

# ON THE HOCHSCHILD (CO)HOMOLOGY OF QUANTUM EXTERIOR ALGEBRAS

PETTER ANDREAS BERGH

ABSTRACT. We compute the Hochschild cohomology and homology of the algebra  $\Lambda = k\langle x, y \rangle / (x^2, xy + qyx, y^2)$  with coefficients in  ${}_1\Lambda_\psi$  for every degree preserving  $k$ -algebra automorphism  $\psi: \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ . As a result we obtain several interesting examples of the homological behavior of  $\Lambda$  as a bimodule.

## INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, let  $k$  be a field and  $q \in k$  a nonzero element which is *not* a root of unity. Denote by  $\Lambda$  the  $k$ -algebra

$$\Lambda = k\langle x, y \rangle / (x^2, xy + qyx, y^2),$$

and by  $\Lambda^e$  its enveloping algebra  $\Lambda^{\text{op}} \otimes_k \Lambda$ . All modules considered are assumed to be right modules.

During the last years, this 4-dimensional graded Koszul algebra, whose module category was classified in [7], has provided several examples (or rather counterexamples) giving negative answers to homological conjectures and questions. Among these are the conjecture of Auslander on local Ext-limitations (see [1, page 815], [6] and [8]) and the question of Happel on the relation between the global dimension and the vanishing of the Hochschild cohomology (see [5] and [2]).

We shall study the Hochschild cohomology and homology of  $\Lambda$ . More precisely, for every degree preserving  $k$ -algebra automorphism  $\psi: \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$  we compute  $\text{HH}^*(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_\psi) = \text{Ext}_{\Lambda^e}^*(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_\psi)$  and  $\text{HH}_*(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_\psi) = \text{Tor}_{\Lambda^e}^{\Lambda^e}(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_\psi)$ , that is, the Hochschild cohomology and homology of  $\Lambda$  with coefficients in the twisted bimodule  ${}_1\Lambda_\psi$  (the action of  $\Lambda^e$  on  ${}_1\Lambda_\psi$  is defined as  $\lambda(\lambda_1 \otimes \lambda_2) = \lambda_1 \lambda \psi(\lambda_2)$ ). As a result we obtain several interesting examples, both in cohomology and homology, of the homological behavior of  $\Lambda$  as a bimodule.

## 1. THE HOCHSCHILD HOMOLOGY

Denote by  $D$  the usual  $k$ -dual  $\text{Hom}_k(-, k)$ , and consider the map  $\phi: {}_\Lambda \Lambda \rightarrow D(\Lambda_\Lambda)$  of left  $\Lambda$ -modules defined by

$$\phi(1)(\alpha + \beta x + \gamma y + \delta yx) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \delta.$$

It is easy to show that this is an injective map and hence also an isomorphism since  $\dim_k \Lambda = \dim_k D(\Lambda)$ , and therefore  $\Lambda$  is a Frobenius algebra by definition. Now take any element  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , and consider the element  $\phi(1) \cdot \lambda \in D(\Lambda)$  (we consider  $D(\Lambda)$  as a  $\Lambda$ - $\Lambda$ -bimodule). As  $\phi$  is surjective, there is an element  $\lambda' \in \Lambda$  such that  $\lambda' \cdot \phi(1) = \phi(\lambda') = \phi(1) \cdot \lambda$ , and the map  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda'$  defines a  $k$ -algebra automorphism  $\nu^{-1}: \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$  whose inverse  $\nu$  is called the *Nakayama automorphism* of  $\Lambda$  (with respect to the map  $\phi$ ). Straightforward calculations show that  $x \cdot \phi(1) =$

$\phi(1) \cdot (-q^{-1}x)$  and  $y \cdot \phi(1) = \phi(1) \cdot (-qy)$ , hence since  $x$  and  $y$  generate  $\Lambda$  over  $k$  we see that  $\nu$  is the degree preserving map defined by

