freedom of classical field redefinition and noncommutative gauge transformation.

As already remarked in [1], the second order solution for the gauge field - and therefore also for the field strength - given in [2] are not correct. We will provide the corrected expressions. Most importantly, in this comment we want to add the second order expansion of the hybrid SW map for the Higgs field to the work [1]. This is necessary if one wants to consider the Noncommutative Standard Model (NCSM) [6, 7, 8] including the Yukawa couplings. We have computed a special solution and not the most general one, because of the complexity.

The SW map of the noncommutative gauge parameter  $\widehat{\Lambda}_\alpha$  is a solution of the relation

$$i\delta_\alpha \widehat{\Lambda}_\beta - i\delta_\beta \widehat{\Lambda}_\alpha + [\widehat{\Lambda}_\alpha \star, \widehat{\Lambda}_\beta] = i\widehat{\Lambda}_{\alpha \times \beta}. \quad (3)$$

This relation has to be solved order by order. Therefore, we expand the NC gauge parameter  $\widehat{\Lambda}_\alpha$  in  $\theta$  and in terms of the commutative gauge parameter  $\alpha$ ,

$$\widehat{\Lambda}_\alpha = \alpha + \Lambda_\alpha^\theta[V] + \Lambda_\alpha^{\theta^2}[V] + \mathcal{O}(\theta^3). \quad (4)$$

Noncommutative fields and gauge parameters are denoted by a hat throughout the paper. To first order in  $\theta$ , the equivalence condition (3) reads

$$\begin{aligned} i(\delta_\alpha \Lambda_\beta^\theta - \delta_\beta \Lambda_\alpha^\theta) + [\alpha, \Lambda_\beta^\theta] + [\Lambda_\alpha^\theta, \beta] - i\Lambda_{\alpha \times \beta}^\theta \\ = -\frac{i}{2} \theta^{\mu\nu} \{\partial_\mu \alpha, \partial_\nu \beta\}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

and to second order

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \Lambda^2 = \frac{1}{8} \theta^{\mu\nu} \theta^{\kappa\lambda} [\partial_\mu \partial_\kappa \alpha, \partial_\nu \partial_\lambda \beta] - [\Lambda_\alpha^\theta, \Lambda_\beta^\theta] \\ - \frac{i}{2} \theta^{\mu\nu} \left( \{\partial_\mu \Lambda_\alpha^\theta, \partial_\nu \beta\} - \{\partial_\nu \alpha, \partial_\mu \Lambda_\beta^\theta\} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

with  $\alpha \times \beta = -i[\alpha, \beta]$ . This is an inhomogeneous equation. The homogeneous part to order  $k$  is given by

$$\Delta \Lambda^k := i(\delta_\alpha \Lambda_\beta^{\theta^k} - \delta_\beta \Lambda_\alpha^{\theta^k}) + [\alpha, \Lambda_\beta^{\theta^k}] + [\Lambda_\alpha^{\theta^k}, \beta] - i\Lambda_{\alpha \times \beta}^{\theta^k} = 0. \quad (7)$$

The most general solution to first order, is given by

$$\Lambda_\alpha^\theta = \frac{1}{2} \theta^{\mu\nu} \{V_\nu, \partial_\mu \alpha\}_c, \quad (8)$$

where  $\{A, B\}_c \equiv cA \cdot B + (1-c)B \cdot A$ . The requirement of hermiticity fixes the free parameter  $c$  to  $c = 1/2$ . In this case, the general solution (8) becomes

$$\Lambda_\alpha^\theta[V] = \frac{1}{4} \theta^{\mu\nu} \{V_\nu, \partial_\mu \alpha\}. \quad (9)$$

A special solution for the second order equation reads:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_\alpha^{\theta^2}[V] = \frac{1}{32} \theta^{\mu\nu} \theta^{\kappa\lambda} \\ \times \left( \{V_\mu, \{\partial_\nu V_\kappa, \partial_\lambda \alpha\}\} + \{V_\mu, \{V_\kappa, \partial_\nu \partial_\lambda \alpha\}\} \right. \\ \left. + \{\{V_\mu, \partial_\nu V_\kappa\}, \partial_\lambda \alpha\} - \{\{F_{\mu\kappa}, V_\nu\}, \partial_\lambda \alpha\} \right. \\ \left. - 2i[\partial_\mu V_\kappa, \partial_\nu \partial_\lambda \alpha] \right), \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

with the field strength  $F_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\alpha V_\beta - \partial_\beta V_\alpha - i[V_\alpha, V_\beta]$ .

