

Probing singularities in quantum cosmology with curvature scalars

G. A. Monerat*, G. Oliveira-Neto, E. V. Corrêa Silva

*Departamento de Matemática e Computação, Faculdade de Tecnologia, Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro
Rodovia Presidente Dutra, km 298, Pólo Industrial, CEP 27537-000, Resende, RJ, Brasil.*

N.A. Lemos

*Departamento de Física, Centro de Estudos Gerais,
Universidade Federal Fluminense, Av. Litorânea,
s/n. Boa Viagem CEP 24210-340, Niterói, RJ, Brasil.*

In the present work we apply the *Riemann scalars criterion* for several quantum FRW cosmological models and verify that they are free from scalar singularities. Since this criterion is stronger than previous ones, these results give further evidence that the quantization of those models eliminates their classical singularities. **Keywords:** Quantum Cosmology and Singularities and Riemann scalars criterion **PACS:** 04.40.Nr, 04.60.Ds, 98.80.Qc

The presence of singularities in cosmological models in general relativity is an old issue [1]. In a series of articles, S. W. Hawking, R. Penrose and Geroch, showed that if certain very general conditions are satisfied, singularities are always present in cosmological models in general relativity [2]. One of such singularities is the *big bang* singularity, which is believed to represent the very beginning of our Universe. Here, one has a fundamental problem because, if the beginning of our Universe is a singular event of general relativity, that theory cannot describe it. In order to overcome that fundamental problem, many authors proposed the quantization of gravity. Quantum cosmology was the first of such attempts and since the first model, has showed good signs towards the solution of the above mentioned problem [3]. After that first model, many important works have been done by computing the wave-function of the universe (Ψ) in different minisuperspace models. In some works, Ψ was obtained by solving the Wheeler-DeWitt equation [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12] and in others by using the path integral approach [13, 14, 15]. As a common result, in all of them, the problem of the initial singularity was claimed to be solved. The main argument used to support those claims depends on the quantum mechanical interpretation used in each particular work.

The two interpretations most frequently used in quantum cosmology models are the *Many Worlds* one [16] and the *DeBroglie-Bohm* one [17]. In the *Many Worlds* interpretation one cannot talk about trajectories of the canonical variables, like in the usual *Copenhaguen* interpretation of quantum mechanics. Here, one can only talk about mean values of those variables. On the other hand, in the *DeBroglie-Bohm* interpretation the trajectories of the canonical variables are meaningful and can, in principle, be computed by solving a system of differential equations involving derivatives of Ψ 's phase. In the minisuperspace models [18] treated using the *Many Worlds* interpretation, the common argument used to justify the absence of a *big bang* singularity is the fact that the mean value of the scale factor (a), as a function of a chosen time, never vanishes [5, 8, 10, 11, 12]. In the models where the *DeBroglie-Bohm* interpretation was used, the argument was that the trajectory of the scale factor, as a function of a chosen time, never vanishes. That result is supported by the fact that the quantum potential present in the dynamical equation of a , for those models, is repulsive for a near to zero [10, 11, 19].

The strongest type of singularity, in a classical spacetime, is the so-called scalar curvature [20, 21]. One identifies the presence of a scalar curvature singularity in a point p of a given spacetime, by evaluating scalars constructed from contractions of the Riemann tensor in p . If those scalars are unbounded in p , it is a scalar curvature singularity. That is the so-called *Riemann scalars criterion*, used in order to identify the presence of scalar singularities in a given classical spacetime. Based on the theorems mentioned above [2] it is believed that the *big bang* singularity is a scalar singularity. Therefore, the use of the *Riemann scalars criterion* in order to identify the presence of a *big bang* singularity, in classical cosmological models, is very natural. In the present paper, we would like to use that same criterion in quantum cosmological models. In particular, we shall restrict our attention to the *DeBroglie-Bohm* interpretation of quantum mechanics and we shall use two Riemann scalars. The Ricci ($\equiv g_{\alpha\beta}g_{\gamma\delta}R^{\delta\alpha\gamma\beta}$) and the Kretschmann scalars ($\equiv R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$). So, we shall compute, for several FRW quantum cosmological models, the values of those two scalars over the quantum trajectories. As we shall see, for all cases both Riemann scalars are bounded for all times, which means that those models are free from *big bang* singularities. Since, the *Riemann scalars criterion* is stronger than the one based on the fact that a does not vanish for all times, we believe that it gives further evidence that the quantization eliminates the *big bang* singularities from the classical cosmological models.

