

# Asymptotics of LRS Bianchi type I cosmological models with elastic matter

Simone Calogero\*

Departamento de Matemática Aplicada  
Facultad de ciencias, Universidad de Granada  
18071 Granada, Spain

J. Mark Heinzle†

Gravitational Physics  
Faculty of Physics, University of Vienna  
A-1090 Vienna, Austria

August 11, 2021

## Abstract

In this paper we report on results in the study of spatially homogeneous cosmological models with elastic matter. We show that the behavior of elastic solutions is fundamentally different from that of perfect fluid solutions already in the case of locally rotationally symmetric (LRS) Bianchi type I models; this is true even when the elastic material resembles a perfect fluid very closely. In particular, the approach to the initial singularity is characterized by an intricate oscillatory behavior of the scale factors, while the future asymptotic behavior is described by isotropization rates that differ significantly from those of perfect fluids.

## 1 Introduction

In cosmology, the matter model that is used most frequently is that of a perfect fluid, usually with a linear equation of state. This choice is quite general in Friedmann-Robertson-Walker space-times, where by inheritance of symmetry the stress-energy tensor must have the algebraic form of the stress-energy of a perfect fluid. The case is different, however, for anisotropic space-times: Considering perfect fluids is a restriction and might be misleading, since it is unclear in general, how robust the results on the behavior of perfect fluid solutions are

---

\*E-Mail: calogero@ugr.es

†E-Mail: Mark.Heinzle@univie.ac.at

under a change of the matter model. For example, it was shown in [7] that the past asymptotic behavior of Bianchi type I cosmological models with collisionless matter is considerably different from that of perfect fluid models. A more systematic analysis of the problem in the case of Bianchi type I models with general anisotropic matter sources has been carried out in [4]. Most importantly, this difference concerns the behavior of solutions in relation to the Taub (flat LRS Kasner) solution. While there exist perfect fluid solutions that are asymptotic to the Taub solution as the initial singularity is approached, this behavior does not appear for solutions with anisotropic matter. Results of this type have broad ramifications, since the dynamics of spatially homogeneous cosmologies are generally conjectured to be the building blocks of the asymptotic dynamics of generic cosmological models (i.e., models without symmetries), see [8] for a recent discussion. The Taub solution plays a crucial role in this context; essential ideas like the basic concept of Kasner eras (cf. the BKL asymptotics [1]) and the advanced concept of decay rates of inhomogeneities for generic models are connected with the Taub solution. In fact, the Taub solution already plays a crucial role in the treatment of Mixmaster dynamics, see [15]. It is therefore essential to see in which respect the dynamics of solutions toward the singularity is dependent on the choice of matter model, and whether the role of the Taub solution changes in this context. A systematic analysis of this problem in the case of Bianchi type I cosmological models has been carried out in [4].

In this paper we widen the analysis of [3] of the dynamics of cosmological models with elastic matter; the elastic matter model we consider is taken from [5, 10]. This matter model is particularly suitable for our aims, since it contains perfect fluids as a limiting case and thus allows to directly compare the behavior of anisotropic matter solutions (elastic solutions) with the behavior of perfect fluid solutions. In [3], it has been shown that the asymptotics toward the singularity of elastic solutions is fundamentally different from that of perfect fluids: The approach to the singularity is oscillatory, hence, in particular, there do not exist any solutions that approach the Taub solution in the asymptotic limit. In this paper we study the past and future asymptotic behavior of elastic models for the locally rotationally symmetric (LRS) Bianchi type I case in full detail. In particular, we present a detailed analysis of the oscillatory behavior of the scale factors that determine the metric, and we describe the dependence of the amplitude of the oscillations on the properties of the elastic material; see Section 5. (As we show in [4], oscillations in the past asymptotics of Bianchi type I solutions are due to an ‘overcritical’ violation of the energy conditions in a neighbourhood of the initial singularity; we refer to the concluding remarks.)

In addition to the dynamics toward the initial singularity we consider the dynamics of elastic cosmologies in the low density regime, i.e., the future asymptotic behavior of models. Also in this context we observe a fundamental difference between elastic solutions and perfect fluid solutions: Although isotropization occurs for all solutions, the isotropization rates of elastic models differ considerably from those of perfect fluid solutions. This remains true even in the case when the anisotropy properties of the elastic material are small and the elastic matter thus resembles a perfect fluid very closely; see Section 4.

The methods we use in this paper are methods from the theory of dynamical systems, see, e.g., [13]. In Section 2 we begin by briefly discussing the dynamical systems formulation for Bianchi type I elastic space-times. This approach is described in [3] in more detail; here, however, we adapt the formulation to our present purposes. Throughout the paper we use unit such that  $8\pi G = 1$  and  $c = 1$ .

## 2 Set-up

Locally rotationally symmetric (LRS) Bianchi type I space-times are represented by a line element of the form

$$- dt^2 + A^2 dx^2 + B^2 (dy^2 + dz^2) ; \quad (1)$$

we denote the spatial part of the metric by  $g$ .

In the vacuum case, the solutions of the Einstein equations are the Kasner solutions

$$- dt^2 + t^{2a} dx^2 + t^{2b} (dy^2 + dz^2) , \quad (2)$$

where  $a + 2b = 1 = a^2 + 2b^2$ . There exist two different solutions, the non-flat LRS Kasner solution  $(a, b) = (-1/3, 2/3)$ , and the Taub solution  $(a, b) = (1, 0)$ . Since the Taub solution is a representation of a subset of Minkowski space-time, the Taub metric admits a (smooth) extension beyond  $t = 0$  to Minkowski space-time. In particular, the hypersurface  $t = 0$  is null and not spacelike.

For perfect fluids with a linear equation of state  $p/\rho = w$  three classes of solutions exist. The Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) solution is isotropic,

$$- dt^2 + t^{4/(3[1+w])} (dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2) . \quad (3)$$

The non-isotropic solutions isotropize and approach (3) as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  (this will be discussed in detail below); toward the singularity these solutions are asymptotically vacuum, i.e., they approach (2) as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . Solutions with  $\dot{A}/A < \dot{B}/B$  are asymptotic to the non-flat LRS Kasner solution; solutions with  $\dot{A}/A > \dot{B}/B$  approach the Taub solution,

$$A \propto t \left[ 1 - (1+w) t^{1-w} \right] , \quad B \propto 1 + (2-w) t^{1-w} \quad (4)$$

as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . (The proof of (4) is straightforward, when one uses the formalism introduced below.) These solutions possess a weak null singularity, i.e., like the Taub solution they admit a (continuous) extension of the metric beyond  $t = 0$  [15]. (However,  $\rho$  diverges as  $t \rightarrow 0$ .)

In this paper we consider an LRS Bianchi type I space-time whose matter content is described by an anisotropic stress-energy tensor of the same algebraic type, i.e.,

$$T^\mu_\nu = \text{diag} (\rho, p_A, p_B, p_B) . \quad (5)$$

It is common to define the normalized principal pressures,

$$w_A = \frac{p_A}{\rho}, \quad w_B = \frac{p_B}{\rho},$$

the isotropic pressure  $p$ , and

$$w = \frac{p}{\rho} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{p_A + 2p_B}{\rho} = \frac{1}{3} (w_A + 2w_B) .$$

For perfect fluids,  $w_A = w_B = w$ ; if this is not the case the stress-energy tensor is often said to describe an anisotropic fluid. However, the required specification of the principal pressures typically lacks a physical foundation. A choice of principal pressures is usually made *ad hoc* to simplify the Einstein equations.

## 2.1 Elastic matter

In this paper we shall consider a stress-energy tensor of the form (5) that represents *elastic matter*. The general relativistic theory of elasticity has been originally formulated by Carter and Quintana in [5] and further elaborated by by Kijowski/Magli [9], Beig/Schmidt [2] and Karlovini/Samuelsson [10]. Relativistic elasticity is used in both relativistic astrophysics and cosmology, see, e.g., [10] and [11].

