A cohomological construction of modules over Fedosov deformation quantization algebra

S. A. Pol'shin^{*}

Institute for Theoretical Physics NSC Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology Akademicheskaia St. 1, 61108 Kharkov, Ukraine

Abstract

In certain neighborhood U of an arbitrary point of a symplectic manifold M we construct a Fedosov-type star-product $*_L$ such that for an arbitrary leaf \wp of a given polarization $\mathcal{D} \subset TM$ the algebra $C^{\infty}(\wp \cap U)[[h]]$ has a natural structure of left module over the deformed algebra $(C^{\infty}(U)[[h]], *_L)$. With certain additional assumptions on M, $*_L$ becomes a socalled star-product with separation of variables.

MSC 2000: 53D55 53D50 17B55 53C12

Key words: Fedosov quantization, polarization, contracting homotopy, bi-Lagrangian manifold, adapted star-product

1 Introduction

In [1] B.V.Fedosov gave a simple construction of deformation quantization of an arbitrary symplectic manifold (see also [2]). Later J.Donin [3] and D.Farkas [4] show the algebraic nature of Fedosov construction. The problem of constructing modules over Fedosov deformation quantization which generalize the states of usual quantum mechanics is of great interest. To this end, a notion of adapted star-products was recently introduced [5].

Definition. A star-product * on M is called adapted to a lagrangian (or, more generally, coisotropic) submanifold $\wp \subset M$ if the vanishing ideal of \wp in the commutative algebra $C^{\infty}(M)[[h]]$ is a left ideal in the deformed algebra $\mathcal{A} = (C^{\infty}(M)[[h]], *)$. Then obviously $C^{\infty}(\wp)[[h]]$ has a structure of left \mathcal{A} -module.

Using the algebraic framework of [3, 4], in the present letter we construct a Fedosov-type star-product $*_L$ adapted to all the leaves of given polarization L of a symplectic manifold M. If M is a so-called bi-Lagrangian manifold, $*_L$ becomes a star-product with separation of variables in the sense of Karabegov.

Like most of the papers on this subject, we are working in a certain coordinate neighborhood where dim M-dimensional basis of vector fields exists. However, only intrinsic geometric structures affect the results, so we can try to glue star-products in different neighborhoods together using sheaf-theoretic methods. This will be a matter of further publications. See also [3, 6] for the global construction of Fedosov star-product algebras.

Plan of the present paper is the following. In Sec. 2 we consider the Koszul complex for Weyl algebra, in Sec. 3 we define various ideals associated with L, in Sec. 4 we define Fedosov complex and prove the main result.

^{*}E-mail: polshin.s at gmail.com

2 Koszul complex and Weyl algebra

Let M be a symplectic manifold, dim $M = 2\nu$, U a certain coordinate neighborhood in M, $A = C^{\infty}(U, \mathbb{C})$ a \mathbb{C} -algebra of smooth functions on U with pointwise multiplication, and $E = C^{\infty}(U, T^{\mathbb{C}}M)$ a set of all smooth complexified vector fields on U with the natural structure of an unitary A-module. By T(E) and S(E) denote the tensor and symmetric algebra of A-module Erespectively, and let $\wedge E^*$ be an algebra of smooth differential forms on U. Let $\omega \in \wedge^2 E^*$ be a symplectic form on M and let $u: E \to \wedge^1 E^*$ be the mapping $u(x)y = \omega(x, y), x, y \in E$. All the tensor products in the present paper will be taken over A. Let

$$a = x_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes x_m \otimes y_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge y_n \in T^m(E) \otimes \wedge^n E^*.$$

Define the Koszul differential of bidegree (-1, 1) on $T^{\bullet}(E) \otimes \wedge^{\bullet} E^*$ as

$$\delta a = \sum_{i} x_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \hat{x}_i \otimes \ldots \otimes x_m \otimes u(x_i) \wedge y_1 \ldots \wedge y_n$$

Let λ be an independent variable (physically $\lambda = -i\hbar$) and $A[\lambda] = A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[\lambda]$ etc. In the sequel we will write A, E etc. instead of $A[\lambda], A[[\lambda]], E[\lambda], E[[\lambda]]$ etc. Let \mathcal{I}_W be a two-sided ideal in T(E) generated by relations $x \otimes y - y \otimes x - \lambda \omega(x, y) = 0$. The factor-algebra $W(E) = T(E)/\mathcal{I}_W$ is called the Weyl algebra of E and let \circ be the multiplication in W(E).

