

FINITE-DIMENSIONAL SIMPLE POISSON MODULES

DAVID A. JORDAN

ABSTRACT. We prove a result that can be applied to determine the finite-dimensional simple Poisson modules over a Poisson algebra and apply it to numerous examples. In the discussion of the examples, the emphasis is on the correspondence with the finite-dimensional simple modules over deformations and on the behaviour of finite-dimensional simple Poisson modules on the passage from a Poisson algebra to the Poisson subalgebra of invariants for the action of a finite group of Poisson automorphisms.

1. INTRODUCTION

There are several known situations where aspects of a Poisson algebra A and of a noncommutative deformation T of A reflect and enlighten each other. Examples include Poisson primitive ideals and primitive ideals [18, 26, 33, 8, 13, 12, 14] and Poisson (co)homology and Hochschild (co)homology [27, 3, 2]. There is rarely a formal mechanism for passing between the two, an exception being the Dixmier map, as specified in [5], which, following [32], is known to give a homeomorphism between the prime spectrum of the enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ of a solvable Lie algebra and the Poisson prime spectrum of the symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{g})$, under the Kirillov-Kostant bracket [6, III.5.5]. The prime ideals of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ are completely prime but if T has finite-dimensional simple modules of dimension > 1 then their annihilators are primitive ideals that are not completely prime and have no clear counterpart among the prime Poisson ideals of A . Although such ideals are not reflected in the Poisson structure, we shall see that the simple modules that they annihilate often are. We shall present a result giving a method for the determination of the finite-dimensional simple Poisson modules over any affine Poisson algebra. Here the term *Poisson module* is used in the sense of Farkas [11] and Oh [34]. If J is a Poisson maximal ideal of A then J/J^2 has a natural Lie algebra structure and we show that there is a bijection, preserving dimension, between the isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional simple Poisson A -modules and pairs (J, \widehat{M}) where J is a Poisson maximal ideal of A and \widehat{M} is an isomorphism class of finite-dimensional modules over the Lie algebra J/J^2 . In this bijection, the simple Poisson modules in a class corresponding to the pair (J, \widehat{M}) are annihilated by J . The proof does not require any deep ideas and is essentially a matter of lifting various module structures, associative and Lie, and checking compatibility. It is constructive inasmuch that if the Poisson maximal ideals J of A can be identified and the representation theory of the Lie algebras J/J^2 is known then the finite-dimensional simple Poisson A -modules can be computed. As we shall see, this works very neatly in many examples.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B63; Secondary 16D60, 16S80, 16W22.

We illustrate the method by computing the finite-dimensional simple Poisson modules for numerous examples and for many of these we compare the results with the classification of finite-dimensional simple modules over a noncommutative deformation of A , in an algebraic sense to be specified in 2.4, for which this classification is known. Let us say that a \mathbb{C} -algebra T is *t-homogeneous* if, for, each positive integer d , there are, up to isomorphism, precisely t simple (left) T -modules of dimension d and that a Poisson algebra is *t-homogeneous* if it has the analogous Poisson property. The enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \simeq U(\mathfrak{so}_3)$ is well-known to be 1-homogeneous, whereas the quantized enveloping algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is 2-homogeneous (and has a 4-homogeneous variant) and the cyclically q -deformed algebra $U'_q(\mathfrak{so}_3)$ of [15, 16] is 5-homogeneous. Each of $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and $U'_q(\mathfrak{so}_3)$ is a deformation of a Poisson algebra A and we shall see that these Poisson algebras are *t-homogeneous* for $t = 1, 2, 5$, respectively. Here t is, in each case, the number of Poisson maximal ideals of A , each of which corresponds to a singularity of a surface, so, in particular, this gives a geometric explanation for the mysterious occurrence of the number five in the classification of simple $U'_q(\mathfrak{so}_3)$ -modules in [15, 16]. The Poisson *t-homogeneity* of these examples is due to the fact that $J/J^2 \simeq \mathfrak{sl}_2$ for each maximal Poisson ideal J of A . We include one example, 4.4, where the classification of the finite-dimensional simple modules over the deformation was not previously known but can be predicted using knowledge of the finite-dimensional simple Poisson modules. Often, as in the examples mentioned above, there is a bijection, preserving dimension, between the isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional simple Poisson modules and the isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional simple modules over the corresponding deformation but, in other examples, we need to replace a single deformation by a family of deformations for such a bijection to exist.

Many of the Poisson algebras that we consider arise as the Poisson algebra B^G of invariants for the action of a finite group G of Poisson automorphisms of a Poisson algebra B . For example, the algebra $U'_q(\mathfrak{so}_3)$ has a factor that is the algebra of invariants for an automorphism, of order 2, of the coordinate ring of the quantum torus and the analogous factor of the corresponding Poisson algebra is the Poisson algebra of invariants for a Poisson automorphism, of order 2, of the coordinate ring of the torus. A secondary theme of the paper is to explore aspects of the behaviour of finite-dimensional simple Poisson modules on the passage from B to B^G . Alev and Farkas [1] have pointed out that B^G need not be simple, as a Poisson algebra, when B is simple. In several such examples, including the one used in [1], there is one or more Poisson maximal ideal in B^G , giving rise to finite-dimensional simple Poisson modules. We shall observe various contrasts with the known theory for associative algebras of invariants. In Example 4.4, there is a 1-homogeneous Poisson algebra B for which every finite simple Poisson B -module splits, over B^G , into a sum of one-dimensional Poisson modules so that there are Poisson simple B -modules of unbounded length over B^G . In contrast, in Subsection 4.7, there is an example where both B and B^G are 4-homogeneous but, for each d , the four d -dimensional simple Poisson B -modules each restrict to a simple Poisson B^G -module, but only yielding two non-isomorphic simple Poisson B^G -modules, and there are two d -dimensional simple Poisson B^G -modules that are not summands of restrictions of simple Poisson B -modules. In Example 5.1, B is simple but B^G has a finite-dimensional Poisson module that is not semisimple.

The paper is organised as follows. The basic ideas in the theory of Poisson algebras and Poisson modules are set out in Section 2 and the result on the determination of finite-dimensional simple Poisson modules is in Section 3. Section 4 is subdivided and is concerned with examples, most of which are either $\mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$, with a certain type of Poisson bracket, or localizations or factors thereof. This includes the Poisson algebras corresponding to $U'_q(\mathfrak{so}_3)$ and $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. The final section deals with three interesting examples, computed in [2], of Poisson algebras of invariants for the diagonal action of a Weyl group W of a simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} on the symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*)$ for a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} . We show that, in each of the types A_2, B_2 and G_2 , the Poisson algebra of invariants is 1-homogeneous.

The finite-dimensional simple Poisson modules associated to $U'_q(\mathfrak{so}_3)$ and $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ were classified, using direct methods, in the PhD thesis of N. Sasom [36].

We thank J. Alev for several helpful comments on Poisson algebras.

2. POISSON ALGEBRAS AND MODULES

Definitions 2.1. Our base field will always be \mathbb{C} . By *Poisson algebra*, we mean a commutative \mathbb{C} -algebra A with a bilinear product $\{-, -\} : A \times A \rightarrow A$ such that A is a Lie algebra under $\{-, -\}$ and, for all $a \in A$, $\text{ham}(a) : \{a, -\}$ is a \mathbb{C} -derivation of A , called a *Hamiltonian* derivation of A (or a Hamiltonian vector field). Such a product $\{-, -\}$ will be referred to as a *Poisson bracket* on A . By *affine Poisson algebra*, we mean a Poisson algebra that is finitely generated as a \mathbb{C} -algebra.

A subalgebra B of a Poisson algebra A is a *Poisson subalgebra* of A if $\{b, c\} \in B$ for all $b, c \in B$ and an ideal I of A is a *Poisson ideal* if $\{i, a\} \in I$ for all $i \in I$ and all $a \in A$, in which case A/I is a Poisson algebra with $\{a+I, b+I\} = \{a, b\} + I$ for all $a, b \in A$. A Poisson algebra is *simple* if it has no proper non-zero Poisson ideals. An algebra homomorphism between two Poisson algebras is a *Poisson homomorphism* if it is also a Lie homomorphism and is a *Poisson isomorphism* if it is a bijective Poisson homomorphism, in which case its inverse is also Poisson. Two Poisson brackets on the same algebra A are *equivalent* if the corresponding Poisson algebras are isomorphic. The *Poisson centre* $\text{PZ}(A)$ consists of those elements $a \in A$ such that $\{a, b\} = 0$ for all $b \in A$.

Remarks 2.2. (i) Let I and J be Poisson ideals of a Poisson algebra A . As $\text{ham}(a)$ is a derivation of A for all $a \in A$, IJ is a Poisson ideal. Also I and J are Lie subalgebras of A under $\{-, -\}$ and, if $I \subseteq J$, I is a Lie ideal of J and J/I is a Lie algebra. In particular J/J^2 is always a Lie algebra and will be denoted $\mathfrak{g}(J)$.

(ii) There is a need to distinguish between the concepts of a maximal ideal that is also a Poisson ideal, for which we use the term *Poisson maximal ideal*, and a Poisson ideal that is maximal in the lattice of Poisson ideals. An example of the latter, but not the former, is 0 in the simple Poisson algebra $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$, with $\{x, y\} = 1$.

(iii) If A is affine then there is no need to distinguish between the concepts of a prime ideal that is also a Poisson ideal and a Poisson ideal that is prime in the lattice of Poisson ideals, in the sense that for all Poisson ideals I and J of A , $IJ \subseteq P$ implies $I \subseteq P$ or $J \subseteq P$. This is a consequence of the well-known fact [9, Lemma 3.3.3] that if δ is a derivation of a Noetherian \mathbb{Q} -algebra R and I is an ideal of R with $\delta(I) \subseteq I$ then $\delta(P) \subseteq P$ for each prime ideal P of R that is minimal over I and the fact that I must contain a finite product of such ideals P .

Definition 2.3. Let $A = \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$ and let $f \in A$. There is a Poisson bracket $\{-, -\}_f$ on A given by $\{x, y\}_f = \partial f / \partial z$, $\{y, z\}_f = \partial f / \partial x$ and $\{z, x\}_f = \partial f / \partial y$ and such that $f \in \text{PZ}(A)$. For more detail, see [24]. We call a Poisson bracket on A *exact* (determined by f) if it has the form $\{-, -\}_f$ for some $f \in A$.

Definitions 2.4. If T is a \mathbb{C} -algebra with a central non-unit non-zero-divisor t such that $B := T/tT$ is commutative then there is a Poisson bracket $\{-, -\}$ on B such that $\{\bar{u}, \bar{v}\} = \overline{t^{-1}[u, v]}$ for all $\bar{u} = u + tT$ and $\bar{v} = v + tT \in B$. In this situation, we follow [6, Chapter III.5] in referring to T as a quantization of the Poisson algebra B and we refer to any \mathbb{C} -algebra of the form $T/(t - \lambda)T$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is such that the central element $t - \lambda$ is a non-unit in T , as a *deformation* of A .

