

**SINGULARITIES OF QUADRATIC DIFFERENTIALS  
AND EXTREMAL TEICHMÜLLER MAPPINGS  
DEFINED BY DEHN TWISTS**

C. ZHANG

ABSTRACT. Let  $S$  be a Riemann surface of type  $(p, n)$  with  $3p - 3 + n > 0$ . Let  $\omega$  be a pseudo-Anosov map of  $S$  that is obtained from Dehn twists along two families  $\{A, B\}$  of simple closed geodesics that fill  $S$ . Then  $\omega$  can be realized as an extremal Teichmüller mapping on a surface of type  $(p, n)$  which is also denoted by  $S$ . Let  $\phi$  be the corresponding holomorphic quadratic differential on  $S$ . In this paper, we compare the locations of some distinguished points on  $S$  in the  $\phi$ -flat metric to their locations with respect to the complete hyperbolic metric. More precisely, we show that all possible non-puncture zeros of  $\phi$  must stay away from all closures of once punctured disk components of  $S \setminus \{A, B\}$ , and the closure of each disk component of  $S \setminus \{A, B\}$  contains at most one zero of  $\phi$ . As a consequence of the result, we assert that the number of distinct zeros and poles of  $\phi$  is less than or equal to the number of components of  $S \setminus \{A, B\}$ .

1. INTRODUCTION

According to Thurston [15], some pseudo-Anosov maps on a Riemann surface  $S$  of type  $(p, n)$ ,  $3p - 3 + n > 0$ , can be constructed through Dehn twists along two simple closed geodesics (with respect to the complete hyperbolic metric on  $S$  with constant curvature equal to  $-1$ ). Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta \subset S$  be two simple closed geodesics. Denote  $t_\alpha$  and  $t_\beta$  the positive Dehn twists along  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , respectively. We assume that  $\{\alpha, \beta\}$  fills  $S$ ; that is, every component of  $S \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}$  is a disk or a once punctured disk. Thurston [15] (see also FLP [7]) proved that the composition  $t_\alpha \circ t_\beta^{-1}$  produces a pseudo-Anosov mapping class on  $S$ . In [9, 12, 13] the result was generalized by showing that any word  $w_0$  consisting of  $t_\alpha^{n_i}$  and  $t_\beta^{-m_i}$  for positive integers  $n_i, m_i$  represents a pseudo-Anosov mapping class.

---

*Date:* August 08, 2007.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32G15; Secondary 30C60, 30F60.

*Key words and phrases.* Riemann surfaces, quasiconformal mappings, Teichmüller geodesics, absolutely extremal mappings, Teichmüller spaces, Bers fiber spaces.

More generally, Let  $A, B$  be families of disjoint non-trivial simple closed geodesics on  $S$  so that  $A \cup B$  fills  $S$  in the same sense as above. Let  $w$  be any word consisting of positive Dehn twists along elements of  $A$  and negative Dehn twists along elements of  $B$  so that the positive Dehn twist along each element of  $A$  and the negative Dehn twist along each element of  $B$  occur at least once in  $w$ , then by a result of Penner [14],  $w$  also represents a pseudo-Anosov class, which means that the map  $w$  can be evolved into a pseudo-Anosov map  $\omega$ , and if we choose  $S$  properly, the map  $\omega : S \rightarrow S$  is an absolutely extremal Teichmüller mapping (see Bers [4]). Throughout the paper  $S$  is called an  $\omega$ -minimal surface. Associate to  $\omega$  there is a holomorphic quadratic differential  $\phi$  on  $S$  that may have simple poles at punctures of  $S$ .  $\phi$  defines a flat metric on  $S$ . The aim of this paper is to locate (in a rather coarse manner) all possible zeros in terms of the regions obtained from cutting along the two families  $\{A, B\}$  of closed geodesics on  $S$ . Write

$$(1.1) \quad S \setminus \{A, B\} = \{P_1, \dots, P_k; Q_1, \dots, Q_r\},$$

where  $\{Q_1, \dots, Q_r\}$  and  $\{P_1, \dots, P_k\}$  are the collections of once punctured disk components and disk components of  $S \setminus \{A, B\}$ , respectively.  $\{Q_1, \dots, Q_r\}$  is empty if and only if  $S$  is compact. With the notations above, the main result of this paper is the following:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $S$  be an  $\omega$ -minimal surface, and  $\phi$  the corresponding quadratic differential on  $S$ . Then:*

(1) *each zero of  $\phi$ , if exists and not a puncture of  $S$ , must lie in the complement of the closure of  $Q_1 \cup \dots \cup Q_r$  in  $S$ . In particular, if  $S \setminus \{A, B\}$  consists of once punctured disk components, then all zeros of  $\phi$  are punctures of  $S$ .*

(2) *the closure of each disk component  $P_i$  contains at most one zero of the differential  $\phi$ .*

*Remark 1.1.* By the Riemann-Roch theorem (see, for example, [6]), if  $p \geq 2$ ,  $\phi$  has at least one zero on the compactification  $\bar{S}$  of  $S$ .

As a consequence of Theorem 1, we obtain:

**Corollary 1.** *The total number of distinct zeros and poles of  $\phi$  is no more than the number of the components of  $S \setminus \{A, B\}$ .*

*Remark 1.2.* The method used to study the problem gives no information about the order of a particular zero of  $\phi$ .

The idea of the proof of Theorem 1 is as follows. If a non-puncture zero  $z_0$  of  $\phi$  exists in  $Q_1$ , say, then  $z_0$  would give rise to a holomorphic and isometric embedding of a Teichmüller geodesic  $\mathcal{L} \subset T(S)$  into another Teichmüller space  $T(\dot{S})$  for  $\dot{S} = S \setminus \{\text{a point}\}$  via the fiber space  $F(S)$  over  $T(S)$  and a Bers isomorphism  $\varphi : F(S) \rightarrow T(\dot{S})$ . As a consequence, the image of  $\mathcal{L}$  in  $T(\dot{S})$  is a Teichmüller geodesic in  $T(\dot{S})$ . A contradiction would then be derived by constructing a non-hyperbolic modular transformation that keeps invariant the image geodesic. The second statement of Theorem 1 follows from the fact that there is only

one invariant geodesic under a hyperbolic transformation (I am grateful to Professor Wolpert for email communications).