A ν -dimensional real distribution $\mathcal{D} \subset TM$ is called a polarization if it is (a) lagrangian, i.e. $\omega(x, y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{D}$ and (b) involutive, i.e. $[x, y] \in \mathcal{D}$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{D}$, where [.,.] is the commutator of vector fields on M. It is well known [7] that always we can choose a lagrangian distribution \mathcal{D}' transversal to \mathcal{D} and let L and L' be A-modules of smooth complexified vector fields on U tangent to \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{D}' respectively, then $E = L \oplus L'$. Let $\alpha, \alpha_1, \ldots = 1, \ldots, \nu$ and $\beta, \beta_1, \ldots = \nu + 1, \ldots, 2\nu$. Choose an A-basis $\{e_i | i = 1, \ldots, 2\nu\}$ in E such that $\{e_\alpha | \alpha = 1, \ldots, \nu\}$ and $\{e_\beta | \beta = \nu + 1, \ldots, 2\nu\}$ are the bases in L and L' respectively; it is always possible in a certain coordinate neighborhood of an arbitrary point of M. Let $i_1, \ldots, i_p = 1, \ldots, 2\nu$ and let $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_p)$ be an arbitrary sequence of indices. We write $e_I = e_{i_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{i_p}$ and we say that the sequence I is nonincreasing if $i_1 \geq i_2 \geq \ldots \geq i_p$. We consider $\{\emptyset\}$ as a nonincreasing sequence and $e_{\{\emptyset\}} = 1$. We say that a sequence I is of α -length n if it contains n elements less or equal ν . Let Υ^n be a set of all nonincreasing sequences of α -length n and $\Upsilon_n = \bigcup_{p=n}^{\infty} \Upsilon^p$. Then a variant of Poincare-Birkhoff-Witt theorem holds [8, 9].

Theorem (Poincare-Birkhoff-Witt). Let $\tilde{S}(E)$ be an A-submodule of T(E) generated by elements $\{e_I | I \in \Upsilon_0\}$. Then

(a) The restrictions $\mu_S | \tilde{S}(E)$ and $\mu_W | \tilde{S}(E)$ of the canonical homomorphisms $\mu_S : T(E) \to S(E)$ and $\mu_W : T(E) \to W(E)$ are A-module isomorphisms.

(b) $\{\mu_S(e_I) | I \in \Upsilon_0\}$ and $\{\mu_W(e_I) | I \in \Upsilon_0\}$ are A-bases of S(E) and W(E) respectively. (c) $T(E) = \tilde{S}(E) \oplus \mathcal{I}_W$.

Proposition 1. The choice of bases in L and L' does not affect the resulting isomorphism $W(E) \xrightarrow{\cong} S(E)$.

Proof. Let $\{e'_i = A^j_i e_j\}$ be a new basis in E such that $A^{\beta}_{\alpha} = A^{\alpha}_{\beta} = 0$ and let $\tilde{S}'(E)$ be a submodule in T(E) generated by $\{e'_I | I \in \Upsilon_0\}$. Since both L and L' are lagrangian, we see that for any element $a' \in \tilde{S}'(E)$ an element $a \in \tilde{S}(E)$ there exists such that $\mu_W(a) = \mu_W(a')$ and $\mu_S(a) = \mu_S(a')$. Due to Theorem 1(c) such an element is unique and the map $a' \mapsto a$ is an isomorphism.

Let ι_m (m = 1, 2) be a natural embedding of *m*th direct summand in the rhs of Theorem 1 (c) into T(E), so $\mu_{S,W}|\tilde{S}(E) = \mu_{S,W}\iota_1$. Then from Theorem 1 (c) it follows that a short exact sequence of *A*-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_W \xrightarrow{\iota_2} T(E) \xrightarrow{\mu_W} W(E) \longrightarrow 0$$

splits, then we have another short exact sequence of A-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{W} \otimes \wedge E^{*} \xrightarrow{\iota_{2} \otimes \mathrm{id}} T(E) \otimes \wedge E^{*} \xrightarrow{\mu_{W} \otimes \mathrm{id}} W(E) \otimes \wedge E^{*} \longrightarrow 0$$
(1)

and $\iota_1 \otimes id$ is a natural embedding of $\tilde{S}(E) \otimes \wedge E^*$ into $T(E) \otimes \wedge E^*$.

