

Lefschetz fibrations, intersection numbers, and representations of the framed braid group

Alexandru OANCEA*, Dietmar A. SALAMON

Université Louis Pasteur, Strasbourg, France

ETH Zürich, Switzerland

15 August 2007

Abstract

We examine the action of the fundamental group of a punctured Riemann surface on the middle dimensional homology of the regular fiber in a Lefschetz fibration and describe to what extent this action can be recovered from the intersection numbers of vanishing cycles. Basis changes for the vanishing cycles result in a nonlinear action of the braid group on m strings on a suitable space of $m \times m$ matrices.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Lefschetz fibrations	10
3	Monodromy representations	13
4	The framed braid group	19
5	Distinguished configurations	22
6	Generators and relations	25
7	Cocycles and characters	39
8	Representations of the framed braid group	47
9	Proofs of the main results	59
10	An Example	63

*Supported by the *Swiss National Science Foundation*.

1 Introduction

Picard–Lefschetz theory can be viewed as a complexification of Morse theory with the stable and unstable manifolds replaced by vanishing cycles and the count of connecting orbits in the Morse–Witten complex replaced by the intersection numbers of the vanishing cycles along suitable paths in the base. Relevant topological information that can be recovered from these data includes, in Morse theory, the homology of the underlying manifold and, in Picard–Lefschetz theory, the monodromy action of the fundamental group of the base on the middle dimensional homology of a regular fiber. That the monodromy action on the vanishing cycles can be recovered from the intersection numbers follows from the **Picard–Lefschetz formula**

$$(\psi_L)_*\alpha = \alpha - (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} \langle L, \alpha \rangle L. \quad (1)$$

Here $X \rightarrow \Sigma$ is a Lefschetz fibration over a Riemann surface Σ with fibers of complex dimension n (and precisely one critical point in each singular fiber), M is a regular fiber over $z_0 \in \Sigma$, $L \subset M$ is an oriented vanishing cycle associated to a curve from z_0 to a singular point $z \in \Sigma$, $\psi_L \in \text{Diff}(M)$ is the Dehn–Seidel twist about L obtained from the (counterclockwise) monodromy around the singular fiber along the same curve, $(\psi_L)_*$ is the induced action on $H_n(M)$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the intersection form. Equation (1) continues to hold when $X \rightarrow \Sigma$ is a symplectic Lefschetz fibration as introduced by Donaldson [3, 4]. In either case the vanishing cycles are embedded Lagrangian spheres and so their self-intersection numbers are $2(-1)^{n/2}$ when n is even and 0 when n is odd.

The object of the present paper is to construct an algebraic setting, based on equation (1), which allows us to describe the monodromy action of the fundamental group in terms of intersection matrices. This requires the choice of a distinguished basis of vanishing cycles and the ambiguity in this choice leads us to introduce an action of the braid group on a suitable space of matrix valued functions on the fundamental group (called monodromy characters). One motivation for this work is our attempt to understand complexified Floer homology in the spirit of Donaldson–Thomas theory [5]. In this theory the complex symplectic action or Chern–Simons functional is an infinite dimensional analogue of a Lefschetz fibration. While there are no vanishing cycles, one can (conjecturally) still make sense of their intersection numbers and build a monodromy character whose orbit under the braid group might then

be viewed as an invariant. Another source of inspiration for the present paper is the work of Seidel [11, 12] about vanishing cycles and mutations.

To assemble the intersection numbers into algebraic data it is convenient to choose a collection $c = (c_1, \dots, c_m)$ of ordered embedded paths from a regular value $z_0 \in \Sigma$ to the critical values (see Figure 1). Following Seidel [11]




Figure 1: A distinguished configuration

we call such a collection a **distinguished configuration**. Any distinguished configuration determines an ordering $\{z_1, \dots, z_m\}$ of the set $Z \subset \Sigma$ of critical values by $z_i := c_i(1)$. It also determines m vanishing cycles

$$L_1, \dots, L_m \in H_n(M)$$

as well as m special elements of the fundamental group

$$g_1, \dots, g_m \in \Gamma := \pi_1(\Sigma \setminus Z, z_0).$$

These data give rise to a **monodromy character** $\mathcal{N}_c^X : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$ via

$$\mathcal{N}_c^X(g) := (n_{ij}(g))_{i,j=1}^m, \quad n_{ij}(g) := \langle L_i, \rho(g)L_j \rangle. \quad (2)$$

Here $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H_n(M))$ denotes the monodromy action of the fundamental group. Any such function $\mathcal{N} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$ satisfies the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} n_{ij}(g^{-1}) &= (-1)^n n_{ji}(g), \\ n_{ii}(1) &= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ 2(-1)^{n/2}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \\ n_{ij}(gg_k h) &= n_{ij}(gh) - (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} n_{ik}(g)n_{kj}(h) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

for $g, h \in \Gamma$ and $i, j, k = 1, \dots, m$. The last equation in (3) follows from (1). The part of the middle dimensional homology that is generated by the vanishing cycles can now be recovered as the quotient

$$\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}} := \Lambda / \ker \mathcal{N}, \quad \Lambda := \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]^m$$

where the endomorphism $\mathcal{N} : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ is given by the convolution product $(\mathcal{N}\lambda)(h) := \sum_g \mathcal{N}(hg^{-1})\lambda(g)$. The \mathbb{Z} -module $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$ is equipped with an intersection pairing, a Γ -action $\rho_{\mathcal{N}} : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}})$, and special elements $\mathbb{L}_1, \dots, \mathbb{L}_m \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$, defined by

$$\langle \mu, \lambda \rangle := \sum_{g, h \in \Gamma} \mu(h)^T \mathcal{N}(hg^{-1})\lambda(g), \quad \rho_{\mathcal{N}}(g)[\lambda] := [g_*\lambda], \quad \mathbb{L}_i := [\delta_i]. \quad (4)$$

Here Γ acts on Λ by $(g_*\lambda)(h) := \lambda(hg)$, $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ denotes the standard basis, and $\delta_i : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^m$ is defined by $\delta_i(1) := e_i$ and $\delta_i(g) := 0$ for $g \neq 1$. With these structures $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$ is isomorphic to the subspace of $H_n(M)$ generated by the vanishing cycles modulo the kernel of the intersection form. The isomorphism is induced by the map $\lambda \mapsto \sum_{g,i} \lambda_i(g)\rho(g^{-1})L_i$.

The monodromy character $\mathcal{N}_c^X : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$ depends on the choice of a distinguished configuration c and this dependence gives rise to an action of the braid group \mathcal{B} of $\Sigma \setminus \{z_0\}$ on m strings, based at Z , on the space of monodromy characters. More precisely, let $g_i =: g_{i,c} \in \Gamma$ be the special elements determined by our distinguished configuration c and denote by

$$\mathcal{S}_c := \{ \mathcal{N} = (n_{ij}) : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m} \mid (3) \}$$

the space of monodromy characters on $(\Gamma, g_{1,c}, \dots, g_{m,c})$. The braid group \mathcal{B} , interpreted as the mapping class group of diffeomorphisms in $\text{Diff}_0(\Sigma, z_0)$ that preserve the set Z , acts freely and transitively on the space \mathcal{C} of homotopy classes of distinguished configurations. The braid group also acts on the fundamental group Γ and, for every $\psi \in \mathcal{B}$ and every $c \in \mathcal{C}$, the isomorphism $\psi_* : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ maps $g_{i,c}$ to g_{i,ψ_*c} . Thus composition with ψ_* gives an isomorphism $\mathcal{S}_{\psi_*c} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_c : \mathcal{N} \mapsto \mathcal{N} \circ \psi_*$. Our main theorem asserts that, when n is odd, there is a canonical family of isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{T}_{\psi,c} : \mathcal{S}_c \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\psi_*c}$$

which extends the geometric correspondence $\mathcal{N}_c^X \mapsto \mathcal{N}_{\psi_*c}^X$ between monodromy characters associated to different choices of the distinguished configuration. It is an open question if every element $\mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{S}_c$ can be realized by a (symplectic) Lefschetz fibration $X \rightarrow \Sigma$ with critical fibers over Z .

Each space \mathcal{S}_c is equipped with a contravariant action of \mathcal{B} and the isomorphisms $\mathcal{T}_{\psi,c}$ are equivariant. Both the group actions and the isomorphisms are determined by cocycles

$$S_c : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])$$

with values in the group of invertible matrices over the group ring via

$$\mathcal{T}_{\psi,c}(\mathcal{N}) = S_c(\psi)^t \mathcal{N} S_c(\psi), \quad \psi^* \mathcal{N} := (S_c(\psi)^t \mathcal{N} S_c(\psi)) \circ \psi_* \quad (5)$$

Here we think of an element $\mathcal{M} \in \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])$ as a function $\mathcal{M} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$ with finite support, denote $\mathcal{M}^t(g) := \mathcal{M}(g^{-1})^T$, and multiply matrix valued functions using the convolution product $(\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N})(h) := \sum_g \mathcal{M}(hg^{-1})\mathcal{N}(g)$. The formula (5) shows that $\mathcal{T}_{\psi,c}$ extends to an automorphism of the space of all matrix valued functions $\mathcal{N} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$.

More care must be taken when n is even. In this case the Dehn twist g_i changes the orientation of L_i . To choose orientations consistently we fix nonzero tangent vectors $v_z \in T_z \Sigma$ for $z \in Z$ and consider only distinguished configurations c that are tangent to the prescribed vectors at their endpoints. We call these **marked distinguished configurations** and denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ the set of homotopy classes of marked distinguished configurations. Then the framed braid group $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ (consisting of homotopy classes of braids and nonvanishing vector fields along them with fixed endpoints) acts freely and transitively on $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ (see Sections 4 and 5).

Associated to every marked distinguished configuration $c = (c_1, \dots, c_m)$, there are special elements $\sigma_{2,c}, \dots, \sigma_{m,c}, \varepsilon_{1,c}, \dots, \varepsilon_{m,c} \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$. The braid $\sigma_{i,c}$ interchanges z_{i-1} and z_i and can be realized as a half right twist along the curve $c_i c_{i-1}^{-1}$ (first c_{i-1}^{-1} then c_i). The framing is determined by a trivialization of $T\Sigma$ over a neighborhood of the distinguished configuration. The framed braid $\varepsilon_{i,c}$ is a lift of the trivial braid, with the framing given by a counter clockwise turn about $z_i := c_i(1)$ and the trivial framing over z_j for $j \neq i$. Figure 2 illustrates the action of $\sigma_{i,c}$ and $\varepsilon_{i,c}$ on the distinguished configuration c .

A complete generating set for $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ in the higher genus case will also include elements of the subgroup $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1,c} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ of all framed braids for which the strand based at z_1 does not intersect the curves c_2, \dots, c_m , and the strands on z_i and their framings are trivial for $i > 1$. The image $\mathcal{B}_{1,c} \subset \mathcal{B}$ of this subgroup under the projection $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is isomorphic to the fundamental group of the once punctured surface and hence to the free group on 2ℓ generators where ℓ




Figure 2: The action of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ on c .

denotes the genus of Σ . The group $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1,c}$ is isomorphic to the product $\mathcal{B}_{1,c} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, for every $\psi \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ and every $c \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1,c} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1,\psi_*c} : \beta \mapsto \psi\beta\psi^{-1}.$$

An element $\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1,c}$ is called a **positive embedded class** if it admits a representative whose first strand $(\gamma, \xi) : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow T\Sigma$ is such that γ intersects c_1 only at the endpoint $\gamma(0) = z_1$, γ is disjoint from c_i for $i > 1$, and the tangent vectors $(\dot{\gamma}(0), v_1)$ form a positive basis of $T_{z_1}\Sigma$ (see Figure 2). Here $v_1 := v_{z_1} = -\dot{c}_1(1)$ is the vector used in the definition of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$. The framing ξ is a nonvanishing vector field $\xi(t) \in T_{\gamma(t)}\Sigma$ along γ that satisfies $\xi(0) = \xi(1) = v_1$. Associated to a positive embedded class $\beta = [\gamma, \xi] \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1,c}$ is the **twisting degree**

$$d(\beta) := \mu(\xi, \dot{\gamma}) - 1 \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where the integer $\mu(\xi, \dot{\gamma})$ denotes the degree of the framing relative to $\dot{\gamma}$.

Theorem A. *There is a unique collection of maps*

$$\chi_c : \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1,c} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z},$$

one for every marked distinguished configuration $c = (c_1, \dots, c_m)$, satisfying the following conditions.

(Homotopy) *If c is homotopic to c' then $\chi_c = \chi_{c'}$.*

(Character) *For all $c \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1,c}$ we have*

$$\chi_c(\alpha\beta) = \chi_c(\alpha) + \chi_c(\beta) + \alpha \cdot \beta$$

where $\alpha \cdot \beta$ denotes the intersection number of the associated loops in Σ based at z_1 .

(Equivariance) For all $c \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, $\psi \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$, and $\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1,c}$ we have

$$\chi_{\psi_*c}(\psi\beta\psi^{-1}) = \chi_c(\beta).$$

(Normalization) For every $c \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ and every positive embedded class $\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1,c}$ the integer $\chi_c(\beta)$ is the twisting degree of β . In particular, $\chi_c(\varepsilon_{1,c}) = 1$.

Let $\rho_c : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the unique homomorphism that assigns to each homotopy class γ of a loop in $\Sigma \setminus Z$ based at z_0 its intersection number with the path $c_1c_m^{-1}$ from z_m to z_1 :

$$\rho_c(\gamma) := \gamma \cdot c_1c_m^{-1}. \quad (6)$$

This number depends only on the homotopy class of the loop because a homotopy cannot intersect the endpoints of the path. Note that

$$\rho_c(g_{1,c}) = \rho_c(g_{m,c}^{-1}) = 1, \quad \rho_c(g_{i,c}) = 0$$

for $i = 2, \dots, m-1$ (see Figure 3).




Figure 3: The homomorphism $\rho_c : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem B. *There is a unique collection of maps*

$$S_c : \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]),$$

one for every marked distinguished configuration $c = (c_1, \dots, c_m)$, satisfying the following conditions.

