

# A quantization of the Hitchin hamiltonian system and the Beilinson-Drinfeld isomorphism

Ken-ichi SUGIYAMA <sup>\*†</sup>

November 9, 2018

## Abstract

Let  $X$  be a smooth projective curve defined over  $\mathbb{C}$  whose genus is greater than one. We will generalize the Hitchin's hamiltonian system to the cotangent bundle of the modular stack of principal  $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$  bundle with parabolic reductions on  $X$ . Also its properties will be investigated. As an application, we will show a generalization of the Beilinson-Drinfeld isomorphism, which is a quantization of the Hitchin system.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : 81R10, 81R12, 81S10**

## 1 Introduction

Let  $X$  be a connected smooth projective curve defined over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Suppose we are given a local system of rank one  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $X$ . Then it determines a representation

$$\pi_1(X, x_0) \xrightarrow{\rho_{\mathcal{L}}} \mathbb{C}^\times,$$

which factors through the maximal abelian quotient of the fundamental group  $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ . If we identify  $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$  with the fundamental group of the Jacobian  $\text{Jac}(X)$ ,  $\rho_{\mathcal{L}}$  defines a local system  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{L}}$  of rank one on  $\text{Jac}(X)$ . Such a correspondence should be considered as a *geometric abelian class field theory*.

In order to pass to a nonabelian situation, we have to change a point of view to understand this phenomenon ([8]). The local system  $\mathcal{L}$  defines a flat connection

$$\nabla = d + A, \quad A \in H^0(X, \Omega),$$

where  $\Omega$  is the canonical line bundle of  $X$ . Note that the cotangent bundle  $T^*\text{Jac}(X)$  is the trivial vector bundle, which may be identified with

$$\text{Jac}(X) \times H^0(X, \Omega).$$

---

\*Address : Ken-ichi SUGIYAMA, Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Faculty of Science, Chiba University, 1-33 Yayoi-cho Inage-ku, Chiba 263-8522, Japan

†e-mail address : sugiyama@math.s.chiba-u.ac.jp

Let us observe that the projection

$$T^*\text{Jac}(X) \xrightarrow{p} H^0(X, \Omega)$$

induces an isomorphism of the global coordinate rings:

$$\Gamma(H^0(X, \Omega), \mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{p^*} \Gamma(T^*\text{Jac}(X), \mathcal{O}).$$

On the other hand, one easily see that the ring of global differential operators  $D(\text{Jac}(X))$  on the Jacobian is isomorphic to  $\Gamma(T^*\text{Jac}(X), \mathcal{O})$  by the symbol map. Combining this with the isomorphism above, we obtain

$$\Gamma(H^0(X, \Omega), \mathcal{O}) \simeq D(\text{Jac}(X)).$$

Now the connection form  $A$  determines a homomorphism

$$D(\text{Jac}(X)) \simeq \Gamma(H^0(X, \Omega), \mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{f_A} \mathbb{C},$$

which yields a D-module  $\mathcal{M}_A$  on  $\text{Jac}(X)$ :

$$\mathcal{M}_A = \mathcal{D}_{\text{Jac}(X)} \otimes_{D(\text{Jac}(X))} \mathbb{C}.$$

Here  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{Jac}(X)}$  is the sheaf of differential operators on the Jacobian. Then  $\mathcal{M}_A$  corresponds to the local system  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{L}}$ .

The latter picture has the following nonabelianization due to Beilinson and Drinfeld ([3]). Let  $G$  be a semisimple Lie group and let  $\text{Bun}_{G,X}$  be the classifying stack of principal  $G$ -bundles on  $X$ . Hitchin has defined a map which is referred as *the Hitchin's hamiltonian system* ([11]):

$$T^*\text{Bun}_{G,X} \xrightarrow{h} H_G.$$

Here  $H_G$  is an affine space which depends on  $G$ . Note that the map  $h$  corresponds to the projection  $p$  in the geometric abelian class field theory. Beilinson and Drinfeld have *quantized* the Hitchin's system. Namely they have constructed an isomorphism between the ring of global differential operators on a half canonical of  $\text{Bun}_{G,X}$  and the global coordinate ring of  $H_G$ . Using it, they have established *unramified geometric nonabelian class field theory*, which assigns a holonomic D-module on  $\text{Bun}_{G,X}$  to an element of  $H_G$ .

We will treat a case of which a local system is allowed to be ramified at several points. We will restrict ourselves to the case that  $G$  is  $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ .

Let  $\{z_1, \dots, z_N\}$  be distinct points on  $X$  and let us form a divisor

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^N z_i.$$

We assume that the degree of the line bundle  $\Omega(D)$  is positive. We will consider the classifying stack  $\text{Bun}_{G,X}^{D-fl}$  of principal  $G$ -bundles with  $D$ -flags on  $X$  (see §2).

In §3, for  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ , we will construct a sheaf of twisted differential operators  $\mathcal{D}'_{D-fl,\lambda}$  on  $\text{Bun}_{G,X}^{D-fl}$ . Let  $D'_{D-fl,\lambda}$  be the ring of its global sections. Then we will show the following theorem (see §5).

**Theorem 1.1.** *There is a isomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras:*

$$\Gamma(H^0(X, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(D)), \mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{h_\lambda} D'_{D-fl,\lambda}.$$

When  $X$  is the projective line, **Theorem 1.1** has been already established by Frenkel ([6]). As before, this will establish a geometric *ramified* nonabelian class field theory in the case of  $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ , which assigns a holonomic D-module on  $\text{Bun}_{G,X}^{D-fl}$  to an element of  $H^0(X, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(D))$  (see §5). It is conjectured that the D-module should be regular holonomic and moreover that it should be a Hecke eigensheaf. We will treat the conjecture in the near future.

Throughout the paper, we set  $G = SL_2(\mathbb{C})$  and its Lie algebra will be denoted by  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

## 2 The Hitchin system for principal $G$ bundles with $D$ -flags

Let  $X$  be a connected smooth projective curve defined over  $\mathbb{C}$  of genus  $g$ . Let  $\{z_1, \dots, z_N\}$  be mutually distinct points of  $X$  and we set

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^N z_i \in \text{Div}(X).$$

We will always assume that the degree of both of  $\Omega(D)$  and  $\mathcal{O}(D)$  are positive. Here  $\Omega$  is the canonical line bundle of  $X$ . We will choose and fix a local coordinate  $t_i$  at each  $z_i$ . In this section, we will study basic properties of the modular stack of principal  $G$  bundles with  $D$ -flags. See [12], [14] or [15] for a treatment of stacks.

### 2.1 The modular stack of principal $G$ bundles with $D$ -flags

Let  $P$  be a principal  $G$ -bundle on  $X$ . A  $D$ -flag of  $P$  is defined to be an  $N$ -tuple

$$\{B_1, \dots, B_N\},$$

where  $B_i$  is a Borel subgroup of  $P|_{z_i}$ . Let  $\text{Aff}/_{\mathbb{C},et}$  be the category of affine schemes defined over  $\mathbb{C}$  with the étale topology. We will consider the modular stack

$$\text{Bun}_{G,X}^{D-fl}$$

over  $\text{Aff}/_{\mathbb{C},et}$  which classifies principal  $G$ -bundles on  $X$  endowed with  $D$ -flags. It is a smooth stack of dimension  $3(g-1) + N$  and has the following structure.

Note that since we have assumed that degree of  $\Omega(D)$  is positive,  $3(g-1) + N$  is nonnegative.