It is easily seen that δ preserves $\mathcal{I}_W \otimes \wedge E^*$, so it induces a well-defined differential on $W(E) \otimes \wedge E^*$ due to (1). It is well known that u is an isomorphism due to nondegeneracy of ω . So we can define the so-called contracting homotopy of bidegree (1, -1) on $S^{\bullet}(E) \otimes \wedge^{\bullet} E^*$ which to an element

$$a = x_1 \odot \ldots \odot x_m \otimes y_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge y_n \in S^m(E) \otimes \wedge^n E^*$$

where \odot is the multiplication in S(E), assigns the element

$$\delta^{-1}a = \frac{1}{m+n} \sum_{i} (-1)^{i-1} u^{-1}(y_i) \odot x_1 \odot \ldots \odot x_m \otimes y_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \hat{y}_i \wedge \ldots \wedge y_n$$

at m + n > 0 and $\delta^{-1}a = 0$ at m = n = 0.

Let $a = \sum_{m,n\geq 0} a_{mn}$, where $a_{mn} \in S^m(E) \otimes \wedge^n E^*$ and $\tau : a \mapsto a_{00}$ is the projection onto a component of bidegree (0,0). Carry δ onto $S(E) \otimes \wedge E^*$ using the canonical homomorphism

 $\mu_S \otimes \text{id.}$ Then it is well known that the following equality

$$\delta\delta^{-1} + \delta^{-1}\delta + \tau = Id \tag{2}$$

holds. Carry the grading of S(E) onto W(E) using the isomorphism $S(E) \cong W(E)$, then $W^1(E) \cong E$ and we will identify them. It is easily seen that δ preserves $\tilde{S}(E) \otimes \wedge E^*$, so each arrow of the following commutative diagram of A-modules commutes with δ .



Then δ commutes with A-module isomorphism $\mu_W \iota_1(\mu_S \iota_1)^{-1} \otimes id$. Carry the contracting homotopy δ^{-1} and the projection τ from $S(E) \otimes \wedge E^*$ onto $W(E) \otimes \wedge E^*$ via this isomorphism, then the equality (2) remains true. Let $\delta W^{\bullet} = (W(E) \otimes \wedge^n E^*, \delta)$, then from (2) it follows that

$$H^0(\delta W^{\bullet}) = A, \qquad H^n(\delta W^{\bullet}) = 0, \ n > 0.$$
(3)

3 The ideals

Let \mathcal{I}_{\wedge} be an ideal in $\wedge E^*$ those elemens annihilate the polarization L, i.e. $\mathcal{I}_{\wedge} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{I}_{\wedge}^n$, where

$$\mathcal{I}^n_{\wedge} = \{ \alpha \in \wedge^n E^* | \alpha(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \ \forall x_1, \dots, x_n \in L \}$$

It is well known that locally \mathcal{I}_{\wedge} is generated by ν independent 1-forms which are the basis of \mathcal{I}^{1}_{\wedge} . On the other hand, L is lagrangian, so from the dimensional reasons we obtain $u(L) = \mathcal{I}^{1}_{\wedge}$, so

$$\mathcal{I}_{\wedge} = (u(L)). \tag{4}$$

Let \mathcal{I}_L be a left ideal in W(E) generated by elements of L and $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_L \otimes \wedge E^* + W(E) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\wedge}$ a left ideal in $W(E) \otimes \wedge E^*$. Then from (4) it follows that

$$\delta(\mathcal{I}) \subset \mathcal{I}. \tag{5}$$

Let $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. A semigroup (S, \vee) is called *filtered* if a decreasing filtration S_i , $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ on S there exists such that $S_0 = S$ and $S_i \vee S_j \subset S_{i+j} \forall i, j$. Let $I, J \in \Upsilon_0, I = (i_1, \ldots, i_m), J = (j_i, \ldots, j_n)$ and let $I \vee J$ be the set $\{i_1, \ldots, i_m, j_i, \ldots, j_n\}$ arranged in the descent order. Then (Υ_0, \vee) becomes a semigroup filtered by Υ_i .

