

Lefschetz fibrations, intersection numbers, and representations of the framed braid group

Alexandru OANCEA* Dietmar A. SALAMON
 Université Louis Pasteur ETH
 Strasbourg Zürich

31 August 2007

Abstract

We examine the action of the fundamental group Γ of an m -punctured Riemann surface on the middle dimensional homology of a regular fiber in a Lefschetz fibration, and describe to what extent this action can be recovered from the intersection numbers of vanishing cycles. Basis changes for the vanishing cycles result in a nonlinear action of the framed braid group $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ on m strings on a suitable space of $m \times m$ matrices. This action is determined by a family of cocycles $S_c : \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])$ parametrized by distinguished configurations c of embedded paths from the regular value to the critical values.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Lefschetz fibrations	6
3	Monodromy representations	9
4	The framed braid group	15
5	Distinguished configurations	19
6	Cocycles	22
7	The braid group of the disc	27
8	An Example	32

*Supported by the *Swiss National Science Foundation*.

1 Introduction

Picard–Lefschetz theory can be viewed as a complexification of Morse theory with the stable and unstable manifolds replaced by vanishing cycles and the count of connecting orbits in the Morse–Witten complex replaced by the intersection numbers of the vanishing cycles along suitable paths in the base. Relevant topological information that can be recovered from these data includes, in Morse theory, the homology of the underlying manifold and, in Picard–Lefschetz theory, the monodromy action of the fundamental group of the base on the middle dimensional homology of a regular fiber. That the monodromy action on the vanishing cycles can be recovered from the intersection numbers follows from the **Picard–Lefschetz formula**

$$(\psi_L)_*\alpha = \alpha - (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} \langle L, \alpha \rangle L. \quad (1)$$

Here $X \rightarrow \Sigma$ is a Lefschetz fibration over a Riemann surface Σ with fibers of complex dimension n (and precisely one critical point in each singular fiber), M is a regular fiber over $z_0 \in \Sigma$, $L \subset M$ is an oriented vanishing cycle associated to a curve from z_0 to a singular point $z \in \Sigma$, $\psi_L \in \text{Diff}(M)$ is the Dehn–Seidel twist about L obtained from the (counterclockwise) monodromy around the singular fiber along the same curve, $(\psi_L)_*$ is the induced action on $H_n(M)$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the intersection form. Equation (1) continues to hold when $X \rightarrow \Sigma$ is a symplectic Lefschetz fibration as introduced by Donaldson [2, 3]. In either case the vanishing cycles are embedded Lagrangian spheres and so their self-intersection numbers are $2(-1)^{n/2}$ when n is even and 0 when n is odd.

The object of the present paper is to construct an algebraic setting, based on equation (1), which allows us to describe the monodromy action of the fundamental group in terms of intersection matrices. This requires the choice of a distinguished basis of vanishing cycles and the ambiguity in this choice leads us to introduce an action of the braid group on a suitable space of matrix valued functions on the fundamental group (called monodromy characters). One motivation for this work is our attempt to understand complexified Floer homology in the spirit of Donaldson–Thomas theory [4]. In this theory the complex symplectic action or Chern–Simons functional is an infinite dimensional analogue of a Lefschetz fibration. While there are no vanishing cycles, one can (conjecturally) still make sense of their intersection numbers and build a monodromy character whose orbit under the braid group might then

be viewed as an invariant. Another source of inspiration for the present paper is the work of Seidel [9, 10] about vanishing cycles and mutations.

To assemble the intersection numbers into algebraic data it is convenient to choose a collection $c = (c_1, \dots, c_m)$ of ordered embedded paths from a regular value $z_0 \in \Sigma$ to the critical values (see Figure 1). Following Seidel [9]




Figure 1: A distinguished configuration

we call such a collection a **distinguished configuration**. Any distinguished configuration determines an ordering $\{z_1, \dots, z_m\}$ of the set $Z \subset \Sigma$ of critical values by $z_i := c_i(1)$. It also determines m vanishing cycles

$$L_1, \dots, L_m \in H_n(M)$$

as well as m special elements of the fundamental group

$$g_1, \dots, g_m \in \Gamma := \pi_1(\Sigma \setminus Z, z_0).$$

These data give rise to a **monodromy character** $\mathcal{N}_c^X : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$ via

$$\mathcal{N}_c^X(g) := (n_{ij}(g))_{i,j=1}^m, \quad n_{ij}(g) := \langle L_i, \rho(g)L_j \rangle. \quad (2)$$

Here $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H_n(M))$ denotes the monodromy action of the fundamental group. Any such function $\mathcal{N} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$ satisfies the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} n_{ij}(g^{-1}) &= (-1)^n n_{ji}(g), \\ n_{ii}(1) &= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ 2(-1)^{n/2}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \\ n_{ij}(gg_k h) &= n_{ij}(gh) - (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} n_{ik}(g)n_{kj}(h) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

for $g, h \in \Gamma$ and $i, j, k = 1, \dots, m$. The last equation in (3) follows from (1). The part of the middle dimensional homology that is generated by the vanishing cycles can now be recovered as the quotient

$$\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}} := \Lambda / \ker \mathcal{N}, \quad \Lambda := \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]^m$$

where the endomorphism $\mathcal{N} : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ is given by the convolution product $(\mathcal{N}\lambda)(h) := \sum_g \mathcal{N}(hg^{-1})\lambda(g)$. The \mathbb{Z} -module $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$ is equipped with an intersection pairing, a Γ -action $\rho_{\mathcal{N}} : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}})$, and special elements $\mathbb{L}_1, \dots, \mathbb{L}_m \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$, defined by

$$\langle \mu, \lambda \rangle := \sum_{g, h \in \Gamma} \mu(h)^T \mathcal{N}(hg^{-1})\lambda(g), \quad \rho_{\mathcal{N}}(g)[\lambda] := [g_*\lambda], \quad \mathbb{L}_i := [\delta_i]. \quad (4)$$

Here Γ acts on Λ by $(g_*\lambda)(h) := \lambda(hg)$, $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ denotes the standard basis, and $\delta_i : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^m$ is defined by $\delta_i(1) := e_i$ and $\delta_i(g) := 0$ for $g \neq 1$. With these structures $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$ is isomorphic to the subspace of $H_n(M)$ generated by the vanishing cycles modulo the kernel of the intersection form. The isomorphism is induced by the map $\lambda \mapsto \sum_{g,i} \lambda_i(g)\rho(g^{-1})L_i$.

The monodromy character $\mathcal{N}_c^X : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$ depends on the choice of a distinguished configuration c and this dependence gives rise to an action of the braid group \mathcal{B} of $\Sigma \setminus \{z_0\}$ on m strings, based at Z , on the space of monodromy characters. More precisely, our distinguished configuration c determines m special elements $g_i =: g_{i,c} \in \Gamma$ obtained by encircling $z_i =: c_i(1)$ counterclockwise along c_i . Denote by

$$\mathcal{S}_c := \{\mathcal{N} = (n_{ij}) : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m} \mid (3)\}$$

the space of monodromy characters on $(\Gamma, g_{1,c}, \dots, g_{m,c})$. The braid group \mathcal{B} , interpreted as the mapping class group of diffeomorphisms in $\text{Diff}_0(\Sigma, z_0)$ that preserve the set Z , acts freely and transitively on the space \mathcal{C} of homotopy classes of distinguished configurations. Here $\text{Diff}_0(\Sigma, z_0)$ denotes the identity component of the group of diffeomorphisms of Σ that fix z_0 . (So in the higher genus case \mathcal{B} is a proper subgroup of the mapping class group of all diffeomorphism of Σ that fix z_0 and preserve Z .) The braid group also acts on the fundamental group Γ and, for every $\tau \in \mathcal{B}$ and every $c \in \mathcal{C}$, the isomorphism $\tau_* : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ maps $g_{i,c}$ to g_{i,τ_*c} . Thus composition with τ_* gives an isomorphism $\mathcal{S}_{\tau_*c} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_c : \mathcal{N} \mapsto \mathcal{N} \circ \tau_*$. Our main theorem asserts that, when n is odd, there is a canonical family of isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{T}_{\tau,c} : \mathcal{S}_c \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\tau_*c}$$

which extends the geometric correspondence $\mathcal{N}_c^X \mapsto \mathcal{N}_{\tau_*c}^X$ between monodromy characters associated to different choices of the distinguished configuration. It is an open question if every element $\mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{S}_c$ can be realized by a (symplectic) Lefschetz fibration $X \rightarrow \Sigma$ with critical fibers over Z . This question is a refinement of the Hurwitz problem of finding a branched cover with given combinatorial data.

Each space \mathcal{S}_c is equipped with a contravariant action of \mathcal{B} and the isomorphisms $\mathcal{T}_{\tau,c}$ are equivariant. Both the group actions and the isomorphisms are determined by cocycles $S_c : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])$ with values in the group of invertible matrices over the group ring via

$$\mathcal{T}_{\tau,c}(\mathcal{N}) = S_c(\tau)^t \mathcal{N} S_c(\tau), \quad \sigma^* \mathcal{N} := (S_c(\sigma)^t \mathcal{N} S_c(\sigma)) \circ \sigma_* \quad (5)$$

Here we think of an element $\mathcal{M} \in \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])$ as a function $\mathcal{M} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$ with finite support, denote $\mathcal{M}^t(g) := \mathcal{M}(g^{-1})^T$, and multiply matrix valued functions using the convolution product $(\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N})(h) := \sum_g \mathcal{M}(hg^{-1})\mathcal{N}(g)$. The formula (5) shows that $\mathcal{T}_{\tau,c}$ extends to an automorphism of the space of all matrix valued functions $\mathcal{N} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$.

More care must be taken when n is even. In this case the Dehn twist g_i changes the orientation of L_i . To choose orientations consistently we fix nonzero tangent vectors $v_z \in T_z \Sigma$ for $z \in Z$ and consider only distinguished configurations c that are tangent to the prescribed vectors at their endpoints. We call these **marked distinguished configurations** and denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ the set of homotopy classes of marked distinguished configurations. Then the framed braid group $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ (consisting of homotopy classes of braids and nonvanishing vector fields along them with fixed endpoints) acts freely and transitively on $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ (see Sections 4 and 5).

