

Multi-agent systems, Equiprobability, Gamma distributions and other Geometrical questions

Ricardo López-Ruiz*

*DIIS and BIFI, Facultad de Ciencias,
Universidad de Zaragoza, E-50009 Zaragoza, Spain*

Jaime Sañudo†

*Departamento de Física, Facultad de Ciencias,
Universidad de Extremadura, E-06071 Badajoz, Spain*

Xavier Calbet‡

*Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias,
Vía Láctea, s/n, E-38200 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain*

(Dated: November 11, 2021)

Abstract

A set of many identical interacting agents obeying a global additive constraint is considered. Under the hypothesis of equiprobability in the high-dimensional volume delimited in phase space by the constraint, the statistical behavior of a generic agent over the ensemble is worked out. The asymptotic distribution of that statistical behavior is derived from geometrical arguments. This distribution is related with the Gamma distributions found in several multi-agent economy models. The parallelism with all these systems is established. Also, as a collateral result, a formula for the volume of high-dimensional symmetrical bodies is proposed.

PACS numbers: 87.23.Ge, 05.90.+m, 89.90.+n

Keywords: multi-agent systems, equiprobability, economic models, Gamma distributions

I. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, a general multi-agent system under an additive constraint is considered. Following the derivations done in Refs. 1,2,3, we work out the geometrical properties of this system in phase space in order to obtain its statistical behavior. We observe a striking coincidence. The different dynamical mechanisms that have been proposed in the literature to model the interaction among agents in multi-agent economic systems^{4,5,6,7,8} display the same statistical results than those derived from the geometrical properties of our system. This fact seems to suggest a close relationship between the local interactions among the agents in the former systems and the global geometrical conformation in phase space of our general system. We are inclined to think that all those dynamical mechanisms provoke that those systems, with an adequate change of coordinates, evolve equiprobably over the volume of accessible states in the transformed phase space.

We start in Section II by recalling the particular results obtained in Ref. 3 for the cases in which the constraint has a linear or quadratic dependence on the variables. Then, in Section III, we obtain the statistical behavior for a more general constraint. In Section IV, we establish a possible relationship with other systems^{4,5,6,7,8} in which the Gamma distributions are also obtained. A formula for the volume of high-dimensional symmetrical bodies is proposed in Section V. The last Section VI contains our conclusions.

II. RECALLING SOME RESULTS

(A) Let us assume N agents interacting in an open economy³, each one with coordinate x_i , $i = 1, \dots, N$, with $x_i \geq 0$ representing the wealth or money of the agent i , and a total available amount of money E . The additive constraint reads:

$$x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_{N-1} + x_N \leq E. \tag{1}$$

Under random evolution rules for the exchanging of money among agents⁴, let us suppose that this system evolves in the interior of the N -dimensional pyramid given by Eq. (1). We can suppose that the state or the bank system of western societies plays in this model the role of a heat reservoir that supplies money instead of energy. The formula for the volume $V_N(E)$ of an equilateral N -dimensional pyramid formed by $N + 1$ vertices linked by

N perpendicular sides of length E is

$$V_N(E) = \frac{E^N}{N!}. \quad (2)$$

If each point on the N -dimensional pyramid is equiprobable, then the probability $f(x_i)dx_i$ of finding the agent i with money x_i , with normalization condition $\int_0^E f(x_i)dx_i = 1$, is proportional to the volume formed by all the points into the $(N - 1)$ -dimensional pyramid having the i th-coordinate equal to x_i . We have shown³ that $f(x_i)$ verifies

$$f(x_i) = \frac{V_{N-1}(E - x_i)}{V_N(E)}. \quad (3)$$

If we call ϵ the mean wealth per agent, $E = N\epsilon$, then in the limit of large N ($N \rightarrow \infty$), we have

$$\lim_{N \gg 1} \frac{V_{N-1}(E - x)}{V_N(E)} = \frac{1}{\epsilon} e^{-x/\epsilon} dx, \quad (4)$$

where the index i has been removed because the distribution is the same for each agent, and thus the wealth distribution can be obtained by averaging over all the agents,

$$f(x) = \epsilon^{-1} e^{-x/\epsilon} dx. \quad (5)$$

This Boltzmann-Gibbs distribution has been found to fit the real distribution of incomes in western societies⁴.