$$x \mapsto -q^{-1}x, \quad y \mapsto -qy.$$

The map  $\phi$  induces a bimodule isomorphism  ${}_1\Lambda_{\nu^{-1}} \simeq D(\Lambda)$ , which in turn gives an isomorphism  ${}_{(\nu\psi)^{-1}}\Lambda_{\nu^{-1}} \simeq {}_{(\nu\psi)^{-1}}D(\Lambda)_1$  for any automorphism  $\psi$  of  $\Lambda$ . Furthermore, since  ${}_{(\nu\psi)^{-1}}\Lambda_{\nu^{-1}}$  is isomorphic to  ${}_1\Lambda_\psi$  and  ${}_{(\nu\psi)^{-1}}D(\Lambda)_1 = D({}_1\Lambda_{(\nu\psi)^{-1}})$ , we get an isomorphism  ${}_1\Lambda_\psi \simeq D({}_1\Lambda_{(\nu\psi)^{-1}})$  of bimodules. Now from [3, Proposition VI.5.1] we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{HH}^n(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_\psi) &= \mathrm{Ext}_{\Lambda^e}^n(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_\psi) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Ext}_{\Lambda^e}^n(\Lambda, D({}_1\Lambda_{(\nu\psi)^{-1}})) \\ &\simeq D\left(\mathrm{Tor}_n^{\Lambda^e}(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_{(\nu\psi)^{-1}})\right) \\ &= D(\mathrm{HH}_n(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_{(\nu\psi)^{-1}})), \end{aligned}$$

thus when computing the (dimension of the) Hochschild cohomology group  $\mathrm{HH}^n(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_\psi)$  we are also computing the Hochschild homology group  $\mathrm{HH}_n(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_{(\nu\psi)^{-1}})$ . Moreover, as  $\psi$  ranges over all degree preserving  $k$ -algebra automorphisms of  $\Lambda$ , so does  $(\nu\psi)^{-1}$ .

## 2. THE COHOMOLOGY COMPLEX

We start by recalling the construction of the minimal bimodule projective resolution of  $\Lambda$  from [2]. Define the elements

$$\begin{aligned} f_0^0 &= 1, \quad f_0^1 = x, \quad f_1^1 = y, \\ f_{-1}^n &= 0 = f_{n+1}^n \quad \text{for each } n \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and for each  $n \geq 2$  define elements  $\{f_i^n\}_{i=0}^n \subseteq \underbrace{\Lambda \otimes_k \cdots \otimes_k \Lambda}_{n \text{ copies}}$  inductively by

$$f_i^n = f_{i-1}^{n-1} \otimes y + q^i f_i^{n-1} \otimes x.$$

Denote by  $P^n$  the  $\Lambda^e$ -projective module  $\bigoplus_{i=0}^n \Lambda \otimes_k f_i^n \otimes_k \Lambda$ , and by  $\tilde{f}_i^n$  the element  $1 \otimes f_i^n \otimes 1 \in P^n$  (and  $\tilde{f}_0^0 = 1 \otimes 1$ ). The set  $\{\tilde{f}_i^n\}_{i=0}^n$  generates  $P^n$  as a  $\Lambda^e$ -module. Now define a map  $\delta_n: P^n \rightarrow P^{n-1}$  by

$$\tilde{f}_i^n \mapsto \left[ x \tilde{f}_i^{n-1} + (-1)^n q^i \tilde{f}_i^{n-1} x \right] + \left[ q^{n-i} y \tilde{f}_{i-1}^{n-1} + (-1)^n \tilde{f}_{i-1}^{n-1} y \right].$$

It is shown in [2] that

$$(\mathbb{P}, \delta): \cdots \rightarrow P^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\delta_{n+1}} P^n \xrightarrow{\delta_n} P^{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots$$

is a minimal  $\Lambda^e$ -projective resolution of  $\Lambda$ . Denote the direct sum of  $n$  copies of  ${}_1\Lambda_\psi$  by  ${}_1\Lambda_\psi^n$ , and consider its standard  $k$ -basis  $\{e_i^{n-1}, x e_i^{n-1}, y e_i^{n-1}, y x e_i^{n-1}\}_{i=0}^{n-1}$ . Define a map  $d_n: {}_1\Lambda_\psi^n \rightarrow {}_1\Lambda_\psi^{n+1}$  by

$$\lambda e_i^{n-1} \mapsto [x\lambda + (-1)^n q^i \lambda \psi(x)] e_i^n + [q^{n-i-1} y \lambda + (-1)^n \lambda \psi(y)] e_{i+1}^n.$$

Applying  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda^e}(-, {}_1\Lambda_\psi)$  to the resolution  $(\mathbb{P}, \delta)$ , keeping in mind that  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda^e}(P^n, {}_1\Lambda_\psi)$  and  ${}_1\Lambda_\psi^{n+1}$  are isomorphic as  $k$ -vector spaces, we get the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda^e}(P^{n-1}, {}_1\Lambda_\psi) & \xrightarrow{\delta_n^*} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda^e}(P^n, {}_1\Lambda_\psi) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{n+1}^*} & \cdots \\ & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & {}_1\Lambda_\psi^n & \xrightarrow{d_n} & {}_1\Lambda_\psi^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{d_{n+1}} & \cdots \end{array}$$

of  $k$ -vector spaces.