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We begin by reviewing some basic definitions and properties in Teichmüller theory. Let  $\mathbb{H}$  denote the hyperbolic plane  $\{z \in \mathbb{C}; \text{Im } z > 0\}$  endowed with the hyperbolic metric

$$ds = \frac{|dz|}{\text{Im } z}.$$

Denote  $\overline{\mathbb{H}} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; \text{Im } z < 0\}$  and let  $\varrho : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow S$  be the universal covering with covering group  $G$ . Then  $G$  is a torsion free finitely generated Fuchsian group of the first kind with  $\mathbb{H}/G = S$ .

Let  $M(G)$  be the set of Beltrami coefficients for  $G$ . That is,  $M(G)$  consists of measurable functions  $\mu$  defined on  $\mathbb{H}$  and satisfy: (i)  $\|\mu\|_\infty = \text{ess. sup } \{|\mu(z)|; z \in \mathbb{H}\} < 1$ ; and (ii)  $\mu(g(z))\overline{g'(z)}/g'(z) = \mu(z)$  for all  $g \in G$ . By Ahlfors-Bers [1], for every  $\mu \in M(G)$  there is a pair of quasiconformal maps  $(w_\mu, w^\mu)$  of  $\mathbb{C}$  onto itself that satisfy these properties:

- (1) both  $w_\mu$  and  $w^\mu$  fix 0 and 1;
- (2)  $\partial_{\bar{z}}w_\mu(z)/\partial_zw_\mu(z)$  is  $\mu(z)$  if  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ ; and  $\overline{\mu(\bar{z})}$  if  $z \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}$ ; and
- (3)  $\partial_{\bar{z}}w^\mu(z)/\partial_zw^\mu(z)$  is  $\mu(z)$  if  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  and zero if  $z \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}$ .

It is easy to see that  $w_\mu$  maps  $\mathbb{H}$  onto  $\mathbb{H}$  while  $w^\mu$  maps  $\mathbb{H}$  onto a quasidisk. Two elements  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  in  $M(G)$  are said to be equivalent if  $w_\mu|_{\partial\mathbb{H}} = w_\nu|_{\partial\mathbb{H}}$ , or equivalently,  $w^\mu|_{\partial\mathbb{H}} = w^\nu|_{\partial\mathbb{H}}$ . The equivalence class of  $\mu$  is denoted by  $[\mu]$ . We define the Teichmüller space  $T(S)$ , where  $S = \mathbb{H}/G$ , is the space of equivalence classes  $[\mu]$  of Beltrami coefficients  $\mu \in M(G)$ .  $T(S)$  is a complex manifold of dimension  $3p - 3 + n$ .

On  $T(S)$  we can define the Teichmüller distance  $\langle [\mu], [\nu] \rangle$  between two points  $[\mu]$  and  $[\nu]$  by:

$$\langle [\mu], [\nu] \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \inf \{ \log K (w_\mu \circ w_\nu^{-1}) \},$$

where  $K$  is the maximal dilatation of  $w_\mu \circ w_\nu^{-1}$  on  $\mathbb{H}$  and the infimum is taken through the homotopy class of  $w_\mu \circ w_\nu^{-1}$  that fixes each point in  $\partial\mathbb{H}$ . The set  $Q(G)$  of integrable quadratic differentials consists of holomorphic functions  $\phi(z)$  on  $\mathbb{H}$  such that

$$(\phi \circ g)(z)g'(z)^2 = \phi(z), \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{H} \text{ and all } g \in G;$$

and

$$\|\phi\| = \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\Delta} |\phi(z)| dx dy = 1,$$

where  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{H}$  is a fundamental region of  $G$ . Every  $\phi \in Q(G)$  can be projected to a meromorphic quadratic differential on  $\overline{S}$  that may have simple poles at punctures of  $S$ , which is denoted by  $\phi$  also.  $\phi$  assigns to each uniformizing parameter  $z$  a holomorphic function  $\phi(z)$  such that  $\phi(z)dz^2$  is invariant under a change of local coordinates. Away from

zeros of  $\phi$  there are naturally defined coordinates so that  $\phi$  defines a flat metric that is Euclidean near every non-zero point  $z$ . Associate to each  $\phi$  there are horizontal and vertical trajectories defined by  $\phi(z)dz^2 > 0$  and  $\phi(z)dz^2 < 0$ , respectively. For any  $t \in (-1, 1)$  and any  $\phi \in Q(G)$ ,  $t(\bar{\phi}/|\phi|) \in M(G)$ . The set

$$(2.1) \quad \left[ t \frac{\bar{\phi}}{|\phi|} \right] \in T(S), \quad t \in (-1, 1),$$

is a Teichmüller geodesic. If in (2.1)  $t$  is replaced by  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , where  $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| < 1\}$ , we obtain a complex version of the geodesic that is also called a Teichmüller disk.

Notice that every self-map  $\omega$  of  $S$  induces a mapping class and thus a modular transformation  $\chi$  that acts on  $T(S)$ . The collection of all such modular transformations form a group  $\text{Mod}_S$  that is discrete and isomorphic to the group of biholomorphic maps of  $T(S)$  when  $S$  is not of type  $(0, 3)$ ,  $(0, 4)$ ,  $(1, 1)$ ,  $(1, 2)$ , and  $(2, 0)$ . See Royden [11] and Earle-Kra [5] for more details.

For each  $\chi \in \text{Mod}_S$ , Bers [4] introduced an index

$$a(\chi) = \inf_{[\mu] \in T(S)} \langle [\mu], \chi([\mu]) \rangle.$$

throughout the paper we consider those modular transformations  $\chi$  for which  $a(\chi) > 0$ . There are two cases:  $a(\chi)$  is achieved and  $a(\chi)$  is not achieved. In the former case,  $\chi$  is called hyperbolic. In the later case,  $\chi$  is called pseudo-hyperbolic. If  $\chi$  is hyperbolic, then by Theorem 5 of Bers [4],  $a(\chi)$  assumes its value on any point in a geodesic line  $\mathcal{L}$ .  $\chi$  keeps  $\mathcal{L}$  invariant. Conversely, if an element  $\chi \in \text{Mod}_S$  keeps a Teichmüller geodesic line  $\mathcal{L}$  invariant, then  $\chi$  must be hyperbolic. In this case,  $\chi$  is induced by a self-map of  $S$ , and for each Riemann surface  $S$  on  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $\chi$  is realized as an absolutely extremal self-map  $\omega$  of  $S$ . Associate to the map  $\omega$  there is an integrable meromorphic quadratic differential  $\phi$  on the compactification of  $S$  which is holomorphic on  $S$  and may have simple poles at punctures of  $S$  (see Bers [4]). Furthermore,  $\omega$  leaves invariant both horizontal and vertical trajectories defined by  $\phi$ .