* Corresponding author: monerat@uerj.br

The Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) cosmological models with a perfect fluid, treated by means of the variational formalism developed by Schutz [22], can be described by the Hamiltonian [10],

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{p_a^2}{24} + 6ka^2 - p_T a^{1-3\alpha}, \quad (1)$$

where p_a and p_T are, respectively, the momenta canonically conjugated to the scale factor (a) and the perfect fluid variable (T). The perfect fluid obeys a linear barotropic equation of state in the form $p = \alpha\rho$, where $-1 \leq \alpha \leq 1$.

The quantization of those models follows the Dirac formalism for quantizing constrained systems [23]. First we introduce a wave-function which is a function of the canonical variables \hat{a} and \hat{T} ,

$$\Psi = \Psi(\hat{a}, \hat{T}). \quad (2)$$

Then, we impose the appropriate commutators between the operators \hat{a} and \hat{T} and their conjugate momenta \hat{p}_a and \hat{p}_T . Working in the Schrödinger picture, the operators \hat{a} and \hat{T} are simply multiplication operators, while their conjugated momenta are represented by the differential operators

$$\hat{p}_a \rightarrow -i \frac{\partial}{\partial a}, \quad \hat{p}_T \rightarrow -i \frac{\partial}{\partial T}. \quad (3)$$

Finally, we demand that the operator corresponding to \mathcal{H} annihilate the wave-function Ψ , which leads to Wheeler-DeWitt equation. From Eq. (1), this formalism leads to,

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial a^2} - 144ka^2 \Psi + 24ia^{1-3\alpha} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \tau} = 0, \quad (4)$$

where we imposed the reparametrization $T = -\tau$. The equation (4) has some known solutions for some values of its parameters.

For models with $k = 0$, solutions in terms of the parameter α have the form [10],

$$\Psi(a, \tau) = R(a, \tau) \cdot e^{iS(a, \tau)}, \quad (5)$$

where

$$R(a, \tau) = \left[4\gamma^2 + \left(\frac{3}{16} \right)^2 (1-\alpha)^4 \tau^2 \right]^{-\frac{4-3\alpha}{6(1-\alpha)}} \\ \times a \exp \left\{ -\frac{\gamma a^{3(1-\alpha)}}{4 \left[\gamma^2 + \left(\frac{3}{32} \right)^2 (1-\alpha)^4 \tau^2 \right]} \right\}, \quad (6)$$

$$S(a, \tau) = -\frac{3}{128} \frac{(1-\alpha)^2 a^{3(1-\alpha)} \tau}{\left[\gamma^2 + \left(\frac{3}{32} \right)^2 (1-\alpha)^4 \tau^2 \right]} + \frac{4-3\alpha}{3(1-\alpha)} \arctan \left[\frac{3}{32} \frac{(1-\alpha)^2 \tau}{\gamma} \right], \quad (7)$$

γ is a constant of integration and $\alpha \neq 1$.

For such wave packets, we have Bohmian's trajectories for the scale factor in the form,

$$a(\tau) = a_0 \left[\gamma^2 + \left(\frac{3}{32} \right)^2 (1-\alpha)^4 \tau^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{3(1-\alpha)}}, \quad (8)$$

where a_0 is an integration constant. For very large values of τ , the solution (8) goes to the corresponding classical solution.

The quantization of the model with radiation ($\alpha = 1/3$) and positive curvature ($k = 1$) have, also, been considered in the literature [8]. For an initial wave-function in the form $\Psi(a, 0) = \exp(-\sigma R^2)$ the solution of Eq. (4) is given by [11],

$$\Psi(R, \tau) = \sqrt{\frac{2\sqrt{\sigma}}{\sqrt{\pi}}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\cos^2(\tau) [2\sigma \tan(\tau) - i]}} \times \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{2 \tan(\tau)} \left[1 + \frac{i}{\cos^2(\tau) - i} \right] R^2 \right\}. \quad (9)$$

where we did the reparametrization $R = \sqrt{12}a$. For the wave packets (9), the Bohmian's trajectories for the scale factor have the form [11],

$$R(\tau) = A_+ \sqrt{\cos^2 \tau + 4\sigma^2 \sin^2 \tau}, \quad (10)$$

where A_+ is an integration constant.