In order to compute  $\mathrm{HH}^n(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_\psi) = \mathrm{Ext}_{\Lambda^e}^n(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_\psi)$  for  $n > 0$  we compute the cohomology  $\mathrm{Ker} d_{n+1}/\mathrm{Im} d_n$  of the bottom complex in the above commutative diagram. We do this by finding  $\dim_k \mathrm{Im} d_n$ ; once we know  $\dim_k \mathrm{Im} d_n$ , we obtain  $\dim_k \mathrm{Ker} d_n$  (and therefore also  $\dim_k \mathrm{Ker} d_{n+1}$ ) from the equation

$$\dim_k \mathrm{Ker} d_n + \dim_k \mathrm{Im} d_n = \dim_k {}_1\Lambda_\psi^n = 4n.$$

We then have

$$\dim_k \mathrm{HH}^n(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_\psi) = \dim_k \mathrm{Ker} d_{n+1} - \dim_k \mathrm{Im} d_n.$$

Now let  $\psi$  be a degree preserving  $k$ -algebra automorphism of  $\Lambda$ . Then there are elements  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 \in k$  such that  $\psi(x) = \alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 y$  and  $\psi(y) = \beta_1 x + \beta_2 y$ . Since  $\psi(x^2) = \psi(y^2) = \psi(xy + qyx) = 0$ , we have the relations  $\alpha_1 \alpha_2 = \beta_1 \beta_2 = \alpha_2 \beta_1 = 0$ . If  $\alpha_2 \neq 0$ , then  $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = 0$ , implying  $x \notin \mathrm{Im} \psi$ . Similarly, if  $\beta_1 \neq 0$ , then  $\alpha_2 = \beta_2 = 0$ , implying  $y \notin \mathrm{Im} \psi$ . Therefore  $\alpha_2 = \beta_1 = 0$ , and this forces  $\alpha_1$  and  $\beta_2$  to be nonzero. Thus the degree preserving  $k$ -algebra automorphisms of  $\Lambda$  are precisely those defined by

$$x \mapsto \alpha x, \quad y \mapsto \beta y$$

for two arbitrary nonzero elements  $\alpha, \beta \in k$ . For such an automorphism, the result of applying  $d_n$  to the basis vectors  $\{e_i^{n-1}, xe_i^{n-1}, ye_i^{n-1}, yxe_i^{n-1}\}_{i=0}^{n-1}$  of  ${}_1\Lambda_\psi^n$  is

$$\begin{aligned} e_i^{n-1} &\mapsto [1 + (-1)^n q^i \alpha] xe_i^n + [q^{n-i-1} + (-1)^n \beta] ye_{i+1}^n \\ xe_i^{n-1} &\mapsto [q^{n-i-1} + (-1)^{n+1} q \beta] yxe_{i+1}^n \\ ye_i^{n-1} &\mapsto [-q + (-1)^n q^i \alpha] yxe_i^n \\ yxe_i^{n-1} &\mapsto 0 \end{aligned}$$

for  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Note that the inequality  $\dim_k \mathrm{Im} d_n \leq 2n+1$  always holds.

### 3. THE HOCHSCHILD COHOMOLOGY

We start by computing  $\mathrm{HH}^0(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_\psi)$ . Rather than computing this vector space directly using the identifications

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{HH}^0(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_\psi) &= \{z \in {}_1\Lambda_\psi \mid \lambda \cdot z = z \cdot \lambda \text{ for all } \lambda \in \Lambda\} \\ &= \{z \in {}_1\Lambda_\psi \mid \lambda z = z \psi(\lambda) \text{ for all } \lambda \in \Lambda\} \\ &= \{z \in {}_1\Lambda_\psi \mid xz = z \psi(x), yz = z \psi(y), yxz = z \psi(yx)\} \\ &= \{z \in {}_1\Lambda_\psi \mid xz = \alpha z x, yz = \beta z y, yxz = \alpha \beta z y x\}, \end{aligned}$$

we use our cohomology complex and the isomorphism  $\mathrm{HH}^0(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_\psi) \simeq \mathrm{Ker} d_1$ . From the above we see that the map  $d_1$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} e_0^0 &\mapsto [1 - \alpha]xe_0^1 + [1 - \beta]ye_1^1 \\ xe_0^0 &\mapsto [1 + q\beta]yxe_1^1 \\ ye_0^0 &\mapsto -[q + \alpha]yxe_0^1 \\ yxe_0^0 &\mapsto 0, \end{aligned}$$

and so calculation gives

$$\dim_k \mathrm{HH}^0(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_\psi) = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{when } \alpha = -q, \beta = -q^{-1} \\ 2 & \text{when } \alpha = 1, \beta = 1 \\ 2 & \text{when } \alpha = -q, \beta \neq -q^{-1} \\ 2 & \text{when } \alpha \neq -q, \beta = -q^{-1} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

when the characteristic of  $k$  is not 2. In the characteristic 2 case we replace  $-q, -q^{-1}$  and 1 in the above formula by  $\pm q, \pm q^{-1}$  and  $\pm 1$ , respectively.

