

$\mathcal{N} = 2$ Supersymmetric Black Attractors in Six and Seven Dimensions

A. Belhaj^{1,2,3}, L.B. Drissi^{1,3}, E.H. Saidi^{1,3}, A. Segui²

1. Lab/UFR- Physique des Hautes Energies*, Faculté des Sciences, Rabat, Morocco,
2. Departamento de Fisica Teorica, Universidad de Zaragoza, 50009-Zaragoza, Spain.
3. GNPHE, Groupement National de Physique des Hautes Energies, Siège focal: FS, Rabat

May 15, 2019

Abstract

Using a quaternionic formulation of the moduli space \mathcal{M} (IIA/K3) of 10D type IIA superstring on a generic K3 complex surface with volume \mathbf{V}_0 , we study extremal $\mathcal{N} = 2$ black attractors in 6D space-time and their uplifting to 7D. For the 6D theory, we exhibit the role played by 6D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ hypermultiplets and the Z^m central charges isotriplet of the 6D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superalgebra. We construct explicitly the special hyperKähler geometry of \mathcal{M} (IIA/K3) and show that the $SO(4) \times SO(20)$ invariant hyperKähler potential is given by $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + \text{Tr} [\ln(1 - \mathbf{V}_0^{-1} \mathbf{S})]$ with Kähler leading term $\mathcal{H}_0 = \text{Tr} [\ln \mathbf{V}_0]$ plus an extra term which can be expanded as a power series in \mathbf{V}_0^{-1} and the traceless and symmetric 3×3 matrix \mathbf{S} . We also derive the holomorphic matrix prepotential \mathcal{G} and the flux potential \mathcal{G}_{BH} of the 6D black objects induced by the topology of the RR field strengths $\mathcal{F}_2 = d\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{F}_4 = d\mathcal{A}_3$ on K3 and show that \mathcal{G}_{BH} reads as $Q_0 + \sum_{m=1}^3 q^m Z^m$. Moreover, we reveal that $Z^m = \sum_{I=1}^{20} Q_I \left(\int_{C_2^I} J^m \right)$ where the isotriplet J^m is the hyperKähler 2-form on K3. It is found as well that the uplifting to seven dimensions is quite similar to 4D/5D correspondence for black hole potential considered in arXiv 0707.0964.

Key words: Type IIA string K3, Special hyperKähler geometry, 6D/7D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ black objects, Attractor mechanism.

*h-saidi@fsr.ac.ma

Contents

1	Introduction	4
2	Type II String on K3	6
2.1	Type IIA String on K3	6
2.1.1	Moduli space $\mathbf{M}_{\text{IIA}/\text{K3}}$	6
2.1.2	Central charges in 6D supersymmetric theories	7
2.2	$SU(2) \times SO(20)$ invariance	11
3	Matrix Formulation	12
3.1	Quaternionized HyperKahler 2-Form	13
3.2	Kahler/HyperKahler correspondence	15
3.2.1	Type IIA superstring on CY3	16
3.2.2	Type IIA superstring on K3	18
4	Quaternionic Geometry in Matrix Formulation	20
4.1	Moduli Space $\mathbf{M}_{\text{IIA}/\text{K3}}$	21
4.1.1	Spectrum 10D Type IIA on the K3 surface	21
4.1.2	Supersymmetry in 6D	23
4.2	Quaternionic Moduli in 6D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Gauge Theory	25
4.3	7D Uplifting	27
5	Computing the Potentials	29
5.1	Special Hyperkahler potential \mathcal{H}	29
5.2	Matrix Prepotential $\mathcal{G}(w)$	32
5.2.1	Prepotential $\mathcal{F}(z)$ in type IIA on CY3	33
5.2.2	Prepotential $\mathcal{G}(w)$ in type IIA on the K3 surface	34
6	Black Objects in 6D/7D	35
6.1	Black Objects in 6D	35
6.1.1	6D Black Holes	35
6.1.2	6D Black 2-Branes	40
6.2	Black Objects in 7D	41
7	Conclusion and Discussion	43
8	Appendix: $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry in 6D	47
8.1	6D $\mathcal{N} = (p, q)$ superalgebra	47
8.1.1	Dimensional reduction	47

8.1.2	K3 compactification	48
8.2	6D $\mathcal{N} = (2, 0)$ superalgebra	48
8.3	6D $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ superalgebra	50

1 Introduction

Large distance of compactified ten dimensional (10D) type II superstrings and 11D M- theory down to lower space time dimensions have recently known a great revival of interest in connection with topological string [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11], black objects and attractor mechanism [12, 13, 14, 4, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19]. In the context of 4D black holes, Calabi-Yau compactification of 10D type IIA superstring (11D M-theory) down to 4D (5D) can be approximated at large distances by 4D (5D) $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity whose low energy theory include, in addition to the 4D (5D) $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity multiplet, matter organized into a number n_V of 4D (5D) $\mathcal{N} = 2$ vector multiplets and n_H hypermultiplets. In the 4D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ black hole attractor mechanism, the hypermultiplets decouple and one is left with gravity coupled to vector multiplets whose vacuum configuration is nicely described by special Kahler (real) geometry [20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26]. There, special complex (real) geometry plays a crucial role in the study of 4D (5D) $\mathcal{N} = 2$ extremal black holes and in the understanding of their attractor mechanisms.

Motivated by the exploration of the attractor mechanism for black objects in 6D and 7D space-time as well as the basic role that have to play 6D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ hypermultiplets in the attractor issue, we first study extremal $\mathcal{N} = 2$ black objects in six dimensional space time and analyse the special *d-geometry* of underlying 6D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity describing the low energy limit of type superstring IIA on the K3 surface. Then, we consider the uplifting of the 6D model to 7D which can be understood as the compactification of 11D M-theory on the K3 surface. For the 6D case, we take advantage of the hyperkahler structure of the moduli space \mathbf{M} (IIA/K3) of 10D type IIA superstring on a generic K3 surface, with fixed string coupling constant, to introduce a convenient basis of local quaternionic coordinates $\{w^I, 0 \leq I \leq 20\}$ built as,

$$w^I = \int_{C_2^I} \left(B^{NS} + i \sum_{s=0,\pm 1} \sigma^s \Omega^{(1+s,1-s)} \right), \quad I = 1, \dots, h_{K3}^{(1,1)},$$

where the basis set $\{C_2^I\}$ refer to twenty real 2- cycles of K3 dual to the Hodge (1,1)-forms. In this relation, the 2×2 matrices $\sigma^{0,\pm}$ are the usual Pauli matrices in the Cartan basis, the (p,q) - form $\Omega^{(p,q)}$, with $p + q = 2$, are the Hodge 2-forms on the K3 surface and

$$\mathcal{J} = B^{NS} I_{2 \times 2} + i \sum_{s=0,\pm 1} \sigma^s \Omega^{(1+s,1-s)},$$

is the "quaternionified" Kahler 2-form on the K3 surface. This 2- form is valued in the 2×2 matrix algebra generated, in addition to the identity $I_{2 \times 2}$, by the three Pauli

matrices σ^m and should be compared with the complexified Kahler form

$$B^{NS} + i\Omega^{(1,1)},$$

we encounter in the study of 10D type IIA superstring on Calabi-Yau threefolds. The local coordinate basis $\{w^I\}$ allows to approach the underlying special hyperkahler *d-geometry* of \mathcal{M} (IIA/K3) in quite similar manner as do the complexified Kahler moduli

$$z^I = \int_{C_2^I} (B^{NS} + i\Omega^{(1,1)}),$$

in the study of 10D type IIA superstring on Calabi-Yau threefolds. It is a basis set of non abelian 2×2 matrices which permit to use the power of the algebra of matrices to study the quaternionic geometry of \mathcal{M} (IIA/K3). This matrix formulation has several special properties mainly governed by the quaternionic structure of \mathcal{M} (IIA/K3) and captured in practice by the spin $\frac{1}{2}$ representation of the $SU(2)$ R- symmetry of the 6D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superalgebra and by the standard Clifford algebra identities of the 2×2 Pauli matrices. Matrix formulation of the special quaternionic *d-geometry* of \mathcal{M} (IIA/K3) allows in particular to:

- (1) exhibit manifestly the $SO(4) \times SO(20)$ gauge symmetry of the moduli space \mathcal{M} (IIA/K3) with fixed string coupling constant.
- (2) permit to treat explicitly the computation of the hyperKahler potential \mathcal{H} , the holomorphic matrix prepotential \mathcal{G} and the flux potential \mathcal{G}_{BH} of the 6D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ black object attractors.
- (3) get the explicit moduli realization of the central charges of BPS states of the 6D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superalgebra.
- (4) provide a natural way to deal with 7D supersymmetric black objects by uplifting in the same spirit as recently done in [20] for studying extremal black hole attractor mechanism in 4D/5D extended supergravities.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In section 2, we review the compactification of 10D type IIA superstring on the K3 surface. Then we give comments on the 6D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric low energy limit; in particular the aspect regarding the structure of central charges and 6D supersymmetric BPS states. In section 3, we develop a matrix formulation to analyse the corresponding moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{\text{IIA/K3}}$. In section 4, we introduce the quaternionified 2- form \mathcal{J} and develop the special quaternionic *d-geometry* in the matrix formalism. In section 5, we compute the hyperKahler potential \mathcal{H} as a power series in the inverse of the volume of K3 and derive the matrix holomorphic prepotential \mathcal{G} using the 2- cycles intersection matrix d_{IJ} of generic K3. In section 6, we study the 6D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ black object attractors and their 7D uplifting by using type IIA D-branes wrapping cycles of the K3 surface. Extending the idea on the flux compactification of 10D type IIA superstring on Calabi-Yau 4-folds given [27] to the case of the K3 surface,

we derive, amongst others, the flux potential for $6D$ black objects (holes and 2-branes). In section 7, we give our conclusion and make a discussion. In section 8, we give an appendix on $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry in six dimensions.

2 Type II String on K3

Low energy dynamics of $10D$ type II superstrings on the K3 complex surface is described by $6D$ $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity coupled to superYang-Mills [28, 29, 30, 31, 32]. One distinguishes two six dimensional models A and B depending on whether one started from $10D$ type IIA or $10D$ type IIB superstring. These models are respectively given by the usual $6D$ non chiral $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ and $6D$ chiral $\mathcal{N} = (2, 0)$ models and have different moduli spaces $\mathbf{M}_{\text{IIA/K3}}$ and $\mathbf{M}_{\text{IIB/K3}}$. In present paper, we mainly consider the large distance limit described by non chiral $6D$ $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ ($\mathcal{N} = 2$ for short) supergravity and focus on the hyperKahler structure of $\mathbf{M}_{\text{IIA/K3}}$. The moduli space $\mathbf{M}_{\text{IIB/K3}}$ namely

$$\mathbf{M}_{\text{IIB/K3}} = \frac{S0(5, 21)}{S0(5) \times S0(21)}, \quad (2.1)$$

hasn't however such hyperKahler structure. The local coordinates of $\mathbf{M}_{\text{IIB/K3}}$ are in the bi-fundamental of $S0(5) \times S0(21)$ and so $\mathbf{M}_{\text{IIB/K3}}$ has a real dimension multiple of 5 rather than a multiple of 4.

2.1 Type IIA String on K3

2.1.1 Moduli space $\mathbf{M}_{\text{IIA/K3}}$

In $10D$ type superstring IIA on a generic K3 surface, which is known to be dual to the $10D$ heterotic superstring on T^4 , the moduli space $\mathbf{M}_{\text{IIA/K3}}$ of metric deformations and stringy vacuum field configurations is given by the non compact real space

$$\mathbf{M}_{\text{IIA/K3}} = \mathbf{M} \times R_+, \quad (2.2)$$

with \mathbf{M} given by the homogeneous space

$$\mathbf{M} = \frac{S0(4, 20)}{S0(4) \times S0(20)}, \quad (2.3)$$

and where the extra R_+ stands for the dilaton (i.e the string coupling g_s). For fixed g_s , $\mathbf{M}_{\text{IIA/K3}}$ reduces to \mathbf{M} and so the restricted moduli space \mathbf{M} has a real eighty dimension capturing a quaternionic structure. In addition to the NS-NS B-field 2-form B^{NS} whose role will be discussed later on, the hyper- structure of \mathbf{M} should be described by a real $SU(2)$ isotriplet of 2-forms,

$$J^i = (J^1, \quad J^2, \quad J^3), \quad J^i = (J^i)^\dagger, \quad dJ^i = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

rotated under the adjoint representation of $SU(2)$ isometry group of the K3 surface as shown below,

$$\begin{aligned} [D^i, J^j] &= i\epsilon^{ijk} J^k, & i, j, k = 1, 2, 3, \\ [D^i, D^j] &= i\epsilon^{ijk} D^k. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

In the above relations, the 2-form J^3 , to be denoted often as $\Omega^{(1,1)}$ or sometimes as J^0 , is the usual hermitian Kahler 2-form one encounters in generic complex n - dimensional Kahler manifold. The two others are given¹ by

$$J^1 = \text{Re } \Omega^{2,0}, \quad J^2 = \text{Im } \Omega^{2,0}, \quad (2.6)$$

where $\Omega^{(2,0)}$ is the complex holomorphic 2- form on the K3 surface with complex conjugate $\overline{\Omega^{(2,0)}} = \Omega^{(0,2)}$. The D^i 's denote the generators of $SU(2)$ symmetry group rotating the J^i 's and ϵ^{ijk} is the associated completely antisymmetric structure constants.

For later use, we recall that in the K3 compactification of 10D type II superstrings, the local Lorentz group $SO(1,9)$ of the 10D space time breaks down to $SO(1,5) \times SU(2)$. The latter, which is required by supersymmetry and K3 holonomy, is contained in $SO(1,5) \times SO(4)$. We also recall that the homomorphism $SO(4) \sim SU(2) \times SU'(2)$ allowing to put the 4-vector representation of $SO(4)$ as a 2×2 hermitian matrix.

2.1.2 Central charges in 6D supersymmetric theories

There are different ways to introduce central charges \mathcal{Z}_m of supersymmetric algebras. A tricky way is to use their geometric realization as translations along the transverse directions which we describe below for the case of supersymmetric field theory in six dimension space time.

\mathcal{Z}_m as translation operators: First, recall that a generic ten dimensional real vector V_M splits generally into a real 6D space time vector V_μ and four real space time scalars f_m . In particular, this is valid for the space time coordinates $x^M \rightarrow (x^\mu, y^m)$; but also for generic 10D Maxwell gauge fields \mathcal{A}_M which then split as

$$\mathcal{A}_M \quad \rightarrow \quad (\mathcal{A}_\mu, \phi_m). \quad (2.7)$$

The same reduction can done for the 10D energy momentum vector P_M which decomposes into 6D energy momentum P_μ vector and four central charges P_m as shown below:

$$P_M \quad \rightarrow \quad (P_\mu, P_m), \quad m = 6, 7, 8, 9. \quad (2.8)$$

¹Given a generic Hodge (p, q) -form $\Omega^{(p,q)}$ on a Kahler manifold, one can associate to it two integers: the highest weight $h = \frac{p+q}{2}$, of an underlying $SU(2)$ group representation that classify the forms, and the isospin $s = \frac{p-q}{2}$. A Hodge multiplet consists of those (p, q) -form $\Omega^{(p,q)}$ with isospins as $-h \leq s \leq h$. For $h = 1$, the corresponding Hodge multiplet is an isotriplet with isospins $s = 0, \pm 1$.

By central charge, we mean that viewed as operators, the P_m 's commute with all generators of the 6D supersymmetric Poincaré algebra $\mathcal{SP}_{6D}^{N=2}$ given in appendix and which will be considered later on. The P_m 's commute in particular with the bosonic P_μ and $M_{[\mu\nu]} = x_{[\mu}P_{\nu]}$ generators of the 6D Poincaré algebra \mathcal{P}_{6D} ;

$$[P_\mu, P_m] = 0, \quad [M_{[\mu\nu]}, P_m] = 0, \quad [P_m, P_n] = 0, \quad (2.9)$$

In quantum physics where 10D energy momentum operator P_M is realized as $\frac{\hbar}{i}\partial_M$, the central charge operators P_m are given by $\frac{\hbar}{i}\partial_m$. From this representation, we learn that central charges P_m may geometrically be interpreted as translations along the y^m coordinates of the 4D transverse space.

\mathcal{Z}_m and the sgauginos ϕ_m : The above description can be pushed further by establishing a close link between the central charges \mathcal{Z}_m and the sgauginos ϕ_m eq(2.7). The idea is to use the same trick for the abelian gauge field strength $\mathcal{F}_{MN} = \partial_M \mathcal{A}_N - \partial_N \mathcal{A}_M$. We have the decomposition

$$\mathcal{F}_{MN} \quad \rightarrow \quad (\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}, \mathcal{F}_{\mu m} = \partial_\mu \phi_m), \quad (2.10)$$

where we have used the ansatz $\partial_m \mathcal{A}_\mu = 0 = \partial_m \phi_n$; that is the fields \mathcal{A}_μ and ϕ_n have no dependence on the internal coordinates y^m :

$$\mathcal{A}_\mu = \mathcal{A}_\mu(x), \quad \phi_n = \phi_n(x). \quad (2.11)$$

Now, using the fact that \mathcal{F}_{MN} is also the curvature

$$\mathcal{F}_{MN} = [\mathcal{D}_M, \mathcal{D}_N] \quad (2.12)$$

of the gauge covariant derivative $\mathcal{D}_M = \partial_M + \mathcal{A}_M$, we learn the two following:

(i) the real four sgauginos ϕ_m are just "gauge fields" along the transverse directions which appear in the covariantization of the central charges

$$\partial_m \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_m = \partial_m + \phi_m. \quad (2.13)$$

(ii) By introduction of the gauge fields, the commutator between the covariantized space time and transverse translations get a non zero curvature given by the gradient $\partial_\mu \phi_m$ of the sgauginos, $\mathcal{F}_{\mu m} = [\mathcal{D}_\mu, \mathcal{D}_m]$.

