

BOUNDS ON $2m/r$ FOR STATIC PERFECT FLUIDS

J. MARK HEINZLE*

Gravitational Physics,
Faculty of Physics, University of Vienna,
A-1090 Vienna, Austria

October 24, 2021

Abstract

For spherically symmetric relativistic perfect fluid models, the well-known Buchdahl inequality provides the bound $2M/R \leq 8/9$, where R denotes the surface radius and M the total mass of a solution. By assuming that the ratio p/ρ be bounded, where p is the pressure, ρ the density of solutions, we prove a sharper inequality of the same type, which depends on the actual bound imposed on p/ρ . As a special case, when we assume the dominant energy condition $p/\rho \leq 1$, we obtain $2M/R \leq 6/7$.

*Electronic address: Mark.Heinzle@univie.ac.at

1 Introduction

In General Relativity, the masses M of equilibrium configurations with a given fixed radius R are limited. Indeed, from the theory of black holes, it is clear that $2M/R < 1$ is required, since otherwise apparent horizons must necessarily appear. In 1959, H.A. Buchdahl [4] proved a stricter inequality:

$$\frac{2M}{R} \leq \frac{8}{9} \tag{1}$$

Buchdahl's inequality holds for spherical stellar models whose matter is ordinary perfect fluid matter satisfying a non-decreasing barotropic equation of state $\rho = \rho(p)$ relating the (positive) energy density ρ and the (positive) pressure p . The major appeal of Buchdahl's inequality lies in the fact that it leaves a gap between the maximally attainable value of $2M/R$ and the threshold of horizon formation. Buchdahl's inequality is a classical result in General Relativity and a discussion of it is included in almost every textbook. Its significance in astrophysics is due to the fact that (1) yields a bound on the surface red shift (gravitational red shift) of stellar models.

There exists several bounds on $2M/R$ under different assumptions than Buchdahl's. In [5], the inequality (1) is shown to hold also for anisotropic matter, where the tangential pressure is assumed to be less than the radial pressure. In [1], collisionless matter is considered instead of fluid matter; in addition, a very general inequality is derived that is independent of the specifics of the matter content. Recently, the authors of [7] considered a large variety of assumptions. In particular, they obtain inequalities by imposing merely the dominant energy condition; see also the remarks in the conclusions.

In this paper we return to Buchdahl's original realm, i.e., we investigate ordinary perfect fluid matter that satisfies a non-decreasing equation of state. Although the Buchdahl inequality (1) is sharp under these assumptions, the solution that maximizes the quantity $2M/R$ is rather unphysical: The stellar model satisfying $2M/R = 8/9$ consists of an incompressible fluid, i.e., $\rho = \text{const}$ throughout the entire configuration, and p diverges as $r \rightarrow 0$. Accordingly, for this limiting solution, p/ρ is unbounded, so that in particular the dominant energy condition is violated. In this paper we derive a generalization of Buchdahl's inequality that applies if—in addition to Buchdahl's original assumptions—we also assume a bound on p/ρ ; this new inequality is formulated in Theorem 3.1. Its major property can be condensed into the following key statement: The more restrictive the bound on p/ρ , the more restrictive the bound on $2M/R$. The extremal cases covered by Theorem 3.1 are the case $p/\rho \leq \infty$ (i.e., no bound), where the original Buchdahl inequality (1) is recovered, and the case where $p/\rho \leq \varepsilon$ for an arbitrarily small $\varepsilon > 0$ (which is the most restrictive bound), which leads to $2M/R \leq \text{const } \varepsilon$. When we assume the

dominant energy condition (i.e., the bound $p/\rho < 1$), we find that

$$\frac{2M}{R} \leq \frac{6}{7}. \quad (2)$$

Since the methods of our proof are based on the dynamical systems approach to relativistic perfect fluid models, which is due to [6], we begin by briefly introducing these techniques.