(B) Now let us suppose a one-dimensional ideal gas of N non-identical classical particles with masses m_i , with $i = 1, \dots, N$, and total maximum energy E . If particle i has a momentum $m_i v_i$, we define a kinetic energy:

$$K \equiv p_i^2 \equiv \frac{1}{2} m_i v_i^2, \quad (6)$$

where p_i is the square root of the kinetic energy. Then the constraint reads:

$$p_1^2 + p_2^2 + \dots + p_{N-1}^2 + p_N^2 \leq E. \quad (7)$$

We see that the system has accessible states with different energy, which is supposed to be supplied by a heat reservoir. These states are all those enclosed into the volume of the N -sphere given by Eq. (7), with radius $E^{1/2}$. The formula for the volume $V_N(R)$ of an N -sphere of radius R is

$$V_N(R) = \frac{\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2} + 1)} R^N, \quad (8)$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the Gamma function. If we suppose that each point into the N -sphere is equiprobable, then the probability $f(p_i)dp_i$ of finding the particle i , with coordinate p_i (energy p_i^2) and normalization condition $\int_{-R}^R f(p_i)dp_i = 1$, is proportional to the volume formed by all the points into the $(N - 1)$ -sphere having the i th-coordinate equal to p_i . We have shown³ that $f(p_i)$ verifies

$$f(p_i) = \frac{V_{N-1}((E - p_i^2)^{1/2})}{V_N(E^{1/2})}. \quad (9)$$

If we call ϵ the mean energy per particle, $E = N\epsilon$, then in the limit of large N ($N \rightarrow \infty$), we have

$$\lim_{N \gg 1} \frac{V_{N-1}((E - p^2)^{1/2})}{V_N(E^{1/2})} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi}} \epsilon^{-1/2} e^{-p^2/2\epsilon}, \quad (10)$$

where the index i has been removed because the distribution is the same for each particle.

Thus the asymptotic distribution

$$f(p) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi}} \epsilon^{-1/2} e^{-p^2/2\epsilon} \quad (11)$$

can be obtained by averaging over all the particles. If the change of variables $p = \sqrt{\frac{m}{2}} v$ is performed, with v the generic velocity of a particle, then the Maxwellian distribution is just derived from geometrical arguments.

III. MULTI-AGENT SYSTEMS AND EQUIPROBABILITY: GENERAL DERIVATION OF THE ASYMPTOTIC DISTRIBUTION

In this section, we address the same problem above presented but in a general way. Let b be a positive real constant (cases $b = 1, 2$ have been indicated in the former section). If we have a set of positive variables (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) verifying

$$x_1^b + x_2^b + \dots + x_{N-1}^b + x_N^b \leq E \quad (12)$$

with an adequate mechanism assuring the equiprobability of all the possible states (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) into the volume given by expression (12), will we have for the generic variable x the distribution

$$f(x)dx \sim \epsilon^{-1/b} e^{-x^b/b\epsilon} dx, \quad (13)$$

when we average over the ensemble in the limit $N, E \rightarrow \infty$, with $E = N\epsilon$, and constant ϵ ?

Now we show that the answer is affirmative.

From the cases $b = 1, 2$, (see Eqs. (3) and (9)), we can extrapolate the general formula that will give us the statistical behavior $f(x)$ of the generic variable x , when the system runs equiprobably into the volume defined by a constraint of type (12). The probability $f(x)dx$ of finding an agent with generic coordinate x is proportional to the volume $V_{N-1}((E - x^b)^{1/b})$ formed by all the points into the $(N - 1)$ -dimensional symmetrical body limited by the constraint $(E - x^b)$. Thus, the N -dimensional volume can be written as

$$V_N(E^{1/b}) = \int_0^{E^{1/b}} V_{N-1}((E - x^b)^{1/b}) dx. \quad (14)$$

Taking into account the normalization condition $\int_0^{E^{1/b}} f(x)dx = 1$, the expression for $f(x)$ is obtained:

$$f(x) = \frac{V_{N-1}((E - x^b)^{1/b})}{V_N(E^{1/b})}. \quad (15)$$

The N -dimensional volume, $V_N(b, \rho)$, of a b -symmetrical body with side of length ρ is proportional to the term ρ^N and to a coefficient $g_b(N)$ that depends on N :

$$V_N(b, \rho) = g_b(N) \rho^N. \quad (16)$$

The parameter b indicates the original equation (12) that defines the boundaries of the volume $V_N(b, \rho)$. Thus, for instance, from Eq. (2), we have $g_{b=1}(N) = 1/N!$.

Coming back to Eq. (15), we can manipulate $V_N((E - x^b)^{1/b})$ to obtain (the index b is omitted in the formule of V_N):

$$V_N((E - x^b)^{1/b}) = g_b(N) [(E - x^b)^{1/b}]^N = g_b(N) E^{\frac{N}{b}} \left(1 - \frac{x^b}{E}\right)^{\frac{N}{b}}. \quad (17)$$

If we suppose $E = N\epsilon$, then ϵ represents the mean value of x^b in the collectivity, that is, $\epsilon = \langle x^b \rangle$. If N tends toward infinity, it results:

$$\lim_{N \gg 1} \left(1 - \frac{x^b}{E}\right)^{\frac{N}{b}} = e^{-x^b/b\epsilon}. \quad (18)$$

Thus,

$$V_N((E - x^b)^{1/b}) = V_N(E^{1/b}) e^{-x^b/b\epsilon}. \quad (19)$$

Substituting this last expression in formula (15), the exact form for $f(x)$ is found in the thermodynamic limit ($N, E \rightarrow \infty$):

$$f(x)dx = c_b \epsilon^{-1/b} e^{-x^b/b\epsilon} dx, \quad (20)$$

with c_b given by

$$c_b = \frac{g_b(N-1)}{g_b(N) N^{1/b}}. \quad (21)$$

Hence, the conjecture (13) is proved.

Doing a thermodynamical simile, we can calculate the dependence of ϵ on the temperature by differentiating the entropy with respect to the energy. The entropy can be written as $S = -kN \int_0^\infty f(x) \ln f(x) dx$, where $f(x)$ is given by Eq. (20) and k is the Boltzmann constant. If we recall that $\epsilon = E/N$, we obtain

$$S(E) = \frac{kN}{b} \ln \left(\frac{E}{N} \right) + \frac{kN}{b} (1 - b \ln c_b), \quad (22)$$

where it has been used that $\epsilon = \langle x^b \rangle = \int_0^\infty x^b f(x) dx$.

The calculation of the temperature T gives

$$T^{-1} = \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial E} \right)_N = \frac{kN}{bE} = \frac{k}{b\epsilon}. \quad (23)$$

Thus $\epsilon = kT/b$, a result that recovers the theorem of equipartition of energy for the quadratic case $b = 2$. The distribution for all b is finally obtained:

$$f(x) dx = c_b \left(\frac{b}{kT} \right)^{1/b} e^{-x^b/kT} dx. \quad (24)$$

IV. GAMMA DISTRIBUTIONS

If we perform the change of variables $y = \epsilon^{-1/b} x$ in the normalization condition of $f(x)$, $\int_0^\infty f(x) dx = 1$, we find that

$$c_b = \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-y^b/b} dy \right]^{-1}. \quad (25)$$

If we introduce the new variable $z = y^b/b$, the distribution $f(x)$ as function of z reads:

$$f(z) dz = \frac{c_b}{b^{1-\frac{1}{b}}} z^{\frac{1}{b}-1} e^{-z} dz. \quad (26)$$

Let us observe that the Gamma function appears in the normalization condition,

$$\int_0^\infty f(z) dz = \frac{c_b}{b^{1-\frac{1}{b}}} \int_0^\infty z^{\frac{1}{b}-1} e^{-z} dz = \frac{c_b}{b^{1-\frac{1}{b}}} \Gamma \left(\frac{1}{b} \right) = 1. \quad (27)$$