Now we turn to the cohomology groups  $\mathrm{HH}^n(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_\psi)$  for  $n > 0$ . To compute the dimension of  $\mathrm{Im} d_n$ , we distinguish between four possible cases depending on whether or not  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  belong to the set

$$\Sigma = \{\pm q^i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}.$$

### 3.1. The case $\alpha, \beta \notin \Sigma$ :

This is the easiest case;  $d_n(e_i^{n-1})$ ,  $d_n(xe_i^{n-1})$  and  $d_n(ye_i^{n-1})$  are all nonzero for  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ , hence  $\dim_k \mathrm{Im} d_n = 2n+1$  for all  $n$ . Then  $\dim_k \mathrm{Ker} d_n = 2n-1$ , implying  $\dim_k \mathrm{Ker} d_{n+1} = 2n+1$  and therefore that  $\mathrm{HH}^n(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_\psi) = 0$  for  $n > 0$ .

*Remark.* We can relate the vanishing of cohomology to the conjecture of Tachikawa stating that over a selfinjective ring the only finitely generated modules having no self extensions are the projective ones. Namely, let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $\Lambda$ -module such that  $\Lambda$  has no bimodule extensions by  $\mathrm{Hom}_k(M, M)$ . Then from [3, Corollary IX.4.4] we get

$$\mathrm{Ext}_\Lambda^n(M, M) \simeq \mathrm{HH}^n(\Lambda, \mathrm{Hom}_k(M, M)) = 0$$

for  $n > 0$ . Since Tachikawa's conjecture holds for  $\Lambda$  (see [7, Proposition 4.2]), the module  $M$  must be projective and therefore ( $\Lambda$  is local) isomorphic to  $\Lambda^t$  for some  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ . This gives

$$\mathrm{Hom}_k(M, M) \simeq (\Lambda \otimes_k D(\Lambda))^{t^2} \simeq (\Lambda^e)^{t^2}.$$

In particular, if  $\mathrm{HH}^n(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_\psi) = 0$  for  $n > 0$  then there cannot exist a  $\Lambda$ -module  $M$  such that  $\mathrm{Hom}_k(M, M)$  is isomorphic to  ${}_1\Lambda_\psi$ , since this would imply the contradiction  ${}_1\Lambda_\psi \simeq (\Lambda^e)^{t^2}$ .

### 3.2. The case $\alpha \in \Sigma, \beta \notin \Sigma$ :

Since  $[q^{n-i-1} + (-1)^n \beta]$  and  $[q^{n-i-1} + (-1)^{n+1} q \beta]$  are nonzero, we have  $d_n(e_i^{n-1}) \neq 0$  and  $d_n(xe_i^{n-1}) \neq 0$  for  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Therefore  $\dim_k \mathrm{Im} d_n \geq 2n$ , and the problem is now whether or not the basis vector  $yx e_0^n$  belongs to  $\mathrm{Im} d_n$ . This is the case if and only if  $d_n(ye_0^{n-1}) \neq 0$ , that is, if and only if

$$(C1) \quad -q + (-1)^n \alpha \neq 0$$

holds. We now break down this case into three cases.

(i) *The case  $\alpha \neq \pm q$ :*

Since (C1) holds we have  $\dim_k \mathrm{Im} d_n = 2n+1$ , and so  $\mathrm{HH}^n(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_\psi) = 0$  for  $n > 0$ .

(ii) *The case  $\alpha = q$ :*

When the characteristic of  $k$  is not 2, the condition (C1) holds if and only if  $n$  is odd. Therefore

$$\dim_k \mathrm{Im} d_n = \begin{cases} 2n & \text{for } n \text{ even} \\ 2n+1 & \text{for } n \text{ odd,} \end{cases}$$

and so

$$\dim_k \mathrm{Ker} d_{n+1} = \begin{cases} 2n+1 & \text{for } n \text{ even} \\ 2n+2 & \text{for } n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

This gives  $\dim_k \mathrm{HH}^n(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_\psi) = 1$  for  $n > 0$ .

When  $k$  is of characteristic 2 we see that (C1) never holds, hence  $\dim_k \mathrm{Im} d_n = 2n$ . Then  $\dim_k \mathrm{Ker} d_{n+1} = 2n+2$ , giving  $\dim_k \mathrm{HH}^n(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_\psi) = 2$  for  $n > 0$ .