Definitions 2.5. We shall use the language of modules over Lie algebras rather than the equivalent language of representations. A module M over a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is a \mathbb{C} -vector space endowed with a bilinear product $[-, -]_M : \mathfrak{g} \times M \rightarrow M$ such that

$$[[a, b], m]_M = [a, [b, m]_M]_M - [b, [a, m]_M]_M$$

for all $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$ and all $m \in M$. A subspace V of M is a *submodule* of M if $[a, v]_M \in V$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ and all $v \in V$ and M is *simple* if $M \neq 0$ and its only submodules are 0 and M .

Definition 2.6. Let A be a commutative Poisson algebra with Poisson bracket $\{-, -\}$. An A -module M is a *Poisson A -module* if there is a bilinear product $\{-, -\}_M : A \times M \rightarrow M$ such that the following axioms hold for all $a, b \in A$ and all $m \in M$:

- (i) $\{\{a, b\}, m\}_M = \{a, \{b, m\}_M\}_M - \{b, \{a, m\}_M\}_M$;
- (ii) $\{a, bm\}_M = \{a, b\}m + b\{a, m\}_M$;
- (iii) $\{ab, m\}_M = a\{b, m\}_M + b\{a, m\}_M$.

Remark 2.7. There are two definitions of Poisson module in the literature. The one given above is as in [11] and [34]. Other authors, for example [7], only require a bilinear product $\{-, -\}_M$ satisfying Axiom 2.6(ii). Such a product is called a weak Poisson action in [11].

Axiom 2.6(i) says that M is a module over A when A is viewed as a Lie algebra. Axiom 2.6(iii) requires that $\{-, m\}$ is a derivation from A to the A -module M whereas Axiom 2.6(ii) is a derivation-like property for the map $\{a, -\}_M : M \rightarrow M$. To be more precise, given a derivation δ of a commutative ring R and an R -module M , we can define a δ -*derivation* of M to be a linear map $\theta : M \rightarrow M$ such that $\theta(am) = \delta(a)m + a\theta(m)$ for all $a \in A$ and $m \in M$. Axiom 2.6(ii) then requires that $\{a, -\}_M$ is a $\text{ham}(a)$ -derivation of M .

Definitions 2.8. Let A be a Poisson algebra. A submodule N of a Poisson A -module is a *Poisson submodule* of M if $\{a, n\}_M \in N$ for all $a \in A$ and all $n \in N$. A non-zero Poisson A -module M is *simple* if its only Poisson submodules are 0 and M is *Poisson semisimple* if it is a direct sum of simple Poisson A -modules.

Let M, M' be Poisson A -modules. A *Poisson module homomorphism* $f : M \rightarrow M'$ is an A -module homomorphism such that $f(\{a, m\}_M) = \{a, f(m)\}_{M'}$ for all $a \in A$ and $m \in M$. If such a map f is bijective then $f^{-1} : M' \rightarrow M$ is also a Poisson module homomorphism and f is a *Poisson module isomorphism*.

For the purposes of this paper, we say that a Poisson algebra A is t -homogeneous, for a non-negative integer t , if, for, each positive integer d , there are, up to isomorphism, precisely t simple Poisson A -modules of dimension d . As indicated in the introduction, we use the term t -homogeneous algebra for an algebra with the analogous associative property.

Remark 2.9. If $f : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ is a homomorphism of Lie algebras and M is an \mathfrak{h} -module then there is a \mathfrak{g} -module fM such that, as a set, ${}^fM = M$ and $[a, m]_{{}^fM} = [f(a), m]_M$ for all a and all $m \in M$. We shall use the same notation for the corresponding construction for modules over an associative algebra T . In particular, if M is a simple T -module and θ is an automorphism of T , then ${}^\theta M$ is also a simple T -module.

If A is a Poisson algebra, M is a Poisson A -module and π is a Poisson automorphism of A then, combining the above constructions, we obtain a Poisson A -module ${}^\pi M$, equal to M as a \mathbb{C} -vector space, with $a \cdot m = \pi(a)m$ and $\{a, m\}_{{}^\pi M} = \{\pi(a), m\}_M$ for all $a \in A$ and all $m \in M$. If $J = \text{ann}_A(M)$ then $\pi^{-1}(J) = \text{ann}_A({}^\pi M)$ and ${}^\pi M$ is simple if and only if M is simple.

Remark 2.10. One natural way in which Poisson modules arise is whenever I and J are Poisson ideals of A with $I \subseteq J$. The factor J/I is then a Poisson module with $\{a, j + I\}_{J/I} = \{a, j\} + I$. It is a routine matter to check that $\{-, -\}_{J/I}$ is well-defined and that the axioms for a Poisson module are satisfied. By Remark 2.2(i), J/I is also a Lie algebra. Every Poisson submodule of J/I is a Lie ideal so if J/I is simple as a Lie algebra then it is simple as a Poisson module. If A is affine and J is a Poisson maximal ideal, so that $A = J + \mathbb{C}$, then the converse is also true because every Lie ideal of J/I is then a Poisson A -submodule.

If I and J are any two Poisson ideals of A then I/IJ and J/IJ are Poisson modules.

Notation 2.11. Let M be a Poisson module over a Poisson algebra A and let $S \subseteq M$. We shall denote the annihilator of S in A , in the module sense, by $\text{ann}_A(S)$ and we shall denote by $\text{Pann}_A(S)$ the set $\{a \in A : \{a, m\}_M = 0 \text{ for all } m \in S\}$.

3. FINITE-DIMENSIONAL SIMPLE POISSON MODULES

Lemma 3.1. *Let A be an affine Poisson algebra and let M be a Poisson A -module. Let $J = \text{ann}_A(M)$.*

- (i) J is a Poisson ideal of A .
- (ii) If M is simple then J is a prime ideal of A .
- (iii) If M is finite-dimensional and simple then J is a maximal ideal of A .
- (iv) $\mathbb{C} + J^2 \subseteq \text{Pann}_A(M)$.

Proof. (i) Let $a \in A$, $j \in J$, and $m \in M$. Then $jm = j\{a, m\}_M = 0$ so, by Axiom 2.6(ii), $\{a, j\}m = \{a, jm\} - j\{a, m\}_M = 0$, whence $\{a, j\} \in J$ and J is a Poisson ideal.

(ii) Suppose that M is simple and let I and K be Poisson ideals of A such that $IK \subseteq J$, that is, $IKM = 0$. If $k \in K$ and $m \in M$ then, for $a \in A$, $\{a, km\}_M = k\{a, m\}_M + \{a, k\}m \in KM$. Hence KM is a Poisson submodule of M so either $KM = 0$, in which case $K \subseteq J$, or $KM = M$, in which case $IM = IKM = 0$ and $I \subseteq J$. By Remark 2.2(iii), J is a prime ideal of A .

(iii) By (ii), A/J is a domain. As it embeds in the finite-dimensional \mathbb{C} -algebra $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(M)$ it must be isomorphic to \mathbb{C} . Hence J is maximal.

(iv) It is clear from Axiom 2.6(iii), with $a = b = 1$, that $\{1, m\}_M = 0$ for all $m \in M$ so $\mathbb{C} \subseteq \text{Pann}_A(M)$. Let $m \in M$ and $j, k \in J$. By Axiom 2.6(iii), $\{jk, m\}_M = j\{k, m\}_M + k\{j, m\}_M = 0$, whence $J^2 \subseteq \text{Pann}_A(M)$. \square

Remark 3.2. If A, M and J are as in 3.1 and I is a Poisson ideal such that $I \subseteq J^2$ then, by 3.1(iv), M becomes a Poisson A/I -module in the obvious way: $(a + I)m = am$ and $\{a + I, m\}_M = \{a, m\}_M$.

Notation 3.3. Let A be an affine Poisson algebra. For a finite-dimensional simple Poisson A -module M , let \widehat{M} denote its isomorphism class. Similarly, for a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and a finite-dimensional simple \mathfrak{g} -module N , let \widehat{N} denote its isomorphism class.

Theorem 3.4. *Let A be an affine generated Poisson algebra.*

(i) *Let M be a finite-dimensional simple Poisson A -module and let $J = \text{ann}_A(M)$. There is a simple module M^* for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(J)$ such that $M^* = M$, as \mathbb{C} -vector spaces, and $[j + J^2, m]_{M^*} = \{j, m\}_M$ for all $j \in J$ and $m \in M$.*

(ii) *Let J be a Poisson maximal ideal of A and let N be a finite-dimensional simple $\mathfrak{g}(J)$ -module. There exist a simple Poisson A -module N^\dagger and a Lie homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}(J)$ such that $N^\dagger = {}^fN$ as a Lie module over A and $\text{ann}_A(N^\dagger) = J$.*

(iii) *For all finite-dimensional simple Poisson modules M , $M^{*\dagger} = M$. For all Poisson maximal ideals J of A and all finite-dimensional simple $\mathfrak{g}(J)$ -modules N , $N^{\dagger*} = N$.*

(iv) *The procedures in (i) and (ii) establish a bijection Γ from the set of isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional simple Poisson modules over A to the set of pairs (J, \widehat{N}) , where J is a Poisson maximal ideal of A and N is a finite-dimensional simple $\mathfrak{g}(J)$ -module, given by $\Gamma(\widehat{M}) = (\text{ann}_A(M), \widehat{M}^*)$.*

Proof. (i) By Lemma 3.1(iv), $J^2 \subseteq \text{Pann}_A(M)$ and, by Lemma 3.1(iii), J is a Poisson maximal ideal of A , whence, A being affine, $A = J \oplus \mathbb{C}$, as vector spaces. Being a module over the Lie algebra A , M is also a module over the Lie algebra J and, as $J^2 \subseteq \text{Pann}_A(M)$, over $\mathfrak{g}(J)$. Let M^* denote this $\mathfrak{g}(J)$ -module. Thus $[j + J^2, m]_{M^*} = \{j, m\}_M$ for all $j \in J$ and $m \in M$.

Let V be a non-zero submodule of M^* . Then V is a Lie J -submodule of M . By Lemma 3.1(iv), $\mathbb{C} \subseteq \text{Pann}_A(M)$, so, as $A = J \oplus \mathbb{C}$, V is a Lie A -submodule of M . As $A = J \oplus \mathbb{C}$ and $JM = 0$, V is also an A -submodule of M in the associative sense so it is a non-zero Poisson A -submodule of M . Hence $V = M$, as Poisson A -modules, and $V = M^*$, as $\mathfrak{g}(J)$ -modules. Therefore M^* is a simple $\mathfrak{g}(J)$ -module.

(ii) As J is maximal and A is affine, $A/J \simeq \mathbb{C}$ and $A = \mathbb{C} \oplus J$ as vector spaces. Let $\pi_J : A \rightarrow J$ and $\pi_{\mathbb{C}} : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the projections and let $\phi : J \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}(J)$ be the natural Lie homomorphism. Let $a, b \in A$. Then

$$\{a, b\} = \{\pi_J(a) + \pi_{\mathbb{C}}(a), \pi_J(b) + \pi_{\mathbb{C}}(b)\} = \{\pi_J(a), \pi_J(b)\}.$$

It follows, since J is a Poisson ideal, that $\{A, A\} \subseteq J$ and that π_J is a Lie homomorphism. Being a \mathbb{C} -module, N has the structure of an A -module, with $a \cdot n = \pi_{\mathbb{C}}(a)n$ for all $a \in A$ and all $n \in N$, and hence with $\text{ann}_A(N) = J$. Let N^\dagger denote N equipped with this A -module structure and the Lie A -module structure of fN , where f is the Lie homomorphism $\phi\pi_J : A \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}(J)$. For $a \in A$ and $n \in N^\dagger$, write $\{a, n\}_{N^\dagger} = [a, n]_{fN} = [f(a), n]_N$. To check that N^\dagger is a Poisson A -module, it remains to check Axioms 2.6(ii) and (iii).