The noncommutative gauge field  $\widehat{V}_\mu$  transforms as

$$\delta_\alpha \widehat{V}_\mu = \partial_\mu \widehat{\Lambda}_\alpha - i[\widehat{V}_\mu \star \widehat{\Lambda}_\alpha]. \quad (11)$$

The enveloping algebra valued gauge potential is therefore determined by the following consistency relations in first and second order in  $\theta$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\alpha V_\sigma^\theta &= \partial_\sigma \Lambda_\alpha^\theta - i[V_\sigma, \Lambda_\alpha^\theta] + \frac{1}{2} \theta^{\mu\nu} \{\partial_\mu V_\sigma, \partial_\nu \alpha\}, \quad (12) \\ \Delta_\alpha V_\sigma^{\theta^2} &= \partial_\sigma \Lambda_\alpha^{\theta^2} - i[V_\sigma, \Lambda_\alpha^{\theta^2}] - i[V_\sigma^\theta, \Lambda_\alpha^\theta] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \theta^{\mu\nu} \{\partial_\mu V_\sigma^\theta, \partial_\nu \alpha\} + \frac{1}{2} \theta^{\mu\nu} \{\partial_\mu V_\sigma, \partial_\nu \Lambda_\alpha^\theta\} \\ &+ \frac{i}{8} \theta^{\mu\nu} \theta^{\kappa\lambda} [\partial_\mu \partial_\kappa V_\sigma, \partial_\nu \partial_\lambda \alpha], \quad (13) \end{aligned}$$

where we have again used  $\Delta_\alpha V_\sigma^{\theta^k} = \delta_\alpha V_\sigma^{\theta^k} - i[\alpha, V_\sigma^{\theta^k}]$  and the  $\theta$ -expansion of the NC gauge field  $\widehat{V}_\mu$

$$\widehat{V}_\mu[V] = V_\mu + V_\mu^\theta[V] + V_\mu^{\theta^2}[V] + \mathcal{O}(\theta^3). \quad (14)$$

The general solution in first order in  $\theta$  is

$$V_\mu^\theta = \frac{1}{2} \theta^{\alpha\beta} \{V_\beta, \partial_\alpha V_\mu\}_c + \frac{1}{2} \theta^{\alpha\beta} \{V_\beta, F_{\alpha\mu}\}_c. \quad (15)$$