Topologically, the map  $\omega$  is also called pseudo-Anosov that associates with a pair of transversal measured foliations determined by the quadratic differential  $\phi$ . By Thurston [15], the set of pseudo-Anosov mapping classes on  $S$  consists of all possible non-periodic mapping classes that do not keep any finite set of disjoint simple non-trivial closed geodesics invariant.

The Bers fiber space  $F(S)$  over  $T(S)$  is the collection of pairs

$$\{([\mu], z); [\mu] \in T(S), z \in w^\mu(\mathbb{H})\}.$$

The natural projection  $\pi : F(S) \rightarrow T(S)$  is holomorphic. We fix a point  $a \in S$  and Let  $\dot{S} = S \setminus \{a\}$ . Theorem 9 of [2] states that there is an isomorphism  $\varphi : F(S) \rightarrow T(\dot{S})$ .

Let  $\chi \in \text{Mod}_S$  be induced by a map  $\omega : S \rightarrow S$ . We lift the map  $\omega$  to a map  $\hat{\omega} : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ .  $\hat{\omega}$  has the property that  $\hat{\omega}G\hat{\omega}^{-1} = G$ . Suppose that  $\omega' : S \rightarrow S$  is another map isotopic to  $\omega$ .  $\omega'$  can also be lifted to a map  $\hat{\omega}' : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  that is isotopic to  $\hat{\omega}$  by an isotopy fixing each point in  $\partial\mathbb{H}$ . That is,  $\hat{\omega}$  and  $\hat{\omega}'$  induce the same automorphism of  $G$ . In this case,  $\hat{\omega}$  and  $\hat{\omega}'$  are said to be equivalent and we denote the equivalence class of  $\hat{\omega}$  by  $[\hat{\omega}]$ .

Using the map  $\hat{\omega}$  one constructs a biholomorphic map  $\theta$  of  $F(S)$  onto itself by the formula:

$$(2.2) \quad \theta([\mu], z) = ([\nu], w^\nu \circ \hat{\omega} \circ (w^\mu)^{-1}(z)) \text{ for every pair } ([\mu], z) \in F(S),$$

where  $\nu$  is the Beltrami coefficient of  $w^\mu \circ \hat{\omega}^{-1}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** (Bers [2]) *Let  $\hat{\omega}$  and  $\hat{\omega}'$  be in the same equivalence class. If  $\hat{\omega}$  is replaced by  $\hat{\omega}'$ , the resulting map  $\theta$  defined as (2.2) is unchanged. In other words,  $\theta$  depends only on the equivalence class  $[\hat{\omega}]$ .  $\square$*

Therefore,  $\theta$  is uniquely determined by  $[\omega]$ . Lemmas 3.1 – 3.5 of Bers [2] demonstrate that  $\theta$  is a holomorphic automorphism of  $F(S)$  that preserves the fiber structure and all such  $\theta$ 's form a group mod  $S$  acting on  $F(S)$  faithfully.

Note that each element  $g \in G$  acts on  $F(S)$  by the formula:

$$g([\mu], z) = ([\mu], w^\mu \circ g \circ (w^\mu)^{-1}(z)).$$

In this way,  $G$  is regarded as a normal subgroup of  $\text{mod } S$ , and the quotient  $\text{mod } S/G$  is isomorphic to the modular group  $\text{Mod}_S$ . Let  $i : \text{mod } S \rightarrow \text{Mod}_S$  denote the natural projection that is induced by the holomorphic projection  $\pi : F(S) \rightarrow T(S)$ .

By Theorem 10 of [2], the Bers isomorphism  $\varphi : F(S) \rightarrow T(\dot{S})$  induces an isomorphism  $\varphi^*$  of  $\text{mod } S$  onto the subgroup  $\text{Mod}_S^a$  of  $\text{Mod}_S$  that fixes the distinguished puncture  $a$  via the formula:

$$\text{mod } S \ni [\hat{\omega}] \xrightarrow{\varphi^*} \varphi \circ [\hat{\omega}] \circ \varphi^{-1} \in \text{Mod}_S^a.$$

The image of  $[\hat{\omega}]$  in  $\text{Mod}_S^a$  under  $\varphi^*$  is denoted by  $[\hat{\omega}]^*$ .

### 3. INVARIANT GEODESICS EMBEDDED INTO ANOTHER TEICHMÜLLER SPACE VIA A BERS ISOMORPHISM

Now we assume that  $\chi \in \text{Mod}_S$  is a hyperbolic transformation that keeps a geodesic line  $\mathcal{L} \subset T(S)$  invariant. We further assume that  $S = [0] \in \mathcal{L}$ . Choose  $\phi \in Q(G)$  so that  $\mathcal{L} = \{[t(\bar{\phi}/|\phi|)], t \in (-1, 1)\}$ . Denote  $\mu = \bar{\phi}/\phi$ . Choose  $\hat{\omega} : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  that projects to  $\omega : S \rightarrow S$  that induces  $\chi$ . We assume that  $\omega$  is an absolutely extremal Teichmüller mapping on  $S$ . By an argument of Kra [8], there is a hyperbolic Möbius transformation  $A$  that leaves invariant  $(-1, 1)$  as well as  $\mathbb{D}$  and satisfies the equation

$$\chi([t\mu]) = [\text{Beltrami coefficient of } w^{t\mu} \circ \hat{\omega}^{-1}] = [A(t)\mu], \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Suppose that  $z_0 \in S$  is a zero of  $\phi$  (the assumption  $p \geq 2$  guarantees that  $z_0 \in \bar{S}$  exists by Riemann-Roch theorem, see [6] for example). Let  $\hat{z}_0 \in \mathbb{H}$  be such that  $\varrho(\hat{z}_0) = z_0$ . Let

$$(3.1) \quad \hat{\mathcal{L}} = \{([t\mu], w^{t\mu}(\hat{z}_0)), t \in (-1, 1)\} \subset F(S).$$

It is easy to see that the projection  $\pi : F(S) \rightarrow T(S)$  defines an embedding of  $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$  into  $T(S)$  with  $\mathcal{L} = \pi(\hat{\mathcal{L}})$ .

The following result is well known and the argument is implicitly provided in [8].