An elastic material is specified by a constitutive equation (Lagrangian) that depends on scalar functions constructed from the configuration map between the space-time and the natural (unstrained) state of the material; the stress-energy tensor  $T^\mu_\nu$  is then obtained as the variation w.r.t. the space-time metric of the matter action. In particular, this yields expressions for the principal pressures without the need to resort to any *ad hoc* assumptions; we refer to [10] and to the appendix at the end of this paper. Note in this context that the anisotropies of the elastic stress-energy tensor  $T^\mu_\nu$  are not due to intrinsic anisotropies in the elastic matter model, but to anisotropies of the space-time (provided the unstrained state of the elastic material is assumed to be isotropic). More specifically, for the constitutive equation used in [5, 9] the energy density is

$$\rho = \rho_0 (AB^2)^{-(1+w)} \left( 1 + \frac{vw}{6} \frac{(A^2 - B^2)^2}{A^2 B^2} \right), \quad (6)$$

where  $\rho_0 > 0$  is a constant, and the (normalized) principal pressures are given by

$$w_A = w - \frac{vw}{3} \frac{A^4 - B^4}{A^2 B^2 + \frac{vw}{6} (A^2 - B^2)^2}, \quad (7a)$$

$$w_B = w + \frac{vw}{6} \frac{A^4 - B^4}{A^2 B^2 + \frac{vw}{6} (A^2 - B^2)^2}. \quad (7b)$$

These relations contain two constants,  $v$  and  $w$ , where

$$|w| < 1, \quad vw > 0$$

is assumed. In the appendix of this paper we give a complete derivation of the energy density (6) and the principal pressures (7) for our particular choice of elastic matter and initial data.

The constant  $v$  we call *elastic constant*. It measures the response of the elastic material to anisotropies; when  $v = 0$ , the elastic material reduces to a perfect fluid with equation of state  $p = w\rho$ . Therefore, the elastic material under consideration contains, as a special case, the perfect fluid model most widely used in cosmology, see [16].

By (6)-(7), there are two regimes in which elastic matter can be viewed as a small perturbation of a perfect fluid: When  $vw \ll 1$  (small shear) or when  $A \sim B$  (almost isotropic geometry). This observation implies that there are indeed regimes where the energy distribution of a perfect fluid and of elastic matter are effectively indiscernible. Yet, as we will see, these models give rise to a rather different dynamical behavior of the space-time metric in the limits toward the initial singularity and for late times.

## 2.2 Dynamical systems formulation

The Einstein equations for a metric of the type (1) with stress-energy tensor (5) form a system of ODEs for the variables  $(A, \dot{A}, B, \dot{B})$ ; in addition there is one constraint equation. It is preferable, however, to replace  $(A, \dot{A}, B, \dot{B})$  by scale-invariant variables to obtain a dynamical systems formulation of the equations on a compact state-space. To this end we note that  $\sqrt{g} = AB^2$ , and we define the Hubble scalar as

$$H = \frac{1}{3} \frac{d}{dt} (\log \sqrt{g}) = \frac{1}{3} \left[ \frac{\dot{A}}{A} + 2 \frac{\dot{B}}{B} \right]. \quad (8)$$

We introduce the dimensionless variables

$$a = \frac{1}{3} \frac{\dot{A}}{A} H^{-1}, \quad b = \frac{1}{3} \frac{\dot{B}}{B} H^{-1}, \quad (9)$$

which satisfy the identity  $a + 2b = 1$ . In the vacuum case,  $(a, b)$  coincide with the constant Kasner parameters and the metric is (2); note, however, that in the general case,  $(a, b)$  neither satisfy the relation  $a^2 + 2b^2 = 1$ , nor does the metric assume the form (2). In the variables  $(a, b)$  the Hamiltonian constraint equation reads

$$\Omega = \frac{\rho}{3H^2} = \frac{3}{2}(1 - a^2 - 2b^2); \quad (10)$$

using that  $\Omega > 0$  and  $a + 2b = 1$  we infer

$$a \in \left(-\frac{1}{3}, 1\right), \quad b \in \left(0, \frac{2}{3}\right)$$

as well as  $\Omega \leq 1$ ; in addition,  $\Omega = 1$  iff  $a = 1/3 = b$ , which is the case iff  $\dot{A}/A = \dot{B}/B$ , i.e., for the FRW solution.

As the second set of dimensionless variables we use

$$\alpha = \frac{A^2}{A^2 + B^2}, \quad \beta = \frac{B^2}{A^2 + B^2}, \quad (11)$$

where  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ . Finally we introduce a new time variable  $\tau$  by defining

$$\partial_\tau = H^{-1} \partial_t \quad (12)$$

and we denote by a prime the differentiation w.r.t.  $\tau$ .

Using these variables the Einstein equations decouple into the dimensional equation for the Hubble variable

$$H' = -3H \left[ 1 - \frac{\Omega}{2}(1-w) \right], \quad (13)$$

and a reduced system of dimensionless equations

$$\alpha' = 9\alpha(1-\alpha) \left( a - \frac{1}{3} \right), \quad (14a)$$

$$a' = -\Omega \left[ \frac{3}{2}(1-w) \left( a - \frac{1}{3} \right) - (w_A - w) \right], \quad (14b)$$

where  $\Omega$  is determined by the constraint (10),

$$\Omega = \frac{9}{4}(1-a) \left( a + \frac{1}{3} \right)$$

and  $w_A$  is given by (7) expressed in the new variables,

$$w_A = w + \frac{vw}{3} \frac{1-2\alpha}{\alpha(1-\alpha) + \frac{vw}{6}(1-2\alpha)^2}. \quad (15)$$

The phase space associated with the dynamical system (14) is

$$\mathcal{L} = \left( -\frac{1}{3}, 1 \right) \times (0, 1) \ni (a, \alpha);$$

since (14) admits a smooth extension to  $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ , it is beneficial to include the boundary  $\partial\mathcal{L}$  in our analysis.

### 3 Dynamical systems analysis

The boundary  $\partial\mathcal{L}$  of the state space can be represented as a rectangle. The four vertices are fixed points of the dynamical system (14); we denote these points by

$$\mathbf{Q}_1 : (a, \alpha) = \left( -\frac{1}{3}, 1 \right) \quad \mathbf{T}_1 : (a, \alpha) = (1, 1) \quad (16a)$$

$$\mathbf{Q}_0 : (a, \alpha) = \left( -\frac{1}{3}, 0 \right) \quad \mathbf{T}_0 : (a, \alpha) = (1, 0). \quad (16b)$$

The four sides of the rectangle (where we exclude the fixed points) are invariant subsets. When  $a = -1/3$  we find  $\alpha' < 0$ ;  $a = 1$  entails  $\alpha' > 0$ ; for  $\alpha = 1$  we find by using (15) that

$$a'|_{\alpha=1} = -\frac{3}{2}\Omega(1-w)\left[a + \frac{1+w/3}{1-w}\right] < 0;$$

analogously,  $a' > 0$  when  $\alpha = 0$ . It follows that the flow on  $\partial\mathcal{L}$  forms a heteroclinic cycle:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q_1 & \longleftarrow & T_1 \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ Q_0 & \longrightarrow & T_0 \end{array} \quad (17)$$

The solutions associated with the fixed points  $T_0$ ,  $T_1$ , and the orbit  $T_0 \rightarrow T_1$  can be interpreted as the Taub solution. This is because  $a = 1$  ( $b = 0$ ) yields  $H \propto 1/(3t)$  via (12) and (13), and accordingly, (9) leads to  $A \propto t$  and  $B = \text{const}$ , i.e., to the Taub solution. Analogously, the fixed points  $Q_0$ ,  $Q_1$ , and the orbit  $Q_0 \leftarrow Q_1$  are representations of the non-flat LRS Kasner solution, since  $a = -1/3$  (and thus  $b = 2/3$ ).

