

# 1+1+2 gravitational perturbations on LRS class II space-times: GEM scalar harmonic amplitudes

**R. B. Burston**

Max Planck Institute for Solar System Research, 37191 Katlenburg-Lindau, Germany

E-mail: burston@mps.mpg.de

**Abstract.** This is the third in a series of papers which considers first-order gauge-invariant and covariant gravitational perturbations to *locally rotationally symmetric* (LRS) class II space-times. In this paper we complete our analysis of the first-order *gravito-electromagnetic* (GEM) system by showing how to derive three decoupled equations governing the GEM scalar fields. One of these is for the gravito-magnetic scalar, whereas another two arise from the 2-gradient of the gravito-electric scalar.

PACS numbers: 04.25.Nx, 04.20.-q, 04.40.-b, 03.50.De, 04.20.Cv

## 1. Introduction

Paper I in this series [1] uses similar methods that we used to decouple electromagnetic (EM) perturbations [2, 3] to show how to decouple the *gravito-electromagnetic* (GEM) 2-tensor harmonic amplitudes. This was followed by Paper II which showed that further decoupling is achieved when the complex GEM 2-vector is combined with the shear 2-tensors describing the 2/3-sheets [4]. Summarily, from Paper's I and II we have found eight specific combinations that decouple which are

$$\text{Decoupled polar perturbations: } \left\{ (\mathcal{E}_T + \bar{\mathcal{H}}_T), (\mathcal{E}_T - \bar{\mathcal{H}}_T), \right. \\ \left. \left[ \left( \mathcal{E}_V + \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{E} r \zeta_T \right) - \left( \bar{\mathcal{H}}_V + \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{E} r \Sigma_T \right) \right], \left[ \left( \mathcal{E}_V + \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{E} r \zeta_T \right) + \left( \bar{\mathcal{H}}_V + \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{E} r \Sigma_T \right) \right] \right\} \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Decoupled axial perturbations: } \{ (\mathcal{H}_T + \bar{\mathcal{E}}_T), (\mathcal{H}_T - \bar{\mathcal{E}}_T), \\ \left[ \left( \mathcal{H}_V + \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{E} r \bar{\Sigma}_T \right) + \left( \bar{\mathcal{E}}_V - \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{E} r \bar{\zeta}_T \right) \right], \left[ \left( \mathcal{H}_V + \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{E} r \bar{\Sigma}_T \right) - \left( \bar{\mathcal{E}}_V - \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{E} r \bar{\zeta}_T \right) \right] \}. \quad (2)$$

This paper concentrates on decoupling the quantities governing the 1+1+2 GEM scalars. In Section 2, we provide a brief review of the complex GEM system we expressed in Paper II and its dependent variables. Following this in Section (3) we show how decouple a combination of the 2-gradient of the gravito-electric scalar with other 1+1+2 quantities and the gravito-magnetic scalar.

## 2. Complex 1+1+2 GEM system

The 1+1+2 complex GEM system was first expressed in Paper I and subsequently new dependent variables were chosen in Paper II and the new GEM system becomes

$$\left( \mathcal{L}_u - \frac{5}{2} \Sigma + \frac{5}{3} \theta \right) \Xi_\mu + i \epsilon_\mu^\alpha \left( \mathcal{L}_n + \frac{5}{2} \phi \right) \Xi_\alpha + i \epsilon_\mu^\alpha (\delta^2 - K - 3\mathcal{E}) \Psi_\alpha \\ - 3\mathcal{E} \left[ \left( \Sigma - \frac{2}{3} \theta \right) \Gamma_\mu + i \phi \epsilon_\mu^\alpha \Gamma_\alpha \right] = T_\mu, \quad (3)$$

$$(\mathcal{L}_n + 2\phi) \Psi_\mu - i \frac{3}{2} \Sigma \epsilon_\mu^\alpha \Psi_\alpha - \frac{1}{2} \Xi_\mu + (\delta^2 + K + 3\mathcal{E}) \Gamma_\mu = \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_\mu, \quad (4)$$

$$\left( \mathcal{L}_u - 2\Sigma + \frac{4}{3} \theta \right) \Psi_\mu - i \epsilon_\mu^\alpha \left( \mathcal{A} - \frac{1}{2} \phi \right) \Psi_\alpha + i \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_\mu^\alpha \Xi_\alpha + i (\delta^2 + K + 3\mathcal{E}) \epsilon_\mu^\alpha \Gamma_\alpha = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\mu, \quad (5)$$