(Homotopy) *If c is homotopic to c' then $S_c = S_{c'}$.*

(Cocycle) *For all $c \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\phi, \psi \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ we have*

$$S_c(\phi\psi) = S_c(\phi)\phi_*S_c(\psi). \quad (7)$$

(Coboundary) *For all $c \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\phi, \psi \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ we have*

$$S_{\psi_*c}(\phi) = S_c(\psi)^{-1}S_c(\phi)\phi_*S_c(\psi). \quad (8)$$

(Normalization) For every $c \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ we have

$$S_c(\sigma_{i,c}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}_{i-2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_{i-1,c}^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbb{1}_{m-i} \end{pmatrix} \quad (9)$$

for $i = 2, \dots, m$,

$$S_c(\varepsilon_{i,c}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}_{i-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g_{i,c} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbb{1}_{m-i} \end{pmatrix} \quad (10)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, m$, and there is a function $s_c : \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1,c} \rightarrow \Gamma$ such that

$$S_c(\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} s_c(\beta) & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{1}_{m-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho_c(s_c(\beta)) = \chi_c(\beta) \quad (11)$$

for every $\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1,c}$ where $\chi_c : \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1,c} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is the function of Theorem A.

Remark. The map $s_c : \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1,c} \rightarrow \Gamma$ can be described explicitly on positive embedded classes as follows. We define a collection of injective homomorphisms

$$I_c : \mathcal{B}_{1,c} \rightarrow \Gamma,$$

one for each distinguished configuration c , that depend only on the homotopy class of c and satisfy

$$I_{\psi_*c}(\psi\beta\psi^{-1}) = \psi_*I_c(\beta) \quad (12)$$

for $c \in \mathcal{C}$, $\psi \in \mathcal{B}$, $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{1,c}$. To describe the map I_c we first observe that $\mathcal{B}_{1,c}$ is naturally isomorphic to the fundamental group of $\Sigma \setminus c_2 \cup \dots \cup c_m$ based at z_1 . Given $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{1,c}$ choose a representative γ that does not intersect c_1 except at the base point, and is transverse to c_1 at z_1 . (Any two such representatives are homotopic through loops with the same property.) The homomorphism I_c assigns to $\beta = [\gamma]$ the homotopy class of the catenation $(c_1)^{-1}\gamma c_1$ (first c_1 then γ then $(c_1)^{-1}$) *pushed away from c_1 and to the left near z_1* (see the proof of Theorem 6.4). If $\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1,c}$ is a positive embedded class then the element $s_c(\beta) \in \Gamma$ is given by the explicit formula

$$s_c(\beta) = g_{1,c}^d I_c(\pi(\beta)), \quad d := \chi_c(\beta), \quad (13)$$

where $\pi : \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ denotes the obvious projection.

Theorem C. (i) *The cocycles of Theorem B determine a collection of contravariant group actions $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} \times \mathcal{S}_c \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_c : (\phi, \mathcal{N}) \mapsto \phi^* \mathcal{N}$ and a collection of equivariant isomorphisms $\mathcal{T}_{\psi, c} : \mathcal{S}_c \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\psi_* c}$ via (5). The isomorphisms satisfy the composition rule*

$$\mathcal{T}_{\phi, \psi_* c} \circ \mathcal{T}_{\psi, c} = \mathcal{T}_{\phi\psi, c}.$$

Moreover, for $c \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\psi \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$, the matrix $S_c(\psi) \in \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])$ induces a collection of isomorphisms

$$S_c(\psi) : \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{T}_{\psi, c}(\mathcal{N})} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}}, \quad \mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{S}_c,$$

that preserve the structures (4).

(ii) *If $X \rightarrow \Sigma$ is a Lefschetz fibration with singular fibers over Z then*

$$\mathcal{T}_{\psi, c}(\mathcal{N}_c^X) = \mathcal{N}_{\psi_* c}^X$$

for all $c \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\psi \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$.

(iii) *If n is odd then the contravariant action of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ on \mathcal{S}_c descends to \mathcal{B} .*

Theorems B and C admit the following reformulation, in the spirit of Serre's definition of non-abelian cohomology [13, Appendix to Chapter VII] (see also Definition 7.1). The *(Coboundary)* relation states that the cocycles S_c are cohomologous and hence define a canonical 1-cohomology class

$$[S_c] \in H^1(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}, \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])).$$

We call it the **Lefschetz monodromy class**. The *(Cocycle)* relation implies that the action of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ on \mathcal{S}_c extends to an action on the space of all functions $\mathcal{N} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$ by the same formula, and the *(Coboundary)* relation implies that these actions are all conjugate. More precisely, the actions determined by S_c and $S_{\psi_* c}$ are conjugate via $\mathcal{T}_{\psi, c}$ for any $\psi \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall basic properties of Lefschetz fibrations and their intersection matrices and in Section 3 we describe an abstract setting for monodromy representations. Section 4 explains the well known correspondence between the (framed) braid group and the (marked) mapping class group. In Section 5 we prove that this group acts freely and transitively on the space of (marked) distinguished configurations. Section 6 introduces generators and relations for the braid groups \mathcal{B} and $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ (following the work of Bellingeri [1] and Ko–Smolinsky [8]), as well as for

the fundamental group Γ , and gives formulas for the action of the generators of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ on the generators of Γ . Section 7 is a digression about cocycles and explains the relation between characters χ_c as in Theorem A and cocycles s_c as in Theorem B. In Section 8 we reformulate and prove our main results in terms of generators and relations. The proofs of Theorems A, B, and C are given in Section 9. In Section 10 we discuss an example.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Theo Bühler for useful discussions, and for pointing out to us Serre’s definition of a non-abelian cocycle.

2 Lefschetz fibrations

Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of complex dimension $n + 1$ and $f : X \rightarrow \Sigma$ be a Lefschetz fibration with a finite set $Z \subset \Sigma$ of critical values. Let $m := \#Z$ be the number of critical values. We assume that each critical fiber $f^{-1}(z)$ contains precisely one (Morse) critical point x_z . Choose a regular value $z_0 \in \Sigma$ and denote $M := f^{-1}(z_0)$. Then the fundamental group

$$\Gamma := \pi_1(\Sigma \setminus Z; z_0)$$

acts on the middle dimensional homology

$$H_n(M) := H_n(M; \mathbb{Z})/\text{torsion}$$

via parallel transport. We denote the action by $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H_n(M))$. Each path $c : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Sigma$ connecting $z_0 = c(0)$ to a critical value $z = c(1)$, avoiding critical values for $t < 1$, and satisfying $\dot{c}(1) \neq 0$, determines a vanishing cycle $L \subset M$ and an element $g \in \Gamma$. Geometrically, L is the set of all points in M that converge to the critical point $x \in f^{-1}(z)$ under parallel transport along c . The orientation of L is not determined by the path and can be chosen independently. The element g is the homotopy class of the path obtained by following c , encircling z once counterclockwise, and then following c^{-1} . Thus $\rho(g)$ acts on $H_n(M)$ by the Dehn–Seidel twist ψ_L about L with its framing as a vanishing cycle. (The framing is a choice of a diffeomorphism from L to the n -sphere, see Seidel [11].) Now choose m such paths c_i , $i = 1, \dots, m$, connecting z_0 to the critical values, denote by $L_i \subset M$ the homology classes of the resulting vanishing cycles with fixed choices of orientations, and by $g_i \in \Gamma$ the resulting elements of the fundamental group.

Lemma 2.1. For $i = 1, \dots, m$ the action of g_i on $H_n(M)$ is given by

$$\rho(g_i)\alpha = \alpha - (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} \langle L_i, \alpha \rangle L_i \quad (14)$$

and the intersection numbers $n_{ij}(g) := \langle L_i, \rho(g)L_j \rangle$ satisfy (3).

Proof. The first equation in (3) follows from the (skew)symmetry of the intersection form, the second is a general fact about the self-intersection numbers of Lagrangian spheres, and the last follows from (14). Equation (14) follows from Example 2.3 below. \square

Remark 2.2. The intersection number $n_{ij}(g) = \langle L_i, \rho(g)L_j \rangle$ can be interpreted as the algebraic number of horizontal lifts of the path $c_j g^{-1} c_i^{-1}$ (first c_i^{-1} then g^{-1} then c_j) connecting the critical points x_i and x_j . That these numbers continue to be meaningful (at least conjecturally) in certain infinite dimensional settings (where the vanishing cycles only exist in some heuristic sense) is one of the key ideas in Donaldson–Thomas theory [5].

Example 2.3. The archetypal example of a Lefschetz fibration is the function $f : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$f(z_0, \dots, z_n) := z_0^2 + z_1^2 + \dots + z_n^2.$$

Denote the fiber over 1 by

$$M := f^{-1}(1) = \{x + iy \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \mid |x|^2 - |y|^2 = 1, \langle x, y \rangle = 0\}.$$

The vanishing cycle obtained by parallel transport along the real axis from 0 to 1 is the unit sphere $L := M \cap \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. The manifold M is symplectomorphic to the cotangent bundle of the n -sphere

$$T^*S^n = \{(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid |\xi| = 1, \langle \xi, \eta \rangle = 0\}$$

via $M \rightarrow T^*S^n : (x, y) \mapsto (x/|x|, |x|y)$. The monodromy around the loop $t \mapsto e^{2\pi it}$ is the symplectomorphism $\psi : T^*S^n \rightarrow T^*S^n$ given by

$$\psi(\xi, \eta) = \left(-\cos(\theta)\xi - \sin(\theta)\frac{\eta}{|\eta|}, \sin(\theta)|\eta|\xi - \cos(\theta)\eta \right) \quad (15)$$

where $\theta := 2\pi|\eta|/\sqrt{1+4|\eta|^2}$. Let \bar{M} be the sphere bundle over S^n obtained by the one point compactification of each fiber of the cotangent bundle.

Its middle dimensional homology $H_n(\bar{M}; \mathbb{Z})$ has two generators, namely the homology class of the zero section and the homology class of the fiber, and ψ acts on $H_n(\bar{M}; \mathbb{Z})$ by

$$\psi_*\alpha = \alpha - (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} \langle L, \alpha \rangle L.$$

To see this fix ξ in (15) and project to S^n to get a map of degree $(-1)^{n+1}$. An additional factor $(-1)^{n(n-1)/2}$ arises by comparing the orientation of the cotangent bundle T^*L with the complex orientation of M . In the even case the value of the factor follows from the observation that the self-intersection number of the vanishing cycle is $\langle L, L \rangle = 2(-1)^{n/2}$.

Remark 2.4. Let $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}} = \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]^m / \ker \mathcal{N}$ where $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_c^X : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$ is defined by (2). So Γ acts on $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$ by the homomorphism $\rho_{\mathcal{N}} : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}})$ in (4). Let $V \subset H_n(M)$ be the subspace generated by the vanishing cycles $\rho(g)L_i$ and $W \subset V$ be the kernel of the intersection form on V . Then the map $\Lambda \rightarrow V : \lambda \mapsto \sum_{g,i} \lambda_i(g)\rho(g^{-1})L_i$ descends to a Γ -equivariant isomorphism of \mathbb{Z} -modules,

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{N}} : \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow V/W$$

that identifies the pairing in (4) with the intersection form and maps the element \mathbb{L}_i defined by (4) to the equivalence class $[L_i] \in V/W$. This will be proved in greater generality in Lemma 3.3 below.

Example 2.5. Assume $\Sigma = S^2$ (or equivalently that $\Sigma = \mathbb{D}$ is the closed unit disc in \mathbb{C} , X is a Kähler manifold with boundary $\partial X = f^{-1}(\partial \mathbb{D})$ and $Z \subset \text{int}(\mathbb{D})$, $z_0 \in \partial \mathbb{D}$). Then, for any collection of paths $c = (c_1, \dots, c_m)$ as above, the fundamental group $\Gamma := \pi_1(\mathbb{D} \setminus Z; z_0)$ is isomorphic to the free group generated by g_1, \dots, g_m . In this case equation (14) shows that $V \subset H_n(M)$ is the subspace generated by the L_i and the quotient V/W can be recovered from the intersection matrix $N = \mathcal{N}(1)$ with entries $n_{ij} := \langle L_i, L_j \rangle$. Define $\mathbb{H}_N := \mathbb{Z}^m / \ker N$. This space carries a pairing $\langle \mu, \lambda \rangle := \mu^T N \lambda$ and a Γ -action $\rho_N : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}_m(\mathbb{Z})$ given by

$$\rho_N(g_i) = \mathbb{1} - (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} E_i N.$$

Here $E_i \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$ denotes the matrix with the i th entry on the diagonal equal to 1 and zeroes elsewhere. Then the map $\mathbb{Z}^m \rightarrow V : \lambda \mapsto \sum_i \lambda_i L_i$ descends to a Γ -equivariant isomorphism from $\mathbb{H}_N \rightarrow V/W$. The correspondence between \mathbb{H}_N and $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$ will be explained in greater generality in Example 3.4 below.

3 Monodromy representations

We examine algebraic structures that are relevant in the study of monodromy representations associated to Lefschetz fibrations.

Definition 3.1. Fix a positive integer n . Let Γ be a group and g_1, \dots, g_m be pairwise distinct elements of $\Gamma \setminus \{1\}$. A **monodromy character** on $(\Gamma, g_1, \dots, g_m)$ is a matrix valued function $\mathcal{N} = (n_{ij}) : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$ satisfying

$$n_{ij}(g^{-1}) = (-1)^n n_{ji}(g), \quad (16)$$

$$n_{ii}(1) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ 2(-1)^{n/2}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

$$n_{ij}(gg_k h) = n_{ij}(gh) - (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} n_{ik}(g) n_{kj}(h) \quad (18)$$

for all $g, h \in \Gamma$ and $i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. A **monodromy representation** of $(\Gamma, g_1, \dots, g_m)$ is a tuple $(\mathcal{H}, \rho, \mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_m)$ consisting of a \mathbb{Z} -module \mathcal{H} with a nondegenerate quadratic form, a representation $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{H})$ that preserves the quadratic form, and elements $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_m \in \mathcal{H}$, satisfying

$$\langle \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}' \rangle = (-1)^n \langle \mathcal{L}', \mathcal{L} \rangle, \quad (19)$$

$$\langle \mathcal{L}_i, \mathcal{L}_i \rangle = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ 2(-1)^{n/2}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

$$\rho(g_i) \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L} - (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} \langle \mathcal{L}_i, \mathcal{L} \rangle \mathcal{L}_i \quad (21)$$

for all $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}' \in \mathcal{H}$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. The g_i are called **Dehn twists** and the \mathcal{L}_i **vanishing cycles**.