In the interior of  $\mathcal{L}$  there is one fixed point:

$$F : (a, \alpha) = \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

The solution associated with  $F$  is isotropic, since  $a = 1/3$  (and thus  $b = 1/3$ ,  $\Omega = 1$ );  $\alpha = \beta = 1/2$  entails  $w_A = w_B = w$ . Accordingly, the fixed point  $F$  represents the FRW perfect fluid solution (3), which is associated with a perfect fluid with equation of state  $p = w\rho$ .

To analyze the global dynamics of the system (14) on  $\mathcal{L}$  we introduce the function

$$Z = (1-a)^{-1} \left(a + \frac{1}{3}\right)^{-1} \left[1 + \frac{vw(1-2\alpha)^2}{6\alpha(1-\alpha)}\right],$$

which is positive on  $\mathcal{L}$ ; in fact,  $Z = 1 = \inf_{\mathcal{L}} Z$  at  $F$ ,  $Z > 1$  on  $\mathcal{L} \setminus \{F\}$ , and  $\sup_{\mathcal{L}} Z = +\infty = Z|_{\partial\mathcal{L}}$ . A straightforward calculation shows that  $Z$  is decreasing along all orbits (different from  $F$ ), i.e.,  $Z' < 0$  on  $\mathcal{L} \setminus \{F\}$ . The monotonicity principle [6, 16] implies that (i) each orbit in  $\mathcal{L}$  converges to  $F$  as  $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ ; (ii) each orbit (different from  $F$ ) approaches  $\partial\mathcal{L}$  as  $\tau \rightarrow -\infty$ . Since  $\partial\mathcal{L}$  is given by (17), the past asymptotic behavior of solutions is represented by this heteroclinic cycle. This behavior of solutions is depicted in Figure 1.

Equations (12) and (13) allow us to translate between  $\tau$ -time and synchronous time  $t$ . Since  $-3H \leq H' \leq -3(1+w)H/2$ , Equation (12) can be integrated to yield a positive function  $t(\tau)$  that satisfies  $t \searrow 0$  as  $\tau \rightarrow -\infty$ .

Thus the interpretation of the results on the global dynamics of solutions is the following: Each LRS Bianchi type I model with elastic matter isotropizes toward the future (i.e., as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ) and (to first order) behaves like an (infinitely diluted) isotropic perfect fluid solution in the asymptotic regime. (At higher orders, however, when we consider isotropization rates, the behavior of elastic solutions differs significantly from that of perfect fluid solutions; see below.)




Figure 1: A generic orbit in the phase space  $\mathcal{L}$ . It is assumed that  $vw > (3/32)(1-w)^2$ . Under this assumption we observe oscillatory approach toward the FRW solution represented by F. The dominant energy condition is violated in the shadowed region.

Toward the singularity, i.e., as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , we observe *oscillatory* behavior of elastic cosmologies. Elastic models do not converge to either the Taub solution or the non-flat LRS solution, but exhibit oscillations between the two, which is a direct consequence of the approach of solutions to the heteroclinic cycle (17).

In the following we investigate the future and past asymptotics of elastic models in detail.

## 4 Future asymptotics and isotropization rates

The analysis of the future asymptotic dynamics is based on an investigation of the dynamical system (14) in the neighborhood of the equilibrium point F. The linearization of (14) at F possesses the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  and associated eigenvectors  $v_1, v_2$ ,

$$\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{3}{4} \left( -(1-w) \mp \sqrt{(1-w)^2 - \frac{32}{3}vw} \right),$$

$$v_{1,2} = \left( \frac{vw}{3}, \frac{3}{16} \left[ (1-w) \mp \sqrt{(1-w)^2 - \frac{32}{3}vw} \right] \right)^T.$$

It is immediate that, if  $vw \leq \frac{3}{32}(1-w)^2$ , the eigenvalues of the linearization of the system at F are real and negative; in this case F is a stable node. If  $vw > \frac{3}{32}(1-w)^2$  the eigenvalues are complex (with negative real part); in this case the fixed point F is a stable focus (stable spiral) and the solutions' approach to F as  $\tau \rightarrow \infty$  is oscillatory; see Figure 1. The late time behavior of elastic cosmologies is thus characterized by



- (i) monotonic isotropization if  $vw \leq (3/32)(1-w)^2$ ;
- (ii) oscillatory isotropization if  $vw > (3/32)(1-w)^2$ .

To compare the behavior of elastic models with the behavior of the associated perfect fluid solutions we consider elastic matter that behaves “almost like a perfect fluid”, i.e., we choose  $v$  to be small,

$$vw \ll (1-w)^2. \quad (18)$$

Evidently, this assumption implies monotonic isotropization of solutions. It follows from (18) that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= -\frac{3}{2}(1-w), & \lambda_2 &= -4\frac{vw}{1-w}, \\ v_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3}(1-w) \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, & v_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} vw \\ \frac{9}{8}(1-w) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

in the lowest order approximation. Accordingly, the solutions of the dynamical system in the neighborhood of F are approximately given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} + c_1 v_1 e^{-|\lambda_1|\tau} + c_2 v_2 e^{-|\lambda_2|\tau}. \quad (19)$$

Consider first the solution determined by  $c_1 \neq 0$ ,  $c_2 = 0$ . This solution coincides with the general *perfect fluid solution*, i.e., the solution to the (decoupled) equations

$$a' = -\frac{3\Omega}{2}(1-w)\left(a - \frac{1}{3}\right), \quad \alpha' = 9\alpha(1-\alpha)\left(a - \frac{1}{3}\right),$$

which are obtained from (14) by setting  $w_A = w_B \equiv w$  and thus form the system of equations for LRS Bianchi type I perfect fluid models (satisfying the equation of state  $p = w\rho$ ). Integrating (13) we obtain

$$H \propto e^{-\frac{3}{2}(1+w)\tau} \left(1 + \text{const } e^{-3(1-w)\tau}\right), \quad (20)$$

which is subsequently used in Equation (12) to yield the functional relation between  $\tau$ -time and synchronous time  $t$ :

$$t \propto e^{\frac{3}{2}(1+w)\tau} \left(1 + \text{const } e^{-3(1-w)\tau}\right). \quad (21)$$

(In the case  $w = 1/3$  the lower order term is of a different form.)

Finally, using  $(\sqrt{g})' = 3\sqrt{g}$ , which follows from (8) and (12), we obtain

$$\sqrt{g} = \sqrt{g_0} t^{\frac{2}{1+w}} \left(1 + \text{const } t^{-2\frac{1-w}{1+w}}\right), \quad (22a)$$

$$A(t) = (\sqrt{g_0})^{1/3} t^{\frac{2}{3}\frac{1}{1+w}} \left(1 - 2\text{const } t^{-\frac{1-w}{1+w}}\right), \quad (22b)$$

$$B(t) = (\sqrt{g_0})^{1/3} t^{\frac{2}{3}\frac{1}{1+w}} \left(1 + \text{const } t^{-\frac{1-w}{1+w}}\right). \quad (22c)$$

However, it is straightforward to see from (19) that this solution is *not generic* among LRS Bianchi type I solutions with elastic matter. Since  $|\lambda_1| \gg |\lambda_2|$ , in (19), the term  $c_1 v_1 e^{-|\lambda_1|\tau}$  can be neglected with respect to  $c_2 v_2 e^{-|\lambda_2|\tau}$ , and thus the generic solution behaves asymptotically like a solution given by  $c_1 = 0$ ,  $c_2 \neq 0$ .