$$\left( \mathcal{L}_u + \frac{3}{2} \Sigma + \theta \right) \Gamma_\mu - i \epsilon_\mu^\alpha (\mathcal{L}_n + 2\mathcal{A} + \frac{1}{2} \phi) \Gamma_\alpha + i \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_\mu^\alpha \Psi_\alpha = \delta^\alpha \mathcal{F}_{\mu\alpha}. \quad (6)$$

where the new variables were defined

$$\Xi_\mu := (\delta^2 + K) \mathcal{C}_\mu - 3\mathcal{E} \left[ \phi \delta^\alpha \zeta_{\mu\alpha} + \left( \Sigma - \frac{2}{3} \theta \right) \delta^\alpha \Sigma_{\mu\alpha} + \delta^\alpha \Pi_{\mu\alpha} \right], \quad (7)$$

$$\Psi_\mu := (\delta^2 + K) \Phi_\mu + i 3\mathcal{E} \epsilon_\mu^\alpha \delta^\beta \Lambda_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (8)$$

$$\Gamma_\mu := \delta^\alpha \Phi_{\mu\alpha}. \quad (9)$$

### 3. Decoupling $\Xi_\mu$ and its harmonic amplitudes

In order to decouple  $\Xi_\mu$ , we construct higher-order derivatives by first taking the Lie derivative of (3) with respect to  $u^\mu$  and after much manipulation, we find

$$\left[ \left( \mathcal{L}_u - 4\Sigma + \frac{11}{3}\theta \right) \mathcal{L}_u - (\mathcal{L}_n + \mathcal{A} + 5\phi) \mathcal{L}_n - V \right] \Xi_{\bar{\mu}} = \mathcal{M}_\mu, \quad (10)$$

where the potential and energy-momentum source are

$$V := \delta^2 + 19K + 12\mathcal{E}, \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_\mu := & \left( \mathcal{L}_u - \frac{3}{2}\Sigma + 2\theta \right) T_\mu - i\epsilon_\mu^\alpha \left( \mathcal{L}_n + \mathcal{A} + \frac{5}{2}\phi \right) T_\alpha + 3\mathcal{E} \left( \Sigma - \frac{2}{3}\theta \right) \delta^\alpha \mathcal{F}_{\mu\alpha} \\ & + i3\mathcal{E}\phi\epsilon_\mu^\alpha\delta^\beta \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\beta} + (\delta^2 - K - 3\mathcal{A})(\delta^2 + K)(\mathcal{G}_\mu - i\epsilon_\mu^\alpha \mathcal{F}_\alpha). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

This clearly demonstrates the decoupling of  $\Xi_\mu$  from the remaining first-order quantities. Furthermore, the differential operator in (10) is real and thus it is possible to consider the real and imaginary parts separately.

#### 3.1. Harmonic expansion

The dependent variable  $\Xi_\mu$  and the energy-momentum source  $\mathcal{M}_\mu$  are expanded into 2-vector harmonics according to

$$\Xi_\mu = \Xi_V Q_\mu + \bar{\Xi}_V \bar{Q}_\mu \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M}_\mu = \mathcal{M}_V Q_\mu + \bar{\mathcal{M}}_V \bar{Q}_\mu. \quad (13)$$

Therefore, (10) naturally decouples into

$$\left[ \left( \mathcal{L}_u - 5\Sigma + \frac{13}{3}\theta \right) \mathcal{L}_u - (\mathcal{L}_n + 6\phi + \mathcal{A}) \mathcal{L}_n - \check{V} \right] \Xi_V = \mathcal{M}_V, \quad (14)$$

$$\left[ \left( \mathcal{L}_u - 5\Sigma + \frac{13}{3}\theta \right) \mathcal{L}_u - (\mathcal{L}_n + 6\phi + \mathcal{A}) \mathcal{L}_n - \check{V} \right] \bar{\Xi}_V = \bar{\mathcal{M}}_V. \quad (15)$$

and the new potential has been defined

$$\check{V} := -\frac{k^2}{r^2} + 30K + 21\mathcal{E}. \quad (16)$$

Now since the differential operators in (14)-(15) are purely real, the real and imaginary parts may be considered separately. It is of interest to see how the harmonic amplitudes of  $\Xi_\mu$  are related back to the harmonic amplitudes of the GEM scalars and other 1+1+2 quantities. By using the definition (7) it can be shown that