To describe the matrix $S_c(\sigma)$ explicitly we denote by $\pi_{\sigma,c} \in \mathfrak{S}_m$ the permutation associated to the action of σ on the ordering determined by c . Then the (i, j) entry of the matrix is $s_{j,c}(\sigma) := c_i^{-1} \cdot \sigma_* c_j \in \Gamma$ for $i = \pi_{\sigma,c}(j)$ and it is zero for $i \neq \pi_{\sigma,c}(j)$. We emphasize that

$$\sigma_* g_{j,c} = s_{j,c}(\sigma)^{-1} g_{i,c} s_{j,c}(\sigma), \quad i := \pi_{\sigma,c}(j).$$

The maps $S_c : \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])$ satisfy the cocycle and coboundary conditions

$$S_c(\sigma\tau) = S_c(\sigma)\sigma_* S_c(\tau), \quad S_{\tau_*c}(\sigma) = S_c(\tau)^{-1} S_c(\sigma)\sigma_* S_c(\tau). \quad (6)$$

Moreover, they are injective. In terms of Serre's definition of non-abelian cohomology [11, Appendix to Chapter VII] the first equation in (6) asserts

that S_c is a cocycle for every $c \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. The second equation in (6) asserts that the cocycles S_c are all cohomologous and hence define a canonical 1-cohomology class

$$[S_c] \in H^1(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}, \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])).$$

We call it the **Lefschetz monodromy class**. The cocycle equation implies that the action of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ on \mathcal{S}_c extends to an action on the space of all functions $\mathcal{N} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$ by the same formula, and the coboundary relation implies that these actions are all conjugate.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall basic properties of Lefschetz fibrations and their intersection matrices and in Section 3 we describe an abstract setting for monodromy representations. Section 4 explains the well known correspondence between the (framed) braid group and the (marked) mapping class group. In Section 5 we prove that this group acts freely and transitively on the space of (marked) distinguished configurations. In Section 6 we formulate and prove our main results. In Section 7 we explain the special case of genus zero and in Section 8 we discuss an example.

Acknowledgements. Thanks to Theo Bühler for pointing out to us Serre's definition of a non-abelian cocycle. And we would like to thank Gwenaël Massuyeau for finding a significant simplification of the proof of our main theorem.

2 Lefschetz fibrations

In this section we review some basic facts about Lefschetz fibrations (see Voisin [12]). Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of complex dimension $n + 1$ and $f : X \rightarrow \Sigma$ be a Lefschetz fibration with a finite set $Z \subset \Sigma$ of critical values. Let $m := \#Z$ be the number of critical values. We assume that each critical fiber $f^{-1}(z)$ contains precisely one (Morse) critical point x_z . Choose a regular value $z_0 \in \Sigma$ and denote $M := f^{-1}(z_0)$. Then the fundamental group

$$\Gamma := \pi_1(\Sigma \setminus Z; z_0)$$

acts on the middle dimensional homology

$$H_n(M) := H_n(M; \mathbb{Z})/\text{torsion}$$

via parallel transport. We denote the action by $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(H_n(M))$. Each path $c : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Sigma$ connecting $z_0 = c(0)$ to a critical value $z = c(1)$, avoiding

critical values for $t < 1$, and satisfying $\dot{c}(1) \neq 0$, determines a vanishing cycle $L \subset M$ and an element $g \in \Gamma$. Geometrically, L is the set of all points in M that converge to the critical point $x \in f^{-1}(z)$ under parallel transport along c . The orientation of L is not determined by the path and can be chosen independently. The element g is the homotopy class of the path obtained by following c , encircling z once counterclockwise, and then following c^{-1} . Thus $\rho(g)$ acts on $H_n(M)$ by the Dehn–Seidel twist ψ_L about L with its framing as a vanishing cycle. (The framing is a choice of a diffeomorphism from L to the n -sphere, see Seidel [9].) Now choose m such paths c_i , $i = 1, \dots, m$, connecting z_0 to the critical values, denote by $L_i \subset M$ the homology classes of the resulting vanishing cycles with fixed choices of orientations, and by $g_i \in \Gamma$ the resulting elements of the fundamental group.

Remark 2.1. For $i = 1, \dots, m$ the action of g_i on $H_n(M)$ is given by

$$\rho(g_i)\alpha = \alpha - (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} \langle L_i, \alpha \rangle L_i \quad (7)$$

and the intersection numbers $n_{ij}(g) := \langle L_i, \rho(g)L_j \rangle$ satisfy (3). The first equation in (3) follows from the (skew)symmetry of the intersection form, the second is a general fact about the self-intersection numbers of Lagrangian spheres, and the last follows from (7). Equation (7) follows from Example 2.3 below.

Remark 2.2. The intersection number $n_{ij}(g) = \langle L_i, \rho(g)L_j \rangle$ can be interpreted as the algebraic number of horizontal lifts of the path $c_j g^{-1} c_i^{-1}$ (first c_i^{-1} then g^{-1} then c_j) connecting the critical points x_i and x_j . That these numbers continue to be meaningful (at least conjecturally) in certain infinite dimensional settings (where the vanishing cycles only exist in some heuristic sense) is one of the key ideas in Donaldson–Thomas theory [4].

Example 2.3. The archetypal example of a Lefschetz fibration is the function $f : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$f(z_0, \dots, z_n) := z_0^2 + z_1^2 + \dots + z_n^2.$$

Denote the fiber over 1 by

$$M := f^{-1}(1) = \{x + iy \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \mid |x|^2 - |y|^2 = 1, \langle x, y \rangle = 0\}.$$

The vanishing cycle obtained by parallel transport along the real axis from 0 to 1 is the unit sphere $L := M \cap \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. The manifold M is symplectomorphic

to the cotangent bundle of the n -sphere

$$T^*S^n = \{(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid |\xi| = 1, \langle \xi, \eta \rangle = 0\}$$

via $M \rightarrow T^*S^n : (x, y) \mapsto (x/|x|, |x|y)$. The monodromy around the loop $t \mapsto e^{2\pi it}$ is the symplectomorphism $\psi : T^*S^n \rightarrow T^*S^n$ given by

$$\psi(\xi, \eta) = \left(-\cos(\theta)\xi - \sin(\theta)\frac{\eta}{|\eta|}, \sin(\theta)|\eta|\xi - \cos(\theta)\eta \right) \quad (8)$$

where $\theta := 2\pi|\eta|/\sqrt{1+4|\eta|^2}$. Let \bar{M} be the sphere bundle over S^n obtained by the one point compactification of each fiber of the cotangent bundle. Its middle dimensional homology $H_n(\bar{M}; \mathbb{Z})$ has two generators, namely the homology class of the zero section and the homology class of the fiber, and ψ acts on $H_n(\bar{M}; \mathbb{Z})$ by

$$\psi_*\alpha = \alpha - (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} \langle L, \alpha \rangle L.$$

To see this fix ξ in (8) and project to S^n to get a map of degree $(-1)^{n+1}$. An additional factor $(-1)^{n(n-1)/2}$ arises by comparing the orientation of the cotangent bundle T^*L with the complex orientation of M . In the even case the value of the factor follows from the observation that the self-intersection number of the vanishing cycle is $\langle L, L \rangle = 2(-1)^{n/2}$.

Remark 2.4. Let $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}} = \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]^m / \ker \mathcal{N}$ where $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_c^X : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$ is defined by (2). So Γ acts on $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$ by the homomorphism $\rho_{\mathcal{N}} : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}})$ in (4). Let $V \subset H_n(M)$ be the subspace generated by the vanishing cycles $\rho(g)L_i$ and $W \subset V$ be the kernel of the intersection form on V . Then the map $\Lambda \rightarrow V : \lambda \mapsto \sum_{g,i} \lambda_i(g)\rho(g^{-1})L_i$ descends to a Γ -equivariant isomorphism of \mathbb{Z} -modules,

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{N}} : \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow V/W$$

that identifies the pairing in (4) with the intersection form and maps the element \mathbb{L}_i defined by (4) to the equivalence class $[L_i] \in V/W$. This will be proved in greater generality in Lemma 3.3 below.

Example 2.5. Assume $\Sigma = S^2$ (or equivalently that $\Sigma = \mathbb{D}$ is the closed unit disc in \mathbb{C} , X is a Kähler manifold with boundary $\partial X = f^{-1}(\partial \mathbb{D})$ and $Z \subset \text{int}(\mathbb{D})$, $z_0 \in \partial \mathbb{D}$). Then, for any collection of paths $c = (c_1, \dots, c_m)$ as above, the fundamental group $\Gamma := \pi_1(\mathbb{D} \setminus Z; z_0)$ is isomorphic to the

free group generated by g_1, \dots, g_m . In this case equation (7) shows that $V \subset H_n(M)$ is the subspace generated by the L_i and the quotient V/W can be recovered from the intersection matrix $N = \mathcal{N}(1)$ with entries $n_{ij} := \langle L_i, L_j \rangle$. Define $\mathbb{H}_N := \mathbb{Z}^m / \ker N$. This space carries a pairing $\langle \mu, \lambda \rangle := \mu^T N \lambda$ and a Γ -action $\rho_N : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{Z})$ given by

$$\rho_N(g_i) = \mathbb{1} - (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} E_i N.$$

Here $E_i \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$ denotes the matrix with the i th entry on the diagonal equal to 1 and zeroes elsewhere. Then the map $\mathbb{Z}^m \rightarrow V : \lambda \mapsto \sum_i \lambda_i L_i$ descends to a Γ -equivariant isomorphism from $\mathbb{H}_N \rightarrow V/W$. The correspondence between \mathbb{H}_N and $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$ will be explained in greater generality in Example 3.4 below.

3 Monodromy representations

We examine algebraic structures that are relevant in the study of monodromy representations associated to Lefschetz fibrations.

Definition 3.1. *Fix a positive integer n . Let Γ be a group and g_1, \dots, g_m be pairwise distinct elements of $\Gamma \setminus \{1\}$. A **monodromy character** on $(\Gamma, g_1, \dots, g_m)$ is a matrix valued function $\mathcal{N} = (n_{ij}) : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$ satisfying*

$$n_{ij}(g^{-1}) = (-1)^n n_{ji}(g), \quad (9)$$

$$n_{ii}(1) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ 2(-1)^{n/2}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

$$n_{ij}(gg_k h) = n_{ij}(gh) - (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} n_{ik}(g) n_{kj}(h) \quad (11)$$

for all $g, h \in \Gamma$ and $i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. A **monodromy representation** of $(\Gamma, g_1, \dots, g_m)$ is a tuple $(\mathcal{H}, \rho, \mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_m)$ consisting of a \mathbb{Z} -module \mathcal{H} with a nondegenerate quadratic form, a representation $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{H})$ that preserves the quadratic form, and elements $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_m \in \mathcal{H}$, satisfying

$$\langle \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}' \rangle = (-1)^n \langle \mathcal{L}', \mathcal{L} \rangle, \quad (12)$$

$$\langle \mathcal{L}_i, \mathcal{L}_i \rangle = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ 2(-1)^{n/2}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

$$\rho(g_i) \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L} - (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} \langle \mathcal{L}_i, \mathcal{L} \rangle \mathcal{L}_i \quad (14)$$

for all $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}' \in \mathcal{H}$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. The g_i are called **Dehn twists** and the \mathcal{L}_i **vanishing cycles**.

Remark 3.2. Every monodromy character $\mathcal{N} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$ satisfies

$$n_{ij}(gg_k^{-1}h) = n_{ij}(gh) - (-1)^n (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} n_{ik}(g)n_{kj}(h), \quad (15)$$

$$n_{ij}(g_i g) = n_{ij}(gg_j) = (-1)^{n+1} n_{ij}(g). \quad (16)$$

Every monodromy representation $(\mathcal{H}, \rho, \mathcal{L}_i)$ satisfies

$$\rho(g_i^{-1})\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L} - (-1)^n (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} \langle \mathcal{L}_i, \mathcal{L} \rangle \mathcal{L}_i, \quad (17)$$

$$\rho(g_i)\mathcal{L}_i = (-1)^{n+1} \mathcal{L}_i. \quad (18)$$

To prove the second equation in (16) use equation (11) with $k = j$ and $h = 1$; then use (10). To prove (15) use (11) with g replaced by gg_k^{-1} ; then use (16). The proofs of (17) and (18) are similar.