**Lemma 3.1.** *The image  $L = \varphi(\hat{\mathcal{L}})$  in  $T(\dot{S})$  under the Bers isomorphism  $\varphi : F(S) \rightarrow T(\dot{S})$  is a Teichmüller geodesic.*

*Proof.* By definition,  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(t) \subset T(S)$ ,  $t \in (-1, 1)$ , is an isometric embedding. For any two points  $x, y \in \mathcal{L}$ , let  $\hat{x}, \hat{y} \in \hat{\mathcal{L}}$  be such that  $\pi(\hat{x}) = x$  and  $\pi(\hat{y}) = y$ . Let  $x^* = \varphi(\hat{x})$  and  $y^* = \varphi(\hat{y})$ . By complexifying there is a Teichmüller disk  $D \subset T(S)$  with  $\mathcal{L} \subset D$  and a holomorphic map  $s : D \rightarrow F(S)$  defined by sending the point  $[z\mu]$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  and  $\mu = \bar{\phi}/|\phi|$ , to the point  $([z\mu], w^{z\mu}(z_0))$ . It is easy to check that  $\hat{\mathcal{L}} \subset s(D)$  with  $s(x) = \hat{x}$  and  $s(y) = \hat{y}$ . Now  $\varphi \circ s : D \rightarrow T(\dot{S})$  is holomorphic and is distance non-increasing. Therefore, we have  $\langle x^*, y^* \rangle \leq \langle x, y \rangle$ .

On the other hand, we notice that the natural projection  $\pi : F(S) \rightarrow T(S)$  is also holomorphic,  $\pi \circ \varphi^{-1} : T(\dot{S}) \rightarrow T(S)$  is holomorphic and hence it is distance non-increasing. It follows that  $\langle x, y \rangle \leq \langle x^*, y^* \rangle$ . We thus conclude that

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \langle x^*, y^* \rangle, \text{ for any two points } x, y \in \mathcal{L}.$$

Hence  $L = L(t)$  must also be an isometric embedding, which says that  $L$  is also a Teichmüller geodesic, as claimed.  $\square$

By [8], the element  $\theta = [\hat{\omega}] \in \text{mod } S$  acts on  $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$  via the formula:

$$\theta(\hat{\mathcal{L}}) = \theta([t\mu], w^{t\mu}(\hat{z}_0)) = ([A(t)\mu], w^{A(t)\mu} \circ \hat{\omega}(\hat{z}_0)).$$

From Lemma 2.1, one shows that the image  $\theta(\hat{\mathcal{L}})$  in  $F(S)$  only depends on  $[\hat{\omega}]$ . In summary, we have:

**Lemma 3.2.** (Kra [8]) *Suppose that  $z_0 \in S$  is a zero of  $\phi$ . An element  $\theta \in \text{mod } S$  keeps the line  $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$  invariant if and only if a representative  $\hat{\omega}$  of  $\theta$  can be chosen so that  $\hat{\omega}(\hat{z}_0) = \hat{z}_0$ .*

#### 4. PROOFS OF THEOREM 1 AND COROLLARY 1

Theorem 1 follows from Lemma 3.1 and the following result:

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{L} \subset T(S)$  be a geodesic invariant under a hyperbolic transformation  $\chi$ . Assume that  $\phi$  has a non-puncture zero  $z_0$  lying in  $Q_1$ , say. Let  $\hat{z}_0 \in \mathbb{H}$  be such that  $\varrho(\hat{z}_0) = z_0$ . Then there is an element  $\theta$  in  $\text{mod } S$  that satisfies the following properties:*

- (1)  $\theta$  projects to  $\chi$ ,
- (2)  $\theta^* = \varphi^*(\theta) \in \text{Mod}_S^a$  is a non-hyperbolic but a pseudo-hyperbolic transformation acting on  $T(\hat{S})$ , and
- (3) the lift  $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  that passes through  $\hat{z}_0$  and is defined by (3.1) is an invariant line under  $\theta$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.* (1) If  $S$  is compact, or if  $\phi$  has no zeros, there is nothing to prove. So we assume that  $n \geq 1$  and  $z_0 \in S$  is a zero of  $\phi$  that is not a puncture of  $S$ . We further assume that  $Q_1$  is a component of  $S \setminus \{A, B\}$  that contains  $z_0$  and a puncture  $z_1$ .  $z_0 \neq z_1$ . Let  $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$  be defined in (3.1). By Lemma 3.1,  $\varphi(\hat{\mathcal{L}}) \subset T(\hat{S})$  is a Teichmüller geodesic. By (2) and (3) of Theorem 2,  $\varphi(\hat{\mathcal{L}}) \subset T(\hat{S})$  is invariant under an element  $\theta^*$  that is not a hyperbolic modular transformation on  $T(\hat{S})$ . Hence by Theorem 5 of Bers [4],  $\varphi(\hat{\mathcal{L}})$  is not a Teichmüller geodesic in  $T(\hat{S})$ . This contradiction proves Theorem 1.

To prove (2), we assume that there are two zeros  $z_0$  and  $z_1$  lying in a disk component  $P_1$ , say. Let  $\hat{P}_1 \subset \mathbb{H}$  be such that  $\varrho|_{\hat{P}_1} : \hat{P}_1 \rightarrow P_1$  is a homeomorphism. Let  $\hat{z}_0, \hat{z}_1 \in \hat{P}_1$  be such that  $\varrho(\hat{z}_0) = z_0$  and  $\varrho(\hat{z}_1) = z_1$ . Let  $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_0$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_1$  be the lines passing through  $\hat{z}_0$  and  $\hat{z}_1$ , respectively. From Lemma 3.1,  $\varphi(\hat{\mathcal{L}}_0)$  and  $\varphi(\hat{\mathcal{L}}_1)$  are distinct Teichmüller geodesics.

On the other hand, by a similar argument of Theorem 2, there is a common modular transformation  $\Theta^*$  on  $T(\hat{S})$  that leaves both lines  $\varphi(\hat{\mathcal{L}}_0)$  and  $\varphi(\hat{\mathcal{L}}_1) \subset T(\hat{S})$  invariant. By Theorem 5 of Bers [4],  $\Theta^*$  is hyperbolic. This contradicts to the uniqueness of the invariant geodesic of a hyperbolic transformation. This proves (2).  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 1.* Note that the closure  $\bar{P}_i$  or  $\bar{Q}_j$  of each component  $P_i$  or  $Q_j$  in (1.1) is a polygon with geodesic boundary segments (with respect to the hyperbolic metric). By the argument of Theorem 2, we see that each  $\bar{P}_i$  or  $\bar{Q}_j$  cannot contain more than one zero of  $\phi$ . Each pole of  $\phi$  must be the puncture of some  $Q_j$ . By (1) of Theorem 1, each  $\bar{Q}_j$  contains at most one zero  $z_j$  that is the puncture of  $Q_j$ . In this case,  $z_j$  cannot be a pole of  $\phi$ . Moreover, if there are two components,  $\bar{P}_i$  and  $\bar{P}_i$ , say, in (1.1) so that a zero of  $\phi$  lies in  $\bar{P}_i \cap \bar{P}_j$ , then the argument also shows that there do not exist any other zeros in either  $\bar{P}_i$  or  $\bar{P}_j$ . If a zero lies in one of the intersections of  $\alpha_i$  and  $\beta_j$ , then the same argument also shows that the closure of the related four polygons in (1.1) do not include any other zeros. Overall, we conclude that the total number of zeros and poles of  $\phi$  is no more than the number of components of  $S \setminus \{A, B\}$ .  $\square$

The rest of the paper is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2.