Let $a, b \in A$ and $n \in N$ and let $\alpha = \pi_{\mathbb{C}}(a), \beta = \pi_{\mathbb{C}}(b), j = \pi_J(a)$ and $k = \pi_J(b)$. For Axiom 2.6(ii),

$$\{a, b \cdot n\}_{N^\dagger} = [f(a), \beta n]_N = \beta[f(a), n]_N = b \cdot \{a, n\}_{N^\dagger}$$

and, as $\{a, b\} \in J$, $\{a, b\} \cdot n = 0$. Therefore Axiom 2.6(ii) holds.

For Axiom 2.6(iii), note that $ab = jk + \alpha k + \beta j + \alpha\beta$, $f(a) = f(j)$, $f(b) = f(k)$ and $f(jk) = 0 = f(\alpha\beta)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \{ab, n\}_{N^\dagger} &= [f(ab), n]_N \\ &= [f(\alpha k + \beta j), n]_N \\ &= \alpha[f(k), n]_N + \beta[f(j), n]_N \\ &= \alpha[f(b), n]_N + \beta[f(a), n]_N \\ &= a \cdot \{b, n\}_{N^\dagger} + b \cdot \{a, n\}_{N^\dagger}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus N^\dagger is a Poisson A -module with $\text{ann}_A(N^\dagger) = J$.

To see that N^\dagger is simple as a Poisson A -module, let W be a non-zero Poisson submodule of N^\dagger and let $w \in W$, $\phi(j) \in \mathfrak{g}(J)$. Then $[\phi(j), w]_N = [f(j), w]_N = \{j, w\}_{N^\dagger} \in W$. Thus W is a $\mathfrak{g}(J)$ -submodule of N , and, by the simplicity of N , $W = N^\dagger$.

(iii) For $m \in M$ and $a \in A$,

$$\{a, m\}_{M^{*\dagger}} = [\phi\pi_J(a), m]_{M^*} = \{\pi_J(a), m\}_M = \{a, m\}_M,$$

where the last step uses the fact that $\mathbb{C} \subseteq \text{Pann}_A(M)$. Also $a \cdot m = \pi_{\mathbb{C}}(a)m = am$. Therefore $M^{*\dagger} = M$.

If $j \in J$ and $n \in N$,

$$[\phi(j), n]_{N^{*\dagger}} = \{j, n\}_{N^\dagger} = [f(j), n]_N = [\phi(j), n]_N.$$

Thus $N^{*\dagger} = N$.

(iv) If $g : M \rightarrow M_1$ is an isomorphism of finite-dimensional simple Poisson modules then it is clear that $J = \text{ann}_A(M_1)$ and that $g : M^* \rightarrow M_1^*$ is an isomorphism of Lie $\mathfrak{g}(J)$ -modules. Also, if $h : N \rightarrow N_1$ is an isomorphism of finite-dimensional simple Lie $\mathfrak{g}(J)$ -modules, for some Poisson maximal ideal J , then $h : N^\dagger \rightarrow N_1^\dagger$ is an isomorphism of Poisson A -modules. The result now follows from (iii). \square

Example 3.5. Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite-dimensional complex Lie algebra with basis x_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, and let $A := \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$. The Kirillov-Kostant Poisson bracket [6, III.5.5] on A is such that $\{x_i, x_j\} = [x_i, x_j]$. If $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathfrak{g}$, in particular if \mathfrak{g} is semisimple, then the only Poisson maximal ideal is $J = x_1A + x_2A + \dots + x_nA$ and $\mathfrak{g}(J) \simeq \mathfrak{g}$. Thus the finite-dimensional simple Poisson A -modules correspond exactly to the finite-dimensional simple \mathfrak{g} -modules.

At the other extreme, if \mathfrak{g} is abelian then the Poisson bracket on A is zero, every maximal ideal of A is Poisson and each finite-dimensional simple Poisson A -module M is one-dimensional, with basis $\{m\}$ and a pair of n -tuples α_i and β_i such that, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $x_i m = \alpha_i m$ and $\{x_i, m\}_M = \beta_i m$. For $f \in A$,

$$\{f, m\}_M = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)m.$$

4. Exact examples.

4.1. Some generalities. In this section we consider Poisson algebras of the form $A = \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$, with an exact bracket $\{-, -\}_f$, $f \in A$, and their factors. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $A/(f - \lambda)A$ will be written A_λ and we abuse notation by writing the images of x, y and z in A_λ as x, y, z respectively, rather than $\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}$. The surface $\{(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{C}^3 : f(a, b, c) = \lambda\}$, of which A_λ is the coordinate ring, will be written S_λ . If J is a Poisson maximal ideal of A containing $f - \lambda$ then the Poisson maximal ideal $J/(f - \lambda)A$ of A_λ will be written \bar{J} . In several cases, A_0 is the Poisson algebra of invariants for the action of finite group of Poisson automorphisms of a simple Poisson algebra B of Krull dimension 2 and has a deformation that is the algebra of invariants for the action of a finite group of automorphisms of a simple noncommutative deformation R of B .

Let $J = (x - \alpha, y - \beta, z - \gamma)$ be a maximal ideal of A and let $\lambda = f(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Thus $f - \lambda \in J$ and determines the same exact bracket on A as f . The maximal ideal J is a Poisson ideal, for the exact bracket $\{-, -\}_f$, if and only if $\partial f / \partial x \in J$, $\partial f / \partial y \in J$ and $\partial f / \partial z \in J$ if and only if $f - \lambda \in J^2$ if and only if (α, β, γ) is a singularity of the surface S_λ .

Now suppose that J is Poisson and let λ be as before. The maximal Poisson ideals J , of A , and \bar{J} of A_λ , determine the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}(J)$ and $\mathfrak{g}(\bar{J})$. As $f - \lambda \in J^2$, these Lie algebras are isomorphic so we shall use the single notation $\mathfrak{g}(J)$ to denote them. When Theorem 3.4 is applied to determine the finite-dimensional simple Poisson A -modules, the finite-dimensional simple Poisson A_λ -modules will automatically be determined, being the finite-dimensional simple Poisson A -modules annihilated by those Poisson maximal ideals that contain $f - \lambda$.

If S_λ is not smooth let $\text{sing}(S_\lambda)$ denote its singular locus. The partition of \mathbb{C}^3 into the surfaces S_λ can be refined to partition \mathbb{C}^3 into (i) the smooth surfaces S_λ , (ii) the punctured surfaces $S_\lambda \setminus \text{sing}(S_\lambda)$ and (iii) the points that are singularities for some S_λ . These are the so-called symplectic leaves of A , see [6, p. 274] or [7].

The Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}(J)$ that arise in many of the examples that follow are 3-dimensional and several of them are isomorphic to sl_2 . We shall not give explicit details of such isomorphisms but will appeal, implicitly, to the fact [10, 3.2.4] that, up to isomorphism, sl_2 is the unique 3-dimensional Lie algebra \mathfrak{s} over \mathbb{C} with $[\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{s}] = \mathfrak{s}$.

In the next three subsections, we consider exact Poisson brackets on A associated to Poisson subalgebras of invariants of the simple Poisson algebras $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$, with $\{x_1, x_2\} = 1$, $\mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}]$, with $\{x_1, x_2\} = x_1 x_2$, and $\mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2]$, with $\{x_1, x_2\} = x_1$.

4.2. The Kleinian singularity of type A_1 . Let $f = z^2 - xy$, so that $\{x, y\} = 2z$, $\{y, z\} = -y$ and $\{z, x\} = -x$. The Poisson bracket $\{-, -\}_f$ is equivalent to the Kirillov-Kostant Poisson bracket on A for the Lie algebra sl_2 . The coordinate ring $A_0 = A/fA$ has a unique singularity at 0, the Kleinian singularity of type A_1 , and has a well-known interpretation as a subalgebra of invariants. Let B be the Poisson algebra $B = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$, with $\{x_1, x_2\} = 1$, and let π be the Poisson automorphism of B such that $\pi(x_1) = -x_1$, $\pi(x_2) = -x_2$. The Poisson algebra of invariants B^π may be identified with A_0 , with $x = x_1^2/2$, $y = x_2^2/2$ and $z = x_1 x_2/2$, so that $xy = z^2$, $\{x, y\} = 2z$, $\{y, z\} = -y$ and $\{z, x\} = -x$.

There is a unique Poisson maximal ideal, $J := (x, y, z)$ and $\mathfrak{g}(J)$ is isomorphic to sl_2 . Hence, by Theorem 3.4, the Poisson algebras A and A_0 are both 1-homogeneous.

Proposition 4.1. *Let U denote the \mathbb{C} -algebra generated by x, y, z and t subject to t being central and the further relations*

$$xy = yx + 2tz + \frac{1}{2}t^2, \quad yz = zy - ty, \quad zx = xz - tx. \quad (4.1)$$

(i) *The algebra U is a quantization of A , with the Poisson bracket $\{-, -\}_f$, and $U_1 := U/(t-1)U$ is a deformation of A .*

(ii) *The elements $g := z^2 - \frac{1}{2}tz - yx = z^2 + \frac{3}{2}zt + \frac{1}{2}t^2 - xy$ and $p := z^2 - \frac{1}{2}z - yx = z^2 + \frac{3}{2}z + \frac{1}{2} - xy$ are central in U and U_1 respectively.*

(iii) *U_1 is 1-homogeneous and, for each $d \geq 1$, the unique simple module of dimension d is annihilated by $p - \sigma_d$, where $\sigma_d := \frac{4d-1}{16}$.*

Proof. (i) is a routine calculation. Both U and U_1 are examples of the iterated skew polynomial rings introduced in [19] and subsequently generalized and named ambiskew polynomial rings [4, 23]. In the notation of [19], take $A = \mathbb{C}[z, t]$, $\alpha(t) = t$, $\alpha(t) = t-1$ and $u = z^2 + \frac{3}{2}zt + \frac{1}{2}t^2$ to obtain U and make the obvious modifications, setting $t = 1$, to obtain U_1 . (ii) is then a consequence of [19, 1.2] and (iii) is obtained by applying [19, 3.11 and 3.6] or [21, 2.3 and 2.1]. \square

By Proposition 4.1, there is, up to isomorphism, a bijection, preserving dimension, between the finite-dimensional simple modules for A and the finite-dimensional simple modules of the deformation U_1 , albeit without explicit machinery for passing between the two. A similar situation exists for several of the examples of exact brackets that follow. It would be interesting to know for which Poisson brackets such a bijection exists. To see that there is no general result of this form, for Poisson algebras and their deformations, we consider the Poisson algebra $A_0 = B^\pi$.