Every monodromy representation gives rise to a monodromy character and vice versa. If $(\mathcal{H}, \rho, \mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_m)$ is a monodromy representation then the associated monodromy character $\mathcal{N}_\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$ assigns to every $g \in \Gamma$ the intersection matrix

$$n_{ij}(g) := \langle \mathcal{L}_i, \rho(g)\mathcal{L}_j \rangle. \quad (19)$$

Conversely, every monodromy character \mathcal{N} gives rise to a monodromy representation $(\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}}, \rho_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathbb{L}_1, \dots, \mathbb{L}_m)$ as follows.

Denote by $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$ the group ring with the convolution product. One can think of an element $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$ either as a function $\lambda : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ with finite support or as a formal linear combination $\lambda = \sum_{g \in \Gamma} \lambda(g)g$. We write the convolution product in the form

$$(\lambda\mu)(h) := \sum_{g \in \Gamma} \lambda(g)\mu(g^{-1}h).$$

The group Γ acts on the group ring by the formula $(g_*\lambda)(h) := \lambda(hg)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$ and $g, h \in \Gamma$. In the formal sum notation we have

$$g_*\lambda = \sum_h \lambda(hg)h = \sum_h \lambda(h)hg^{-1} = \lambda g^{-1}.$$

For any function $\mathcal{N} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$ we introduce the \mathbb{Z} -module

$$\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}} := \Lambda / \{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid \mathcal{N}\lambda = 0\}, \quad \Lambda := \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]^m, \quad (20)$$

where $(\mathcal{N}\lambda)(h) := \sum_g \mathcal{N}(hg^{-1})\lambda(g)$ is the convolution product. This space is equipped with a quadratic form

$$\langle \mu, \lambda \rangle := \sum_{g, h \in \Gamma} \mu(h)^T \mathcal{N}(hg^{-1})\lambda(g) = \sum_{h \in \Gamma} \mu(h)^T (\mathcal{N}\lambda)(h) \quad (21)$$

and a group action $\rho_{\mathcal{N}} : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}})$ defined by

$$\rho_{\mathcal{N}}(g)[\lambda] := [g_*\lambda], \quad (22)$$

which preserves the quadratic form. The special elements $\mathbb{L}_1, \dots, \mathbb{L}_m \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$ are given by

$$\mathbb{L}_i := [\delta_i], \quad \delta_i(g) := \begin{cases} e_i, & \text{if } g = 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } g \neq 1. \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

These structures are well defined for any function $\mathcal{N} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$. The next lemma asserts that the tuple $(\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}}, \rho_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathbb{L}_1, \dots, \mathbb{L}_m)$ is a monodromy representation whenever \mathcal{N} is a monodromy character.

Lemma 3.3. (i) *Let $\mathcal{N} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$ be a monodromy character. Then the tuple $(\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}}, \rho_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathbb{L}_1, \dots, \mathbb{L}_m)$ defined by (20-23) is a monodromy representation whose associated character is \mathcal{N} .*

(ii) *Let $(\mathcal{H}, \rho, \mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_m)$ be a monodromy representation and \mathcal{N} be its character (19). Then the map*

$$\Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{H} : \lambda \mapsto \sum_{g \in \Gamma} \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i(g) \rho(g^{-1}) \mathcal{L}_i \quad (24)$$

induces an isomorphism of monodromy representations from $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$ to \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{W} , where $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{H}$ denotes the submodule generated by the vanishing cycles $\rho(g)\mathcal{L}_i$ and $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{V}$ is the kernel of the intersection form.

Proof. The \mathbb{Z} -module $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$ is isomorphic to the image of the homomorphism $\lambda \mapsto \mathcal{N}\lambda$ and hence is torsion free. That the quadratic form in (4) is non-degenerate follows directly from the definition. That it satisfies (12) follows from (9) and that it satisfies (13) follows from (10) and the identity $\langle \mathcal{L}_i, \mathcal{L}_i \rangle = n_{ii}(1)$. To prove (14) fix an index i and an element $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Abbreviate $\varepsilon := (-1)^{n(n+1)/2}$ and define $\lambda' \in \Lambda$ by

$$\lambda' := (g_i)_*\lambda - \lambda + \varepsilon \langle \delta_i, \lambda \rangle \delta_i.$$

Then

$$\lambda'(h) = \lambda(hg_i) - \lambda(h) + \varepsilon \left(\sum_{g \in \Gamma} e_i^T \mathcal{N}(g^{-1}) \lambda(g) \right) \delta_i(h)$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{N}\lambda')(k) &= \sum_h \mathcal{N}(kh^{-1}) \left(\lambda(hg_i) - \lambda(h) + \varepsilon \sum_g e_i^T \mathcal{N}(g^{-1}) \lambda(g) \delta_i(h) \right) \\ &= \sum_h \left(\mathcal{N}(kg_i h^{-1}) - \mathcal{N}(kh^{-1}) + \varepsilon \mathcal{N}(k) E_i \mathcal{N}(h^{-1}) \right) \lambda(h) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The last equation follows from (11). Hence the equivalence class of λ' vanishes and so the tuple $(\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}}, \rho_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathbb{L}_1, \dots, \mathbb{L}_m)$ satisfies (14). Thus we have proved that $(\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}}, \rho_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathbb{L}_1, \dots, \mathbb{L}_m)$ is a monodromy representation. Its character is

$$\langle \delta_i, g_* \delta_j \rangle = \sum_{h,k} \delta_i(k)^T \mathcal{N}(kh^{-1}) \delta_j(hg) = n_{ij}(g).$$

This proves (i).

We prove (ii). The homomorphism (24) is obviously surjective. That the preimage of \mathcal{W} under (24) is the subspace $\{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid \mathcal{N}\lambda = 0\}$ follows from the identity

$$\langle \rho(h^{-1}) \mathcal{L}_i, \Phi_{\mathcal{N}}(\lambda) \rangle = \sum_{g,j} n_{ij}(hg^{-1}) \lambda_j(g) = (\mathcal{N}\lambda)_i(h)$$

where

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{N}}(\lambda) := \sum_{g,j} \lambda_j(g) \rho(g^{-1}) \mathcal{L}_j.$$

Hence (24) induces an isomorphism $\Phi_{\mathcal{N}} : \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{W}$ and it follows directly from the definitions that it satisfies the requirements of the lemma. \square

Example 3.4. Assume that Γ is generated freely by g_1, \dots, g_m . In this case the function $\mathcal{N} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$ is completely determined by $N := \mathcal{N}(1)$. This matrix satisfies

$$N^T = (-1)^n N, \quad n_{ii} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ 2(-1)^{n/2}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

It determines a monodromy representation

$$\mathbb{H}_N := \mathbb{Z}^m / \ker N, \quad \langle \mu, \lambda \rangle := \mu^T N \lambda,$$

with special elements associated to the standard basis of \mathbb{Z}^m and the Γ -action $\rho_N : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{H}_N)$ uniquely determined by

$$\rho_N(g_i) = \mathbb{1} - (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} E_i N.$$

Here $E_i \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$ denotes the matrix with the i th entry on the diagonal equal to 1 and zeroes elsewhere. The function \mathcal{N} can be recovered from N via

$$\mathcal{N}(g) = N \rho_N(g).$$

Moreover, the monodromy representations \mathbb{H}_N and $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$ are isomorphic. The isomorphism $\mathbb{H}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$ assigns to each $[v] \in \mathbb{H}_N$ the equivalence class of the function $\lambda_v : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^m$ with value v at 1 and zero elsewhere. Its inverse is induced by the map $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]^m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^m : \lambda \mapsto \sum_g \rho(g^{-1}) \lambda(g)$.

The space $\mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])$ of $m \times m$ -matrices with entries in the group ring is a bi-algebra over the group ring via the convolution product. One can think of an element $\mathcal{M} \in M_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])$ also as a function $\mathcal{M} : \Gamma_m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$ with finite support. Then the product is given by

$$\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}(g) = \sum_{h \in \Gamma_m} \mathcal{M}(gh^{-1})\mathcal{N}(h)$$

and this formula continues to be meaningful when only one of the factors has finite support. The group ring $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$ is equipped with an involution $\lambda \mapsto \bar{\lambda}$ given by $\bar{\lambda}(g) := \lambda(g^{-1})$. The **conjugate transpose** of a matrix $\mathcal{M} \in M_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{M}^t(g) := \overline{\mathcal{M}(g)}^T = \mathcal{M}(g^{-1})^T$$

and it satisfies $(\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N})^t = \mathcal{N}^t \mathcal{M}^t$.

Let \mathcal{B} be a group that acts covariantly on Γ and denote the action by

$$\mathcal{B} \times \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma : (\sigma, g) \mapsto \sigma_* g.$$

In the intended application Γ is the fundamental group of a Riemann surface with m punctures and \mathcal{B} is the braid group on m strings in the same Riemann

surface with one puncture. The action of \mathcal{B} on Γ extends linearly to an action on $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$ by algebra automorphisms given by

$$\sigma_*\lambda = \sum_{g \in \Gamma} (\sigma_*\lambda)(g)g := \sum_{g \in \Gamma} \lambda(g)\sigma_*g, \quad (\sigma_*\lambda)(g) = \lambda((\sigma^{-1})_*g).$$

This action extends to the space $\mathbb{Z}[[\Gamma]]$ of formal sums of elements of Γ with integer coefficients. These correspond to arbitrary integer valued functions on Γ . Thus \mathcal{B} acts on $M_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])$ componentwise, or equivalently by

$$\sigma_*\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M} \circ (\sigma^{-1})_* : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}. \quad (25)$$

We then have

$$\sigma_*(\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}) = (\sigma_*\mathcal{M})(\sigma_*\mathcal{N}), \quad \sigma_*(\mathcal{M}^t) = (\sigma_*\mathcal{M})^t \quad (26)$$

for $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in M_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])$. The action of \mathcal{B} on $M_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])$ induces an action on the group $\mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])$ of invertible elements of $M_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])$.

Definition 3.5. *A monodromy cocycle is a map $S : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])$ that satisfies the condition*

$$S(\sigma\tau) = S(\sigma)\sigma_*S(\tau)$$

for all $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{B}$.