(iii) *The case  $\alpha = -q$ :*

As in the previous case, we get  $\dim_k \mathrm{HH}^n(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_\psi) = 1$  for  $n > 0$  when  $k$  is not of characteristic 2, and  $\dim_k \mathrm{HH}^n(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_\psi) = 2$  for  $n > 0$  in the characteristic 2 case.

*Remark.* From this case we obtain an example showing that symmetry in the vanishing of  $\mathrm{Ext}$  over  $\Lambda^e$  does not hold. Namely, define  $\psi$  by

$$x \mapsto q^{-1}x, \quad y \mapsto \beta y$$

for some element  $\beta$  not contained in  $\Sigma$ . For  $n > 0$ , case (i) above gives  $\mathrm{Ext}_{\Lambda^e}^n(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_\psi) = 0$ , whereas from case (ii) we see that  $\dim_k \mathrm{Ext}_{\Lambda^e}^n(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_{\psi^{-1}})$  is either 1 or 2, depending on the characteristic of  $k$ . Now it is easy to see that  $\mathrm{Ext}_{\Lambda^e}^n(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_{\psi^{-1}})$  is isomorphic to  $\mathrm{Ext}_{\Lambda^e}^n({}_1\Lambda_\psi, \Lambda)$ .

### 3.3. The case $\alpha \notin \Sigma, \beta \in \Sigma$ :

The algebra  $\Lambda$  is isomorphic to the algebra  $k\langle u, v \rangle / (u^2, uv + q^{-1}vu, v^2)$  via the map

$$x \mapsto v, \quad y \mapsto u,$$

hence this case is symmetric to the case  $\alpha \in \Sigma, \beta \notin \Sigma$  treated above. Namely, when  $\beta \neq \pm q^{-1}$  the result is as in (i), whereas when  $\beta = \pm q^{-1}$  the result is as in (ii) and (iii).

### 3.4. The case $\alpha \in \Sigma, \beta \in \Sigma$ :

The basis vectors  $yx e_0^n$  and  $yx e_n^n$  can only be the image of  $ye_0^{n-1}$  and  $xe_{n-1}^{n-1}$ , respectively, whereas for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$  the basis vector  $yx e_i^n$  can be the image of both  $ye_i^{n-1}$  and  $xe_{i-1}^{n-1}$ . Therefore we break this case down into four cases, each depending on whether or not  $\alpha = \pm q$  and  $\beta = \pm q^{-1}$ .

(i) *The case  $\alpha = \pm q, \beta = \pm q^{-1}$ :*

We have

$$d_n(e_i^{n-1}) = [1 \pm (-1)^n q^{i+1}] x e_i^n + [q^{n-i-1} \pm (-1)^n q^{-1}] y e_{i+1}^n,$$

and since  $i+1 \geq 1$  the term  $[1 \pm (-1)^n q^{i+1}]$  must be nonzero. Therefore  $d_n(e_i^{n-1}) \neq 0$ . Applying  $d_n$  to  $xe_i^{n-1}$  and  $ye_i^{n-1}$  gives  $[q^{n-i-1} \pm (-1)^{n+1}] yx e_{i+1}^n$  and  $[-q \pm (-1)^n q^{i+1}] yx e_i^n$ , respectively, hence when the characteristic of  $k$  is not 2 we get

$$\begin{aligned} d_n(xe_i^{n-1}) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i = n-1, \beta = q^{-1}, n \text{ even} \\ 0 & \text{for } i = n-1, \beta = -q^{-1}, n \text{ odd} \\ \neq 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ d_n(ye_i^{n-1}) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i = 0, \alpha = q, n \text{ even} \\ 0 & \text{for } i = 0, \alpha = -q, n \text{ odd} \\ \neq 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

There are four possible pairs  $(\alpha, \beta)$  to consider. If  $\alpha = q$  and  $\beta = q^{-1}$ , then the above gives

$$\dim_k \mathrm{Im} d_n = \begin{cases} 2n-1 & \text{for } n \text{ even} \\ 2n+1 & \text{for } n \text{ odd,} \end{cases}$$

and therefore

$$\dim_k \mathrm{Ker} d_{n+1} = \begin{cases} 2n+1 & \text{for } n \text{ even} \\ 2n+3 & \text{for } n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

This implies  $\dim_k \mathrm{HH}^n(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_\psi) = 2$  for  $n > 0$ . Similar computation gives  $\dim_k \mathrm{HH}^n(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_\psi) = 2$  for  $n > 0$  also for the other three possible pairs.