Lemma 1. Let $\mathcal{I}_L^{(S)}$ be an ideal in S(E) generated by elements of L, then $\mu_W \iota_1(\mu_S \iota_1)^{-1} \mathcal{I}_L^{(S)} = \mathcal{I}_L$.

Proof. Since L is lagrangian, we have $e_{\alpha_1} \circ e_{\alpha_2} = e_{\alpha_2} \circ e_{\alpha_1} \ \forall \alpha_1, \alpha_2$, thus for any $I \in \Upsilon_0$ we have $\mu(e_I) \circ e_{\alpha} = \mu(e_{I \vee \{\alpha\}})$ and $I \vee \{\alpha\} \in \Upsilon_1$. Then from Theorem 1 (b) it follows $\mathcal{I}_L \subset \operatorname{span}_A\{\mu_W(e_I) | I \in \Upsilon_1\}$. On the other hand, if $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_p) \in \Upsilon_1$ then $1 \leq i_p \leq n$, so $\mu_W(e_I) \in \mathcal{I}_L$. Then $\operatorname{span}_A\{\mu_W(e_I) | I \in \Upsilon_1\} \subset \mathcal{I}_L$ and we obtain $\mathcal{I}_L = \mu_W \iota_1(\tilde{S}_1(E))$, where $\tilde{S}_i(E) = \operatorname{span}_A\{e_I | I \in \Upsilon_i\}, \ i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is a decreasing filtration on $\tilde{S}(E)$. Analogously $\mathcal{I}_L^{(S)} = \mu_S \iota_1(\tilde{S}_1(E))$, which proves the lemma.

From (4) it is easily seen that δ^{-1} preserves the submodule $\mathcal{I}_L^{(S)} \otimes \wedge E^* + S(E) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\wedge}$ of $S(E) \otimes \wedge E^*$, then using Lemma 1 we obtain

$$\delta^{-1}(\mathcal{I}) \subset \mathcal{I}.$$
 (6)

Remark. The choice of $\tilde{S}(E)$ in Theorem 1 is crucial for our construction of contracting homotopy of δW^{\bullet} . The usual choice of submodule S'(E) of symmetric tensors in T(E) instead of $\tilde{S}(E)$ yields another contracting homotopy of δW^{\bullet} which does not preserve \mathcal{I} .

Let ∇ be an exterior derivative on $\wedge E^*$ which to an element $\alpha \in \wedge^{n-1}E^*$ assigns the element

$$(\nabla \alpha)(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} (-1)^{i+j} \alpha([x_i, x_j], x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, \hat{x}_j, \dots, x_n) + \sum_{1 \le i \le n} (-1)^{i-1} x_i \alpha(x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_n).$$
(7)

Let $\nabla_x y \in E$, $x, y \in E$ be a connection on M, then we can extend ∇_x to T(E) by the Leibniz rule. It is well known that we can always choose ∇ in such a way that $\nabla_x \omega = 0 \ \forall x \in E$. It is well known that such a connection preserve \mathcal{I}_W for all $x \in E$, so it induces a well-defined derivation on W(E). Now consider ∇ as a map $W(E) \to W(E) \otimes \wedge^1 E^*$ such that $(\nabla a)(x) = \nabla_x a$. Then it is well known that ∇ may be extended to a $\mathbb{C}[[\lambda]]$ -linear derivation of bidegree (0, 1) of the whole algebra $W^{\bullet}(E) \otimes \wedge^{\bullet} E^*$ whose restriction to $\wedge E^*$ coincides with (7)

Lemma 2. Let $a \in W(E) \otimes \wedge^n E^*$ and $a(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in V$ for all $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in L$, where V is a submodule of W(E). Then $a \in V \otimes \wedge E^* + W(E) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\wedge}$.