Lemma 3.6. *Every monodromy cocycle induces a contravariant action of \mathcal{B} on the space of all functions $\mathcal{N} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$ via*

$$\sigma^*\mathcal{N} := (S(\sigma)^t \mathcal{N} S(\sigma)) \circ \sigma_*. \quad (27)$$

Proof. For $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{B}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma\tau)^*\mathcal{N} &= (S(\sigma\tau)^t \mathcal{N} S(\sigma\tau)) \circ (\sigma\tau)_* \\ &= (\sigma_*(S(\tau))^t \cdot S(\sigma)^t \mathcal{N} S(\sigma) \cdot \sigma_*(S(\tau))) \circ \sigma_* \circ \tau_* \\ &= (S(\tau)^t \cdot \sigma^*\mathcal{N} \cdot S(\tau)) \circ \tau_* = \tau^*\sigma^*\mathcal{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the cocycle condition and (26). □

Proposition 3.7. *Let $S : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])$ be a monodromy cocycle. For every $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}$ and every function $\mathcal{N} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$, the isomorphism $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda : \lambda \mapsto S(\sigma)(\sigma_*\lambda)$ descends to an isomorphism*

$$S_\sigma : \mathbb{H}_{\sigma^*\mathcal{N}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_\mathcal{N}$$

which preserves the quadratic forms and satisfies

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma & \xrightarrow{\rho_{\sigma^* \mathcal{N}}} & \text{Aut}(\mathbb{H}_{\sigma^* \mathcal{N}}) . \\
\sigma_* \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Gamma & \xrightarrow{\rho_{\mathcal{N}}} & \text{Aut}(\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}})
\end{array} \tag{28}$$

Here the second vertical arrow is given by $\phi \mapsto S_\sigma \phi S_\sigma^{-1}$.

Proof. For any $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}$ and any function $\mathcal{M} : \Gamma_m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$ the isomorphism $\sigma_* : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ descends to an isomorphism $R_\sigma : \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{M} \circ \sigma_*} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{M}}$. because $(\mathcal{M} \circ \sigma_*)\lambda = (\sigma^{-1})_*(\mathcal{M} \cdot \sigma_*\lambda)$. That R_σ preserves the quadratic forms follows from the identity $(\sigma_*\mu)^t \mathcal{M}(\sigma_*\lambda) = \sigma_*(\mu^t(\mathcal{M} \circ \sigma_*)\lambda)$ and the formula $\langle \lambda, \mu \rangle = (\lambda^t \mathcal{M} \mu)(1)$ for the pairing (21) on $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{M}}$.

For any $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}$ we also have an isomorphism $Q_\sigma : \mathbb{H}_{S(\sigma)^t \mathcal{N} S(\sigma)} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$ induced by the map $\lambda \mapsto S(\sigma)\lambda$. This follows from the associativity of the convolution product $(S^t \mathcal{N} S)\lambda = S^t(\mathcal{N} S\lambda)$ and the fact that $S(\sigma)$ is invertible. That Q_σ preserves the quadratic form follows from the identity $(S\mu)^t \mathcal{N}(S\lambda) = \mu^t(S^t \mathcal{N} S)\lambda$.

The isomorphism $S_\sigma : \mathbb{H}_{\sigma^* \mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$ is the composition $S_\sigma := Q_\sigma \circ R_\sigma$ with $\mathcal{M} := S(\sigma)^t \mathcal{N} S(\sigma)$. By what we have already proved, this isomorphism preserves the quadratic forms. The commutativity of the diagram (28) is equivalent to the equation

$$\rho_{\mathcal{N}}(\sigma_* g) \circ S_\sigma = S_\sigma \circ \rho_{\sigma^* \mathcal{N}}(g), \quad g \in \Gamma. \tag{29}$$

To prove (29) note that

$$(\rho_{\mathcal{N}}(\sigma_* g) \circ S_\sigma)(\lambda) = \rho_{\mathcal{N}}(\sigma_* g)(S(\sigma)\sigma_*\lambda) = (S(\sigma)\sigma_*\lambda)(\sigma_* g)^{-1},$$

and

$$(S_\sigma \circ \rho_{\sigma^* \mathcal{N}}(g))(\lambda) = S_\sigma(\lambda g^{-1}) = S(\sigma)\sigma_*(\lambda g^{-1}) = (S(\sigma)\sigma_*\lambda)(\sigma_* g)^{-1}.$$

This proves (29) and the proposition. \square

4 The framed braid group

In this section we recall the well known correspondence between the braid group and the mapping class group (see Birman [1]) and extend it to the framed braid group introduced by Ko and Smolinsky [6].

Let Σ be a compact oriented 2-manifold, $Z \subset \Sigma$ be a finite set consisting of m points, and choose a base point $z_0 \in \Sigma \setminus Z$. We assume either that Σ has no boundary and positive genus or that $\Sigma = \mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| \leq 1\}$ is the closed unit disc, $Z \subset \text{int}(\mathbb{D})$, and $z_0 \in \partial\mathbb{D}$. Denote by $\text{Diff}(\Sigma, z_0)$ the group of all diffeomorphisms of Σ that fix z_0 and by $\text{Diff}_0(\Sigma, z_0) \subset \text{Diff}(\Sigma, z_0)$ the identity component. Define

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G} &:= \{\phi \in \text{Diff}_0(\Sigma, z_0) \mid \phi(Z) = Z\}, \\ \mathcal{G}_0 &:= \{\phi \in \mathcal{G} \mid \exists \phi_t \in \mathcal{G} \text{ s.t. } \phi_0 = \text{id}, \phi_1 = \phi\}.\end{aligned}$$

Here $[0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Diff}(\Sigma, z_0) : t \mapsto \phi_t$ is a smooth isotopy of diffeomorphisms fixing the base point. Thus \mathcal{G}_0 is the identity component of \mathcal{G} . The **mapping class group** is the quotient

$$\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}_0 = \pi_0(\mathcal{G}).$$

It is naturally isomorphic to the braid group.

Fix an ordering $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_m\}$ and let \mathfrak{S}_m denote the group of permutations. The **braid group** \mathcal{B} on m strings in $\Sigma \setminus \{z_0\}$ based at Z is defined as the fundamental group of the configuration space of m unordered distinct points in $\Sigma \setminus \{z_0\}$. Think of a braid as an m -tuple of smooth paths $\beta_i : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Sigma$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, which avoid z_0 , are pairwise distinct for each t , and satisfy $\beta_i(0) = z_i$, $\beta_i(1) = z_{\pi(i)}$ for some permutation $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_m$. Thus \mathcal{B} is the group of homotopy classes of braids. The composition law is $[\beta] \cdot [\alpha] := [\beta\alpha]$, where $\beta\alpha$ denotes the braid obtained by first running through α and then through β .

The isomorphism

$$\Phi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}_0$$

is defined as follows. Given a braid β choose a smooth isotopy $\{\phi_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ in $\text{Diff}(\Sigma, z_0)$ with $\phi_0 = \text{id}$ satisfying $\phi_t(z_i) = \beta_i(t)$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and define $\Phi([\beta]) := [\phi_1]$. To see that this map is well defined choose a smooth family of vector fields $v_t \in \text{Vect}(\Sigma)$ satisfying $v_t(z_0) = 0$ and $v_t(\beta_i(t)) = \dot{\beta}_i(t)$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, and let ϕ_t be the isotopy generated by v_t via $\partial_t \phi_t = v_t \circ \phi_t$ and $\phi_0 = \text{id}$. The existence of v_t follows from an easy argument using cutoff functions, and that the isotopy class of ϕ_1 is independent of the choices of β and v_t follows from a parametrized version of the same argument respectively from taking convex combinations of vector fields.

That Φ is a surjective group homomorphism is obvious. That it is injective follows from the fact that the identity component $\text{Diff}_0(\Sigma, z_0)$ of $\text{Diff}(\Sigma, z_0)$

is simply connected. In fact it is contractible. To see this for genus bigger than one consider the fibration

$$\mathrm{Diff}_0(\Sigma, z_0) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Diff}_0(\Sigma) \rightarrow \tilde{\Sigma}$$

and use the fact that $\mathrm{Diff}_0(\Sigma)$ is contractible. The latter follows from the contractibility of Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma) := \mathcal{J}(\Sigma)/\mathrm{Diff}_0(\Sigma)$ (see [5]). In the case of genus one the group $\mathrm{Diff}_0(\mathbb{T}^2, z_0) = \mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{T}^2, z_0) \cap \mathrm{Diff}_0(\mathbb{T}^2)$ acts freely on $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{T}^2)$ and there is a diffeomorphism $\mathrm{Diff}_0(\mathbb{T}^2, z_0) \times \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{T}^2)$ (see for example [8, Theorem 7.3]). In the case of the disc the group $\mathrm{Diff}_0(\mathbb{D})$ of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of the disc acts transitively on $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{D})$ with isotropy subgroup $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. This action gives rise to a diffeomorphism from $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{D})$ to the subgroup of all diffeomorphisms that fix a point on the boundary and a point in the interior (see [7, Theorem C.5.1]). Hence the group $\mathrm{Diff}_0(\mathbb{D}, z_0)$ is contractible for every $z_0 \in \partial\mathbb{D}$.

The framed braid group is an extension of the braid group \mathcal{B} . Choose nonzero tangent vectors $v_z \in T_z\Sigma$ for $z \in Z$ and define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{G}} &:= \{ \phi \in \mathrm{Diff}_0(\Sigma, z_0) \mid \phi(Z) = Z, d\phi(z)v_z = v_{\phi(z)} \forall z \in Z \}, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_0 &:= \{ \phi \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \mid \exists \phi_t \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \text{ s.t. } \phi_0 = \mathrm{id}, \phi_1 = \phi \}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_0$ is the identity component of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$. The **marked mapping class group** is the quotient

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}/\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_0 = \pi_0(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}).$$

It is naturally isomorphic to the **framed braid group** $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ on m strings in $\Sigma \setminus \{z_0\}$. Fix again an ordering $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_m\}$ and denote $v_i := v_{z_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. The framed braid group is defined as the fundamental group of the configuration space of m unordered points in the complement of the zero section in the tangent bundle of $\Sigma \setminus \{z_0\}$ whose base points are pairwise distinct. Think of a framed braid as an m -tuple of smooth paths $(\beta_i, \xi_i) : [0, 1] \rightarrow T\Sigma$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ such that $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)$ is a braid in $\Sigma \setminus \{z_0\}$ satisfying $\beta_i(0) = z_i$ and $\beta_i(1) = z_{\pi(i)}$ for some permutation $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_m$, and each ξ_i is a nonvanishing vector field along β_i such that $\xi_i(0) = v_i$ and $\xi_i(1) = v_{\pi(i)}$. Thus $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is the group of homotopy classes of framed braids.