Supersymmetric algebra: Under compactification on K3, the 32 supersymmetries of 10D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superalgebra reduce to 16 supersymmetries forming a 6D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superalgebra with central charges. To get the defining relations of this algebra, it is interesting to express space time and transverse space translations P_μ and P_m in terms of spinor representations of the $SO(1, 5) \times SO(4)$ group. Using $SO(1, 5)$ spinor representations,

the 6D vector P_μ can be written as $P_{[\alpha\beta]}$ (roughly speaking as a $SU(4)$ antisymmetric representation). The central charges are scalars under $SO(1,5)$. Similarly, the four real central charges P_m can be also put as Z^{ia} ; that is as a spin $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ representation of $SO(4) \sim SU(2) \times SU'(2)$. As spin $\frac{1}{2}$ representations are complex, we need to impose the reality condition

$$\overline{Z^{ia}} = \epsilon_{ab}\epsilon_{ij}Z^{jb}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \quad a, b = 1, 2, \quad (2.14)$$

where ϵ_{ij} (ϵ_{ab}) is the metric tensor of $SU(2)$ ($SU'(2)$). By identifying the two $SU(2)$ factors of $SO(4)$, the 2×2 component matrix Z^{ia} becomes Z^{ij} and can be decomposed as a real isosinglet Z_0 and a real isotriplet $Z^{(ij)}$ as given below

$$Z^{ij} = Z_0\epsilon^{ij} + Z^{(ij)}, \quad i, j = 1, 2. \quad (2.15)$$

We will be show later, when we go into the details of 10D type IIA superstring compactification on K3, that Z_0 can be related to the NS-NS B- field and $Z^{(ij)}$ to the hyperKahler structure on K3.

In the appendix eq(8.13), see also eqs(2.23), we show that four real central charges are allowed by 6D $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ supersymmetric algebra. This non chiral superalgebra is generated by two kinds of fermionic generators Q_α^i and $S_i^{\bar{\alpha}}$ whose basic graded commutation relations are given by,

$$\begin{aligned} \{Q_\alpha^i, Q_\beta^j\} &= \epsilon^{ij}P_{[\alpha\beta]}, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, 4 \\ \{S_i^{\bar{\alpha}}, S_j^{\bar{\beta}}\} &= \epsilon_{ij}P^{[\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}]}, \quad i, j = 1, 2 \\ \{Q_\alpha^i, S_j^{\bar{\alpha}}\} &= \delta_\alpha^{\bar{\alpha}}Z_j^i \\ [P_{[\alpha\beta]}, Q_\gamma^j] &= [P_{[\alpha\beta]}, S_j^{\bar{\alpha}}] = 0 \\ [P_{[\alpha\beta]}, Z_j^i] &= [Z_a^i, Q_\alpha^j] = [Z_a^i, S_b^{\bar{\alpha}}] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

The four central charge components Z^{ij} appearing in above eqs can be also given an interpretation from both the view of $SO(1,5) \times SU(2)$ group theoretical representation and the view of extended $\mathcal{N} = 4$ and $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry in 4D.

From the $SO(1,5) \times SU(2)$ view, the general form of the anticommutation relation of the two $SO(1,5)$ space time spinors Q_α^i and $S_j^{\bar{\alpha}}$ should be as,

$$\{Q_\alpha^i, S_j^{\bar{\alpha}}\} = Z_{j\alpha}^{i\bar{\alpha}}, \quad (2.17)$$

where $Z_{j\alpha}^{i\bar{\alpha}}$ is required to commute with all other generators of the 6D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superalgebra. However space time interpretation which demand that the central charges to have no space time index; that is $SO(1,5)$ invariant eqs(2.8-2.9), requires then

$$Z_{j\alpha}^{i\bar{\alpha}} = \delta_\alpha^{\bar{\alpha}}Z_j^i. \quad (2.18)$$

This restriction should be understood as associated with the space time singlet $(1, 4)$ in the following tensor product

$$(4, 2) \times (\bar{4}, 2) = (1, 4) \oplus (15, 4), \quad (2.19)$$

where $(4, 2)$ stands for Q_α^i and $(\bar{4}, 2)$ for $S_j^{\bar{\alpha}}$. The $(15, 4)$ extra term in the above decomposition transforms non trivially under space time symmetry. By roughly thinking about $SO(1, 5)$ as the Euclidean $SO(6) \simeq SU(4)$, we see that the term $(15, 4)$ transforms in the adjoint representation of $SU(4)$ space time symmetry. It also corresponds to the antisymmetric component in the reduction of the tensor product of two $SO(1, 5)$ vectors namely: $6 \otimes 6 = 1 + 15 + 20$.

From the view of 4D $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superalgebra, it is interesting to recall first that there, one has six central charges,

$$Z^{[ab]}, \quad a, b = 1, \dots, 4, \quad (2.20)$$

transforming in the 6- dimensional representation of $SU(4) \sim SO(6)$ R- symmetry². Under the breaking of the $SO(6)$ R-symmetry as $SO(2) \times SO(4)$, the 6 central charges $Z^{[ab]}$ of the 4D $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superalgebra split as $6 = 4 + 2$ where the four central charges are precisely given by Z^{ij} , the same as in eqs(2.16), and the extra two, which can be denoted as $Z_0 + iZ_e$, are generated by the compactification from 6D down to 4D. To fix the ideas on the parallel between 6D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ and 4D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superalgebras, we recall herebelow the 4D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric algebra and the way in which the two central charges $Z_{4D}^{\mathcal{N}=2} = Z_0 + iZ_e$ enters in the game:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_a^i Q_j^{\dot{a}} + Q_j^{\dot{a}} Q_a^i &= \delta_j^i P_a^{\dot{a}}, & a, \dot{a} = 1, 2, \\ Q_a^i Q_b^j + Q_b^j Q_a^i &= \epsilon^{ij} \epsilon_{ab} Z_{4D}^{\mathcal{N}=2}, & i, j = 1, 2, \\ Q_i^{\dot{a}} Q_j^{\dot{b}} + Q_j^{\dot{b}} Q_i^{\dot{a}} &= \epsilon^{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \epsilon_{ij} Z_{4D}^{\mathcal{N}=2}, & \\ [Z_{4D}^{\mathcal{N}=2}, Q_a^i] &= [Z_{4D}^{\mathcal{N}=2}, Q_j^{\dot{a}}] = [Z_{4D}^{\mathcal{N}=2}, P_a^{\dot{a}}] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

We also recall that the positivity of the norm of the 10D energy momentum vector ($\sum_0^9 P_M P^M = P_\mu P^\mu + P_m P^m \geq 0$) puts a strong constraint on the Z^{ij} central charges of 6D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric representations. We have

$$Z_0^2 + Z^{(ij)} Z_{(ij)} \leq M^2 \quad (2.22)$$

where M is the mass of the 6D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supermultiplet; that is energy $E = P_0$ in the rest frame of particles of the supermultiplet. The 6D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ BPS corresponds to supersymmetric states with sutured bound; i.e $Z_0^2 + Z^{(ij)} Z_{(ij)} = M^2$. We will turn later to this relation when we consider black attractors in six dimensions.

²Notice that we have been using two kinds of $SO(6)$ symmetry groups which should not be confused. We have: (1) the usual $SO(6)$ R- symmetry group of the compactification of 10D space time down to 4D. (2) the Euclidean version of the 6D space time group $SO(1, 5)$.

For completeness of the study of the 6D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superalgebra, it is useful to recall as well that a generic 10D Majorana-Weyl spinor with 16 components Q_A generally decomposes, in six dimensions, into four $SO(1, 5)$ Weyl spinors Q_α^i and Q_α^a , constrained by a reality condition, according to the reduction rule $16 = (4, 2) + (4', 2')$. In the particular case of the K3 compactification where only half of supersymmetries survive, a 10D Majorana-Weyl spinor Q_A reduces down to $8 = (4, 2)$ real object Q_α^i , $\alpha = 1, \dots, 4$, $i = 1, 2$, and satisfies the following reality condition [33]

$$\overline{(Q_\alpha^i)} = \epsilon_{ij} B_\alpha^\beta Q_\beta^j, \quad \text{with } B^+ B = -1. \quad (2.23)$$

The two real Q_α^i 's can be complexified as $Q_\alpha^+ = Q_\alpha^1 + iQ_\alpha^2$ to give one complex 4- Weyl spinor. We will turn to eqs(2.15) and (2.23) later on when we consider the supersymmetric algebra in six dimensions (see section 4 and appendix). For completeness, it is also convenient to introduce the following basis for the Kahler 2-forms

$$\begin{aligned} J^+ &= J^1 + iJ^2, & J^- &= J^1 - iJ^2, & J^0 &= J^3 \\ (J^\pm)^\dagger &= J^\mp, & dJ^\pm &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

where the charges 0 and \pm stand for the usual $U_C(1)$ Cartan charge of the $SU(2)$. Obviously $J^+ = \Omega^{(2,0)}$ and $J^- = \Omega^{(0,2)}$; see also footnote 1.

2.2 $SU(2) \times SO(20)$ invariance

A natural way to study the geometry of the moduli space $\mathbf{M} = S0(4, 20)/S0(4) \times S0(20)$ eq(2.3) is to use the real local coordinate system

$$\{x^{aI}\}, \quad a = 1, \dots, 4, \quad I = 1, \dots, 20. \quad (2.25)$$

These coordinates transform in the bi-fundamental

$$x^{aI} \sim (4, 20) \quad (2.26)$$

of the $S0(4) \times S0(20)$ gauge symmetry as follows,

$$x^{aI} \rightarrow \tilde{x}^{aI} = \left(\sum_{b=1}^4 \Lambda_b^a \right) \left(\sum_{J=1}^{20} \Gamma_J^I \right) x^{bJ}. \quad (2.27)$$

The $SO(20)$ symmetry corresponds to the arbitrariness in the choice of the basis of Kahler deformations while $S0(4) \sim SU^2(2)$ corresponds to the rotation symmetry of the hyperKahler 2- form isotriplet.

Seen that $SO(4)$ symmetry of the moduli space (2.3); in particular its $SU(2)$ symmetry subgroup, play a so important role in the study of 6D supersymmetric field theory

limit of 10D type IIA string on K3, an immediate goal is to implement this symmetry in the formalism as a manifest covariance. A priori, one can imagine different, but equivalent, ways to do it. Two methods seem particularly interesting especially for the study of black objects in 6D dimensions and their attractor mechanism. These methods are given by:

(1) Matrix formulation which has been motivated by the use of quaternions to deal with eq(2.3). In this method, the $SU(2)$ symmetry is captured by the Pauli matrices,

$$\sigma^1, \sigma^2, \sigma^3, \quad (2.28)$$

which, as it is well known, obey as well as a 2D Clifford algebra that is used to realize the three complex structures \mathbf{i} , \mathbf{j} and $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{i} \wedge \mathbf{j}$ of the quaternions. This algebraic method will be developed in the present paper.

(2) Geometric method based on the geometrisation of $SU(2)$ symmetry. Instead of the Pauli matrices, the generators of the $SU(2)$ algebra (2.5) are realized in this method as follows

$$\begin{aligned} D^{++} &= \sum_{i=1}^2 u^{+i} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{-i}}, \\ D^{--} &= \sum_{i=1}^2 u^{-i} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{+i}}, \\ D^0 &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \left(u^{+i} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{+i}} - u^{-i} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{-i}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

This approach has been motivated by the harmonic superspace method used for the study of 4D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric field theories [34, 35, 36, 37]. We suspect that this method to be the natural framework to deal with the study of special hyperKähler d -geometry and the corresponding hyperKähler metrics building [40, 41]. This method is powerful; but requires introducing more technicalities; it will be considered elsewhere [44].

3 Matrix Formulation

The key idea of the algebraic method we will develop in what follows to deal with special hyperkähler geometry may be summarized as follows:

First identify the $SU(2)$ and $SU(2)'$ subgroup factors of $SO(4)$ so that the real 4-vector of $SO(4)$ splits under the $\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2}$ spin representation of $SU(2)$ as a isosinglet and isotriplet; i.e

$$4 = 1 \oplus 3. \quad (3.1)$$

Then think about $SU(2)$ as an algebraic structure that allows to put the isotriplet $\mathbf{J} = (J^1, J^2, J^3)$ into a traceless hermitian 2×2 matrix

$$\mathbf{J} = \mathcal{J} - \left(\frac{1}{2} (\text{Tr} \mathcal{J}) \right) \mathbf{I}_2, \quad \text{Tr} \mathbf{J} = 0 \quad . \quad (3.2)$$

This method has several advantages mainly given by:

- (i) the similarity with the usual analysis of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ black holes in $4D$ [15, 16].
- (ii) the power of the spin $\frac{1}{2}$ representation of the $su(2)$ algebra to deal with $su(2)$ tensor analysis.

This method has also dis-advantages mainly associated with the fact that the basic objects, in particular the moduli space variables, are non commuting matrices. This property is not a technical difficulty; it captures in fact the novelties brought by the hyperKähler geometry with respect to the standard Kähler one.

3.1 Quaternionized HyperKähler 2-Form

The main lines of the matrix formulation towards the study of the special hyper-Kähler d - *geometry* may be summarized in the three following points:

- (1) Represent the three closed Kähler 2-forms J^1 , J^2 and J^3 by a traceless hermitian 2×2 matrix as shown below

$$\mathbf{J} = \begin{pmatrix} J^3 & J^1 + iJ^2 \\ J^1 - iJ^2 & -J^3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.3)$$

or equivalently

$$\mathbf{J} = \sum_{i=1}^3 J_i \sigma^i \quad (3.4)$$

with $\mathbf{J}^+ = \mathbf{J}$, $d\mathbf{J} = 0$, and where we have set

$$\Omega^{(2,0)} = J^1 + iJ^2, \quad \Omega^{(0,2)} = J^1 - iJ^2, \quad \Omega^{(1,1)} = J^3. \quad (3.5)$$

The σ^i 's in eq(3.4) are the standard 2×2 Pauli matrices given by:

$$\sigma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.6)$$

- (2) Quaternionify the isotriplet 1- form \mathbf{J} by the implementation of the NS-NS B- field on the 2-cycles. Setting

$$B^{NS} \mathbf{I}_{2 \times 2} = \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.7)$$

where $I_{2 \times 2}$ is the 2×2 identity matrix, which will be dropped now on for simplicity of notations, the *quaternionified* closed hyperkahler 2-form reads as follows

$$\mathcal{J}_+ = B^{NS} + i \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 J^i \sigma^i \right), \quad (3.8)$$

or in a condensed form ($B^{NS} = B$) as

$$\mathcal{J}_+ = B + i\sigma\mathbf{J}, \quad (3.9)$$

with $d\mathcal{J}_+ = 0$. We also have

$$\mathcal{J}_- = B - i\sigma\mathbf{J}, \quad (\mathcal{J}_+)^+ = \mathcal{J}_- \quad (3.10)$$

as well as

$$B = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\mathcal{J}_\pm), \quad J^i = \mp \frac{i}{2} \text{Tr}(\mathcal{J}_\pm \sigma^i). \quad (3.11)$$

Note in passing that along with eqs(3.9), one may also quaternionify the hyperKahler 2-form \mathbf{J} by using the two following real quantities,

$$\mathcal{K}_0 = B + \sigma\mathbf{J}, \quad \mathcal{L}_0 = B - \sigma\mathbf{J}, \quad \sigma\mathbf{J} = \sum_{i=1}^3 J^i \sigma^i, \quad (3.12)$$

that is without the complex number i in front of $\sigma\mathbf{J}$. These two extra objects are self adjoints and lead basically to a *vector like* theory with strong constraints on the Kahler potential. Though interesting for a complete study, we will restrict our discussion to considering only \mathcal{J}_+ and \mathcal{J}_- for the following reasons:

- (i) \mathcal{J}_\pm are enough to define the components of the quaternion (3.11).
- (ii) \mathcal{J}_\pm are enough to have a reality condition for building the (hyper) Kahler potential.
- (iii) \mathcal{J}_\pm exhibit a striking parallel with the complex Kahler geometry of 10D type IIA superstring on the Calabi-Yau threefolds. There, the complexified Kahler 2-form is given by

$$\mathbf{K}_\pm = B^{NS} \pm i\Omega^{(1,1)}. \quad (3.13)$$

- (iv) \mathcal{J}_\pm allow to define a "holomorphic" prepotential \mathcal{G} in same manner as in 10D type IIA superstring on Calabi-Yau threefolds.

However a complete analysis would also take into account the relations (3.12) as well. We will be give a comment on the effect of implementing the quantities (3.12) in the game later on.

- (3) Then require that observable quantities such as hyperkahler potential \mathcal{H} , and the "holomorphic" prepotential \mathcal{G} , to be invariant under the following $SU(2)$ gauge transformations,

$$\mathcal{J}'_\pm = \mathcal{U}^+ \mathcal{J}_\pm \mathcal{U}, \quad (3.14)$$

where \mathcal{U} is an arbitrary unitary 2×2 matrix of $SU(2)$. In other words, thinking about the hyperkahler potential \mathcal{H} as a hermitian function $\mathcal{H}(w^+, w^-)$ on the quaternionic moduli

$$w^\pm = \int_{C_2} \mathcal{J}_\pm, \quad (3.15)$$

to be introduced with details later on, we should have

$$\mathcal{H}(w^{+\prime}, w^{-\prime}) = \mathcal{H}(w^+, w^-), \quad (3.16)$$

where $w^{\pm\prime}$ are the transform of w^\pm under $SU(2)$ group. A similar statement can be said about the holomorphic prepotential \mathcal{G} .