2 Dynamical systems formulation

Static spherically symmetric relativistic perfect fluid solutions (relativistic stellar models) are solutions of the relativistic equations of hydrostatic equilibrium:

$$\frac{dm}{dr} = 4\pi r^2 \rho, \quad (3a)$$

$$\frac{dp}{dr} = -\frac{Gm\rho}{r^2} \left(1 + \frac{p}{\rho c^2}\right) \left(1 + \frac{4\pi r^3 p}{mc^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{2Gm}{c^2 r}\right)^{-1}. \quad (3b)$$

Equation (3b) is the famous Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff (TOV) equation [8, 10]. The function $m = m(r)$ is the so-called mass function; when R is the surface radius of a stellar model, we denote by $M = m(R)$ its total mass. A solution of (3) gives rise to a static spherically symmetric perfect fluid spacetime, which is represented by the metric

$$ds^2 = -c^2 e^{2\nu(r)} dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2Gm(r)}{c^2 r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2), \quad (4)$$

where the “relativistic potential” ν is given by $d\nu/dr = -(dp/dr)/(p + \rho c^2)$. Note that r is the standard areal radial coordinate. To form a determined system, these equations are supplemented by a barotropic equation of state $\rho(p)$.

We assume that ρ and p are non-negative and related by a barotropic equation of state $\rho(p)$ that is sufficiently smooth for $p > 0$. (In principle, equations of state that are only piecewise smooth do not pose any further difficulties; however, for simplicity, we confine ourselves to the simplest case.) In the following we choose units so that $G = 1$ and $c = 1$.

Although the system (3) is adequate for several purposes, it is not suitable for probing generic features of the solution space associated with large classes of equations of state. For example, in the standard proof of Buchdahl’s inequality, see [4] or [3], the system is replaced by an alternative system that is based on a choice of variables different from (m, p, r) . To remedy the defects of the TOV equation, in [6], the system (3) is reformulated as a dynamical system. This reformulation turns out to be

the key to the derivation of several qualitative results such as theorems on the finiteness of R and M , and results on qualitative features of the M - R -diagram. In the following we shall present a brief summary of this dynamical systems formulation, since it constitutes the basic ingredient in the proof of our main theorem.

The two-dimensional system (3) allows a reformulation in terms of a regular autonomous three-dimensional system of differential equations defined on a compact state space; see [6]. To obtain this dynamical system formulation one makes a variable transformation from $(m, p > 0, r > 0)$ to the variables

$$u = \frac{4\pi r^3 \rho}{m}, \quad v = \frac{\rho}{p} \left(\frac{\frac{m}{r}}{1 - \frac{2m}{r}} \right), \quad \omega = \omega(p) = p; \quad (5)$$

in principle, $\omega(p)$ can be a more or less arbitrary function that is strictly monotonically increasing and sufficiently smooth. For our purposes, however, the choice $\omega = p$ is sufficient.

Since the variables (u, v, ω) are unbounded, we replace these variables by bounded variables $(U, V, \Omega) \in (0, 1)^3$ by simply defining

$$U = \frac{u}{1+u}, \quad V = \frac{v}{1+v}, \quad \Omega = \frac{\omega}{1+\omega}. \quad (6)$$

In addition, we introduce a new independent variable λ , which is a measure of r , by

$$\frac{d\lambda}{d \log r} = (1-U)^{-1}(1-V)^{-1}. \quad (7)$$

Using these definitions turns (3) into a dynamical system on the bounded state space $\mathbf{X} = (0, 1)^3 = \{(U, V, \Omega) \mid U, V, \Omega \in (0, 1)\}$, which is the unit cube. The dynamical system reads

$$\frac{dU}{d\lambda} = U(1-U)[(1-V)(3-4U) - \Upsilon H] \quad (8a)$$

$$\frac{dV}{d\lambda} = V(1-V)[(2U-1)(1-V+2\sigma V) + (1-\Upsilon)H] \quad (8b)$$

$$\frac{d\Omega}{d\lambda} = -\Omega(1-\Omega)H, \quad (8c)$$

$$\text{where } H = H(U, V, \Omega) = (1+\sigma)V(1-U+\sigma U). \quad (8d)$$

The r.h. side contains two functions that encode the information on the equation of state:

$$\Upsilon = \frac{p}{\rho} \frac{d\rho}{dp}, \quad \sigma = \frac{p}{\rho}. \quad (9)$$

In the context of the system (8), these functions are to be viewed as functions of Ω (instead of functions of p). For details on the derivation of the equations (8) we refer to [6].