Let  $\text{Bun}_{G,X}$  be the modular stack on  $Aff/\mathbb{C}, et$  which classifies principal  $G$ -bundles on  $X$ . Forgetting  $D$ -flags, we have a morphism

$$\text{Bun}_{G,X}^{D-fl} \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Bun}_{G,X},$$

which makes  $\text{Bun}_{G,X}^{D-fl}$  into a  $(\mathbb{P}^1)^N$ -fibration over  $\text{Bun}_{G,X}$ . Since  $\text{Bun}_{G,X}$  is connected and smooth,  $\text{Bun}_{G,X}^{D-fl}$  is also connected.

## 2.2 The cotangent bundle of $\text{Bun}_{G,x}^{D-fl}$

We will study the cotangent space of  $\text{Bun}_{G,X}^{D-fl}$  at  $(P, \{B_i\}_i)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{B}_i$  be the Lie algebra of  $B_i$  and we set  $\mathfrak{N}_i = \mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{B}_i$ , which will be regarded as a nilpotent subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . We will consider a skyscraper sheaf supported on  $\text{Supp}(D)$ :

$$\mathfrak{G}_D = \mathfrak{G}^{\oplus N},$$

and its subsheaves

$$\mathfrak{B}_D = \bigoplus_{i=1}^N \mathfrak{B}_i, \quad \mathfrak{N}_D = \bigoplus_{i=1}^N \mathfrak{N}_i.$$

Let  $\text{ad}_P(\mathfrak{G})$  be the adjoint  $\mathfrak{G}$ -bundle associated to  $P$ . A sheaf  $\mathfrak{B}_{P,D}$  is defined to be

$$\mathfrak{B}_{P,D} = \text{Ker}[\text{ad}_P(\mathfrak{G}) \xrightarrow{ev_D} \mathfrak{N}_D].$$

Here  $ev_D$  is the composition of the evaluation map at  $D$ :

$$\text{ad}_P(\mathfrak{G}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{G}_D$$

with the natural projection onto  $\mathfrak{N}_D$ . Note that we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \text{ad}_P(\mathfrak{G})(-D) & \rightarrow & \text{ad}_P(\mathfrak{G}) & \rightarrow & \mathfrak{G}_D & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \mathfrak{B}_{P,D} & \rightarrow & \text{ad}_P(\mathfrak{G}) & \rightarrow & \mathfrak{N}_D & \rightarrow & 0. \end{array} \quad (1)$$

such that the horizontal sequences are exact. By the deformation theory, the tangent space of  $\text{Bun}_{G,X}^{D-fl}$  at  $(P, \{B_i\}_i)$  is isomorphic to  $H^1(X, \mathfrak{B}_{P,D})$ . Therefore by the Serre duality, the cotangent space is identified with

$$H^0(X, \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathfrak{B}_{P,D}, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X).$$

We want to rewrite this more convenient form.

By the snake lemma, (1) shows

$$\text{Coker}[\text{ad}_P(\mathfrak{G})(-D) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{B}_{P,D}]$$

is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{B}_D$ , which implies an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{ad}_P(\mathfrak{G})(-D) \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_{P,D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_D \rightarrow 0. \quad (2)$$

By the Killing form, we will identify  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathrm{ad}_P(\mathfrak{G})(-D), \mathcal{O}_X)$  with  $\mathrm{ad}_P(\mathfrak{G})(D)$ . Then (2) implies

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathfrak{B}_{P,D}, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X \rightarrow \mathrm{ad}_P(\mathfrak{G})(D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^1(\mathfrak{B}_D, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X \rightarrow 0.$$

The residue map shows us that the last term is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{B}_D$  and therefore we have

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathfrak{B}_{P,D}, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X \rightarrow \mathrm{ad}_P(\mathfrak{G})(D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_D \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus we have proved the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.1.** *The cotangent space  $T_{(P, \{B_i\}_i)}^* \mathrm{Bun}_{G, X}^{D-fl}$  is isomorphic to*

$$\mathrm{Ker}[H^0(X, \mathrm{ad}_P(\mathfrak{G})(D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Res}} \mathfrak{B}_D].$$

**Remark 2.1.** *Using the snake lemma, the commutative diagram whose the middle and all horizontal lines are exact:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & 0 & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & \mathrm{ad}_P(\mathfrak{G}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathfrak{B}_{P,D}, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X & \rightarrow & \mathrm{ad}_P(\mathfrak{G})(D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Res}} & \mathfrak{B}_D \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \mathfrak{N}_D \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X & \rightarrow & \mathfrak{G}_D \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X & \rightarrow & \mathfrak{B}_D \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X \rightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

shows that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{ad}_P(\mathfrak{G}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathfrak{B}_{P,D}, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_D \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X \rightarrow 0.$$

### 2.3 The Hitchin's hamiltonian system

**Proposition 2.1** shows  $T_{(P, \{B_i\}_i)}^* \mathrm{Bun}_{G, X}^{D-fl}$  may be identified a subspace of

$$H^0(X, \mathrm{ad}_P(\mathfrak{G})(D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X)$$

which consists of  $A$  which has a Taylor expansion at each  $z_i$ :

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_i(t_i) & b_i(t_i) \\ c_i(t_i) & -a_i(t_i) \end{pmatrix} \frac{dt_i}{t_i}, \quad a_i(t_i), b_i(t_i), c_i(t_i) \in \mathbb{C}[[t_i]],$$

satisfying

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_i(0) & b_i(0) \\ c_i(0) & -a_i(0) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{N}_i.$$

In particular for  $A \in T^*_{(P, \{B_i\}_i)} \text{Bun}_{G, X}^{D-fl}$ , we have

$$\det A \in H^0(X, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(D)),$$

and when  $(P, \{B_i\}_i)$  moves over  $\text{Bun}_{G, X}^{D-fl}$ , the determinant defines a morphism which will be referred as *the Hitchin's hamiltonian system* ([11]):

$$T^* \text{Bun}_{G, X}^{D-fl} \xrightarrow{h} H^0(X, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(D)).$$

**Remark 2.2.** *By the Riemann-Roch theorem the dimension of  $H^0(X, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(D))$  is  $3(g-1) + N$ , which is equal to one of  $\text{Bun}_{G, X}^{D-fl}$ .*

Note that  $T^* \text{Bun}_{G, X}^{D-fl}$  possesses the canonical symplectic form. **Remark 2.2** makes us expect the Hitchin's hamiltonian system should be an algebraically complete integrable system. We will show this is true.

The inverse image  $h^{-1}(0)$  will be referred as *the Laumon's global nilpotent variety* ([13]) and will be denoted by *Nilp*.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Nilp is a Lagrangian subvariety of  $T^* \text{Bun}_{G, X}^{D-fl}$ .*

In order to prove the proposition, we will follow the argument of Ginzburg ([10]). Let us fix a Borel subgroup  $B$  of  $G$  and let  $P$  be a principal  $B$ -bundle on  $X$ . By the extension of the structure group we may associate it a principal  $G$ -bundle  $P_G$ :

$$P_G = P \times_B G.$$

Note that the natural inclusion

$$P \hookrightarrow P_G$$

yields a  $D$ -flag by

$$P|_{z_i} \hookrightarrow P_G|_{z_i}.$$

Let  $\text{Bun}_{B, X}$  be the modular stack of principal  $B$ -bundles on  $X$ . The argument above shows that we have a morphism

$$\text{Bun}_{B, X} \xrightarrow{f} \text{Bun}_{G, X}^{D-fl}.$$

Now we will consider a substack

$$Y_f = \{((P, \alpha), (Q, \beta)) \in T^* \text{Bun}_{B, X} \times T^* \text{Bun}_{G, X}^{D-fl} \mid f(P) = Q, f^*(\beta) = 0\},$$

and let  $p(Y_f)$  be its image under the natural projection

$$T^* \text{Bun}_{B, X} \times T^* \text{Bun}_{G, X}^{D-fl} \xrightarrow{p} T^* \text{Bun}_{G, X}^{D-fl}.$$