Notice that, though very interesting, this method has also some weak points. One of the difficulties of this method is that derivatives $\partial_{-I} = \frac{\partial}{\partial w^{+I}}$ and $\partial_{+I} = \frac{\partial}{\partial w^{-I}}$ with respect to w^+ and w^- have non trivial torsions which make the building of the hyperkahler metric following from the potential \mathcal{H} a non easy task. The point is that by defining the derivatives with respect to w^+ and w^- ,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{-I} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial w^{+I}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^I} - \frac{i}{3} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}_I \right), \\ \partial_{+I} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial w^{-I}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^I} + \frac{i}{3} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}_I \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

which satisfy

$$\frac{\partial w^{+J}}{\partial w^{+I}} = \delta_I^J, \quad \frac{\partial w^{-J}}{\partial w^{+I}} = 0 \quad (3.18)$$

and so on, one can check that their commutators $[\partial_{-I}, \partial_{+J}]$ and $[\partial_{\pm I}, \partial_{\pm J}]$ are non zero. This property puts strong restrictions on the differential analysis using w^+ and w^- matrices as basic variables. This difficulty, which is due to the use of Pauli matrices that do not commute,

$$\sigma^i \sigma^j - \sigma^j \sigma^i = i \sum_{k=1}^3 \epsilon^{ijk} \sigma^k, \quad (3.19)$$

can be overcome by using a geometric representation of $SU(2)$ relying on the duality $SU(2) \sim S^3$. But this method is beyond the scope of present study. We refer to [44] for more details.

Before going ahead, we would like to comment on the parallel between the Kahler and hyperKahler geometries. This study will be helpful when we consider the building of the potential \mathcal{H} and the "holomorphic" prepotential $\mathcal{G}(w)$.

3.2 Kahler/HyperKahler correspondence

We start by recalling that hyperKahler manifolds is a particular subset of complex $2n$ dimensional Kahler ones. To study special hyperKahler d -geometry of the moduli

space of 10D type IIA superstring on the K3 surface, we shall then use the matrix formulation presented above and mimic the method made for the case of special Kahler *d-geometry* of type IIA superstring on Calabi-Yau threefolds. Here we first give a general correspondence between Kahler and hyperKahler geometries. Then we make comments on their geometric interpretations, in particular the issue regarding the realization of the central charges of the 4D/6D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superalgebras, the D2-brane wrapping 2-cycles and corresponding potentials.

3.2.1 Type IIA superstring on CY3

In studying the moduli space of 10D type IIA superstring on Calabi-Yau threefolds, we have few geometric objects that play a central role. Some of these basic quantities and their corresponding physical interpretations are collected in the following table:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Kahler 2- form: } \Omega^{(1,1)} = \overline{\Omega^{(1,1)}} \\ \text{Complexified: } \mathbf{K}_{\pm} = B^{NS} \pm i\Omega^{(1,1)} \\ \text{Volume form } \Omega^{(3,0)} \wedge \Omega^{(0,3)} \end{array} \right\} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{real moduli: } x = \int_{C_2} \Omega^{(1,1)} \\ \text{complex: } z = \int_{C_2} \mathbf{K}_+ \\ \text{Kahler potential: } \mathcal{K}(z, \bar{z}) \end{array} \right. \quad (3.20)$$

Here $\mathbf{K}_+ = B^{NS} + i\Omega^{(1,1)}$ is the complexification of the Kahler 2- form $\Omega^{(1,1)}$ and \mathbf{K}_- is its complex conjugate $\overline{(\mathbf{K}_+)}$. We also have the usual globally defined complex holomorphic 3- form of the CY3 $\Omega^{(3,0)}$ together with its antiholomorphic partner $\Omega^{(0,3)}$. These quantities play an important role in type IIB superstring on the mirror of the Calabi-Yau threefold [38]

In the type IIA picture we are considering here, the Kahler deformations of the CY3 metric are parameterized by complex numbers

$$z^I = y^I + ix^I \quad (3.21)$$

which on physical side describe the vevs of the scalar fields in the 4D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Maxwell gauge supermultiplets. The real numbers x^I are the area of the 2- cycle C_2^I inside the CY3 and y^I are the real fluxes of the NS-NS B- field through the C_2^I . Notice that on the moduli space of 10D type IIA on CY3, the Kahler form $\mathbf{J} = \Omega^{(1,1)}$, the NS-NS B- field B^{NS} and the complexified Kahler form \mathbf{K}_+ can be respectively defined as follows:

$$\mathbf{J} = \sum_{I=1}^{h_{\text{CY3}}^{(1,1)}} x^I J_I, \quad B^{NS} = \sum_{I=1}^{h_{\text{CY3}}^{(1,1)}} y^I J_I, \quad \mathbf{K}_+ = \sum_{I=1}^{h_{\text{CY3}}^{(1,1)}} z^I J_I, \quad (3.22)$$

where $\{J_I\}$ is a canonical basis of real 2-forms normalized as

$$\int_{C_2^I} J_K = \delta_K^I, \quad I, K = 1, \dots, h_{\text{CY3}}^{(1,1)}. \quad (3.23)$$

Along with these objects, we also define three more interesting quantities:

(i) the particular real 2-cycle C_2 given by the following integral linear combination

$$C_2 = \sum_I q_I C_2^I, \quad (3.24)$$

with q_I integers. A D2-brane wrapping C_2 splits in general as the sum of q_I components wrapping the basis C_2^I . The charge q_I is then interpreted as the number of D2-branes wrapping C_2^I .

(ii) the real geometric area of C_2 given by,

$$Z_e = \int_{C_2} \Omega^{(1,1)}, \quad (3.25)$$

which, by using above relations, can be put in the explicit form

$$Z_e = \sum_{I=1}^{h_{CY3}^{(1,1)}} q_I x^I. \quad (3.26)$$

Up to an overall factor of the tension, this Z_e is just *the mass* of a D2- brane wrapping C_2 eq(3.24) and is interpreted as the electric central charge of the 4D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superalgebra.

(iii) in type IIB superstring set up, the Kahler potential $\mathcal{K}(x, \bar{x})$ reads in terms of the holomorphic 3- forms as follows.

$$\mathcal{K}(x, \bar{x}) = i \int_{CY3} \Omega^{(3,0)} \wedge \Omega^{(0,3)}. \quad (3.27)$$

By using the usual symplectic basis (A^μ, B_μ) of real 3-cycles within the Calabi-Yau threefold and the complex moduli

$$x^\mu = \int_{A^\mu} \Omega^{(3,0)}, \quad F_\mu = \int_{B_\mu} \Omega^{(3,0)}, \quad (3.28)$$

together with their complex conjugates $\overline{(x^\mu)}$ and $\overline{(F_\mu)}$, we can put $\mathcal{K}(x, \bar{x})$ in the following explicit form

$$\mathcal{K}(x, \bar{x}) = i \sum_{\mu=1}^{h_{CY3}^{2,1}} \left(x^\mu \overline{(F_\mu)} - \overline{(x^\mu)} F_\mu \right). \quad (3.29)$$

In type IIA superstring on CY3, the Kahler potential $\mathcal{K}(z, \bar{z})$ reads in terms of Kahler form

$$\mathcal{K}(z, \bar{z}) = \int_{CY3} \Omega^{(1,1)} \wedge \Omega^{(1,1)} \wedge \Omega^{(1,1)}, \quad (3.30)$$

where now the z 's stand for the 2-cycles area. We also have $2i\Omega^{(1,1)} = (\mathbf{K}_+ - \mathbf{K}_-)$.

3.2.2 Type IIA superstring on K3

The relations given above have quite similar partners for the case the moduli space of 10D type IIA superstring on the K3 surface. For the analog of the table eq(3.20), we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{HyperKahler- form: } \overline{J^{(ij)}} = \epsilon_{ik}\epsilon_{jl}J^{(kl)} \\ \text{Quaternionified : } J = B^{NS} + i\sigma J \\ \text{Volume form: } \sigma J \wedge \sigma J \end{array} \right. \leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{real moduli: } x\sigma = \int_{C^2} \sigma J \\ \text{Quaternion: } w = \int_{C^2} J \\ \text{Hyperpotential } \mathcal{H}(w, \overline{w}) \end{array} \right. ,$$

where we have used the 2×2 matrix representation

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{J} = \sigma^1 J^1 + \sigma^2 J^2 + \sigma^3 J^3, \quad (3.31)$$

to represent the real isotriplet 2-form $J^{(ij)}$ and where $B^{NS} + i\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{J}$ is the quaternionified hyperKahler 2-form. Notice that $B^{NS} + i\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{J}$ can in general be written as a spin $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ representation J^{ia} of the group $SU(2) \times SU'(2)$. Notice also that on the moduli space (2.3) of 10D type IIA superstring on K3, the hyperKahler form $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{J}$, the NS-NS B-field B^{NS} and the quaternionified hyperKahler form \mathcal{J} can be defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{J} &= \sum_{I=1}^{h_{\text{K3}}^{(1,1)}} J_I \mathbf{x}^I \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \\ B^{NS} &= \sum_{I=1}^{h_{\text{CY3}}^{(1,1)}} y^I J_I, \\ \mathcal{J}_+ &= \sum_{I=1}^{h_{\text{CY3}}^{(1,1)}} (y^I + i\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{x}^I) J_I, \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

where $\{J_I\}$ is a canonical basis of real 2-forms normalized as:

$$\int_{C_2^I} J_K = \delta_K^I, \quad I, K = 1, \dots, h_{\text{K3}}^{(1,1)}. \quad (3.33)$$

Like for eqs(3.24-3.26), we define the integral 2-cycle C_2 of the complex surface K3,

$$C_2 = \sum_I q_I C_2^I, \quad (3.34)$$

where q_I is the number of a D2-brane wrapping C_2^I . This special cycle allows to compute the central charge isotriplet $Z_e^{(ij)}$. Indeed, notice first that like the $J^{(ij)}$ hyperKahler 2-form, we can use the Pauli matrices to define $Z^{(ij)}$ isotriplet as a hermitian traceless 2×2 matrix $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{Z}$ eq(3.31). So we have

$$Z_e^{(ij)} = \int_{C_2} J^{(ij)}, \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{Z}_e = \int_{C_2} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{J}, \quad (3.35)$$

which up on integration leads to

$$\sum_{m=1}^3 Z_e^m \boldsymbol{\sigma}^m = \sum_{m=1}^3 \left(\sum_{I=1}^{h_{\text{K3}}^{(1,1)}} q_I x^{mI} \right) \boldsymbol{\sigma}^m, \quad (3.36)$$

from which one reads the explicit expression of \mathbf{Z}_e^m namely

$$Z_e^m = \sum_{I=1}^{h_{\text{K3}}^{(1,1)}} q_I x^{mI}, \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathbf{Z}_e = \sum_{I=1}^{h_{\text{K3}}^{(1,1)}} q_I \mathbf{x}^I. \quad (3.37)$$

Notice that in presence of the *NS-NS* B-field flux, one has extra contribution associated with the isosinglet Z^0 as shown below:

$$Z^0 = \sum_{I=1}^{h_{\text{K3}}^{(1,1)}} q_I y^I. \quad (3.38)$$

The isosinglet Z_0 and the isotriplet $Z^{(ij)}$ can be combined into the real four dimensional quantity

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int_{C_2} \mathcal{J}. \quad (3.39)$$

Using the moduli

$$w^{iaI} = \int_{C_2^I} J^{ia}, \quad G_I^{ia} = \int_{\text{K3}} J^{ia} \wedge J_I, \quad i, a = 1, 2, \quad (3.40)$$

where the C_2^I 's is a real basis of real 2-cycles of the K3 surface, one can write down the basic relations for the special hyperKahler geometry.

Because of the $SU(2) \times SU'(2)$ tensor structure, the generalized hyperKahler 2- form is captured by the real 2-form J^{ia} and so the general object we can write down is given by,

$$\mathcal{H}^{ijab}(w, G) = \int_{\text{K3}} (J^{ia} \wedge J^{jb}). \quad (3.41)$$

or equivalently

$$\mathcal{H}^{ijab}(w, G) = \sum_{I=1}^{h_{\text{K3}}^{(1,1)}} \left(w^{iaI} G_I^{jb} + w^{jbI} G_I^{ia} \right). \quad (3.42)$$

Notice that \mathcal{H}^{ijab} is a reducible tensor and can be decomposed in four components as follows:

$$\mathcal{H}^{ijab} = \epsilon^{ij} \epsilon^{ab} \mathcal{H}_0 + \epsilon^{ij} \mathcal{H}_1^{(ab)} + \epsilon^{ab} \mathcal{H}_2^{(ij)} + \mathcal{H}_3^{(ij)(ab)} \quad (3.43)$$

with $\mathcal{H}_0 = 2 \sum_{I=1}^{h_{K3}^{1,1}} w^{iaI} G_{iaI}$ and,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_1^{(ab)} &= \sum_{I=1}^{h_{K3}^{1,1}} (w^{iaI} G_{iI}^b + w^{ibI} G_{iI}^a), \\
\mathcal{H}_2^{(ij)} &= \sum_{I=1}^{h_{K3}^{1,1}} (w^{iaI} G_{aI}^j + w^{jaI} G_{aI}^i), \\
\mathcal{H}_3^{(ij)(ab)} &= \sum_{I=1}^{h_{K3}^{1,1}} (w^{iaI} G_I^{jb} + w^{ibI} G_I^{ja} + w^{jaI} G_I^{ib} + w^{jbI} G_I^{ia}),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.44}$$

By identifying the two $SU(2)$ group factors, \mathcal{H}^{ijab} becomes \mathcal{H}^{ijkl} and can be reduced as follows

$$\mathcal{H}^{ijkl} = \epsilon^{i(j} \epsilon^{kl)} \mathcal{H}_0 + \left(\epsilon^{i(j} \mathcal{H}_1^{kl)} + \epsilon^{i(j} \mathcal{H}_1^{lk)} \right) + \mathcal{H}_3^{(ijkl)} \tag{3.45}$$

We will develop further these issues later by using the 2×2 matrix formulation; see section 5, all we need is to keep in mind the two following:

- (i) the correspondence between Kahler and HyperKahler manifolds and
- (ii) the role played by the leading $SU(2)$ representations in specifying the hyperKaler geometry.

We end this subsection by noting that in the case of moduli space of $10D$ type IIA superstring compactification on Calabi-Yau manifolds, the connection between supersymmetry and complex geometry reads for the Higgs and Coulomb branches of $4D$ and $6D$ supersymmetric field theory limits as follows:

Moduli space	4D $\mathcal{N}=1$	4D $\mathcal{N}=2$	6D $\mathcal{N}=1$	6D $\mathcal{N}=(1, 1)$
Higgs Branch	Kahler	hyperkahler	hyperkahler	-
Coulomb Branch	-	Kahler	-	hyperkahler

(3.46)

Notice in passing that in present study we are interested in the hyperKahler structure of $6D \mathcal{N} = 2$ theory. This is also given by the Higgs branch of $6D \mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric theory in the same spirit as does Kahler geometry in $4D \mathcal{N} = 2$ and $4D \mathcal{N} = 1$ theories.

4 Quaternionic Geometry in Matrix Formulation

We start by setting up the problem of *special hyperkahler d-geometry* for the moduli space of type IIA superstring on K3. Then we consider the way to solve it by using the matrix formulation.

4.1 Moduli Space $M_{\text{IIA}/\text{K3}}$

We first give some general on the moduli space $M_{\text{IIA}/\text{K3}} = M$ of the type IIA superstring on the K3 surface with fixed string coupling constant [28, 29, 30, 31, 32]. Then we describe M by using quaternionic moduli.

4.1.1 Spectrum 10D Type IIA on the K3 surface

To begin recall that the spectrum of 10D Type IIA superstring has two sectors: perturbative (fields) and non perturbative (D2n-branes, $n = 0, 1, 2, 3$ sources of RR fields).

10D Supermultiplet

In the perturbative massless sector, the bosonic fields of 10D type IIA superstring spectrum are given by

$$\phi_{dil}, \quad G_{(MN)}, \quad B_{[MN]}, \quad \mathcal{A}_M, \quad \mathcal{C}_{MNK}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $M, N, K = 0, \dots, 9$ are vector indices of $SO(1, 9)$. Altogether have a total number of 128 on shell degrees of freedom. Their fermionic partners involve two 10D-gravitinos and two 10D-gauginos. These are 10D Majorana-Weyl spinors. For simplicity, we shall deal with the bosonic sector only.

The compactification of type IIA superstring on the K3 surface is obtained by breaking space-time symmetry $SO(1, 9)$ down to the subgroup $SO(1, 5) \times SU(2)$ which is contained in $SO(1, 5) \times SO(4)$. Degrees of freedom of type IIA string on the K3 surface are determined by retaining only half of the original ones since K3 preserves only half of the 32 original supersymmetries.