5. REDUCIBLE MAPS PROJECTING TO PSEUDO-ANOSOV MAPS AS A PUNCTURE IS FILLED IN

Let  $\dot{S}$  be a Riemann surface defined in Section 2.  $\dot{S}$  has type  $(p, n+1)$ . Let  $W$  be a non periodic non pseudo-Anosov self map of  $\dot{S}$ . By Thurston [15], there exists an admissible loop system

$$(5.1) \quad \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_s\}, \quad s \geq 1$$

of simple non-trivial loops on  $\dot{S}$  so that for every  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq s$ ,  $W(c_i)$  is homotopic to  $c_j$  for some  $j$ . Here by ‘‘admissible’’ we mean that no loop in (5.1) bounds a 1-punctured disk and  $c_i$  is not homotopic to  $c_j$  whenever  $i \neq j$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ . Note that  $W$  may permute the components  $\{R_1, \dots, R_k\}$  of  $S \setminus \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_s\}$ , and if  $W$  keeps a component  $R_j$  invariant, the restriction  $W|_{R_j}$  could be either the identity, or periodic, or pseudo-Anosov. Thus there is an integer  $N$  so that  $W^N$  keeps every  $c_i$  and every  $R_j$  invariant, and for each  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ ,  $W^N|_{R_j}$  is either the identity or pseudo-Anosov. The mapping classes induced by such kind of maps are called pure mapping classes in the literature. If all  $W^N|_{R_j}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , are the identity,  $W^N$  is a product of powers of positive and negative Dehn twists along certain loops in (5.1). In general case,  $W^N$  induces a pseudo-hyperbolic transformation on  $T(\dot{S})$ . See Bers [4] for details.

Now we consider a special case. Let  $\theta = [\hat{\omega}]$  be an element of mod  $S$  that projects to  $\chi \in \text{Mod}_S$ . We assume that  $\chi$  is induced by  $\omega : S \rightarrow S$  that is an absolutely extremal Teichmüller mapping. Let  $\phi \in Q(G)$  be the corresponding quadratic differential. By Royden’s theorem [11] (see also Earle-Kra [5]),  $\theta^*$  is a modular transformation. Thus  $\theta^*$  is induced by a quasiconformal self-map  $W$  of  $\dot{S}$ . The map  $W$  is isotopic to  $\omega$  if  $W$  is viewed as a self-map of  $S$ . Notice that  $W$  is non periodic; it may or may not be pseudo-Anosov. On the other hand, even if  $W$  is pseudo-Anosov,  $\dot{S}$  may not be the right candidate that makes  $W$  an absolutely extremal self-map on  $\dot{S}$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *Assume that  $S$  is not compact, and  $W$  is not pseudo-Anosov, then  $W$  is reduced by a single closed geodesic  $c_1$  that is a boundary of a twice punctured disk  $\Omega \subset \dot{S}$  that encloses  $a$ . More precisely, if we write  $\dot{S} \setminus c_1 = D \cup R$ , then  $W|_{\Omega}$  is the identity and  $W|_R$  is pseudo-Anosov that is essentially the same as  $\omega$ , and  $W$  induces a pseudo-hyperbolic transformation on  $T(\dot{S})$  in the sense of Bers [4].*

*Proof.* Let  $W$  be reduced by (5.1), and let  $\gamma_i$  denote the geodesic on  $S$  obtained from  $c_i$  by adding the puncture  $a$ . Since  $W$  is isotopic to  $\omega$  on  $S$ ,  $\omega$  keeps the curve system  $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{s_0}\}$  invariant, where  $s_0 = s$  if neither two elements  $c_i$  and  $c_j$  bound an  $a$ -punctured cylinder, nor does an element  $c_i$  project to a trivial loop;  $s_0 = s - 1$  otherwise.

Since  $\omega$  is pseudo-Anosov, the set  $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{s_0}\}$  is empty. Hence the only possibility is that all geodesics in (5.1) are boundaries of twice



punctured disks enclosing  $a$ . Since geodesics in (5.1), if not empty, are disjoint. We must have that  $s = 1$  and  $c_1$  in (5.1) is the boundary of a twice punctured disk.

As  $a$  is filled in,  $c_1$  becomes a trivial loop. This means that  $W|_R$  is essentially the same as  $\omega$ . Notice that  $\Omega$  is a twice punctured disk, and  $W|_\Omega$  fixes each boundary component. It follows that  $W|_\Omega$  is isotopic to the identity. The lemma is proved.  $\square$

The following result along with Lemma 5.1 establishes the relationship between elements in  $\text{mod } S$  and non pseudo-Anosov elements in  $\text{Mod}_g^a$  via the Bers isomorphism  $\varphi^*$ . Recall that  $[\hat{\omega}]^* = \theta^* \in \text{Mod}_g^a$  is induced by  $W : \dot{S} \rightarrow \dot{S}$ .

**Lemma 5.2.** *Suppose that  $S$  is not compact. If  $\omega : S \rightarrow S$  does not fix any punctures of  $S$ , then all  $W$ 's must also be pseudo-Anosov. Otherwise, we assume that  $\omega : S \rightarrow S$  is pseudo-Anosov that fixes at least one puncture of  $S$ . Then certain non pseudo-Anosov maps  $W$  of  $\dot{S}$  exist, and all possible non pseudo-Anosov maps  $W$  are obtained from those  $\hat{\omega} : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  that fix a fixed point of a parabolic element  $T$  of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\hat{\omega} : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  fixes the fixed point  $x$  of a parabolic element  $T \in G$ . This implies that  $\hat{\omega} \circ T = T^k \circ \hat{\omega}$  for some  $k$ . That is,

$$(5.2) \quad [\hat{\omega}]^* \circ T^* = T^{*k} \circ [\hat{\omega}]^*.$$

From Theorem 2 of [8, 10],  $T^*$  is represented by a Dehn twist  $t_{\partial\Omega}$  along the boundary  $\partial\Omega$  of a twice punctured disk  $\Omega \subset \dot{S}$ . Let  $W : S \rightarrow S$  be a map that induces  $[\hat{\omega}]^*$ . From (5.2) we have

$$t_{W(\partial\Omega)} = t_{\partial\Omega}^k.$$

It follows that  $k = 1$  and the map  $W$  leaves  $\partial\Omega$  invariant. So  $W$  is not pseudo-Anosov.