The algebra $W = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, t : x_1x_2 - x_2x_1 = t]$, with t central, is a quantization of the Poisson algebra B and the first Weyl algebra $W_1 := W/(t-1)W$ is an associated deformation. The \mathbb{C} -automorphisms θ of W and θ_1 of W_1 such that $x \mapsto -x$, $y \mapsto -y$ and, for θ , $t \mapsto t$ are a quantization and deformation of π in the sense of [24]. The algebras W^θ and $W_1^{\theta_1}$ belong to families of quantizations and deformations of $B^\pi = A_0$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\sigma \in \mathbb{C}$. With U, U_1, g, p and σ_d as in Proposition 4.1 and W, W_1, θ and θ_1 as above, let $T_\sigma = U/(g - \sigma t)U$ and $T_{\sigma,1} = U_1/(p - \sigma)U_1$. Thus T_σ is generated by x, y, z and a central generator t subject to (4.1) and either of the relations*

$$yx = z^2 - \frac{1}{2}tz - \sigma t, \quad xy = z^2 + \frac{3}{2}zt + \frac{1}{2}t^2 - \sigma t$$

and the relations for $T_{\sigma,1}$ are obtained from those for T_σ by setting $t = 1$.

(i) *T_σ and $T_{\sigma,1}$ are, respectively, a quantization and deformation of A_0 .*

(ii) *$T_0 = W^\theta$ and $T_{0,1} = W_1^{\theta_1}$.*

(iii) *$T_{\sigma,1}$ is simple if and only if $\sigma \neq \sigma_d$ for all $d \geq 1$.*

(iv) *If $\sigma = \sigma_d$ for some, necessarily unique, d then $T_{\sigma,1}$ has a unique finite-dimensional simple module M and $\dim M = d$.*

Proof. (i) is a straightforward calculation. (ii) is well-known for W_1 , for example see [17], and the result can be lifted to W . Alternatively it is easy to check that, in W , $x := x_1^2/2$, $y := x_2^2/2$, $z := x_1x_2/2$ and t are invariants for θ , that they generate

W^θ , that they satisfy the defining relations for T_0 and, using standard methods of Gelfand-Kirillov dimension, that if $\chi : T_0 \rightarrow W^\theta$ is the resulting surjective algebra homomorphism then $\ker \chi = 0$. The same approach can be used for W_1 . (iii) is a routine application of [20, Theorem 6.1]. In the notation of [20, 5.1], $A = \mathbb{C}[z]$, $\alpha(z) = z - 1$ and $T_{\sigma,1} = T(z^2 + \frac{3}{2}z + \frac{1}{2} - \sigma)$. Finally (iv) is a re-statement of Proposition 4.1(iii). \square

As has been observed by Alev and Farkas in [1], the existence of the Poisson ideal \overline{J} of A_0 shows that, in contrast to the situation for the deformation $W_1^{\theta_1}$, which is simple as a consequence of [35, Theorem 28.3(ii)], Poisson simplicity is not preserved by the passage from B to $B^\pi \simeq A_0$. Although $B^\pi \simeq A_0$ is 1-homogeneous, its deformation $W^\theta \simeq T_{0,1}$, has no finite-dimensional simple modules. However, we see from Proposition 4.2, that, for each $d \geq 1$, there exists a unique $\sigma = \sigma_d$ such that the deformation $T_{\sigma,1}$ of A_0 has a d -dimensional simple module and that there is a bijection, preserving dimension, between the isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional simple Poisson modules for A_0 and the union of the isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional simple modules over the deformations $T_{\sigma,1}$, taken over all $\sigma \in \mathbb{C}$.

4.3. Invariants of the 2-torus. Let $f = xyz - x^2 - y^2 - z^2 + 4$ and let B be the coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}]$ of the torus, endowed with the Poisson bracket such that $\{x_1, x_2\} = x_1x_2$. If π is the \mathbb{C} -automorphism of B such that $\pi(x_1) = x_1^{-1}$ and $\pi(x_2) = x_2^{-1}$ then π is Poisson and B^π is generated by $x := x_1 + x_1^{-1}$, $y := x_2 + x_2^{-1}$ and $z := x_1x_2 + x_1^{-1}x_2^{-1}$ subject to the single relation $xyz - x^2 - y^2 - z^2 + 4 = 0$. It is known that $B^\pi \simeq A_0$. For example, see [30, Example 3.5], although there the base ring is \mathbb{Z} rather than \mathbb{C} . In the Poisson algebra B^π ,

$$\{x, y\} = xy - 2z, \quad \{y, z\} = yz - 2x \quad \text{and} \quad \{z, x\} = zx - 2y.$$

Thus there is a Poisson isomorphism $B^\pi \simeq A_0$, where the Poisson bracket on A_0 is induced by the exact bracket $\{-, -\}_f$ on A .

There are five Poisson maximal ideals in A :

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &:= xA + yA + zA, \\ J_2 &:= (x - 2)A + (y - 2)A + (z - 2)A, \\ J_3 &:= (x - 2)A + (y + 2)A + (z + 2)A, \\ J_4 &:= (x + 2)A + (y - 2)A + (z + 2)A, \\ J_5 &:= (x + 2)A + (y + 2)A + (z - 2)A. \end{aligned}$$

All but two of the surfaces S_λ are smooth. The singularities corresponding to J_2, J_3, J_4, J_5 lie on S_0 and S_4 has a singularity at $(0, 0, 0)$, corresponding to J_1 .

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(J_1)$ has basis (the images of) x, y, z and $[y, x] = 2z$, $[z, y] = 2x$, $[x, z] = 2y$. Consequently $\mathfrak{g}(J_1) \simeq sl_2$. It follows that, for each $d \geq 1$, A has a unique d -dimensional simple Poisson module annihilated by J_1 and that A_4 is 1-homogeneous.

For each of the generators $g = x, y$ or z of A , there is Poisson automorphism θ_g of A fixing g and with the other two generators eigenvectors for -1 . As $J_3 = \theta_x(J_2)$, $J_4 = \theta_y(J_2)$ and $J_5 = \theta_z(J_2)$, the four Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}(J_i)$, $2 \leq i \leq 5$, are isomorphic.

Let $u = x - 2$, $v = y - 2$, $w = z - 2$ and $J = J_2 = uA + vA + wA$. Then, in J , $\{u, v\} = uv + 2u + 2v - 2w$, $\{v, w\} = vw - 2u + 2v + 2w$ and $\{w, u\} = uw + 2u - 2v + 2w$,

so, in $\mathfrak{g}(J)$,

$$[u, v] = 2u + 2v - 2w, [v, w] = -2u + 2v + 2w, [w, u] = 2u - 2v + 2w,$$

from which it follows that $\mathfrak{g}(J) \simeq sl_2$. Therefore, for each $d \geq 1$, A has a unique d -dimensional simple Poisson module annihilated by J_2 . The same conclusion holds for J_3, J_4 and J_5 , for each of which the d -dimensional simple Poisson module annihilated by J_i is obtained from that annihilated by J_2 by the construction described in 2.9. Thus A is 5-homogeneous and A_0 is 4-homogeneous.

For $q \in \mathbb{C}$, the \mathbb{F} -algebra T_q generated by x, y and z subject to the relations

$$xy - qyx = (1 - q^2)z, \quad (4.2)$$

$$yz - qzy = (q^{-1} - q)x, \quad (4.3)$$

$$zx - qxz = (q^{-1} - q)y. \quad (4.4)$$

is a deformation of A , under $\{-, -\}_f$ and there is a central element $p = zyx - qz^2 - q^{-2}y^2 - x^2 + 2(1 + q^{-2})$ of T_q such that T_q/pT_q is a deformation of A_0 . See [24] for details. When $q \neq 1$, the algebra T_q is isomorphic to the algebra denoted $U'_q(so_3)$ in [16]. It is shown in [16], and, by an independent method in [36], that, provided q is not a root of unity, $U'_q(so_3)$ is 5-homogeneous, reflecting the situation for the Poisson algebra A .

Using the details of the modules in [16] or [36], one can check that, for each $d \geq 1$, there exist $\lambda_d, \mu_d \in \mathbb{C}$, all distinct, such that $p - \lambda_d$ annihilates four of the d -dimensional simple T_q -modules and $p - \mu_d$ annihilates the fifth. If M and N are any two of the four that are annihilated by $p - \lambda_d$ then there exists a \mathbb{C} -automorphism θ of T_q such that $\theta(p) = p$ and $N = \theta M$. Each of the algebras $T_q/(p - \lambda)T_q$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, is a deformation of A_α for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. If $\lambda = \lambda_d$ for any d then $\alpha = 0$ and if $\lambda = \mu_d$ for any d then $\alpha = 4$. Thus, as in Subsection 4.2, for each of A_0 and A_4 , there is a bijection, preserving dimension, between the isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional simple Poisson modules and the union, over a family of deformations, of the isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional simple modules.

With A_0 as above, let R be the group algebra $\mathbb{C}V$ of the Klein four-group $V = \{1, a, b, c\}$, considered as a Poisson algebra with the zero bracket. There is a surjective Poisson homomorphism $\psi : A_0 \rightarrow R$, given by $x \mapsto 2a, y \mapsto 2b$ and $z \mapsto 2c$. Every R -module M is a Poisson module, with $\{-, -\}_M = 0$, and the finite-dimensional simple (Poisson) R -modules are the four one-dimensional modules $R/\psi(\bar{J}_i)$, $2 \leq i \leq 5$. For each i , $\psi(\bar{J}_i)$ is idempotent so $\mathfrak{g}(\psi(\bar{J}_i)) = 0$. This conforms to Theorem 3.4, when a simple module M over the zero Lie algebra is interpreted as a one-dimensional vector space $\mathbb{C}v$ with $[0, v]_M = 0$.

4.4. Invariants of $\mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2]$. Let $f = x(4 - z^2) + y^2$ and let D be the Poisson algebra $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$ with the Poisson bracket such that $\{x_1, x_2\} = x_1$. This is the Kirillov-Kostant bracket for the 2-dimensional non-abelian solvable Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} and it is a routine matter to check that every non-zero Poisson ideal contains a power of x_1 . The Poisson bracket extends to the localization $B = \mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2]$ which is a simple Poisson algebra and has a Poisson automorphism π such that $\pi(x_1) = x_1^{-1}$ and $\pi(x_2) = -x_2$. The Poisson algebra of invariants [24, Example 3.2 and 3.6] is generated by $x := x_2^2$, $y := x_2(x_1 - x_1^{-1})$ and $z := x_1 + x_1^{-1}$, subject to the relation $x(4 - z^2) + y^2 = 0$, and the Poisson bracket is given by

$$\{x, y\} = -2xz, \{y, z\} = 4 - z^2 \text{ and } \{z, x\} = 2y.$$

Thus there is an isomorphism of Poisson algebras between B^π and A_0 where the Poisson bracket on A_0 is induced by the exact bracket $\{-, -\}_f$ on A .