6D Supermultiplets

In six dimensions, the spectrum of bosonic fields with non zero spin is formally given by,

$$G_{\mu\nu}, \quad B_{\mu\nu}, \quad \mathcal{A}_\mu, \quad \mathcal{C}_{\mu\nu\rho}, \quad \mathcal{C}_{\mu mn}, \quad 0 \leq \mu, \nu, \rho \leq 5, \quad (4.2)$$

where $G_{\mu\nu}$ is the 6D metric, $B_{\mu\nu}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mu\nu\rho}$ are the 6D antisymmetric gauge fields. We also have the 6D graviphoton \mathcal{A}_μ and the Maxwell gauge fields $\mathcal{C}_{\mu mn}$ following from the compactification of the RR 3-form on the real 2-cycles of K3 surface. These real 6D 1-forms have two indices on the K3 surface and should be thought of as

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mu mn} \equiv \mathcal{C}_\mu^I \quad I = 1, \dots, 22. \quad (4.3)$$

For the spectrum of the $6D$ scalars, we have in addition to the $6D$ dilaton ϕ_{dil} , the following

$$\phi_{dil}, B_{mn}, G_{mn}^{\text{kahler}}, G_{mn}^{\text{complex}}, \overline{G}_{mn}^{\text{complex}}. \quad (4.4)$$

They describe the scalars B_{mn} resulting from the compactification of the NS-NS 2-form on the real 2-cycles of the K3 surface. These B_{mn} 's and the field moduli $G_{mn} = \{G_{mn}^{\text{kahler}}, G_{mn}^{\text{complex}}\}$ following from the compactification of metric field should be understood as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{mn}^{\text{kahler}} &\equiv G_{\text{kahler}}^I, & I &= 1, \dots, 20, \\ G_{mn}^{\text{complex}} &\equiv G_{\text{complex}}^J, & J &= 1, \dots, 19, \\ B_{mn} &\equiv B^K, & K &= 1, \dots, 22. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Notice that the field moduli G_{kahler}^I and the complex G_{complex}^J stand for Kahler and complex deformations of K3 metric with $SU(2)$ holonomy group.

On the other hand, viewed from $6D$ $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity low energy limit, these fields combine as follows:

(i) Gravity:

$(32 + 32)$ on shell degrees of freedom for the $6D$ $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gravity supermultiplet whose bosonic sector is as follows:

$$G_{\mu\nu}, B_{\mu\nu}, \mathcal{A}_\mu^{(ij)}, \mathcal{C}_{\mu\nu\rho}, \phi_{dil}, \quad (4.6)$$

involving, besides the 3-form $H = dB$, the gauge field strengths:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_2^{(ij)} &= d\mathcal{A}_1^{(ij)}, & \mathcal{F}_4^{(ij)} &= *\mathcal{F}_2^{(ij)} \\ \mathcal{F}_4^0 &= d\mathcal{C}_3, & \mathcal{F}_2^0 &= *\mathcal{F}_4^0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Notice that $\mathcal{A}_\mu^{(ij)}$, $\mathcal{F}_2^{(ij)}$ and $*\mathcal{F}_2^{(ij)}$ are isotriplets and all remaining others singlets.

(ii) Coulomb:

$20 \times (8 + 8)$ on shell degrees of freedom for the six dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric Maxwell multiplets with bosonic sector

$$\mathcal{C}_\mu^I, w^{\pm I}, \quad I = 1, \dots, 20. \quad (4.8)$$

Setting $\mathcal{C}_1^I = dx^\mu \mathcal{C}_\mu^I$, the corresponding gauge field strengths and their 6D duals are as follows:

$$\mathcal{F}_2^I = d\mathcal{C}_1^I, \quad \mathcal{F}_4^I = *\mathcal{F}_2^I, \quad I = 1, \dots, 20. \quad (4.9)$$

These $6d$ $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supermultiplets are $SU(2)$ singlets but transforms as a vector under $SO(20)$. They can be nicely described in the $6d$ $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superspace formalism by still maintaining $SU(2)$ isometry. Below, we give some details.

4.1.2 Supersymmetry in 6D

In six dimensional space-time, one distinguishes two supersymmetric algebras: (i) the 6D $\mathcal{N} = (2, 0)$ chiral superalgebra and (ii) the 6D $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ (6D $\mathcal{N} = 2$) non chiral one given by eq(2.16). More details on the graded commutation relations of these superalgebras including central extensions are exhibited in the appendix. What we need here is the physical representations of the 6D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superalgebra and the field theoretical way to deal with them.

In the language of 6D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric representations, 6D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supermultiplets ($R_{6D}^{\mathcal{N}=2}$) split into pairs of 6D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ representations [39] as given below,

$$R_{6D}^{\mathcal{N}=2} = R_{6D}^{\mathcal{N}=1} \oplus R_{6D}^{\mathcal{N}=1}. \quad (4.10)$$

To fix the idea, we consider here after the 6D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge multiplet $V_{6D}^{\mathcal{N}=2}$ which, as given above, consists of the following on shell degrees of freedom,

$$V_{6D}^{\mathcal{N}=2} = \left(1, \frac{1}{2}, 0^4 \right), \quad (4.11)$$

where 1, $\frac{1}{2}$ and 0 stand for the spin of the component field content and the powers for their numbers. The existence of four scalar gauge fields (*sgauginos*) within the 6D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ reflects in some sense the four central charges Z^{ij} of the underlying superalgebra eq(2.16). In the 6D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ formalism, the $V_{6D}^{\mathcal{N}=2}$ vector multiplet splits into a vector $V_{6D}^{\mathcal{N}=1}$ and a hypermultiplet $H_{6D}^{\mathcal{N}=1}$ as follows

$$V_{6D}^{\mathcal{N}=2} = V_{6D}^{\mathcal{N}=1} \oplus H_{6D}^{\mathcal{N}=1}, \quad (4.12)$$

where

$$V_{6D}^{\mathcal{N}=1} = \left(1, \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad H_{6D}^{\mathcal{N}=1} = \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0^4 \right). \quad (4.13)$$

Notice that $V_{6D}^{\mathcal{N}=1}$ has no scalar in six dimensions while $H_{6D}^{\mathcal{N}=1}$ has four scalars capturing the hyperkahler structure of the Coulomb branch of 6D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry. Notice also that in the 4D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ language, these multiplets reduce generally to

$$V_{4D}^{\mathcal{N}=2} = \left(1, \frac{1}{2}, 0^2 \right), \quad H_{4D}^{\mathcal{N}=2} = \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0^4 \right). \quad (4.14)$$

Notice moreover that in the case of a torus compactification from 6D down to 4D, 6D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry leads to 4D $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetry and the gauge multiplet $V_{6D}^{\mathcal{N}=2}$ gives $V_{4D}^{\mathcal{N}=4}$ with on shell degrees of freedom,

$$V_{4D}^{\mathcal{N}=4} = \left(1, \frac{1}{2}, 0^6 \right). \quad (4.15)$$

corresponding precisely to the combination of $V_{4D}^{\mathcal{N}=2}$ and $H_{4D}^{\mathcal{N}=2}$ multiplets. The six scalars involved in $V_{4D}^{\mathcal{N}=4}$ are exactly the six dimensional vector moduli that we encounter in the study of the moduli space

$$\mathbf{M}_{het/T^6} = \frac{SO(6, 22)}{SO(6) \times SO(22)}, \quad (4.16)$$

of the torus compactification of 10D heterotic superstring on T^6 .

The splitting of $6D \mathcal{N} = 2$ representations into pairs of $6D \mathcal{N} = 1$ ones has a remarkable parallel with the reduction $4D \mathcal{N} = 2$ into $4D \mathcal{N} = 1$ representations. This is a crucial technical point that can be used for the study of the Coulomb branch $4D \mathcal{N} = 2$ theories and black holes in type II string on CY3. This parallel can be learnt on the following correspondence,

vector multiplet in 4D $\mathcal{N}=2$	\rightarrow	chiral multiplet in 4D $\mathcal{N}=1$
vector multiplet in 6D $\mathcal{N}=(1, 1)$	\rightarrow	hypermultiplet in 6D $\mathcal{N}=1$

It is interesting to note that one can still exhibit manifestly the $SU(2)$ symmetry within $6D \mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry. This property follows from the use of by the supercharges Q_α^i eq(2.23) as the generators of the $6D \mathcal{N} = 1$ algebra whose anticommutation relations can be directly read from eq(2.16) as shown below,

$$\begin{aligned} \{Q_\alpha^i, Q_\beta^j\} &= \epsilon^{ij} P_{[\alpha\beta]}, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, 4 \\ [P_{[\alpha\beta]}, Q_\gamma^i] &= 0, \quad i, j = 1, 2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

Here $P_{[\alpha\beta]} = \sum_{\mu=0}^5 (\Gamma^\mu)_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu$ is the 6D- energy momentum vector and the Γ^μ 's are the 6D gamma matrices.

One can also develop a $6D \mathcal{N} = 1$ superspace formalism to describe supersymmetric multiplets in terms of superfields $\Phi = \Phi(x^\mu, \theta^{\alpha i})$. For instance the $6D \mathcal{N} = 1$ Maxwell superfield in the Wess- Zumino gauge is defined, using 6D Grassmann variables $\theta^{\alpha i}$, by a real isotriplet superfield $V^{(ij)}$ with θ - expansion given, in the Wess-Zumino gauge, by

$$V^{(ij)} = \theta^{\alpha(i} \theta^{j)\beta} A_{[\alpha\beta]} + \theta^{\alpha(i} \lambda_\alpha^{j)} + (\theta^4 D)^{(ij)}, \quad (4.18)$$

where $(\theta^4 D)^{(ij)}$ stands for $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \theta^{\alpha(i} \theta^{j)\beta} \theta^{\gamma k} \theta^{l)\delta} D_{(kl)}$. Here $A_{[\alpha\beta]} \sim A_\mu$ is the 6D gauge field, λ_α^i its gaugino partners and $D^{(kl)}$ an isotriplet of auxiliary fields. Quite similar quantities can be written down for the hypermultiplets which are also described by superfields in $SU(2)$ representations; for details see [33, 34, 39]. Note finally that a more convenient way to deal with $6D \mathcal{N} = 1$ vector and hypermultiplet representations ($6D \mathcal{N} = 2$ vector multiplet) is to use $4D \mathcal{N} = 2$ superspace obtained by decomposing 6D vectors in

4D vectors and 2 scalars and 6D spinors $\theta^{\alpha i}$ into a 4D Weyl spinor θ^{ai} and its complex conjugate $\bar{\theta}_{\dot{a}i}$,

$$(\theta^{\alpha i})_{1 \leq \alpha \leq 4} \quad \rightarrow \quad (\theta^{ai}, \bar{\theta}_{\dot{a}i})_{a=1,2}. \quad (4.19)$$

It is an interesting task to study this reduction in the framework of the harmonic superspace formalism [34] where hyperkahler geometry is nicely described in terms of $4D$ $\mathcal{N} = 2$ hypermultiplets couplings.

4.2 Quaternionic Moduli in 6D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Gauge Theory

From the set up of 10D type IIA superstring on a generic K3, the real 80 degrees of freedom of \mathbf{M} (2.3) are arranged as follows:

(i) Twenty (20) Kahler deformations to be denoted as x^{0I} . They can be expressed in terms of the Kahler structure of the K3 surface captured by the Kahler 2-form $\Omega^{(1,1)} = J^0$, as follows,

$$x^{0I} = \int_{C_2^I} J^0, \quad I = 1, \dots, 20. \quad (4.20)$$

Here $C_2^I \in H_2(K3, R)$ is a real basis of 2-cycles of the K3 surface. So x^{0I} can be thought of as the real area of the 2- cycle C_2^I . Notice that using the basis $\{J_I^0\}$ of real 2- forms normalized as

$$\delta_J^I = \int_{C_2^I} J_J^0, \quad (4.21)$$

one can invert the previous relation as follows

$$J^0 = \sum x^{0I} J_I^0. \quad (4.22)$$

Note in passing that the 20 Kahler moduli should be split as $20=1+19$. From $SU(2)$ representation theory, only one of these degrees of freedom is an isosinglet. The remaining 19 ones are components belonging to 19 isotriplets. The missing 2×19 moduli come from complex deformations to be considered in moment. Notice also that one can express the real volume of the K3 surface as follows

$$\mathcal{V}_{K3} = \int_{K3} J^0 \wedge J^0 = 2d_{IJ} x^{0I} x^{0J}, \quad d_{IJ} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{K3} J_I^0 \wedge J_J^0 \quad (4.23)$$

(ii) Nineteen (19) complex deformations to be denoted as x^{+I} and x^{-I} , that is thirty eight (38) real moduli,

$$x^{+I} = x^{1I} + ix^{2I}, \quad x^{-I} = x^{1I} - ix^{2I}, \quad I = 1, \dots, 19. \quad (4.24)$$

They can be expressed in terms of deformations of the holomorphic and antiholomorphic 2- forms $J^+ = \Omega^{(2,0)}$ and $J^- = \Omega^{(0,2)}$ as follows:

$$x^{+I} = \int_{C_2^I} J^+, \quad x^{-I} = \int_{C_2^I} J^-, \quad I = 1, \dots, 19. \quad (4.25)$$

Using the 2- form basis $\{J_I\}$, these relations can be also inverted as

$$J^+ = \sum x^{+I} J_I, \quad J^- = \sum x^{-I} J_I, \quad (4.26)$$

The $x^{\pm I}$ moduli may be interpreted as holomorphic volumes of the 2-cycles C_2^I . Indeed computing the volume of the K3 surface but now using the relation

$$\mathcal{V}_{K3} = \int_{K3} J^+ \wedge J^- = 2 \sum_{I,J=1}^2 d_{IJ} x^{+I} x^{-J}. \quad (4.27)$$

Then equating it with (4.23), we learn that the volume of \mathcal{V}_{K3} can be rewritten as,

$$\mathcal{V}_{K3} = d_{IJ} \left(\frac{1}{2} x^{+I} x^{-J} + \frac{1}{2} x^{-I} x^{+J} + x^{0I} x^{0J} \right) \quad (4.28)$$

showing that \mathcal{V}_{K3} is an $su(2)$ invariant and can be put in the form $\mathcal{V}_{K3} = d_{IJ} (\mathbf{x}^I \cdot \mathbf{x}^J)$.

(iii) 22 = (20 + 2) real moduli coming from the values of the B_{NS} field on 2-cycles of the K3 surface. It is given by the Betti number $b_2(K3) = h^{(2,0)} + h^{(0,2)} + h^{(1,1)}$ which is equal to 1 + 1 + (4 + 16). The first (4 + 16) = 20 moduli have same nature as Kahler deformations and are written as

$$y^{0I} = \int_{C_2^I} B_{NS}^0, \quad I = 1, \dots, 20. \quad (4.29)$$

The two extra ones should viewed as the 20-th complex moduli, that is

$$y_{21}^0 + iy_{22}^0 = x^{+20}, \quad y_{21}^0 - iy_{22}^0 = x^{-20}. \quad (4.30)$$

Notice that nineteen of the y^{0I} , say $I = 1, \dots, 19$, are isosinglets, and the remaining three namely $(y_{20}^0, y_{21}^0, y_{22}^0)$ can be combined as in eq(4.30) to form altogether an isotriplet; see also previous discussion concerning the Kahler form. This is a crucial point which we will encounter when we consider the uplifting to 7D.

To exhibit the hyperkahler structure of the moduli space of 10D type IIA superstring on the K3 surface and keeping in mind the above discussion, we can use the $SU(2)$ spin $\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2}$ representations to split the real 80 moduli as 20 isosinglets $\{y^{0I}\}$ plus 20 isotriplets $\{x^{-I}, x^{0I}, x^{+I}\}$:

$$80 = 20 \times 1 + 20 \times 3. \quad (4.31)$$

As such the real 80 moduli can be grouped into 20 quaternions w^{+I} as shown below

$$w_I^+ = y_I^0 + i \mathbf{x}_I \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \quad I = 1, \dots, 20, \quad (4.32)$$

together with there adjoint conjugates $w^{-I} = (w^{+I})^+$. Here y_I^0 should be thought of as y_I^0 times the identity matrix $I_{2 \times 2}$ and $\mathbf{x}_I \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ as

$$\mathbf{x}_I \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} = x_I^- \sigma^+ + x_I^0 \sigma^0 + x_I^+ \sigma^-, \quad (4.33)$$

with $\sigma^{0,\pm}$ being the usual 2×2 Pauli matrices satisfying both $SU(2)$ commutation relations and the 2D Clifford algebra anticommutations. In particular we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (i\sigma^1)^2 &= (i\sigma^2)^2 = (i\sigma^3)^2 = -1, \\ \sigma^\pm &= \sigma^1 \pm i\sigma^2, \quad \sigma^0 = \sigma^3. \end{aligned} \quad (4.34)$$

For later use, we define the adjoint conjugate³ of the w_I quaternions as $w_I^+ = y_I^0 - i\mathbf{x}_I \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}$. So the "real" and "imaginary" parts (see also footnote) of the w_I^\pm quaternions as given by,

$$\begin{aligned} y_I^0 &= \frac{w_I + w_I^+}{2}, \\ \mathbf{x}_I \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} &= \frac{w_I - w_I^+}{2i}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.35)$$

With these tools, it is not difficult to see that the moduli (4.20-4.25) can be rewritten as

$$\mathbf{x}^I \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} = \int_{C_2^I} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{J}, \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{J} = \sum_{m=1}^3 \sigma^{-m} J^m. \quad (4.36)$$

Introducing the following "quaternionified" 2-form, in analogy with the usual complexified 2-form in the Kahler geometry

$$\mathcal{J}_+ = B_{NS} + i\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{J}, \quad \mathcal{J}_- = B_{NS} - i\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{J}, \quad \mathcal{J}_- = (\mathcal{J}_+)^\dagger \quad (4.37)$$

we see that the $\{y^{0I}, x^{-I}, x^{0I}, x^{+I}\}$ moduli can be grouped altogether in quaternions as shown below

$$w^I = \int_{C_2^I} \mathcal{J}_+, \quad \bar{w}^I = \int_{C_2^I} \mathcal{J}_-, \quad (4.38)$$

where w^I stand for w^{+I} and $\bar{w}^I = w^{-I}$ for its adjoint conjugate. For later use, it is interesting to introduce the basis of quaternionic 2-forms $\{\mathcal{J}_I\}$ on the C_2^I -cycles. With these objects, the above relations may be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{J}_+ = \sum w^{+I} \mathcal{J}_I, \quad \mathcal{J}_- = \sum w^{-I} \mathcal{J}_I, \quad (4.39)$$

where we have used $\int_{C_2^I} \mathcal{J}_J = \delta_J^I$.