Conversely, assume that  $W$  is not pseudo-Anosov. By Lemma 5.1,  $W$  is reduced by a single geodesic  $c$  that is a boundary of a twice punctured disk. This means that  $W \circ t_c = t_c \circ W$ . By Theorem 2 of [8, 10] again, there is a parabolic element  $T \in G$  so that  $T^* = t_c$ . Hence we have  $[\hat{\omega}]^* \circ T^* = T^* \circ [\hat{\omega}]^*$ . Thus  $\hat{\omega} \circ T^k = T^k \circ \hat{\omega}$  for any integer  $k$ . It follows that  $\hat{\omega}$  fixes the fixed point of  $T$ .

In particular, if  $\omega : S \rightarrow S$  does not fix any punctures of  $S$ , then  $W$  must be pseudo-Anosov.  $\square$

*Remark 5.1.* The disk  $\Omega \subset \dot{S}$  obtained from Lemma 5.1 contains another puncture  $b \neq a$ , which is viewed as a puncture of  $S$  corresponding to the conjugacy class of  $T$ . Conversely, every  $[\hat{\omega}] \in \text{mod } S$  that fixes a parabolic fixed point of  $G$  produces a non pseudo-Anosov map  $W$  on  $\dot{S}$  that is characterized in Lemma 5.1.

6. PSEUDO-ANOSOV MAPS DEFINED BY SIMPLE CLOSED GEODESICS  
AND THEIR LIFTS

Denote  $A = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q\}$  and  $B = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r\}$ . Let  $z \in S \setminus \{A, B\}$ . Fix a fundamental region  $\Delta$  of  $G$  and let  $\hat{z} = \{\varrho^{-1}(z)\} \cap \Delta$ .

Let  $\hat{\alpha}_1 \subset \mathbb{H}$  be a geodesic so that  $\varrho(\hat{\alpha}_1) = \alpha_1$  and  $\Delta \cap \hat{\alpha}_1 \neq \emptyset$  for a fixed fundamental region  $\Delta$  of  $G$ . Note that there may be more than one choices for such a geodesic  $\hat{\alpha}_1$ . The geodesic  $\hat{\alpha}_1$  is invariant under a simple hyperbolic element  $g_{\hat{\alpha}_1}$  of  $G$ . Let  $D_{\hat{\alpha}_1}$  and  $D'_{\hat{\alpha}_1}$  be the components of  $\mathbb{H} \setminus \hat{\alpha}_1$ . We assume that  $\hat{z} \in D'_{\hat{\alpha}_1}$ .

To obtain a lift  $\tau_{\hat{\alpha}_1}$  of  $t_{\alpha_1}$ , we take an earthquake shifting along  $\hat{\alpha}_1$  in such a way that it is the identity on  $D'_{\hat{\alpha}_1}$ ; and is  $g_{\hat{\alpha}_1}$  on  $D_{\hat{\alpha}_1}$  away from a small neighborhood of  $\hat{\alpha}_1$ . We thus define  $\tau_{\hat{\alpha}_1}$  everywhere on  $\mathbb{H}$  via  $G$ -invariance.

The construction of  $\tau_{\hat{\alpha}_1}$  gives rise to a collection  $E_{\hat{\alpha}_1}$  of half-planes, among which a partial order can be naturally defined. There are infinitely many disjoint maximal elements of  $E_{\hat{\alpha}_1}$  and for each maximal element  $D_{\hat{\alpha}_1} = D_{\hat{\alpha}_1}^1$  of  $E_{\hat{\alpha}_1}$ , there are infinitely many second level elements  $D_{\hat{\alpha}_1}^2 \subset D_{\hat{\alpha}_1}^1$ ; and for each such  $D_{\hat{\alpha}_1}^2$ , there are infinitely many third level elements  $D_{\hat{\alpha}_1}^3$  in  $D_{\hat{\alpha}_1}^2$ , and so on.

The quasiconformal homeomorphism  $\tau_{\hat{\alpha}_1}$  restricts to the identity on the complement of disjoint union of all maximal elements of  $\tau_{\hat{\alpha}_1}$  in  $\mathbb{H}$ ; it is quasiconformal whose Beltrami coefficient is supported on (disjoint) neighborhoods of  $\hat{\alpha}_1$  and its  $G$ -translations. Moreover, from the construction  $\tau_{\hat{\alpha}_1}(z) = z$  for points  $z$  on the boundaries of all maximal elements.  $\tau_{\hat{\alpha}_1}$  naturally extends to a quasisymmetric mapping of  $\partial\mathbb{H}$  onto  $\partial\mathbb{H}$  that fixes infinitely many hyperbolic fixed points of  $G$  and infinitely many parabolic fixed points as well if  $S$  is not compact.

Let  $\hat{\alpha}_1, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_q \subset \mathbb{H}$  be the geodesics so that they meet in  $\Delta$  in the sense that  $\Delta \cap \hat{\alpha}_i \neq \emptyset$  for  $i = 1, \dots, q$ . Since  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q$  are pairwise disjoint,  $\hat{\alpha}_1, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_q$  are pairwise disjoint as well. The maximal elements  $D_{\hat{\alpha}_1}, \dots, D_{\hat{\alpha}_q}$  can be properly chosen so that

$$(6.1) \quad \hat{z} \in \Delta \setminus \{D_{\hat{\alpha}_1}, D_{\hat{\alpha}_2}, \dots, D_{\hat{\alpha}_q}\}.$$

Since  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q$  are pairwise disjoint,  $\tau_{\hat{\alpha}_i}$  commutes with  $\tau_{\hat{\alpha}_j}$  for  $i, j = 1, \dots, q$ . Now for a positive integer tuple  $\sigma = (n_1, \dots, n_q)$ , we define

$$\hat{T}_A^\sigma = \tau_{\hat{\alpha}_1}^{n_1} \circ \tau_{\hat{\alpha}_2}^{n_2} \circ \dots \circ \tau_{\hat{\alpha}_q}^{n_q}.$$

We see that  $\hat{T}_A$  does not depend on the order of those  $\tau_{\hat{\alpha}_i}$ .