Remark 3.2. Every monodromy character $\mathcal{N} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$ satisfies

$$n_{ij}(gg_k^{-1}h) = n_{ij}(gh) - (-1)^n (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} n_{ik}(g) n_{kj}(h), \quad (22)$$

$$n_{ij}(g_i g) = n_{ij}(g g_j) = (-1)^{n+1} n_{ij}(g). \quad (23)$$

Every monodromy representation $(\mathcal{H}, \rho, \mathcal{L}_i)$ satisfies

$$\rho(g_i^{-1}) \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L} - (-1)^n (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} \langle \mathcal{L}_i, \mathcal{L} \rangle \mathcal{L}_i, \quad (24)$$

$$\rho(g_i) \mathcal{L}_i = (-1)^{n+1} \mathcal{L}_i. \quad (25)$$

To prove the second equation in (23) use equation (18) with $k = j$ and $h = 1$; then use (17). To prove (22) use (18) with g replaced by $g g_k^{-1}$; then use (23). The proofs of (24) and (25) are similar.

Every monodromy representation gives rise to a monodromy character and vice versa. If $(\mathcal{H}, \rho, \mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_m)$ is a monodromy representation then the associated monodromy character $\mathcal{N}_\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$ assigns to every $g \in \Gamma$ the intersection matrix

$$n_{ij}(g) := \langle \mathcal{L}_i, \rho(g)\mathcal{L}_j \rangle. \quad (26)$$

Conversely, every monodromy character \mathcal{N} gives rise to a monodromy representation $(\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}}, \rho_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathbb{L}_1, \dots, \mathbb{L}_m)$ as follows.

Denote by $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$ the group ring with the convolution product. One can think of an element $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$ either as a function $\lambda : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ with finite support or as a formal linear combination $\lambda = \sum_{g \in \Gamma} \lambda(g)g$. We write the convolution product in the form

$$(\lambda\mu)(h) := \sum_{g \in \Gamma} \lambda(g)\mu(g^{-1}h).$$

The group Γ acts on the group ring by the formula $(g_*\lambda)(h) := \lambda(hg)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$ and $g, h \in \Gamma$. In the formal sum notation we have

$$g_*\lambda = \sum_h \lambda(hg)h = \sum_h \lambda(h)hg^{-1} = \lambda g^{-1}.$$

For any function $\mathcal{N} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$ we introduce the \mathbb{Z} -module

$$\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}} := \Lambda / \{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid \mathcal{N}\lambda = 0\}, \quad \Lambda := \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]^m, \quad (27)$$

where $(\mathcal{N}\lambda)(h) := \sum_g \mathcal{N}(hg^{-1})\lambda(g)$ is the convolution product. This space is equipped with a quadratic form

$$\langle \mu, \lambda \rangle := \sum_{g, h \in \Gamma} \mu(h)^T \mathcal{N}(hg^{-1})\lambda(g) = \sum_{h \in \Gamma} \mu(h)^T (\mathcal{N}\lambda)(h) \quad (28)$$

and a group action $\rho_{\mathcal{N}} : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}})$ defined by

$$\rho_{\mathcal{N}}(g)[\lambda] := [g_*\lambda], \quad (29)$$

which preserves the quadratic form. The special elements $\mathbb{L}_1, \dots, \mathbb{L}_m \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$ are given by

$$\mathbb{L}_i := [\delta_i], \quad \delta_i(g) := \begin{cases} e_i, & \text{if } g = 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } g \neq 1. \end{cases} \quad (30)$$

These structures are well defined for any function $\mathcal{N} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$. The next lemma asserts that the tuple $(\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}}, \rho_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathbb{L}_1, \dots, \mathbb{L}_m)$ is a monodromy representation whenever \mathcal{N} is a monodromy character.

Lemma 3.3. (i) Let $\mathcal{N} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$ be a monodromy character. Then the tuple $(\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}}, \rho_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathbb{L}_1, \dots, \mathbb{L}_m)$ defined by (27-30) is a monodromy representation whose associated character is \mathcal{N} .

(ii) Let $(\mathcal{H}, \rho, \mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_m)$ be a monodromy representation and \mathcal{N} be its character (26). Then the map

$$\Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{H} : \lambda \mapsto \sum_{g \in \Gamma} \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i(g) \rho(g^{-1}) \mathcal{L}_i \quad (31)$$

induces an isomorphism of monodromy representations from $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$ to \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{W} , where $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{H}$ denotes the submodule generated by the vanishing cycles $\rho(g) \mathcal{L}_i$ and $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{V}$ is the kernel of the intersection form.

Proof. The \mathbb{Z} -module $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$ is isomorphic to the image of the homomorphism $\lambda \mapsto \mathcal{N}\lambda$ and hence is torsion free. That the quadratic form in (4) is non-degenerate follows directly from the definition. That it satisfies (19) follows from (16) and that it satisfies (20) follows from (17) and the identity $\langle \mathcal{L}_i, \mathcal{L}_i \rangle = n_{ii}(1)$. To prove (21) fix an index i and an element $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Abbreviate $\varepsilon := (-1)^{n(n+1)/2}$ and define $\lambda' \in \Lambda$ by

$$\lambda' := (g_i)_* \lambda - \lambda + \varepsilon \langle \delta_i, \lambda \rangle \delta_i.$$

Then

$$\lambda'(h) = \lambda(hg_i) - \lambda(h) + \varepsilon \left(\sum_{g \in \Gamma} e_i^T \mathcal{N}(g^{-1}) \lambda(g) \right) \delta_i(h)$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{N}\lambda')(k) &= \sum_h \mathcal{N}(kh^{-1}) \left(\lambda(hg_i) - \lambda(h) + \varepsilon \sum_g e_i^T \mathcal{N}(g^{-1}) \lambda(g) \delta_i(h) \right) \\ &= \sum_h \left(\mathcal{N}(kg_i h^{-1}) - \mathcal{N}(kh^{-1}) + \varepsilon \mathcal{N}(k) E_i \mathcal{N}(h^{-1}) \right) \lambda(h) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The last equation follows from (18). Hence the equivalence class of λ' vanishes and so the tuple $(\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}}, \rho_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathbb{L}_1, \dots, \mathbb{L}_m)$ satisfies (21). Thus we have proved that $(\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}}, \rho_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathbb{L}_1, \dots, \mathbb{L}_m)$ is a monodromy representation. Its character is

$$\langle \delta_i, g_* \delta_j \rangle = \sum_{h,k} \delta_i(k)^T \mathcal{N}(kh^{-1}) \delta_j(hg) = n_{ij}(g).$$

This proves (i).

We prove (ii). The homomorphism (31) is obviously surjective. That the preimage of \mathcal{W} under (31) is the subspace $\{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid \mathcal{N}\lambda = 0\}$ follows from the identity

$$\langle \rho(h^{-1})\mathcal{L}_i, \Phi_{\mathcal{N}}(\lambda) \rangle = \sum_{g,j} n_{ij}(hg^{-1})\lambda_j(g) = (\mathcal{N}\lambda)_i(h)$$

where

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{N}}(\lambda) := \sum_{g,j} \lambda_j(g)\rho(g^{-1})\mathcal{L}_j.$$

Hence (31) induces an isomorphism $\Phi_{\mathcal{N}} : \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{W}$ and it follows directly from the definitions that it satisfies the requirements of the lemma. \square

Example 3.4. Assume that Γ is generated freely by g_1, \dots, g_m . In this case the function $\mathcal{N} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$ is completely determined by $N := \mathcal{N}(1)$. This matrix satisfies

$$N^T = (-1)^n N, \quad n_{ii} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ 2(-1)^{n/2}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

It determines a monodromy representation

$$\mathbb{H}_N := \mathbb{Z}^m / \ker N, \quad \langle \mu, \lambda \rangle := \mu^T N \lambda,$$

with special elements associated to the standard basis of \mathbb{Z}^m and the Γ -action $\rho_N : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{H}_N)$ uniquely determined by

$$\rho_N(g_i) = \mathbb{1} - (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} E_i N.$$

Here $E_i \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$ denotes the matrix with the i th entry on the diagonal equal to 1 and zeroes elsewhere. The function \mathcal{N} can be recovered from N via

$$\mathcal{N}(g) = N \rho_N(g).$$

Moreover, the monodromy representations \mathbb{H}_N and $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$ are isomorphic. The isomorphism $\mathbb{H}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$ assigns to each $[v] \in \mathbb{H}_N$ the equivalence class of the function $\lambda_v : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^m$ with value v at 1 and zero elsewhere. Its inverse is induced by the map $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]^m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^m : \lambda \mapsto \sum_g \rho(g^{-1})\lambda(g)$.

The space $\mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])$ of $m \times m$ -matrices with entries in the group ring is a bi-algebra over the group ring via the convolution product. One can think of an element $\mathcal{M} \in M_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])$ also as a function $\mathcal{M} : \Gamma_m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$ with finite support. Then the product is given by

$$\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}(g) = \sum_{h \in \Gamma_m} \mathcal{M}(gh^{-1})\mathcal{N}(h)$$

and this formula continues to be meaningful when only one of the factors has finite support. The group ring $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$ is equipped with an involution $\lambda \mapsto \bar{\lambda}$ given by $\bar{\lambda}(g) := \lambda(g^{-1})$. The **conjugate transpose** of a matrix $\mathcal{M} \in M_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{M}^t(g) := \overline{\mathcal{M}(g)}^T = \mathcal{M}(g^{-1})^T$$

and it satisfies $(\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N})^t = \mathcal{N}^t\mathcal{M}^t$.

Let \mathcal{B} be a group that acts covariantly on Γ and denote the action by

$$\mathcal{B} \times \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma : (\sigma, g) \mapsto \sigma_*g.$$

In the intended application Γ is the fundamental group of a Riemann surface with m punctures and \mathcal{B} is the braid group on m strings in the same Riemann surface with one puncture. The action of \mathcal{B} on Γ extends linearly to an action on $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$ by algebra automorphisms given by

$$\sigma_*\lambda = \sum_{g \in \Gamma} (\sigma_*\lambda)(g)g := \sum_{g \in \Gamma} \lambda(g)\sigma_*g, \quad (\sigma_*\lambda)(g) = \lambda((\sigma^{-1})_*g).$$

This action extends to the space $\mathbb{Z}[[\Gamma]]$ of formal sums of elements of Γ with integer coefficients. These correspond to arbitrary integer valued functions on Γ . Thus \mathcal{B} acts on $M_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])$ componentwise, or equivalently by

$$\sigma_*\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M} \circ (\sigma^{-1})_* : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}. \quad (32)$$

We then have

$$\sigma_*(\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}) = (\sigma_*\mathcal{M})(\sigma_*\mathcal{N}), \quad \sigma_*(\mathcal{M}^t) = (\sigma_*\mathcal{M})^t \quad (33)$$

for $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in M_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])$. The action of \mathcal{B} on $M_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])$ induces an action on the group $\text{GL}_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])$ of invertible elements of $M_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])$.

Definition 3.5. A monodromy cocycle is a map $S : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])$ that satisfies the condition

$$S(\sigma\tau) = S(\sigma)\sigma_*S(\tau)$$

for all $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{B}$.

Lemma 3.6. Every monodromy cocycle induces a contravariant action of \mathcal{B} on the space of all functions $\mathcal{N} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$ via

$$\sigma^*\mathcal{N} := (S(\sigma)^t \mathcal{N} S(\sigma)) \circ \sigma_*. \quad (34)$$

Proof. For $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{B}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma\tau)^*\mathcal{N} &= (S(\sigma\tau)^t \mathcal{N} S(\sigma\tau)) \circ (\sigma\tau)_* \\ &= (\sigma_*(S(\tau))^t \cdot S(\sigma)^t \mathcal{N} S(\sigma) \cdot \sigma_*(S(\tau))) \circ \sigma_* \circ \tau_* \\ &= (S(\tau)^t \cdot \sigma^*\mathcal{N} \cdot S(\tau)) \circ \tau_* = \tau^*\sigma^*\mathcal{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the cocycle condition and (33). \square

Proposition 3.7. Let $S : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])$ be a monodromy cocycle. For every $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}$ and every function $\mathcal{N} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$, the isomorphism $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda : \lambda \mapsto S(\sigma)(\sigma_*\lambda)$ descends to an isomorphism

$$S_\sigma : \mathbb{H}_{\sigma^*\mathcal{N}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$$

which preserves the quadratic forms and satisfies

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma & \xrightarrow{\rho_{\sigma^*\mathcal{N}}} & \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{H}_{\sigma^*\mathcal{N}}) \\ \sigma_* \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Gamma & \xrightarrow{\rho_{\mathcal{N}}} & \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}}) \end{array} \quad (35)$$

Here the second vertical arrow is given by $\phi \mapsto S_\sigma \phi S_\sigma^{-1}$.

Proof. For any $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}$ and any function $\mathcal{M} : \Gamma_m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$ the isomorphism $\sigma_* : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ descends to an isomorphism $R_\sigma : \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{M} \circ \sigma_*} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{M}}$. because $(\mathcal{M} \circ \sigma_*)\lambda = (\sigma^{-1})_*(\mathcal{M} \cdot \sigma_*\lambda)$. That R_σ preserves the quadratic forms follows from the identity $(\sigma_*\mu)^t \mathcal{M}(\sigma_*\lambda) = \sigma_*(\mu^t(\mathcal{M} \circ \sigma_*)\lambda)$ and the formula $\langle \lambda, \mu \rangle = (\lambda^t \mathcal{M} \mu)(1)$ for the pairing (28) on $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{M}}$.

For any $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}$ we also have an isomorphism $Q_\sigma : \mathbb{H}_{S(\sigma)^t \mathcal{N} S(\sigma)} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$ induced by the map $\lambda \mapsto S(\sigma)\lambda$. This follows from the associativity of

the convolution product $(S^t \mathcal{N} S) \lambda = S^t (\mathcal{N} S \lambda)$ and the fact that $S(\sigma)$ is invertible. That Q_σ preserves the quadratic form follows from the identity $(S\mu)^t \mathcal{N} (S\lambda) = \mu^t (S^t \mathcal{N} S) \lambda$.