4.3 7D Uplifting

Large distance 7D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theory limit of 10D superstring and 11D M-theory compactifications can be obtained in different, but equivalent, ways. In particular,

³Notice that quaternions have three complex structures \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} and \mathbf{k} related as $\mathbf{i} \wedge \mathbf{j} = \mathbf{k}$. As such, one should have three kinds of complex conjugations, say one for \mathbf{i} ($\bar{\mathbf{i}} = -\mathbf{i}$), an other for \mathbf{j} ($\mathbf{j}^* = -\mathbf{j}$) and the combined one for \mathbf{k} ($\bar{\mathbf{k}} = -\mathbf{k}$, $\mathbf{k}^* = -\mathbf{k}$, $\bar{\mathbf{k}}^* = \mathbf{k}$). An aspect of this feature will be considered when we introduce harmonic space.

they are obtained by the three following routes:

- (1) compactification of eleven dimensional M-theory on the K3 surface.
- (2) compactification of 10D heterotic superstring on a real 3-torus.
- (3) uplifting 6D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theory to seven dimensions.

The last method is useful for studding $\mathcal{N} = 2$ extremal black objects in seven dimensions from six dimensional view. This way is in the same spirit used in the uplifting of 4D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theory to five dimensions. The 7D uplifting moduli space is obtained by putting appropriate constraint eqs on the 6D one. These constraint eqs, that remain to be worked out, have to reduce the real *eighty one* dimension moduli space of type IIA superstring on the K3 surface, namely

$$\frac{SO(4, 20)}{SO(4) \times SO(20)} \times R_+, \quad (4.40)$$

down to

$$\frac{SO(3, 19)}{SO(3) \times SO(19)} \times R_+. \quad (4.41)$$

This reduction corresponds to fix $(81 - 58) = 23$ real moduli.

In our matrix formulation, the 7D uplifting corresponds to put adequate constraint eqs on the quaternionic moduli $w^{\pm I}$. Using the analysis of *subsection 4.2*, it is not difficult to see that the real *23* constraint equations are obtained by:

- (i) killing the real *22* moduli coming from the NS-NS B-field on the 2-cycles of K3.
- (ii) fixing the *real volume* of the K3 surface.
- (iii) breaking the $SO(4) \sim SU(2) \times SU'(2)$ down to $SO(3) \sim SU(2)$.

This is achieved as follows: Since *nineteen* of the real *22* moduli the NS-NS B-field come as isosinglets, see discussion after eq(4.30), they are killed by the conditions

$$\text{Tr}(w^{\pm I}) = 0, \quad I = 1, \dots, 19. \quad (4.42)$$

The remaining three moduli appear as a isotriplet and are then killed by the vectorial condition

$$\text{Tr}_{SU(2)} \left(\int_{K3} (\sigma w^+ w^-) \right) = 0, \quad (4.43)$$

which, up on integration, gives:

$$\sum_{I, J=1}^{20} d_{IJ} \text{Tr}(\sigma^i w^{+I} w^{-I}) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (4.44)$$

The *23-rd* modulus is fixed by imposing a condition on the volume of the K3 surface as shown below,

$$\sum_{I, J=1}^{20} \text{Tr}(w^{+I} d_{IJ} w^{-I}) = \mathcal{V}_{K3} = \text{constant}, \quad (4.45)$$

where the constant can be taken as $\mathcal{V}_{K3} = 1$. Notice that the constraint relations (4.43-4.45) can be equivalently stated as follows:

$$\sum_{I,J} w^{+I} d_{IJ} w^{-I} = \frac{\mathcal{V}_{K3}}{2} I_2, \quad (4.46)$$

that is as a hermitian 2×2 matrix condition fixing four real degrees of freedom.

5 Computing the Potentials

The special hyperkahler potential \mathcal{H} , whose $SU(2)$ tensor structure has been obtained earlier eqs(3.43-3.45), and the prepotential $\mathcal{G}(w)$ will be obtained as follows:

- (1) Using the matrix formulation developed above; in particular the quaternionified 2-forms \mathcal{J}_\pm and the corresponding quaternionic moduli $w^{\pm I}$.
- (2) Mimicking the analysis for computing the Kahler potential of the moduli space of the 10D type IIA superstring on Calabi-Yau threefolds.

5.1 Special Hyperkahler potential \mathcal{H}

Extending the analysis made for the special Kahler geometry, one discovers that the special hyperKahler potential \mathcal{H} of the 10D type IIA superstring on the K3 surface can be given in terms of the integral on the volume 4-form

$$\mathbf{J} \wedge \mathbf{J}, \quad (5.1)$$

on the K3 surface. A priori, \mathcal{H} is not a simple number since, from $SU(2)$ group theoretical view, the tensor product $\mathbf{J} \otimes \mathbf{J}$ has a real nine dimension which decomposes in terms of $SU(2)$ irreducible representations as

$$J^n \otimes J^m = \delta^{nm} (J^r J_r) \oplus J^{[n} J^{m]} \oplus J^{(n} J^{m)}, \quad n, m = 1, 2, 3. \quad (5.2)$$

These factors are precisely the components given by eqs(3.43-3.45). Moreover, notice that since the wedge product $\mathbf{J} \wedge \mathbf{J}$ is symmetric in the interchange of the 2- form isotriplets \mathbf{J} , the antisymmetric part in the above decomposition should not contribute. As such $\mathbf{J} \wedge \mathbf{J}$ contains an isosinglet which we set as V_0 and a quintet which we denote as traceless and symmetric 3×3 matrix S . With these objects, we can show that the hyperKahler potential reads as

$$\mathcal{H} = Tr [\ln (V_0 - S)]. \quad (5.3)$$

Let us give details on the way \mathcal{H} can be built.

Using Pauli matrices, the volume 4- form $\mathbf{J} \wedge \mathbf{J}$ can be also written like $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{J} \wedge \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{J}$. Introducing the hermitian matrix,

$$\mathbf{V} = \int_{K3} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{J} \wedge \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{J}, \quad (5.4)$$

we can state the problem of the determination of the potential \mathcal{H} as follows,

$$\mathcal{H} = f(\mathbf{V}), \quad (5.5)$$

where f is some function that remains to be specified and should be invariant under $SO(4) \times SO(20)$. Before proceeding further, let us make some comments:

(1) The hyperKahler 2-form \mathbf{J} on the K3 surface is an isotriplet. The hermitian quantity \mathbf{V} is valued in the tensor algebra of two isotriplets which generally decomposes as follows

$$3 \times 3 = 1 + 3 + 5. \quad (5.6)$$

These irreducible components correspond, in the language of the tensor algebra of Pauli matrices, to

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \otimes \boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\mathbf{1}) \oplus (\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \oplus (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \otimes \boldsymbol{\sigma})_{sym} \quad (5.7)$$

where $(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \otimes \boldsymbol{\sigma})_{sym}$ stands for traceless symmetric product. They are respectively associated with isosinglet, isotriplet and isoquintet.

(2) Using eq(4.37),

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{J} = \frac{1}{2i} (\mathcal{J}_+ - \mathcal{J}_-), \quad (5.8)$$

we can express \mathbf{V} as

$$\mathbf{V} = \frac{1}{4} (\mathbf{H}_0 - \mathbf{H}_{++} - \mathbf{H}_{--}) \quad (5.9)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_{--} &= \int_{K3} (\mathcal{J}_- \wedge \mathcal{J}_-), \\ \mathbf{H}_0 &= \int_{K3} (\mathcal{J}_+ \wedge \mathcal{J}_- + \mathcal{J}_- \wedge \mathcal{J}_+), \\ \mathbf{H}_{++} &= \int_{K3} (\mathcal{J}_+ \wedge \mathcal{J}_+). \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

Then using the expansions $\mathcal{J}_{\pm} = \sum w^{\pm I} J_I$ and integrating over the 2- cycles of K3, we get on one hand

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_{++} &= \sum_{I,J} w^{+I} d_{IJ} w^{+J}, \\ \mathbf{H}_0 &= \sum_{I,J} (w^{+I} d_{IJ} w^{-J} + w^{-I} d_{IJ} w^{+J}) \\ \mathbf{H}_{--} &= \sum_{I,J} w^{-I} d_{IJ} w^{-J}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

where $d_{IJ} = \int_{K3} (\mathcal{J}_I \wedge \mathcal{J}_J)$ stands for the intersection matrix numbers of the 2-cycles of the K3 surface encountered earlier. It reads for a generic K3 surface as follows:

$$d_{IJ} = \begin{pmatrix} K(E_8) & 0_{8 \times 8} & 0_{8 \times 2} & 0_{2 \times 2} \\ 0_{8 \times 8} & K(E_8) & 0_{8 \times 2} & 0_{2 \times 2} \\ 0_{2 \times 8} & 0_{2 \times 8} & \Delta_2 & 0_{2 \times 2} \\ 0_{2 \times 2} & 0_{2 \times 2} & 0_{2 \times 2} & \Delta_2 \end{pmatrix}_{20 \times 20} \quad (5.12)$$

where $K(E_8)$ is the Cartan matrix of ordinary E_8 Lie algebra and where

$$\Delta_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.13)$$

generates the intersections of the T^2 -cycles within T^4 in the orbifold limit construction. On the other hand, using the moduli $w^{\pm I} = \left(\int_{C_2^I} \mathcal{J}_{\pm} \right)$ we have:

$$\mathbf{V} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I,J} (w^{+I} - w^{-I}) d_{IJ} (w^{+J} - w^{-J}). \quad (5.14)$$

This relation can be also rewritten as follows

$$\mathbf{V} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I,J} \left(\sum_{n,m=1}^3 \sigma_n \sigma_m x^{nI} d_{IJ} x^{mJ} \right) = \sum_{n,m=1}^3 \sigma_n \sigma_m \mathbf{V}^{nm}. \quad (5.15)$$

Notice that since d_{IJ} is symmetric, the $SU(2)$ tensor

$$\mathbf{V}^{nm} = \frac{1}{2} \sum d_{IJ} x^{nI} x^{mJ} \quad (5.16)$$

which reads as well as

$$\frac{1}{4} \sum d_{IJ} (x^{nI} x^{mJ} + x^{nJ} x^{mI}) \quad (5.17)$$

is also symmetric; $\mathbf{V}^{nm} = \mathbf{V}^{mn}$. So there is no contribution coming from the isotriplet component of the formal expansion (5.6) since

$$\mathbf{V}^{[nm]} = \frac{1}{4} \sum d_{IJ} (x^{nI} x^{mJ} - x^{mI} x^{nJ}) \quad (5.18)$$

vanishes identically. As such, it is interesting to split the 3×3 matrix \mathbf{V}^{nm} as follows

$$\mathbf{V}^{nm} = \mathbf{V}_0 \delta^{nm} - \mathbf{S}^{nm}, \quad (5.19)$$

where

$$\mathbf{V}_0 = \frac{1}{3} \text{Tr}(\mathbf{V}^{nm}), \quad (5.20)$$

which reads in terms of

$$\mathbf{V}_{K3} = \sum_{I,J} \mathbf{x}^I d_{IJ} \mathbf{x}^J, \quad (5.21)$$

the volume of K3, as

$$\mathbf{V}_0 = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{n=1}^3 \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{I,J} x^{nI} d_{IJ} x^{nJ} \right) = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{I,J} \mathbf{x}^I d_{IJ} \mathbf{x}^J, \quad (5.22)$$

and where the traceless matrix

$$\mathbf{S}^{nm} = \mathbf{V}^{nm} + \mathbf{V}_0 \delta^{nm} \quad (5.23)$$

. By substituting eq(5.19) back into

$$\mathbf{V} = \sum_{n,m=1}^3 \sigma_n \sigma_m \mathbf{V}^{nm}, \quad (5.24)$$

we can put the volume matrix as follows

$$\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}_0 \mathbf{I}_3 - \mathbf{S}, \quad (5.25)$$

where \mathbf{I}_3 stands for the 3×3 identity matrix which will be dropped now on.

$$\mathbf{S} = \sum_{n,m=1}^3 \sigma_n \sigma_m \mathbf{S}^{nm}. \quad (5.26)$$

Notice that while \mathbf{V}_0 is invariant under $SO(4) \times SO(20)$, the matrix \mathbf{S} is invariant under $SO(4)$ but still transforms as quintet under $SU(2) \subset SO(4)$.

(3) Pushing further the similarity with Kahler geometry, one can then define the hyper-Kahler potential \mathcal{H} in term of the logarithm of the volume form \mathbf{V} as follows

$$\mathcal{H} = \text{Tr}(\ln \mathbf{V}) = \text{Tr}[\ln(\mathbf{V}_0 - \mathbf{S})], \quad (5.27)$$

which can be put in the form

$$\mathcal{H} = \text{Tr}[\ln \mathbf{V}_0] + \text{Tr}[\ln(1 - \mathbf{V}_0^{-1} \mathbf{S})] \quad (5.28)$$

and then expanded into in power series in the inverse of the volume \mathbf{V}_0 of K3. Notice that the leading term $\text{Tr}[\ln \mathbf{V}_0]$ appears as the Kahler component which is independent of S .

5.2 Matrix Prepotential $\mathcal{G}(w)$

In this subsection, we want to derive the "holomorphic" matrix prepotential $\mathcal{G}(w)$ of type IIA superstring on K3 surface. Holomorphicity should be understood in terms of the matrix formulation. In other words $\mathcal{G}(w)$ is a holomorphic 2×2 matrix which don't depend on \bar{w} ,

$$\partial \mathcal{G}(w) / \partial \bar{w} = 0. \quad (5.29)$$

As we will show, $\mathcal{G}(w)$ and its adjoint conjugate $\mathcal{G}(\bar{w})$ are prepotentials involved in the building of the volume matrix V eq(5.4). Notice that we have used the terminology *holomorphic* because of the analog role of $\mathcal{G}(w)$ with the usual classical holomorphic prepotential $\mathcal{F}(z)$ of 10D type IIA superstring on CY3.

5.2.1 Prepotential $\mathcal{F}(z)$ in type IIA on CY3

Recall that in type IIA superstring on CY3, the classical expression of the prepotential $\mathcal{F}(z)$ is given in terms of the local complex coordinates

$$z^I = \int_{C_2^I} K_+, \quad I = 1, \dots, h^{(1,1)}(\text{CY3}), \quad (5.30)$$

as follows:

$$\mathcal{F}(z) = \frac{1}{3!} \sum_{I,J,K=1}^{h^{(1,1)}_{\text{CY3}}} d_{IJK} z^I z^J z^K. \quad (5.31)$$

In these relations $K_{\pm} = B^{NS} \pm i\Omega^{(1,1)}$ is the complexified Kahler 2- form and

$$d_{IJK} = \int_{\text{CY3}} (\mathcal{J}_I \wedge \mathcal{J}_J \wedge \mathcal{J}_K), \quad (5.32)$$

is the triple intersection of 2-cycles within the Calabi-Yau threefold. The prepotential $\mathcal{F}(z)$ is a cubic holomorphic function playing a crucial role in the characterization of the Kahler potential of the Kahler special d -geometry for type IIA superstring on CY3. We also have for the Kahler potential

$$\mathcal{K}(z, \bar{z}) = \ln \left(\int_{\text{CY3}} (K_+ - K_-) \wedge (K_+ - K_-) \wedge (K_+ - K_-) \right). \quad (5.33)$$

By integration, we get precisely

$$\mathcal{K}(z, \bar{z}) = \ln \left(\sum_{I,J,K=1}^{h^{(1,1)}_{\text{CY3}}} d_{IJK} (z^I - \bar{z}^I) (z^J - \bar{z}^J) (z^K - \bar{z}^K) \right), \quad (5.34)$$

which can be also rewritten as

$$\mathcal{K}(z, \bar{z}) = \ln \left(\sum_{I,J,K=1}^{h^{(1,1)}_{\text{CY3}}} d_{IJK} (z^I - \bar{z}^I) (z_I - \bar{z}_I) \right), \quad (5.35)$$

where we have set

$$z_I = \int_{\text{CY3}} K_+ \wedge K_+ \wedge J_I, \quad (5.36)$$

and similarly for its complex conjugates \bar{z}_I .

5.2.2 Prepotential $\mathcal{G}(w)$ in type IIA on the K3 surface

In the case of 10D type IIA superstring on the K3 surface, the situation is a little bit subtle but we do still have quite similar relations. More precisely, using the local quaternionic coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} w^{+I} &= \int_{C_2^I} \mathcal{J}_+, \quad I = 1, \dots, 20, \\ w_I^+ &= \int_{C_2^I} \mathcal{J}_+ \wedge \mathcal{J}_I, \end{aligned} \quad (5.37)$$

with $\mathcal{J}_+ = (B^{NS} + i\sigma \cdot \mathbf{J})$ which can be expanded as

$$\mathcal{J}_+ = \sum_{I=1}^{20} w^{+I} \mathcal{J}_I, \quad (5.38)$$

and

$$\int_{C_2^I} \mathcal{J}_K = \delta_K^I, \quad (5.39)$$

as well as

$$w_I^+ = d_{IJ} w^{+J}, \quad (5.40)$$

the matrix prepotential $\mathcal{G}(w^+)$ is given by

$$G^{++} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I,J} w^{+I} d_{IJ} w^{+J}. \quad (5.41)$$

Notice that G^{++} , which is invariant under $SO(20)$ transformations, is *holomorphic* in w^+ in the sense that it does not depend on w^- . We also have

$$G^{--} = \frac{1}{2} w^{-I} d_{IJ} w^{-J}, \quad (5.42)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} G_I^+ &= \frac{\partial G^{++}}{\partial w^{+I}} = d_{IJ} w^{+J}, \\ G_I^- &= \frac{\partial G^{--}}{\partial w^{-I}} = d_{IJ} w^{-J}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.43)$$

Thinking about as a metric, we can also set $G_I^+ = w_I^+$ and $G_I^- = w_I^-$. Using these relations, we can rewrite eq(5.14) as follows:

$$\mathbf{V} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_I (w^{+I} - w^{-I}) (w_I^+ - w_I^-). \quad (5.44)$$

which should be compared with eq(5.34).