Proof. Let $\{\tilde{e}^i | i = 1, ..., 2\nu\}$ be a basis of E^* dual to $\{e_i\}$, i.e. $\tilde{e}^i(e_j) = \delta^i_j$. Then from the well-known theorem of basic algebra it follows that an arbitrary $a \in W(E) \otimes \wedge^n E^*$ may be

represented in the form $a = \sum_{i_1 < \ldots < i_n} a_{i_1 \ldots i_n} \otimes \tilde{e}^{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \tilde{e}^{i_n}$, where $a_{i_1 \ldots i_n} \in W(E)$ for all i_1, \ldots, i_n . It is easily seen that $\{\tilde{e}^{\beta} | \beta = \nu + 1, \ldots, 2\nu\}$ generate \mathcal{I}_{\wedge} , so

$$a = \sum_{\alpha_1 < \ldots < \alpha_n} a_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_n} \otimes \tilde{e}^{\alpha_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \tilde{e}^{\alpha_n} + W(E) \otimes \mathcal{I}^n_{\wedge}.$$

On the other hand, $a_{\alpha_1...\alpha_n} = a(e_{\alpha_1},...,e_{\alpha_n}) \in V$ due to the lemma's conditions. So $a \in V \otimes \wedge E^* + W(E) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\wedge}$.

We say that a polarization (or, more generally, distribution) \mathcal{D} is self-parallel wrt ∇ iff

$$\nabla_x y \in L, \quad x, y \in L. \tag{8}$$

For a given \mathcal{D} , a torsion-free connection which obeys (8) always exists ([10], Theorem 5.1.12). Proceeding along the same lines as in the proof of [11], Lemma 5.6, we obtain another torsion-free connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ on M such that $\tilde{\nabla}_x \omega = 0 \ \forall x \in E$ and \mathcal{D} is self-parallel wrt $\tilde{\nabla}$. Suppose ∇ is a connection (not necessarily torsion-free) such that $\nabla_x \omega = 0 \ \forall x \in E$ and \mathcal{D} is self-parallel wrt ∇ . Then $\nabla_x \mathcal{I}_L \subset \mathcal{I}_L \ \forall x \in L$, so using Lemma 2 we obtain $\nabla \mathcal{I}_L \subset \mathcal{I}$. On the other hand, the involutivity of L together with (7) yield $\nabla \mathcal{I}_{\wedge} \subset \mathcal{I}_{\wedge}$ (Frobenius theorem), so we finally obtain

$$\nabla \mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{I}.$$
 (9)

Let $\mathcal{R} \in E \otimes E^* \otimes \wedge^2 E^*$ be the curvature tensor of ∇ , i.e.

$$\mathcal{R}(x,y)z = \nabla_x \nabla_y z - \nabla_y \nabla_x z - \nabla_{[x,y]} z, \qquad x, y, z \in E.$$

Then using (8) and the involutivity of L we obtain $\mathcal{R}(x,y)z \in L \quad \forall x, y, z \in L$. Then Lemma 2 yields $\mathcal{R}(x,y) \in \mathcal{I} \quad \forall x, y \in L$. On the other hand, $\mathcal{R}(x,y) \in W^1(E) \otimes \wedge^1 E^*$, so an element $R \in W^2(E) \otimes \wedge^2 E^*$ there exists such that $R(x,y) = \delta^{-1}(\mathcal{R}(x,y)) \quad \forall x, y \in E$. Using (6) we see that $R(x,y) \in \mathcal{I}_L \quad \forall x, y \in L$ and using Lemma 2 we obtain

$$R \in \mathcal{I}.\tag{10}$$

Let $R^{i}_{jkl} = \tilde{e}^{i}(\mathcal{R}(e_{k}, e_{l})e_{j})$ be the components of curvature tensor. Denote $R^{ij}_{kl} = \omega^{jp}R^{i}_{pkl}$, where ω^{ij} is the matrix inverse to $\omega(e_{i}, e_{j})$. Then it is well known [12] that $R^{ij}_{kl} = R^{ji}_{kl}$, so we have

$$R = R^{ij}{}_{kl} e_i \circ e_j \otimes \tilde{e}^k \wedge \tilde{e}^l$$

which coincides with the notations of [1, 2].