The isomorphism $S_\sigma : \mathbb{H}_{\sigma^* \mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$ is the composition $S_\sigma := Q_\sigma \circ R_\sigma$ with $\mathcal{M} := S(\sigma)^t \mathcal{N} S(\sigma)$. By what we have already proved, this isomorphism preserves the quadratic forms. The commutativity of the diagram (35) is equivalent to the equation

$$\rho_{\mathcal{N}}(\sigma_* g) \circ S_\sigma = S_\sigma \circ \rho_{\sigma^* \mathcal{N}}(g), \quad g \in \Gamma. \quad (36)$$

To prove (36) note that

$$(\rho_{\mathcal{N}}(\sigma_* g) \circ S_\sigma)(\lambda) = \rho_{\mathcal{N}}(\sigma_* g)(S(\sigma) \sigma_* \lambda) = (S(\sigma) \sigma_* \lambda)(\sigma_* g)^{-1},$$

and

$$(S_\sigma \circ \rho_{\sigma^* \mathcal{N}}(g))(\lambda) = S_\sigma(\lambda g^{-1}) = S(\sigma) \sigma_*(\lambda g^{-1}) = (S(\sigma) \sigma_* \lambda)(\sigma_* g)^{-1}.$$

This proves (36) and the proposition. \square

4 The framed braid group

In this section we recall the well known correspondence between the braid group and the mapping class group (see Birman [2]) and extend it to the framed braid group introduced by Ko and Smolinsky [8].

Let Σ be a compact oriented 2-manifold, $Z \subset \Sigma$ be a finite set consisting of m points, and choose a base point $z_0 \in \Sigma \setminus Z$. We assume either that Σ has no boundary and positive genus or that $\Sigma = \mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| \leq 1\}$ is the closed unit disc, $Z \subset \text{int}(\mathbb{D})$, and $z_0 \in \partial \mathbb{D}$. Denote by $\text{Diff}(\Sigma, z_0)$ the group of all diffeomorphisms of Σ that fix z_0 and by $\text{Diff}_0(\Sigma, z_0) \subset \text{Diff}(\Sigma, z_0)$ the identity component. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G} &:= \{\phi \in \text{Diff}_0(\Sigma, z_0) \mid \phi(Z) = Z\}, \\ \mathcal{G}_0 &:= \{\phi \in \mathcal{G} \mid \exists \phi_t \in \mathcal{G} \text{ s.t. } \phi_0 = \text{id}, \phi_1 = \phi\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $[0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Diff}(\Sigma, z_0) : t \mapsto \phi_t$ is a smooth isotopy of diffeomorphisms fixing the base point. Thus \mathcal{G}_0 is the identity component of \mathcal{G} . The **mapping class group** is the quotient

$$\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}_0 = \pi_0(\mathcal{G}).$$

It is naturally isomorphic to the braid group.

Fix an ordering $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_m\}$ and let \mathfrak{S}_m denote the group of permutations. The **braid group** \mathcal{B} on m strings in $\Sigma \setminus \{z_0\}$ based at Z is defined as the fundamental group of the configuration space of m unordered distinct points in $\Sigma \setminus \{z_0\}$. Think of a braid as an m -tuple of smooth paths $\beta_i : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Sigma$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, which avoid z_0 , are pairwise distinct for each t , and satisfy $\beta_i(0) = z_i$, $\beta_i(1) = z_{\pi(i)}$ for some permutation $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_m$. Thus \mathcal{B} is the group of homotopy classes of braids. The composition law is $[\beta] \cdot [\alpha] := [\beta\alpha]$, where $\beta\alpha$ denotes the braid obtained by first running through α and then through β .

The isomorphism

$$\Phi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}_0$$

is defined as follows. Given a braid β choose a smooth isotopy $\{\phi_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ in $\text{Diff}(\Sigma, z_0)$ with $\phi_0 = \text{id}$ satisfying $\phi_t(z_i) = \beta_i(t)$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and define $\Phi([\beta]) := [\phi_1]$. To see that this map is well defined choose a smooth family of vector fields $v_t \in \text{Vect}(\Sigma)$ satisfying $v_t(z_0) = 0$ and $v_t(\beta_i(t)) = \dot{\beta}_i(t)$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, and let ϕ_t be the isotopy generated by v_t via $\partial_t \phi_t = v_t \circ \phi_t$ and $\phi_0 = \text{id}$. The existence of v_t follows from an easy argument using cutoff functions, and that the isotopy class of ϕ_1 is independent of the choices of β and v_t follows from a parametrized version of the same argument respectively from taking convex combinations of vector fields.

That Φ is a surjective group homomorphism is obvious. That it is injective follows from the fact that the identity component $\text{Diff}_0(\Sigma, z_0)$ of $\text{Diff}(\Sigma, z_0)$ is simply connected. In fact it is contractible. To see this for genus bigger than one consider the fibration

$$\text{Diff}_0(\Sigma, z_0) \hookrightarrow \text{Diff}_0(\Sigma) \rightarrow \tilde{\Sigma}$$

and use the fact that $\text{Diff}_0(\Sigma)$ is contractible. The latter follows from the contractibility of Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma) := \mathcal{J}(\Sigma)/\text{Diff}_0(\Sigma)$ (see [6]). In the case of genus one the group $\text{Diff}_0(\mathbb{T}^2, z_0) = \text{Diff}(\mathbb{T}^2, z_0) \cap \text{Diff}_0(\mathbb{T}^2)$ acts freely on $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{T}^2)$ and there is a diffeomorphism $\text{Diff}_0(\mathbb{T}^2, z_0) \times \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{T}^2)$ (see for example [10, Theorem 7.3]). In the case of the disc the group $\text{Diff}_0(\mathbb{D})$ of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of the disc acts transitively on $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{D})$ with isotropy subgroup $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. This action gives rise to a diffeomorphism from $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{D})$ to the subgroup of all diffeomorphisms that fix a point on the boundary and a point in the interior (see [9, Theorem C.5.1]). Hence the group $\text{Diff}_0(\mathbb{D}, z_0)$ is contractible for every $z_0 \in \partial\mathbb{D}$.

The framed braid group is an extension of the braid group \mathcal{B} . Choose nonzero tangent vectors $v_z \in T_z\Sigma$ for $z \in Z$ and define

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{G}} &:= \{ \phi \in \text{Diff}_0(\Sigma, z_0) \mid \phi(Z) = Z, d\phi(z)v_z = v_{\phi(z)} \forall z \in Z \}, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_0 &:= \left\{ \phi \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \mid \exists \phi_t \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \text{ s.t. } \phi_0 = \text{id}, \phi_1 = \phi \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_0$ is the identity component of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$. The **marked mapping class group** is the quotient

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}/\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_0 = \pi_0(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}).$$

It is naturally isomorphic to the **framed braid group** $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ on m strings in $\Sigma \setminus \{z_0\}$. Fix again an ordering $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_m\}$ and denote $v_i := v_{z_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. The framed braid group is defined as the fundamental group of the configuration space of m unordered points in the complement of the zero section in the tangent bundle of $\Sigma \setminus \{z_0\}$ whose base points are pairwise distinct. Think of a framed braid as an m -tuple of smooth paths $(\beta_i, \xi_i) : [0, 1] \rightarrow T\Sigma$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ such that $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)$ is a braid in $\Sigma \setminus \{z_0\}$ satisfying $\beta_i(0) = z_i$ and $\beta_i(1) = z_{\pi(i)}$ for some permutation $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_m$, and each ξ_i is a nonvanishing vector field along β_i such that $\xi_i(0) = v_i$ and $\xi_i(1) = v_{\pi(i)}$. Thus $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is the group of homotopy classes of framed braids.

The isomorphism

$$\tilde{\Phi} : \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{G}}/\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_0$$

is defined as follows. Given a framed braid (β, ξ) choose a smooth isotopy $\{\phi_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ in $\text{Diff}(\Sigma, z_0)$ with $\phi_0 = \text{id}$ satisfying

$$\phi_t(z_i) = \beta_i(t), \quad d\phi_t(z_i)v_i = \xi_i(t), \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad (37)$$

and define $\tilde{\Phi}([\beta, \xi]) := [\phi_1]$. To see that this map is well defined choose a smooth family of vector fields $v_t \in \text{Vect}(\Sigma)$ satisfying $v_t(z_0) = 0$ and

$$v_t(\beta_i(t)) = \dot{\beta}_i(t), \quad \nabla_{\xi_i(t)}v_t(\beta_i(t)) = \nabla_t\xi_i(t), \quad i = 1, \dots, m. \quad (38)$$

(Here ∇ is a torsion free connection on $T\Sigma$ but the second equation in (38) is independent of this choice.) Now let ϕ_t be the isotopy generated by v_t via $\partial_t\phi_t = v_t \circ \phi_t$ and $\phi_0 = \text{id}$. Then ϕ_t satisfies (37). As above, the existence of v_t follows from an easy argument using cutoff functions, and that the isotopy class of ϕ_1 is independent of the choices of β and v_t follows from a parametrized version of the same argument respectively from taking convex

combinations of vector fields. That $\tilde{\Phi}$ is a surjective group homomorphism is obvious and that it is injective follows again from the definitions and the fact that $\text{Diff}_0(\Sigma, z_0)$ is simply connected.

Remark 4.1. There is an obvious action of the mapping class group on the braid group induced by the action of \mathcal{G} on \mathcal{B} via

$$\phi_*[\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m] := [\phi \circ \beta_{\pi(1)}, \dots, \phi \circ \beta_{\pi(m)}],$$

for $\phi \in \mathcal{G}$ and a braid $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)$, where $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_m$ is the permutation defined by $\phi(z_{\pi(i)}) = z_i$. On the other hand we have seen that the mapping class group can be identified with the braid group. The resulting action of the braid group on itself is given by inner automorphisms. In other words

$$\Phi(\alpha)_*\beta = \alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{B}$. The same holds for the framed braid group.

The framed braid group fits into an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^m \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightarrow 1. \quad (39)$$

In the following we shall not distinguish in notation between the mapping class group $\pi_0(\mathcal{G})$ and the braid group \mathcal{B} nor between $\pi_0(\tilde{\mathcal{G}})$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$.

5 Distinguished configurations

Let Σ, Z, z_0 be as in Section 4. An m -tuple $c = (c_1, \dots, c_m)$ of smooth paths $c_i : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Sigma$ is called a **distinguished configuration** if

- (i) each c_i is an embedding with $c_i(0) = z_0$ and, for $i \neq j$, the paths c_i and c_j meet only at z_0 ;
- (ii) $\{c_1(1), \dots, c_m(1)\} = Z$;
- (iii) the vectors $\dot{c}_1(0), \dots, \dot{c}_m(0)$ are pairwise linearly independent and are ordered clockwise in $T_{z_0}\Sigma$.

Two distinguished configurations c^0 and c^1 are called **homotopic** if there is a smooth homotopy $\{c^\lambda\}_{0 \leq \lambda \leq 1}$ of distinguished configurations from c^0 to c^1 . The set of homotopy classes of distinguished configurations will be denoted by \mathcal{C} . Note that each distinguished configuration c determines an ordering $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_m\}$ via $z_i := c_i(1)$.

Theorem 5.1. *The braid group \mathcal{B} acts freely and transitively on \mathcal{C} via*

$$([\phi], [c_1, \dots, c_m]) \mapsto [\phi \circ c_1, \dots, \phi \circ c_m] =: [\phi_*c]. \quad (40)$$

Proof. We prove that the action is transitive. Given two distinguished configurations c and c' we need to construct an element $\psi \in \text{Diff}_0(\Sigma, z_0)$ such that ψ_*c is homotopic to c' . Up to homotopy we can assume that there is a constant $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $c_i(t) = c'_i(t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon$. Now construct an isotopy $[\varepsilon, 1] \rightarrow \text{Diff}_0(\Sigma, z_0) : \lambda \mapsto \phi_\lambda$ satisfying $\phi_1 = \text{id}$ and

$$\phi_\lambda(c_i(t)) = c_i(\lambda t), \quad \varepsilon \leq \lambda \leq 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

by choosing an appropriate family of vector fields. Choose an analogous isotopy ϕ'_λ for c' and define

$$\psi := (\phi'_\varepsilon)^{-1} \circ \phi_\varepsilon.$$

Then $\psi(c_i(t)) = c'_i(t)$ as required.

We prove that the action is free. Let c be a distinguished configuration and $\phi \in \mathcal{G}$ such that ϕ_*c is homotopic to c . We prove in five steps that ϕ belongs to the identity component \mathcal{G}_0 .

Step 1. *We may assume that $d\phi(z_0) = \mathbb{1}$.*

It suffices to prove that, for every matrix $A \in \text{GL}^+(n, \mathbb{R})$, there is a diffeomorphism $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, supported in the unit ball and isotopic to the identity through diffeomorphisms with support in the unit ball, that satisfies $\psi(0) = 0$ and $d\psi(0) = A$. If A is symmetric and positive definite we may assume that A is a diagonal matrix and choose ψ in the form $\psi(x) = (\psi_1(x_1), \dots, \psi_n(x_n))$ where each ψ_i is a suitable monotone diffeomorphism of \mathbb{R} . If A is orthogonal we choose a smooth path $[0, 1] \rightarrow \text{SO}(n) : r \mapsto A_r$, constant near the ends, with $A_0 = A$ and $A_1 = \mathbb{1}$ and define $\psi(x) := A_{|x|}x$. The general case follows by polar decomposition.

Step 2. *We may assume that ϕ agrees with the identity near z_0 .*

Let ϕ be a diffeomorphism of \mathbb{R}^n with $\phi(0) = 0$ and $d\phi(0) = \mathbb{1}$ and choose a smooth nonincreasing cutoff function $\beta : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ equal to one near zero and vanishing near one. Then, for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, the formula

$$\phi_\lambda(x) := \lambda\beta(|x|/\varepsilon) + (1 - \lambda\beta(|x|/\varepsilon))\phi(x)$$

defines an isotopy from $\phi_0 = \phi$ to a diffeomorphism ϕ_1 equal to the identity near the origin such that, for each λ , ϕ_λ agrees with ϕ outside the ball of radius ε . Now choose a local coordinate chart near z_0 to carry this construction over to Σ .