Given an equation of state, the TOV equation admits a one-parameter family of *regular solutions*, which are solutions of (3) with a regular center of spherical symmetry. The parameter characterizing this family is most conveniently chosen to be the central pressure of the solution (i.e., $p_c = p|_{r=0}$). (For a proof see [9]; the dynamical systems analysis of [6] provides a simple alternative.) Since $m \sim 4\pi r^3 \rho_c/3$ in the limit $r \rightarrow 0$ (where $\rho_c = \rho(p_c)$ is the central density), we find that regular solutions satisfy

$$U \rightarrow \frac{3}{4}, \quad V \rightarrow 0, \quad \Omega \rightarrow \Omega_c \quad (10)$$

as $r \rightarrow 0$; in addition,

$$\lambda \rightarrow -\infty \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad r \rightarrow 0. \quad (11)$$

Therefore, in the dynamical systems picture, a regular perfect fluid solution is represented by an orbit $(U(\lambda), V(\lambda), \Omega(\lambda))$ in the state space \mathbf{X} that emerges from what we call the line of regular initial values $\{(U, V, \Omega) \mid U = 3/4, V = 0, \Omega \in (0, 1)\}$. We conclude by noting that the limit $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ corresponds to the limit $r \rightarrow R$, where R is the surface radius of the perfect fluid solution; this is because $\Omega \rightarrow 0$ (and thus $p \rightarrow 0$) is this limit; for details, see [6].

Remark. In the definition of the variable V , the factor $1 - 2m/r$ appears. This does not pose any problems, since this factor is always positive for relativistic perfect fluids; cf. [2]. One can even show that it is not necessary to resort to additional considerations to ensure that $1 - 2m/r > 0$; this issue can be dealt with completely within the dynamical systems formulation: If $1 - 2m/r > 0$ holds initially (as is true for regular solutions), then $1 - 2m/r > 0$ for all r corresponding to $\lambda \in (-\infty, \infty)$ and thus in particular for all $r < R$. This is simply because $1 - 2m/r$ can be written as $1 - 2m/r = (1 - V)(1 - V + 2\sigma V)$, which is positive, since $0 < V < 1$ for all $\lambda \in (-\infty, \infty)$. To show that also $1 - 2M/R > 0$ (which corresponds to the limit $r \rightarrow R$, or, equivalently, to $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$), a straightforward dynamical systems analysis of the ω -limits of solutions in \mathbf{X} is sufficient; see [6].

3 A generalization of the Buchdahl inequality

Consider the function

$$\Phi = \Phi(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{P}) = \frac{9\mathcal{M} [2(2\mathcal{M} + \mathcal{P}) - (3\mathcal{M} + \mathcal{P})^2]}{(1 - 2\mathcal{M})(3\mathcal{M} + \mathcal{P})^2}, \quad (12)$$

where

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{m}{r}, \quad \mathcal{P} = 4\pi r^2 p. \quad (13)$$

For each constant

$$g \in [0, 4), \quad (14)$$

the inequality

$$\Phi \geq g \tag{15}$$

defines a Buchdahl type inequality. This is simply because it is an inequality relating m/r with the pressure p .

Example. In the special case $g = 0$, the inequality (15) reduces to

$$2(2\mathcal{M} + \mathcal{P}) - (3\mathcal{M} + \mathcal{P})^2 \geq 0; \tag{16}$$

alternatively, when we use standard variables, we obtain

$$\frac{2m}{r} \leq \frac{4}{9} \left(1 - 6\pi r^2 p + \sqrt{1 + 6\pi r^2 p} \right), \tag{17}$$

which is the (complete) Buchdahl inequality. Consider a regular perfect fluid solution $(m(r), p(r))$ that satisfies this inequality; let R denote the surface radius, which is determined by the vanishing of the pressure, and $M = m(R)$ the total mass of the solution. Setting $\mathcal{P} = 0$ in (16), or $p = 0$ in (17), which corresponds to evaluating the inequality at the surface R , yields the so-called Buchdahl surface inequality

$$\frac{2M}{R} \leq \frac{8}{9}. \tag{18}$$

The Buchdahl inequality is due to H. A. Buchdahl [4]; it holds for all regular perfect fluid solutions that obey an equation of state that is non-decreasing.