There are two Poisson maximal ideals in A , $J_1 := xA + yA + (z - 2)A$ and $J_2 := xA + yA + (z + 2)A$ and $f \in J_1 \cap J_2$. If ϕ is the Poisson automorphism of A such that $\phi(x) = x$, $\phi(y) = -y$ and $\phi(z) = -z$ then $\phi(J_1) = J_2$ so $\mathfrak{g}(J_1) \simeq \mathfrak{g}(J_2)$. Writing w for $z - 2$, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(J_1)$ is 3-dimensional, with a basis consisting of (the images of) x, y and w and with

$$[x, y] = -4x, [y, w] = -4w \text{ and } [w, x] = 2y.$$

Hence $\mathfrak{g}(J_1) \simeq sl_2$ and, by Theorem 3.4, A and A_0 are 2-homogeneous.

For the Poisson bracket $\{-, -\}_f$, A has a quantization T generated by x, y, z and t subject to t being central and the additional relations

$$\begin{aligned} xy &= yx - 2tzx + 3t^2y + 2t^3z, \\ yz &= zy - tz^2 + 4t, \quad xz = zx - t^2z - 2ty, \end{aligned}$$

and with a central element $g = (4 - z^2)x + y^2 + 3tzy + t^2z^2 + 4t^2$. Replacing t by 1, we obtain a deformation T_1 with central element $p = (4 - z^2)x + y^2 + 3zy + z^2 + 4$. The factors T/gT and T_1/pT_1 are, respectively, a quantization and deformation of A_0 . Both T and T_1 are iterated skew polynomial rings over \mathbb{C} and T_1/pT_1 is simple. For more detail, see [24]. As far as we know, the classification of the finite-dimensional simple T_1 -modules is not in the literature. The classification of the finite-dimensional simple Poisson modules for A suggests that it should be 2-homogeneous. This is indeed the case. The argument involves passing to the localization at the powers of $z^2 - 4$, replacing the generator x by $(z^2 - 4)^{-1}p$ and observing that the localization is a polynomial ring over a simple ring. Consequently, every finite-dimensional simple module is generated by an eigenvector for the action of z with eigenvalue ± 2 . We leave the technical details to the interested reader but point out that, for one-dimensional modules, the calculation is straightforward, there being two maximal ideals of codimension 1, generated by $x + \frac{1}{4}, y + 1$ and $z - 2$ and by $x + \frac{1}{4}, y - 1$ and $z + 2$.

The correspondence between finite-dimensional simple Poisson A_0 -modules and finite-dimensional simple modules over deformations is similar to that in Subsections 4.2 and 4.3. For example, each of the one-dimensional T_1 -modules is annihilated by $p - 3$ so the two one-dimensional simple Poisson A_0 -modules correspond to one-dimensional simple modules over the deformation $T_1/(p - 3)T_1$ of A_0 , rather than the simple deformation T_1/pT_1 .

4.5. The quantized enveloping algebra $U_q(sl_2)$. Here we consider a Poisson algebra associated to the quantized enveloping algebra $U_q(sl_2)$. This requires two adjustments to the notion of an exact Poisson bracket on $A = \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$. Firstly, we may replace A by any overring A' , such as a localization, to which the three partial derivatives extend. Secondly, given a Poisson bracket $\{-, -\}$ on A (or A'), and an element $a \in A$ (or A'), it is a routine exercise on the Jacobi identity to verify that $a\{-, -\}$ is a Poisson bracket $\{-, -\}$ on A (or A'). This property of A does not extend to higher dimensions. Here we take $A' = \mathbb{C}[x, y, z^{\pm 1}]$, $f = xy + z + z^{-1}$ and $a = 2z$ to obtain Poisson bracket $\{-, -\} = 2z\{-, -\}_f$ on A' , for which

$$\{x, y\} = 2(z - z^{-1}), \quad \{y, z\} = 2zy \text{ and } \{z, x\} = 2zx. \quad (4.5)$$

There are two Poisson maximal ideals in A' , $J_1 := xA' + yA' + (z-1)A'$ and $J_2 := xA' + yA' + (z+1)A'$, and they are transposed by the Poisson automorphism ϕ of A' such that $\phi(x) = x$, $\phi(y) = -y$ and $\phi(z) = -z$. Hence $\mathfrak{g}(J_2) \simeq \mathfrak{g}(J_1)$. Setting $w = z - 1$, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(J_1)$ is generated by (the images of) x , y and w and

$$[x, y] = 2w, [y, w] = -2y \text{ and } [w, x] = -2x,$$

whence $\mathfrak{g}(J_1) \simeq sl_2$. By Theorem 3.4, A' is 2-homogeneous.

For $q \in \mathbb{C}^*$, let V_q be the \mathbb{C} -algebra generated by x, y and $z^{\pm 1}$ subject to the relations

$$xy - yx = (q - q^{-1})(z - z^{-1}), \quad yz = q^2zy; \quad xz = q^{-2}zx.$$

Then V_q is a deformation of A' , the corresponding quantization being obtained by regarding q as a central invertible indeterminate. If $q^2 \neq 1$, there is an isomorphism ψ from V_q to $U_q(sl_2)$, as presented in [6, 28], with $\psi(z) = K$, $\psi(x) = (q - q^{-1})E$ and $\psi(y) = (q - q^{-1})F$. The 2-homogeneity of the Poisson algebra A' reflects the 2-homogeneity, when q is not a root of unity, of $U_q(sl_2)$, details of which may be found in [28, VI.3] or [6, Chapter I.4].

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, let $A'_\lambda = A'/(f - \lambda)A'$. Then $f - 2 \in J_1$ and $f + 2 \in J_2$, so A'_2 and A'_{-2} are both 1-homogeneous. As in earlier examples, to reflect this in the representation theory of the deformation V_q , when q is not a root of unity, one has to take the union of the isomorphism classes of simple modules over the factors $V_q/(c - \lambda)V_q$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and c is the image, under ψ^{-1} , of the quantized Casimir element of $U_q(sl_2)$.

It is, Terwilliger and Weng [31] have shown that $U_q(sl_2)$ is isomorphic to the \mathbb{C} -algebra Y_q generated by $x, y, z^{\pm 1}$ subject to the relations

$$q^2xy - yx = q^2 - 1, \quad q^2yz - zy = q^2 - 1 \text{ and } q^2zx - xz = q^2 - 1.$$

This is a deformation of A' with the exact Poisson bracket $\{-, -\}_g$, where $g = 2(x + y + z - xyz) \in A$, for which

$$\{x, y\} = 2(1 - xy), \quad \{y, z\} = 2(1 - yz) \text{ and } \{z, x\} = 2(1 - xz).$$

Here $J_1 := (x-1)A' + (y-1)A' + (z-1)A'$ and $J_2 := (x+1)A' + (y+1)A' + (z+1)A'$ are the only Poisson maximal ideals and $\mathfrak{g}(J_1) \simeq \mathfrak{g}(J_2) \simeq sl_2$. It can be checked that the isomorphism constructed in [31] between $U_q(sl_2)$ and Y_q determines a Poisson isomorphism η from A' , under $\{-, -\}_g$, to A' , under $2z\{-, -\}_f$, with $\eta(x) = 1 - zy$, $\eta(y) = x - z^{-1}$, $\eta(z) = z$, $\eta^{-1}(x) = y + z^{-1}$ and $\eta^{-1}(y) = z^{-1}(1 - x)$.

There is an alternative 4-homogeneous version of $U_q(sl_2)$, appearing, for example, in [15, 16, 19, 37] and obtained by replacing the relation $xy - yx = (q - q^{-1})(z - z^{-1})$ by $xy - yx = (q^2 - q^{-2})(z^2 - z^{-2})$. Again this is a deformation of A' with an exact bracket similar to that in (4.5), but with $\{x, y\} = 4(z^2 - z^{-2})$. There are four Poisson maximal ideals J , each such that $\mathfrak{g}(J) \simeq sl_2$, and A' is 4-homogeneous.

4.6. One-dimensional simple Poisson modules. In this subsection, we consider some Poisson algebras for which all the finite-dimensional simple Poisson modules are one-dimensional.

Example 4.3. Here we consider an example where the singularities of f are not isolated. Let $f = xy^2 - z^2$, so that A_0 is the coordinate ring of the Whitney umbrella. Then $\{x, y\}_f = -2z$, $\{y, z\}_f = y^2$ and $\{z, x\}_f = 2xy$. The only value of λ for which S_λ is not smooth is 0, the singularities of S_0 are at the points

$(\alpha, 0, 0)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and the Poisson maximal ideals have the form $J_\alpha = uA + yA + zA$, where $u = x - \alpha$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(J_\alpha)$ has basis (the images of) u, y, z with $[u, y] = -2z$, $[y, z] = 0$ and $[z, u] = 2\alpha y$. This algebra is solvable and if $\alpha = 0$ it is isomorphic to the 3-dimensional Heisenberg Lie algebra. By [9, Corollary 1.3.13], every finite-dimensional simple $\mathfrak{g}(J_\alpha)$ -module N is one-dimensional and annihilated by $[\mathfrak{g}(J_\alpha), \mathfrak{g}(J_\alpha)]$. Therefore every finite-dimensional simple Poisson A -module M is one-dimensional, $M = \mathbb{C}v$, say, with $xv = \alpha v, yv = zv = 0, \{x, v\}_M = \mu v, \{y, v\}_M = \rho v$ and $\{z, v\}_M = 0$, for some $\alpha, \mu, \rho \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\alpha\rho = 0$.

Example 4.4. Let $n \geq 2$ and let $f = f_n = z^n - xy$, so that A_0 is the coordinate ring for the Kleinian singularity of type A_{n-1} . We shall see that the situation for $n > 2$ is quite different to that in Subsection 4.2 where $n = 2$.

Let $B = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$, with the Poisson bracket $\{x_1, x_2\} = 1$, and let π_n be the Poisson automorphism of B such that $\pi_n(x_1) = \varepsilon_n x_1$ and $\pi_n(x_2) = \varepsilon_n^{-1} x_2$, where ε_n is a primitive n th root of unity. For purposes of normalization, let $a \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $a^2 = n^n$. Then B^{π_n} is generated by $x := x_1^n/a, y := x_2^n/a$ and $z := x_1 x_2/n$ subject to the relation $xy = z^n$ and $B^{\pi_n} \simeq A_0$ as \mathbb{C} -algebras. In the Poisson algebra B^{π_n} ,

$$\{x, y\} = nz^{n-1}, \{y, z\} = -y \text{ and } \{z, x\} = -x$$

so $B^{\pi_n} \simeq A_0$ as Poisson algebras. The maximal ideal $J := xA + yA + zA$ is the unique Poisson maximal ideal of A for $\{-, -\}_f$.

Now suppose that $n > 2$. Then, in contrast to type A_1 , $z^{n-1} \in J^2$ so, in $\mathfrak{g}(J)$, $[x, y] = 0, [y, z] = -ny$ and $[z, x] = -nx$. This algebra is solvable so the finite-dimensional simple Poisson A -modules are one-dimensional. They have the form $M = \mathbb{C}v$ with $xv = yv = zv = 0 = \{x, v\}_M = \{y, v\}_M$ and $\{z, v\}_M = \tau v, \tau \in \mathbb{C}$. The same is true for A_0 .