Proceeding in complete analogy to above we find

$$\sqrt{g} = \sqrt{g_0} t^{\frac{2}{1+w}} \left( 1 + \text{const} t^{-\frac{16}{3} \frac{vw}{1-w^2}} \right), \quad (23a)$$

$$A(t) = (\sqrt{g_0})^{1/3} t^{\frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{1+w}} \left( 1 - 2 \text{const} t^{-\frac{8}{3} \frac{vw}{1-w^2}} \right), \quad (23b)$$

$$B(t) = (\sqrt{g_0})^{1/3} t^{\frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{1+w}} \left( 1 + \text{const} t^{-\frac{8}{3} \frac{vw}{1-w^2}} \right), \quad (23c)$$

for the generic elastic model. Comparing (22) and (23) we observe a fundamental difference in the *isotropization rates* which are defined as

$$\text{iso}(t) = (\sqrt{g})^{-1/3} \left| A - (\sqrt{g})^{1/3} \right|.$$

- (I) There exists one single solution to the LRS Bianchi type I equations with elastic matter that behaves (to second order) like a perfect fluid as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . In particular, the isotropization rates are identical:

$$\text{iso}(t) \propto t^{-\text{iso}_{\text{fl}}} = t^{-\frac{1-w}{1+w}}. \quad (24)$$

- (II) For the generic solution the isotropization rate is not the one of a perfect fluid solution, but

$$\text{iso}(t) \propto t^{-\text{iso}_{\text{el}}} = t^{-\frac{8}{3} \frac{vw}{1-w^2}}. \quad (25)$$

In particular, since  $\text{iso}_{\text{el}} \ll \text{iso}_{\text{fl}}$ , isotropization occurs at a much slower rate.

We see that, therefore, the generic late-time behavior of (non-isotropic) solutions with elastic matter is considerably different from the behavior of (non-isotropic) perfect fluid solutions. Since this is despite the fact that the material properties of the elastic matter resemble those of a perfect fluid, this result is interesting.

In astrophysical applications, such as the modeling of relativistic stars, replacing perfect fluid matter by elastic matter yields a family of models that includes the perfect fluid solutions as special cases (which are obtained by simply letting the elastic constants go to zero, see [10]). Here we see that, in contrast, in (spatially homogeneous) cosmology, elastic models behave qualitatively different from fluid models.

## 5 Past asymptotics

In the asymptotic regime  $\tau \rightarrow -\infty$  (i.e.,  $t \rightarrow 0$ ), every solution of (14) is described by the heteroclinic cycle (17) to an increasing degree of accuracy.

Accordingly, we observe alternations between Taub phases and non-flat LRS phases: In Taub phases, which are associated with the orbit in Figure 1 being close to either of the fixed points  $T_0$ ,  $T_1$  or the orbit  $T_0 \rightarrow T_1$  connecting these points, the solution is approximated by a Taub solution, i.e.,

$$A \propto t, \quad B \propto \text{const}; \quad (26a)$$

in non-flat LRS phases, which are associated with the orbit being close to either of the fixed points  $Q_0$ ,  $Q_1$  or the orbit  $Q_0 \leftarrow Q_1$ , the solution is approximated by

$$A \propto t^{-1/3}, \quad B \propto t^{2/3}. \quad (26b)$$

Elastic cosmological models will oscillate between phases (26a) and (26b) with a rapidly increasing frequency as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . This is a simple consequence of our considerations.

A priori, oscillations between phases of the type (26a) and (26b) are compatible with a large variety of scenarios: There could exist any constants  $0 \leq c_1 \leq c_2 \leq \infty$  such that  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} A = c_1$  and  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} A = c_2$ . In the following, however, we show that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} A = 0, & & \text{if } w > 0; \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} A = 0, \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} A = \infty, & & \text{if } w < 0. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Accordingly, the amplitude of the oscillations converges to zero if  $w > 0$ , and diverges if  $w < 0$ . Note that convergence of the scale factor  $A$  in the case  $w > 0$  occurs despite the fact that there exist phases (26b) where  $A$  is increasing.

In order to prove the asserted asymptotic properties of the scale factor  $A$ , we analyze in detail the asymptotic behavior of orbits in  $\mathcal{L}$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ ; we define an  $\epsilon$ -neighborhood of a point  $(\hat{a}, \hat{\alpha}) \in \bar{\mathcal{L}}$  as the set of all points  $(a, \alpha)$  such that  $|\alpha - \hat{\alpha}| \leq \epsilon$  and  $|a - \hat{a}| \leq \epsilon$ . If  $\epsilon$  is sufficiently small, then the flow of the dynamical system (14) in an  $\epsilon$ -neighborhood of a fixed point can be approximated by the linearized system.

Consider an arbitrary orbit  $\gamma$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ . To facilitate matters we invert the direction of time by defining  $\bar{\tau} = -\tau$ ; hence the orbit  $\gamma(\bar{\tau}) = (a, \alpha)(\bar{\tau})$  approaches the heteroclinic cycle (17) as  $\bar{\tau} \rightarrow \infty$  (instead of  $\tau \rightarrow -\infty$ ). There exists an increasing sequence of times  $\bar{\tau}_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $\gamma(\bar{\tau}_n) = (a, \alpha)(\bar{\tau}_n) = (1 - \epsilon, \alpha_n)$ , where  $\alpha_n < \epsilon$  for all  $n$ . Our proximate aim is to analyze the sequences

$$(\bar{\tau}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \quad \text{and} \quad (\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}. \quad (28)$$

By construction, at time  $\bar{\tau}_n$ , the orbit  $\gamma$  enters the  $\epsilon$ -neighborhood of the fixed point  $T_0$ . Using the linearized flow it is straightforward to compute that  $\gamma$  leaves this neighborhood again at time  $\bar{\tau}_n + \Delta\bar{\tau}$ , where  $\Delta\bar{\tau} = (1/6) \log(\epsilon/\alpha_n)$ ; furthermore,  $\gamma(\bar{\tau}_n + \Delta\bar{\tau})$  is given by  $(1 - \epsilon^{-(1-w)/2} \alpha_n^{(3-w)/2}, \epsilon)$ . Subsequently,

the orbit  $\gamma$  is approximated by a linear perturbation of the boundary orbit  $T_0 \rightarrow T_1$ .

The straightforward calculations show that the passage from the  $\epsilon$ -neighborhood of  $T_0$  to the  $\epsilon$ -neighborhood of  $T_1$  takes the time  $\Delta\bar{\tau} = -(1/3)\log\epsilon$  and that the orbit  $\gamma$  enters the  $\epsilon$ -neighborhood of  $T_1$  at a point with coordinates  $(1 - c_T \epsilon^{-(1-w)/2} \alpha_n^{(3-w)/2}, 1 - \epsilon)$  where  $c_T$  is a positive constant that depends on the choice of  $\epsilon$  only. Proceeding in this way, i.e., by tracking the orbit  $\gamma$  through the  $\epsilon$ -neighborhoods of the fixed points and along the boundary orbits, we obtain straightforwardly that

$$\alpha_{n+1} = C \epsilon \left( \frac{\alpha_n}{\epsilon} \right)^{(3-w)^2/(1+w)^2}, \quad (29)$$

where  $C$  is a positive constant that depends on  $\epsilon$  (but is independent of  $n$ ). As an alternative to (29) we can write

$$\left( \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{\tilde{\epsilon}} \right) = \left( \frac{\alpha_n}{\tilde{\epsilon}} \right)^{(3-w)^2/(1+w)^2}, \quad (30)$$

where  $\tilde{\epsilon}$  is a positive constant (independent of  $n$ ). Iteration yields

$$\left( \frac{\alpha_n}{\tilde{\epsilon}} \right) = \left( \frac{\alpha_0}{\tilde{\epsilon}} \right)^{(3-w)^{2n}/(1+w)^{2n}}. \quad (31)$$