This implies that

$$c_b = \frac{b^{1-\frac{1}{b}}}{\Gamma \left(\frac{1}{b} \right)}. \quad (28)$$

By using Mathematica the positive constant c_b is plotted versus b in Fig. 1. We see that $\lim_{b \rightarrow 0} c_b = \infty$, and that $\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} c_b = 1$. The minimum of c_b is reached for $b = 3.1605$, taking the value $c_b = 0.7762$. Still further, we can calculate from Eq. (28) the asymptotic dependence of c_b on b :

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow 0} c_b = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi}} \sqrt{b} e^{1/b} \left(1 - \frac{b}{12} + \dots\right), \quad (29)$$

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} c_b = b^{-1/b} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma}{b} + \dots\right), \quad (30)$$

where γ is the Euler constant, $\gamma = 0.5772$. The asymptotic function (29) is obtained after substituting in (28) the value of $\Gamma(1/b)$ by $(1/b - 1)!$, and performing the Stirling approximation on this last expression, knowing that $1/b \rightarrow \infty$. The function (30) is found after looking for the first Taylor expansion terms of the Gamma function around the origin $x = 0$. They can be derived from the Euler's reflection formula, $\Gamma(x)\Gamma(1-x) = \pi/\sin(\pi x)$. We obtain $\Gamma(x \rightarrow 0) = x^{-1} + \Gamma'(1) + \dots$. From here, recalling that $\Gamma'(1) = -\gamma$, we get $\Gamma(1/b) = b - \gamma + \dots$, when $b \rightarrow \infty$. Although this last term of the Taylor expansion, $-\gamma$, is negligible we maintain it in expression (30). The only minimum of c_b is reached for the solution $b = 3.1605$ of the equation $\psi(1/b) + \log b + b - 1 = 0$, where $\psi(\cdot)$ is the digamma function (see Fig. 1).

Let us now recall two interesting statistical economic models that display a statistical behavior given by distributions of the form (26), that is, the standard Gamma distributions with shape parameter $1/b$,

$$f(z)dz = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{b})} z^{\frac{1}{b}-1} e^{-z} dz. \quad (31)$$

MODEL A: The first one is the saving propensity model introduced by Chakraborti and Chakrabarti⁵. In this model a set of N economic agents, having each agent i (with $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$) an amount of money, u_i , exchanges it under random binary (i, j) interactions, $(u_i, u_j) \rightarrow (u'_i, u'_j)$, by the following the exchange rule:

$$u'_i = \lambda u_i + \epsilon(1 - \lambda)(u_i + u_j), \quad (32)$$

$$u'_j = \lambda u_j + \bar{\epsilon}(1 - \lambda)(u_i + u_j), \quad (33)$$

with $\bar{\epsilon} = (1 - \epsilon)$, and ϵ a random number in the interval $(0, 1)$. The parameter λ , with $0 < \lambda < 1$, is fixed, and represents the fraction of money saved before carrying out the transaction. Let us observe that money is conserved, i.e., $u_i + u_j = u'_i + u'_j$, hence in this model the economy is closed. Defining the parameter $n(\lambda)$ as

$$n(\lambda) = \frac{1 + 2\lambda}{1 - \lambda}, \quad (34)$$

and scaling the wealth of the agents as $\bar{z} = nu / \langle u \rangle$, with $\langle u \rangle$ representing the average money over the ensemble of agents, it is found that the asymptotic wealth distribution in this system obeys the standard Gamma distribution⁶

$$f(\bar{z})d\bar{z} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \bar{z}^{n-1} e^{-\bar{z}} d\bar{z}. \quad (35)$$