Choosing a hermitian gauge parameter  $\widehat{\Lambda}_\alpha$ , we obtain

$$V_\mu^\theta[V] = \frac{1}{4} \theta^{\alpha\beta} \{\partial_\alpha V_\mu + F_{\alpha\mu}, V_\beta\} \quad (16)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} V_\mu^{\theta^2}[V] &= \frac{1}{64} \theta^{\alpha\beta} \theta^{\gamma\delta} \left( 4[\partial_\delta V_\beta, [V_\mu, \partial_\alpha V_\gamma]] \right. \\ &+ 8\{V_\alpha, \{F_{\mu\gamma}, F_{\beta\delta}\}\} + 8\{V_\alpha, \{\partial_\beta F_{\mu\gamma}, V_\delta\}\} \\ &+ 2i\{V_\alpha, \{\partial_\mu V_\beta, V_\gamma V_\delta\}\} - 2\{V_\alpha, \{\partial_\beta \partial_\mu V_\gamma, V_\delta\}\} \\ &- \{V_\mu, \{F_{\alpha\gamma}, F_{\beta\delta}\}\} + 8\{\partial_\alpha V_\mu, \{\partial_\gamma V_\beta, V_\delta\}\} \\ &+ 2\{V_\mu, \{V_\alpha V_\beta, V_\gamma V_\delta\}\} + 2\{\partial_\alpha V_\gamma, \{V_\beta, \partial_\mu V_\delta\}\} \\ &- 2\{\partial_\mu V_\alpha, \{F_{\beta\gamma}, V_\delta\}\} - 2\{V_\alpha V_\beta, \{V_\mu, V_\gamma V_\delta\}\} \\ &- 4\{V_\alpha V_\gamma, \{V_\mu, V_\beta V_\delta\}\} + 8\{V_\alpha V_\mu V_\gamma, V_\beta V_\delta\} \\ &+ 8i[\partial_\alpha \partial_\gamma V_\mu, \partial_\beta V_\delta] - 2i[\partial_\mu F_{\alpha\gamma}, F_{\beta\delta}] \\ &- 4i[\partial_\alpha \partial_\mu V_\gamma, \partial_\delta V_\beta] - 4V_\alpha (\partial_\beta V_\gamma) \partial_\mu V_\delta \\ &- 4(\partial_\mu V_\alpha) (\partial_\gamma V_\beta) V_\delta + 2iV_\alpha V_\gamma (\partial_\beta V_\delta) V_\mu \\ &- 4iV_\alpha V_\gamma (\partial_\mu V_\beta) V_\delta - 2iV_\alpha (\partial_\beta V_\gamma) V_\delta V_\mu \\ &- 2iV_\alpha (\partial_\gamma V_\beta) V_\delta V_\mu + 4iV_\alpha (\partial_\mu V_\gamma) V_\beta V_\delta \\ &- 2iV_\mu V_\alpha V_\gamma (\partial_\beta V_\delta) + 2iV_\mu V_\alpha (\partial_\beta V_\gamma) V_\delta \\ &+ 2iV_\mu V_\alpha (\partial_\gamma V_\beta) V_\delta - 2iV_\mu (\partial_\alpha V_\gamma) V_\delta V_\beta \\ &+ 2i(\partial_\alpha V_\gamma) V_\delta V_\beta V_\mu + 4F_{\alpha\gamma} V_\mu F_{\beta\delta} \left. \right). \quad (17) \end{aligned}$$

Concerning Eq. (17), the second order of the SW map, we disagree with ref. [2]. The solution given there, does not satisfy the gauge equivalence relation (13).

Next, in order to find the Seiberg-Witten map for fermion fields we have to use the SW map for the NC gauge parameter (5)–(10), obtained as solution to the relation (3) via  $\theta$ -expansion (4), together with the noncommutative gauge transformation for the NC fermion fields  $\widehat{\psi}$

$$\delta_\alpha \widehat{\psi} = i\widehat{\Lambda}_\alpha \star \widehat{\psi}. \quad (18)$$

The  $\theta$ -expansion of the NC fermion fields  $\widehat{\psi}$  reads

$$\widehat{\psi}[\psi, V] = \psi + \psi^\theta[\psi, V] + \psi^{\theta^2}[\psi, V] + \mathcal{O}(\theta^3). \quad (19)$$

The above leads to the first order in  $\theta$  consistency relation,

$$\Delta_\alpha \psi^\theta = i\Lambda^\theta \psi - \frac{1}{2} \theta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \alpha \partial_\nu \psi, \quad (20)$$

where  $\Delta_\alpha \psi^{\theta^k} \equiv \delta_\alpha \psi^{\theta^k} - i\alpha \psi^{\theta^k}$ . To second order, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\alpha \psi^{\theta^2} &= i\Lambda_\alpha^{\theta^2} \psi + i\Lambda^\theta \psi^\theta - \frac{1}{2} \theta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \Lambda_\alpha^\theta \partial_\nu \psi \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \theta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \alpha \partial_\nu \psi^\theta - \frac{i}{8} \theta^{\mu\nu} \theta^{\kappa\lambda} \partial_\mu \partial_\kappa \alpha \partial_\nu \partial_\lambda \psi. \quad (21) \end{aligned}$$