**Lemma 2.1.**

$$p(Y_f) = \text{Nilp}.$$

**Proof.** Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be the Borel subalgebra corresponding to  $B$  and let  $\mathfrak{N}$  be its nilpotent ideal. Then it defines a normal subgroup  $N$  of  $B$ . Let

$$Y_f \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Bun}_{B,X}$$

be a morphism which is defined to be

$$\pi((P, \alpha), (Q, \beta)) = P.$$

Then the inverse image  $\pi^{-1}(P)$  of  $P \in \text{Bun}_{B,X}$  is isomorphic to

$$\text{Ker}[T_{f(P)}^* \text{Bun}_{G,X}^{D-fl} \xrightarrow{f^*} T_P^* \text{Bun}_{B,X}].$$

We will abbreviate

$$H^i(\cdot) = H^i(X, \cdot),$$

and

$$\cdot \otimes \Omega_X = \cdot \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X.$$

Let us consider the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & 0 & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & T_{f(P)}^* \text{Bun}_{G,X}^{D-fl} & \xrightarrow{f^*} & T_P^* \text{Bun}_{B,X} & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 \rightarrow & H^0(\text{ad}_P(\mathfrak{N})(D) \otimes \Omega_X) & \rightarrow & H^0(\text{ad}_P(\mathfrak{G})(D) \otimes \Omega_X) & \rightarrow & H^0(\text{ad}_P(\mathfrak{B})(D) \otimes \Omega_X) & \rightarrow 0 \\
& & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
& & & \mathfrak{B}_D & \xrightarrow{id} & \mathfrak{B}_D & 
\end{array}$$

The rightdown vertical arrow is induced by the residue map. By the standard deformation theory, we have identified

$$T_P^* \text{Bun}_{B,X}$$

with

$$H^0(\text{ad}_P(\mathfrak{B}) \otimes \Omega_X).$$

and the right vertical sequence is exact. **Proposition 2.1** shows that the middle vertical sequence is exact. Finally the middle horizontal sequence is also exact, which is derived from the sheaf exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{ad}_P(\mathfrak{N})(D) \otimes \Omega_X \rightarrow \text{ad}_P(\mathfrak{G})(D) \otimes \Omega_X \rightarrow \text{ad}_P(\mathfrak{B})(D) \otimes \Omega_X \rightarrow 0,$$

and by the fact

$$H^1(\text{ad}_P(\mathfrak{N})(D) \otimes \Omega_X) = 0.$$

The diagram chasing shows that

$$T_{f(P)}^* \text{Bun}_{G,X}^{D-fl} \xrightarrow{f^*} T_P^* \text{Bun}_{B,X}$$

is surjective and that  $\pi^{-1}(P)$  is isomorphic to

$$H^0(\mathrm{ad}_P(\mathfrak{N})(D) \otimes \Omega_X).$$

Hence  $p(Y_f)$  is a stack of pairs  $(Q, \eta)$  of principal  $G$ -bundles  $Q$  which is induced from a principal  $B$ -bundle  $P$  and  $\eta \in H^0(\mathrm{ad}_P(\mathfrak{N})(D) \otimes \Omega_X)$ , which is nothing but  $Nilp$ .

△

**Proof of Proposition 2.2.** It is known by Ginzburg (cf. [10] **Lemma 6.5.4**) that  $p(Y_f)$  is isotropic. Thus **Lemma 2.1** shows the dimension of  $Nilp$  is less than or equal to  $3(g-1) + N$ . But **Remark 2.2** implies

$$\dim Nilp \geq 3(g-1) + N.$$

Therefore we have obtained the desired result.

△

The argument of (2.10.1) of [3] implies the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** *The Hitchin's hamiltonian system*

$$T^*\mathrm{Bun}_{G,X}^{D-fl} \xrightarrow{h} H^0(X, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(D))$$

*is surjective and flat. In particular the dimension of fibres are  $3(g-1) + N$ .*

## 2.4 The Hitchin's correspondence

We put

$$\mathcal{L} = \Omega_X(D)$$

and let

$$L \xrightarrow{\pi} X$$

be the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -fibration associated to  $\mathcal{L}$ . Then the line bundle  $\pi^*\mathcal{L}$  possesses the tautological section  $x$ . An element  $q$  of  $H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2})$  determines a *spectral curve*  $\Sigma_q$ , which is a closed subscheme of  $L$  defined by the equation:

$$x^2 + \pi^*q = 0.$$

This is a double covering of  $X$  and its genus  $g(\Sigma_q)$  is computed by the formula of [1] **Remark 3.2**:

$$g(\Sigma_q) = 4g - 3 + N. \tag{3}$$

Let  $\mathrm{Prym}(\Sigma_q/X)$  be the *Prym variety* of the double covering  $\Sigma_q \xrightarrow{\pi} X$ :

$$\mathrm{Prym}(\Sigma_q/X) = \mathrm{Ker}[\mathrm{Jac}(\Sigma_q) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \mathrm{Jac}(X)].$$

Here  $\mathrm{Jac}$  denotes the Jacobian variety. The formula (3) shows the dimension of  $\mathrm{Prym}(\Sigma_q/X)$  is equal to  $3(g-1) + N$ . Also it has the following remarkable property([1][11]).



**Fact 2.1.** *Suppose  $\Sigma_q$  is smooth. Then there is a bijection between*

$$\text{Prym}(\Sigma_q/X)$$

*and isomorphism classes of pairs  $(E, \varphi)$  where  $E$  is a vector bundle of rank 2 over  $X$  with the trivial determinant and  $\varphi$  is a element of  $H^0(X, \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(E) \otimes \mathcal{L})$  such that*

$$\det(t - \varphi) = t^2 + q.$$

Such a bijection will be referred as *the Hitchin's correspondence*. We will regard  $H^0(X, \Omega_X^{\otimes 2}(D))$  as a subset of  $H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2})$ . Let us take  $q \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^{\otimes 2}(D))$  so that  $\Sigma_q$  is smooth and that the value of  $t_i \cdot q$  at each  $z_i$  is non-zero.

**Proposition 2.3.** *There is a bijection between  $h^{-1}(q)$  and  $\text{Prym}(\Sigma_q/X)$ .*

**Proof.**  $h^{-1}(q)$  consists of isomorphism classes of pairs

$$((P, \{B_i\}_i), \alpha)$$

where  $(P, \{B_i\}_i)$  is a principal  $G$ -bundle on  $X$  with a  $D$ -flag and

$$\alpha \in \text{Ker}[H^0(X, \text{ad}_P(\mathfrak{G}) \otimes \mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} \mathfrak{B}_D].$$

We claim that  $A \in H^0(X, \text{ad}_P(\mathfrak{G}) \otimes \mathcal{L})$  satisfying

$$\det A = q$$

will automatically determine Borel subgroups  $\{B_i\}_i$  so that  $A$  is contained in

$$\text{Ker}[H^0(X, \text{ad}_P(\mathfrak{G}) \otimes \mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} \mathfrak{B}_D]. \quad (4)$$

In fact, let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_i(t_i) & b_i(t_i) \\ c_i(t_i) & -a_i(t_i) \end{pmatrix} \frac{dt_i}{t_i}, \quad a_i(t_i), b_i(t_i), c_i(t_i) \in \mathbb{C}[[t_i]],$$

be the Taylor expansion of  $A$  at  $z_i$  and we put

$$A_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_i(0) & b_i(0) \\ c_i(0) & -a_i(0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $\det A$  has at most single pole at  $z_i$ ,  $\det A_i$  vanishes. The assumption of residue of  $q$  implies  $A_i$  is a non-zero nilpotent matrix. Now using the Killing form a Borel subalgebra  $\mathfrak{B}_i$  is defined to be the orthogonal complement of  $A_i$  and let  $B_i$  be the corresponding Borel subgroup. Now it is easy to see that  $A$  is contained in (4). Thus we have proved there is one to one correspondence between  $h^{-1}(q)$  and isomorphism classes of pairs of a principal  $G$ -bundle  $P$  on  $X$  and  $A \in H^0(X, \text{ad}_P(\mathfrak{G}) \otimes \mathcal{L})$  satisfying

$$\det A = q.$$

Now the natural correspondence between isomorphism classes of principal  $G$ -bundles on  $X$  and of rank 2 vector bundles on  $X$  with the trivial determinant and **Fact 2.1** imply the desired result.