Setting $\mathcal{P} = 0$ in (15) (for an arbitrary value of $g \in [0, 4)$) corresponds to evaluating this inequality at the surface of a perfect fluid solution. A simple algebraic manipulation shows that $\Phi|_{\mathcal{P}=0} \geq g$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{M}|_R \leq (4 - g)/(9 - 2g)$ or

$$\frac{2M}{R} \leq \frac{8 - 2g}{9 - 2g}. \tag{19}$$

The inequality (15) thus naturally incorporates a surface inequality of the type (19).

Theorem 3.1. *Consider an equation of state $\rho = \rho(p)$ that is non-decreasing; let $\sigma = p/\rho$. Every associated regular perfect fluid solution that satisfies*

$$\sigma < \frac{2}{\sqrt{g}} - 1 \tag{20}$$

for some constant $g \in [0, 4)$, obeys the inequality $\Phi \geq g$ and consequently satisfies

$$\frac{2M}{R} \leq \frac{8 - 2g}{9 - 2g}. \tag{21}$$

Remark. In the special case $g = 0$, the assumption (20) disappears. The theorem then reduces to the statement that every regular perfect fluid solution satisfies the Buchdahl inequality. The Buchdahl inequality is thus naturally included as a special case of the theorem; note in addition that the proof of the Buchdahl inequality becomes particularly simple in our set-up.

Considering the special case $g = 1$ in the theorem leads to an interesting corollary:

Corollary 3.2. *Consider an equation of state $\rho = \rho(p)$ that is non-decreasing. Every associated regular perfect fluid solution that satisfies the dominant energy condition (i.e., $\sigma = p/\rho < 1$) obeys the inequality $\Phi \geq 1$ and consequently satisfies*

$$\frac{2M}{R} \leq \frac{6}{7}. \quad (22)$$

In the subsequent section we give a proof of the theorem which is based on the dynamical systems formulation of the problem.

4 Proof of the theorem

We begin by proving that, in the dynamical systems description, regular solutions satisfy $U \leq 3/4$ (where $U \rightarrow 3/4$ as $\lambda \rightarrow -\infty$), see also [6]. This statement is almost trivial, see the subsequent remark; however, we give a rather illustrative proof which already makes use of those methods that will turn out to be pivotal in the proper proof of Theorem 3.1.

Remark. In standard variables, the statement $U \leq 3/4$ reads $\rho(r) \leq \bar{\rho}(r)$, where $\bar{\rho} = 3m/(4\pi r^3)$ is the ‘‘average density’’. This inequality is rather obvious, since the density is outward decreasing, which is true provided that the equation of state is non-decreasing.

Lemma 4.1. *Consider an equation of state $\rho = \rho(p)$ that is non-decreasing. Then $U \leq 3/4$ holds for all regular perfect fluid solutions associated with this equation of state.*

Proof. Consider the surface $U = 3/4$ in the state space and the flow of the system (8) through this surface. We obtain $dU/d\lambda|_{U=3/4} = -3\Upsilon(1+\sigma)(1+3\sigma)V/64 \leq 0$, since $\Upsilon \geq 0$ when $d\rho/dp \geq 0$. (One can say that the surface $U = 3/4$ acts as a ‘‘semi-permeable membrane’’ for the flow of the dynamical system, since solutions can pass through this surface in only one way.) Similarly, for a surface $U = U_0 > 3/4$ we obtain $dU/d\lambda|_{U=U_0} < 0$. Since, for regular solutions, $U \rightarrow 3/4$ as $\lambda \rightarrow -\infty$, it follows that $U \rightarrow 3/4$ from below as $\lambda \rightarrow -\infty$ and that $U \leq 3/4$ for all λ . \square

We now proceed to give the proof of **Theorem 3.1**. Note that (a variant of) the proof in the special case $g = 0$ (the proper Buchdahl case) has been given already in [6]. Here we extend these techniques.