The general solution to first order in  $\theta$  is given by

$$\psi^\theta = \frac{1}{2} \theta^{\mu\nu} V_\nu \partial_\mu \psi + \frac{1-c}{2} \theta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu V_\nu \psi + d \theta^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}. \quad (22)$$

The hermicity requirement  $c = 1/2$ , and choice  $d = -1/8$ , leaves us with

$$\psi^\theta[\psi, V] = -\frac{1}{2} \theta^{\alpha\beta} \left( V_\alpha \partial_\beta - \frac{i}{4} [V_\alpha, V_\beta] \right) \psi. \quad (23)$$

A solution to the second order in  $\theta$  consistency relation is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^{\theta^2}[\psi, V] &= \frac{1}{32} \theta^{\mu\nu} \theta^{\kappa\lambda} \\ &\times \left( -4i(\partial_\kappa V_\mu) \partial_\nu \partial_\lambda + 4V_\kappa V_\mu \partial_\nu \partial_\lambda \right. \\ &- 4(\partial_\kappa V_\mu) V_\nu \partial_\lambda + 4F_{\kappa\mu} V_\nu \partial_\lambda \\ &- 4V_\nu (\partial_\kappa V_\mu) \partial_\lambda + 8V_\nu F_{\kappa\mu} \partial_\lambda - 8iV_\mu V_\kappa V_\nu \partial_\lambda \\ &+ 4iV_\mu V_\nu V_\kappa \partial_\lambda - 2(\partial_\kappa V_\mu) \partial_\lambda V_\nu + V_\kappa V_\lambda V_\mu V_\nu \\ &+ 2i(\partial_\kappa V_\mu) V_\lambda V_\nu - 2iV_\nu V_\lambda \partial_\kappa V_\mu - 2V_\kappa V_\mu V_\nu V_\lambda \\ &\left. - i[(\partial_\kappa V_\mu), V_\nu], V_\lambda] - 4iV_\nu F_{\kappa\mu} V_\lambda \right) \psi. \quad (24) \end{aligned}$$

Finally we consider noncommutative Higgs field  $\widehat{\Phi}$ , which is related to the commutative ones by the hybrid Seiberg-Witten ( $\theta$ -expansion) map

$$\widehat{\Phi}[\Phi, V, V'] = \Phi + \Phi^\theta[V, V'] + \Phi^{\theta^2}[V, V'] + \mathcal{O}(\theta^3). \quad (25)$$

Equation (25) generalizes the SW maps of both gauge bosons and fermions.  $\widehat{\Phi}$  is a functional of a commutative

Higgs field  $\Phi$  and two gauge fields  $V, V'$ . It transforms covariantly under the following gauge transformations:

$$\delta\widehat{\Phi}[\Phi, V, V'] = i\widehat{\Lambda} * \widehat{\Phi} - i\widehat{\Phi} * \widehat{\Lambda}', \quad (26)$$

where  $\widehat{\Lambda}$  and  $\widehat{\Lambda}'$  are the corresponding NC gauge parameters. Hermitian conjugation yields  $\widehat{\Phi}[\Phi, V, V']^\dagger = \widehat{\Phi}[\Phi^\dagger, V', V]$ . The noncommutative covariant derivative for the NC Higgs field  $\widehat{\Phi}$  is given by

$$\widehat{D}_\mu \widehat{\Phi} = \partial_\mu \widehat{\Phi} - i(\widehat{V}_\mu * \widehat{\Phi} - \widehat{\Phi} * \widehat{V}'_\mu). \quad (27)$$

As explained in [6], the precise representations of the gauge fields  $V$  and  $V'$  in the Yukawa couplings are inherited from the fermions on the left ( $\bar{\psi}$ ) and on the right side ( $\psi$ ) of the Higgs, respectively. The hybrid SW map for the Higgs field up to second order is of course only unique up to a solution of the homogeneous equation. The most general solution up to first order in  $\theta$  reads