△

**Theorem 2.1** and **Proposition 2.3** will show the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.2.** *The Hichin's hamiltonian system*

$$T^*\text{Bun}_{G,X}^{D-fl} \xrightarrow{h} H^0(X, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(D))$$

*is surjective and flat. Moreover there is a Zariski open dense subset  $U$  of  $H^0(X, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(D))$  over which  $h$  is an abelian fibration.*

**Corollary 2.1.** *The Hichin's hamiltonian system induces an isomorphism of commutative  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras:*

$$\Gamma(H^0(X, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(D)), \mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{h^*} \Gamma(T^*\text{Bun}_{G,X}^{D-fl}, \mathcal{O}).$$

### 3 A uniformization of a modular stack

Throughout the paper, we will use the following notations. *The loop group  $LG$  and the regular loop group  $L_+G$  are defined to be*

$$LG = G(\mathbb{C}((t))), \quad L_+G = G(\mathbb{C}[[t]]),$$

respectively. Let  $\text{ev}_0$  be the evaluation map:

$$L_+G \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_0} G, \quad \text{ev}_0(A) = A(0),$$

and we set

$$L_0G = \text{Ker}[L_+G \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_0} G].$$

Let  $B_+$  be the upper Borel subgroup of  $G$  and we put

$$\hat{B}_+ = \text{ev}_0^{-1}(B_+).$$

Also we will consider the corresponding Lie algebras:

- $L\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{G} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}((t))$  : the loop algebra.
- $L_+\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{G} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[[t]]$  : the regular loop algebra.
- $L_0\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{G} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} t\mathbb{C}[[t]]$ .
- $\hat{\mathfrak{B}}_+ = \mathfrak{B}_+ \oplus L_0\mathfrak{G}$ .

### 3.1 The vacuum module of the critical level

We will consider a central extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}K \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{G}}_N \rightarrow (L\mathfrak{G})^{\oplus N} \rightarrow 0 \quad (5)$$

which is defined in the following way. Let

$$A = (A_1 \otimes f_1, \dots, A_N \otimes f_N), \quad B = (B_1 \otimes g_1, \dots, B_N \otimes g_N),$$

where

$$A_i, B_j \in \mathfrak{G}, \quad f_i, g_j \in \mathbb{C}((t))$$

be elements of  $(L\mathfrak{G})^{\oplus N}$ . Then their commutator is defined to be

$$[A, B] = ([A_1, B_1] \otimes f_1 g_1, \dots, [A_N, B_N] \otimes f_N g_N) - \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N \text{Tr}(A_i B_i) \cdot \text{Res}_{t=0}(f_i \cdot dg_i) \right\} \cdot K.$$

When  $N = 1$  we set

$$\hat{\mathfrak{G}} = \hat{\mathfrak{G}}_1.$$

Obviously (5) splits over  $(L_+\mathfrak{G})^{\oplus N}$ . We put

$$Y = X \setminus \{z_1, \dots, z_N\},$$

and

$$\mathfrak{G}(Y) = \mathfrak{G} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}),$$

which is imbedded in  $(L\mathfrak{G})^{\oplus N}$  by the Taylor expansion. Let us observe that (5) also splits over  $\mathfrak{G}(Y)$  by the residue theorem. Hence we may consider them as Lie subalgebra of  $\hat{\mathfrak{G}}_N$ .

Let  $U(\hat{\mathfrak{G}}_N)$  be the universal enveloping algebra of  $\hat{\mathfrak{G}}_N$  and its *reduction at the critical level* is defined to be

$$U_{-2}(\hat{\mathfrak{G}}_N) = U(\hat{\mathfrak{G}}_N)/(K + 2).$$

Let  $\mathbb{C}_{-2}$  be a  $\mathbb{C}K \oplus (L_0\mathfrak{G})^{\oplus N}$ -module which is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}$  as an abstract vector space whose action is defined to be

$$(L_0\mathfrak{G})^{\oplus N} \cdot \mathbb{C}_{-2} = 0$$

and

$$K = -2.$$

Then we define the *vacuum module*  $Vac_{-2}^0$  as

$$Vac_{-2}^0 = U_{-2}(\hat{\mathfrak{G}}_N) \otimes_{U(\mathbb{C}K \oplus (L_0\mathfrak{G})^{\oplus N})} \mathbb{C}_{-2},$$

and let  $v_{-2}$  be the the highest weight vector.

### 3.2 A uniformization of a modular stack

Let  $\text{Bun}_{G,X}^{D-fr}$  be the modular stack over  $Aff/\mathbb{C},et$  which classifies isomorphism classes of pairs

$$(P, \{\alpha_i\}),$$

where  $P$  is a principal  $G$ -bundle on  $X$  and  $\alpha_i$  is its trivialization  $z_i$ :

$$G \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} P|_{z_i}.$$

(Such an  $N$ -tuple of trivializations will be called as a *D-framing of  $P$* .) This becomes a principal  $(B_+)^N$ -bundle over  $\text{Bun}_{G,X}^{D-fl}$  by the morphism

$$\text{Bun}_{G,X}^{D-fr} \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Bun}_{G,X}^{D-fl},$$

where

$$\pi((P, \{\alpha_i\})) = (P, \{\alpha_i(B_+)\}).$$

By the Taylor expansion

$$G(Y) = G(\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}))$$

may be considered as a subgroup of  $(LG)^N$  and we set

$$Z = (LG)^N / G(Y).$$

Then each of  $\text{Bun}_{G,X}^{D-fr}$ ,  $\text{Bun}_{G,X}^{D-fl}$  and  $\text{Bun}_{G,X}$  has the following uniformization as a stack in terms of  $Z$  ([3] [12] [15]):

$$\text{Bun}_{G,X}^{D-fr} \simeq (L_0G)^N \backslash Z, \quad \text{Bun}_{G,X}^{D-fl} \simeq (\hat{B}_+)^N \backslash Z,$$

and

$$\text{Bun}_{G,X} \simeq (L_+G)^N \backslash Z.$$

Let  $\Theta_Z$  be the tangent sheaf of  $Z$ . Then the left action of  $(LG)^N$  on  $Z$  induces a surjection

$$(L\mathfrak{G})^{\oplus N} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_Z \longrightarrow \Theta_Z.$$

Combining with this the projection

$$\hat{\mathfrak{G}}_N \longrightarrow (L\mathfrak{G})^{\oplus N},$$

we obtain a surjective map

$$\hat{\mathfrak{G}}_N \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_Z \xrightarrow{\alpha} \Theta_Z,$$

which will referred as *the anchor map* ([2], [9] **Appendix 3**). We will consider a  $\mathcal{O}_Z$ -module

$$\mathcal{J} = \hat{\mathfrak{G}}_N \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_Z / \text{Ker } \alpha,$$

which is a central extension of  $\Theta_Z$ :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z \rightarrow \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \Theta_Z \rightarrow 0.$$

Now we set

$$\mathcal{D}'_Z = U(\mathcal{J})/(K+2).$$

This is a sheaf of  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra on  $Z$  and the anchor map defines an algebra homomorphism

$$U_{-2}(\hat{\mathfrak{G}}_N) \xrightarrow{U(\alpha)} \mathcal{D}'_Z, \quad (6)$$

which is compatible with the action of  $(L_+\mathfrak{G})^{\oplus N}$ .