Let $T \in W^1(E) \otimes \wedge^2 E^*$, $T(x, y) = \nabla_x y - \nabla_y x - [x, y]$ be the torsion of ∇ . Then analogously to (10) we obtain

$$T \in \mathcal{I}.$$
 (11)

Suppose \wp is a leaf of the distribution \mathcal{D} such that $\wp \cap U \neq \emptyset$, $\Phi = \{f \in A | f | \wp = 0\}$ is the vanishing ideal of \wp in A, \mathcal{I}_{Φ} is an ideal in $W(E) \otimes \wedge E^*$ generated by elements of Φ , and $\mathcal{I}_{\text{fin}} = \mathcal{I} + \mathcal{I}_{\Phi}$ is a homogeneous ideal in $W(E) \otimes \wedge E^*$. Then due to (5),(6) we can define the subcomplex $\delta \mathcal{I}_{\text{fin}}^{\bullet} = (\mathcal{I}_{\text{fin}}, \delta)$ with the same contracting homotopy δ^{-1} . Note that $\tau(\mathcal{I}_{\text{fin}}) = \Phi$, then using (2) we obtain

$$H^{0}(\delta \mathcal{I}_{\text{fin}}^{\bullet}) = \Phi, \qquad H^{n}(\delta \mathcal{I}_{\text{fin}}^{\bullet}) = 0, \ n > 0$$
(12)

It is easily seen that vector fields of L preserve Φ , i.e. $(\nabla f)(x) \in \Phi \quad \forall f \in \Phi, x \in L$. Then from Lemma 2 we obtain $\nabla \Phi \in \mathcal{I}_{\Phi} + \mathcal{I}^{1}_{\wedge}$, so we finally obtain

$$\nabla \mathcal{I}_{\Phi} \subset \mathcal{I}_{\text{fin}}.$$
(13)

4 Fedosov complex and star-product

Let $W^{(i)}(E)$ be the grading in W(E) which coincides with $W^{i}(E)$ except for the $\lambda \in W^{(2)}(E)$, and let $W_{(i)}(E)$ be a decreasing filtration generated by $W^{(i)}(E)$. Suppose $\widehat{W}(E)$, $\widehat{\mathcal{I}}$ are completions of W(E), \mathcal{I} with respect to this filtration, then $\widehat{\mathcal{I}}$ is a left ideal in $\widehat{W}(E) \otimes \wedge E^*$. Let A_i , $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be an (λ) -adic filtration in A, then $\tau(W_{(i)}(E)) \subset A_{\{i/2\}}$. Then $W_{(2i)}(E) \subset \tau^{-1}(A_i)$, so τ is continuous in the topologies generated by $W_{(i)}(E)$ and A_i and thus can be extended to a mapping $\widehat{W}(E) \to \widehat{A}$. Since δ, δ^{-1} and ∇ have fixed bidegrees with respect to bigrading $W^{(i)}(E) \otimes \wedge^n E^*$, we can extend them to derivations of $\widehat{W}(E) \otimes \wedge E^*$ in such a way that Eq. (2) remains true and they preserve $\widehat{\mathcal{I}}$. So we will write A, W(E) etc. instead of $\widehat{A}, \widehat{W}(E)$ etc.

Let

$$r_0 = \delta^{-1}T, \qquad r_{n+1} = \delta^{-1}\left(R + \nabla r_n + \frac{1}{\lambda}r_n^2\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

Then it is well known that the sequence $\{r_n\}$ has a limit $r \in W_{(2)}(E) \otimes \wedge^1 E^*$. Then we can define well-known Fedosov complex $DW^{\bullet} = (W(E) \otimes \wedge^n E^*, D)$ with the differential ([1, 2], see also [3, 12] for the case of nonzero torsion)

$$D = \delta + \nabla - \frac{i}{\lambda} [\![r, \cdot]\!],$$

where $\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket$ is the commutator in $W(E) \otimes \wedge E^*$.

Let F be an Abelian group which is complete with respect to its decreasing filtration F_i , $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\cup F_i = F$, $\cap F_i = \emptyset$. Let deg $a = \max\{i : a \in F_i\}$ for $a \in F$.

Lemma 3 ([3]). Let $\varphi : F \to F$ be a set-theoretic map such that $\deg(\varphi(a) - \varphi(b)) > \deg(a - b)$ for all $a, b \in F$. Then the map $Id + \varphi$ is invertible.