Similarly, let  $\hat{\beta}_1, \dots, \hat{\beta}_r \subset \mathbb{H}$  be the geodesics so that they meet in  $\Delta$ .  $D_{\hat{\beta}_1}, \dots, D_{\hat{\beta}_r}$  can also be properly chosen so that

$$(6.2) \quad \hat{z} \in \Delta \setminus \{D_{\hat{\beta}_1}, D_{\hat{\beta}_2}, \dots, D_{\hat{\beta}_r}\}.$$

For a positive integer tuple  $\lambda = (m_1, \dots, m_r)$ , we define

$$\hat{T}_B^\lambda = \tau_{\hat{\beta}_1}^{m_1} \circ \tau_{\hat{\beta}_2}^{m_2} \circ \dots \circ \tau_{\hat{\beta}_r}^{m_r}.$$

Now we consider the map

$$(6.3) \quad \hat{T}_{AB} = \prod_i \left( \hat{T}_A^{\sigma_i} \circ \hat{T}_B^{-\lambda_i} \right)$$

for positive integer tuples  $\sigma_i$  and  $\lambda_i$ . We claim that  $\hat{T}_{AB}$  defined as (6.3) is a lift of the pseudo-Anosov mapping class:

$$t_{AB} = \prod_i \left( t_{\alpha_1}^{n_1} \circ \dots \circ t_{\alpha_q}^{n_q} \circ t_{\beta_1}^{-m_1} \circ \dots \circ t_{\beta_r}^{-m_r} \right).$$

Indeed, by construction we notice that  $\tau_{\hat{\alpha}_i}$  and  $\tau_{\hat{\beta}_j}$  are lifts of  $t_{\alpha_i}$  and  $t_{\beta_j}$  respectively. One obtains

$$\varrho \circ \tau_{\hat{\alpha}_i} = t_{\alpha_i} \circ \varrho \quad \text{and} \quad \varrho \circ \tau_{\hat{\beta}_j} = t_{\beta_j} \circ \varrho,$$

which further implies that  $\varrho \circ \hat{T}_{AB} = t_{AB} \circ \varrho$ , as claimed. We proved the most part of:

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $\Delta$  be a fixed fundamental region of  $G$ . Let  $z \in S \setminus \{A, B\}$  and let  $\hat{z} = \{\varrho^{-1}(z)\} \cap \Delta$ . Then for  $1 \leq i \leq q$  and  $1 \leq j \leq r$ , the geodesics  $\hat{\alpha}_i$  and  $\hat{\beta}_j$  in  $\mathbb{H}$ , and hence the maximal elements  $D_{\hat{\alpha}_i}$  and  $D_{\hat{\beta}_j}$ , can be chosen to satisfy:*

- (1)  $\varrho(\hat{\alpha}_i) = \alpha_i$ ,  $\varrho(\hat{\beta}_j) = \beta_j$ ;
- (2)  $\hat{\alpha}_i \cap \Delta$  and  $\hat{\beta}_j \cap \Delta$  are not empty, and
- (3) the map  $\hat{T}_{AB}$  defined as (6.3) is a lift of  $t_{AB}$  and fixes the point  $\hat{z}$ .

*Proof.* The map  $\hat{T}_{AB}$  projects to  $w$  defined in the introduction, which has the property that  $w(z) = z$ . Thus  $\hat{\alpha}_i$  and  $\hat{\beta}_j$  can be chosen so that (1) and (2) are satisfied. Moreover, once  $\hat{\alpha}_i$  and  $\hat{\beta}_j$  are selected,  $D_{\hat{\alpha}_i}$  and  $D_{\hat{\beta}_j}$  can also be selected so that (6.1) and (6.2) are satisfied.

Let  $z$  lie in one component  $R$  of (1.1).  $R$  is either  $P_i$  or  $Q_j$ . Since  $\varrho : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow S$  is a local homeomorphism, there is a non-empty subset  $\Sigma$  of  $\Delta$  so that  $\hat{z} \in \Sigma$  and  $\varrho|_{\Sigma}(\Sigma) = R$ . As we remarked earlier, there are perhaps more than one choices of each geodesic  $\hat{\alpha}_i$  that meet  $\Delta$  so that  $\varrho(\hat{\alpha}_i) = \alpha_i$ . In any case, there are only finitely many maximal elements of  $\tau_{\hat{\alpha}_i}$  and  $\tau_{\hat{\beta}_j}$  that intersect with  $\Delta$ .  $\Sigma$  can be obtained from the fundamental region  $\Delta$  with the removal of all such (finitely many) maximal elements of  $\tau_{\hat{\alpha}_i}$  and  $\tau_{\hat{\beta}_j}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq q$  and  $1 \leq j \leq r$ . Now from the construction we see that the restriction of  $\hat{T}_{AB}$  to  $\Sigma$  is the identity. In particular, we obtain  $\hat{T}_{AB}(\hat{z}) = \hat{z}$ . This proves Lemma 6.1.  $\square$

In the case of  $R = Q_j$  for some  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq r$ , the set  $\Sigma$  touches  $\partial\mathbb{H}$  at the fixed point of a parabolic element of  $G$  corresponding to the puncture  $z_j$  of  $Q_j$ . The following lemma handles this case.

**Lemma 6.2.** *Under the above condition, the map  $\hat{T}_{AB}$  fixes both  $\hat{z}$  and the fixed point of a parabolic element of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* From Lemma 6.1, the map  $\hat{T}_{AB}$  fixes  $\hat{z}$ , where  $\hat{z} \in \Sigma$ . Note that the boundary of  $\Sigma$  consists of portions of some translations of  $\hat{\alpha}_i$  and  $\hat{\beta}_j$ . Let  $z_j$  be the puncture of  $Q_j$ . we can draw a path  $\gamma$  in  $Q_j$  that connects from  $z$  to  $z_j$  without intersecting any boundary components of  $Q_j$ . In particular,  $\gamma$  is disjoint from any element in  $A$  or  $B$ .

Now we can lift the path  $\gamma$  to a path  $\hat{\gamma}$  in  $\mathbb{H}$  that connects from  $\hat{z}$  to a parabolic vertex  $v_j$  of  $\Delta$  (corresponding the puncture  $z_j$ ). Since  $\gamma$  does not intersect with  $\{A, B\}$ ,  $\hat{\gamma}$  avoids all maximal elements of  $\tau_{\hat{\alpha}_i}$  and  $\tau_{\hat{\beta}_j}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq q$  and  $1 \leq j \leq r$ . But since  $\hat{T}_{AB}$  fixes  $\hat{z}$  as well as any other points in  $\hat{\gamma}$ , by continuity, we conclude that  $\hat{T}_{AB}$  fixes  $v_j$ , as asserted.  $\square$

*Remark 6.1.* If  $R = P_i$  for some  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq q$ , then the region  $\Sigma$  in Lemma 6.1 stays away from the boundary  $\partial\mathbb{H}$ . In this case, the argument of Lemma 6.2 fails to conclude that there is a parabolic fixed point for the map  $\hat{T}_{AB}$ . As a matter of fact, the Theorem of Bers [4] can be used to prove that  $\hat{T}_{AB}$  has no any parabolic fixed point on  $\partial\mathbb{H}$ .