For the model with radiation ($\alpha = 1/3$) and negative curvature ($k = -1$) the Bohmian's trajectories for the scale factor may be obtained from Eq. (10) [8]. In order to do that, one has to substitute the trigonometrical functions by the corresponding hyperbolic functions, which gives [11],

$$R(\tau) = A_- \sqrt{\cosh^2 \tau + 4\sigma^2 \sinh^2 \tau}, \quad (11)$$

where A_- is an integration constant.

In all those cases, considered above, we observe that the Bohmian's trajectories never go to zero. Which means that, the scale factor never vanishes. Therefore, one may conclude that those models are free from the *big bang* singularity. This fact is justified in the *DeBroglie-Bohm* interpretation of quantum mechanics due to the appearance of a potential of quantum origin $Q(a, \tau)$, which excludes the point $a = 0$ [10, 11].

As mentioned above, we shall probe the presence of singularities in those models by using the *Riemann scalars criterion*. In order to do that we shall use the Ricci ($\equiv g_{\alpha\beta} g_{\gamma\delta} R^{\delta\alpha\gamma\beta}$) and the Kretschmann scalars ($\equiv R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$). Therefore, we shall compute, for the FRW quantum cosmological models, above, the values of both scalars over the quantum trajectories. The resulting expressions will be functions of the conformal time τ . Then, we shall observe the presence of singularities in those expressions for all allowed values of τ . In particular, for $\tau = 0$ which is the instant when $a = 0$.

For a Friedmann-Robertson-Walker model, the Ricci scalar (\mathcal{R}) has the following form,

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{6}{a^3} (\ddot{a} + ka), \quad (12)$$

and the Kretschmann scalar (\mathcal{K}) has the form,

$$\mathcal{K} = \frac{12}{a^8} (a^2 \ddot{a}^2 - 2a \ddot{a} \dot{a}^2 + 2\dot{a}^4 + 2ka^2 \dot{a}^2 + k^2 a^4). \quad (13)$$

It is clear, by their expressions, that both scalars are classically singular at $a = 0$. The next step is the evaluation of those scalars over the Bohm's trajectories for the several scale factors, above.

Let us start with the model with $k = 0$, where the scale factor is described by eq. (8). Introducing that value of a in eqs. (12) and (13), we obtain,

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{4}{a_0^2} \left(\frac{3}{32} \right)^2 (1 - \alpha)^3 [W^{\frac{3\alpha-5}{3(1-\alpha)}} + 2 \left(\frac{3}{32} \right)^2 \left(\frac{3\alpha-2}{3(1-\alpha)} \right) (1 - \alpha)^4 \tau^2 W^{\frac{6\alpha-8}{3(1-\alpha)}}], \quad (14)$$

$$\mathcal{K} = \frac{12W^{\frac{6\alpha-10}{3-3\alpha}}}{a_0^4(3-3\alpha)^2} \left\{ \ddot{W}^2 + \left(\frac{9\alpha^2 - 18\alpha + 10}{(3-3\alpha)^2} \right) \dot{W}^4 W^{-2} - 2\ddot{W} \dot{W}^2 W^{-1} \right\} \quad (15)$$

where $W = [\gamma^2 + (3/32)^2(1-\alpha)^4\tau^2]$ and the point indicates derivation in relation to τ . These results are valid for $\alpha \neq 1$. The behavior of those scalars in terms of the conformal time τ is shown in the figures 1 and 2 for different types of fluids: (a) vacuum ($\alpha = -1$); (b) domain wall ($\alpha = -2/3$); (c) cosmic strings ($\alpha = -1/3$); (d) dust ($\alpha = 0$)




FIG. 1: The Ricci scalar over the Bohm's trajectory for the scale factor of a $k = 0$ FRW model with a perfect fluid. Here, we consider $\gamma = 2$ and $a_0 = 1$.