### 3.3 A sheaf of twisted differential operators on $\text{Bun}_{G,X}^{D-fl}$

Let us fix a theta characteristic  $\kappa$  of  $X$ . Namely we will fix a line bundle on  $X$  so that  $\kappa^{\otimes 2} \simeq \Omega_X$ . Then it will determine a half canonical  $\omega^{\otimes \frac{1}{2}}$  of  $\text{Bun}_{G,X}([15])$ .

Let

$$Z \xrightarrow{\tau} \text{Bun}_{G,X}$$

be the projection. Then it is known that  $(\tau_*\mathcal{D}'_Z)^{(L+G)^N}$  is isomorphic to the sheaf of differential operators  $\mathcal{D}'$  on  $\omega^{\otimes \frac{1}{2}}$  ([3][6]).

For

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^N,$$

let  $\mathcal{O}(\lambda)$  be the line bundle on  $(\mathbb{P}^1)^N$  which is defined to be

$$\mathcal{O}(\lambda) = p_1^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\lambda_1) \otimes \dots \otimes p_N^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\lambda_N),$$

where  $p_i$  is the projection to the  $i$ -th factor. Let  $S$  be an affine scheme defined over  $\mathbb{C}$  and let

$$X \times S \xrightarrow{p_S} S$$

be the projection. Then a principal  $G$ -bundle  $P$  on  $X \times S$  induces a Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbb{P}^1)^N \times S & \longrightarrow & \text{Bun}_{G,X}^{D-fl} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S & \xrightarrow{P} & \text{Bun}_{G,X}. \end{array}$$

By definition, the restriction  $\omega|_S$  of the canonical sheaf  $\omega$  of  $\text{Bun}_{G,X}$  to  $S$  is given by

$$\omega|_S = \det(Rp_{S*}\text{ad}_P(\mathfrak{G}))^{\otimes (-1)},$$

and in particular we have

$$\omega^{\otimes \frac{1}{2}}|_S = \det(Rp_{S*}\text{ad}_P(\mathfrak{G}))^{\otimes (-\frac{1}{2})}.$$

(Note that in order to define the right hand side, it is necessary to choose a theta characteristic.)

Now we define a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$  on  $\text{Bun}_{G,X}^{D-fl}$  so that its restriction to  $(\mathbb{P}^1)^N \times S$  is equal to

$$p^*\mathcal{O}(\lambda) \otimes q^*(\omega^{\otimes \frac{1}{2}}|_S),$$

where  $p$  (resp.  $q$ ) be the projection onto  $(\mathbb{P}^1)^N$  (resp.  $S$ ). The sheaf of differential operators on  $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{D}'_{D-fl,\lambda}$  and let  $D'_{D-fl,\lambda}$  be its global sections. As before it has the following description in terms of the uniformization.

Let

$$Z \xrightarrow{\tau_{D-flr}} \text{Bun}_{G,X}^{D-flr}$$

be the projection and we put ([9] **16.2**):

$$\mathcal{D}'_{D-flr} = (\tau_{D-flr*} \mathcal{D}'_Z)^{(L_0G)^N}.$$

This is a sheaf of differential operators on  $\text{Bun}_{G,X}^{D-flr}$  and let  $D'_{D-flr}$  be the space of its global sections. Since  $U(\mathfrak{G})^{\otimes N}$  is a subalgebra of  $U_{-2}(\hat{\mathfrak{G}})$ , (6) induces a homomorphism:

$$U(\mathfrak{G})^{\otimes N} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}'_Z.$$

Note that  $U(\mathfrak{G})^{\otimes N}$  is invariant under the action of  $(L_0G)^N$  and we have a homomorphism

$$U(\mathfrak{G})^{\otimes N} \longrightarrow D'_{D-flr}. \quad (7)$$

For an integer  $k$ , let  $M_k$  be the Verma module of  $\mathfrak{G}$  of the highest weight  $k$  with the highest weight vector  $\mu_k$ . We will consider a  $U(\mathfrak{G})^{\otimes N}$ -module

$$M_\lambda = M_{\lambda_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes M_{\lambda_N},$$

and let  $\mu_\lambda$  be its highest weight vector:

$$\mu_\lambda = \mu_{\lambda_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_{\lambda_N}.$$

Using the map (7), one has the sheaf of twisted differential operators on  $\text{Bun}_{G,X}^{D-fl}$

$$[\pi_*(\mathcal{D}'_{D-flr} \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{G})^{\otimes N}} M_\lambda)]^{B^N},$$

which is easily seen to be isomorphic to  $\mathcal{D}'_{D-fl,\lambda}$  (cf. [6] **5.2**).

## 4 The Beilinson-Drinfeld homomorphism

### 4.1 The Feigin-Frenkel isomorphism

We put

$$I = (L_0\mathfrak{G})^{\oplus N},$$

which will be considered as a Lie subalgebra of  $\hat{\mathfrak{G}}_N$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{G},N}$  be the left normalizer of  $U_{-2}(\hat{\mathfrak{G}}_N) \cdot I$  in  $U_{-2}(\hat{\mathfrak{G}}_N)$  and we set

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{G},N} = \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{G},N} / U_{-2}(\hat{\mathfrak{G}}_N) \cdot I.$$

Note that  $G^N$  preserves both of  $U_{-2}(\hat{\mathfrak{G}}_N) \cdot I$  and  $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{G},N}$  and therefore it acts on  $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{G},N}$ . The map (6) induces a homomorphism(cf. [3]1.1.3)

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{G},N} \longrightarrow D'_{D-fr}, \quad (8)$$

which is compatible with the  $G^N$  action. Putting

$$\deg X = 1$$

for any  $X \in (L\mathfrak{G})^{\oplus N}$ ,  $U_{-2}(\hat{\mathfrak{G}}_N)$  becomes a filtered algebra and  $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{G},N}$  has the induced filtration. On the other hand  $D'_{D-fr}$  possesses a filtration by the order of a differential operator and (8) preserves these filtrations.

Let  $\Sigma(\text{Vac}_{-2}^0)$  be the space of singular vectors:

$$\Sigma(\text{Vac}_{-2}^0) = \{x \in \text{Vac}_{-2}^0 \mid \gamma \cdot x = 0 \text{ for } \gamma \in I\}.$$

Then the map

$$U_{-2}(\hat{\mathfrak{G}}_N) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \text{Vac}_{-2}^0, \quad \epsilon(X) = X \cdot v_{-2},$$

induces an isomorphism of vector spaces:

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{G},N} \xrightarrow{\epsilon'} \Sigma(\text{Vac}_{-2}^0).$$

On the other hand, let  $Z_{-2}(\hat{\mathfrak{G}})$  be the center of the local completion of  $U_{-2}(\hat{\mathfrak{G}}_N)$ ([7]). Then  $Z_{-2}(\hat{\mathfrak{G}})^{\otimes N}$  acts on  $\text{Vac}_{-2}^0$  and since  $Z_{-2}(\hat{\mathfrak{G}})$  commutes with  $U_{-2}(\hat{\mathfrak{G}}_N)$  we have a map

$$Z_{-2}(\hat{\mathfrak{G}})^{\otimes N} \xrightarrow{\rho} \Sigma(\text{Vac}_{-2}^0),$$

which is defined to be

$$\rho(Z) = Z \cdot v_{-2}, \quad Z \in Z_{-2}(\hat{\mathfrak{G}})^{\otimes N}.$$