When $n > 2$ is even, $B^{\pi_n} = (B^{\pi_2})^\theta$ for a Poisson automorphism θ of B^{π_2} and it exhibits some surprising behaviour of simple Poisson modules on the passage from a Poisson algebra to a Poisson subalgebra of invariants for the action of a finite group of Poisson automorphisms.

Recall, from Subsection 4.2, that B^{π_2} is 1-homogeneous. Take $n = 4$ and rewrite x, y and z as u, v and w , respectively, to distinguish them from x, y, z in B^{π_2} . Thus $\pi_4^2 = \pi_2, B^{\pi_4} = (B^{\pi_2})^\theta$, where $\theta(x) = -x, \theta(y) = -y$ and $\theta(z) = z$, and B^{π_4} is generated by $u = x^2/8 = x_1^4/16, v = y^2/8 = x_2^4/16$ and $w = z/2 = x_1 x_2/4$, subject to the relation $uv = w^4$.

Let $d \geq 1$. By 3.4 and the representation theory of sl_2 , the unique d -dimensional simple Poisson B^{π_2} -module M has basis $v_j, 0 \leq j \leq d-1$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \{x, v_j\}_M &= j(d-j)v_{j-1}, & \{z, v_j\}_M &= (2j+1-d)v_j/2, \\ \{y, v_j\}_M &= v_{j+1} \text{ if } j < d-1, & \{y, v_{d-1}\}_M &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

As we have seen above, all finite-dimensional simple Poisson modules over B^{π_4} are one-dimensional so, unless $d = 1$, M cannot remain simple over B^{π_4} . If $J = xB^{\pi_2} + yB^{\pi_2} + zB^{\pi_2}$, the unique Poisson maximal ideal of B^{π_2} , then $u, v \in J^2$ so, as $J^2 \subseteq \text{Pann}_A(M)$, $\{u, v_j\}_M = \{v, v_j\}_M = 0$ while $\{w, v_j\}_M = (2j+1-d)v_j/4$ so M splits into the direct sum of d one-dimensional simple Poisson B^{π_4} -modules. This contrasts with the situation for associative \mathbb{C} -algebras as covered by [35, Corollary 26.13(iv)].

Remark 4.5. The finite-dimensional simple Poisson modules for the other types of Kleinian singularities are all one-dimensional. If $f = x^2 + y^2 z + z^{n-1}$, $n \geq 4$

(type D_n), or $f = x^2 + y^3 + z^4$ (type E_6), or $f = x^2 + y^2 + yz^3$ (type E_7) or $f = x^2 + y^3 + z^5$ (type E_8), then, as for type A_n with $n > 1$, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(J)$ is solvable for the unique Poisson maximal ideal $J = xA + yA + zA$.

4.7. Further invariants of the torus. We close Section 4 by observing some very different behaviour, to that observed in Example 4.4, in the finite-dimensional simple Poisson modules in the passage from a Poisson algebra C to the Poisson algebra C^G of invariants for the action of a finite group G of Poisson automorphisms. Let $B = \mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}]$, let π be as in Subsection 4.3 and let $C = B^\pi$. Thus C is generated by $x = x_1 + x_1^{-1}$, $y = x_2 + x_2^{-1}$ and $z = x_1x_2 + x_1^{-1}x_2^{-1}$, subject to the relation $f = 0$, where $f = xyz - x^2 - y^2 - z^2 + 4$, and the Poisson bracket on C is induced by the exact bracket $\{-, -\}_f$ on A . Let θ denote both the Poisson automorphism of B such that $\theta(x_1) = x_1$ and $\theta(x_2) = -x_2$ and its restriction to C . Thus $\theta(x) = x$, $\theta(y) = -y$ and $\theta(z) = -z$. If K is the Klein 4-group generated by π and θ then $B^K = C^\theta$. It is a routine matter to check that C^θ is generated by x , $v := \frac{1}{2}y^2$, $w := \frac{1}{2}yz$ and $t := \frac{1}{2}z^2$ subject to the relations $w^2 = vt$ and $2xw - x^2 - 2v - 2t + 4 = 0$, the latter being the expression of the relation $f = 0$ in terms of x, v, w and t . Hence C^θ is generated by x , v and w subject to the relation $g = 0$, where $g = 2xvw - x^2v - 2v^2 - 2w^2 + 4v$. In C^θ , $\{x, v\} = 2xv - 4w$, $\{v, w\} = 2vw - 2vx$ and $\{w, x\} = 2t - 2v = 2xw - x^2 - 4v + 4$ so the Poisson bracket is induced by the exact Poisson bracket $\{-, -\}_g$ on $A' := \mathbb{C}[x, v, w]$. There are four Poisson maximal ideals of A' containing g :

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= (x - 2)A' + (v - 2)A' + (w - 2)A', & L_1 &= (x - 2)A' + vA' + wA', \\ I_2 &= (x + 2)A' + (v - 2)A' + (w + 2)A', & L_2 &= (x + 2)A' + vA' + wA'. \end{aligned}$$

If J is any one of these, then $g^2 \in J$ and $\mathfrak{g}(J) \simeq sl_2$. Consequently, as was the case for $C = B^\pi$ in Subsection 4.3, C^θ is 4-homogeneous. However the correspondence between finite-dimensional simple Poisson modules for C and those for C^θ is more subtle than one might expect. With the J_i 's as in Subsection 4.3, $J_2 \cap C^\theta = J_3 \cap C^\theta = I_1$ and $J_4 \cap C^\theta = J_5 \cap C^\theta = I_2$. Let M be a finite-dimensional simple Poisson C -module annihilated by J_2 , let $0 \neq N$ be a Poisson C^θ -submodule of M and let $n \in N$. As M is annihilated by the maximal ideal J_2 of C every \mathbb{C} -subspace of M is a C -submodule. In particular $(y - 2)N = 0 = (z - 2)N$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \{y, n\}_M &= \frac{1}{2}y\{y, n\}_M = \frac{1}{2}\{v, n\}_M \in N \text{ and} \\ \{y, n\}_M + \{z, n\}_M &= \frac{1}{2}z\{y, n\}_M + \frac{1}{2}y\{z, n\}_M = \{w, n\}_M \in N. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore N is a Poisson C -submodule of M , $N = M$ and M is simple as a Poisson C^θ -module. As $J_2 \cap C^\theta = I_1$, M is isomorphic, as a Poisson C^θ -module, to the unique $(\dim M)$ -dimensional simple Poisson C^θ -module. By the same argument, the same is true for any finite-dimensional simple Poisson C -module annihilated by J_3 . Similarly, if M' and M'' are simple Poisson C -modules, of the same finite dimension, annihilated by J_4 and J_5 respectively then, as Poisson C^θ -modules, they are simple, isomorphic and annihilated by I_2 .

On the other hand, the finite-dimensional simple Poisson C^θ -modules annihilated by L_i , $i = 1, 2$, are not Poisson C^θ -submodules of any simple Poisson C -modules. The unique maximal ideal of C containing L_i is $(x - (6 - 4i))C + yC + zC$ which is not Poisson.

There is a Poisson subalgebra D , of C^θ , that is isomorphic to C and is such that the extensions $D \subset C^\theta$ and $C^\theta \subset C$ exhibit the same behaviour of finite-dimensional simple Poisson modules. If ϕ is the Poisson automorphism of B with $\phi(x_1) = -x_1$ and $\phi(x_2) = x_2$ then ϕ restricts to Poisson automorphisms of C , with $\phi(x) = -x$, $\phi(y) = y$ and $\phi(z) = -z$, and C^θ , with $\phi(x) = -x$, $\phi(v) = v$ and $\phi(w) = -w$. Let $D = C^\phi$. It can be checked that D is generated by $u := \frac{1}{2}x^2$, v and $a := \frac{1}{2}xw$ subject to the relation $h = 0$ where $h = 2auv - 2a^2 - u^2v - uv^2 + 2uv$ and that its Poisson bracket is induced by the exact bracket $\{-, -\}_{2h}$ on $\mathbb{C}[a, u, v]$. There are four Poisson maximals:

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= uD + aD + vD, & L_3 &= (u - 2)D + aD + vD, \\ I_4 &= uD + aD + (v - 2)D, & L_4 &= (u - 2)D + (a - 2)D + (v - 2)D. \end{aligned}$$

For $d \geq 1$, the d -dimensional simple \mathbb{C}^θ -modules annihilated by L_1 or L_2 , respectively I_1 or I_2 , become isomorphic simple Poisson D -modules annihilated by L_4 , respectively L_3 , and there are two d -dimensional simple Poisson D -modules, annihilated by I_3 or I_4 , that are not restrictions of simple Poisson C^θ -modules. To see that $D \simeq C$, let $G = \langle \pi, \theta, \phi \rangle$, which is abelian, of order 8, and let $H = \langle \theta, \phi \rangle$. Then $B^H = \mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 2}, x_2^{\pm 2}] \simeq B$ and $D = (C^\theta)^\phi = ((B^\pi)^\theta)^\phi = B^G = (B^H)^\pi \simeq B^\pi = C$.

5. THE QUOTIENT VARIETIES $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*/W$.

Here we consider three Poisson algebras, computed by Alev and Foissy [2], that arise in the following way. Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra, \mathfrak{h} be a Cartan subalgebra and W be the Weyl group of \mathfrak{g} . There is a diagonal action of W on the symplectic space $V := \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*$, and on the symmetric algebra $S = S(V)$. Alev and Foissy have computed the Poisson homology in degree 0 for the Poisson algebra S^W for the three types A_2, B_2 and G_2 , where $\dim \mathfrak{h} = 2$.

Example 5.1. In the A_2 case, W is the symmetric group S_3 which acts as permutations on the Poisson algebra $S' = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3]$, with $\{x_i, y_j\} = \delta_{ij}$, $\{x_i, x_j\} = 0 = \{y_i, y_j\}$, and on the underlying 6-dimensional symplectic space. The algebra $S = S(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*)$ is generated by $x_1 - x_2$, $x_1 - x_3$, $y_1 - y_2$ and $y_1 - y_3$. Set $a_1 = 2x_1 - x_2 - x_3$, $a_2 = -x_1 + 2x_2 - x_3$, $a_3 = -x_1 - x_2 + 2x_3 = -a_1 - a_2$, $b_1 = 2y_1 - y_2 - y_3$, $b_2 = -y_1 + 2y_2 - y_3$, and $b_3 = -y_1 - y_2 + 2y_3 = -b_1 - b_2$. Then W acts, as permutations, on $\{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ and on $\{b_1, b_2, b_3\}$ and S is the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}[a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2]$ with the Poisson bracket such that $\{a_i, b_i\} = 6$, $\{a_i, a_j\} = 0 = \{b_i, b_j\}$ and $\{a_1, b_2\} = -3 = \{a_2, b_1\}$. Alev and Foissy [2, Théorème 13] identify the following W -invariants in S :

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= (a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_1a_3)/9, & g_2 &= (b_1^2 + b_2^2 + b_1b_3)/9, \\ g_3 &= -(2a_1b_1 + a_1b_2 + a_2b_1 + 2a_2b_2)/9, \\ m_1 &= (a_1a_2^2 + a_2a_1^2)/9, & m_2 &= (b_1b_2^2 + b_2b_1^2)/9, \\ m_3 &= (2a_1b_1b_2 + 2a_2b_1b_2 + a_1b_2^2 + a_2b_1^2)/9, \\ m_4 &= (2b_1a_1a_2 + 2b_2a_1a_2 + b_1a_2^2 + b_2a_1^2)/9, \end{aligned}$$

and that these generate S^W subject to the relations $r_i = 0$, where, for $1 \leq i \leq 5$,

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 &= g_3 m_3 + 3g_1 m_2 + g_2 m_4, \\ r_2 &= g_3 m_4 + 3g_2 m_1 + g_1 m_3, \\ r_3 &= m_3^2 - 12g_1 g_2^2 + 3g_2 g_3^2 - 3m_2 m_4, \\ r_4 &= m_4^2 - 12g_2 g_1^2 + 3g_1 g_3^2 - 3m_1 m_3, \\ r_5 &= m_3 m_4 - 9m_1 m_2 + 12g_1 g_2 g_3 + 3g_3^3. \end{aligned}$$

In [2, Théorème 13], there is a sixth relation which can be deduced from the overlap ambiguity $(g_3 m_3) m_4 = g_3 (m_3 m_4)$ between the first terms of r_1 and r_5 and which is used, with the other relations, to present S^W as a free module over the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}[g_1, m_1, g_2, m_2]$ with basis $\{1, g_3, g_3^2, g_3^3, m_3, m_4\}$. In [2], $g_1, g_2, g_3, m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4$ are written as $S_1, S_2, H, T_1, T_2, U_1, U_2$ respectively. The reason for our change will emerge shortly.