6 Black Objects in 6D/7D

We first study black objects in 6D space time in the context of 10D type IIA superstring compactified on the K3 surface. Then we consider the uplifting of these black objects to 7D.

6.1 Black Objects in 6D

We start by noting that in six dimensional space-time, the electric/magnetic duality requires that if we have an electrically charged D_1 -brane, the magnetically charged dual object is a D_2 -brane such that

$$D_1 + D_2 = 2. \tag{6.1}$$

It follows that there are essentially three kinds of black objects in 6D with the following horizon geometries:

(1) $D_1 = 0$ and $D_2 = 2$ with an $AdS_4 \times S^2$ horizon geometry describing 6D black holes. It is associated with the 6D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity limit of 10D type IIA superstring on the K3 surface or equivalently to 10D heterotic superstring on 4-torus T^4 . Notice also that such extremal 6D black holes can be also recovered from the flux compactification of 11D M-theory on $K3 \times S^1$. The explicit D-brane configurations of these black holes will be described later.

(2) $AdS_2 \times S^4$ horizon geometry describing 6D black 2-branes. This black object, which solves above eq as $D_1 = 2$ and $D_2 = 0$, is dual to the previous 6D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ black hole with $D_1 = 0$ and $D_2 = 2$.

(3) $AdS_3 \times S^3$ horizon geometry describing the self dual black strings. It should, a priori, be described in the context of 10D type IIB superstring moving on the K3 surface. Below, we consider the first two configurations. The third one will be considered elsewhere [45].

6.1.1 6D Black Holes

By 6D black hole, we mean the background of the 6D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity describing the large distance limit of type IIA superstring on the K3 surface with the near horizon geometry $AdS_2 \times S^4$. These black holes can be produced by a system of D0-D2-D4-branes wrapping the appropriate cycles of the K3 surface with a prepotential induced by RR fluxes \mathcal{G}_{BH} on it. One of the crucial points in the study of these 6D black holes is precisely the determination of the prepotential \mathcal{G}_{BH} which is given by flux contributions in the K3 compactification. To that purpose, we shall first consider the non zero flux compactification of type IIA superstring on the K3 surface. Then, we turn to the black

hole prepotential.

Compactification with non zero fluxes

To start recall that to fully specify the vacuum background of 10D type IIA superstring on complex n -dimensional Calabi-Yau manifold X_n ($n = 2, 4$; but in present discussion $n = 2$), one must specify not just the geometry of X_n , but also the topological classes ζ and ξ of the 1- form and 3- form gauge fields \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_3 , i.e

$$\zeta = \left[\frac{\mathcal{F}_2}{2\pi} \right], \quad \xi = \left[\frac{\mathcal{F}_4}{2\pi} \right]. \quad (6.2)$$

Here $\mathcal{F}_2 = d\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{F}_4 = d\mathcal{A}_3$ are the field strengths of the gauge fields \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_3 [27]. Topologically, these \mathcal{A} - fields are classified by the characteristic classes $\zeta \in H^2(X_n; Z)$ and $\xi \in H^4(X_n; Z)$. In the case of type IIA superstring on the K3 surface, the characteristic classes ζ and ξ are non trivial. They are respectively given by the *twenty two* 2- cocycles $\{\zeta^a, a = 1, \dots, 22\}$ and the volume form $\Omega^{(2,2)}$ of K3. According to topology, one should then distinguishes the two following situations:

- (1) Compactification with $\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{F}_4 = 0$.
- (2) Compactification with $\mathcal{F}_2 \neq 0, \mathcal{F}_4 \neq 0$.

In the first case where the fluxes are turned off, $\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{F}_4 = 0$, the variations of the K3 metric is generated by the two following:

- (a) *Complex deformations*: the variations of the complex structure of complex dimension Calabi-Yau manifold are described by the complex moduli

$$z^I = \int_{C_n^I} \Omega^{(n,0)}, \quad I = 1, \dots, h^{(n-1,1)}, \quad n = 2. \quad (6.3)$$

From the 4D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superfield theory language, the z^I 's are just the leading scalar component fields of 4D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ chiral superfields $Z^I(y, \theta)$ with θ - expansion is given by

$$Z^I(y, \theta) = z^I(y) + \theta\psi^I(y) + \theta^2 F^I(y), \quad I = 1, \dots, h^{(n-1,1)}. \quad (6.4)$$

Here $y = x + i\theta\sigma\bar{\theta}$ with x being the usual 4D space time coordinates. We also have the antichiral superfields

$$\bar{Z}^I(\bar{y}, \bar{\theta}) = \bar{z}^I(\bar{y}) + \bar{\theta}\bar{\psi}^I(\bar{y}) + \bar{\theta}^2 \bar{F}^I(\bar{y}), \quad I = 1, \dots, h^{(n-1,1)}. \quad (6.5)$$

Notice that in the particular case of K3 surface ($n = 2$), these superfields which for later use we rewrite as a 2×2 matrix as follows,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & Z^I(y, \theta) \\ \bar{Z}^I(\bar{y}, \bar{\theta}) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6.6)$$

are not yet all what we need. These superfields Z^I capture in fact just half of the degrees of freedom of the 6D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ hypermultiplet H^I which is same as 4D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ hypermultiplet; that is two different types of 4D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ chiral superfields together with their complex conjugates. Notice also that in eq(6.3), we have added the complex dimension n just to make contact with higher dimensional Calabi-Yau manifolds and also to exhibit the specific feature for $n = 2$. In this particular case, complex $\Omega^{(2,0)}$ and Kahler $\Omega^{(1,1)}$ structures combine to give a hyperKahler structure on the moduli space of 10D type IIA superstring on the K3 surface. This is exactly what we need to reproduce the hypermultiplets as described below.

(b) Kahler deformations: the variation of the (complexified) Kahler structure is described by the complex moduli,

$$t^I = \int_{C_2^I} (B^{NS} + i\Omega^{(1,1)}), \quad I = 1, \dots, h^{(1,1)}. \quad (6.7)$$

From the language of 4D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superfield theory, the parameters t^I get promoted to 4D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ chiral superfields as shown below

$$T^I(\tilde{y}, \tilde{\theta}) = t^I + \tilde{\theta}\tilde{\psi}^I + \tilde{\theta}^2\tilde{F}^I. \quad (6.8)$$

A similar expression is valid for the antichiral superfield $\bar{T}^I = \bar{T}^I(\tilde{\bar{y}}, \tilde{\bar{\theta}})$.

The 6D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric hypermultiplets, which as noted before is equivalent to two 4D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ chiral superfields, are then have then the superspace structure

$$H^I = H^I(y, \theta; \tilde{y}, \tilde{\theta}; \bar{y}, \bar{\theta}; \tilde{\bar{y}}, \tilde{\bar{\theta}}) \quad (6.9)$$

and are made of the superfields Z^I and T^I . Using the representation (6.6), the H^I superfields can be represented by the following

$$H^I = \begin{pmatrix} T^I & Z^I \\ \bar{Z}^I & \bar{T}^I \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6.10)$$

where the lowest component fields are precisely the quaternionic moduli w^{+I} introduced previously.

(c) Deformations in the presence of RR- fluxes: First note that in the case where $\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{F}_4 = 0$, the expectation values of the T^I and Z^I are arbitrary in the supergravity approximation to 10D type superstring IIA on the K3 surface. However, in the case where the fluxes are turned on, i.e

$$\mathcal{F}_2 \neq 0, \quad \mathcal{F}_4 \neq 0, \quad (6.11)$$

the situation become a little bit subtle since we must adjust the complex and Kahler structures of the K3 surface so that to stabilize the fluxes. This adjustment is achieved by adding a potential \mathcal{G}_{BH} whose variation with respect to complex and Kahler moduli fixes the fluxes to zero. This will be discussed in the next paragraph.

Black hole potential \mathcal{G}_{BH}

We first consider the adjustment of the complex structure and next the adjustment of the Kahler one. Obviously in the present case dealing with 10D type IIA string on K3 surface, these two adjustments can be done altogether in $SU(2)$ covariant way. We will turn to this property later on.

To adjust the complex structure of 10D type IIA superstring on the K3 surface, we should impose the following constraint relations:

$$\frac{\delta\mathcal{G}_{BH}}{\delta\Omega^{(2,0)}} = \mathcal{F}^{(0,2)} = 0, \quad \frac{\delta\mathcal{G}_{BH}}{\delta\Omega^{(0,2)}} = \mathcal{F}^{(2,0)} = 0. \quad (6.12)$$

The field strengths $\mathcal{F}^{(0,2)}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{(2,0)}$ are the holomorphic and antiholomorphic components appearing the decomposition of the 2-form \mathcal{F}_2 . We recall that the real 2-form \mathcal{F}_2 can be usually decomposed as an isotriplet as shown below

$$\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{F}^{(1,1)} \oplus \mathcal{F}^{(0,2)} \oplus \mathcal{F}^{(2,0)}. \quad (6.13)$$

To adjust the Kahler structure of 10D type IIA superstring on the K3 surface, one has to impose the following constraint relation

$$\frac{\delta\mathcal{G}_{BH}}{\delta\Omega^{(1,1)}} = \mathcal{F}^{(1,1)} = 0. \quad (6.14)$$

Notice that the 2-form $\mathcal{F}^{(1,1)}$ appearing above comes precisely from the field strength \mathcal{F}_2 decomposition (6.13). From the 6D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric field theory, the relations (6.12-6.14) can be viewed as superfield equations of motion following from the variation of a potential

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}_{BH} = & +\frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{K3} \mathcal{F}^{(2,0)} \wedge \Omega^{(0,2)} + \mathcal{F}^{(0,2)} \wedge \Omega^{(2,0)} \right) \\ & \int_{K3} \mathcal{F}^{(1,1)} \wedge \Omega^{(1,1)} + \int_{K3} \mathcal{F}_4, \end{aligned} \quad (6.15)$$

where we have added the integral constant $q = \int_{K3} \mathcal{F}_4$. Notice that the variation of \mathbf{G}_{BH} with respect to $\Omega^{(0,2)}$, $\Omega^{(2,0)}$ and $\Omega^{(1,1)}$, one recovers precisely eqs(6.12-6.14). Notice also that up on rewriting

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^{(2,0)} \wedge \Omega^{(0,2)} &= (i) \mathcal{F}^{(2,0)} \wedge (-i) \Omega^{(0,2)}, \\ \Omega^{(1,1)} &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{K}_- + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{K}_+, \\ \mathcal{F}^{(0,2)} \wedge \Omega^{(2,0)} &= (i) \mathcal{F}^{(0,2)} \wedge (-i) \Omega^{(2,0)}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.16)$$

where we have used

$$\mathbf{K}_\pm = B^{NS} \pm i\Omega^{(1,1)}, \quad (6.17)$$

we can put \mathbf{G}_{BH} as,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{G}_{BH} &= \frac{i}{2} \left(\int_{K3} \mathcal{F}^{(1,1)} \wedge \mathbf{K}_- \right) - \frac{i}{2} \left(\int_{K3} \mathcal{F}^{(1,1)} \wedge \mathbf{K}_+ \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{K3} (i) \mathcal{F}^{(2,0)} \wedge (-i) \Omega^{(0,2)} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{K3} (i) \mathcal{F}^{(0,2)} \wedge (-i) \Omega^{(2,0)} \right) + \int_{K3} \mathcal{F}_4.\end{aligned}\quad (6.18)$$

Then setting

$$\mathbf{F}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}^{(1,1)} & \mathcal{F}^{(2,0)} \\ \mathcal{F}^{(0,2)} & -\mathcal{F}^{(1,1)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6.19)$$

which reads also in terms of Pauli matrices as

$$\mathbf{F}_2 = \sigma^0 \mathcal{F}^{(1,1)} + \sigma^- \mathcal{F}^{(2,0)} + \sigma^+ \mathcal{F}^{(0,2)}, \quad (6.20)$$

we can bring \mathbf{G}_{BH} to the following SU(2) covariant formula,

$$\mathbf{G}_{BH} = \int_{K3} \mathcal{F}_4 + \frac{i}{2} \text{Tr} \left(\int_{K3} \mathbf{F}_2 \wedge \mathcal{J}_- \right) \quad (6.21)$$

where we have used

$$\mathcal{J}_- = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{K}_- & -i\Omega^{(2,0)} \\ -i\Omega^{(0,2)} & \mathbf{K}_+ \end{pmatrix} = B - i\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{J}. \quad (6.22)$$

Now, substituting \mathcal{J}_- by its expansion $\sum w^{-I} J_I$, doing the same for \mathbf{F}_2

$$\mathbf{F}_2 = \sum_J \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{q}^J J_J, \quad (6.23)$$

with $\mathbf{q}^J = (q^{1J}, q^{2J}, q^{3J})$ and then factorizing the \mathbf{q}^J integer 3- vectors as follows

$$q^{1J} = p^1 p^J, \quad q^{2J} = p^2 p^J, \quad q^{3J} = p^3 p^J \quad (6.24)$$

or in a condensed form as

$$\mathbf{q}^J = \mathbf{p} p^J, \quad (6.25)$$

we get

$$\mathbf{G}_{BH} = q + \text{Tr} \left[\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p} \left(i \sum_{I,J=1}^{20} w^{-I} d_{IJ} p^J \right) \right], \quad (6.26)$$

which reads also as

$$\mathbf{G}_{BH} = q + \text{Tr} \left[\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p} \left(i \sum_{I,J=1}^{20} w^{-I} p_I \right) \right], \quad (6.27)$$

where we have set

$$p_I = \sum_I d_{IJ} p^J. \quad (6.28)$$

Expanding $w^{-I} = y^{0I} - i\mathbf{x}^I \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ and computing trace, we obtain the general form of the black hole potential,

$$\mathcal{G}_{BH} = q + \mathbf{p} \cdot \left(\sum_I \mathbf{x}^I p_I \right). \quad (6.29)$$

Using eq(3.36), one can re-express the above relation, in terms of the isotriplet central charge $\mathbf{Z} = \sum_I \mathbf{x}^I p_I$, as follows:

$$\mathcal{G}_{BH} = q + \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{Z}, \quad (6.30)$$

together with

$$\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{Z} = \sum_{i=1}^3 p^m Z^m. \quad (6.31)$$

Notice finally that in the above relations, q is the number of D4-branes wrapping the K3 surface, and the p_I 's give the number of D2-branes wrapping 2-cycles of the K3 surface.

6.1.2 6D Black 2-Branes

Similarly as for 6D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ black holes, the 6D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ black 2-brane is given by the 6D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity describing the large distance limit of 10D type IIA superstring on the K3 surface with near horizon geometry $AdS_4 \times S^2$. We can produce these 6D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ black 2-branes by considering a D-brane system consisting of:

- (1) a D4- brane wrapping the C_2^I 2- cycles in K3 and the extra directions filling two dimensions (t, x) in space time, say the first $x^0 = t$ and second $x^1 = x$ dimensions.
- (2) a D6-brane wrapping K3 and the remaining two others in the first and second of space time.

The potential induced by RR fluxes on K3 for 6D black 2-brane potential has a similar structure as for 6D black holes except that now that the black object is electrically charged. Similar computations lead to

$$\mathcal{G}_{B2-brane} = p + \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{Z}, \quad (6.32)$$

with

$$\mathbf{Z} = \sum_I q_I \mathbf{x}^I, \quad (6.33)$$

and

$$\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{Z} = \sum_{i=1}^3 q^m Z^m. \quad (6.34)$$

In eq(6.32), the integer p gives the number of wrapped of D6- branes on K3 and the q_I 's give the number of D4 wrapping the I -th 2- cycles of K3.

6.2 Black Objects in 7D

The simplest way to describe 7D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity is to think about it as the large distance limit of 11D M-theory compactified on the K3 surface. The 7D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ component field action \mathcal{S}_{7D} can be obtained by starting from the 11D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity action and performing the compactification on the K3 surface. The bosonic part action of the 11D supergravity is given by

$$\mathcal{S}_{11D} = \frac{-1}{2\kappa_{11}^2} \int \left(\mathcal{R} (*1_{11}) + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_4 \wedge (*\mathcal{F})_7 + \frac{1}{3!} \mathcal{F}_4 \wedge \mathcal{F}_4 \wedge \mathcal{C}_3 \right), \quad (6.35)$$

where \mathcal{R} is the usual scalar curvature in 11D, the 4-form field strength is $\mathcal{F}_4 = d\mathcal{C}_3$ and the 7-form $(*\mathcal{F})_7$ is the Hodge dual of \mathcal{F}_4 . The coupling constant κ_D^2 is related to Newton's constant as $\kappa_D^2 = 8\pi G_D$. By compactifying on the K3 surface preserving half of the 32 supersymmetries, we can get the explicit expression of \mathcal{S}_{7D} . As there is no 1-cycle nor 3-cycle within the K3 surface, the moduli space of the 7D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theory is just the geometric one namely

$$\mathbf{M}_{7D} \times R_+, \quad \mathbf{M}_{7D} = \frac{SO(3, 19)}{SO(3) \times SO(19)}, \quad (6.36)$$

where R_+ stands for the dilaton. The other 57 moduli parameterizing the homogeneous space \mathbf{M}_{7D} are associated with scalars within the 7D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ multiplets. Let us comment below these 7D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ multiplets:

(1) One 7D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gravity multiplet $\mathcal{G}_{7D}^{\mathcal{N}=2}$ consisting of 40 + 40 on shell degrees of freedom. The component fields of its bosonic sector are given by

$$\mathcal{G}_{7D}^{\mathcal{N}=2} : (\phi, g_{\mu\nu}, \mathcal{C}_{\mu\nu\rho}, \mathcal{A}_\mu^0, \mathcal{A}_\mu^\pm). \quad (6.37)$$

They describe, in addition to the dilaton, a spin 2 field, one 3-form gauge field and three 1-form gauge fields. It involves then one scalar only.