Thus we have a linear map:

$$Z_{-2}(\hat{\mathfrak{G}})^{\otimes N} \xrightarrow{\epsilon'^{-1} \circ \rho} \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{G},N}, \quad (9)$$

which is checked to be a homomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras. Note that the map (9) is compatible with the action of  $G^N$ . In particular since  $Z_{-2}(\hat{\mathfrak{G}})$  is the center, its image is contained in

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{G},N}^{B^N} = \{x \in \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{G},N} \mid b \cdot x = x \text{ for } b \in B^N\}.$$

By [5] (see also [7] §12), it is known that  $Z_{-2}(\hat{\mathfrak{G}})$  is isomorphic to a topological completion of a polynomial algebra  $\mathbb{C}[\{S_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}]$  generated by the Sugawara operators  $\{S_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . Thus we have obtained a homomorphism

$$\mathbb{C}[\{S_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}]^{\otimes N} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{G},N}^{B^N}. \quad (10)$$

Since each  $S_m$  is an infinite sum of normally ordered quadratics of  $L\mathfrak{G}([9]$  **2.5.10**), if we put

$$\deg S_m = 2,$$

and give a filtration  $\mathbb{C}[\{S_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}]$  by the degree, (10) becomes a filtered algebra homomorphism.

We will use the following notations:

- $D = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[[t]]$  : a formal disc,
- $D^\times = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}((t))$  : a punctured formal disc.

Let  $c_m$  be a linear functional on  $H^0(D^\times, \Omega^{\otimes 2})$  which is defined to be

$$c_m(q) = q_m, \quad \text{for } q = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q_n t^{-n-2} (dt)^{\otimes 2} \in H^0(D^\times, \Omega^{\otimes 2}).$$

Then  $\mathbb{C}[\{S_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}]$  is isomorphic to

$$\Gamma(H^0(D^\times, \Omega^{\otimes 2}), \mathcal{O}) \simeq \mathbb{C}[\{c_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}],$$

by a map

$$\phi(S_m) = c_m.$$

Thus (10) turns out to be a homomorphism:

$$\Gamma(H^0(D^\times, \Omega^{\otimes 2}), \mathcal{O})^{\otimes N} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{G}, N}^{B^N}.$$

For  $S \in Z_{-2}(\hat{\mathfrak{G}})$ , we put

$$S^{(i)} = 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes S \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \in Z_{-2}(\hat{\mathfrak{G}})^{\otimes N},$$

where  $S$  appears in the  $i$ -th place. Then by the reason of degree, we will find

$$S_m^{(i)} \cdot v_{-2} = 0,$$

for any  $1 \leq i \leq N$  and  $m > 0$ . Thus the homomorphism above factors through

$$\Gamma(H^0(D, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(2\mathbb{O})), \mathcal{O})^{\otimes N} \xrightarrow{\psi_+} \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{G}, N}^{B^N}. \quad (11)$$

Here, in general for an integer  $k$ ,  $H^0(D, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(k\mathbb{O}))$  will stand for the space of quadratic differentials on the formal disc which has a pole at the origin  $\mathbb{O}$  at most  $k$ -th order. Note that  $\Gamma(H^0(D, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(2\mathbb{O})), \mathcal{O})$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}[\{c_m\}_{m \leq 0}]$ . Putting

$$\deg c_m = 2,$$

for any  $m$ , we will introduce a filtration on  $\Gamma(H^0(D, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(2\mathbb{O})), \mathcal{O}) \simeq \mathbb{C}[\{c_m\}_{m \leq 0}]$ . Then (11) preserves the filtrations and combining it with (8), we will obtain a filtered algebra homomorphism

$$\Gamma(H^0(D, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(2\mathbb{O})), \mathcal{O})^{\otimes N} \xrightarrow{\beta} (D'_{D-fr})^{B^N}. \quad (12)$$



## 4.2 The Beilinson-Drinfeld homomorphism

By definition of the Verma module, there is a surjection as  $U(\mathfrak{G})^{\otimes N}$ -modules:

$$U(\mathfrak{G})^{\otimes N} \xrightarrow{\rho_\lambda} M_\lambda, \quad (13)$$

which is defined to be

$$\rho_\lambda(X_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes X_N) = (X_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes X_N) \cdot \mu_\lambda.$$

The map (13) induces a homomorphism

$$\mathcal{D}'_{D-fr} \simeq \mathcal{D}'_{D-fr} \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{G})^{\otimes N}} U(\mathfrak{G})^{\otimes N} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}'_{D-fr} \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{G})^{\otimes N}} M_\lambda,$$

which implies (cf. **3.3**)

$$(\pi_* \mathcal{D}'_{D-fr})^{B^N} \longrightarrow [\pi_*(\mathcal{D}'_{D-fr} \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{G})^{\otimes N}} M_\lambda)]^{B^N} \simeq \mathcal{D}'_{D-fl,\lambda}.$$

Taking global sections on  $\text{Bun}_{G,X}^{D-fl}$ , we have a homomorphism

$$(D'_{D-fr})^{B^N} \longrightarrow D'_{D-fl,\lambda}. \quad (14)$$

Note that, by the construction, this preserves the filtrations. Combining (14) with (12), we will obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.1.** *There is a homomorphism of algebras which preserves the filtrations*

$$\Gamma(H^0(D, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(2\mathbb{O})), \mathcal{O})^{\otimes N} \xrightarrow{\hat{\beta}_\lambda} D'_{D-fl,\lambda}.$$

For  $1 \leq i \leq N$ , we set

$$c_m^{(i)} = 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \otimes c_m \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \in \Gamma(H^0(D, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(2\mathbb{O})), \mathcal{O})^{\otimes N},$$

where  $c_m$  appears in the  $i$ -th place. Then it is easily checked ([6] **3.6 Example**) that

$$\hat{\beta}_\lambda(c_0^{(i)}) = \frac{\lambda_i(\lambda_i + 2)}{4}.$$

Thus **Proposition 4.1** implies the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.** *There is a homomorphism of algebras which preserves the filtrations*

$$\Gamma(H^0(D, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(\mathbb{O})), \mathcal{O})^{\otimes N} \xrightarrow{\beta_\lambda} D'_{D-fl,\lambda}.$$

The homomorphism  $\beta_\lambda$  will be referred as *the Beilinson-Drinfeld homomorphism*.

## 5 A quantization of the Hitchin system

### 5.1 A quantization of the Hitchin's hamiltonian system

Let

$$\{f_1, \dots, f_{3(g-1)+N}\}$$

be a basis of  $H^0(X, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(D))$  and for each  $i$  we put

$$\deg f_i = 2.$$

Then  $\Gamma(H^0(X, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(D)), \mathcal{O})$  is isomorphic to a weighted polynomial ring

$$\mathbb{C}[f_1, \dots, f_{3(g-1)+N}].$$

Let  $D_i$  be the formal disc at  $z_i$ :

$$D_i = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[[t_i]].$$

The restriction map

$$H^0(X, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(D)) \xrightarrow{r} \bigoplus_{i=1}^N H^0(D_i, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(\mathcal{O}))$$

induces a surjective homomorphism

$$\bigotimes_{i=1}^N \Gamma(H^0(D_i, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(\mathcal{O})), \mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{r^*} \Gamma(H^0(X, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(D)), \mathcal{O}),$$

which preserves the degree. As [3] **2.4.3** one may see that the Beilinson-Drinfeld homomorphism yields a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigotimes_{i=1}^N \Gamma(H^0(D_i, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(\mathcal{O})), \mathcal{O}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Gr}(\beta_\lambda)} & \Gamma(T^* \text{Bun}_{G,X}^{D-fl}, \mathcal{O}) \\ \parallel & & \uparrow h^* \\ \bigotimes_{i=1}^N \Gamma(H^0(D_i, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(\mathcal{O})), \mathcal{O}) & \xrightarrow{r^*} & \Gamma(H^0(X, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(D)), \mathcal{O}) \end{array}$$

Here the right vertical arrow  $h^*$  is induced by the Hitchin's hamiltonian system, which is an isomorphism as we have seen at **Corollary 2.1**. Since  $r^*$  is surjective, so is  $\text{Gr}(\beta_\lambda)$ . An easy induction argument with respect to the filtration will show that  $\beta_\lambda$  is surjective. In particular, we have obtained the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.1.**  $D'_{D-fl,\lambda}$  is a commutative ring.