Note that by choosing  $\alpha_0 < \tilde{\epsilon}$  we achieve  $\alpha_0/\tilde{\epsilon} < 1$ . Similarly, for  $\bar{\tau}_{n+1} - \bar{\tau}_n$  we find

$$\bar{\tau}_{n+1} = \bar{\tau}_n - \frac{8}{3(1+w)^2} \log \frac{\alpha_n}{\tilde{\epsilon}} + \text{const}, \quad (32)$$

and therefore

$$\bar{\tau}_n - \bar{\tau}_0 = -\frac{1}{3(1-w)} \log \frac{\alpha_n}{\tilde{\epsilon}} (1 + O(nC^{-n})), \quad (33)$$

where  $C > 1$ , or, approximately,

$$\alpha_n = \text{const } e^{-3(1-w)\bar{\tau}_n}. \quad (34a)$$

In a completely analogous manner one can find a different sequence of times, which we denote by  $\bar{\tau}'_n$ , and an associated sequence  $\gamma(\bar{\tau}'_n) = (1 - \epsilon, \alpha'_n)$ , where  $\alpha'_n = \alpha(\bar{\tau}'_n)$ , such that

$$\alpha'_n = 1 - \text{const } e^{-3(1-w)\bar{\tau}'_n}. \quad (34b)$$

By construction,  $\alpha_n$  is related to the minimum of  $\alpha$  in the interval  $[\bar{\tau}_{n-1}, \bar{\tau}_n]$  (which corresponds to one oscillation). To see that, note that the minimum is attained somewhere along the orbit  $Q_0 \rightarrow T_0$ ; using again the linearized flow in the neighborhood of that boundary orbit, we infer that  $\min_{\bar{\tau} \in [\bar{\tau}_{n-1}, \bar{\tau}_n]} \alpha(\bar{\tau}) = k\alpha_n$  for some constant  $k$  independent of  $n$ . Analogously,  $\alpha'_n$  is related to the maximum of  $\alpha$ , i.e.,  $\max_{\bar{\tau} \in [\bar{\tau}'_{n-1}, \bar{\tau}'_n]} \alpha(\bar{\tau}) = k'\alpha'_n$  for some constant  $k'$ .

Since

$$A^3 = \sqrt{g} \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \quad (35)$$

by (11), and  $\sqrt{g} = \sqrt{g_0} e^{-3\bar{\tau}}$  by (8) and (12), it follows that

$$A_n = A(\bar{\tau}_n) \propto \exp [-(2 - w)\bar{\tau}_n] , \quad (36a)$$

$$A'_n = A(\bar{\tau}'_n) \propto \exp [-w\bar{\tau}'_n] . \quad (36b)$$

Consider the case  $w > 0$ . Since  $A'_n$  is a measure for the maximum that  $A$  attains during one oscillation period (and  $A_n$  a measure for the minimum), we find that  $A(\bar{\tau}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\bar{\tau} \rightarrow \infty$ ; in fact, (36) can be condensed into the statement that

$$A(\bar{\tau}) \leq \text{const } e^{-w\bar{\tau}} \quad (37)$$

as  $\bar{\tau} \rightarrow \infty$  (or  $\tau \rightarrow -\infty$ ,  $t \rightarrow 0$ ). Note incidentally that  $e^{-\bar{\tau}} \propto t^{1/3}$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ ; this can be shown via (13) and a line of reasoning analogous to the above. We have thus proved the claim that, in the case  $w > 0$ , the scale factor  $A$  converges to zero; this convergence occurs despite the existence of phases (26b) where  $A$  is increasing. In the case  $w < 0$ , the conclusion from (36) is that the amplitudes of the oscillations grow unboundedly in such a way that  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} A = 0$  and  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} A = \infty$ . This concludes the proof of the claim (27).

Interestingly enough, the behavior of the scale factors is largely determined by the constant  $w$ , while the dependence on the elastic constant  $v$  is minor: It is hidden in the constants appearing in (34) and in the derived formulas (36) and (37). To explain the quasi-independence of the qualitative asymptotic behavior of the scale factors of the elastic constant  $v$ , we note that, in the asymptotic regime, the orbit spends a rapidly increasing amount of  $\tau$ -time in the neighborhood of the fixed points, while the time that elapses during the passage from one fixed point to the other is fixed. Since the fixed points thus dominate the asymptotic evolution of solutions and since the flow in the neighborhood of the fixed points is independent of  $v$ , it is only the isotropic constant  $w$  that enters in the description the qualitative asymptotic behavior of the scale factors.

Summarizing we see that the past asymptotic behavior of elastic cosmologies, as described by (36) and (37), is in stark contrast to the behavior of perfect fluid solutions, which converge to either the Taub solution (when  $a > b$ ) or to the non-flat LRS solution (when  $a < b$ ) as  $t \rightarrow 0$ ; see (4). The structure of the singularity is therefore completely different for elastic models. In particular, there does not exist the option of a weak null singularity.

## 6 Discussion and conclusions

In this paper we analyze locally rotationally symmetric (LRS) models of Bianchi type I with elastic matter. Since the elastic matter model naturally contains perfect fluid matter as a limiting case—the latter being the matter model most commonly used in cosmology—, we are able to directly compare the behavior of elastic models with the behavior of perfect fluid cosmologies.

Toward the future all elastic models approach an infinitely dilute isotropic state. The approach to this state is oscillatory when the elastic constant is sufficiently large; when the constitutive equation of state of the elastic matter does not deviate considerably from that of a perfect fluid (i.e., when the modulus of rigidity is small) isotropization is monotonic. Interestingly enough, even in the latter case, isotropization occurs at a rate that is fundamentally different from the isotropization rates observed for perfect fluids models. This is in contrast to standard astrophysical applications where elastic materials produce models that closely display the perfect fluid behavior [10]. In particular perfect fluid solutions are recovered by letting the elastic constant  $v \rightarrow 0$ . In the cosmological context this is no longer true; as shown in this paper, the isotropization rates of elastic solutions are qualitatively different from those of perfect fluid solutions.

The past asymptotics of elastic models is also interesting. While perfect fluid solutions converge to either the Taub solution or the non-flat LRS solution, elastic models oscillate between these two states. Oscillatory behavior toward the initial singularity is well-known in the context of certain Bianchi cosmologies, most notably in Bianchi types VIII and IX. This asymptotic behavior is usually referred to as the Mixmaster behavior. However, Mixmaster oscillations are absent when LRS symmetry is imposed: LRS solutions simply approach the Taub solution or the non-flat LRS solution. This is in stark contrast to behavior of elastic models analyzed in the present paper. These models exhibit oscillations despite the fact that they are LRS models.

Elsewhere we show in more detail that there is no evident connection between elastic oscillations and Mixmaster oscillations [3]. (It might be justified to say that the former are caused by the matter and the latter by geometry). In the paper [3] we consider the case of generic (in fact, diagonal) Bianchi type I models, and we find an intricate network of oscillations that determine the past asymptotic behavior of these elastic cosmologies. Again, this chaotic oscillatory approach to the singularity is fundamentally different from the Mixmaster behavior.

Despite the fact that elastic oscillations are not directly connected with Mixmaster oscillations, there might exist interesting consequences when one considers more general elastic models than Bianchi type I. Consider, e.g., elastic models of Bianchi type VIII or IX, say. In this case we expect Mixmaster behavior, which is characterized by oscillations between epochs where the behavior is close to the behavior of Bianchi type I models. Since already the Bianchi type I models are oscillatory, as shown here and in [3], we might be confronted with a hierarchy of oscillations, i.e., oscillations between oscillations, where Mixmaster oscillations connect epochs of elastic oscillations. Whether this is indeed true remains to be investigated.