(2) Fifty seven (57) 7D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ vector multiplet

$$(\mathcal{V}_{7D}^{\mathcal{N}=2})_I, \quad I = 1, \dots, 19. \quad (6.38)$$

Each vector multiplet $\mathcal{V}_{7D}^{\mathcal{N}=2}$ has 8 + 8 on shell degrees of freedom. The bosonic sector of $\mathcal{V}_{7D}^{\mathcal{N}=2}$ contains a seven dimensional gauge field \mathcal{C}_μ and three scalars

$$\mathbf{x} = (x^1, x^2, x^3). \quad (6.39)$$

The bosonic sector of the 57 supermultiplets of the seven dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ vector superfields $(\mathcal{V}_{7D}^{\mathcal{N}=2})_I$ read then as

$$(\mathcal{V}_{7D}^{\mathcal{N}=2})_I : (\mathcal{C}_\mu^I, \mathbf{x}^I), \quad I = 1, \dots, 19 \quad (6.40)$$

where the \mathbf{x}^I 's ((x^{1I}, x^{2I}, x^{3I})) refer to the 57 isotriplets of 7D scalars. They describe the metric deformations of the K3 surface. Notice that the gauge fields \mathcal{C}_μ^I belong to the representation (1, 19) of the group $SO(3) \times SO(19)$ and the scalars \mathbf{x}^I are in the (3, 19) one.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_\mu^I &\sim (1, 19) \in SO(3) \times SO(19) \\ \mathbf{x}^I &\sim (3, 19) \in SO(3) \times SO(19)\end{aligned}\quad (6.41)$$

Now, we move to discuss $\mathcal{N} = 2$ black objects in seven dimensions from the view of 10D type IIA superstring on the K3 surface and their uplifting to 7D. This is achieved by starting from the 6D results, in particular the expression of the central charges in 6D, eqs(6.31) and (6.34),

$$Z^m = \sum_{I=1}^{20} Q_I x^{mI}, \quad (6.42)$$

and minimizing $Z^m = Z^m(x)$ by taking into account the constraint eqs(4.43-4.45) fixing the volume of the K3 surface to a constant ($\mathcal{V}_{K3} = \text{constant}$), i.e

$$\sum_{n=1}^3 \left(\sum_{I,J=1}^{20} x^{nI} d_{IJ} x^{nJ} \right) = \text{constant}. \quad (6.43)$$

The electric/magnetic duality equation requires that the space dimensions D_1 and D_2 of two dual objects in 7D should be as:

$$D_1 + D_2 = 3. \quad (6.44)$$

According to 10D type II superstrings view, there are *four* kinds of 7D black objects: *Two* of them can be described in type 10D IIA superstring and the *two* others in 10D type IIB superstring. The near horizon of these geometries are classified as follows:

- (i) $AdS_2 \times S^5$ describing the near horizon geometry of 7D black holes.
- (ii) $AdS_4 \times S^3$ describing 7D black 2-branes.

These black objects are quite similar to the 6D case discussed perviously. They are described in the context of uplifting of 10D type IIA superstring on the K3 surface. The two others should be described in the context of of uplifting of 10D type IIB superstring on the K3 surface. Their near horizon geometries are as follows:

- (iii) $AdS_3 \times S^4$ associated with 7D black strings.
- (iv) $AdS_5 \times S^2$ associated with 7D black 3-branes.

The electric/magnetic duality in seven dimensions can be understood as describing the following interchange

$$\begin{aligned}AdS_2 \times S^5 &\leftrightarrow AdS_5 \times S^2 \\ AdS_3 \times S^4 &\leftrightarrow AdS_4 \times S^3.\end{aligned}\quad (6.45)$$

It interchanges the A and B-models and maps 7D black holes to 7D black 3-branes and 7D black strings to 7D black 2-branes. This interchange may have a T-duality interpretation connecting type IIA and type IIB in odd dimensional space-time; in particular in 7D. As previously mentioned $AdS_3 \times S^3$ is a self dual black string in 6D, and its uplift to 7D describes a 7D black string with the horizon geometry $AdS_3 \times S^4$ and a 7D black 2-brane with the horizon geometry $AdS_4 \times S^3$. On the other hand, $AdS_2 \times S^4$ and $AdS_4 \times S^2$ is uplifted respectively to $AdS_3 \times S^4$ and $AdS_4 \times S^3$ describing type IIA black objects in 7D. From M-theory compactification view, these 7D black brane configurations can be reproduced by wrapping M2 and M5-branes on appropriate cycles in the K3 surface. Note that in M-theory background there is no RR 2- form flux since we have no 1-form. We have rather a 4-form flux where quite similar computations can be done.

7 Conclusion and Discussion

In this paper we have studied six dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric black attractors (too particularly 6D black holes and 6D black 2- branes) and their uplifting to seven dimensions. These backgrounds arise as large distance limits of 10D type IIA superstring (11D M-theory) on K3 and may encode informations on $\mathcal{N} = 4$ black hole attractors in four dimensions considered recently in [46, 47]. After revisiting some general results on 10D type II superstrings compactificatied on the K3 surface and $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry in six dimensional space-time, we have developed a matrix method to exhibit manifestly the special quaternionic structure of 10D type IIA superstring on K3. This matrix formulation relies on the homomorphism between the 4-vector representation of $SO(4)$ and the $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ representation of $SU(2) \times SU'(2)$. By identification of the two $SU(2)$ factors, the $SO(4)$ becomes $SU^2(2)$, the 4- vector representation, which reads now as $2 \otimes 2$, split as $1 \oplus 3$ and the $SO(4)$ invariance of the moduli space of type IIA string on K3 is then completely captured by representations of the basic $SU(2)$ symmetry.

Our 2×2 matrix formulation has been shown to be an adequate method to deal with the underlying special quaternionic geometry of the moduli space of the 10D type IIA superstring on the K3 surface. The non abelian 2×2 matrix formalism with typical moduli

$$w = y + i \sum_{m=0, \pm 1} \sigma^m x^m, \quad (7.1)$$

where σ^m are the usual Pauli 2×2 matrices and

$$y = \int_{C_2} B^{NS}, \quad x^m = \int_{C_2} \Omega^{(1+m, 1-m)}, \quad (7.2)$$

have a formal similarity with the usual complex formalism,

$$w \quad \leftrightarrow \quad z, \quad (7.3)$$

with

$$z = y + ix^0, \quad y = \int_{C_2} B^{NS}, \quad x^0 = \int_{C_2} \Omega^{(1,1)}, \quad (7.4)$$

being the usual complex Kahler moduli of the special Kahler geometry of 10D type IIA superstring on Calabi-Yau threefolds. The matrix method developed in present study has allowed us to compute explicitly the hyperKahler potential

$$\mathcal{H} = \text{Tr} [\ln (\mathbf{V}_0 - \mathbf{S})] \quad (7.5)$$

in terms of the "volume" \mathbf{V}_0 of the K3 surface and an isoquintet described by a traceless 3×3 symmetric matrix \mathbf{S} , see also the discussion around eq(5.28). The hermitian hyperKahler potential \mathcal{H} has remarkable properties which deserves more analysis. Let us comment briefly some of these specific features herebelow:

(1) \mathcal{H} is given by the trace of 3×3 matrix $(\mathbf{V}_0 \mathbf{I}_{3 \times 3} - \mathbf{S})$. \mathbf{V}_0 , which is proportional to the volume of K3 ($\mathbf{V}_{K3} = 6\mathbf{V}_0$), has the following realization in terms of the geometric moduli x^{mI} ,

$$\mathbf{V}_0 = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{m=1}^3 \left(\sum_{I,J=0}^{h_{K3}^{1,1}} x^{mI} d_{IJ} x^{mJ} \right), \quad (7.6)$$

where d_{IJ} is the intersection matrix of 2- cycles in K3. The 3×3 matrix \mathbf{S} is an isoquintet captured by the symmetrization of the tensor product $\sigma^m \sigma^n$ of the Pauli matrices and has the following realization in terms of the geometric moduli:

$$\mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{6} \left(\sum_{I,J=0}^{h_{K3}^{1,1}} \sum_{k=1}^3 x^{kI} d_{IJ} x^{kJ} \right) \delta^{mn} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n=1}^3 \sigma^m \sigma^n \left(\sum_{I,J=0}^{h_{K3}^{1,1}} x^{mI} d_{IJ} x^{nJ} \right). \quad (7.7)$$

Notice also that the trace in eq(7.5) is required by gauge invariance under the $SO(4) = SU^2(2)$ isometry of the moduli space $SO(4, 20) / SO(4) \times SO(20)$.

(2) The quantities \mathbf{V}_0 and \mathbf{S} are also invariant under the $SO(20)$ gauge symmetry which rotates the twenty Kahler moduli of K3.

(3) The form (7.5) of the hyperKahler potential \mathcal{H} of the special hyperKahler geometry of type IIA string on K3 can be expanded into a power series as follows

$$\mathcal{H} = \text{Tr} [\ln \mathbf{V}_0] - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{g^n}{n} \text{Tr} (\mathbf{S}^n), \quad (7.8)$$

where the coupling parameter g is given by the inverse of the volume of K3:

$$g = \frac{1}{\mathbf{V}_0}, \quad (7.9)$$

Notice that the leading term $\text{Tr}[\ln \mathbf{V}_0]$ appears as just the Kahler component and next leading vanishes identically since $\text{Tr}(\mathbf{S}) = 0$. This property could be interpreted as capturing the Ricci flat condition. Higher terms might be interpreted as the geometric correction one has to have in order to bring a Kahler manifold to a hyperKahler one.

(4) The expansion can be also viewed as a perturbative definition of the potential of HyperKahler geometry in terms the potential Kahler geometry $\text{Tr}[\ln \mathbf{V}_0]$ plus extra contribution captured by the trace of powers of isoquintet representation \mathbf{S} .

We have also computed the corresponding "holomorphic" matrix prepotential $\mathcal{G}(w)$ which is found to be quadratic in the quaternionic matrix moduli

$$\mathcal{G}(w) = \sum_{I=1}^{20} w^I d_{IJ} w^J, \quad (7.10)$$

in agreement with the structure of the intersection matrix of the 2- cycles within K3. The potential $\mathcal{G}(w)$ is invariant under $SO(20)$ gauge invariance; but transforms in the adjoint of $SU(2)$. We have studied as well the vacuum background of 10D type IIA superstring on K3 by considering also extra contributions coming from the non zero topological classes $[\frac{\mathcal{F}_2}{2\pi}]$ and $[\frac{\mathcal{F}_4}{2\pi}]$ associated with the fields strengths $\mathcal{F}_2 = d\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{F}_4 = d\mathcal{A}_3$ of the 1- form and 3- form gauge fields \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_3 . These non zero fluxes on the K3 surface have allowed us to determine the potential of the 6D supersymmetric black attractors and to get the explicit moduli expression of the isotriplet Z^m of central charges of the 6D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric algebra given by eqs(6.30-6.33).

We end this study by making three more comments:

The first comment concerns the link with the analysis on c-map developed in [42, 43]. From the relation between Higgs branch of 10D type II superstring on Calabi-Yau three-folds and 6D $N = (1, 0)$ hypermultiplets, we conclude that there is a strong link between the special quaternionic geometry developed in the present paper and the quaternion-Kahler geometry underlying the hypermultiplet moduli space of type II on CY3 and the c-map considered in above mentioned references.

The second comment deals with the harmonic space formulation of the special hyper-Kahler structure of the moduli space of 10D type IIA string on K3. There, one uses the identification $SU(2) \sim S^3$ to "geometrize" the $SU(2)$ R- symmetry. The 6D Minkowski space time M_{6D} with local coordinates (x^μ) and $SO(1, 5)$ symmetry gets promoted to

$$M_{6D} \times S^3, \quad S^3 \sim S^2 \times S^1, \quad (7.11)$$

with local coordinates (x^μ, u_i^\pm) . Here the complex isodoublets u_i^\pm are harmonic variables parameterising $S^2 \times S^1$ whose defining equation as a real 3- dimension hypersurface in

the complex plane C^2 is

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^2 \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ij} (u^{+i} u^{-j} - u^{+j} u^{-i}) = 1. \quad (7.12)$$

In terms of these variables, the quaternionified 2- form \mathcal{J} is given by a special a function on S^2 as shown below:

$$\mathcal{J}(u) = u_i^+ u_j^- \mathcal{J}^{ij} = u_{[i}^+ u_{j]}^- \mathcal{J}^{[ij]} + u_{(i}^+ u_{j)}^- \mathcal{J}^{(ij)}, \quad (7.13)$$

where one recognizes the antisymmetric part associated with NS-NS B- field contribution and the geometric one associated with the symmetric part. With this harmonic 2- form together with other tools that can be found in [44], one can go ahead and study the special hyperKahler geometry of the moduli space of 10D type IIA string on K3.

The third comment concerns the study of 6D supersymmetric black string. As noted before, this should be described in the framework of 10D type IIB superstring on K3 with field theoretical limit given by 6D $\mathcal{N} = (2, 0)$ chiral supersymmetric gauge theory and moduli space given by eq(2.1). However a quick inspection of the graded commutation relations of the 6D $\mathcal{N} = (2, 0)$ superalgebra reveals that the 6D $\mathcal{N} = (2, 0)$ chiral superalgebra is very special; it allow no central charges which are isosinglets under space time symmetry; see eqs(8.10). As such, there is apparently no flux potential of the kind we have obtained in the context of 6D black holes and 6D black 2- branes of 10D type IIA on K3. The fact that the 6D $\mathcal{N} = (2, 0)$ chiral superalgebra is special can be also viewed on its vector representation. Indeed, recall that the on shell degrees of freedom of the gauge multiplet in the chiral 6D $\mathcal{N} = (2, 0)$ supersymmetry are given, in terms of the (j_1, j_2) representations of the $SO(4)$ group transverse to $SO(1, 1)$ with $SO(1, 5)$, as follows

$$(1, 0), \quad \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right)^4, \quad (0, 0)^5, \quad (7.14)$$

where there is no standard 1- form gauge field. The representation $(1, 0)$, which captures three on shell degree of freedom, is described by a self dual antisymmetric tensor field $B_{[\mu\nu]}^+$. The above multiplet should be compared with the gauge multiplet of the non chiral 6D $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ superalgebra

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right), \quad \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right)^2, \quad \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)^2, \quad (0, 0)^4, \quad (7.15)$$

where $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ stands for the gauge field and where the four scalar have been interpreted in section 2, eq(2.13) as sgauginos along the central charge directions. It is then an interesting task to study 6D black string attractors in the context of type IIB string and clarify the issue regarding 6D BPS states. Progress in this direction will be reported elsewhere.

8 Appendix: $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry in 6D

Because of the self conjugation property of Weyl spinors in 6D, one distinguishes two kinds of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric algebras in six dimensional space time:

- (1) the chiral $\mathcal{N} = (2, 0)$ supersymmetric algebra.
- (2) the non chiral $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ supersymmetric algebra.

These superalgebras appear as world volume supersymmetries in NS-NS 5- branes of type IIA and IIB superstring theories. They are also graded symmetries of 6D supersymmetric gauge theories describing the low energy limit of the compactification of 10D type IIA and IIB strings on the compact four real dimensional manifold K3.

6D $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ and $\mathcal{N} = (2, 0)$ can be obtained by special reductions of the 10D IIA and IIB superalgebras down to 6D by keeping only half of the 32 original supercharges. They can be also obtained by taking the tensor product of two 6D $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ ($\mathcal{N} = (0, 1)$) chiral superalgebras. Recall that 6D $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ supersymmetry is the basic superalgebra in six space time dimensions, it is the symmetry of the field theoretical limit of 10D heterotic and type I superstrings on K3. Below, we give the main relations of these superalgebras.

8.1 6D $\mathcal{N} = (p, q)$ superalgebra

To begin, we consider $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry in ten dimensional space time and work out the reduction down to six dimensions. Then, we specify to the 10D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ case on the compact four real space is K3 which restrict the p and q positive integers to $p + q = 2$.

8.1.1 Dimensional reduction

In the compactification of 10D space time \mathcal{M}_{10} down to 6D space time \mathcal{M}_6 , ($\mathcal{M}_{10} \sim \mathcal{M}_{10} \times \mathcal{K}_4$, where \mathcal{K}_4 is a real four compact manifold), the $SO(1, 9)$ Lorentz group gets reduced down to

$$SO(1, 9) \rightarrow SO(1, 5) \times SU(2) \times SU'(2), \quad (8.1)$$

where we have substituted $SO(4)$ by $SU(2) \times SU'(2)$. The 10D vector and the two 10D Majorana-Weyl spinors 16 and 16' decompose as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 10 &= (6, 1, 1') \oplus (1, 2, 2'), \\ 16 &= (4, 2, 1') \oplus (4', 1, 2'), \\ 16' &= (4, 1, 2') \oplus (4', 2, 1'), \end{aligned} \quad (8.2)$$

where $(*, 2, 1')$ and $(*, 1, 2')$ denote the two fundamental isospinors of $SO(4) \sim SU(2) \times SU'(2)$. Notice that each of the term $(4, 2, 1')$ and $(4', 1, 2')$ has eight real components

coming into four *real* isodoublets. Up on breaking the $SU(2)$ groups down to $U(1) \times U'(1)$, the real doublet $(4', 2, 1')$ gives rise to a $SU(4)$ complex 4- vector which we denote as 4_+ together with its complex conjugate $\bar{4}_- = \overline{(4_+)}$. Similarly $(4', 2, 1')$ gives 4_- and $\bar{4}_+ = \overline{(4_-)}$. Notice also that the 4_+ nor 4_- can obey a Majorana condition and so are the smallest spinor objects one can have in 6D.