Let  $F$  be the filtration. For each  $f_i$ , we choose  $\delta_i \in F_2(D'_{D-fl,\lambda})$  so that

$$[\delta_i] = h^* f_i \in \text{Gr}_2^F(D'_{D-fl,\lambda}) \simeq \Gamma(\text{Bun}_{G,X}^{D-fl}, \text{Sym}^2(T^* \text{Bun}_{G,X}^{D-fl})),$$

where  $\text{Sym}^2(T^* \text{Bun}_{G,X}^{D-fl})$  is the symmetric square of the cotangent bundle of  $\text{Bun}_{G,X}^{D-fl}$ . This defines a homomorphism

$$\Gamma(H^0(X, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(D)), \mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{h_\lambda} D'_{D-fl,\lambda}, \quad h_\lambda(f_i) = \delta_i.$$

which preserves the filtrations and that

$$\mathrm{Gr}(h_\lambda) = h^*.$$

As before, by the induction argument with respect to the filtration, one can see that  $h_\lambda$  is surjective.

**Lemma 5.1.**  *$h_\lambda$  is injective.*

**Proof.** If  $h_\lambda$  were not injective, there is

$$x \neq 0 \in \Gamma(H^0(X, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(D)), \mathcal{O})$$

such that  $h_\lambda(x) = 0$ . We take the minimal nonnegative integer  $i$  so that

$$x \in F_i \setminus F_{i-1}.$$

Here  $F_i$  denotes  $F_i(\Gamma(H^0(X, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(D)), \mathcal{O}))$ . Let  $[x]$  be the element of  $\mathrm{Gr}_i^F((\Gamma(H^0(X, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(D)), \mathcal{O})))$  determined by  $x$ . By our choice of  $i$ , we have

$$[x] \neq 0. \tag{15}$$

Now  $h_\lambda(x) = 0$  implies

$$h^*([x]) = \mathrm{Gr}(h_\lambda)([x]) = 0.$$

Since  $h^*$  is an isomorphism,  $[x]$  must be 0. But this contradicts to (15).

△

Thus we have proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.1.** *There is an isomorphism of filtered algebras:*

$$\Gamma(H^0(X, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(D)), \mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{h_\lambda} D'_{D-fl,\lambda},$$

such that

$$\mathrm{Gr}(h_\lambda) = h^*.$$

Let

$$\Omega^{\otimes 2}(2D) \xrightarrow{R_{-2}} \mathbb{C}^N$$

be a map which is defined to be

$$R_{-2}(\omega) = (\mathrm{Res}_{t_1=0}(t_1 \cdot \omega), \dots, \mathrm{Res}_{t_N=0}(t_N \cdot \omega)), \quad \omega \in \Omega^{\otimes 2}(2D).$$

Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^{\otimes 2}(D) \rightarrow \Omega^{\otimes 2}(2D) \xrightarrow{R_{-2}} \mathbb{C}^N \rightarrow 0. \tag{16}$$

Note that the assumption for  $\Omega(D)$  implies

$$H^1(X, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(D)) = 0.$$

Thus (16) induces an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(D)) \rightarrow H^0(X, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(2D)) \xrightarrow{R_{-2}} \mathbb{C}^N \rightarrow 0.$$

Now we put

$$\Delta(\lambda_i) = \frac{\lambda_i(\lambda_i + 2)}{4},$$

and

$$\Delta(\lambda) = (\Delta(\lambda_1), \dots, \Delta(\lambda_N)) \in \mathbb{C}^N.$$

Let us consider an affine variety

$$H_{\Delta(\lambda)} = (R_{-2})^{-1}(\Delta(\lambda)).$$

This is a homogeneous space of  $H^0(X, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(D))$  and the translation by  $\Delta(\lambda)$  gives an isomorphism between  $\Gamma(H_{\Delta(\lambda)}, \mathcal{O})$  and  $\Gamma(H^0(X, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(D)), \mathcal{O})$  as filtered algebras. Now **Theorem 5.1** implies

**Theorem 5.2.** *There is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras:*

$$\Gamma(H_{\Delta(\lambda)}, \mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{h_\lambda^+} D'_{D-fl, \lambda},$$

which preserves the filtrations and that

$$\text{Gr}(h_\lambda^+) = h^*.$$

The isomorphism  $h_\lambda^+$  will be referred as a *quantization of the Hitchin's hamiltonian system*.

## 5.2 A generalization of the Beilinson-Drinfeld correspondence

An element  $q$  of  $H_{\Delta(\lambda)}$  defines a homomorphism of algebra:

$$\Gamma(H_{\Delta(\lambda)}, \mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{f_q} \mathbb{C}.$$

By **Theorem 5.2**,  $\text{Ker } f_q$  may be considered as a kernel of  $D'_{D-fl, \lambda}$ . We will define a D-module  $\mathcal{M}_q$  on  $\text{Bun}_{G, X}^{D-fl}$  to be

$$\mathcal{M}_q = (D'_{D-fl, \lambda} / \text{Ker } f_q) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1}.$$

One may see that the characteristic variety([4])  $\text{char}(\mathcal{M}_q)$  of  $\mathcal{M}_q$  coincides with  $\text{Nilp}$ (cf. [3]**5.1.2 Proposition**). **Proposition 2.2** implies the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.3.**  *$\mathcal{M}_q$  is a holonomic D-module.*

The correspondence which assigns the D-module  $\mathcal{M}_q$  to  $q \in H_{\Delta(\lambda)}$  will be referred as a *generalized Beilinson-Drinfeld correspondence*.

## 6 A localization functor

Let

$$Z \xrightarrow{\tau_{D-fl}} \text{Bun}_{G,X}^{D-fl} \simeq \hat{B}_+^N \setminus Z$$

be the projection. Using the map (6), one can associate a D-module  $\Delta_{D-fl}(M)$  on  $\text{Bun}_{G,X}^{D-fl}$  to a  $U_{-2}(\hat{\mathfrak{G}}_N)$ -module  $M$  by

$$\Delta_{D-fl}(M) = [\tau_{D-fl*}(\mathcal{D}_{Z'} \otimes_{U_{-2}(\hat{\mathfrak{G}}_N)} M)]^{\hat{B}_+^N}.$$

The functor  $\Delta_{D-fl}$  will be referred as a *localization functor* ([9] **16.2**). Let us remember that  $\mathfrak{G}^{\oplus N}$  is a Lie subalgebra of  $\hat{\mathfrak{G}}_N$ . Therefore we have a homomorphism

$$U(\mathfrak{G})^{\otimes N} \longrightarrow U_{-2}(\hat{\mathfrak{G}}_N),$$

and for  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ , we may form  $M_\lambda$  into  $U_{-2}(\hat{\mathfrak{G}}_N)$ -module  $M_{-2,\lambda}$ :

$$M_{-2,\lambda} = U_{-2}(\hat{\mathfrak{G}}_N) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{G})^{\otimes N}} M_\lambda.$$

As we have seen in **3.3**,  $\Delta_{D-fl}(M_{-2,\lambda})$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{D}'_{D-fl,\lambda}$ .