Although it is not the purpose of this paper to propose elastic matter as a physically realistic matter model for the universe, we would like to conclude this paper by commenting on the ‘physics’ of elastic matter and elastic cosmologies. We have seen that the asymptotic behavior toward the initial singularity of elastic cosmological models differs qualitatively (and not merely quantitatively) from that of perfect fluid models. This is hardly surprising, since the differences

in the material properties of elastic matter and perfect fluid matter are most significant under extreme conditions, such as those found in the proximity of a singularity. One could expect that a description of matter as a solid elastic body might not be completely unrealistic under these conditions—elastic matter is also used in the modeling of compact stellar objects like neutron stars. However, we note that the dynamics toward the singularity of the elastic cosmologies under study are connected with the violation of energy conditions. The dominant energy condition reads  $|w_A| \leq 1$  and  $|w_B| \leq 1$ . This condition is violated in a neighborhood of the boundaries  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\alpha = 1$  of the state space; to see this we observe that

$$w_A|_{\alpha=0} = w + 2 > 1, \quad w_A|_{\alpha=1} = w - 2 < -1.$$

Hence, by continuity, there is a neighborhood of the sets  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\alpha = 1$  in the phase space where the dominant energy condition is violated, see the shadowed region in Figure 1; when  $v \rightarrow 0$ , this region becomes smaller and smaller (and eventually reduces to the empty set for  $v = 0$ ). Note that we only have directional dominant energy condition violation, i.e., only one of the normalized principal pressures (either  $w_A$  or  $w_B$ ) is bigger than one. In particular, the isotropic pressure,  $w = 1/3(w_A + 2w_B)$  always satisfies  $|w| < 1$ . The violation of energy conditions is thus much milder than in the context of phantom fields or similar models. In contrast to energy condition violation toward the singularity, at late times the dominant energy condition is always satisfied. In fact, for every solution, the energy conditions are satisfied for all times larger than some given time. (For a sufficiently small elastic constant  $v$ , the energy conditions are satisfied already after Planck’s time.) Finally, let us draw the reader’s attention to [4], where a close connection between energy condition violation and oscillatory singularities is established.

In general, it is unrealistic to expect that the description of the matter as an elastic material—represented by the constitutive equation of state (6)—remains true under extreme stresses. Under extreme conditions the material will lose its elastic properties and its behavior might deviate considerably from the one described. For instance, the assumption of a constitutive equation of state of the quasi-Hookean form is typically justified only under the condition of small shear, see [10], and hence violated if the shear scalar  $s^2$ , cf. (41), becomes too large; this occurs when the variable  $\alpha$  is too close to 0 or 1 (which characterises for the approach to the singularity). It is thus to be expected that the description of a material as elastic has a limited range of validity—it breaks down before the singularity is reached. Clearly, a modification of the material’s properties under extreme conditions will lead to different asymptotic behavior of the associated cosmological models. We refrain from investigating these issues further here since any modification of the matter properties seems rather ad hoc rather than based on sound physical considerations. However, we refer to [4], where we consider more general anisotropic matter sources.

The observed results on the long-term evolution of elastic cosmological models are completely independent of the above considerations, especially since we

study elastic matter whose material properties are almost indistinguishable from those of perfect fluids. Let us reemphasize that we do not propose elastic matter as a physically realistic matter model for the universe; however, the results bear relevance on our general understanding of the physics of cosmological models. The isotropization rates of elastic cosmological models differ from those of perfect fluid models even in the case where the material properties of the elastic matter deviate from those of perfect fluid matter by an arbitrarily small amount. Whether the difference in isotropization rates found here carries over to more general cosmological models with more general matter sources remains to be seen.

**Acknowledgments:** We would like to thank an anonymous referee for valuable comments. S. C. is supported by Ministerio Ciencia e Innovación, Spain (Project MTM2008-05271).

## Appendix: Elasticity theory

This appendix is devoted to a presentation of some basic concepts of the general relativistic theory of elasticity. In particular, we specify in detail the assumptions on the elastic matter model used in this paper, which lead to the energy density (6) and the principal pressures (7). A more detailed exposition of relativistic elasticity can be found in the references listed at the end of the paper.

The *reference state* of an elastic body is defined to be the state of the body in the absence of strain and external forces. (The reference state has of course to be understood in a Platonic sense, since the conditions of vanishing strain and external forces cannot be realized in the the real world.) It is assumed that, in the reference state, the particles of the body form, in the continuum limit, a smooth three dimensional manifold  $N$ , called *material space*. The manifold  $N$  must be equipped with different tensor fields in order to describe the physical properties of the body in the reference state. The very least one has to require is the ability to “count” the particles, and therefore to admit that the material space is equipped with a volume form (particle density). However, a consistent theory for the dynamics of elastic bodies in general relativity is presently available only under the stronger requirement that on the manifold  $N$  be defined a Riemannian metric  $\gamma$  (which reflects the ability to measure the “distance” between the particles). We refer to [10] for a discussion of the physical interpretation of this condition and on the restrictions that it imposes on the class of elastic materials covered by the theory.

The state of the body in a four dimensional space-time  $(M, \bar{g})$  is determined by a *configuration map*  $\psi : M \rightarrow N$  with the property that for all  $q \in N$  the set  $\psi^{-1}(q)$  is a timelike curve (the world-line of the “particle”  $q$ ). This definition implies that the kernel of the *deformation gradient*  $T\psi : TM \rightarrow TN$  is generated by a (future-directed unit) timelike vector field  $u$ , which is interpreted as the matter four-velocity; by construction,  $\psi^{-1}(q)$  is an integral curve.

In the following, let  $x^\mu$  denote a system of local coordinates in the space-time



and  $X^A$  a system of local coordinates in the material space; Greek indexes run from 0 to 3, capital Latin indexes from 1 to 3 and refer to tensors on the material space. Let  $\psi^A(x^\mu)$  be the triple of functions representing the configuration map in these coordinates and  $\partial_\mu \psi^A(x^\mu)$  the matrix-valued function representing the deformation gradient. Moreover we denote by  $\gamma_{AB}$  the components of the material metric. We define two metrics on the orthogonal complement  $\langle u \rangle^\perp$  of  $u$  in  $TM$ . The Riemannian metric induced by  $\bar{g}$  we denote by  $g$ :

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + u_\mu u_\nu .$$

The pull-back of the material metric by the map  $\psi$ , i.e.,  $\psi^*(\gamma)$ , is called the *relativistic strain tensor*  $h$ :

$$h_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \psi^A \partial_\nu \psi^B \gamma_{AB} . \quad (38)$$

The relativistic strain tensor satisfies two fundamental properties:

- (i) It is orthogonal to the matter four-velocity, i.e.,

$$h_{\mu\nu} u^\mu = 0 ; \quad (39a)$$

- (ii) It is constant along the matter flow, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{L}_u h_{\mu\nu} = 0 . \quad (39b)$$

By the property (i),  $h_{\mu\nu}$  defines a Riemannian metric on  $\langle u \rangle^\perp$ . Hence  $h^\mu{}_\nu = g^{\mu\sigma} h_{\sigma\nu}$  has three positive eigenvalues  $h_1, h_2, h_3$ .

The material is unstrained at  $x$  iff  $g_{\mu\nu}(x) = h_{\mu\nu}(x)$ . The scalar quantity

$$n = \sqrt{\det_g h} = \sqrt{h_1 h_2 h_3}$$

is the *particle density* of the material. This interpretation is justified by virtue of the continuity equation

$$\nabla_\mu (n u^\mu) = 0 .$$

A specific choice of elastic material is made by postulating a *constitutive equation*, i.e., the functional dependence of the (rest frame) energy density  $\rho$  of the material on the configuration map, the deformation gradient and the space-time metric. An important class of materials is the one for which this functional dependence enters only through the principal invariants of the strain tensor. In this case we have

$$\rho = \rho(q_1, q_2, q_3) , \quad (40)$$

where

$$q_1 = \text{tr } h , \quad q_2 = \text{tr } (h^2) , \quad q_3 = \text{tr } (h^3) ;$$

since  $n^2 = (q_1^3 - 3q_1 q_2 + 2q_3)/6$ , one of the invariants  $q_i$  can be replaced by the particle density  $n$ . The materials described by (40) generalize the class of isotropic, homogeneous, hyperelastic materials from the classical theory of

elasticity, see [12]. As explained in [2], restriction to these materials guarantees that the resulting elasticity theory is generally covariant and therefore seems quite a natural assumption in the context of general relativity.