Step 3. *We may assume that ϕ agrees with the identity near z_0 and $\phi_*c = c$.*

Assume, by Step 2, that ϕ agrees with the identity near z_0 . Then the homotopy $\lambda \mapsto c^\lambda$ from $c^0 = c$ to $c^1 = \phi_*c$ can be chosen such that $c^\lambda(t)$ is independent of t for t sufficiently small. Hence there exists a family of vector fields $v^\lambda \in \text{Vect}(\Sigma)$ satisfying

$$v^\lambda(c_i^\lambda(t)) = \partial_\lambda c_i^\lambda(t)$$

for all λ, t, i and $v^\lambda(z) = 0$ for z near z_0 . Integrating this family of vector fields yields a diffeomorphism $\psi \in \mathcal{G}_0$ such that $\psi_*\phi_*c = c$.

Step 4. *We may assume that ϕ agrees with the identity near the union of the images of the c_i .*

Assume, by Step 3, that $\phi(c_i(t)) = c_i(t)$ for all t and i and that ϕ agrees with the identity near z_0 . If $d\phi(c_i(t)) = \mathbb{1}$ for all i and t we can use an interpolation argument as in Step 2 to deform ϕ to a diffeomorphism that satisfies the requirement of Step 4. To achieve the condition $d\phi(c_i(t)) = \mathbb{1}$ via a prior deformation we must solve the following problem. Given two smooth functions $a : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $b : [0, 1] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ find a diffeomorphism $\psi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ that is equal to the identity outside a small neighborhood of the set $[0, 1] \times \{0\}$ and satisfies

$$\psi(x, 0) = (x, 0), \quad d\psi(x, 0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a(x) \\ 0 & b(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1.$$

It suffices to treat the cases $a(x) \equiv 0$ and $b(x) \equiv 1$. For $b(x) \equiv 1$ one can use an interpolation argument as in the proof of Step 2. For $a(x) \equiv 0$ one can use a parametrized version of the argument for the positive definite case in the proof of Step 1.

Step 5. *We prove that $\phi \in \mathcal{G}_0$.*

Assume, by Step 4, that there is a coordinate chart $u : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Sigma$ such that $c_i(t) \in u(\mathbb{D})$ for all i and t and $\phi \circ u = u$. Choose any isotopy $[0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Diff}_0(\Sigma, z_0) : \lambda \mapsto \phi_\lambda$ from $\phi_0 = \text{id}$ to $\phi_1 = \phi$. By a parametrized version of

the argument in Step 1 we may assume that $d\phi_\lambda(z_0) = \mathbb{1}$ for every λ . By a parametrized version of the argument in Step 2 we may assume that there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\phi_\lambda \circ u$ agrees with u on the disc of radius ε for every λ . Choose a diffeomorphism $\psi : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$, supported in $u(\mathbb{D})$, such that

$$\psi(u(\{z \in \mathbb{D} \mid |z| \leq 1 - \varepsilon\})) = u(\{z \in \mathbb{D} \mid |z| \leq \varepsilon\}).$$

Then $\lambda \mapsto \psi^{-1} \circ \phi_\lambda \circ \psi$ is an isotopy in \mathcal{G} and so $\phi = \psi^{-1} \circ \phi \circ \psi \in \mathcal{G}_0$. This proves the theorem. \square

Let us now choose nonzero tangent vectors $v_i \in T_{z_i}\Sigma$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. A **marked distinguished configuration** is a distinguished configuration $c = (c_1, \dots, c_m)$ satisfying

$$\dot{c}_i(1) = -v_{\pi(i)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

where $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_m$ is the permutation given by $c_i(1) = z_{\pi(i)}$. The notion of homotopy carries over to marked distinguished configurations in the obvious way. The set of homotopy classes will be denoted by $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. Then the proof of Theorem 5.1 carries over word by word to the present situation and proves the following.

Theorem 5.2. *The framed braid group $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ acts freely and transitively on $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ via (40).*

6 Generators and relations

In this section we describe Bellingeri's presentation of the braid group on m strings in a punctured surface in terms of generators and relations [1] and extend it to the framed braid group. The generators are the standard generators of the braid group on the disc and the elements of the fundamental group of the punctured surface. More precisely, let Σ be a compact 2-manifold without boundary. Fix a base point $p \in \Sigma$ and a nonzero tangent vector $v \in T_p\Sigma$. Choose a closed embedded disc $D \subset \Sigma$ with $p \in \partial D$ such that v is transverse to the boundary and points into the disc. Then the fundamental group

$$G := \pi_1(\Sigma \setminus \text{int}(D); p)$$

is independent of the choice of the disc up to canonical isomorphism. The relations involve pairs of based loops in $\Sigma \setminus \text{int}(D)$ which are disjoint except




Figure 4: Disjoint pairs of loops in $\Sigma \setminus \text{int}(D)$.

at the base point p and are immersed near p . Up to orientation there are three types of such pairs as indicated in Figure 4. The next three definitions give presentations of the braid group \mathcal{B} and of the framed braid group $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ on m strings on the once punctured surface, as well as of the fundamental group of the m -punctured surface.

Definition 6.1. *The abstract braid group $\mathcal{B}_m = \mathcal{B}_m(\Sigma, p, v)$ is defined as the group generated by $\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_m$ and the elements $g \in G$ with the following relations.*

(Braid) *The σ_i satisfy the braid relations*

$$\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}, \quad i = 2, \dots, m-1,$$

$$\sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i, \quad |i - j| \geq 2.$$

(π_1) *For $g, h \in G$ the word gh is equal to the product $gh \in G$.*

(Commutation) *Each $g \in G$ commutes with σ_i for $i \neq 2$.*

(R1) *If $g, h \in G$ are represented by two loops meeting only at p in a configuration of Type 1, then*

$$[g, \sigma_2^{-1} h \sigma_2] = 1.$$

(R2) *If $g, h \in G$ are represented by two loops meeting only at p in a configuration of Type 2, then*

$$[g, \sigma_2 h \sigma_2] = 1.$$

(R3) If $g, h \in G$ are represented by two loops meeting only at p in a configuration of Type 3, then

$$(\sigma_2^{-1}h\sigma_2)g = g(\sigma_2h\sigma_2).$$

Definition 6.2. The abstract framed braid group $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m(\Sigma, p, v)$ is defined as the group generated by $\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_m, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_m$, and the elements $g \in G$ with the relations of Definition 6.1 as well as

(Framing) The generators $\varepsilon_i, i = 1, \dots, m$ commute with each other and with the elements of G . Moreover, for $i = 2, \dots, m$ we have

$$\varepsilon_i\sigma_i = \sigma_i\varepsilon_{i-1}, \quad \varepsilon_{i-1}\sigma_i = \sigma_i\varepsilon_i$$

and $\varepsilon_j\sigma_i = \sigma_i\varepsilon_j$ for $j \neq i - 1, i$.

Definition 6.3. The abstract fundamental group Γ_m is defined as the group generated by g_1, \dots, g_m and the elements $g \in G$ with the following relations.

(π_1) For $g, h \in G$ the word gh is equal to the product $gh \in G$.

(Amalgamation) Let τ denote the loop going around ∂D clockwise. Then

$$\tau = g_m^{-1} \cdots g_1^{-1}.$$

The previous definitions make use of infinite sets of generators, but have the advantage of being particularly simple to formulate. We can turn them into a finite presentation as in [1] by replacing the group G with a finite set of generators of G , as explained at the end of this section.

Let $Z \subset \Sigma$ be a finite set consisting of m points and choose a base point $z_0 \in \Sigma \setminus Z$. Let $\Gamma := \pi_1(\Sigma \setminus Z; z_0)$ be the fundamental group, $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(\Sigma \setminus \{z_0\})$ be the braid group on m strings in $\Sigma \setminus \{z_0\}$ based at Z (see Section 4), and \mathcal{C} be the set of homotopy classes of distinguished configurations (see Section 5).

Theorem 6.4. *There is a group action*

$$\mathcal{B}_m \times \Gamma_m \rightarrow \Gamma_m : (\sigma, g) \mapsto \sigma_*g$$

and a collection of isomorphisms

$$\phi_c : \mathcal{B}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{B}, \quad \iota_c : \Gamma_m \rightarrow \Gamma,$$

one for each distinguished configuration c , satisfying the following conditions.

(i) If c is homotopic to c' then $\phi_c = \phi_{c'}$ and $\iota_c = \iota_{c'}$.

(ii) For every $\psi \in \mathcal{B}$ and every $c \in \mathcal{C}$ we have

$$\phi_{\psi_*c}(\sigma) = \psi\phi_c(\sigma)\psi^{-1}, \quad \iota_{\psi_*c}(g) = \psi_*\iota_c(g) \quad (41)$$

(where $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_m$ and $g \in \Gamma_m$).

(iii) For every $c \in \mathcal{C}$ there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma_m & \xrightarrow{\sigma_*} & \Gamma_m \\ \iota_c \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota_c \\ \Gamma & \xrightarrow{\phi_c(\sigma)_*} & \Gamma \end{array}$$

Remark 6.5. We use the letters g and h for elements of G , and they can also be viewed as elements of \mathcal{B}_m and Γ_m . The group operations in \mathcal{B}_m and Γ_m coincide with the one in G and are denoted by $(g, h) \mapsto gh$. In contrast the action of $g \in \mathcal{B}_m$ on $h \in \Gamma_m$ is denoted by $g_*h \in \Gamma_m$.

Remark 6.6. By condition (i) in Theorem 6.4, the isomorphism ϕ_c depends only on the homotopy class of the distinguished configuration c and we write $\phi_{[c]} = \phi_c$. Similarly for ι_c . In condition (ii) we think of $\psi \in \mathcal{B}$ as a mapping class and write $\psi_*c := \psi_*[c]$.

Corollary 6.7. *The formula*

$$\sigma^*c := \phi_c(\sigma)_*c \quad (42)$$

defines a (free and transitive) contravariant action of \mathcal{B}_m on \mathcal{C} . Moreover,

$$\iota_{\sigma^*c} = \iota_c \circ \sigma_* \quad (43)$$

for every $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_m$ and every $c \in \mathcal{C}$, where $\sigma_* : \Gamma_m \rightarrow \Gamma_m$ denotes the action of $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_m$ on Γ_m .

Proof. By Theorem 6.4 (ii) with $\psi = \phi_c(\sigma)$ we have

$$\phi_c(\sigma\tau) = \phi_c(\sigma)\phi_c(\tau) = \phi_{\phi_c(\sigma)_*c}(\tau)\phi_c(\sigma)$$

for $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{B}_m$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}$. Hence

$$(\sigma\tau)^*c = \phi_c(\sigma\tau)_*c = \phi_{\phi_c(\sigma)_*c}(\tau)_*\phi_c(\sigma)_*c = \phi_{\sigma^*c}(\tau)_*(\sigma^*c) = \tau^*\sigma^*c.$$

That the action is free and transitive follows from Theorem 5.1. To prove (43) let $g \in \Gamma_m$. Then, by Theorem 6.4 (ii) and (iii), we have

$$\iota_{\sigma_*c}(g) = \iota_{\phi_c(\sigma)_*c}(g) = \phi_c(\sigma)_*\iota_c(g) = \iota_c(\sigma_*g).$$

This proves the corollary. \square

Proof of Theorem 6.4. Fix a distinguished configuration $c = (c_1, \dots, c_m)$ and number the elements of Z as

$$z_i := c_i(1), \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Then there is an embedded disc $D_c \subset \Sigma$ such that its interior contains the image of each curve c_i except for $z_1 = c_1(1)$ which is required to lie on the boundary of D . Assume also that c_1 is transverse to ∂D at z_1 . The disc is unique up to isotopy. Hence the fundamental group

$$G_c := \pi_1(\Sigma \setminus \text{int}(D_c), z_1)$$

is determined by the homotopy class of c up to unique isomorphism. The group G_c is naturally isomorphic to the subgroup $\mathcal{B}_{1,c} \subset \mathcal{B}$ defined in Section 1. The isomorphism

$$J_c : G_c \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{1,c}$$

assigns to the homotopy class of a loop g in $\Sigma \setminus \text{int}(D_c)$ based at z_1 the homotopy class of the pure braid $J_c(g) := \beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)$ with $\beta_1 := g$ and $\beta_i \equiv z_i$ for $i \geq 2$. If g is embedded, the diffeomorphism associated to the braid g is supported in an annulus around the geometric image of β_1 and consists of two opposite Dehn twists, one on each half of this annulus.

Any other distinguished configuration c' is homotopic to one of the form ψ_*c where $\psi \in \text{Diff}_0(\Sigma, z_0)$ preserves the set Z and is uniquely determined by c' up to isotopy. In the case $c' = \psi_*c$ we may choose $D_{\psi_*c} := \psi(D_c)$ and obtain isomorphisms $\psi_* : G_c \rightarrow G_{\psi_*c}$ satisfying the obvious composition rule. The isomorphisms $J_c : G_c \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{1,c}$ satisfy

$$J_{\psi_*c}(\psi_*g) = \psi J_c(g) \psi^{-1} \tag{44}$$

for all $c \in \mathcal{C}$, $\psi \in \mathcal{B}$, and $g \in G_c$. Now choose a family of isomorphisms

$$K_c : G \rightarrow G_c$$

such that

$$K_{\psi_*c} = \psi_* \circ K_c \quad (45)$$

for all $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{B}$.

We define the homomorphism $\phi_c : \mathcal{B}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ as follows. Its restriction to G is given by

$$\phi_c|_G := J_c \circ K_c.$$

To define $\phi_c(\sigma_i)$ we choose an embedded arc $s_i : [0, 1] \rightarrow D_c$ from $z_{i-1} = s_i(0)$ to $z_i = s_i(1)$ by catenating $(c_{i-1}|_{[\varepsilon, 1]})^{-1}$ with a clockwise arc from $c_{i-1}(\varepsilon)$ to $c_i(\varepsilon)$ and with $c_i|_{[\varepsilon, 1]}$. Given s_i we choose a braid $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)$ such that β_{i-1} runs from z_{i-1} to z_i on the left of s_i , β_i runs from z_i to z_{i-1} on the right of s_i , and $\beta_j \equiv z_j$ for $j \neq i-1, i$ (see Figure 5). We then define $\phi_c(\sigma_i)$ as the homotopy class of β . Seen as a diffeomorphism, the braid $\phi_c(\sigma_i)$ is supported in an annulus around the geometric image of β_{i-1} and β_i . It consists of two opposite half Dehn twists, one on each half of this annulus. The latter is depicted with a dotted line in Figure 5.