When  $k$  is of characteristic 2 then

$$\begin{aligned} d_n(xe_i^{n-1}) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i = n-1 \\ \neq 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ d_n(ye_i^{n-1}) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i = 0 \\ \neq 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and so  $\dim_k \operatorname{Im} d_n = 2n - 1$  for all  $n > 0$ . Consequently  $\dim_k \operatorname{HH}^n(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_\psi) = 4$  for  $n > 0$ .

*Remark.* Note that, in this particular case, we have computed the (dimension of the) Hochschild homology  $\operatorname{HH}_*(\Lambda) = \operatorname{Tor}_*^{\Lambda}(\Lambda, \Lambda)$  of  $\Lambda$ . Namely, it follows from Section 1 that for each  $n > 0$  the  $k$ -vector spaces  $\operatorname{HH}^n(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_{\nu^{-1}})$  and  $D(\operatorname{HH}_n(\Lambda))$  are isomorphic, where  $\nu$  is the Nakayama automorphism

$$x \mapsto -q^{-1}x, \quad y \mapsto -qy$$

of  $\Lambda$ . Then  $\nu^{-1}$  is defined by

$$x \mapsto -qx, \quad y \mapsto -q^{-1}y,$$

hence  ${}_1\Lambda_{\nu^{-1}}$  is precisely the sort of bimodule we have just considered in terms of Hochschild cohomology. Consequently  $\dim_k \operatorname{HH}_n(\Lambda) = 2$  for  $n > 0$  when the characteristic of  $k$  is not 2, whereas  $\dim_k \operatorname{HH}_n(\Lambda) = 4$  for  $n > 0$  in the characteristic 2 case.

(ii) *The case  $\alpha = \pm q, \beta \neq \pm q^{-1}$ :*

As in (i) the element  $d_n(e_i^{n-1})$  is nonzero for  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Moreover, since  $\beta \neq \pm q^{-1}$  the basis element  $yx e_n^n$  always lies in  $\operatorname{Im} d_n$ , as does the basis elements  $yx e_i^n$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Therefore  $\dim \operatorname{Im} d_n \geq 2n$ , and the question is whether or not  $yx e_0^n$  belongs to  $\operatorname{Im} d_n$ , i.e. whether or not  $d_n(ye_0^{n-1})$  is nonzero.

When the characteristic of  $k$  is not 2, then from (i) we see that

$$d_n(ye_0^{n-1}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } \alpha = q, n \text{ even} \\ 0 & \text{for } \alpha = -q, n \text{ odd} \\ \neq 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and computation gives  $\dim_k \operatorname{HH}^n(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_\psi) = 1$  for  $n > 0$ . However, when the characteristic of  $k$  is 2 then  $d_n(ye_0^{n-1}) = 0$ , giving  $\dim_k \operatorname{Im} d_n = 2n$  and consequently  $\dim_k \operatorname{HH}^n(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_\psi) = 2$  for  $n > 0$ .

(iii) *The case  $\alpha \neq \pm q, \beta = \pm q^{-1}$ :*

Using the isomorphism  $\Lambda \simeq k\langle u, v \rangle / (u^2, uv + q^{-1}vu, v^2)$  from the case  $\alpha \notin \Sigma, \beta \in \Sigma$ , we see that the present case is symmetric to the case (ii) above. Thus when the characteristic of  $k$  is not 2 then  $\dim_k \operatorname{HH}^n(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_\psi) = 1$  for  $n > 0$ , whereas  $\dim_k \operatorname{HH}^n(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_\psi) = 2$  for  $n > 0$  in the characteristic 2 case.

(iv) *The case  $\alpha \neq \pm q, \beta \neq \pm q^{-1}$ :*

We now have  $\alpha = \pm q^s$  and  $\beta = \pm q^t$  where  $s \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{1\}$  and  $t \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1\}$ , and therefore the basis elements  $yx e_0^n$  and  $yx e_n^n$  both lie in the image of  $d_n$ . To compute the dimension of  $\operatorname{Im} d_n$ , we must find out when  $d_n(e_i^{n-1}) = 0$  for some  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$  and when  $yx e_i^n \notin \operatorname{Im} d_n$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \{d_n(e_i^{n-1}) = 0 \text{ for some } 0 \leq i \leq n-1\} \\ (*) \quad & \Updownarrow \\ & \{1 + (-1)^n q^i \alpha = 0 \text{ and } q^{n-i-1} + (-1)^n \beta = 0\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \{yxe_i^n \notin \text{Im } d_n \text{ for some } 1 \leq i \leq n-1\} \\
 (**) \quad & \quad \quad \quad \updownarrow \\
 & \{-q + (-1)^n q^i \alpha = 0 \text{ and } q^{n-i} + (-1)^{n+1} q \beta = 0\},
 \end{aligned}$$

and when the characteristic of  $k$  is not 2 this happens precisely when we have the following:

$$(C2) \quad s \leq 0, \quad t \geq 0, \quad \alpha = (-1)^{t-s} q^s, \quad \beta = (-1)^{t-s} q^t.$$