In this paper we make use of a constitutive equation introduced in [10]. Let the *shear scalar* to be defined as

$$s^2 = \frac{1}{36} [n^{-2} (q_1^3 - q_3) - 24] , \quad (41a)$$

or, in terms of the eigenvalues  $h_1, h_2, h_3$ ,

$$s^2 = \frac{1}{12} \left[ \left( \sqrt{\frac{h_1}{h_2}} - \sqrt{\frac{h_2}{h_1}} \right)^2 + \left( \sqrt{\frac{h_1}{h_3}} - \sqrt{\frac{h_3}{h_1}} \right)^2 + \left( \sqrt{\frac{h_2}{h_3}} - \sqrt{\frac{h_3}{h_2}} \right)^2 \right] . \quad (41b)$$

Evidently,  $s^2$  is non-negative, and  $s^2 = 0$  (no shear) iff  $h_{\mu\nu} \propto g_{\mu\nu}$  (or equivalently,  $h_1 = h_2 = h_3$ ). Following [10] we shall consider a constitutive equation of the *quasi-Hookean* form, that is

$$\rho = \check{\rho}(n) + \check{\mu}(n)s^2 , \quad (42)$$

where  $\check{\rho}(n)$  is the *unsheared energy density* and  $\check{\mu}(n)$  the *modulus of rigidity* (or *shear modulus*). The stress-energy tensor associated with these materials is obtained as the variation with respect to the space-time metric of the matter action  $S_M = - \int \sqrt{|\bar{g}|} \rho$ . The result is given in [10, Sec. 6] and reads

$$\bar{T}_{\mu\nu} = \rho u_\mu u_\nu + T_{\mu\nu} , \quad (43a)$$

$$\text{where } T_{\mu\nu} = p g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{6} \frac{\check{\mu}}{n^2} \left[ \frac{1}{3} (\text{tr}(h^3) - (\text{tr}h)^3) g_{\mu\nu} + (\text{tr}h)^2 h_{\mu\nu} - (h^3)_{\mu\nu} \right] . \quad (43b)$$

Here  $p$  is the isotropic (component of the) pressure, which is given by

$$p = \check{p}(n) + \check{\nu}(n)s^2 , \quad \text{where } \check{p} = n^2 \frac{d}{dn} \left( \frac{\check{\rho}}{n} \right) , \quad \check{\nu} = \left( n \frac{d\check{\mu}}{dn} - \check{\mu} \right) . \quad (44)$$

The principal pressures  $p_i$  (which are the [non-zero] eigenvalues of  $T^\mu_\nu$ ) are thus of the form  $p_i = p + \delta p_i$ ; for an unstrained configuration,  $p_i = p$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . For  $\check{\mu} = 0$  (or  $s^2 = 0$ ), the elastic material reduces to a perfect fluid with stress-energy tensor  $\bar{T}_{\mu\nu} = \rho u_\mu u_\nu + p g_{\mu\nu}$ , energy density  $\rho = \check{\rho}$  and pressure  $p = \check{p}$ .

It remains to specify the functions  $\check{\rho}$  and  $\check{\mu}$  in the constitutive equation (42). Following [10], we postulate a linear equation of state between the modulus of rigidity  $\check{\mu}$  and the unsheared pressure  $\check{p}$ ,

$$\check{\mu} = v \check{p} , \quad (45)$$

where  $v$  is a dimensionless constant that we call *elastic constant* and that is allowed to vanish (in which case the elastic body becomes a perfect fluid). Finally we postulate a linear equation of state between the unsheared pressure  $\check{p}$  and the unsheared energy density  $\check{\rho}$ ,

$$\check{p} = w\check{\rho}, \quad (46)$$

where the constant  $w$  is allowed to take values in the interval  $[-1, 1]$ . We also assume that the product  $vw$  is non-negative to guarantee the non-negativity of the energy density  $\rho$  (see Eq. (47) below). We remark that our choice of equation of state (46) is different from the one in [10]; in ref. [10], the unsheared pressure and the unsheared energy density are assumed to satisfy a polytropic equation of state. The equation of state  $\check{p} = \check{p}(\check{\rho})$  selects the perfect fluid model that arises as a special case of the elastic matter model when the modulus of rigidity vanishes. In our case, it is a perfect fluid that obeys a linear equation of state, which is the perfect fluid model most widely used in cosmology. In [10], where the applications concern the stellar dynamics case, the elastic body becomes a polytropic perfect fluid star in the absence of rigidity.

Substituting (45) and (46) in (44) we find

$$\check{\rho} = \rho_0 n^{w+1}, \quad \check{\mu} = \rho_0 v w n^{w+1} \quad (|w| \leq 1, vw \geq 0)$$

for some constant  $\rho_0 > 0$ . Accordingly,

$$\rho = \rho_0 n^{w+1} (1 + vw s^2), \quad p = w\rho. \quad (47)$$

Since for an unstrained material  $\rho = \check{\rho}$  and  $p_i = p = \check{p}$  hold,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , the bound  $|w| \leq 1$  ensures that the dominant energy condition  $|p_i| \leq \rho$  is satisfied for an unstrained configuration. Furthermore,  $vw \geq 0$  guarantees that the energy density is positive for all values of the shear scalar  $s^2$  and has a minimum at zero shear. When  $v = 0$ , the modulus of rigidity  $\check{\mu}$  vanishes and the elastic matter reduces to a perfect fluid with a linear equation of state  $p = w\rho$ ; the condition  $|w| \leq 1$  ensures that the dominant energy condition  $|p| \leq \rho$  is satisfied for this perfect fluid. When  $w = 0$  (so that  $p = 0$ ), the choice of  $v$  is irrelevant, since  $vw = 0$ ; this is clear because shear cannot occur for dust. Henceforth, unless stated otherwise, by elastic matter we will always mean matter with constitutive equation (6), where  $w \in [-1, 1]$  and  $vw \geq 0$ .

Consider now a homogeneous space-time  $(M, \bar{g})$  of Bianchi type I, i.e.,

$$\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = -dt^2 + g_{ij}(t) dx^i dx^j, \quad (48)$$

where  $g_{ij}(t)$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ , is a family of Riemannian metrics that is induced on the spatially homogeneous hypersurfaces  $t = \text{const}$ . The spatial coordinates are chosen so that the Killing vector fields of the space-time coincide with the operators  $\partial_{x^i}$ . Now we recall that a matter model in a spatially homogeneous space-time is said to be *non-tilted* if the matter four-velocity  $u$  is orthogonal to the hypersurfaces of spatial homogeneity. For the metric (48) this means that

$u \equiv \partial_t$ . In this paper we assume that the elastic material in the Bianchi type I space-time is non-tilted. By (39) this implies

$$\partial_t h_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad h_{0\mu} = 0, \quad (49)$$

in particular the relativistic strain tensor is time independent. Next we recall (see, e.g., [16]) that compatibility with the Einstein equations entails that a matter model with stress-energy tensor  $T_{\mu\nu}$  in a Bianchi type I space-time must satisfy  $\partial_{x^i} T_{\mu\nu} = 0$ , i.e. the stress-energy tensor is independent of the spatial variables. A matter model is said to inherit the Bianchi type I symmetry if the relation  $\partial_{x^i} T_{\mu\nu} = 0$  implies that the matter fields, which enter into the definition of  $T_{\mu\nu}$ , are also independent of the spatial variables. For instance, in the case of perfect fluids, the relation  $\partial_{x^i} T_{\mu\nu} = 0$  implies the relations  $\partial_{x^i} \rho = \partial_{x^i} p = 0$  on the energy density and the pressure. In this paper we restrict to elastic matter that inherits the Bianchi type I symmetry, in particular we assume that

$$\partial_{x^i} h_{\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (50)$$

The equations (49) and (50) imply that the component of the relativistic strain tensor are constant:

$$h_{00} = h_{0k} = 0, \quad h_{ij} = \text{const}. \quad (51)$$

These constants can be fixed arbitrarily and correspond to the ‘‘initial data’’ for the matter field. Note that the relativistic strain tensor has been fixed by our geometric assumptions and that the concept of material space and material metric become redundant. (This is of course a consequence of the high degree of symmetry of the space-time). However at this point it is instructive to derive a material metric and configuration maps that give rise to a relativistic strain tensor of the form (51). We may consider a flat material metric and fix coordinates on the material space such that  $\gamma_{AB} = \delta_{AB}$ ; then we restrict to homogeneous deformations (see [12])

$$\partial_t \psi^A = 0, \quad \partial_{x^i} \psi^A = F_i^A = \text{const} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \psi^A = F_i^A x^i + c^A,$$

where  $c^A$  is a constant. By (38) we obtain the relativistic strain tensor (51), where  $h_{ij} = \delta_{AB} F_i^A F_j^B$ . Substituting (51) in (43) we obtain

$$\bar{T}_{00} = \rho, \quad \bar{T}_{0k} = j_k = 0, \quad \bar{T}_{ij} = T_{ij} \quad (52)$$

where  $T_{ij}$  is given in terms of  $h_{ij}$  via (43b).

The results presented in this paper have been obtained for *diagonal* solutions of the Einstein-elastic matter equations, i.e., solutions for which the space-time metric and the stress-energy tensor are diagonal. These solutions correspond to initial data, say at time  $t = 0$ , of the following type. Let  $g_{ij}(0)$  be the initial spatial metric and  $k_{ij}(0)$  the second fundamental form of the hypersurface  $t = 0$ . Without loss of generality we can assume that  $g_{ij}(0)$  and  $k^i_j(0)$  are diagonal (by choosing coordinates adapted to an orthogonal basis of eigenvectors of

$k_j^i(0)$ ). Furthermore we impose the condition that  $h_{ij}$  is diagonal; in particular, by rescaling the spatial coordinates, we can assume  $h_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ . The Einstein equations, in units  $c = 1 = 8\pi G$ , decompose into the momentum constraint  $j_k = 0$ , which is automatically satisfied by (52), the Hamiltonian constraint

$$(\text{tr}k)^2 - k_j^i k_i^j - 2\rho = 0, \quad (53a)$$

and the evolution equations

$$\partial_t g_{ij} = -2k_{ij} \quad \partial_t k_j^i = (\text{tr}k)k_j^i - T_j^i + \frac{1}{2}\delta_j^i (T_k^k - \rho). \quad (53b)$$

Since the off-diagonal elements of the tensor  $T_j^i$  form an homogeneous polynomial in  $h_j^i = g^{ik}h_{jk}$ ,  $i \neq j$ , it follows from the evolution equations (53b) that  $(g_{ij}, k_j^i, h_j^i)$  (and therefore  $T_j^i$ ) remain diagonal for all times. We refer to this special class of solutions of the equations (53) as *diagonal models*.

From  $h_j^i = g^{ik}h_{kj} = \text{diag}(g^{11}, g^{22}, g^{33}) = \text{diag}(h_1, h_2, h_3)$  we conclude that

$$s^2 = \frac{1}{12} \left[ \frac{g^{11}}{g^{22}} + \frac{g^{22}}{g^{11}} + \frac{g^{11}}{g^{33}} + \frac{g^{33}}{g^{11}} + \frac{g^{22}}{g^{33}} + \frac{g^{33}}{g^{22}} - 6 \right], \quad (54)$$

cf. (41b), which can be inserted into (47), i.e.,

$$\rho = \rho_0 (g^{11}g^{22}g^{33})^{(w+1)/2} (1 + vws^2), \quad (55a)$$

to yield  $\rho$  as a function of  $g^{11}$ ,  $g^{22}$ ,  $g^{33}$ . Moreover, from (43b) we find

$$T_1^1 = p + \frac{1}{6}\check{\mu} \left( \frac{g^{11}}{g^{33}} - \frac{g^{33}}{g^{11}} + \frac{g^{11}}{g^{22}} - \frac{g^{22}}{g^{11}} \right), \quad (55b)$$

$$T_2^2 = p + \frac{1}{6}\check{\mu} \left( \frac{g^{22}}{g^{11}} - \frac{g^{11}}{g^{22}} + \frac{g^{22}}{g^{33}} - \frac{g^{33}}{g^{22}} \right), \quad (55c)$$

$$T_3^3 = p + \frac{1}{6}\check{\mu} \left( \frac{g^{33}}{g^{22}} - \frac{g^{22}}{g^{33}} + \frac{g^{33}}{g^{11}} - \frac{g^{11}}{g^{33}} \right), \quad (55d)$$

where  $p = w\rho$  and  $\check{\mu} = \rho_0 v w (g^{11}g^{22}g^{33})^{(w+1)/2}$  and are thus functions of  $g^{11}$ ,  $g^{22}$ ,  $g^{33}$ .

Finally, in the local rotational symmetry case, where we assume that the plane of rotational symmetry is the  $x_2$ - $x_3$  plane, the metric takes the form (1). Substituting  $g^{11} = 1/A$  and  $g^{22} = g^{33} = 1/B$  in Eq. (55a) we obtain the expression (6) for the energy density. Furthermore, defining  $p_A = T_1^1$ ,  $p_B = T_2^2$ , the rescaled principal pressures  $w_A = p_A/\rho$ ,  $w_B = p_B/\rho$  are given by (7).

## References

- [1] V. A. Belinskii, I. M. Khalatnikov, E. M. Lifshitz: Oscillatory approach to a singular point in the relativistic cosmology. *Adv. Phys.* **19**, 525–573 (1970)

- [2] R. Beig, B. G. Schmidt: Relativistic elasticity. *Class. Quantum Grav.* **20**, 889–904 (2003)
- [3] S. Calogero, J. M. Heinzle: Dynamics of Bianchi type I elastic space-times. *Class. Quant. Grav.* **24**, 5173–5200 (2007)
- [4] S. Calogero, J. M. Heinzle: Dynamics of Bianchi Type I Solutions of the Einstein Equations with Anisotropic Matter. *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **10**, 225–274 (2009)
- [5] B. Carter, H. Quintana: Foundations of general relativistic high-pressure elasticity theory. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A.* **331**, 57–83 (1972)
- [6] M. Fjällborg, J. M. Heinzle, C. Uggla: Self-gravitating stationary spherically symmetric systems in relativistic galactic dynamics. *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **143** 731–752 (2007)
- [7] J. M. Heinzle, C. Uggla: Dynamics of the spatially homogeneous Bianchi type I Einstein-Vlasov equations. *Class. Quantum Grav.* **23**, 3463–3489 (2006)
- [8] J. M. Heinzle, C. Uggla, N. Röhr: The cosmological billiard attractor. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **13**, 293–407 (2009)
- [9] J. Kijowski, G. Magli: Relativistic elastomechanics as a Lagrangian field theory. *Journal Geom. Phys.* **9**, 207–223 (1992)
- [10] M. Karlovini, L. Samuelsson: Elastic stars in general relativity: I. Foundations and equilibrium models. *Class. Quantum Grav.* **20**, 3613–3648 (2003)
- [11] G. Magli: Tuning the properties of matter to any chosen dynamical behavior in cosmological models with elastic media. *J. Math. Phys.* **36**, 3054–3062 (1995)
- [12] J. E. Marsden, T. J. R. Hughes: *Mathematical Foundations of Elasticity*. Dover Publications, Inc. (1994)
- [13] L. Perko: *Differential equations and dynamical systems*. Springer, New York (2001)
- [14] A. D. Rendall: *Partial Differential Equations in General Relativity*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2008)
- [15] H. Ringström: The Bianchi IX attractor. *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **2**, 405–505 (2001)
- [16] J. Wainwright, G. F. R. Ellis: *Dynamical systems in cosmology*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1997)