Figure 5: The braid $\phi_c(\sigma_i)$.

We check that ϕ_c is well defined, i.e. that ϕ_c preserves the relations defining \mathcal{B}_m . The only nontrivial ones are $(R1-3)$. That the images $\phi_c(g)$ and $\phi_c(\sigma_2)$ satisfy these relations follows by careful inspection of Figure 6. That the resulting homomorphism $\phi_c : \mathcal{B}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is an isomorphism is Bellingeri's theorem [1, Theorem 1.1] for a Riemann surface with one puncture. He uses a finite presentation of \mathcal{B}_m as in Remark 6.22 below. That the isomorphisms ϕ_c satisfy (41) follows directly from the definitions and equations (44) and (45).

We define the homomorphism $\iota_c : \Gamma_m \rightarrow \Gamma$ as follows. Each generator g_i is sent to the homotopy class $\iota_c(g_i)$ of the loop obtained by following c_i , encircling z_i counterclockwise, and following $(c_i)^{-1}$. The restriction of ι_c to G is defined by

$$\iota_c|_G := I_c \circ J_c \circ K_c = I_c \circ \phi_c|_G$$

where $I_c : \mathcal{B}_{1,c} \rightarrow \Gamma$ is the homomorphism in (12). Here is an explicit description. Fix an element $g \in G$ and choose a loop γ in $\Sigma \setminus \text{int}(D_c)$ based




Figure 6: Relations in the braid group.

at z_1 that represents the class $K_c(g) \in G_c$. Then the image of g under ι_c is the catenation $(c_1)^{-1}\gamma c_1$ (first c_1 then γ then $(c_1)^{-1}$) *pushed to the left of c_1 and out of D_c near z_1* . More precisely, choose a contractible neighborhood $U \subset \Sigma$ of z_1 such that $U \cap \text{int}(D_c) \setminus c_1$ has two components. Let U_r be the component to the right of c_1 . Choose a diffeomorphism $f \in \text{Diff}_0(\Sigma)$ supported in U such that $D_c \subset f(D_c)$ and $z_1 \in f(U_r)$. Then $\iota_c(g)$ is defined by $\iota_c(g) := f \circ ((c_1)^{-1}\gamma c_1)$. The map $\iota_c : \Gamma_m \rightarrow \Gamma$ is a well-defined isomorphism. This follows from the fact that $\iota_c|_G : G \rightarrow \Gamma$ is an injective homomorphism and from van Kampen's theorem. The (*Amalgamation*) relation reflects the fact that Γ is the product of $\iota_c(G)$ and of the fundamental group of an m -punctured disc, amalgamated over the fundamental group of an annulus, which is cyclic and generated by τ . That the ι_c satisfy (41) follows from (12), (44), and (45).

By construction, the isomorphisms ϕ_c and ι_c determine a group action of \mathcal{B}_m on Γ_m which does not depend on c . Namely, if the action is defined by

$$\iota_c(\sigma_*g) := \phi_c(\sigma)_* \iota_c(g),$$

then

$$\iota_{\psi_*c}(\sigma_*g) = \psi_* \iota_c(\sigma_*g) = \psi_* \phi_c(\sigma)_* \iota_c(g) = \phi_{\psi_*c}(\sigma)_* \psi_* \iota_c(g) = \phi_{\psi_*c}(\sigma)_* \iota_{\psi_*c}(g)$$

for every $\psi \in \mathcal{B}$. This proves the theorem. \square

Proposition 6.8. *The action of \mathcal{B}_m on Γ_m in Theorem 6.4 is explicitly defined on generators as follows. The generators σ_i , $i = 2, \dots, m$ act by*

$$(\sigma_i)_* : \begin{cases} g_j \mapsto g_j, & j \neq i-1, i, \\ g_{i-1} \mapsto g_{i-1}g_i g_{i-1}^{-1}, \\ g_i \mapsto g_{i-1}, \\ g \mapsto g, & g \in G, \end{cases}$$

and a positive embedded class $g \in G$ (see Definition 6.19 below) acts by

$$g_* : \begin{cases} g_j \mapsto g_j, & j = 2, \dots, m, \\ g_1 \mapsto g^{-1}g_1g, \\ h \mapsto h, & \text{if } (g, h) \text{ is of Type 1,} \\ h \mapsto g^{-1}g_1gg_1^{-1}h, & \text{if } (g, h) \text{ is of Type 2,} \\ h \mapsto g^{-1}g_1gh, & \text{if } (g, h) \text{ is of Type 3,} \end{cases} \quad (46)$$

where h is a positive embedded class in G and the Types 1–3 for the ordered pair (g, h) refer to Figure 4.

Proof. For $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_m$ we represent the mapping class $\phi_c(\sigma) \in \mathcal{B}$ by a suitable diffeomorphism and, for a positive embedded class $k \in G$, we represent the homotopy class $\iota_c(k) \in \Gamma$ by a suitable loop. The cases in which the action is trivial follow by choosing the relevant loop in the complement of the support of the diffeomorphism. The other cases follow from a careful inspection of Figure 7, in which we have depicted the images of the relevant loops under the diffeomorphisms representing $\phi_c(\sigma)$. \square

Remark 6.9. Given a pair (g, h) of one of the types 1–3, the action of h on g is given by different formulas than the action of g on h . Namely, we have

$$h_* : \begin{cases} g \mapsto (h^{-1}g_1hg_1^{-1})g(g_1h^{-1}g_1^{-1}h), & \text{if } (g, h) \text{ is of Type 1,} \\ g \mapsto (h^{-1}g_1h)g(h^{-1}g_1^{-1}h), & \text{if } (g, h) \text{ is of Type 2,} \\ g \mapsto (h^{-1}g_1h)g_1^{-1}g(h^{-1}g_1^{-1}h), & \text{if } (g, h) \text{ is of Type 3.} \end{cases} \quad (47)$$

These formulas are most easily obtained by drawing the corresponding diagrams, as in Figure 7. Nevertheless, they can also be deduced formally from Proposition 6.8 via the following trick. By a suitable catenation of g and h one can transform the configuration (g, h) into another configuration, for which a formula is already available, and from which one can extract the formula for the action of h . Suitable transformations are depicted in Figure 8,




Figure 7: Action of \mathcal{B}_m on Γ_m .




Figure 8: Computing the action of h on g .

where \tilde{g}, \tilde{h} stand for parallel copies of g , respectively h , disjoint from g, h except at z_1 . The three cases are treated as follows:

1. If (g, h) is of Type 1 then the pairs $(h, g\tilde{h})$ and (h, \tilde{h}) are both of Type 2 and we use the formula $h_*g = h_*(gh) \cdot (h_*h)^{-1}$.
2. If (g, h) is of Type 2 then the pair $(g, \tilde{g}^{-1}h)$ is of Type 1 and we use the previous case with $h_*g = g_*((g^{-1}h)_*g)$.
3. If (g, h) is of Type 3 then the pair $(h, g^{-1}\tilde{h})$ is of Type 3 and we use the formula $h_*g = h_*h \cdot (h_*(g^{-1}h))^{-1}$.

Remark 6.10. It follows from our construction that the previous formulas satisfy the defining relations of the braid group. This can of course be also checked directly. The full computation involves many distinct cases, which arise from taking into account the relative positions of three positive embedded loops $g, h, k \in G$. The reader might like to try his or her own understanding on a relatively simple and yet nontrivial case, like for example relation (R1) on g_1 . For later reference we note that σ_k^{-1} acts by

$$(\sigma_k^{-1})_* : \begin{cases} g_j \mapsto g_j, & j \neq k-1, k, \\ g_{k-1} \mapsto g_k, \\ g_k \mapsto g_k^{-1}g_{k-1}g_k, \\ g \mapsto g, & g \in G. \end{cases}$$

for $k = 2, \dots, m$.

Remark 6.11. The inverses of positive embedded classes in G act as follows.

$$(g^{-1})_* : \begin{cases} g_j \mapsto g_j, & j = 2, \dots, m, \\ g_1 \mapsto g_1^{-1}gg_1g^{-1}g_1, \\ h \mapsto h, & \text{if } (g, h) \text{ is of Type 1,} \\ h \mapsto g_1^{-1}gg_1g^{-1}h, & \text{if } (g, h) \text{ is of Type 2,} \\ h \mapsto g_1^{-1}h, & \text{if } (g, h) \text{ is of Type 3,} \end{cases}$$

$$(h^{-1})_* : \begin{cases} g \mapsto (g_1^{-1}hg_1h^{-1})g(hg_1^{-1}h^{-1}g_1), & \text{if } (g, h) \text{ is of Type 1,} \\ g \mapsto g_1^{-1}gg_1, & \text{if } (g, h) \text{ is of Type 2,} \\ g \mapsto g_1^{-1}hg_1h^{-1}gg_1, & \text{if } (g, h) \text{ is of Type 3.} \end{cases}$$

Remark 6.12. Let $g \in G \subset \mathcal{B}_m$. We shall prove in Lemma 7.10 that the isomorphism $g_* : \Gamma_m \rightarrow \Gamma_m$ preserves the normal subgroup generated by g_1 . Moreover, the induced action on the quotient group $\Gamma_m/\langle g_1 \rangle$ is the identity.

Theorem 6.13. *There is a collection of isomorphisms $\tilde{\phi}_c : \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$, one for each marked distinguished configuration c , satisfying the following conditions.*

- (i) *If c is homotopic to c' then $\tilde{\phi}_c = \tilde{\phi}_{c'}$.*
- (ii) *For every $\psi \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ and every $c \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ we have*

$$\tilde{\phi}_{\psi_*c}(\sigma) = \psi \tilde{\phi}_c(\sigma) \psi^{-1}$$

(where $\sigma \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m$).

- (iii) *For every $c \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ there is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}_c} & \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{B}_m & \xrightarrow{\phi_c} & \mathcal{B} \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are the obvious projections.

Remark 6.14. Let $c = (c_1, \dots, c_m)$ be a marked distinguished configuration and choose a closed embedded disc $D_c \subset \Sigma$ as in the proof of Theorem 6.4. Our construction of a lift $\tilde{\phi}_c : \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ of ϕ_c depends on the choice (up to homotopy) of a nonvanishing vector field $v_c \in \text{Vect}(\Sigma \setminus \text{int}(D_c))$ with $v_c(z_1) = v_1$. The diffeomorphisms $\psi : \Sigma \setminus \text{int}(D_c) \rightarrow \Sigma \setminus \text{int}(D_{\psi_*c})$ give rise to a consistent family of such vector fields with $v_{\psi_*c} = \psi_*v_c$. (By the Poincaré-Hopf theorem the vector field v_c gives rise to a loop of degree $2 - 2\ell$ over ∂D_c , where ℓ is the genus of Σ .)

Proof of Theorem 6.13. Choose a consistent family of closed embedded disc $D_c \subset \Sigma$ and nonvanishing vector fields $v_c \in \text{Vect}(\Sigma \setminus \text{int}(D_c))$ as in Remark 6.14. The construction of the isomorphism $\tilde{\phi}_c : \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ uses the same geometric argument as that of $\phi_c : \mathcal{B}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$. The only difference is the choice of the framing. The isomorphism $\tilde{\phi}_c$ assigns to each element $g \in \mathcal{G}$ the same braid as ϕ_c , with the framing determined by v_c , and to σ_i the same braid as ϕ_c , with the framing determined by a trivialization of the tangent bundle over D_c . The framed braid associated to ε_i is the trivial braid with the framing given by a counter clockwise rotation about z_i .

That the images of these generators satisfy the required relations is obvious from the definitions. That there are no additional relations follows from

a general result about presentations of group extensions [7, Lemma 3.1]. Namely, given any exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow \tilde{B} \rightarrow B \rightarrow 1$$

and presentations of A and B we obtain a presentation of \tilde{B} as follows. The generators are the generators of A and lifts of the generators of B . The relations are the relations in A , lifts of the relations in B composed with suitable words in the generators of A (which in our case are trivial), and relations of the type $\tilde{b}a\tilde{b}^{-1} = w$ for every generator a of A and every lift \tilde{b} of a generator of B , where w is a suitable word in A . In our situation we have $w = a$ except in the cases $\sigma_i \varepsilon_i \sigma_i^{-1} = \varepsilon_{i-1}$ and $\sigma_i \varepsilon_{i-1} \sigma_i^{-1} = \varepsilon_i$ for $i = 2, \dots, m$. Thus we have constructed isomorphisms $\tilde{\phi}_c$ satisfying (i) and (ii). Assertion (iii) follows immediately from the definition of $\tilde{\phi}_c$. This proves the theorem. \square

Remark 6.15. There is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^m \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_m \rightarrow 1$$

where the homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}^m \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m$ is given by

$$k = (k_1, \dots, k_m) \mapsto \varepsilon^k := \varepsilon_1^{k_1} \cdots \varepsilon_m^{k_m}$$

and the homomorphism $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_m$ sends ε_i to 1 for $i = 1, \dots, m$. The isomorphisms of Theorems 6.4 and 6.13 identify this exact sequence with (39).

Remark 6.16. The projection $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_m$ in the proof of Theorem 6.13 has a right inverse (in fact one for every trivialization of $T\Sigma$ over $\Sigma \setminus \text{int}(D)$). Hence $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m$ is isomorphic to the semidirect product $\mathbb{Z}^m \rtimes \mathcal{B}_m$ where \mathcal{B}_m acts on \mathbb{Z}^m by permutations. Note that the isomorphism is not canonical for positive genus, in contrast to the genus zero case, treated in [8], where the isomorphism is canonical.

Remark 6.17. The homomorphism $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_m$ induces an action of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m$ on Γ_m where the ε_i act trivially. The action of the other generators is as in Proposition 6.8.