In the characteristic 2 case we may relax this condition; in this case (\*) and (\*\*) occur precisely when we have the following:

$$(C3) \quad s \leq 0, \quad t \geq 0.$$

However, both when the characteristic of  $k$  is not 2 and (C2) holds, and in the characteristic 2 case when (C3) holds, we see that (\*) occurs for  $n = t - s + 1$ , whereas (\*\*) occurs for  $n = t - s + 2$ .

Therefore, when the characteristic of  $k$  is not 2 the dimension of  $\text{Im } d_n$  is given by

$$\dim_k \text{Im } d_n = \begin{cases} 2n & \text{when (C2) holds and } n = t - s + 1 \\ 2n & \text{when (C2) holds and } n = t - s + 2 \\ 2n + 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

implying the dimension of  $\text{Ker } d_{n+1}$  is given by

$$\dim_k \text{Ker } d_{n+1} = \begin{cases} 2n + 2 & \text{when (C2) holds and } n = t - s \\ 2n + 2 & \text{when (C2) holds and } n = t - s + 1 \\ 2n + 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Consequently, the dimension of  $\text{HH}^n(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_\psi)$  for  $n > 0$  is given by

$$\dim_k \text{HH}^n(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_\psi) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when (C2) holds and } n = t - s \\ 2 & \text{when (C2) holds and } n = t - s + 1 \\ 1 & \text{when (C2) holds and } n = t - s + 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

When the characteristic of  $k$  is 2, we obtain the exact same formulas, but with (C2) replaced by (C3).

*Remark.* (i) In the case considered above, we see that the cohomology is zero except possibly in three degrees, depending on what conditions  $s, t, \alpha$  and  $\beta$  satisfy. As a consequence, we construct a counterexample to the following conjecture by Auslander (see [1, page 815]): if  $M$  is a finitely generated module over an Artin algebra  $\Gamma$ , then there exists a number  $n_M$  such that for any finitely generated module  $N$  we have

$$\text{Ext}_\Gamma^i(M, N) = 0 \text{ for } i \gg 0 \Rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Gamma^i(M, N) = 0 \text{ for } i \geq n_M.$$

The first counterexample to this conjecture appeared in [6], where the algebra considered was a finite dimensional commutative Noetherian local Gorenstein algebra. A counterexample over our algebra  $\Lambda = k\langle x, y \rangle / (x^2, xy + qyx, y^2)$  was given in [8].

As for a counterexample using Hochschild cohomology, define for each natural number  $t$  an automorphism  $\psi: \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$  by  $x \mapsto q^{-t}x$  and  $y \mapsto q^t y$ , and denote the bimodule  ${}_1\Lambda_\psi$  by  $M_t$ . Then condition (C2)/(C3) is satisfied (with  $s = -t, \alpha = q^{-t}$  and  $\beta = q^t$ ), and so

$$\text{Ext}_{\Lambda^e}^n(\Lambda, M_t) = \begin{cases} \neq 0 & \text{for } n = 2t + 2 \\ 0 & \text{for } n > 2t + 2. \end{cases}$$

(ii) Even though the above conjecture of Auslander fails to hold in general, Auslander himself proved (unpublished, see [1, page 815]) that if the conjecture holds for the enveloping algebra  $\Gamma^e$  of a finite dimensional algebra  $\Gamma$  over a field, then the finitistic dimension

$$\sup\{\mathrm{pd}_\Gamma X \mid X \text{ finitely generated } \Gamma\text{-module with } \mathrm{pd}_\Gamma X < \infty\}$$

of  $\Gamma$  is finite. In view of the above remark, we see that the converse to this result does not hold; our algebra  $\Lambda$ , being selfinjective, trivially has finite finitistic dimension, whereas the conjecture of Auslander does not hold for  $\Lambda^e$ .