Let $Q: W(E) \otimes \wedge E^* \to W(E) \otimes \wedge E^*$, $Q = Id + \delta^{-1}(D - \delta)$ be a $\mathbb{C}[[\lambda]]$ -linear map, then it is well known that $\delta Q = QD$ and from Lemma 3 it follows that Q is invertible, so it is a chain equivalence and we obtain

$$H^n(Q): H^n(DW^{\bullet}) \cong_{\mathbb{C}[[\lambda]]} H^n(\delta W^{\bullet}), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

Using (6),(9),(10),(11) and taking into account that \mathcal{I} is a left ideal in $W(E) \otimes \wedge E^*$ we have $r_n \in \mathcal{I}$ for all n, so $r \in \mathcal{I}$. Using (5),(6),(9),(13) we see that $D\mathcal{I}_{\text{fin}} \subset \mathcal{I}_{\text{fin}}$ and $Q\mathcal{I}_{\text{fin}} \subset \mathcal{I}_{\text{fin}}$, so we can define the subcomplex $D\mathcal{I}_{\text{fin}}^{\bullet} = (\mathcal{I}_{\text{fin}}, D)$ and using Lemma 3 we obtain

$$H^n(Q): H^n(D\mathcal{I}^{\bullet}_{\operatorname{fin}}) \cong_{\mathbb{C}[[\lambda]]} H^n(\delta\mathcal{I}^{\bullet}_{\operatorname{fin}}), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

Then due to (3),(12) we have the following diagram

Then we can define the Fedosov-type star-product $A \times A \ni (f,g) \mapsto f *_L g \in A$ on U carrying the multiplication from $H^0(DW^{\bullet})$ onto $H^0(\delta W^{\bullet})$:

$$f *_L g = Q(Q^{-1}f \circ Q^{-1}g).$$
(15)

Then from (14) we see that Φ is a left ideal in $\mathcal{A}_L(U) = (A, *_L)$ since $H^0(D\mathcal{I}_{fin}^{\bullet})$ is a left ideal in $H^0(DW^{\bullet})$. Since the choice of \wp does not affects $*_L$, we have proved the following result.

Proposition 2. Let M be a symplectic manifold and let $\mathcal{D} \subset TM$ be a real polarization on M. Then in a certain coordinate neighborhood of an arbitrary point of M we can construct a star-product adapted to all the leaves of \mathcal{D} which depends on the intrinsic geometric structures on M only.

This extends the results of Reshtikhin and Yakimov [13], Xu [11], and Donin [14] who constructed commutative subalgebras of Fedosov deformation quantization algebra associated to a Lagrangian fiber bundle, lagrangian submanifold and polarization respectively.

Corollary 1. $A/\Phi \cong C^{\infty}(\wp \cap U)$ has a natural structure of left $\mathcal{A}_L(U)$ -module.

This extends the results of Bordemann, Neumaier and Waldmann [15] who constructed the modules over Fedosov deformation quantization of cotangent bundles.

Suppose \mathcal{D}' is a polarization, then M is a *bi-Lagrangian manifold* [7] (called the Fedosov manifold of Wick type in [16]) and we can choose a connection on M such that $\nabla_z x \in L$ and $\nabla_z y \in L', x \in L, y \in L', z \in E$. It is well known that for any two transversal involutive distributions $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}'$ we can choose a coordinate system $\{x_i\}$ in a certain neighborhood U of any point of M such that $\{\partial/\partial x_{\alpha} | \alpha = 1, \dots, \nu\}$ and $\{\partial/\partial x_{\beta} | \beta = \nu + 1, \dots, 2\nu\}$ are local bases in L and L' respectively ([17], Ch.1, Problem 30; see also [18], Sec.4.9 for the case when $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}'$ are polarizations). Let \wp' be a leaf of \mathcal{D}' such that $\wp' \cap U \neq \emptyset$ and $\Phi' = \{f \in A | f | \wp' = 0\}$. Then analogously to Theorem 2 we see that Φ' is a right ideal in $\mathcal{A}_L(U)$. Write the star-product (15) as a bidifferential operator on U:

$$f *_L g = \sum_{r,s} \sum_{\substack{i_1,\dots,i_r\\j_1,\dots,j_s}} \Lambda^{i_1\dots i_r | j_1\dots j_s} \frac{\partial^r f}{\partial x^{i_1}\dots \partial x^{i_r}} \frac{\partial^s g}{\partial x^{j_1}\dots \partial x^{j_s}}.$$