Corollary 6.18. *The contravariant action of \mathcal{B}_m on \mathcal{C} in Corollary 6.7 lifts to a contravariant action of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ via*

$$\sigma^* c := \tilde{\phi}_c(\sigma)_* c. \tag{48}$$

Proof. This follows from the same argument as Corollary 6.7. \square

Finite presentations

Definition 6.19. Fix an embedded disc $D \subset \Sigma$, a point $p \in \partial D$, and an inward pointing vector $v \in T_p \Sigma$. A based embedded loop γ in $(\Sigma \setminus \text{int}(D), p)$ is said to be **positive** if the vectors $(\dot{\gamma}(0), v, -\dot{\gamma}(1))$ are ordered counterclockwise (see Figure 9). An element $g \in G$ is called **positive and embedded** if it admits a positive embedded representative γ .

Definition 6.20. Let ℓ denote the genus of Σ . A **distinguished set of generators** for the group G is a subset $\mathcal{X} \subset G$, consisting of 2ℓ elements that freely generate G , such that each $g \in \mathcal{X}$ is a positive embedded class and the positive embedded representatives can be chosen pairwise disjoint except at the base point z_1 .

Example 6.21. An example of a distinguished set of generators consists of classes $a_1, b_1, \dots, a_\ell, b_\ell$ such that the pairs $(a_i, a_j), (a_i, b_j), (b_i, a_j), (b_i, b_j)$ with $i < j$ are all configurations of Type 1, the pairs (a_i, a_i) and (b_i, b_i) are configurations of Type 2 (by choosing two parallel representatives), and the pairs (a_i, b_i) are configurations of Type 3 (see Figure 9). Such distinguished sets of generators can be obtained from polygonal representations of the surface Σ (see Figure 10).




Figure 9:

Remark 6.22. Given a distinguished set of generators $\mathcal{X} \subset G$, the abstract braid group \mathcal{B}_m can be given a finite presentation with generators $\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_m$ and $g \in \mathcal{X}$. The set of relations (π_1) becomes obsolete, whereas the relations $(Commutation)$ and $(R1-3)$ have to be rewritten in the obvious way, taking account of the type of each pair in \mathcal{X} . The same holds for the abstract framed braid group $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m$.

Remark 6.23. Let τ denote the loop based at z_1 and going around ∂D clockwise. It follows again from [1] that the braid group $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma)$ on m strings in Σ (instead of $\Sigma \setminus \{z_0\}$) admits a presentation involving the same generators and relations as in Definition 6.1, as well as the additional relation

$$\text{(Circle)} \quad \tau = \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{m-1} \sigma_m^2 \sigma_{m-1} \cdots \sigma_2.$$

If the braid group \mathcal{B}_m is given a finite presentation arising from a distinguished set of generators for G as in Example 6.21, the *(Circle)* relation becomes

$$\text{(Circle')} \quad [b_\ell^{-1}, a_\ell] \cdots [b_1^{-1}, a_1] = \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{m-1} \sigma_m^2 \sigma_{m-1} \cdots \sigma_2,$$

where we denote $[b, a] := bab^{-1}a^{-1}$. This is because $\tau = [b_\ell^{-1}, a_\ell] \cdots [b_1^{-1}, a_1]$ in G , as can be seen from Figure 10. This discussion extends to the framed braid group of the unpunctured surface because τ commutes with ε_i for each i .




Figure 10: Polygonal representation of the surface Σ .

Remark 6.24. In a manner analogous to the one in Remark 6.23, the abstract fundamental group can be given a finite presentation with generators $(g_1, \dots, g_m, a_1, b_1, \dots, a_\ell, b_\ell)$. The set of relations (π_1) becomes obsolete, whereas the relation *(Amalgamation)* becomes

$$\text{(Amalgamation')} \quad [b_\ell^{-1}, a_\ell] \cdots [b_1^{-1}, a_1] = g_m^{-1} \cdots g_1^{-1}.$$

This is again due to the fact that τ is equal to $[b_\ell^{-1}, a_\ell] \cdots [b_1^{-1}, a_1]$ in G .

7 Cocycles and characters

Definition 7.1 (Serre [13]). *Let G and A be groups. Suppose that G acts covariantly on A and denote the action by $G \times A \rightarrow A : (g, a) \mapsto g_*a$. A map $s : G \rightarrow A$ is called a **cocycle** if*

$$s(gh) = s(g)g_*s(h), \quad s(1) = 1. \quad (49)$$

*Two cocycles $s_0, s_1 : G \rightarrow A$ are called **cohomologous** if there is an element $a \in A$ such that*

$$s_1(g) = a^{-1}s_0(g)g_*a. \quad (50)$$

The set of equivalence classes of cocycles is denoted by $H^1(G, A)$.

Remark 7.2. The semidirect product $G \ltimes A$ is equipped with the group operation

$$(g, a) \cdot (h, b) := (gh, ag_*b).$$

A map $s : G \rightarrow A$ is a cocycle if and only if the map

$$G \rightarrow G \ltimes A : g \mapsto (g, s(g))$$

is a homomorphism (and the homomorphisms associated to two cohomologous cocycles are conjugate by the element $(1, a) \in G \ltimes A$). Hence a cocycle is uniquely determined by its values on a set of generators of G . Moreover, a collection of prescribed values on the generators determine a cocycle if and only if, for every relation $\tau = 1$ (where τ is a word in the generators), the value $s(\tau)$ determined by (49) is the identity.

As in Section 6, let $D \subset \Sigma$ be an embedded disc in a closed oriented Riemann surface (of genus ℓ) and denote by $G := \pi_1(\Sigma \setminus \text{int}(D), p)$ the fundamental group with a base point $p \in \partial D$. Thus G can be represented as a free group on 2ℓ generators. Let Γ_1 be the group that is freely generated by G and an additional generator g_1 . Then the formula (46) in Proposition 6.8 defines an action of G on Γ_1 denoted by

$$G \times \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_1 : (g, \gamma) \mapsto g_*\gamma.$$

The group Γ_1 is equipped with two homomorphisms

$$\pi : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow G, \quad \rho : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

determined by the conditions

$$\pi(g_1) = 1, \quad \pi(g) = g, \quad \rho(g_1) = 1, \quad \rho(g) = 0$$

for $g \in G \subset \Gamma_1$. Thus π is a left inverse of the inclusion $G \rightarrow \Gamma_1$ and the integer $\rho(\gamma)$ is the signed number of g_1 -factors in a word representing $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$. These two homomorphisms are related to the action of G on Γ_1 as follows.

Lemma 7.3. *For every $g \in G$ and every $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$ we have*

$$\pi(g_*\gamma) = \pi(\gamma) \tag{51}$$

and

$$\rho(g_*\gamma) - \rho(\gamma) = g \cdot \pi(\gamma), \tag{52}$$

where $g \cdot h$ denotes the intersection number of $g, h \in G = \pi_1(\Sigma \setminus \text{int}(D), p)$.

Proof. Fix a distinguished set of generators $\mathcal{X} \subset G$ as in Definition 6.20, and denote by \mathcal{X}^{-1} the set consisting of the inverses of the elements of \mathcal{X} . Then Γ_1 is freely generated by g_1 and the elements of \mathcal{X} . Moreover, it follows from equation (46) and Remark 6.11 that (51) holds for $\gamma, g \in \mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{X}^{-1}$. Since the map $\gamma \mapsto \pi(g_*\gamma)$ is a group homomorphism this proves (51) for each $g \in \mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{X}^{-1}$ and each $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$. Now it follows by induction on the word length that (51) holds in general.

Next we define, for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$, a map $\psi_\gamma : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\psi_\gamma(g) := \rho(g_*\gamma) - \rho(\gamma).$$

We claim that this map is a homomorphism for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$ and that this homomorphism depends only on $\pi(\gamma)$. We first note that, for every $g \in G$, there is a $\beta \in \Gamma_1$ such that $g_*g_1 = \beta^{-1}g_1\beta$. This follows from (46) and Remark 6.11 for $g \in \mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{X}^{-1}$, and that it holds for an arbitrary $g \in G$ follows by induction on the word length. This implies $\rho(g_*g_1) - \rho(g_1) = 0$ for every $g \in G$ and so $\psi_\gamma(g)$ depends only on $\pi(\gamma)$. Hence, for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$ and $g, h \in G$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\gamma(gh) &= \rho(g_*h_*\gamma) - \rho(h_*\gamma) + \rho(h_*\gamma) - \rho(\gamma) \\ &= \psi_{h_*\gamma}(g) + \psi_\gamma(h) \\ &= \psi_\gamma(g) + \psi_\gamma(h). \end{aligned}$$

Here the last equation follows from the fact that $\pi(h_*\gamma) = \pi(\gamma)$, by (51). Thus we have proved that the map $\psi_\gamma : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is a homomorphism depending only on $\pi(\gamma)$, as claimed.

Next we prove that

$$\rho(g_*h) = g \cdot h \quad (53)$$

for all $g, h \in G$. To see this we define $Q : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$Q(g, h) := \rho(g_*h) = \rho(g_*h) - \rho(h) = \psi_h(g).$$

This map is a homomorphism in h for every fixed $g \in G$ and, by what we have just proved, it is also a homomorphism in g for every fixed $h \in G$. Hence it suffices to prove (53) for any two elements $g, h \in \mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{X}^{-1}$. But in this case (53) follows immediately from (46) and Remark 6.11. Namely, if $g, h \in \mathcal{X}$ we have $g \cdot h = 0$ in the cases of Type 1 and Type 2, and we have $g \cdot h = 1$ in the case of Type 3. Similar equations hold if g or h belongs to \mathcal{X}^{-1} , so that we have proved (53). It follows from (53) with $h := \pi(\gamma)$ that

$$\rho(g_*\gamma) - \rho(\gamma) = \psi_\gamma(g) = \psi_{\pi(\gamma)}(g) = \rho(g_*\pi(\gamma)) = g \cdot \pi(\gamma).$$

This proves (52) and the lemma. \square

Example 7.4. Let $\mathcal{X} \subset G$ be a distinguished set of generators as in Definition 6.20. Then it follows from Remark 7.2 that, for any function $d : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, there is a unique cocycle $s = s_{\mathcal{X}, d} : G \rightarrow \Gamma_1$ satisfying

$$s(g) = g_1^{d(g)} g$$

for every $g \in \mathcal{X}$. The function

$$\chi_s := \rho \circ s : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \quad (54)$$

is called the **character** of the cocycle s .

Lemma 7.5. *The cocycle $s : G \rightarrow \Gamma_1$ of Example 7.4 satisfies*

$$\pi \circ s = \text{id} : G \rightarrow G. \quad (55)$$

If $s : G \rightarrow \Gamma_1$ is any cocycle satisfying (55) then its character $\chi = \chi_s$ satisfies

$$\chi(gh) = \chi(g) + \chi(h) + g \cdot h. \quad (56)$$

Proof. It follows from (51) that $\pi \circ s : G \rightarrow G$ is a group homomorphism. In Example 7.4 we have $\pi \circ s(g) = g$ for each generator $g \in \mathcal{X}$ and this implies that $\pi \circ s$ is the identity. To prove (56) we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_s(gh) &= \rho(s(gh)) \\
&= \rho(s(g)g_*s(h)) \\
&= \rho(s(g)) + \rho(g_*s(h)) \\
&= \rho(s(g)) + \rho(s(h)) + g \cdot \pi(s(h)) \\
&= \chi_s(g) + \chi_s(h) + g \cdot h.
\end{aligned}$$

Here the first equation follows from the definition of χ_s , the second from the cocycle condition, the third from the fact that ρ is a homomorphism, the fourth from (52), and the last from (55). \square

Now let $v \in \text{Vect}(\Sigma \setminus \text{int}(D))$ be a nonvanishing vector field and define a function

$$\chi_v : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

satisfying (56) as follows. Given any homotopy class $g \in G$ choose an immersed representative, also denoted by $g : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \Sigma \setminus \text{int}(D)$, such that $g(0) = p$ and $\dot{g}(0) = \dot{g}(1) \in T_p\partial D$ determines the boundary orientation of D (i.e. the pair $(\dot{g}(0), w)$, with w pointing into D , is a positive basis of $T_p\Sigma$). Define

$$\chi_v(g) := \mu_v(g) - \nu(g) - 1 \tag{57}$$

where $\mu_v(g)$ denotes the degree of the vector field $v \circ g$ along g with respect to a positive frame of the form (\dot{g}, w) and $\nu(g)$ denotes the oriented number of self-intersections of g . More precisely, a self-intersection is a pair $(s, t) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ with $0 < s < t < 1$ and $g(s) = g(t)$. It is called transverse if $\dot{g}(s)$ and $\dot{g}(t)$ form a basis of $T_{g(s)}\Sigma$ and the intersection index at this point is ± 1 according to whether or not this basis is positively oriented. If all self-intersections are transverse then the number $\nu(g)$ is defined as the sum of the intersection indices. This number is invariant under immersed homotopy with fixed endpoints and hence is well defined for every immersed loop based at p , whether or not it has transverse self-intersections.

Remark 7.6. The numbers $\mu_v(g)$ and $\nu(g)$ are only defined for immersed loops $g : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \Sigma \setminus \text{int}(D)$ with base point p and positive tangent vector $\dot{g}(0) = \dot{g}(1) \in T_p\partial D$. Both numbers are only invariant under immersed

homotopy. However, the difference $\mu_v(g) - \nu(g)$ is invariant under homotopy and hence is also well defined for non-immersed loops. Namely, adding a counterclockwise kink to the loop decreases both μ_v and ν by 1 and adding a clockwise kink increases both μ_v and ν by 1 (see Figure 11). A generic homotopy between immersed loops has only cusp singularities which result in the birth or death of kinks.




Figure 11: Invariance of $\mu_v - \nu$.

Remark 7.7. The function $\chi_v : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ defined by (57) depends only on the homotopy class of the nonvanishing vector field $v \in \text{Vect}(\Sigma \setminus \text{int}(D))$, since the degree $\mu_v(g)$ does so.