The case $n = 1$, which means a null saving propensity, $\lambda = 0$, recovers the model of Dragulescu and Yakovenko⁴ in which the Gibbs distribution is observed. If we compare Eqs. (35) and (31), a close relationship between this economic model and the geometrical problem solved in the former section can be established. It is enough to make

$$n = 1/b, \quad (36)$$

$$\bar{z} = z, \quad (37)$$

to have two equivalent systems. This means that, from Eq. (36), we can calculate b from the saving parameter λ with the formula

$$b = \frac{1 - \lambda}{1 + 2\lambda}. \quad (38)$$

As λ takes its values in the interval $(0, 1)$, then the parameter b also runs in the same interval $(0, 1)$. On the other hand, recalling that $z = x^b/b\epsilon$, we can get the equivalent variable x from Eq. (37),

$$x = \left[\frac{\epsilon}{\langle u \rangle} u \right]^{1/b}, \quad (39)$$

where ϵ is a free parameter that determines the mean value of x^b in the equivalent geometrical system. Formula (39) means to perform the change of variables $u_i \rightarrow x_i$, with $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, for all the particles/agents of the ensemble. Then, we conjecture that the economic system represented by the generic pair (λ, u) , when it is transformed in the geometrical system given by the generic pair (b, x) , as indicated by the rules (38) and (39), runs in an equiprobable form

on the surface defined by the relationship (12), where the inequality has been transformed in equality. This last detail is due to the fact the economic system is closed, and then it conserves the total money, whose equivalent quantity in the geometrical problem is E . If the economic system were open, with an upper limit in the wealth, then the transformed system would evolve in an equiprobable way over the volume defined by the inequality (12). To see more clearly the equivalence between surface and volume in a statistical ensemble we address the reader to Ref. 3 where this question has been discussed for similar cases.

MODEL B: The second one is the model introduced by Angle⁷. In this model a set of N economic agents, having each agent i (with $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$) an amount of money, u_i , exchanges it under random binary (i, j) interactions, $(u_i, u_j) \rightarrow (u'_i, u'_j)$, by the following the exchange rule:

$$u'_i = u_i - \Delta u, \quad (40)$$

$$u'_j = u_j + \Delta u, \quad (41)$$

where

$$\Delta u = \eta(x_i - x_j) \epsilon \omega x_i - [1 - \eta(x_i - x_j)] \epsilon \omega x_j, \quad (42)$$

with ϵ a random number in the interval $(0, 1)$. The exchange parameter, ω , represents the maximum fraction of wealth lost by one of the two interacting agents ($0 < \omega < 1$). Whether the agent who is going to loose part of the money is the i -th or the j -th agent, depends nonlinearly on $(x_i - x_j)$, and this is decided by the random dichotomous function $\eta(t)$: $\eta(t > 0) = 1$ (with additional probability $1/2$) and $\eta(t < 0) = 0$ (with additional probability $1/2$). Hence, when $x_i > x_j$, the value $\eta = 1$ produces a wealth transfer from agent i to agent j with probability $1/2$, and when $x_i < x_j$, the value $\eta = 0$ produces a wealth transfer from agent j to agent i with probability $1/2$. Defining in this case the parameter $n(\omega)$ as

$$n(\omega) = \frac{3 - 2\omega}{2\omega}, \quad (43)$$

and scaling the wealth of the agents as $\bar{z} = nu / \langle u \rangle$, with $\langle u \rangle$ representing the average money over the ensemble of agents, it is found that the asymptotic wealth distribution in this system obeys the standard Gamma distribution⁸

$$f(\bar{z})d\bar{z} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \bar{z}^{n-1} e^{-\bar{z}} d\bar{z}. \quad (44)$$

The case $n = 1$, which means an exchange parameter $\omega = 3/4$, recovers the model of Dragulescu and Yakovenko⁴ in which the Gibbs distribution is observed. If we compare Eqs. (44) and (31), a close relationship between this economic model and the geometrical problem solved in the last section can be established. It is enough to make

$$n = 1/b, \tag{45}$$

$$\bar{z} = z, \tag{46}$$

to have two equivalent systems. This means that, from Eq. (45), we can calculate b from the exchange parameter ω with the formula