## 7. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

We assume that  $S$  is non compact. recall that  $Q_1, \dots, Q_r$  obtained from (1.1) are all possible once punctured disk components of  $S \setminus \{A, B\}$ .

By a theorem of Penner [14], a word  $w$  generated by Dehn twists along elements of  $A$  and inverses of Dehn twists along elements in  $B$  represents a pseudo-Anosov mapping class. So  $w$  is isotopic to a pseudo-Anosov map  $\omega$ . Let  $\chi \in \text{Mod}_S$  be induced by  $\omega$ ,  $\chi$  is hyperbolic in the sense of Bers [4]. It follows from Theorem 5 of Bers [4], there is a Teichmüller geodesic  $\mathcal{L}$  in  $T(S)$  so that  $\chi(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}$ .

Assume that  $S \in \mathcal{L}$ . Then  $\omega : S \rightarrow S$  is an absolutely extremal Teichmüller mapping. Let  $z_0 \in \bar{S}$  be a zero of  $\phi$  (there is nothing to prove if  $\phi$  has no zeros). Since the number of zeros of  $\phi$  is finite, and  $\omega$  send a zero to a zero, by taking a suitable power if necessary, one may assume without loss of generality that  $\omega$  fixes all zeros of  $\phi$ . Note that some zeros could be punctures of  $S$ .

Suppose that  $z_0 \in Q_1$  is a non-puncture zero of  $\phi$ . Let  $z_1$  denote the puncture of  $Q_1$ . Then  $z_0 \neq z_1$ . For any point  $\hat{z}_0 \in \varrho^{-1}(z_0)$ , we can choose a fundamental region  $\Delta$  of  $G$  so that  $\hat{z}_0 \in \Delta$ . Since  $Q_1$  contains a puncture, there is a parabolic vertex  $v_1$  of  $\Delta$  in  $\partial\mathbb{H}$  that corresponds to the puncture  $z_1$ .

From Lemma 6.1 and Lemma 6.2,  $w$  can be lifted to  $\hat{T}_{AB}$  that fixes  $\hat{z}_0$  and  $v_1$ . Since  $\omega$  is isotopic to  $w$ ,  $\omega$  can also be lifted to  $\hat{\omega}$  so that  $\hat{\omega}|_{\partial\mathbb{H}} = \hat{T}_{AB}|_{\partial\mathbb{H}}$ . It follows that  $[\hat{\omega}] = [\hat{T}_{AB}]$  and  $\hat{\omega}$  fixes both  $\hat{z}_0$  and  $v_1$  as well.

By Lemmas 5.2,  $[\hat{\omega}]^* \in \text{Mod}_g^a$  is not pseudo-Anosov. More precisely, let  $[\hat{\omega}]^*$  be induced by  $W : \hat{S} \rightarrow \hat{S}$ . By Lemma 5.1,  $W$  fixes the boundary of a twice punctured disk  $\Omega$  enclosing  $a$  so that  $W|_{\Omega} = \text{id}$  and  $W|_{\hat{S} \setminus D}$  is pseudo-Anosov. In particular,  $W$  is reducible. Set  $\theta = [\hat{\omega}] = [\hat{T}_{AB}]$ . We claim that  $\theta$  satisfies all conditions of Theorem 2.

Indeed, (1) is clear. By definition,  $\theta^*$  is not pseudo-Anosov, which satisfies (3). Finally, since  $\hat{T}_{AB}$  fixes  $\hat{z}_0$ , by Lemma 3.2,  $\theta$  keeps the real one dimensional submanifold  $\hat{\mathcal{L}} \subset F(S)$  (defined in (3.1)) invariant. So (2) holds. This completes the proof of Theorem 2, and hence of Theorem 1.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Ahlfors, L. V., & Bers, L., *Riemann's mapping theorem for variable metrics*. Ann. of Math. (2) 72 (1960), 385-404.
- [2] Bers, L., *Fiber spaces over Teichmüller spaces*. Acta Math. 130 (1973), 89-126.
- [3] \_\_\_\_\_, *An extremal problem for quasiconformal mappings and a theorem by Thurston*. Acta Math. 141 (1978), 73-98.
- [4] Bers, L., *An extremal problem for quasiconformal mappings and a theorem by Thurston*. Acta Math. 141 (1978), 73-98.
- [5] Earle, C. J., & Kra, I., *On holomorphic mappings between Teichmüller spaces*. in Contributions to Analysis, Edited by L.V.Ahlfors, et.al., Academic Press, New York, 1974, 107-124.
- [6] Farkas, H. M., & Kra, I., *Riemann surfaces*. Springer-Verlag, New York and Berlin, 1980.
- [7] Fathi, A., Laudenbach, F., Poenaru, V., *Travaux de Thurston sur les surfaces, Seminaire Orsay, Asterisque*, Vol. 66-67, Soc. Math. de France, 1979.
- [8] Kra, I., *On the Nielsen-Thurston-Bers type of some self-maps of Riemann surfaces*. Acta Math. 146 (1981), 231-270.
- [9] Long, D. D., *Constructing pseudo-Anosov maps*. In: *Knot theory and manifolds*, Lecture notes in Math. No. 1144(1985), 108-114.
- [10] Nag, S., *Non-geodesic discs embedded in Teichmüller spaces*. Amer. J. Math. 104 (1982) 339-408.
- [11] Royden, H. L., *Automorphisms and isometries of Teichmüller space*. Ann. of Math. Studies, 66 (1971), 369-383.
- [12] Penner, R. C., *The action of the mapping class group on isotopy classes of curves and arcs in surfaces*. Thesis, MIT (1982)
- [13] \_\_\_\_\_, *A construction of pseudo-Anosov homeomorphisms*. Proceedings of the Amer. Math Soc. 104 (1988). 1-19.
- [14] \_\_\_\_\_, *Probing mapping class group using arcs*. Manuscript, 2005.
- [15] Thurston, W. P., *On the geometry and dynamics of diffeomorphisms of surfaces*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 19 (1988), 417-431.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MOREHOUSE COLLEGE, ATLANTA, GA 30314, USA.

*E-mail address:* czhang@morehouse.edu