A table showing the Poisson bracket on S^W in terms of these generators is given in [2, 6.1.5] and it is observed in [2, 6.1.6] that this lifts to the polynomial algebra $P_7 := \mathbb{C}[g_1, g_2, g_3, m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4]$. In P_7 , the elements r_i , $1 \leq i \leq 5$, generate a Poisson ideal I such that, as Poisson algebras, $S^W \simeq P_7/I$.

From [2, 6.1.5], $g_1 = \frac{1}{2}\{g_3, g_1\}$, $g_2 = \frac{1}{2}\{g_2, g_3\}$, $g_3 = \{g_2, g_1\}$, $m_1 = \frac{1}{3}\{g_3, m_1\}$, $m_2 = \frac{1}{3}\{m_2, g_3\}$, $m_3 = \{g_1, m_2\}$ and $m_4 = \{m_1, g_2\}$. So if V is the generating subspace, spanned by the g_i 's and m_j 's, then $V \subseteq \{V, V\}$. As $\{V, V\} \subseteq K$ for any Poisson maximal ideal K of P_7 , it follows that $J := (g_1, g_2, g_3, m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4)$ is the unique Poisson maximal ideal of P_7 and that $\bar{J} := J/I$ is the unique Poisson maximal ideal of S^W . As $r_i \in J^2$ for $1 \leq i \leq 5$, $\mathfrak{g}(J) \simeq \mathfrak{g}(\bar{J})$ so P_7 and S^W share their finite-dimensional simple Poisson modules.

There is a Poisson \mathbb{Z} -grading of $S' = \oplus S'_i$, in the sense that $S'_i S'_j \subseteq S'_{i+j}$ and $\{S'_i, S'_j\} \subseteq S'_{i+j}$, in which, for each i , $a_i \in S'_1$ and $b_i \in S'_{-1}$. This is inherited by S^W and can be lifted to P_7 , where the generators $g_1, g_2, g_3, m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4$ have degrees $2, -2, 0, 3, -3, -1, 1$ respectively. The table in [2, 6.1.5] shows that $\{g_3, p\} = \deg(p)p$ if p is any of these generators and, by the derivation properties of $\{-, -\}$, the same conclusion follows for any $p \in P_7$. Deleting quadratic terms from the table in [2, 6.1.5], we find that, in $\mathfrak{g}(J)$,

$$\begin{aligned} [g_1, g_2] &= -g_3, [g_2, g_3] = 2g_2, [g_1, g_3] = -2g_1, \\ [m_i, m_j] &= 0, 1 \leq i, j \leq 4, \\ [g_1, m_1] &= 0, [g_1, m_2] = m_3, [g_1, m_3] = 2m_4, [g_1, m_4] = 3m_1, \\ [g_2, m_1] &= -m_4, [g_2, m_2] = 0, [g_2, m_3] = -3m_2, [g_2, m_4] = -2m_3, \\ [g_3, m_1] &= 3m_1, [g_3, m_2] = -3m_2, [g_3, m_3] = -m_3, [g_3, m_4] = m_4. \end{aligned}$$

From this it follows that

- $[\mathfrak{g}(J), \mathfrak{g}(J)] = \mathfrak{g}(J)$;
- g_1, g_2 and g_3 generate a subalgebra \mathfrak{s} isomorphic to sl_2 ;
- m_1, m_2, m_3 and m_4 generate an abelian subalgebra \mathfrak{m} ;
- \mathfrak{m} is an ideal of \mathfrak{g} and is the radical of $\mathfrak{g}(J)$;
- by the action of $\text{ad } g_3$, every non-zero Poisson \mathfrak{s} -submodule of \mathfrak{m} contains m_i for some i ;
- \mathfrak{m} is a simple \mathfrak{s} -module.

Thus $\mathfrak{g}(J)$ is isomorphic to the Lie algebra obtained by taking the direct sum of sl_2 and its unique 4-dimensional simple module M and extending the Lie bracket from sl_2 by taking $[s, m] = [s, m]_M$ and $[m, n] = 0$ for $s \in sl_2$ and $m, n \in M$. By [9, Proposition 1.7.1(i)], every finite-dimensional simple $\mathfrak{g}(J)$ -module is annihilated by \mathfrak{m} and becomes a simple sl_2 -module. By Theorem 3.4, S^W and P_7 are both 1-homogeneous.

The Poisson algebra P_7 is intriguing and it would be interesting to identify a general class of Poisson brackets on polynomial algebras of which this is an example. The following Proposition gives some of its features.

Proposition 5.2. *Let J be the unique Poisson maximal ideal of P_7 .*

(i) *For $1 \leq i \leq 5$, r_i is not Poisson central in P_7 .*

(ii) *The ideals $I := \sum_1^5 r_i P_7$ and $I_1 := \sum_3^5 r_i P_7 + IJ$ are Poisson ideals of P_7 . Let M be the Poisson module I/IJ , $N := I_1/IJ$ and $N' := I/I_1$. Then N is isomorphic to the unique 3-dimensional simple Poisson P_7 -module and N' is isomorphic to the unique 2-dimensional simple Poisson P_7 -module. Moreover N is the unique proper non-zero Poisson submodule of M and M is not Poisson semisimple.*

Proof. It is a routine calculation to use the table in [2, 6.1.5] to compute $\{g, r_i\}$, where g is one of the seven generators of P_7 and $1 \leq i \leq 5$. We have seen that $\{g_3, p\} = (\deg p)p$ for all $p \in P_7$. The elements r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4, r_5 have degrees $-1, 1, -2, 2, 0$ respectively so r_i is not Poisson central if $1 \leq i \leq 4$. For the other six generators, the non-zero brackets are displayed below. The non-centrality of r_5 follows from its bracket with g_1 .

$$\begin{aligned} \{g_1, r_1\} &= r_2, \{g_1, r_3\} = r_5, \{g_1, r_5\} = 2r_4, \\ \{g_2, r_2\} &= -r_1, \{g_2, r_4\} = -r_5, \{g_2, r_5\} = -2r_3, \\ \{m_1, r_1\} &= r_4, \{m_1, r_3\} = -6(g_1 r_1 + g_3 r_2), \{m_1, r_5\} = 6g_1 r_2, \\ \{m_2, r_2\} &= -r_3, \{m_2, r_4\} = 6(g_2 r_2 + g_3 r_1), \{m_2, r_5\} = -6g_2 r_1, \\ \{m_3, r_1\} &= r_3, \{m_3, r_2\} = -r_5, \{m_3, r_3\} = 6g_2 r_1, \\ \{m_3, r_4\} &= -12g_1 r_1, \{m_3, r_5\} = -6(g_3 r_1 - 3g_2 r_2), \\ \{m_4, r_1\} &= r_5, \{m_4, r_2\} = -r_4, \{m_4, r_3\} = 12g_2 r_2, \\ \{m_4, r_4\} &= -6g_1 r_2, \{m_4, r_5\} = 6(g_3 r_2 + 3g_1 r_1). \end{aligned}$$

It is now apparent that I and I_1 are Poisson ideals and that N is a Poisson submodule of M . The modules M, N and N' have bases consisting of (the images of) r_i , where, respectively, $1 \leq i \leq 5$, $3 \leq i \leq 5$ and $1 \leq i \leq 2$. Every finite-dimensional Poisson module X , over P_7 or S^W , is the direct sum, over \mathbb{Z} , of the eigenspaces $E_\rho := \{m \in X : \{g_3, m\}_X = \rho m\}$. For M these are $E_{-2} = \mathbb{C}r_3$, $E_{-1} = \mathbb{C}r_1$, $E_0 = \mathbb{C}r_5$, $E_1 = \mathbb{C}r_2$ and $E_2 = \mathbb{C}r_4$. From the data displayed, the only sums of these that are Poisson submodules are 0, M and N . Thus N is simple and is the unique Poisson submodule of M , which cannot then be Poisson semisimple. The same method establishes the Poisson simplicity of N' , in which $\{g_1, r_1\}_{N'} = r_2$, $\{g_1, r_2\}_{N'} = 0 = \{g_2, r_1\}_{N'}$, $\{g_2, r_2\}_{N'} = -r_1$, $\{g_3, r_1\}_{N'} = -r_1$ and $\{g_3, r_2\}_{N'} = r_2$. \square

Remark 5.3. Let $\mathfrak{s} = \mathbb{C}g_1 + \mathbb{C}g_2 + \mathbb{C}g_3$, a Lie subalgebra of P_7 isomorphic to sl_2 . The Poisson P_7 -module $M = I/IJ$ and its submodule $N = I_1/IJ$ are \mathfrak{s} -modules. In accordance with the representation theory of sl_2 , there is a simple

\mathfrak{s} -submodule N' , spanned by (the images of) r_1 and r_2 , such that $M = N \oplus N'$. As we have seen in Proposition 5.2, M is not semisimple as a Poisson P_7 -module or as a Poisson S^W -module. As S itself is Poisson simple, the property that every finite-dimensional Poisson module is semisimple is not preserved by the passage from a Poisson algebra to the Poisson algebra of invariants for the action of a finite group of Poisson automorphisms. This is in contrast to the situation for associative \mathbb{C} -algebras, see [29] or [22, p. 470]. We take the opportunity to correct an oversight in the latter reference, where the argument given should have been attributed to M. Lorenz.