Proof. Using that

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{\sigma V}{1 - V + 2\sigma V}, \quad \mathcal{P} = \left(\frac{U}{1 - U} \right) \left(\frac{\sigma^2 V}{1 - V + 2\sigma V} \right), \quad (23)$$

the function Φ , cf. (12), can be regarded as a function on the state space. In the state space description, regular solutions emerge from the line of regular initial values $\{(U, V, \Omega) \mid U = 3/4, V = 0, \Omega \in (0, 1)\}$. Evaluated in this limit, Φ becomes the function

$$\Phi|_{\{U=3/4, V=0\}} = \frac{2(2 + 3\sigma)}{(1 + \sigma)^2}, \quad (24)$$

whose values range in $[0, 4)$. We obtain

$$\Phi|_{\{U=3/4, V=0\}} = g = \frac{2(2 + 3\sigma)}{(1 + \sigma)^2} \in [0, 4) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \sigma = \frac{3 - g + \sqrt{9 - 2g}}{g} \in (0, \infty]. \quad (25)$$

For each constant $g \in [0, 4)$, the equation $\Phi = g$ defines a surface in the state space that divides the state space in two halves. We are interested in the subset

$$\Phi > g, \quad (26)$$

since this represents the Buchdahl type inequality we want to prove. According to (25), the subset $\Phi > g$ contains the line of regular initial values up to a certain value of Ω , which is given as the (smallest) value of Ω such that $\sigma < \sigma_g$, where $\sigma_g = (3 - g + \sqrt{9 - 2g})/g$. This means that, initially, i.e., for sufficiently small λ (or, equivalently, for sufficiently small r), regular solutions with $\sigma < \sigma_g$ satisfy $\Phi > g$.

Let us consider a regular solution with

$$\sigma < \frac{2}{\sqrt{g}} - 1 \quad (27)$$

and the function Φ along this solution, i.e., we track $\Phi(\lambda) = \Phi(U(\lambda), V(\lambda), \Omega(\lambda))$ for $\lambda \in (-\infty, \infty)$. Obviously, $\Phi > g$ holds initially (i.e., for sufficiently small λ), since the r.h. side of (27) is always less than σ_g . In Lemma 4.2 we prove that the derivative of Φ , when computed on the surface $\Phi = g$, is positive under the assumption (27), when we also use that $U \leq 3/4$; i.e.,

$$\frac{d\Phi}{d\lambda} \Big|_{\Phi=g} \geq 0. \quad (28)$$

Therefore, since, first, $\Phi(\lambda) > g$ for sufficiently small λ and, second, $\Phi(\lambda)$ cannot pass through the $\Phi = g$ barrier, it follows that

$$\Phi > g \tag{29}$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Equivalently, $\Phi > g$ for all $r \in [0, R)$ and $\Phi \geq g$ for all $r \in [0, R]$, and the theorem is established. \square

It merely remains to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *The derivative of Φ on the surface $\Phi = g$ is positive for all $U < 3/4$ if and only if*

$$\sigma < \frac{2}{\sqrt{g}} - 1. \tag{30}$$

Proof. A straightforward computation based on the system (8) yields

$$\left. \frac{d\Phi}{d\lambda} \right|_{\Phi=g} = \frac{(3-4U)(1-U+\sigma U)}{3(1-U)+\sigma U} \sigma V (9-2g) \varphi_g, \tag{31a}$$

where φ_g is given by

$$\varphi_g = \frac{36(1-U)^2 - g(3(1-U) + \sigma U)^2}{18(1-U)(2(1-U) + \sigma U) - g(3(1-U) + \sigma U)^2}. \tag{31b}$$

Clearly, if $U < 3/4$,

$$\left. \frac{d\Phi}{d\lambda} \right|_{\Phi=g} > 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \varphi_g > 0. \tag{32}$$

Since the denominator of φ_g is equal to the numerator of φ_g (which we call $\hat{\varphi}_g$ in the following) plus a positive term, namely $18(1-U)\sigma U$, positivity of $\hat{\varphi}_g$ already implies positivity of φ_g . Therefore,

$$\left. \frac{d\Phi}{d\lambda} \right|_{\Phi=g} > 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \hat{\varphi}_g = 36(1-U)^2 - g(3(1-U) + \sigma U)^2 > 0. \tag{33}$$