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^\theta[\Phi, V, V'] &= \frac{1}{2} \theta^{\alpha\beta} \quad (28) \\ &\times \left[ V_\beta \left( \partial_\alpha \Phi - \frac{i}{2} (aV_\alpha \Phi - \Phi V'_\alpha) \right) \right. \\ &+ \left( \partial_\alpha \Phi - \frac{i}{2} (V_\alpha \Phi - b\Phi V'_\alpha) \right) V'_\beta \\ &\left. + \frac{1}{4} (1-a)(\partial_\alpha V_\beta) \Phi + \frac{1}{4} (1-b)\Phi (\partial_\alpha V'_\beta) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Conventionally, we choose  $a = b = 1$  and obtain to the first order in  $\theta$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^\theta[\Phi, V, V'] &= \frac{1}{2} \theta^{\alpha\beta} \quad (29) \\ &\times \left[ V_\beta \left( \partial_\alpha \Phi - \frac{i}{2} (V_\alpha \Phi - \Phi V'_\alpha) \right) \right. \\ &\left. + \left( \partial_\alpha \Phi - \frac{i}{2} (V_\alpha \Phi - \Phi V'_\alpha) \right) V'_\beta \right], \end{aligned}$$

while for the second order we have found the following lengthy expression:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^{\theta^2} &\equiv \Phi^{\theta^2}[\Phi, V, V'] = -\frac{i}{32} \theta^{\alpha\beta} \theta^{\gamma\delta} \\ &\times \left( V_\alpha \left\{ V_\beta (V_\gamma (4\partial_\delta \Phi - 3iV_\delta \Phi + 4i\Phi V'_\delta)) \right. \right. \\ &+ (-4\partial_\gamma \Phi - 2i\Phi V'_\gamma) V'_\delta + V_\gamma [4i\partial_\beta \partial_\delta \Phi \\ &+ V_\beta (-4\partial_\delta \Phi + 2i(V_\delta \Phi - 2\Phi V'_\delta)) \\ &+ V_\delta (4\partial_\beta \Phi + 4i\Phi V'_\delta) \\ &+ 3(\partial_\beta V_\delta) \Phi - 4(\partial_\beta \Phi) V'_\delta - 4\partial_\delta \Phi V'_\beta \\ &+ \Phi (4\partial_\delta V'_\beta - 8\partial_\beta V'_\delta \\ &+ 4i(V'_\beta V'_\delta - 2V'_\delta V'_\beta))] \\ &+ \partial_\beta V_\gamma (8i\partial_\delta \Phi + 5V_\delta \Phi - 8\Phi V'_\delta) \\ &\left. + \partial_\gamma V_\beta (-4i\partial_\delta \Phi - 3V_\delta \Phi) \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ \partial_\gamma \Phi (4(-i\partial_\beta V'_\delta + i\partial_\delta V'_\beta \\ &+ V'_\beta V'_\delta + V'_\delta V'_\beta)) \\ &+ (-8i\partial_\beta \partial_\gamma \Phi + 4(\partial_\beta \Phi) V'_\gamma) V'_\delta \\ &+ 4\Phi [V'_\gamma (\partial_\beta V'_\delta - \partial_\delta V'_\beta - iV'_\beta V'_\delta + iV'_\delta V'_\beta) \\ &+ (2\partial_\gamma V'_\beta + iV'_\beta V'_\gamma) V'_\delta] \left. \right\} \\ &+ \partial_\alpha V_\gamma [4\partial_\beta \partial_\delta \Phi + V_\beta (-4i\partial_\delta \Phi + 4\Phi V'_\delta) \\ &- V_\delta (V_\beta \Phi + 4\Phi V'_\beta) + 4i(\partial_\beta \Phi) V'_\delta \\ &- 2i(\partial_\delta V_\beta) \Phi - 4i(\partial_\delta \Phi) V'_\beta \\ &+ \Phi (4i\partial_\delta V'_\beta - 4V'_\beta V'_\delta + 8V'_\delta V'_\beta)] \\ &+ \partial_\alpha \Phi [V'_\gamma (-4i\partial_\delta V'_\beta + 4V'_\beta V'_\delta - 4V'_\delta V'_\beta) \\ &+ (8i\partial_\gamma V'_\beta - 4i\partial_\beta V'_\gamma - 4V'_\beta V'_\gamma) V'_\delta] \\ &+ \partial_\alpha \partial_\gamma \Phi (4iV'_\delta V'_\beta - 4\partial_\delta V'_\beta) \\ &+ \Phi \left\{ V'_\alpha [V'_\gamma (\partial_\beta V'_\delta + 2iV'_\beta V'_\delta) \right. \\ &+ (3\partial_\beta V'_\gamma - 5\partial_\gamma V'_\beta - 3iV'_\beta V'_\gamma) V'_\delta] \\ &\left. + \partial_\alpha V'_\gamma (-2i\partial_\delta V'_\beta - 3V'_\delta V'_\beta) \right\} \left. \right\}. \quad (30) \end{aligned}$$