The isomorphism

$$\tilde{\Phi} : \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{G}}/\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_0$$

is defined as follows. Given a framed braid (β, ξ) choose a smooth isotopy $\{\phi_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ in $\text{Diff}(\Sigma, z_0)$ with $\phi_0 = \text{id}$ satisfying

$$\phi_t(z_i) = \beta_i(t), \quad d\phi_t(z_i)v_i = \xi_i(t), \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad (30)$$

and define $\tilde{\Phi}([\beta, \xi]) := [\phi_1]$. To see that this map is well defined choose a smooth family of vector fields $v_t \in \text{Vect}(\Sigma)$ satisfying $v_t(z_0) = 0$ and

$$v_t(\beta_i(t)) = \dot{\beta}_i(t), \quad \nabla_{\xi_i(t)}v_t(\beta_i(t)) = \nabla_t\xi_i(t), \quad i = 1, \dots, m. \quad (31)$$

(Here ∇ is a torsion free connection on $T\Sigma$ but the second equation in (31) is independent of this choice.) Now let ϕ_t be the isotopy generated by v_t via $\partial_t\phi_t = v_t \circ \phi_t$ and $\phi_0 = \text{id}$. Then ϕ_t satisfies (30). As above, the existence of v_t follows from an easy argument using cutoff functions, and that the isotopy class of ϕ_1 is independent of the choices of β and v_t follows from a parametrized version of the same argument respectively from taking convex combinations of vector fields. That $\tilde{\Phi}$ is a surjective group homomorphism is obvious and that it is injective follows again from the definitions and the fact that $\text{Diff}_0(\Sigma, z_0)$ is simply connected.

Remark 4.1. There is an obvious action of the mapping class group on the braid group induced by the action of \mathcal{G} on \mathcal{B} via

$$\phi_*[\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m] := [\phi \circ \beta_{\pi(1)}, \dots, \phi \circ \beta_{\pi(m)}],$$

for $\phi \in \mathcal{G}$ and a braid $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)$, where $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_m$ is the permutation defined by $\phi(z_{\pi(i)}) = z_i$. On the other hand we have seen that the mapping class group can be identified with the braid group. The resulting action of the braid group on itself is given by inner automorphisms. In other words

$$\Phi(\alpha)_*\beta = \alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{B}$. The same holds for the framed braid group.

The framed braid group fits into an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^m \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightarrow 1. \quad (32)$$

In the following we shall not distinguish in notation between the mapping class group $\pi_0(\mathcal{G})$ and the braid group \mathcal{B} nor between $\pi_0(\tilde{\mathcal{G}})$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$.

5 Distinguished configurations

Let Σ, Z, z_0 be as in Section 4. An m -tuple $c = (c_1, \dots, c_m)$ of smooth paths $c_i : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Sigma$ is called a **distinguished configuration** if

- (i) each c_i is an embedding with $c_i(0) = z_0$ and, for $i \neq j$, the paths c_i and c_j meet only at z_0 ;
- (ii) $\{c_1(1), \dots, c_m(1)\} = Z$;
- (iii) the vectors $\dot{c}_1(0), \dots, \dot{c}_m(0)$ are pairwise linearly independent and are ordered clockwise in $T_{z_0}\Sigma$.

Two distinguished configurations c^0 and c^1 are called **homotopic** if there is a smooth homotopy $\{c^\lambda\}_{0 \leq \lambda \leq 1}$ of distinguished configurations from c^0 to c^1 . The set of homotopy classes of distinguished configurations will be denoted by \mathcal{C} . Note that each distinguished configuration c determines an ordering $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_m\}$ via $z_i := c_i(1)$.

Theorem 5.1. *The braid group \mathcal{B} acts freely and transitively on \mathcal{C} via*

$$([\phi], [c_1, \dots, c_m]) \mapsto [\phi \circ c_1, \dots, \phi \circ c_m] =: [\phi_*c]. \quad (33)$$

Proof. We prove that the action is transitive. Given two distinguished configurations c and c' we need to construct an element $\psi \in \text{Diff}_0(\Sigma, z_0)$ such that ψ_*c is homotopic to c' . Up to homotopy we can assume that there is a constant $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $c_i(t) = c'_i(t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon$. Now construct an isotopy $[\varepsilon, 1] \rightarrow \text{Diff}_0(\Sigma, z_0) : \lambda \mapsto \phi_\lambda$ satisfying $\phi_1 = \text{id}$ and

$$\phi_\lambda(c_i(t)) = c_i(\lambda t), \quad \varepsilon \leq \lambda \leq 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

by choosing an appropriate family of vector fields. Choose an analogous isotopy ϕ'_λ for c' and define

$$\psi := (\phi'_\varepsilon)^{-1} \circ \phi_\varepsilon.$$

Then $\psi(c_i(t)) = c'_i(t)$ as required.

We prove that the action is free. Let c be a distinguished configuration and $\phi \in \mathcal{G}$ such that ϕ_*c is homotopic to c . We prove in five steps that ϕ belongs to the identity component \mathcal{G}_0 .

Step 1. *We may assume that $d\phi(z_0) = \mathbb{1}$.*

It suffices to prove that, for every matrix $A \in \text{GL}^+(n, \mathbb{R})$, there is a diffeomorphism $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, supported in the unit ball and isotopic to the identity through diffeomorphisms with support in the unit ball, that satisfies $\psi(0) = 0$ and $d\psi(0) = A$. If A is symmetric and positive definite we may assume that A is a diagonal matrix and choose ψ in the form $\psi(x) = (\psi_1(x_1), \dots, \psi_n(x_n))$ where each ψ_i is a suitable monotone diffeomorphism of \mathbb{R} . If A is orthogonal we choose a smooth path $[0, 1] \rightarrow \text{SO}(n) : r \mapsto A_r$, constant near the ends, with $A_0 = A$ and $A_1 = \mathbb{1}$ and define $\psi(x) := A_{|x|}x$. The general case follows by polar decomposition.

Step 2. *We may assume that ϕ agrees with the identity near z_0 .*

Let ϕ be a diffeomorphism of \mathbb{R}^n with $\phi(0) = 0$ and $d\phi(0) = \mathbb{1}$ and choose a smooth nonincreasing cutoff function $\beta : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ equal to one near zero and vanishing near one. Then, for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, the formula

$$\phi_\lambda(x) := \lambda\beta(|x|/\varepsilon) + (1 - \lambda\beta(|x|/\varepsilon))\phi(x)$$

defines an isotopy from $\phi_0 = \phi$ to a diffeomorphism ϕ_1 equal to the identity near the origin such that, for each λ , ϕ_λ agrees with ϕ outside the ball of radius ε . Now choose a local coordinate chart near z_0 to carry this construction over to Σ .

Step 3. *We may assume that ϕ agrees with the identity near z_0 and $\phi_*c = c$.*

Assume, by Step 2, that ϕ agrees with the identity near z_0 . Then the homotopy $\lambda \mapsto c^\lambda$ from $c^0 = c$ to $c^1 = \phi_*c$ can be chosen such that $c^\lambda(t)$ is independent of t for t sufficiently small. Hence there exists a family of vector fields $v^\lambda \in \text{Vect}(\Sigma)$ satisfying

$$v^\lambda(c_i^\lambda(t)) = \partial_\lambda c_i^\lambda(t)$$

for all λ, t, i and $v^\lambda(z) = 0$ for z near z_0 . Integrating this family of vector fields yields a diffeomorphism $\psi \in \mathcal{G}_0$ such that $\psi_*\phi_*c = c$.

Step 4. *We may assume that ϕ agrees with the identity near the union of the images of the c_i .*

Assume, by Step 3, that $\phi(c_i(t)) = c_i(t)$ for all t and i and that ϕ agrees with the identity near z_0 . If $d\phi(c_i(t)) = \mathbb{1}$ for all i and t we can use an interpolation argument as in Step 2 to deform ϕ to a diffeomorphism that satisfies the requirement of Step 4. To achieve the condition $d\phi(c_i(t)) = \mathbb{1}$

via a prior deformation we must solve the following problem. Given two smooth functions $a : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $b : [0, 1] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ find a diffeomorphism $\psi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ that is equal to the identity outside a small neighborhood of the set $[0, 1] \times \{0\}$ and satisfies

$$\psi(x, 0) = (x, 0), \quad d\psi(x, 0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a(x) \\ 0 & b(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1.$$

It suffices to treat the cases $a(x) \equiv 0$ and $b(x) \equiv 1$. For $b(x) \equiv 1$ one can use an interpolation argument as in the proof of Step 2. For $a(x) \equiv 0$ one can use a parametrized version of the argument for the positive definite case in the proof of Step 1.

Step 5. *We prove that $\phi \in \mathcal{G}_0$.*

Assume, by Step 4, that there is a coordinate chart $u : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Sigma$ such that $c_i(t) \in u(\mathbb{D})$ for all i and t and $\phi \circ u = u$. Choose any isotopy $[0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Diff}_0(\Sigma, z_0) : \lambda \mapsto \phi_\lambda$ from $\phi_0 = \text{id}$ to $\phi_1 = \phi$. By a parametrized version of the argument in Step 1 we may assume that $d\phi_\lambda(z_0) = \mathbb{1}$ for every λ . By a parametrized version of the argument in Step 2 we may assume that there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\phi_\lambda \circ u$ agrees with u on the disc of radius ε for every λ . Choose a diffeomorphism $\psi : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$, supported in $u(\mathbb{D})$, such that

$$\psi(u(\{z \in \mathbb{D} \mid |z| \leq 1 - \varepsilon\})) = u(\{z \in \mathbb{D} \mid |z| \leq \varepsilon\}).$$

Then $\lambda \mapsto \psi^{-1} \circ \phi_\lambda \circ \psi$ is an isotopy in \mathcal{G} and so $\phi = \psi^{-1} \circ \phi \circ \psi \in \mathcal{G}_0$. This proves the theorem. \square

Let us now choose nonzero tangent vectors $v_i \in T_{z_i}\Sigma$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. A **marked distinguished configuration** is a distinguished configuration $c = (c_1, \dots, c_m)$ satisfying

$$\dot{c}_i(1) = -v_{\pi(i)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

where $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_m$ is the permutation given by $c_i(1) = z_{\pi(i)}$. The notion of homotopy carries over to marked distinguished configurations and the set of homotopy classes will be denoted by $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. Now the proof of Theorem 5.1 carries over word by word to the present situation and proves the following.

Theorem 5.2. *The framed braid group $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ acts freely and transitively on $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ via (33).*

6 Cocycles

Let $Z \subset \Sigma$ be a finite set consisting of m points and $v_z \in T_z \Sigma$ be a collection of nonzero tangent vectors for $z \in Z$. Choose a base point $z_0 \in \Sigma \setminus Z$ and let $\Gamma := \pi_1(\Sigma \setminus Z; z_0)$ be the fundamental group. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}(\Sigma \setminus \{z_0\})$ be the framed braid group on m strings in $\Sigma \setminus \{z_0\}$ based at Z (see Section 4) and $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ be the set of homotopy classes of marked distinguished configurations (see Section 5). Each marked distinguished configuration $c = (c_1, \dots, c_m)$ determines an ordering $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_m\}$ via $z_i := c_i(1)$ and m distinguished elements

$$g_{1,c}, \dots, g_{m,c} \in \Gamma.$$

The element $g_i = g_{i,c}$ is the homotopy class of the based loop in $\Sigma \setminus Z$ obtained by following the path c_i , encircling z_i once counterclockwise, and returning to z_0 along c_i^{-1} . Fix a positive integer n and denote by

$$\mathcal{S}_c = \mathcal{S}_c(n) := \{ \mathcal{N} : \Gamma_m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m} \mid \mathcal{N} \text{ satisfies (9), (10), (11)} \}$$

the space of monodromy characters on $(\Gamma_m, g_{1,c}, \dots, g_{m,c})$ (see Section 3).