$$\Re[\Xi_V] = r p \left\{ X_V - \frac{3}{2}\mathcal{E} r \left[ \phi \zeta_{\text{T}} + \left( \Sigma - \frac{2}{3}\theta \right) \Sigma_{\text{T}} + \Pi_{\text{T}} \right] \right\}, \quad (17)$$

$$\Re[\bar{\Xi}_V] = r p \left\{ \bar{X}_V + \frac{3}{2}\mathcal{E} r \left[ \phi \bar{\zeta}_{\text{T}} + \left( \Sigma - \frac{2}{3}\theta \right) \bar{\Sigma}_{\text{T}} + \bar{\Pi}_{\text{T}} \right] \right\}, \quad (18)$$

$$\Im[\Xi_V] = p \mathcal{H}_{\text{S}}, \quad (19)$$

$$\Im[\bar{\Xi}_V] = 0, \quad (20)$$

where

$$p := \frac{1}{r} \left( 2K - \frac{k^2}{r^2} \right). \quad (21)$$

Therefore, there are only 3 equations here. One of them governs the gravito-magnetic scalar  $\mathcal{H}_S$  whereas the remaining two govern the 2-gradient of the gravito-electric scalar combined with the 2-divergence of the 2-tensors for the shear of the 2/3-sheets and the anisotropic stress 2-tensor.

The factors  $p$  and  $r$  arise from a harmonic expansion of the 2-Laplacian in terms of harmonics and they can be differentiated and factorized if desired. For example, the decouple equation governing  $\mathcal{H}_S$  becomes

$$p \left[ \left( \mathcal{L}_u - 2\Sigma + \frac{7}{3}\theta \right) \mathcal{L}_u - (\mathcal{L}_n + \mathcal{A} + 3\phi) \mathcal{L}_n - V_{\mathcal{H}} \right] \mathcal{H}_S = \mathfrak{S}[\mathcal{M}_V] \quad (22)$$

where

$$V_{\mathcal{H}} := -\frac{k^2}{r^2} + \frac{3}{2}\phi^2 - 6\mathcal{E} - \frac{3}{2} \left( \Sigma - \frac{2}{3}\theta \right) \left( \Sigma + \frac{1}{3}\theta \right). \quad (23)$$

Thus the three quantities which each decouple are

$$\text{Decoupled polar perturbations: } \left\{ X_V - \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{E} r \left[ \phi \zeta_{\text{T}} + \left( \Sigma - \frac{2}{3}\theta \right) \Sigma_{\text{T}} + \Pi_{\text{T}} \right] \right\}, \quad (24)$$

$$\text{Decoupled axial perturbations: } \left\{ \bar{X}_V + \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{E} r \left[ \phi \bar{\zeta}_{\text{T}} + \left( \Sigma - \frac{2}{3}\theta \right) \bar{\Sigma}_{\text{T}} + \bar{\Pi}_{\text{T}} \right], \mathcal{H}_S \right\}. \quad (25)$$

### 3.2. Reduction to the covariant Schwarzschild space-times

We now consider the covariant Schwarzschild space-time such that these results may be related to previous work. The only non-vanishing LRS class II scalars for this case are  $(\mathcal{A}, \phi, \mathcal{E})$  for which we now have

$$\mathfrak{R}[\Xi_{\mu}] := (\delta^2 + K) X_{\mu} - 3\mathcal{E} \phi \delta^{\alpha} \zeta_{\mu\alpha}, \quad (26)$$

$$\mathfrak{S}[\Xi_{\mu}] := (\delta^2 + K) \delta_{\mu} \mathcal{H}_S. \quad (27)$$

Clarkson and Barret [5] showed how to derive a Regge-Wheeler (RW) [6] 2-tensor  $\mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu}$  in this case and one can show that this tensor is related to (26) according to

$$-\frac{6\mathcal{E}}{r^2} \delta^{\alpha} \mathcal{W}_{\mu\alpha} = \mathfrak{R}[\Xi_{\mu}]. \quad (28)$$