FIG. 2: The Kretschmann scalar over the Bohm's trajectory for the scale factor of a $k = 0$ FRW model with a perfect fluid. Here, we consider $\gamma = 2$ and $a_0 = 1$.

and (e) radiation ($\alpha = 1/3$). The curve for \mathcal{K} in the radiation case (e) can only be seen in the amplification of figure 2, shown in the upper right corner of that figure.

It is clear both by the expressions (14) and (15) and the figures (1) and (2) that this model is free from singularities for all values of τ . In particular, for $\tau = 0$ both scalars \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{K} go, continually, for well defined numbers.

Let us consider, next, the model with $k = 1$ and $\alpha = 1/3$, where the scale factor is described by eq. (10). Introducing that value of a in eqs. (12) and (13), we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R} = & (-288\sigma^2)/(A^2[(-48(\sigma^4 + 12\sigma^2 - 1 + 64\sigma^6) \cos^6 \tau \\ & + (96\sigma^4 - 12\sigma^2 - 192\sigma^6) \cos^4 \tau + (-48\sigma^4 + 192\sigma^6) \cos^2 \tau - 64\sigma^6]) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K} = & (3456[(-32\sigma^4 + 256\sigma^8 + 1) \cos^4 \tau + (-512\sigma^8 - 4\sigma^2 + 32\sigma^4 + 64\sigma^6) \\ & \times \cos^2 \tau - 64\sigma^6 + 256\sigma^8 + 8\sigma^4]) \div (A^4[(-48\sigma^4 + 12\sigma^2 - 1 + 64\sigma^6) \cos^6 \tau \\ & + (96\sigma^4 - 12\sigma^2 - 192\sigma^6) \cos^4 \tau + (-48\sigma^4 + 192\sigma^6) \cos^2 \tau - 64\sigma^6]^2) \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

The behavior of those scalars in terms of the conformal time τ is shown in the figures 3 and 4.




FIG. 3: The Ricci scalar over the Bohm's trajectory for the scale factor of a $k = 1$ FRW model with radiation $\alpha = 1/3$. Here we consider $A_+ = 1$ and $\sigma = \sqrt{2}$.




FIG. 4: The Kretschmann scalar over the Bohm's trajectory for the scale factor of a $k = 1$ FRW model with radiation $\alpha = 1/3$. Here we consider $A_+ = 1$ and $\sigma = \sqrt{2}$.

It is clear both by the expressions (16) and (17) and the figures (3) and (4) that this model is free from singularities for all values of τ . In particular, they oscillate between a maximum and minimum value and for $\tau = 0$ both scalars \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{K} go, continually, for well defined numbers.

Let us consider, finally, the model with $k = -1$ and $\alpha = 1/3$, where the scale factor is described by eq. (11). Introducing that value of a in eqs. (12) and (13), we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R} = & (288\sigma^2)/(A^2[(1 + 12\sigma^2 + 48\sigma^4 + 64\sigma^6) \cosh^6 \tau + (-192\sigma^6 \\ & - 12\sigma^2 - 96\sigma^4) \cosh^4 \tau + (192\sigma^6 + 48\sigma^4) \cosh^2 \tau - 64\sigma^6]) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K} = & (3456[(-32\sigma^4 + 256\sigma^8 + 1) \cosh^4 \tau + (-512\sigma^8 + 4\sigma^2 + 32\sigma^4 - 64\sigma^6) \\ & \times \cosh^2 \tau + 64\sigma^6 + 256\sigma^8 + 8\sigma^4]) \div (A^4[(1 + 12\sigma^2 + 48\sigma^4 + 64\sigma^6) \cosh^6 \tau \\ & + (-192\sigma^6 - 12\sigma^2 - 96\sigma^4) \cosh^4 \tau + (192\sigma^6 + 48\sigma^4) \cosh^2 \tau - 64\sigma^6]^2) \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

The behavior of those scalars in terms of the conformal time τ is shown in the figures 5 and 6.




FIG. 5: The Ricci scalar over the Bohm's trajectory for the scale factor of a $k = -1$ FRW model with radiation ($\alpha = 1/3$). Here we consider $A_- = 1$ and $\sigma = \sqrt{2}$.