Let $f : X \rightarrow \Sigma$ be a Lefschetz fibration with fibers of complex dimension n and singular fibers over the elements of Z , each of them containing precisely one critical point. Denote the monodromy action of the fundamental group of $\Sigma \setminus Z$ on the middle dimensional homology of the regular fiber $M := f^{-1}(z_0)$ by $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H_n(M))$. Fix a collection of orientations of the unstable manifolds of $z \in Z$ in the directions v_z . Then every marked distinguished configuration $c = (c_1, \dots, c_m)$ determines m oriented vanishing cycles in the regular fiber over z_0 . We denote their homology classes by

$$L_{1,c}, \dots, L_{m,c} \in H_n(M).$$

These give rise to a monodromy character $\mathcal{N}_c^X = (n_{ij}) \in \mathcal{S}_c$ via

$$n_{ij}(g) := \langle L_{i,c}, \rho(g)L_{j,c} \rangle \quad (34)$$

(see Section 2). In this section we construct isomorphisms $\mathcal{T}_{\tau,c} : \mathcal{S}_c \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\tau_*c}$ for $\tau \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ that extend the correspondence $\mathcal{N}_c^X \mapsto \mathcal{N}_{\tau_*c}^X$. We begin with a general discussion of cocycles and coboundaries.

Definition 6.1 (Serre [11]). *Let G and A be groups. Suppose that G acts covariantly on A and denote the action by $G \times A \rightarrow A : (g, a) \mapsto g_*a$. A map $s : G \rightarrow A$ is called a **cocycle** if*

$$s(gh) = s(g)g_*s(h), \quad s(1) = 1. \quad (35)$$

Two cocycles $s_0, s_1 : G \rightarrow A$ are called **cohomologous** if there is an element $a \in A$ such that

$$s_1(g) = a^{-1}s_0(g)g_*a. \quad (36)$$

The set of equivalence classes of cocycles is denoted by $H^1(G, A)$.

Remark 6.2. The semidirect product $G \ltimes A$ is equipped with the group operation

$$(g, a) \cdot (h, b) := (gh, ag_*b).$$

A map $s : G \rightarrow A$ is a cocycle if and only if the map

$$G \rightarrow G \ltimes A : g \mapsto (g, s(g))$$

is a homomorphism (and the homomorphisms associated to two cohomologous cocycles are conjugate by the element $(1, a) \in G \ltimes A$).

Given a marked distinguished configuration c , a framed braid $\sigma = [\phi] \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ with $\phi \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ determines a permutation $\pi_{\sigma,c} \in \mathfrak{S}_m$ such that $\phi(z_i) = z_{\pi_{\sigma,c}(i)}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. These permutations satisfy

$$\pi_{\sigma\tau,c} = \pi_{\sigma,c} \circ \pi_{\tau,c}, \quad \pi_{\sigma,\tau_*c} = \pi_{\tau,c}^{-1} \circ \pi_{\sigma,c} \circ \pi_{\tau,c}. \quad (37)$$

For $c \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$ define the function $s_{j,c} : \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \Gamma$ by

$$s_{j,c}(\sigma) := c_i^{-1} \cdot \sigma_*c_j, \quad i := \pi_{\sigma,c}(j). \quad (38)$$

Here the right hand side denotes the catenation of the paths σ_*c_j and c_i^{-1} pushed away from z_i in the common tangent direction v_i .

Lemma 6.3. *The functions $s_{j,c} : \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \Gamma$ defined by (38) satisfy the conjugation condition*

$$\sigma_*g_{j,c} = s_{j,c}(\sigma)^{-1}g_{i,c}s_{j,c}(\sigma), \quad i := \pi_{\sigma,c}(j), \quad (39)$$

the cocycle condition

$$s_{k,c}(\sigma\tau) = s_{j,c}(\sigma)\sigma_*s_{k,c}(\tau), \quad j := \pi_{\tau,c}(k), \quad (40)$$

and the coboundary condition

$$s_{k,c}(\sigma\tau) = s_{\ell,c}(\tau)s_{k,\tau_*c}(\sigma), \quad \ell := \pi_{\sigma,\tau_*c}(k) \quad (41)$$

for $\sigma, \tau \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ and $j, k = 1, \dots, m$.

Proof. To prove (39) we denote $i := \pi_{\sigma,c}(j)$. Then

$$\sigma_* g_{j,c} = g_{i,\sigma_*c} = (\sigma_* c_j)^{-1} \cdot c_i \cdot g_{i,c} \cdot c_i^{-1} \cdot \sigma_* c_j,$$

where the middle term $c_i \cdot g_{i,c} \cdot c_i^{-1}$ represents a counterclockwise turn about z_i . To prove (40) we denote $j := \pi_{\tau,c}(k)$ and $i := \pi_{\sigma,c}(j) = \pi_{\sigma\tau,c}(k)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} s_{j,c}(\sigma) \sigma_* s_{k,c}(\tau) &= c_i^{-1} \cdot \sigma_* c_j \cdot \sigma_*(c_j^{-1} \cdot \tau_* c_k) \\ &= c_i^{-1} \cdot \sigma_* \tau_* c_k \\ &= s_{k,c}(\sigma\tau). \end{aligned}$$

To prove (41) we denote $\ell := \pi_{\sigma,\tau_*c}(k)$ and $i := \pi_{\tau,c}(\ell) = \pi_{\sigma\tau,c}(k)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} s_{\ell,c}(\tau) s_{k,\tau_*c}(\sigma) &= c_i^{-1} \cdot \tau_* c_\ell \cdot (\tau_* c)_\ell^{-1} \cdot \sigma_*(\tau_* c)_k \\ &= c_i^{-1} \cdot \sigma_* \tau_* c_k \\ &= s_{k,c}(\sigma\tau). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma. \square

For every marked distinguished configuration $c = (c_1, \dots, c_m)$ we define the function $S_c : \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])$ by

$$(S_c(\sigma))_{ij} := \begin{cases} s_{j,c}(\sigma), & \text{if } i = \pi_\sigma(j), \\ 0, & \text{if } i \neq \pi_\sigma(j). \end{cases} \quad (42)$$

Theorem 6.4. *The functions S_c satisfy the following conditions.*

(Homotopy) *If c is homotopic to c' then $S_c = S_{c'}$.*

(Cocycle) *For all $c \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\sigma, \tau \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ we have*

$$S_c(\sigma\tau) = S_c(\sigma) \sigma_* S_c(\tau). \quad (43)$$

(Coboundary) *For all $c \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\sigma, \tau \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ we have*

$$S_{\tau_*c}(\sigma) = S_c(\tau)^{-1} S_c(\sigma) \sigma_* S_c(\tau). \quad (44)$$

(Representation) *For $c \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\tau \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$, the matrix $S_c(\tau) \in \text{GL}_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])$ induces a collection of isomorphisms*

$$S_c(\tau) : \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{T}_\tau,c(\mathcal{N})} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}}, \quad \mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{S}_c,$$

that preserve the structures (4).

(Monodromy) For each $c \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ the formula

$$\sigma^* \mathcal{N} := (S_c(\sigma)^t \mathcal{N} S_c(\sigma)) \circ \sigma_* \quad (45)$$

defines a contravariant group action of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ on \mathcal{S}_c . For $c \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\tau \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ the formula

$$\mathcal{T}_{\tau,c}(\mathcal{N}) := S_c(\tau)^t \mathcal{N} S_c(\tau) \quad (46)$$

defines an equivariant isomorphism from \mathcal{S}_c to \mathcal{S}_{τ_*c} . The isomorphisms satisfy the composition rule $\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,\tau_*c} \circ \mathcal{T}_{\tau,c} = \mathcal{T}_{\sigma\tau,c}$.

(Lefschetz) If $X \rightarrow \Sigma$ is a Lefschetz fibration with singular fibers over Z then

$$\mathcal{T}_{\tau,c}(\mathcal{N}_c^X) = \mathcal{N}_{\tau_*c}^X$$

for all $c \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\tau \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$. Moreover, if $\Phi_c^X : \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}_c^X} \rightarrow V/W$ denotes the isomorphism of Remark 2.4, then $\Phi_{\tau_*c}^X = \Phi_c^X \circ S_c(\tau) : \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{N}_{\tau_*c}^X} \rightarrow V/W$.

(Odd) If n is odd then the contravariant action of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ on \mathcal{S}_c descends to \mathcal{B} .

Proof. The (Homotopy) condition is obvious. To prove the (Cocycle) condition (43) we denote $j := \pi_{\tau,c}(k)$ and $i := \pi_{\sigma,c}(j) = \pi_{\sigma\tau,c}(k)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (S_c(\sigma)\sigma_*S_c(\tau))_{ik} &= \sum_{\nu=1}^m (S_c(\sigma))_{i\nu} \sigma_*((S_c(\tau))_{\nu k}) \\ &= (S_c(\sigma))_{ij} \sigma_*(S_c(\tau))_{jk} \\ &= s_{j,c}(\sigma) \sigma_* s_{k,c}(\tau) \\ &= s_{k,c}(\sigma\tau) \\ &= (S_c(\sigma\tau))_{ik}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used (40) and (42). For $i \neq \pi_{\sigma\tau,c}(k)$ the (i,k) entry of both matrices $S_c(\sigma\tau)$ and $S_c(\sigma)\sigma_*S_c(\tau)$ is zero. Thus we have proved (43).

To prove the (Coboundary) condition (44) we denote $\ell := \pi_{\sigma,\tau_*c}(k)$ and $i := \pi_{\tau,c}(\ell) = \pi_{\sigma\tau,c}(k)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (S_c(\tau)S_{\tau_*c}(\sigma))_{ik} &= \sum_{\nu=1}^m (S_c(\tau))_{i\nu} (S_{\tau_*c}(\sigma))_{\nu k} \\ &= (S_c(\tau))_{i\ell} (S_{\tau_*c}(\sigma))_{\ell k} \\ &= s_{\ell,c}(\tau) s_{k,\tau_*c}(\sigma) \\ &= s_{k,c}(\sigma\tau) \\ &= (S_c(\sigma\tau))_{j\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used (41) and (42). For $i \neq \pi_{\sigma\tau,c}(k)$ the (i, k) entry of both matrices $S_c(\sigma\tau)$ and $S_c(\tau)S_{\tau_*c}(\sigma)$ is zero. Thus we have proved (44).