8.1.2 K3 compactification

In the torus compactification of 10D space time down to 6D, ($\mathcal{K}_4 = T^4$), all the original supersymmetries in 10D are preserved in 6D. Then, 10D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry, generated by a single 16- component Majorana-Weyl spinor, gives therefore $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ supersymmetry in 6D; and 10D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry generated by two superscharges, gives 6D $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ supersymmetry. The situation is different for the compactification on K3 where half of the original 10D supersymmetric charges are broken. There, unbroken supersymmetries depend on where K3 holonomies lie. If holonomy lie in the $SU'(2)$ factor, then a constant spinor 2 is also covariantly constant and gives rise to unbroken supersymmetry in 6D. As such, we have the following reductions

$$\begin{aligned} 16 &\rightarrow 8 = (4, 2, 1'), \\ 16' &\rightarrow 8' = (4', 2, 1'). \end{aligned} \tag{8.3}$$

In type 10D IIA superstring compactification on K3 where one starts with $16 \oplus 16'$, we end with $8 \oplus 8'$ and so a non chiral 6D $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ supersymmetric algebra. For 10D IIB superstring on K3, we start with $16 \oplus 16$ and end with a 6D $\mathcal{N} = (2, 0)$ superalgebra. For 10D type I and heterotic superstrings one starts with a 10D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry and ends with a 6D $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ algebra. Let us give below some useful details on the explicit commutation relations of these superalgebras.

8.2 6D $\mathcal{N} = (2, 0)$ superalgebra

The chiral $\mathcal{N} = (2, 0)$ supersymmetric algebra is generated by two identical copies of $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ supersymmetric algebras with supergenerators denoted as $Q_{1\alpha}^i$ and $Q_{2\alpha}^i$. Each one of these supercharges has eight real components ($\alpha = 1, \dots, 4 ; i = 1, 2$) belonging to the $(4, 2)$ representation of the $SO(1, 5) \times SU(2)$ group symmetry. Since

$$Q_{1\alpha}^i \sim (4, 2) \in SO(1, 5) \times SU(2) \tag{8.4}$$

are complex, we have to impose the reality condition

$$\overline{(Q_{1\alpha}^i)} = \epsilon_{ij} B_{\alpha}^{\beta} Q_{1\beta}^j. \tag{8.5}$$

In this relation, B is a 4×4 matrix B constrained as $B^+B = -1$. This condition can be checked by computing the complex conjugate of eq(8.5), i.e:

$$\overline{(\epsilon_{ij} B_{\alpha}^{\beta} Q_{1\beta}^j)}, \quad (8.6)$$

and using the identity $\overline{(\epsilon_{ij})} = \epsilon^{ji}$. One can also use complex 4- component notations; But we will not use it here since it breaks the $SU(2)$ symmetry of (8.4) we have been interested in throughout this study. The breaking of $SU(2)$ hides also important data on 6D BPS states.

To get the graded commutation relations of the chiral $\mathcal{N} = (2, 0)$ superalgebra, it is interesting to compute the reduction of the tensor product of $Q_{1\alpha}^i$ and $Q_{2\alpha}^i$. From representation group theoretical language, we have

$$(4, 2) \times (4, 2) = (16, 4), \quad 16 \times 4 = 64, \quad (8.7)$$

which decompose into the following irreducible components,

$$(16, 4) = (6, 1) \oplus (6, 3) \oplus (10, 1) \oplus (10, 3). \quad (8.8)$$

This decomposition deserves two comment:

First it involves two $SU(2)$ isosinglet components: (i) The $(6, 1)$ representation that capture the energy momentum tensor $P_{[\alpha\beta]}$ and should be associated with

$$\{Q_{1\alpha}^i, Q_{1\beta}^j\} = \epsilon^{ij} P_{[\alpha\beta]}. \quad (8.9)$$

(ii) The $(10, 1)$ representation associated with the commutator $[Q_{1\alpha}^i, Q_{1\beta}^j]$ which as the tensor structure $\epsilon^{ij} D_{(\alpha\beta)}$. This representation does not concern directly the defining graded commutation relations.

Second, the decomposition (8.8) involves no space time singlet and priori the chiral $\mathcal{N} = (2, 0)$ superalgebra allows no central charge. The graded commutation relations of the $\mathcal{N} = (2, 0)$ superalgebra read then as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \{Q_{1\alpha}^i, Q_{1\beta}^j\} &= \epsilon^{ij} P_{[\alpha\beta]}, \\ \{Q_{2\alpha}^i, Q_{2\beta}^j\} &= \epsilon^{ij} P_{[\alpha\beta]}, \\ \{Q_{1\alpha}^i, Q_{2\beta}^j\} &= 0, \\ [P_{[\alpha\beta]}, Q_{1\gamma}^j] &= 0, \\ [P_{[\alpha\beta]}, Q_{2\gamma}^j] &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (8.10)$$

Notice that one can also define this superalgebra by using complex Weyl spinor $Q_{\pm\alpha}^i = Q_{1\alpha}^i \pm iQ_{2\alpha}^i$. The smallest representation of this superalgebra is given by eq(7.14). The chiral 6D $\mathcal{N} = (2, 0)$ supergravity multiplet is given by

$$\text{Bosons} : (1, 1) \oplus (0, 1)^5, \quad \text{Fermions} : \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)^4, \quad (8.11)$$

with $3 \times (3 + 5) = 24$ bosonic on shell degrees of freedom and $4 \times (2 \times 3) = 24$ fermionic ones.

8.3 6D $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ superalgebra

The non chiral $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ supersymmetric algebra consists of two copies of 6D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superalgebra with opposite chiralities; that is the tensor product of the $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ and $\mathcal{N} = (0, 1)$ supersymmetric algebras. Denoting by Q_α^i and $S_a^{\bar{\alpha}}$ the fermionic generators of these superalgebras and using eq(8.8), its complex conjugates as well as the following decomposition,

$$(4, 2) \times (\bar{4}, 2') = (1, 2 \times 2') \oplus (15, 2 \times 2'), \quad (8.12)$$

one can write down the graded commutation relations. From the above representation group reduction, one sees that we do have space-time singlet given by the term $(1, 2 \times 2')$. So non trivial central charges Z_a^i are allowed by non chiral 6D $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ supersymmetric algebra. Therefore, the graded commutation relations following from eqs(8.8-8.12) read as,

$$\begin{aligned} \{Q_\alpha^i, Q_\beta^j\} &= \epsilon^{ij} P_{[\alpha\beta]}, \\ \{S_a^{\bar{\alpha}}, S_b^{\bar{\beta}}\} &= \epsilon_{ab} P^{[\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}]}, \\ \{Q_\alpha^i, S_a^{\bar{\alpha}}\} &= \delta_\alpha^{\bar{\alpha}} Z_a^i \\ [P_{[\alpha\beta]}, Q_\gamma^j] &= [P_{[\alpha\beta]}, S_a^{\bar{\alpha}}] = 0 \\ [P_{[\alpha\beta]}, Z_a^i] &= [Z_a^i, Q_\alpha^j] = [Z_a^i, S_b^{\bar{\alpha}}] = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (8.13)$$

where $P^{[\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}]} = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} P_{[\gamma\delta]}$ and where $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ is the completely antisymmetric tensor in real four dimensions. Notice that under the identification of the $SU(2)$ automorphism groups of the two $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ and $\mathcal{N} = (0, 1)$ sectors, the four central charges split as

$$Z^{ij} = Z_0 \epsilon^{ij} + Z^{(ij)}, \quad (8.14)$$

which should be compared with eq(2.15). The smallest representation of this algebras is given by eq(7.15). The 6D $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ supergravity multiplet is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Bosons} &: (1, 1) \oplus (1, 0) \oplus (0, 1) \oplus \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)^4 \oplus (0, 0), \\ \text{Fermions} &: \left(1, \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \oplus \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)^2 \oplus \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right)^2 \oplus \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (8.15)$$

The carry $9+3+3+16+1 = 32$ bosonic on shell degrees of freedom and $6 \times 4 + 4 \times 2 = 32$ fermionic ones.

Acknowledgement 1 :

This research work is supported by the programme PROTARS D12/25/CNRST. AB and AS are supported by MCYT (Spain) under grant FPA 2003-02948. We would like to thanks R. Ahl Laamara and P. Diaz for discussions.

References

- [1] R. Gopakumar, C. Vafa, *M-Theory and Topological Strings-II*, hep-th/9812127.
- [2] R. Gopakumar, C. Vafa, *On the Gauge Theory/Geometry Correspondence*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **3** (1999) 1415-1443, hep-th/9811131.
- [3] R. Gopakumar, C. Vafa, *M-Theory and Topological Strings-I*, hep-th/9809187.
- [4] H. Ooguri, A. Strominger, C. Vafa, *Black Hole Attractors and the Topological String*, Phys. Rev. **D70** (2004) 106007, hep-th/0405146.
- [5] C. Vafa, *Two dimensional Yang-Mills, black holes and topological strings*, hep-th/0406058.
- [6] M. Aganagic, H. Ooguri, N. Saulina, C. Vafa, *Black holes, q-deformed 2d Yang-Mills, and non-perturbative topological strings*, Nucl.Phys.B715:304-348,2005, hep-th/0411280.
- [7] N. Caporaso, M. Cirafici, L. Griguolo, S. Pasquetti, D. Seminara, R. J. Szabo, *Topological Strings, Two-Dimensional Yang-Mills Theory and Chern-Simons Theory on Torus Bundles*, hep-th/0609129.
- [8] N. Caporaso, M. Cirafici, L. Griguolo, S. Pasquetti, D. Seminara, R. J. Szabo, *Black-holes, topological strings and large N phase transitions*, J.Phys.Conf.Ser.33:13-25,2006, hep-th/0512213.
- [9] M. Aganagic, A. Klemm, M. Marino, C. Vafa, *The Topological Vertex*, Commun. Math. Phys. **254** (2005) 425-478, hep-th/0305132.
- [10] R. Dijkgraaf, E. Verlinde, H. Verlinde, *Notes on topological string theory and two-dimensional topological gravity,* in *String theory and quantum gravity*, World Scientific Publishing, p. 91, (1991).
- [11] E. H. Saidi, M. B. Sedra, *Topological string in harmonic space and correlation functions in S^3 stringy cosmology*, Nucl. Phys. **B748** (2006) 380-457, hep-th/0604204.
R. Ahl Laamara, L.B. Drissi, E.H. Saidi, *D-string fluid in conifold: I &II*. Nucl.

- Phys. **B748** (2006) 380-457, hep-th/0604204, Nucl. Phys. **B749** (2006) 206-224, hep-th/0605209.
- E. H. Saidi, *Topological $SL(2)$ Gauge Theory on Conifold*, hep-th/0601020.
- [12] S. Ferrara, R. Kallosh, A. Strominger, *$N=2$ extremal black holes*, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 5412 (1995).
- [13] S. Ferrara, R. Kallosh, *Supersymmetry and Attractors*, Phys. Rev. D **54**, 1514 (1996).
- [14] A. Strominger, C. Vafa “*Microscopic Origin of the Bekenstein-Hawking Entropy*” Phys.Lett. **B 379** (1996) hep-th/9601029.
- [15] Monica Guica, Andrew Strominger, *Cargese lectures on string theory with eight supercharges*, arXiv:0704.3295
- [16] B. de Wit, *Introduction to black hole entropy and supersymmetry*, arXiv:hep-th/0503211.
- [17] R. Ahl Laamara , A. Belhaj, L.B. Drissi, E.H. Saidi, *Black Holes in type IIA String on Calabi-Yau Threefolds with Affine ADE Geometries and q -Deformed 2-D Quiver Gauge Theories*, Nucl.Phys. **B776**:287-326,2007, hep-th/0611289.
- [18] M. Aganagic, H. Ooguri, T. Okuda, *Quantum Entanglement of Baby Universes*, Nucl.Phys.**B778**36-68,2007, hep-th/0612067
- [19] C. Gomez, S. Montanez , *A Comment on quantum distribution functions and the OSV conjecture*. JHEP 0612:069,2006, hep-th/0608162.
- [20] A. Ceresole, S. Ferrara, A. Marrani “ *$4d/5d$ Correspondence for the Black Hole Potential and its Critical Points*”:arXiv:0707.0964 [hep-th].
- [21] F. Larsen “*The Attractor Mechanism in Five Dimensions*” :hep-th/0608191.
- [22] R Kallosh, N. Sivanandam, M. Soroush, “*The Non-BPS Black Hole Attractor Equation*”, JHEP 0603(2006)060,hep-th/0602005.
- [23] D. Astefanesei, K. Goldstein, S. Mahapatra,” *Moduli and (un)attractor black hole thermodynamics*”, hep-th/0611140.
- [24] A. Sen, *Black Hole Entropy Function, Attractors and Precision Counting of Microstates*, arXiv:0708.1270 [hep-th].
- [25] A. Dabholkar, *Black hole entropy and attractors*, Class. Quant. Grav.**23**:S957-S980,2006.

- [26] P. K. Tripathy, S. P. Trivedi, *Non-supersymmetric attractors in string theory*, JHEP 0603:022,2006, hep-th/0511117.
- [27] S. Gukov, C. Vafa, E. Witten “*CFT’s From Calabi-Yau Four-folds*” Nucl.Phys. **B 584** (2000), hep-th/9906070.
- [28] E. Witten, *String Theory Dynamics in Various Dimensions*, Nucl. Phys. **B 443**, (1995),184
- [29] C. Vafa “*Lectures on Strings and Dualities*” hep-th/9702201.
- [30] P.A. Aspinwall, *K3 surfaces and String Duality*, hep-th/961117.
- [31] P. S. Aspinwall, *Enhanced Gauge Symmetries and K3 Surfaces*, Phys. Lett. **B 357** (1995) 329–334, hep-th/9507012.
- [32] C. Hull and P. Townsend, *Enhanced Gauges Symmetries and K3 surfaces*, Phys. Lett. **B 347** (1995)313.
- [33] Paul S. Howe, G. Sierra, P.K. Townsend, *Supersymmetry in Six-Dimensions*, Nucl.Phys.**B 221**:331,1983.
- [34] A.S. Galperin, E.A. Ivanov, V.I. Ogievetsky, E.S. Sokatchev, *Harmonic superspace*, Cambridge, UK: Univ. Pr. (2001) 306 p.
A. Galperin, E. Ivanov, V. Ogievetsky and P.K. Townsend, Class. Quantum, Grav. 3 (1986) 625, Class. Quantum . Grav. 1 (1985) 469.
- [35] T. Lhallabi, E.H. Saidi, *Two-Dimensional (4,0) Supergravity In Harmonic Superspace. The Action And The Matter Couplings*. Nucl.Phys.B335:689,1990.
- [36] E. H. Saidi, M. B. Sedra, *Topological string in harmonic space and correlation functions in S^3 stringy cosmology*, Nucl. Phys. **B748** (2006) 380-457, hep-th/0604204.
- [37] A. Belhaj, E.H Saidi, *On HyperKahler Singularities*, Mod.Phys.Lett. A15 (2000) 1767-1780 arXiv:hep-th/0007143.
A. Belhaj, M. Hssaini, E. L. Sahraoui, E. H. Saidi, *Explicit Derivation of Yang-Mills Self-Dual Solutions on non-Commutative Harmonic Space*, Class.Quant.Grav. 18 (2001) 2339-2358, arXiv:hep-th/0007137
- [38] P. S. Aspinwal, A. Maloney, A. Simons, *Black hole entropy, marginal stability and mirror symmetry*, JHEP 0707:034,2007, hep-th/0610033.

- [39] E.H. Saidi, *Su(2) Classification Of N=2 Complex Supersymmetric Representations*, J.Math.Phys.29:1949,1988; *On The Hypermultiplet Theories*, Int.J.Mod.Phys.A3:861-874,1988; *N=1 Complex Supersymmetric Theory In The Harmonic Superspace*, Phys.Lett.B214:83-86,1988.
- [40] A. Galperin, E. Ivanov, V. Ogievetsky and P.K. Townsend, *Class. Quantum, Grav.* 3 (1986) 625.
- [41] E. Sahraoui and E.H. Saidi, *Class. Quantum. Grav.* 16 (1999) 1,
A. El Hassouni, T. Lhallabi, E.G. Oudrhiri-Safiani, E.H. Saidi *Hyperkahler Metrics Building In The (1+3) Representation. The Taub - Nut Case*, Int.J.Mod.Phys.A4:351,1989.
- [42] Martin Rocek, Cumrun Vafa, Stefan Vandoren, *Hypermultiplets and Topological Strings*, JHEP 0602 (2006) 062, arXiv:hep-th/0512206
- [43] Martin Rocek, Cumrun Vafa, Stefan Vandoren, *Quaternion-Kahler spaces, hyperkahler cones, and the c-map*, in the "Handbook of pseudo-Riemannian geometry and supersymmetry", IRMA Lectures in Mathematical Physics, arXiv:math/0603048
- [44] *6D Black Attractors in 6D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ Harmonic Superspace. In preparation.*
- [45] A. Belhaj, P. Diaz, E.H. Saidi, A. Segui, work in progress.
- [46] A. Sen, *Two centered black holes and N=4 dyon spectrum*, arXiv:0705.3874 [hep-th].
- [47] B. Sahoo, A. Sen, *alpha-prime - corrections to extremal dyonic black holes in heterotic string theory*, JHEP 0701:010,2007, hep-th/0608182.