FIG. 6: The Kretschmann scalar over the Bohm's trajectory scale factor of a $k = -1$ FRW model with radiation ($\alpha = 1/3$). Here we consider $A_- = 1$ and $\sigma = \sqrt{2}$.

It is clear both by the expressions (18) and (19) and the figures (5) and (6) that this model is free from singularities for all values of τ . In particular, for $\tau = 0$ both scalars \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{K} go, continually, for well defined numbers.

Therefore in all the cases studied here, the *Riemann scalars criterion* indicates that, at the quantum level, the models are free from singularities.

-
- [1] A. Friedmann, *ZS. f. Phys* **10**, 377 (1922), translated and reproduced in *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **31**, 1991 (1999).
 - [2] S. W. Hawking, *The Large Scale Structure of Space Time*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1973).
 - [3] B. S. DeWitt, *Phys. Rev. D*, **160**, 1113 (1967).
 - [4] V. G. Lapchinskii and V. A. Rubakov, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **33**, 1076 (1977).
 - [5] M. J. Gotay and J. Demaret, *Phys. Rev. D* **28**, 2402 (1983);
 - [6] Mariam Bouhmadi-Lopez and Paulo Vargas Moniz, *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 063521 (2005); I. G. Moss and W. A. Wright, *Phys. Rev. D* **29**, 1067 (1984);
 - [7] A. Vilenkin, *Phys. Rev. D* **33**, 3560 (1986); **37**, 888 (1988).

- [8] N. A. Lemos, J. Math. Phys. **37**, 1449 (1996);
- [9] N. A. Lemos, F. G. Alvarenga, Gen. Relat. Grav. **31**, 1743 (1999).
- [10] F. G. Alvarenga, J. C. Fabris, N. A. Lemos, G. A. Monerat, Gen. Rel. Grav. **34**, 651 (2002).
- [11] N. A. Lemos, G. A. Monerat, Gen. Rel. Grav. **35**, 423 (2003);
- [12] G. A. Monerat, E. V. Corrêa Silva, G. Oliveira-Neto, L. G. Ferreira Filho and N. A. Lemos, Phys. Rev. D, **73**, 044022, (2006).
- [13] J. B. Hartle and S. W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. **D28**, 2960 (1983).
- [14] M. Anderson, S. Carlip, J.G. Ratcliffe, S. Surya and S. T. Tschantz, Class. Quant. Grav. **21** 729, (2004), S. Carlip, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79** 4071 (1997), Y. Fujiwara et al., Class. Quantum Grav. **7** 163 (1992); Phys. Rev. D **44**, 1756 (1991); J. Louko and P. J. Ruback, Class. Quantum Grav. **8**, 91 (1991); J. J. Halliwell and J. Louko, Phys. Rev. D **42**, 3997 (1990);
- [15] G. Oliveira-Neto, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 10750 (1998);
- [16] H. Everett, III, Rev. Mod. Phys. **29**, 454 (1957).
- [17] D. Bohm and B. J. Hiley, *The undivided universe: an ontological interpretation of quantum theory* (Routledge, London, 1993); P. R. Holland, *The quantum theory of motion: an account of the de Broglie-Bohm interpretation of quantum mechanics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993).
- [18] C. W. Misner, in *Magic without Magic: John Archibald Wheeler, a Collection of Essays in Honor of his 60th Birthday*, ed. J. Klauder (W. H. Freeman, San Francisco, 1972), 441.
- [19] J. Acacio de Barros and N. Pinto-Neto, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **7**, 201 (1998); J. Acacio de Barros, N. Pinto-Neto and M. A. Sagiuro-Leal, Phys. Lett. A **241**, 229 (1998).
- [20] G. F. R. Ellis and B. G. Schmidt, Gen. Relativ. Grav. **8**, 915 (1977).
- [21] D. A. Konkowski and T. M. Helliwell, Gen. Relativ. Grav. **33**, 1131 (2001).
- [22] Schutz, B. F., Phys. Rev. D **2**, 2762, (1970); Schutz, B. F., Phys. Rev. D **4**, 3359, (1971).
- [23] P. A. M. Dirac, Can. J. Math. **2**, 129 (1950); Proc. Roy. Soc. London A **249**, 326 and 333 (1958); Phys. Rev. **114**, 924 (1959).