The (*Representation*) condition follows from the proof of Proposition 3.7. To prove the (*Monodromy*) condition let $\mathcal{N} = (n_{ij}) \in \mathcal{S}_c$ and $\tau \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$. We must prove that $\mathcal{T}_{\tau,c}(\mathcal{N}) := S_c(\tau)^t \mathcal{N} S_c(\tau) \in \mathcal{S}_{\tau_*c}$. To see this denote the entries of $\mathcal{T}_{\tau,c}(\mathcal{N})$ by \tilde{n}_{ij} and observe that

$$\tilde{n}_{ij}(g) = n_{i'j'}(s_{i,c}(\tau)g s_{j,c}(\tau)^{-1}), \quad i' := \pi_{\tau,c}(i), \quad j' := \pi_{\tau,c}(j). \quad (47)$$

That the functions \tilde{n}_{ij} satisfy (9) and (10) is obvious from this formula. To prove (11) we abbreviate $\varepsilon := (-1)^{n(n+1)/2}$, $k' := \pi_{\tau,c}(k)$, and compute

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{n}_{ij}(gg_{k,\tau_*c}h) &= n_{i'j'}(s_{i,c}(\tau)g(\tau_*g_{k,c})h s_{j,c}(\tau)^{-1}) \\ &= n_{i'j'}(s_{i,c}(\tau)g s_{k,c}(\tau)^{-1}g_{k',c} s_{k,c}(\tau)h s_{j,c}(\tau)^{-1}) \\ &= n_{i'j'}(s_{i,c}(\tau)g h s_{j,c}(\tau)^{-1}) \\ &\quad - \varepsilon n_{i'k'}(s_{i,c}(\tau)g s_{k,c}(\tau)^{-1})n_{k'j'}(s_{k,c}(\tau)h s_{j,c}(\tau)^{-1}) \\ &= \tilde{n}_{ij}(gh) - \varepsilon \tilde{n}_{ik}(g)\tilde{n}_{kj}(h). \end{aligned}$$

Here the first and last equations follow from (47), the second equation follows from (39), and the third follows from (11) for $n_{i'j'}$. Thus we have proved that $\mathcal{T}_{\tau,c}(\mathcal{N}) \in \mathcal{S}_{\tau_*c}$, as claimed, and hence also

$$\tau^*\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{T}_{\tau,c}(\mathcal{N}) \circ \tau_* \in \mathcal{S}_c.$$

That equation (45) defines a contravariant group action of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ on \mathcal{S}_c for every $c \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ follows immediately from the (*Cocycle*) condition (43). That the operator $\mathcal{T}_{\tau,c} : \mathcal{S}_c \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\tau_*c}$ is equivariant under the action of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ follows from the (*Coboundary*) condition (44). The composition rule follows from the definitions as well as (43) and (44). This proves the (*Monodromy*) condition.

To prove the (*Lefschetz*) condition we fix a symplectic Lefschetz fibration $f : X \rightarrow \Sigma$ with critical fibers over Z as well as a marked distinguished configuration $c \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ and a framed braid $\sigma \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$. Let $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H_n(M))$ be the associated monodromy representation and, for $g \in \Gamma$, let

$$n_{ij}(g) := \langle L_{i,c}, \rho(g)L_{j,c} \rangle$$

denote the entries of the intersection matrix $\mathcal{N}_c^X(g)$. Then

$$L_{i,\tau_*c} = \rho(s_{i,c}(\tau)^{-1})L_{i',c}, \quad i' := \pi_{\tau,c}(i).$$

Hence the entries of the matrix $\mathcal{N}_{\tau_*c}^X(g) =: (\tilde{n}_{ij}(g))$ are

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{n}_{ij}(g) &= \langle L_{i,\tau_*c}, \rho(g)L_{j,\tau_*c} \rangle \\ &= \langle \rho(s_{i,c}(\tau)^{-1})L_{i',c}, \rho(g s_{i,c}(\tau)^{-1})L_{j',c} \rangle \\ &= \langle L_{i',c}, \rho(s_{i,c}(\tau)g s_{i,c}(\tau)^{-1})L_{j',c} \rangle \\ &= n_{i'j'}(s_{i,c}(\tau)g s_{j,c}(\tau)^{-1}).\end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows from (47) that $\mathcal{N}_{\tau_*c}^X = \mathcal{T}_{\tau,c}(\mathcal{N}_c^X)$ as claimed. Thus we have proved the (*Lefschetz*) condition.

Now assume that n is odd. Then it follows from (16) that

$$n_{ij}(g) = n_{ij}(g_{i,c}g) = n_{ij}(gg_{j,c}) \quad (48)$$

for $\mathcal{N} = (n_{ij}) \in \mathcal{S}_c$. Moreover, if $\tau \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ belongs to the kernel of the homomorphism $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ then $\pi_{\tau,c} = \text{id} \in \mathfrak{S}_m$ and $s_{i,c}(\tau) = g_{i,c}^{k_i}$ for some $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence equations (47) and (48) show that any such element τ acts trivially on \mathcal{S}_c . This proves the theorem. \square

Remark 6.5. For every $c \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ the map $S_c : \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])$ is injective, because $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ acts freely and transitively on $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ (see Theorem 5.2).

7 The braid group of the disc

In this section we examine our algebraic setting for surfaces of genus zero. Then the fundamental group $\Gamma = \pi_1(\Sigma \setminus Z, z_0)$ is freely generated by the special elements $g_{1,c}, \dots, g_{m,c}$ associated to a distinguished configuration $c \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. We have seen in Example 3.4 that in this case any monodromy character $\mathcal{N} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$ is uniquely determined by a single matrix $N := \mathcal{N}(1)$. If $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_c^X$ is the monodromy character of a Lefschetz fibration $f : X \rightarrow \Sigma$ with critical fibers over Z then $N_c^X = \mathcal{N}_c^X(1)$ is the intersection matrix of the vanishing cycles with entries $n_{ij} = \langle L_{i,c}, L_{j,c} \rangle$. The matrix N satisfies

$$N^T = (-1)^n N, \quad n_{ii} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ 2(-1)^{n/2}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \quad (49)$$

Let $\mathcal{S}_m = \mathcal{S}_m(n)$ denote the set of integer $m \times m$ matrices N that satisfy (49). For $N \in \mathcal{S}_m$ denote

$$\mathbb{H}_N := \mathbb{Z}^m / \ker N.$$

This space is equipped with an intersection form $\langle \lambda, \mu \rangle := \lambda^T N \mu$ and a group action $\rho_{N,c} : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{H}_N)$ given by

$$\rho_{N,c}(g_{i,c}) = \mathbb{1} - (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} E_i N. \quad (50)$$

Here $E_i \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$ is the matrix with the i th entry on the diagonal equal to one and zeroes elsewhere. There is a natural bijection

$$\mathcal{S}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_c : N \mapsto N \rho_{N,c} \quad (51)$$

(see Example 3.4). The bijection (51) gives rise to an action of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ on the space $\mathcal{S}_m \subset \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$ which we describe next.

To state the result it is convenient to choose generators $\sigma_{2,c}, \dots, \sigma_{m,c}, \varepsilon_{1,c}, \dots, \varepsilon_{m,c}$ for the framed braid group $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ associated to a marked distinguished configuration $c = (c_1, \dots, c_m) \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. The generators are uniquely determined by their action on the single distinguished configuration c (because the action is transitive and free). The generator $\sigma_{i,c}$ preserves the curves c_j for $j \neq i-1, i$ and replaces the pair (c_{i-1}, c_i) by $(c_i g_{i-1,c}, c_{i-1})$. Geometrically, this braid can be realized by a half Dehn twist along the curve $c_i c_{i-1}^{-1}$ (first c_{i-1}^{-1} then c_i). The framing is determined by a vector field tangent to the curves c_j with z_0 as an attracting fixed point. The generator $\varepsilon_{i,c}$ preserves the curves c_j for $j \neq i$ and replaces c_i by $c_i g_i$. Geometrically it can be realized as a lift of the trivial braid with the framing given by a counterclockwise turn about $z_i = c_i(1)$ and the trivial framing over z_j for $j \neq i$. The action of the generators on c is illustrated in Figure 2.




Figure 2: The action of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ on c .

Remark 7.1. The $\sigma_i = \sigma_{i,c}$ satisfy the standard braid relations

$$\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}, \quad \sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i, \quad (52)$$

for $|i - j| \geq 2$. The $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_{i,c}$ commute with each other and satisfy

$$\varepsilon_i \sigma_i = \sigma_i \varepsilon_{i-1}, \quad \varepsilon_{i-1} \sigma_i = \sigma_i \varepsilon_i, \quad (53)$$

and $\varepsilon_j \sigma_i = \sigma_i \varepsilon_j$ for $j \neq i - 1, i$.

For $k = 2, \dots, m$ we denote by Σ_k the permutation matrix of the transposition $(k-1, k)$ and, for $i = 1, \dots, m$, we denote by D_i the diagonal matrix with i th entry on the diagonal equal to $(-1)^{n+1}$ and the other diagonal entries equal to 1.

Theorem 7.2. *There is a unique collection of maps*

$$S_c^0 : \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \times \mathcal{S}_m \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{Z}),$$

one for every marked distinguished configuration c , satisfying the following conditions.

(Homotopy) *If c is homotopic to c' then $S_c^0 = S_{c'}^0$.*

(Cocycle) *For all $c \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, $N \in \mathcal{S}_m$, and $\sigma, \tau \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ we have*

$$S_c^0(\sigma\tau, N) = S_c^0(\sigma, N)S_c^0(\tau, S_c^0(\sigma, N)^T N S_c^0(\sigma, N)) \quad (54)$$

(Coboundary) *For all $c \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, $N \in \mathcal{S}_m$, and $\sigma, \tau \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ we have*

$$S_{\tau*c}^0(\sigma, N) = S_c^0(\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau, N) \quad (55)$$

or, equivalently, $S_c^0(\sigma\tau, N) = S_c^0(\tau, N)S_{\tau*c}^0(\sigma, S_c^0(\tau, N)^T N S_c^0(\tau, N))$.

(Normalization) *For all $c \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ and $N \in \mathcal{S}_m$ we have $S_c^0(1, N) = \mathbb{1}$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} S_c^0(\sigma_{k,c}, N) &= \Sigma_k - (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} n_{k-1,k} E_{k-1}, & k = 2, \dots, m, \\ S_c^0(\varepsilon_{i,c}, N) &= D_i, & i = 1, \dots, m. \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

(Representation) *Let $\sigma \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$, $c \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, and $N \in \mathcal{S}_m$ be given and denote $\sigma^*N := S_c^0(\sigma, N)^T N S_c^0(\sigma, N)$. Then the matrix $S_c^0(\sigma, N)$ induces an isomorphism from \mathbb{H}_{σ^*N} to \mathbb{H}_N which preserves the quadratic forms and satisfies*

$$\rho_{\sigma^*N,c}(g) = S_c^0(\sigma, N)^{-1} \rho_{N,c}(\sigma_*g) S_c^0(\sigma, N). \quad (57)$$

(Monodromy) *The map S_c^0 defines a contravariant action of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ on \mathcal{S}_m via*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}} \times \mathcal{S}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_m : (\sigma, N) \mapsto S_c^0(\sigma, N)^T N S_c^0(\sigma, N). \quad (58)$$

The bijection (51) is equivariant with respect to the action of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ on \mathcal{S}_m by (58) and on \mathcal{S}_c by (45).