**Definition 6.1.** *We will call*

$$q = (q_1, \dots, q_N) \in \oplus_{i=1}^N H^0(D_i, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(2\mathcal{O}))$$

*is global if it is contained in the image of the Taylor expansion*

$$H^0(X, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(2D)) \longrightarrow \oplus_{i=1}^N H^0(D_i, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(2\mathcal{O})).$$

*Also it will be called  $\lambda$ -admissible if it has a Taylor expansion*

$$q_i = q_i(t_i) dt^{\otimes 2}$$

*such that*

$$q_i(t_i) = \frac{\Delta(\lambda_i)}{t_i^2} + \dots,$$

*for each  $i$ .*

Let

$$q = (q_1, \dots, q_N) \in \oplus_{i=1}^N H^0(D_i, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(2\mathcal{O}))$$

be  $\lambda$ -admissible. It defines a homomorphism

$$\otimes_{i=1}^N \Gamma(H^0(D_i, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(2\mathcal{O})), \mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{f_q} \mathbb{C}.$$

By the isomorphism of **4.2**:

$$\otimes_{i=1}^N \Gamma(H^0(D_i, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(2\mathcal{O})), \mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathbb{C}[\{S_m\}_{m \leq 0}]^{\otimes N}, \quad (17)$$

it may be considered as a homomorphism from  $\mathbb{C}[\{S_m\}_{m \leq 0}]^{\otimes N}$ . Now let us recall that each  $S_m$  is contained in the center of the local completion of  $U_{-2}(\hat{\mathfrak{G}}_N)$  and that it acts on  $M_{-2,\lambda}$ . Hence we can consider a  $U_{-2}(\hat{\mathfrak{G}}_N)$ -module  $M_{-2,\lambda}^q$ :

$$M_{-2,\lambda}^q = M_{-2,\lambda} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\{S_m\}_{m \leq 0}]^{\otimes N}} \mathbb{C}.$$

Here we have regarded  $\mathbb{C}$  as  $\mathbb{C}[\{S_m\}_{m \leq 0}]^{\otimes N}$ -module via  $f_q$ .

On the other hand, by the homomorphism  $\hat{\beta}_\lambda$  of **Proposition 4.1**, we have a D-module on  $\text{Bun}_{G,X}^{D-fl}$ :

$$\mathcal{D}'_{D-fl,\lambda} \otimes_{\otimes_{i=1}^N \Gamma(H^0(D_i, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(2\mathcal{O})), \mathcal{O})} \mathbb{C}.$$

**Proposition 6.1.**

$$\Delta_{D-fl}(M_{-2,\lambda}^q) \simeq \mathcal{D}'_{D-fl,\lambda} \otimes_{\otimes_{i=1}^N \Gamma(H^0(D_i, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(2\mathcal{O})), \mathcal{O})} \mathbb{C}.$$

**Proof.** By definition, we have

$$\mathcal{D}'_Z \otimes_{U_{-2}(\hat{\mathfrak{G}}_N)} M_{-2,\lambda}^q \simeq (\mathcal{D}'_Z \otimes_{U_{-2}(\hat{\mathfrak{G}}_N)} M_{-2,\lambda}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\{S_m\}_{m \leq 0}]^{\otimes N}} \mathbb{C}.$$

The commutativity of  $S_m$  with the action of  $\hat{B}_+^N$  implies

$$\begin{aligned} [\tau_{D-fl*}(\mathcal{D}'_Z \otimes_{U_{-2}(\hat{\mathfrak{G}}_N)} M_{-2,\lambda}^q)]^{\hat{B}_+^N} &\simeq [\tau_{D-fl*}(\mathcal{D}'_Z \otimes_{U_{-2}(\hat{\mathfrak{G}}_N)} M_{-2,\lambda})]^{\hat{B}_+^N} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\{S_m\}_{m \leq 0}]^{\otimes N}} \mathbb{C} \\ &\simeq \mathcal{D}'_{D-fl,\lambda} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\{S_m\}_{m \leq 0}]^{\otimes N}} \mathbb{C}. \end{aligned}$$

Now the isomorphism (17) implies the desired result. △

By **Theorem 5.2**,  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{D}'_{D-fl,\lambda}$  may be identified with  $H_\Delta(\lambda)$  which is a closed subvariety of  $\oplus_{i=1}^N H^0(D_i, \Omega^{\otimes 2}(2\mathcal{O}))$  by the restriction map. Now the identity

$$\hat{\beta}_\lambda(c_0^{(i)}) = \Delta(\lambda_i).$$

and **Proposition 6.1** will imply the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.1.**  $\Delta_{D-fl}(M_{-2,\lambda}^q)$  is nonzero if and only if  $q$  is global and  $\lambda$ -admissible.

## References

- [1] M. S. Narasimhan A. Beauville and S. Ramanan. Spectral curves and the generalized theta divisor. *J. reine angew. Math.*, 398:169–179, 1989.
- [2] A. Beilinson and J. Bernstein. A proof of Jantzen conjectures. *Advances in Soviet Mathematics*, 16(1):1–50, 1993. AMS.

- [3] A. Beilinson and V. Drinfeld. Quantization of Hitchin integrable system and Hecke eigensheaf. Preprint.
- [4] A. Borel et al. *Algebraic D-modules*, volume 2 of *Perspective in Mathematics*. Academic Press, 1987.
- [5] B. Feigin and E. Frenkel. Affine Kac-Moody algebra at the critical level and Gelfand-Dikii algebras. *Intern. J. of Modern Physics A*, 7:197–215, 1992.
- [6] E. Frenkel. Affine algebras, Langlands duality and Bethe Ansatz. In *Proc. of the Intern. Congr. of Math. and Phys.*, pages 606–642. International Press, 1995.
- [7] E. Frenkel. Lectures on Wakimoto modules, opers and the center at the critical level. math.QA/0210029, November 2002.
- [8] E. Frenkel. Recent advances in the Langlands program. math.AG/0303074, March 2003.
- [9] E. Frenkel and D. Ben-Zvi. *Vertex Algebras and Algebraic Curves*, volume 88 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. AMS, 2001.
- [10] V. Ginzburg. Perverse sheaves on a loop group and Langlands’ duality. alg-geom/9511007, November 2000.
- [11] N. Hitchin. Stable bundles and integrable systems. *Duke Math. J.*, 54(1):91–114, 1987.
- [12] Y. Laszlo and C. Sorger. The line bundles on the moduli of parabolic  $G$ -bundles over curves and their sections. *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.*, 30:499–525, 1997.
- [13] G. Laumon. Un analogue global du cône nilpotent. *Duke Math. Jour.*, 57:647–671, 1988.
- [14] G. Laumon and L. Moret-Bailly. *Champs algébriques*, volume 39 of *A series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics*. Springer, 1999.
- [15] C. Sorger. Lectures on moduli of principal  $G$ -bundles over algebraic curves. Lectures given at School on Algebraic Geometry Trieste, 26 July -13 August 1999.

Address : Department of Mathematics and Informatics  
 Faculty of Science  
 Chiba University  
 1-33 Yayoi-cho Inage-ku  
 Chiba 263-8522, Japan  
 e-mail address : sugiyama@math.s.chiba-u.ac.jp