The above expression may be written in a more convenient way as

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^{\theta^2}[\Phi, V, V'] &= \Phi^{\theta^2}[V] + \Phi_r^{\theta^2}[V'] - \frac{i}{8} \theta^{\mu\nu} \theta^{\kappa\lambda} \\ &\times \left( iV_\kappa V_\lambda V_\mu \Phi V'_\nu - V_\kappa V_\lambda (\partial_\mu \Phi) V'_\nu - iV_\kappa V_\mu V_\lambda \Phi V'_\nu \right. \\ &+ iV_\kappa V_\mu V_\nu \Phi V'_\lambda - V_\kappa V_\mu (\partial_\lambda \Phi) V'_\nu - V_\kappa V_\mu (\partial_\nu \Phi) V'_\lambda \\ &- 2V_\kappa V_\mu \Phi (\partial_\lambda V'_\nu) + V_\kappa V_\mu \Phi (\partial_\nu V'_\lambda) + iV_\kappa V_\mu \Phi V'_\lambda V'_\nu \\ &- 2iV_\kappa V_\mu \Phi V'_\nu V'_\lambda - 2V_\kappa (\partial_\lambda V_\mu) \Phi V'_\nu \\ &- 4iV_\kappa (\partial_\mu \Phi) \partial_\lambda V'_\nu + iV_\kappa (\partial_\mu \Phi) \partial_\nu V'_\lambda \\ &+ V_\kappa (\partial_\mu \Phi) V'_\lambda V'_\nu + V_\kappa (\partial_\mu \Phi) V'_\nu V'_\lambda \\ &- 2iV_\kappa (\partial_\lambda \partial_\mu \Phi) V'_\nu + V_\kappa (\partial_\lambda \Phi) V'_\mu V'_\nu + V_\kappa \Phi V'_\mu \partial_\lambda V'_\nu \\ &- V_\kappa \Phi V'_\mu \partial_\nu V'_\lambda - iV_\kappa \Phi V'_\mu V'_\lambda V'_\nu + iV_\kappa \Phi V'_\mu V'_\nu V'_\lambda \\ &+ 2V'_\kappa \Phi (\partial_\mu V'_\lambda) V'_\nu + iV_\kappa \Phi V'_\lambda V'_\mu V'_\nu + (\partial_\kappa V_\mu) V_\lambda \Phi V'_\nu \\ &- (\partial_\kappa V_\mu) V_\nu \Phi V'_\lambda + i(\partial_\kappa V_\mu) (\partial_\lambda \Phi) V'_\nu \\ &- i(\partial_\kappa V_\mu) (\partial_\nu \Phi) V'_\lambda + i(\partial_\kappa V_\mu) \Phi \partial_\nu V'_\lambda \\ &\left. - (\partial_\kappa V_\mu) \Phi V'_\lambda V'_\nu + 2(\partial_\kappa V_\mu) \Phi V'_\nu V'_\lambda \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{32} \theta^{\mu\nu} \theta^{\kappa\lambda} 2V_\kappa V_\lambda \Phi V'_\mu V'_\nu, \quad (31) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Phi^{\theta^2}[V]$  and  $\Phi_r^{\theta^2}[V']$  denote the second order expansion for fermion fields (24),