(Lefschetz) *If $X \rightarrow \Sigma$ is a Lefschetz fibration with singular fibers over Z then, for $c \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\tau \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$, we have*

$$N_{\tau*c}^X = S_c^0(\tau, N_c^X)^T N_c^X S_c^0(\tau, N_c^X).$$

Proof. The uniqueness statement is obvious. To prove existence, let

$$S_c : \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_m])$$

be the cocycle of Theorem 6.4 and define $S_c^0 : \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m \times \mathcal{S}_m^0 \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{Z})$ by

$$S_c^0(\sigma, N) := (\rho_{N,c} S_c(\sigma))(1) \quad (59)$$

for $\sigma \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ and $N \in \mathcal{S}_m$. Here $\rho_{N,c} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{Z})$ is given by (50) and the term $\rho_{N,c} S_c(\sigma)$ on the right is understood as the convolution product.

We prove that S_c^0 satisfies (56). For $2 \leq k \leq m$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho_{N,c} S_c(\sigma_{k,c}))(1) &= \rho_{N,c}(1) S_c(\sigma_{k,c})(1) + \rho_{N,c}(g_{k-1,c}) S_c(\sigma_{k,c})(g_{k-1,c}^{-1}) \\ &= (\Sigma_k - E_{k,k-1}) + (\mathbb{1} - \varepsilon E_{k-1} N) E_{k,k-1} \\ &= \Sigma_k - \varepsilon n_{k-1,k} E_{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\varepsilon := (-1)^{n(n+1)/2}$ and $E_{k,k-1}$ is the matrix whose entries are all zero except for the entry $(k, k-1)$ which is equal to 1. For $1 \leq i \leq m$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho_{N,c} S_c(\varepsilon_{i,c}))(1) &= \rho_{N,c}(1) S_c(\varepsilon_{i,c})(1) + \rho_{N,c}(g_{i,c}^{-1}) S_c(\varepsilon_{i,c})(g_{i,c}) \\ &= (\mathbb{1} - E_i) + (\mathbb{1} - (-1)^n \varepsilon E_i N) E_i \\ &= \mathbb{1} - (-1)^n \varepsilon n_{ii} E_i \\ &= D_i. \end{aligned}$$

Here the second equation uses the identity $\rho_{N,c}(g_{i,c}^{-1}) = \mathbb{1} - (-1)^n \varepsilon E_i N$ and the fourth equation follows from (49). We also have $(\rho_{N,c} S_c(1))(1) = \rho_{N,c}(1) = \mathbb{1}$, and the (*Normalization*) condition (56) is proved.

We prove that S_c^0 satisfies (54) and (57) for all $\sigma, \tau \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ and $N \in \mathcal{S}_m$. The proof is by induction on the word length of σ . The induction step relies on the following two observations.

Claim 1. *If (57) holds for σ and N then (54) holds for every τ .*

Claim 2. *If (54) holds for σ, τ , and N and (57) holds for the pairs (σ, N) and $(\tau, \sigma^* N)$, then (57) also holds for the pair $(\sigma\tau, N)$.*

To carry out the induction argument we first observe that (57) holds for every generator σ by direct verification. Hence, by Claim 1, (54) also holds whenever σ is a generator. Assume, by induction, that (54) and (57) hold whenever σ is a word of length at most k . Let σ be a word of length $k+1$.

That (57) holds for every N follows from Claim 2 by decomposing σ as a product of two words of length at most k . Hence, by Claim 1, (54) holds for every τ . Thus it remains to prove Claims 1 and 2.

To prove Claim 1 note that equation (57) can be written in the form

$$\sigma_*(\rho_{\sigma^*N,c}) = S_c^0(\sigma, N)^{-1} \rho_{N,c} S_c^0(\sigma, N).$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} S_c^0(\tau, \sigma^*N) &= (\rho_{\sigma^*N,c} S_c(\tau))(1) \\ &= (\sigma_* \rho_{\sigma^*N,c} \sigma_* S_c(\tau))(1) \\ &= S_c^0(\sigma, N)^{-1} (\rho_{N,c} S_c^0(\sigma, N) \sigma_* S_c(\tau))(1). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we have

$$S_c^0(\sigma\tau, N) = (\rho_{N,c} S_c(\sigma) \sigma_* S_c(\tau))(1) = (\rho_{N,c} S_c^0(\sigma, N) \sigma_* S_c(\tau))(1).$$

Here the second equation follows from the definition of the convolution product and the fact that $\rho_{N,c}$ is a group homomorphism. This proves Claim 1.

To prove Claim 2, we first observe that equation (54) for the triple (σ, τ, N) implies that $(\sigma\tau)^*N = \tau^*\sigma^*N$. With this understood Claim 2 follows immediately from the definitions. Thus we have proved the *(Cocycle)* and *(Representation)* conditions.

To prove the *(Monodromy)* condition it suffices to show that the map (51) is equivariant for every $c \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. Let $N \in \mathcal{S}_m$ and denote $\mathcal{N} := N\rho_{N,c}$. Using the equation $\rho_{N,c}(g)^T N \rho_{N,c}(g) = N$ for $g \in \Gamma$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^*N &= \left(\sum_g S_c(\sigma)(g)^T \rho_{N,c}(g^{-1})^T \right) N \left(\sum_h \rho_{N,c}(h^{-1}) S_c(\sigma)(h) \right) \\ &= \sum_{g,h} S_c(\sigma)^t(g^{-1}) N \rho_{N,c}(gh^{-1}) S_c(\sigma)(h) \\ &= \sum_{g,h} S_c(\sigma)^t(g^{-1}) \mathcal{N}(gh^{-1}) S_c(\sigma)(h) \\ &= (\sigma^*\mathcal{N})(1). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the *(Monodromy)* condition.

The *(Coboundary)* condition follows from the fact that the map $(\sigma, N) \mapsto S_c^0(\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau, N)$ satisfies the *(Cocycle)* condition (54) and agrees with $S_{\tau^*c}^0$ on the generators. The *(Lefschetz)* condition follows from the *(Monodromy)* condition and Theorem 6.4. This proves the theorem. \square

8 An Example

Let $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^2$ be a degree 3 branched cover of a genus 3 surface over the torus with 4 branch points of branching order 2 (see Figure 3). The map




Figure 3: A 3-sheeted branched cover over the torus

f is a covering over the complement of the two slits from z_1 to z_3 and z_2 to z_4 depicted in the figure. The surface Σ is obtained by gluing the three sheets labeled 1, 2 and 3 along the slits as indicated. We identify the fiber M over z_0 with the set $\{1, 2, 3\}$. Each vanishing cycle is an oriented 0-sphere, i.e. consists of two points labeled by opposite signs (which can be chosen arbitrarily). The marked distinguished configuration indicated in the figure determines the vanishing cycles up to orientation. We choose the orientations as follows:

$$L_1 = \{1^-, 2^+\}, \quad L_2 = L_4 = \{1^+, 3^-\}, \quad L_3 = \{1^+, 2^-\}.$$

The fundamental group Γ of the 4-punctured torus is generated by the elements a, b, g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4 where the g_i are determined by the distinguished configuration and a and b are the horizontal and vertical loops indicated in Figure 3. These generators are subject to the relation $b^{-1}a^{-1}ba = g_1g_2g_3g_4$. Understood as permutations, the generators g_1, g_3 and a act by the transposition $(1, 2)$, while g_2 and g_4 act by the transposition $(1, 3)$, and b acts by the transposition $(2, 3)$. Note that the commutator

$$b^{-1}a^{-1}ba = g_1g_2g_3g_4 = (231)$$

is not the identity.

The intersection matrix of the L_i is

$$\mathcal{N}_c(1) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ -2 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover, the images of the L_i under a are

$$a(L_1) = \{1^+, 2^-\}, \quad a(L_2) = a(L_4) = \{2^+, 3^-\}, \quad a(L_3) = \{1^-, 2^+\}$$

and hence

$$\mathcal{N}_c(a) = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 2 & -1 & -2 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Similarly,

$$\mathcal{N}_c(g_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 & -1 & -2 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -2 & -1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{N}_c(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 & -1 & -2 \\ -2 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ -2 & 1 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{N}_c(ab) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -2 & -1 & -2 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{N}_c(ba) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 2 & -1 & -2 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 2 & -1 & -2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{N}_c(g_1) = \mathcal{N}_c(g_3) = \mathcal{N}_c(a)$ and $\mathcal{N}_c(g_4) = \mathcal{N}_c(g_2)$. The matrix $\mathcal{N}_c(g_i)$ can also be obtained from $\mathcal{N}_c(1)$ via the gluing formula

$$\mathcal{N}_c(g_i) = \mathcal{N}_c(1) - \mathcal{N}_c(1)E_i\mathcal{N}_c(1).$$

The action of the fundamental group on the homology of the fiber $M = \{1, 2, 3\}$ obviously factors through the permutation group \mathfrak{S}_3 and hence the function $\mathcal{N}_c : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{4 \times 4}$ is uniquely determined by its values on $1, g_2, a, b, ab$ and ba . One can verify directly that \mathcal{N}_c satisfies (9-11), for example $\mathcal{N}_c(ba) = \mathcal{N}_c(ab)^T$ and

$$\mathcal{N}_c(b) = \mathcal{N}_c(ag_1b) = \mathcal{N}_c(ab) - \mathcal{N}_c(a)E_1\mathcal{N}_c(b).$$

References

- [1] J. Birman, *Braids, Links, and Mapping Class Groups*, Annals of Math. Studies **82**, Princeton University Press, 1975.
- [2] S.K. Donaldson, Lefschetz fibrations in symplectic geometry. *Doc. Math. J. DMV.*, Extra Volume, ICMII:309–314, 1998.
- [3] S.K. Donaldson, Polynomials, vanishing cycles and Floer homology. *Mathematics: frontiers and perspectives*, 55–64, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.
- [4] S.K. Donaldson and R.P. Thomas, Gauge theory in higher dimensions, in *The Geometric Universe (Oxford, 1996)*, 31–47, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1998.
- [5] C. Earle and J. Eells, A fiber bundle description of Teichmüller theory. *J. Differential Geometry* **3** (1969), 19–43.
- [6] K.H. Ko and L. Smolinsky, The framed braid group and 3-manifolds, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, **115** (1992), 541–551.
- [7] D. McDuff and D.A. Salamon, *J-holomorphic Curves and Symplectic Topology*, AMS Colloquium Publications **52**, 2004.
- [8] J.W. Robbin and D.A. Salamon, A construction of the Deligne–Mumford orbifold. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **8** (2006), no. 4, 611–699.
- [9] P. Seidel, Vanishing cycles and mutations, *3rd European Congress of Mathematics (Barcelona 2000)*, Volume II, Progr. Math., Birkhäuser, 2001, pp 65–85, math.SG/0007115.
- [10] P. Seidel, More about vanishing cycles and mutations, *Symplectic Geometry and Mirror Symmetry*, Proc. 4th KIAS International Conference, (Seoul 2000), World Sci., Singapore, 2001, pp 429–465, math.SG/0010032.
- [11] J.-P. Serre, *Local fields*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **67**, Springer, 1979.
- [12] C. Voisin, *Hodge Theory and Complex Algebraic Geometry II*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **77**, CUP, 2003.