Positivity of $\hat{\varphi}_g$ is in turn governed by a simple linear condition,

$$\hat{\varphi}_g > 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad 6(1-U) - \sqrt{g}(3(1-U) + \sigma U) > 0. \tag{34}$$

The derivative of the l.h. side w.r.t. U is given by $-3(2 - \sqrt{g}) - \sigma\sqrt{g}$, which is negative. Therefore, the inequality is satisfied for all $U \leq 3/4$ if and only if it is satisfied for $U = 3/4$. Accordingly,

$$\hat{\varphi}_g > 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{3}{4}(2 - \sqrt{g}(1 + \sigma)) > 0 \tag{35}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \sigma < \frac{2}{\sqrt{g}} - 1, \tag{36}$$

which proves the claim of the lemma. \square

Remark. The lemma shows that the surface $\Phi = g$ acts like a semi-permeable membrane in the state space (provided that $U < 3/4$ and $\sigma < 2/\sqrt{g} - 1$). Solutions that satisfy $\Phi > g$ cannot pass through the surface $\Phi = g$ and are therefore confined to the subset $\Phi > g$ of the state space.

5 Conclusions and outlook

In this paper we have proved a theorem that formulates a straightforward and simple generalization of the Buchdahl inequality for spherical models. Our considerations are based on the assumption of perfect fluid matter that satisfies a non-decreasing equation of state $\rho(p)$; however, it is clear that the theorem can also be applied to collisionless matter (i.e., to the Vlasov-Einstein case), provided that one restricts oneself to isotropic configurations. We choose to not pursue the Vlasov-Einstein case further here; instead we will provide a comprehensive discussion of Vlasov-Einstein configurations (including some non-isotropic cases) and an associated generalization of Theorem 3.1 in another publication.

In [7], assuming dominant energy, an inequality is derived, namely inequality (4.3) of that paper, which gives a bound on $2M/R$ by a number that is larger than $6/7$. This inequality is claimed to be sharp; hence, in the light of Theorem 3.1, the solution with the maximal value of $2M/R$ given by [7, (4.3)] must violate at least one of our assumptions.

It is important to note that Theorem 3.1 makes no statement about whether the obtained inequality is sharp. (Trivially, it is sharp in the case $g = 0$, which is the original Buchdahl case, and in the case $g = 4$.) There might thus be room for improvement; whether this could be achieved based on the methods used in the present paper, by the techniques used in [7], or a combination thereof, remains to be seen.

References

- [1] H. Andréasson. Sharp bounds on $2m/r$ of general spherically symmetric static objects. Electronic archive www.arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0702137, 2007.
- [2] T.W. Baumgarte and A.D. Rendall. Regularity of spherically symmetric static solutions of the Einstein equations. *Class. Quantum Grav.*, 10 : 327–332, 1993.
- [3] R. Beig and B.G. Schmidt. Time-Independent Gravitational Fields. In B.G. Schmidt, editor, *Einstein's Field Equations and Their Physical Implications*, volume 540 of *Lecture Notes in Physics*, pages 325–372. Springer, 2000.

- [4] H.A. Buchdahl. General Relativistic Fluid Spheres. *Phys. Rev.*, 116 : 1027–1034, 1959.
- [5] J. Guven and N. Ó Murchadha. Bounds on $2m/r$ for static spherical objects. *Phys. Rev. D*, 60 : 084020, 1999.
- [6] J.M. Heinzle, N. Röhr, and C. Uggla. Dynamical systems approach to relativistic spherically symmetric static perfect fluid models. *Class. Quantum Grav.*, 20 : 4567–4586, 2003.
- [7] P. Karageorgis and J.G. Stalker. Sharp bounds on $2m/r$ for static spherical objects. Electronic archive www.arxiv.org/abs/0707.3632, 2007.
- [8] J.P. Oppenheimer and G.M. Volkoff. On massive neutron cores. *Phys. Rev.*, 55 : 374–381, 1939.
- [9] A.D. Rendall and B.G. Schmidt. Existence and properties of spherically symmetric static fluid bodies with a given equation of state. *Class. Quantum Grav.*, 8 : 985–1000, 1991.
- [10] R.C. Tolman. Static solutions of Einstein’s field equations for spheres of fluid. *Phys. Rev.*, 55 : 364–373, 1939.