$$b = \frac{2\omega}{3 - 2\omega}. \tag{47}$$

As ω takes its values in the interval $(0, 1)$, then the parameter b runs in the interval $(0, 2)$. It is curious to observe that in this model the interval $\omega \in (3/4, 1)$ maps on $b \in (1, 2)$, a fact that does not occur in MODEL A. On the other hand, recalling that $z = x^b/b\epsilon$, we can get the equivalent variable x from Eq. (46),

$$x = \left[\frac{\epsilon}{\langle u \rangle} u \right]^{1/b}. \tag{48}$$

where ϵ is a free parameter that determines the mean value of x^b in the equivalent geometrical system. Formula (48) means to perform the change of variables $u_i \rightarrow x_i$, with $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, for all the particles/agents of the ensemble. Then, we conjecture that the economic system represented by the generic pair (λ, u) , when it is transformed in the geometrical system given by the generic pair (b, x) , as indicated by the rules (47) and (48), runs in an equiprobable form on the surface defined by the relationship (12), where the inequality has been transformed in equality. As explained above, this last detail is due to the fact the economic system is closed, and then it conserves the total money, whose equivalent quantity in the geometrical problem is E . If the economic system were open, with an upper limit in the wealth, then the transformed system would evolve in an equiprobable way over the volume defined by the inequality (12). This equivalence in using the surface or the volume of a statistical ensemble in order to obtain its statistical behavior has been discussed in Ref. 3 for similar cases.

V. OTHER GEOMETRICAL QUESTIONS

We shall proceed now to derive an asymptotic formula ($N \rightarrow \infty$) for the volume of the N -dimensional symmetrical body enclosed by the surface

$$x_1^b + x_2^b + \cdots + x_{N-1}^b + x_N^b = E. \quad (49)$$

The linear dimension ρ of this volume, i.e., the length of one of its sides verifies $\rho \sim E^{1/b}$. As argued in Eq. (16), the N -dimensional volume, $V_N(b, \rho)$, is proportional to the term ρ^N and to a coefficient $g_b(N)$ that depends on N . Thus,

$$V_N(b, \rho) = g_b(N) \rho^N, \quad (50)$$

where the characteristic b indicates the particular boundary given by equation (49).

For instance, from Eq. (2), we can write in a formal way:

$$g_{b=1}(N) = \frac{1^{\frac{N}{1}}}{\Gamma(\frac{N}{1} + 1)}. \quad (51)$$

From Eq. (8), if we take the diameter, $\rho = 2R$, as the linear dimension of the N -sphere, we obtain:

$$g_{b=2}(N) = \frac{\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{N}{2} + 1\right)}. \quad (52)$$

These expressions (51) and (52) suggest a possible general formula for the factor $g_b(N)$, let us say

$$g_b(N) = \frac{a^{\frac{N}{b}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{N}{b} + 1\right)}, \quad (53)$$

where a is a b -dependent constant to be determined. For example, $a = 1$ for $b = 1$ and $a = \pi/4$ for $b = 2$.

In order to find the dependence of a on the parameter b , the regime $N \rightarrow \infty$ is supposed. Applying Stirling approximation for the factorial $(\frac{N}{b})!$ in the denominator of expression (53), and inserting it in expression (21), it is straightforward to find out the relationship:

$$c_b = (ab)^{-1/b}. \quad (54)$$

From here and formula (28), we get:

$$a = \left[\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{b} + 1\right) \right]^b, \quad (55)$$

that recovers the exact results for $b = 1, 2$. The behavior of a is monotonous decreasing when b is varied from $b = 0$, where a diverges as $a \sim 1/b + \dots$, up to the limit $b \rightarrow \infty$, where a decays asymptotically toward the value $a_\infty = e^{-\gamma} = 0.5614$.

Hence, the formula for $g_b(N)$ is obtained:

$$g_b(N) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{b} + 1\right)^N}{\Gamma\left(\frac{N}{b} + 1\right)}, \quad (56)$$

It would be also possible to multiply this last expression (56) by a general polynomial $K(N)$ in the variable N , and all the derivation done from Eq. (53) would continue to be correct. We omit this possibility in our calculations. For a fixed N , we have that $g_b(N)$ increases monotonously from $g_b(N) = 0$, for $b = 0$, up to $g_b(N) = 1$, in the limit $b \rightarrow \infty$ (see Fig. 2). For a fixed b , we have that $g_b(N)$ decreases monotonously from $g_b(N) = 1$, for $N = 1$, up to $g_b(N) = 0$, in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ (see Fig. 3).