$$\Phi^{\theta^2}[V] = \psi^{\theta^2}[\psi, V](\psi \rightarrow \Phi), \quad (32)$$

in the latter case the gauge fields are supposed to act from the right,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_r^{\theta^2}[V] &= \frac{1}{32} \theta^{\mu\nu} \theta^{\kappa\lambda} \\
&\times \Phi \left( -4i(\partial_\kappa V_\mu) \partial_\nu \partial_\lambda + 4V_\kappa V_\mu \partial_\nu \partial_\lambda \right. \\
&- 4(\partial_\kappa V_\mu) V_\nu \partial_\lambda + 4F_{\kappa\mu} V_\nu \partial_\lambda \\
&- 4V_\nu (\partial_\kappa V_\mu) \partial_\lambda + 8V_\nu F_{\kappa\mu} \partial_\lambda - 8iV_\mu V_\kappa V_\nu \partial_\lambda \\
&+ 4iV_\mu V_\nu V_\kappa \partial_\lambda - 2\partial_\kappa V_\mu \partial_\lambda V_\nu + V_\kappa V_\lambda V_\mu V_\nu \\
&+ 2i(\partial_\kappa V_\mu) V_\lambda V_\nu - 2iV_\nu V_\lambda \partial_\kappa V_\mu - 2V_\kappa V_\mu V_\nu V_\lambda \\
&\left. - i[[\partial_\kappa V_\mu], V_\nu], V_\lambda] - 4iV_\nu F_{\kappa\mu} V_\lambda \right). \quad (33)
\end{aligned}$$

The solutions representing SW maps up to second order in  $\theta$  for fermion fields and Higgs fields, in the case of  $V' = 0$ , are the same, i.e. the first order in  $\theta$  Eqs. (23) and (29) becomes identical. The same holds for the second order Eqs. (24) and (30) or (31).

Higher SW expansions of the NC gauge, fermion and Higgs fields up to second order in the noncommutative parameter  $\theta$ , are important due to the further extension of previously published results. Specifically those are applications of the enveloping algebra based,  $\theta$ -expanded, approach to higher gauge groups [7] and to particular NCSM gauge sector representations [9]. Proof that SW noncommutative gauge theories are anomaly free and the properties of the gauge anomaly for general SW mapping as well as of the  $U(1)_A$  anomaly in noncommutative  $SU(N)$  theories [10] are certainly very important. This comment is important regarding further investigations of renormalizability properties of the  $\theta$ -expanded NC field theories in general [11]. Certainly, recent results [12, 13], showing that gauge theories, in the  $\theta$ -expanded enveloping algebra based approach, are one-loop renormalizable at first order in  $\theta$ , are very encouraging. They give us hope that it would be possible to investigate higher-loop renormalizability up to the second order in the noncommutative parameter  $\theta$ . Clearly, one may expect that the renormalizability principle should certainly help to minimize, or even cancel most of ambiguities of SW maps discussed in [1, 2] and in this comment. Finally, it is necessary to comment that, due to the one-loop renormalizability [11, 12, 13], the associated high energy particle physics phenomenology [9, 14, 15] becomes more robust [16].

We want to thank Fabian Bachmaier for contributing to this work and to H. Grosse and J. Wess for many fruitful discussions. M.W. also wants to acknowledge the support from ‘‘Fonds zur F6rderung der wissenschaftlichen Forschung’’ (Austrian Science Fund), project P18657-N16. The work of J.T. is supported by the project 098-0982930-2900 of the Croatian Ministry of Science, Education and Sport and in part by the ESF, received in the framework of the Research Networking Programme on

‘Quantum Geometry and Quantum Gravity’ in the form of a short visit grant No.2012.