The imaginary part is related to work from the Newman-Penrose formalism in [7]. The decoupled equation we have derived for  $\mathcal{H}_S$  reduces to

$$p \left[ \mathcal{L}_u \mathcal{L}_u - (\mathcal{L}_n + \mathcal{A} + 3\phi) \mathcal{L}_n + \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2} - \frac{3}{2} \phi^2 + 6\mathcal{E} \right] \mathcal{H}_S = 0. \quad (29)$$

A scaling is introduced to facilitate comparison with the work of Price [7],  $\mathcal{H}'_S := r^3 \mathcal{H}_S$ ,

$$\frac{p}{r^3} \left( \mathcal{L}_u \mathcal{L}_u - (\mathcal{L}_n + \mathcal{A}) \mathcal{L}_n + \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2} + 3\mathcal{E} \right) \mathcal{H}'_S = 0 \quad (30)$$

We now expand everything in terms of coordinates, transform to the ‘‘tortoise’’ coordinate,  $r_{\star}$ , and omit the common factor to reveal,

$$\left( \partial_t^2 - \partial_{r_{\star}}^2 + V_{RW} \right) \mathcal{H}'_S = 0, \quad (31)$$

where the standard RW potential is

$$V_{RW} := \left( 1 - \frac{2M}{r} \right) \left( \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2} - \frac{6M}{r^3} \right), \quad (32)$$

and  $M$  is the mass. This corresponds to Price's result that  $r^3 \mathfrak{S}[\Psi_0]$  is a RW quantity [7] and  $\Psi_0$  is a Newman-Penrose scalar.

#### 4. Summary

We have provided a comprehensive analysis of the first-order 1+1+2 complex GEM system spanning three papers. This paper delivered the final three decoupled quantities and for completeness, we write the total of 11 decoupled quantities that we have found,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Decoupled polar perturbations: } \{ & \\ & (\mathcal{E}_T + \bar{\mathcal{H}}_T), \\ & (\mathcal{E}_T - \bar{\mathcal{H}}_T), \\ & \left[ \left( \mathcal{E}_V + \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{E} r \zeta_T \right) - \left( \bar{\mathcal{H}}_V + \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{E} r \Sigma_T \right) \right], \\ & \left[ \left( \mathcal{E}_V + \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{E} r \zeta_T \right) + \left( \bar{\mathcal{H}}_V + \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{E} r \Sigma_T \right) \right], \\ & \left. X_V - \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{E} r \left[ \phi \zeta_T + \left( \Sigma - \frac{2}{3} \theta \right) \Sigma_T + \Pi_T \right] \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Decoupled axial perturbations: } \{ & \\ & (\mathcal{H}_T + \bar{\mathcal{E}}_T), \\ & (\mathcal{H}_T - \bar{\mathcal{E}}_T), \\ & \left[ \left( \mathcal{H}_V + \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{E} r \bar{\Sigma}_T \right) + \left( \bar{\mathcal{E}}_V - \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{E} r \bar{\zeta}_T \right) \right], \\ & \left[ \left( \mathcal{H}_V + \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{E} r \bar{\Sigma}_T \right) - \left( \bar{\mathcal{E}}_V - \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{E} r \bar{\zeta}_T \right) \right], \\ & \left. \bar{X}_V + \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{E} r \left[ \phi \bar{\zeta}_T + \left( \Sigma - \frac{2}{3} \theta \right) \bar{\Sigma}_T + \bar{\Pi}_T \right], \right. \\ & \left. \mathcal{H}_S \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

#### References

- [1] Burston R B 2007 *1+1+2 gravitational perturbations on LRS class II space-times: GEM vector harmonic amplitudes* (gr-qc)
- [2] Burston R B 2007 *1+1+2 electromagnetic perturbations on LRS class II space-times: Decoupling vector and scalar harmonic amplitudes* submitted to *Class. Quantum Grav.*
- [3] Burston R B and Lun A W C 2007 *1+1+2 electromagnetic perturbations on LRS space-times: Regge-Wheeler and Bardeen-Press equations* submitted to *Class. Quantum Grav.*
- [4] Burston R B 2007 *1+1+2 gravitational perturbations on LRS class II space-times: Decoupling GEM tensor harmonic amplitudes* submitted to *Class. Quantum Grav.*
- [5] Clarkson C and Barrett R 2003 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **20** 3855-84
- [6] Regge T and Wheeler J 1957 *Phys. Rev.* **108** 1063
- [7] Price R H (1972) *Phys. Rev. D* **5** 2439-54