The final result for the volume of an N -dimensional symmetrical body of characteristic b given by the boundary (49) reads:

$$V_N(b, \rho) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{b} + 1\right)^N}{\Gamma\left(\frac{N}{b} + 1\right)} \rho^N, \quad (57)$$

with $\rho \sim E^{1/b}$.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we have considered a general multi-agent open system verifying an additive constraint. Its statistical behavior has been derived from geometrical arguments. The Maxwellian and the Boltzmann-Gibbs distributions are particular cases of this type of systems. Also, other multi-agent economy models, such as the Chakraborti and Chakrabarti's model⁵, the Angle's model⁷, and the Dragulescu and Yakovenko's model⁴, show similar statistical behaviors than our general system. This fact suggests a geometrical interpretation of all those models. The equivalence with the Chakraborti and Chakrabarti's model is established when the geometrical characteristic b of our model runs in the interval $(0, 1)$. The equivalence with the Angle's model is established when b varies in the interval $(0, 2)$. As a particular case of both types of model, the Dragulescu and Yakovenko's model is obtained for $b = 1$.

Let us remark that we have not found in the literature other multi-agent models to establish an equivalence with our system in the range $b \in (2, \infty)$. This point remains as an open question and it can be a challenge that will probably trigger other works in this direction.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge some financial support from Spanish DGICYT Projects FIS2005-06237 and and FIS2006-12781-C02-01.

* Electronic address: rilopez@unizar.es

† Electronic address: jsr@unex.es

‡ Electronic address: xcalbet@googlemail.es

¹ R. Lopez-Ruiz and X. Calbet, “Derivation of the Maxwellian distribution from the microcanonical ensemble,” *Am. J. Phys.* **75**, 752-753 (2007).

² R. Lopez-Ruiz, J. Sañudo, and X. Calbet, “Geometrical derivation of the Boltzmann factor,” arXiv:0707.4081 [nlin.CD] (2007).

³ R. Lopez-Ruiz, J. Sañudo, and X. Calbet, “On the equivalence between the microcanonical and the canonical ensembles: a geometrical approach,” arXiv:0708.1866 [nlin.CD] (2007).

⁴ A. Dragulescu and V. M. Yakovenko, “Statistical mechanics of money,” *Eur. Phys. J. B* **17**, 723-729 (2000).

⁵ A. Chakraborti and B.K. Chakrabarti, “Statistical mechanics of money: How saving propensity affects its distribution,” *Eur. Phys. J. B* **17**, 167-170 (2000).

⁶ M. Patriarca, A. Chakraborti, and K. Kaski, “Statistical model with a standard Gamma distribution,” *Phys. Rev. E* **70**, 016104(5) (2004).

⁷ J. Angle, “The inequality process as a wealth maximizing process,” *Physica A* **367**, 388-414 (2006); and references therein.

⁸ M. Patriarca, E. Heinsalu, and A. Chakraborti, “The ABCD’s of statistical many-agent economy models,” arXiv:physics/0611245 (2006).

Figures




FIG. 1: Normalization constant c_b versus b , calculated from Eq. (28). The asymptotic behavior is: $\lim_{b \rightarrow 0} c_b = \infty$, and $\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} c_b = 1$. This last asymptote is represented by the dotted line. The minimum of c_b is reached for $b = 3.1605$, taking the value $c_b = 0.7762$.




FIG. 2: The factor $g_b(N)$ versus b for $N = 10, 40, 100$, calculated from Eq. (56). Observe that $g_b(N) = 0$ for $b = 0$, and $\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} g_b(N) = 1$.




FIG. 3: The factor $g_b(N)$ versus N for $b = 10, 40, 100$, calculated from Eq. (56). Observe that $g_b(N) = 1$ for $N = 1$, and $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} g_b(N) = 0$.