(iii) The computation of the Hochschild cohomology  $\mathrm{HH}^*(\Lambda) = \mathrm{Ext}_{\Lambda^e}^*(\Lambda, \Lambda)$  of  $\Lambda$  is covered by the last of the above cases. When  $\psi$  is the identity automorphism we have  $s = t = 0$ , and the condition (C2)/(C3) is satisfied. This gives

$$\dim_k \mathrm{HH}^n(\Lambda) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{for } n = 1 \\ 1 & \text{for } n = 2 \\ 0 & \text{for } n \geq 3, \end{cases}$$

and so our algebra  $\Lambda$  is a counterexample to the following question raised by Happel in [5]: if the Hochschild cohomology groups of a finite dimensional algebra vanish in high degrees, does the algebra have finite global dimension? The counterexample above first appeared in [2], where it was shown that the generating function  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{HH}^n(\Lambda)t^n$  of  $\mathrm{HH}^n(\Lambda)$  is  $2 + 2t + t^2$ .

The converse to the question of Happel is always true when the algebra modulo its Jacobson radical is separable over the ground field. More specifically, if  $\Gamma$  is a finite dimensional algebra over a field  $K$  with Jacobson radical  $\mathfrak{r}$ , and the semisimple algebra  $\Gamma/\mathfrak{r}$  is separable over  $K$ , then by [4, §3] the implication

$$\mathrm{gl. dim } \Gamma < \infty \Rightarrow \mathrm{pd}_{\Gamma^e} \Gamma < \infty$$

holds. In particular the Hochschild cohomology groups  $\mathrm{HH}^n(\Gamma) = \mathrm{Ext}_{\Gamma^e}^n(\Gamma, \Gamma)$  and the homology groups  $\mathrm{HH}_n(\Gamma) = \mathrm{Tor}_n^{\Gamma^e}(\Gamma, \Gamma)$  of  $\Gamma$  vanish for  $n \gg 0$  when the global dimension of  $\Gamma$  is finite. It is not known whether the vanishing of the Hochschild homology groups in high degrees for a finite dimensional algebra implies the global dimension of the algebra is finite.

(iv) Because of the equality  $\dim_k \mathrm{HH}^n(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_\psi) = \dim_k \mathrm{HH}_n(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_{(\nu\psi)^{-1}})$  which follows from Section 1, the somewhat strange behavior in Hochschild cohomology revealed in the last case considered above can also be transferred to Hochschild homology. When the automorphism  $\psi$  is given by  $\psi(x) = \pm q^s x$  and  $\psi(y) = \pm q^t y$ , then the automorphism  $\theta \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} (\nu\psi)^{-1}$ , where  $\nu$  is the Nakayama automorphism, is given by

$$x \mapsto \mp q^{1-s}x, \quad y \mapsto \mp q^{-(t+1)}y.$$

Thus for such an automorphism  $\theta$ , when  $s \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{1\}$  and  $t \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1\}$  we get

$$\dim_k \mathrm{HH}_n(\Lambda, {}_1\Lambda_\theta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when (C2)/(C3) holds and } n = t - s \\ 2 & \text{when (C2)/(C3) holds and } n = t - s + 1 \\ 1 & \text{when (C2)/(C3) holds and } n = t - s + 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

when  $n > 0$ . In these formulas condition (C2) applies when the characteristic of  $k$  is not 2, and condition (C3) applies in the characteristic 2 case.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank my supervisor Øyvind Solberg for valuable suggestions and comments.



## REFERENCES

- [1] Auslander, M. (1999). Selected works, part 1. Reiten, Smalø, Solberg (editors). American Mathematical Society.
- [2] Buchweitz, R.-O., Green, E., Madsen, D., Solberg, Ø. (2005). Finite Hochschild cohomology without finite global dimension. *Math. Res. Lett.* 12(5-6):805-816.
- [3] Cartan, H., Eilenberg, S. (1956). *Homological algebra*. Princeton University Press.
- [4] Eilenberg, S., Ikeda, M., Nakayama, T. (1955). On the dimension of modules and algebras, I. *Nagoya Math. J.* 8:49-57.
- [5] Happel, D. (1989). Hochschild cohomology of finite-dimensional algebras. In: *Séminaire d'Algèbre Paul Dubreil et Marie-Paul Malliavin, 39ème Année (Paris, 1987/1988)*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1404:108-126.
- [6] Jorgensen, D., Sega, L. (2004). Nonvanishing cohomology and classes of gorenstein rings. *Adv. Math.* 188(2):470-490.
- [7] Schulz, R. (1986). Boundedness and periodicity of modules over QF rings. *J. Algebra* 101:450-469.
- [8] Smalø, S. (2006). Local limitations of the Ext functor do not exist. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 38(1):97-98.

PETTER ANDREAS BERGH, INSTITUTT FOR MATEMATISKE FAG, NTNU, N-7491 TRONDHEIM, NORWAY

*E-mail address:* `bergh@math.ntnu.no`