Example 5.4. Next we consider, in rather less detail, the case where \mathfrak{g} is of type G_2 , appealing to [2] for identification of the invariants. Here S is as in Example 5.1 and the Weyl group, which we denote W' , is the direct product of the Weyl group W for A_2 and a cyclic group of order 2, whose generator π is such that $\pi(a_i) = -a_i$ and $\pi(b_i) = -b_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Consequently $S^{W'} = (S^W)^\theta$. By [2, Théorème 15], $S^{W'}$ is generated by $g_1, g_2, g_3, n_1 := m_1^2, n_2 := m_2^2, n_3 := m_1 m_2, n_4 := m_1 m_3, n_5 := m_1 m_4, n_6 = m_2 m_3$ and $n_7 = m_2 m_4$ subject to sixteen relations. The Poisson bracket again lifts to the appropriate polynomial ring, $P_{10} = \mathbb{C}[g_i, n_j, 1 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq 7]$ which has a unique Poisson maximal ideal $J = \sum_{i=1}^3 g_i P_{10} + \sum_{j=1}^7 n_j P_{10}$. As in Example 5.1, each of the relations has the form $r_i = 0$, where $r_i \in J^2$, so P_{10} and $S^{W'}$ share their finite-dimensional simple Poisson modules. The brackets $\{g, h\}$ between generators can be computed from the data in Example 5.1 and it can then be seen, much as in that example, that $[\mathfrak{g}(J), \mathfrak{g}(J)] = \mathfrak{g}(J)$, that g_1, g_2 and g_3 generate a subalgebra \mathfrak{s} isomorphic to sl_2 , that $n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6$ and n_7 generate an abelian subalgebra \mathfrak{n} , which is the radical of $\mathfrak{g}(J)$; and is simple as an \mathfrak{s} -module, that $\mathfrak{g}(J)$ is isomorphic to the Lie algebra obtained by taking the direct sum of sl_2 and its unique 7-dimensional simple module N and extending the Lie bracket from sl_2 as in Example 5.1 and that S^W and P_{10} are both 1-homogeneous Poisson algebras.

Example 5.5. Finally we consider the case where \mathfrak{g} is of type B_2 . The discussion is again based on the calculations of invariants in [2]. Here $S = S(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*) = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2]$, $\{x_i, x_j\} = 0 = \{y_i, y_j\}$ and $\{x_i, y_j\} = \delta_{ij}$. The Weyl group W is isomorphic to the dihedral group of order 8 and is generated by two elements that act on S by $x_1 \mapsto -x_1, y_1 \mapsto -y_1, x_2 \mapsto x_2, y_2 \mapsto y_2$ and by $x_1 \mapsto x_2, y_1 \mapsto y_2, x_2 \mapsto x_1, y_2 \mapsto y_1$. The following eight generators for S^W are identified in [2, Théorème 15]:

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= x_1^2 + x_2^2, & g_2 &= y_1^2 + y_2^2, & g_3 &= x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2, \\ m_1 &= x_1^2 x_2^2, & m_2 &= y_1^2 y_2^2, & m_3 &= x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2, \\ m_4 &= x_1 y_1^3 + x_2 y_2^3, & m_5 &= x_1^3 y_1 + x_2^3 y_2. \end{aligned}$$

As in Example 5.1, the Poisson bracket lifts from S^W to a Poisson bracket on the polynomial algebra $P_8 = \mathbb{C}[g_i, m_j, 1 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq 5]$. It is a routine matter to compute $\{g, h\}$ for each pair g, h of generators and to conclude that P_8 has a unique Poisson maximal ideal $J = \sum_{i=1}^3 g_i P_8 + \sum_{j=1}^5 m_j P_8$. A set of ten defining relations, $r_i = 0$, for S^W is given in [2, Théorème 15] and each $r_i \in J^2$. Consequently S^W has a unique Poisson maximal ideal \bar{J} , $\mathfrak{g}(J) \simeq \mathfrak{g}(\bar{J})$ and P_8 and S^W share their

finite-dimensional simple Poisson modules. In $\mathfrak{g}(J)$,

$$\begin{aligned} [g_1, g_2] &= 4g_3, [g_1, g_3] = 2g_1, [g_2, g_3] = -2g_2, \\ [m_j, m_k] &= 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq j, k \leq 5, \\ [g_1, m_1] &= [g_2, m_2] = [g_3, m_3] = 0, \\ [g_1, m_2] &= -4m_4, [g_1, m_3] = -2m_5, [g_1, m_4] = -12m_3, [g_1, m_5] = -4m_1, \\ [g_2, m_1] &= 4m_5, [g_2, m_3] = 2m_4, [g_2, m_4] = 4m_2, [g_2, m_5] = 12m_3, \\ [g_3, m_1] &= -4m_1, [g_3, m_2] = 4m_2, [g_3, m_4] = 2m_4, [g_3, m_5] = -2m_5. \end{aligned}$$

From this it follows, much as in Example 5.1, that $[\mathfrak{g}(J), \mathfrak{g}(J)] = \mathfrak{g}(J)$, that g_1, g_2 and g_3 generate a subalgebra \mathfrak{s} isomorphic to sl_2 , that m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4 and m_5 generate an abelian subalgebra \mathfrak{m} , which is the radical of $\mathfrak{g}(J)$ and is simple as an \mathfrak{s} -module, that $\mathfrak{g}(J)$ is isomorphic to the Lie algebra obtained by taking the direct sum of sl_2 and its unique 5-dimensional simple module M and extending the Lie bracket from sl_2 as before and that S^W and P_8 are 1-homogeneous Poisson algebras.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Alev and D. R. Farkas, *Finite group actions on Poisson algebras*, in The orbit method in geometry and physics (Marseille, 2000), 9–28, Progr. Math., **213**, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2003.
- [2] J. Alev and L. Foissy, *Le groupe de traces de Poisson de la variété quotient $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*/W$ en rang 2*, preprint posted on arXiv:math/0603142v2, July 2007.
- [3] J. Alev and T. Lambre, *Comparaison de l'homologie de Hochschild et de l'homologie de Poisson pour une déformation des surfaces de Klein*, in Algebra and Operator Theory (Tashkent 1997), 25–38, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1998.
- [4] V. V. Bavula and D. A. Jordan, *Isomorphism problems and groups of automorphisms for generalized Weyl algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353** (2001), 769–794.
- [5] K. A. Brown, *The Dixmier map for certain enveloping algebras*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **14** (1982), 403–406.
- [6] K. A. Brown and K. R. Goodearl, Lectures on Algebraic quantum groups, Birkhäuser (Advanced Courses in Mathematics CRM Barcelona), Basel-Boston-Berlin, 2002.
- [7] K. A. Brown and I. Gordon, *Poisson orders, symplectic reflection algebras and representation theory*, J. reine angew. Math **559** (2003), 193–216.
- [8] E. H. Cho and S-Q. Oh, *Primitive spectrum of quantum (2×2) -matrices and associated Poisson structure*, Far East J. Math. Sci. **6** (1998), 251–259.
- [9] J. Dixmier, Enveloping Algebras, Grad. Stud. Math. **11** Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 1996.
- [10] K. Erdmann and M. J. Wildon, Introduction to Lie Algebras, Springer, London, 2006.
- [11] D. R. Farkas, *Modules for Poisson algebras*, Communications in Algebra, **28** (2000), 3293–3306.
- [12] K. R. Goodearl, *A Dixmier-Moeglin equivalence for Poisson algebras and with torus actions*, in Algebra and Its Applications (Athens, Ohio, 2005), 131–154, Contemp. Math. **419**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI 2006.
- [13] K. R. Goodearl and S. Launois, *The Dixmier-Moeglin equivalence and a Gel'fand-Kirillov problem for Poisson polynomial algebras*, preprint posted on arXiv:math/0705.3486v1, May 2007.
- [14] K. R. Goodearl and E. S. Letzter, *The Dixmier-Moeglin equivalence in quantum coordinate rings and quantized Weyl algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **352** (2000), 1381–1403.
- [15] M. Havlicek, A. V. Klimyk and S. Posta, *Representations of the cyclically q -deformed algebra $so_q(3)$* , J. Math. Phys., **40** (1999), 2135–2161.
- [16] M. Havlicek and S. Posta, *On the classification of irreducible finite-dimensional representations of $U'_q(so_3)$ algebra*, J. Math. Phys., **42** (2001), 472–500.

- [17] T. J. Hodges, *Noncommutative deformations of type-A Kleinian singularities*, J. Algebra **161** (1993), 271–290.
- [18] T. J. Hodges and T. Levasseur, *Primitive ideals of $C_q[\mathrm{SL}(n)]$* , J. Algebra **168** (1994), 455–468.
- [19] D. A. Jordan, *Iterated skew polynomial rings and quantum groups*, J. Algebra **156** (1993), 194–218.
- [20] D. A. Jordan, *Primitivity in skew Laurent polynomial rings and related rings*, Mathematische Zeitschrift **213** (1993), 353–371.
- [21] D. A. Jordan, *Finite-dimensional simple modules over certain iterated skew polynomial rings*, J. Pure and Applied Algebra, **98** (1995) 45–55.
- [22] D. A. Jordan and I. E. Wells, *Invariants for automorphisms of certain iterated skew polynomial rings*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc., **39** (1996), 461–472.
- [23] D. A. Jordan, *Down-up algebras and ambiskew polynomial rings*, J. Algebra, **228** (2000), 311–346.
- [24] D. A. Jordan and N. Sasom, *Reversible skew Laurent polynomial rings and deformations of Poisson automorphisms*, preprint posted on arXiv:math/0708.3923v1, August 2007.
- [25] D. A. Jordan, *Poisson brackets and Poisson spectra on polynomial rings*, in preparation.
- [26] A. Joseph, *Quantum Groups and Their Primitive Ideals*, Ergebnisse der Math. (3) 29, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [27] C. Kassel, *L'homologie cyclique des algèbres enveloppantes*, Inventiones Mathematicae **91** (1988), 221–251.
- [28] C. Kassel, *Quantum Groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **155**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [29] H. Kraft and L. W. Small, *Invariant algebras and completely reducible representations*, Mathematical Research Letters, **1** (1994), 45–55.
- [30] M. Lorenz, *Multiplicative invariant theory*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 135 Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005.
- [31] T. Ito, P. Terwilliger, C. Weng, *The quantum algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and its equitable presentation*, J. Algebra, **298** (2006), 284–301.
- [32] O. Mathieu, *Bicontinuity of the Dixmier map*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1991), 837–863.
- [33] S-Q Oh, *Symplectic ideals of Poisson algebras and the Poisson structure associated to quantum matrices*, Comm. Algebra **27** (1999), 2163–2180.
- [34] S-Q Oh, *Poisson Enveloping Algebras*, Communications in Algebra, **27** (1999), 2181–2186.
- [35] D. S. Passman, *Infinite crossed products*, Academic Press, San Diego, London, 1989.
- [36] N. Sasom, *Reversible skew Laurent polynomial rings, rings of invariants and related rings*, PhD thesis, University of Sheffield, 2006, <http://david-jordan.staff.shef.ac.uk/NSthesis.pdf>.
- [37] S. P. Smith, *Quantum groups: an introduction and survey for ring theorists* in Noncommutative rings (Berkeley, CA, 1989), 131–178, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., 24, Springer, New York, 1992.

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SHEFFIELD, HICKS BUILDING, SHEFFIELD S3 7RH, UK

E-mail address: d.a.jordan@sheffield.ac.uk