- 
- [1] A. Alboteanu, T. Ohl and R. R6uckl, 0707.3595[hep-th].
  - [2] L. M6oller, *JHEP* **10** (2004) 063.
  - [3] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, *JHEP* **09** (1999) 032.
  - [4] J. Madore, S. Schraml, P. Schupp and J. Wess, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **16** (2000) 161.
  - [5] B. Jur6o, S. Schraml, P. Schupp and J. Wess, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **17** (2000) 521. B. Jur6o, L. M6oller, S. Schraml, P. Schupp and J. Wess, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **21** (2001) 383.
  - [6] X. Calmet, B. Jur6o, P. Schupp, J. Wess and M. Wohlgenannt, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **23** (2002) 363.
  - [7] P. Aschieri, B. Jur6o, P. Schupp and J. Wess, *Nucl. Phys. B* **651** (2003) 45.
  - [8] B. Melic, K. Passek-Kumericki, J. Trampetic, P. Schupp, and M. Wohlgenannt, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **42** (2005) 483–497; *Eur. Phys. J. C* **42** (2005) 499–504.
  - [9] W. Behr, N.G. Deshpande, G. Duplancic, P. Schupp, J. Trampetic and J. Wess, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **29** (2003) 441; G. Duplancic, P. Schupp and J. Trampetic, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **32** (2003) 141.
  - [10] C. P. Martin, *Nucl. Phys. B* **652**, 72 (2003); F. Brandt, C. P. Martin and F. R. Ruiz, *JHEP* **0307**, 068 (2003); C. P. Martin and C. Tamarit, *Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 085008 (2005).
  - [11] R. Wulkenhaar, *JHEP* **0203** (2002) 024; J. M. Grimstrup and R. Wulkenhaar, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **26** (2002) 139; A. Bichl, J. Grimstrup, H. Grosse, L. Popp, M. Schweda and R. Wulkenhaar, *JHEP* **06** (2001) 013; H. Grosse and R. Wulkenhaar, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **71**, 13 (2005); *J. Nonlin. Math. Phys.* **11S1**, 9 (2004); *Commun. Math. Phys.* **256**, 305 (2005); V. Rivasseau, F. Vignes-Tourneret and R. Wulkenhaar, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **262**, 565 (2006); H. Grosse and M. Wohlgenannt, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **52** (2007) 435–450.
  - [12] M. Buric and V. Radovanovic, *JHEP* **0210** (2002) 074; *JHEP* **0402** (2004) 040; *Class. Quant. Grav.* **22** (2005) 525; M. Buric, D. Latas and V. Radovanovic, *JHEP* **0602** (2006) 046; M. Buric, V. Radovanovic and J. Trampetic, *JHEP* **0703** (2007) 030; D. Latas, V. Radovanovic and J. Trampetic, *Phys. Rev. D* **76** (2007) 085006.
  - [13] C. P. Martin, D. Sanchez-Ruiz and C. Tamarit, *JHEP* **0702** (2007) 065; C. P. Martin and C. Tamarit, arXiv:0706.4052 [hep-th].
  - [14] J. Trampetic, *Acta Phys. Polon.* **B33** (2002) 4317 [hep-ph/0212309]; P. Schupp, J. Trampetic, J. Wess and G. Raffelt, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **36** (2004) 405; P. Minkowski, P. Schupp and J. Trampetic, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **37** (2004) 123; B. Melic, K. Passek-Kumericki and J. Trampetic, *Phys. Rev. D* **72** (2005) 054004, *ibid* 057502.
  - [15] T. Ohl and J. Reuter, *Phys. Rev. D* **70** (2004); A. Alboteanu, T. Ohl and R. R6uckl, *PoS HEP2005* (2006) 322 [arXiv:hep-ph/0511188]; *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 096004 (2006); arXiv:0709.2359 [hep-ph].
  - [16] M. Buric, D. Latas, V. Radovanovic and J. Trampetic, *Phys. Rev. D* **75** (2007) 097701; J. Trampetic, arXiv:0704.0559v1 [hep-ph].