Since Φ is a left ideal in $\mathcal{A}_L(U)$, we see that $\Lambda^{i_1...i_r|\beta_1...\beta_s} \in \Phi$ and analogously $\Lambda^{\alpha_1...\alpha_r|j_1...j_s} \in \Phi'$ since Φ' is a right ideal. But \wp, \wp' are arbitrary, so $*_L$ is a star-product with separation of variables in the sense of Karabegov [19] (called a star-product of Wick type in [20]):

$$f *_L g = \sum_{r,s} \sum_{\substack{\beta_1 \dots \beta_r \\ \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_s}} \Lambda^{\beta_1 \dots \beta_r | \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_s} \frac{\partial^r f}{\partial x^{\beta_1} \dots \partial x^{\beta_r}} \frac{\partial^s g}{\partial x^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x^{\alpha_s}}.$$

This extends the results of Bordemann and Waldman [20] who constructed a Fedosov star-product of Wick type on arbitrary Kähler manifold.

Acknowledgement

The author is grateful to L.L.Vaksman for constant support during the work.

References

- B.V.Fedosov, A simple geometrical construction of deformation quantization, J. Diff. Geom. 40 (1994), 213-238
- [2] B.V.Fedosov, Deformation quantization and index theory (Akademie, B., 1996)
- [3] J.Donin, On the quantization of Poisson brackets, Adv. Math. 127 (1997), 73-93
- [4] D.Farkas, A ring-theorist's description of Fedosov quantization, Lett. Math. Phys. 51 (2000), 161-177

- [5] M.Bordemann, G.Ginot, G.Halbout, H.-C.Herbig, and S.Waldmann, Formalité G_{∞} adaptée et star-représentations sur des sous-variétés coïsotropes, math.QA/0504276
- [6] I.Vaisman, Fedosov quantization of symplectic ringed spaces, J. Math. Phys. 43 (2002), 283-298
- [7] F.Etayo Gordejuela and R.Santamaria, The canonical connection on a bi-lagrangian manifold, J. Phys. A: Math.Gen. 34 (2001), 981-987
- [8] N.Bourbaki, Groupes et algébres de Lie, ch.1. Algébres de Lie (Hermann, P., 1971)
- [9] R.Sridharan, Filtered algebras and representations of Lie algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 100 (1961), 530-550
- [10] A. Bejancu and H.R.Farran, *Foliations and geometric structures* (Springer, Dordrecht, 2006)
- [11] P.Xu, Fedosov *-products and quantum momentum maps, Commun. Math. Phys. 197 (1998), 167-197
- [12] A.V. Karabegov and M.Schlichenmaier, Almost Kähler deformation quantization, Lett. Math. Phys. 57 (2001), 135-148
- [13] N.Reshetikhin and M.Yakimov, Deformation Quantization of Lagrangian Fiber Bundles, in Conference Moshe Flato 1999 (Kluwer, 2000) vol. 2, pp.269-288
- [14] J.Donin, Classification of polarized deformation quantizations, math.QA/0205211
- [15] M.Bordemann, N.Neumaier, and S.Waldmann, Homogeneous Fedosov star products on cotangent bundles II: GNS representations, the WKB expansion, traces, and applications, *J. Geom. Phys.* 29 (1999), 199–234.
- [16] V.A.Dolgushev, S.L.Lyakhovich and A.A.Sharapov, Wick type deformation quantization of Fedosov manifolds, Nucl. Phys. B 606 (2001), 647-672
- [17] R.L. Bishop and R.J. Crittenden, Geometry of manifolds (Academic Press, N.Y. and London, 1964)
- [18] N.M.J.Woodhouse, *Geometric quantization* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1991)
- [19] A.V.Karabegov, Deformation quantization with separation of variables on a Kähler manifolds, Commun. Math. Phys. 180 (1996), 745-755
- [20] M.Bordemann and S.Waldmann, A Fedosov Star Product of Wick Type for Kähler Manifolds, Lett. Math. Phys. 41 (1997), 243–253