Lemma 7.8. *For every nonvanishing vector field v on $\Sigma \setminus \text{int}(D)$ the function $\chi = \chi_v : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ defined by (57) satisfies (56).*

Proof. Assume w.l.o.g. that g and h are immersed such that $\dot{g}(0) = \dot{g}(1)$ and $\dot{h}(0) = \dot{h}(1)$ are positive tangent vectors in $T_p \partial D$. Assume further that g and h intersect transversally except at p and that p is an isolated intersection of g and h . Then obviously $\mu_v(gh) = \mu_v(g) + \mu_v(h)$ and hence (56) is equivalent to the equation

$$\nu(gh) = \nu(g) + \nu(h) + 1 - g \cdot h. \quad (58)$$

Recall that gh stands for the loop obtained by traversing first h and then g . The proof of equation (58) follows by a careful inspection of the three cases illustrated in Figure 12. \square

We now return to the abstract framed braid group $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m$ and the abstract fundamental group Γ_m in Definitions 6.2 and 6.3 with the action of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m$ on Γ_m as in Proposition 6.8. In particular, each ε_i acts trivially on Γ_m . Assume $m \geq 2$ and think of $\Gamma_1 = \langle G, g_1 \rangle$ as a subgroup of Γ_m . Then the homomorphism $\rho : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ extends to a homomorphism $\rho_1 : \Gamma_m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\rho_1(g_1) = 1, \quad \rho_1(g_m) = -1, \quad \rho_1(g_i) = 0, \quad \rho_1(g) = 0 \quad (59)$$




Figure 12: Proof of (58).

for $2 \leq i \leq m - 1$ and $g \in G$. This homomorphism is well defined, by the (*Amalgamation*) relation in Definition 6.3. It assigns to every $\gamma \in \Gamma_m$ the signed number of g_1 -factors of any presentation of γ as a word in the generators and their inverses, not involving g_m, g_m^{-1} . Let $\langle G, \varepsilon_1 \rangle$ denote the subgroup of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m$ generated by the elements of G and ε_1 . Since ε_1 commutes with every $g \in G$ this subgroup is isomorphic to $G \times \mathbb{Z}$. We denote by $\langle g_1 \rangle \subset \Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma_m$ the normalizer of g_1 in Γ_1 . Given a cocycle $s : \langle G, \varepsilon_1 \rangle \rightarrow \Gamma_m$ we denote its character by

$$\chi_s := \rho_1 \circ s|_G : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}. \quad (60)$$

Proposition 7.9. *The following three sets are in bijective correspondence.*

- (A) *Cocycles $s : \langle G, \varepsilon_1 \rangle \rightarrow \Gamma_m$ satisfying $s(\varepsilon_1) = g_1$.*
- (B) *Characters $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying (56).*
- (C) *Homotopy classes $[v]$ of nonvanishing vector fields $v \in \text{Vect}(\Sigma \setminus \text{int}(D))$.*

The correspondence assigns to a cocycle s the function χ_s in (60), and to a homotopy class of a vector field v the function χ_v in (57). Moreover, all three sets are affine spaces over

$$\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}) = H^1(\Sigma \setminus \text{int}(D); \mathbb{Z})$$

and the bijections are equivariant.

Lemma 7.10. *Let $s : \langle G, \varepsilon_1 \rangle \rightarrow \Gamma_m$ be a cocycle such that $s(\varepsilon_1) = g_1$. Then the following holds*

- (a) *If $g \in G$ is a positive embedded class then $s(g) = g_1^{\chi_s(g)}g$.*
- (b) *For every $g \in G$ we have $s(g)g^{-1} \in \langle g_1 \rangle$.*

In particular, the image of s is contained in Γ_1 .

Proof. The proof of (a) relies on the identity

$$g_*g_1 = s(g)^{-1}g_1s(g) \tag{61}$$

for every $g \in G$. This follows from the cocycle condition and the fact that $s(g\varepsilon_1) = s(\varepsilon_1g)$ for all $g \in G$. If $g \in G$ is a positive embedded class then $g_*g_1 = g^{-1}g_1g$, by (46), and hence equation (61) shows that $s(g)g^{-1}$ commutes with g_1 . Since Γ_m is a free group, this implies that $s(g)g^{-1} = g_1^d$ for some $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $d = \rho_1(s(g)g^{-1}) = \rho_1(s(g)) = \chi_s(g)$.

The proof of (b) relies on the next two statements.

- (c) For every $g \in G$ the isomorphism $g_* : \Gamma_m \rightarrow \Gamma_m$ preserves $\langle g_1 \rangle$.
- (d) For all $g, h \in G$ we have $(g_*h)h^{-1} \in \langle g_1 \rangle$.

By (46) and Remark 6.11, assertion (c) holds for positive embedded classes and their inverses. Hence, by induction on the word length, it holds for all $g \in G$. It follows also from (46) and Remark 6.11 that (d) holds for positive embedded classes g, h and their inverses. That it holds for all $h \in G$ follows by induction on the word length using the identity

$$g_*(hk)k^{-1}h^{-1} = \beta h \gamma h^{-1}, \quad \beta := (g_*h)h^{-1}, \quad \gamma := (g_*k)k^{-1}.$$

That it holds for all $g \in G$ follows again by induction on the word length using (c) and the identity

$$((gh)_*k)k^{-1} = (g_*\beta)\gamma, \quad \beta := (h_*k)k^{-1}, \quad \gamma := (g_*k)k^{-1}.$$

Thus we have proved (d). Now let $g \in G$ be a positive embedded class. Then assertion (a) shows that there is an integer $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $s(g) = g_1^d g$. Since the pair (g, g) is of type 2 it follows from Remark 6.11 that

$$s(g^{-1}) = ((g^{-1})_*s(g))^{-1} = ((g_1^{-1}gg_1g^{-1}g_1)^d g_1^{-1}gg_1)^{-1} = g_1^{-d-1}g^{-1}g_1.$$

Thus we have proved that $s(g)g^{-1} \in \langle g_1 \rangle$ for all positive embedded classes $g \in G$ and their inverses. That this continues to hold for all $g \in G$ follows by induction on the word length using (c), (d), and the identities

$$\begin{aligned} s(gh)h^{-1}g^{-1} &= s(g)(g_*s(h))h^{-1}g^{-1} = (s(g)g^{-1})g((g_*s(h))h^{-1})g^{-1}, \\ (g_*s(h))h^{-1} &= (g_*\beta)\gamma, \quad \beta := s(h)h^{-1}, \quad \gamma := (g_*h)h^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (b) and the lemma. \square

Proof of Proposition 7.9. That χ_v satisfies (56) for every nonvanishing vector field $v \in \text{Vect}(\Sigma \setminus \text{int}(D))$ was proved in Lemma 7.8. If $s : \langle G, \varepsilon_1 \rangle \rightarrow \Gamma_m$ is a cocycle satisfying $s(\varepsilon_1) = g_1$ then, by Lemma 7.10 (a), we have $s(g) = g_1^{\chi_s(g)}g$ for every positive embedded class $g \in G$. This implies by induction on the word length that $s(g) \in \Gamma_1$ for all $g \in G$. Moreover, the map $\pi \circ s|_G : G \rightarrow G$ is a group homomorphism acting as the identity on positive embedded classes. Hence $\pi \circ s|_G = \text{id}$ and so it follows from Lemma 7.5 that χ_s satisfies (56).

We prove that the map $s \mapsto \chi_s$ is bijective and equivariant. That the map is injective follows immediately from the fact that, by Lemma 7.10 (a), the character χ_s determines the map s on a suitable set of generators. To prove surjectivity we fix a function $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ that satisfies (56) and choose a distinguished set of generators $\mathcal{X} \subset G$ as in Definition 6.20. Then it follows from Remark 7.2 that there is a unique cocycle $s : \langle G, \varepsilon_1 \rangle \rightarrow \Gamma_m$ such that

$$s(\varepsilon_1) = g_1, \quad s(g) = g_1^{\chi(g)}g \quad \text{for all } g \in \mathcal{X}.$$

(We must verify the relation $s(\varepsilon_1 g) = s(g\varepsilon_1)$ for $g \in \mathcal{X}$. But this is equivalent to the equation $g_*g_1 = s(g)^{-1}g_1s(g)$ and holds obviously for each $g \in \mathcal{X}$.) It follows from the definition of s that $\chi_s(g) = \chi(g)$ for every $g \in \mathcal{X}$. Since both χ and χ_s satisfy (56) this shows that $\chi_s(g) = \chi(g)$ for every $g \in G$. Thus we have proved surjectivity. Denote the inverse of our map by $\chi \mapsto s_\chi$. Then, by (61), we have

$$s_{\chi+\alpha}(g) = g_1^{\alpha(g)}s_\chi(g)$$

for every $\alpha \in \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ and every $g \in G$. The right hand side in this formula defines the action of $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ on the space of cocycles.

We prove that the map $[v] \mapsto \chi_v$ is bijective and equivariant. The gauge group $\mathcal{G} := C^\infty(\Sigma \setminus \text{int}(D), S^1)$ acts on the space \mathcal{V} of nonvanishing vector fields on $\Sigma \setminus \text{int}(D)$ (by multiplication) and the resulting action of $\pi_0(\mathcal{G})$ on $\pi_0(\mathcal{V})$ is free and transitive. There is a natural map $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}) : u \mapsto \alpha_u$

which assigns to every gauge transformation $u : \Sigma \setminus \text{int}(D) \rightarrow S^1$ the homomorphism $\alpha_u : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by $\alpha_u([\gamma]) := \deg(u \circ \gamma)$ for every based loop γ in $\Sigma \setminus \text{int}(D)$. The map $u \mapsto \alpha_u$ descends to an isomorphism from $\pi_0(\mathcal{G})$ to $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z})$. (This holds for maps from any CW complex to the circle.) Thus $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ acts freely and transitively on $\pi_0(\mathcal{V})$. This group also acts freely and transitively on the space of characters by $(\alpha, \chi) \mapsto \chi + \alpha$ and the map $[v] \mapsto \chi_v$ is equivariant. Hence it is also bijective and this proves the proposition. \square

8 Representations of the framed braid group

Let \mathcal{B}_m and Γ_m be as in Section 6. Fix a positive integer n and denote by

$$\mathcal{S}_m = \mathcal{S}_m(n) := \{N : \Gamma_m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m} \mid N \text{ satisfies (16), (17), (18)}\}$$

the space of monodromy characters on $(\Gamma_m, g_1, \dots, g_m)$ (see Section 3).

Theorem 8.1. *Fix a function $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ that satisfies (56).*

(i) *There is a unique monodromy cocycle $S = S_\chi : \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m \rightarrow \text{GL}_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_m])$ satisfying*

$$S(\sigma_k) := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}_{k-2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_{k-1}^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbb{1}_{m-k} \end{pmatrix}, \quad S(\varepsilon_i) := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}_{i-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g_i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbb{1}_{m-i} \end{pmatrix},$$

for $k = 2, \dots, m$ and $i = 1, \dots, m$, and

$$S(g) := \begin{pmatrix} g_1^{\chi(g)} g & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{1}_{m-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

for every positive embedded class $g \in G$.

(ii) *The space \mathcal{S}_m is invariant under the action of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m$ on the space of functions $N : \Gamma_m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$ by*

$$\sigma^* N := (S(\sigma)^t N S(\sigma)) \circ \sigma_* \tag{62}$$

(iii) *If n is odd then the action of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m$ on \mathcal{S}_m descends to \mathcal{B}_m .*

Remark 8.2. The function $S : \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_m])$ in Theorem 8.1 satisfies

$$S(\sigma_k^{-1}) := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}_{k-2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g_k & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbb{1}_{m-k} \end{pmatrix} \quad (63)$$

for $k = 2, \dots, m$. This follows from the formula $S(\sigma_k^{-1}) = [(\sigma_k^{-1})_*(S(\sigma_k))]^{-1}$ which in turn follows from the cocycle condition (49).

Fix a closed Riemann surface Σ of genus ℓ , a base point $z_0 \in \Sigma$, a finite set $Z \subset \Sigma \setminus \{z_0\}$ of cardinality m , and a collection of nonzero tangent vectors $v_z \in T_z \Sigma$ for $z \in Z$. Choose a consistent family of closed embedded discs $D_c \subset \Sigma$ (up to homotopy) and a consistent family of nonvanishing vector fields $v_c \in \mathrm{Vect}(\Sigma \setminus \mathrm{int}(D_c))$ (also up to homotopy) as in Remark 6.14. These choices (together with the isomorphisms J_c in (44)) determine the isomorphisms

$$\tilde{\phi}_c : \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{B}}, \quad \iota_c : \Gamma_m \rightarrow \Gamma$$

in Theorems 6.4 and 6.13. These isomorphisms in turn determine the contravariant action of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ in Corollary 6.7.

Let $f : X \rightarrow \Sigma$ be a Lefschetz fibration with fibers of complex dimension n and singular fibers over the elements of Z , each of them containing precisely one critical point. Fix a collection of orientations of the unstable manifolds of $z \in Z$ in the directions v_z . Then every marked distinguished configuration $c = (c_1, \dots, c_m)$ determines m distinguished elements $g_{1,c}, \dots, g_{m,c} \in \Gamma$ and m oriented vanishing cycles L_1, \dots, L_m in the fiber over z_0 . These give rise to a monodromy character \mathcal{N}_c^X on $(\Gamma, g_{1,c}, \dots, g_{m,c})$ (see Section 2). The isomorphism ι_c satisfies

$$\iota_c(g_i) = g_{i,c}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

and hence we get an induced monodromy character on $(\Gamma_m, g_1, \dots, g_m)$ via

$$N_c^X := \mathcal{N}_c^X \circ \iota_c. \quad (64)$$

Theorem 8.4 below asserts that, for a suitable choice of the function $\chi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, the action of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_m$ in Theorem 8.1 is compatible with the contravariant action of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$.

Remark 8.3. Let D_c and v_c be consistent families of discs vector fields as in Remark 6.14 and let $\chi_{v_c} : G_c \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be given by (57). By Lemma 7.8 these functions satisfy (56). Moreover,

$$\chi_{\psi_* v_c} \circ \psi_* = \chi_{v_c}, \quad \psi_* v_c = v_{\psi_* c}$$

for every $\psi \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$. Hence there is a unique function $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ which satisfies (56) and is equal to the composition

$$\chi = \chi_{v_c} \circ K_c : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

for every $c \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, where $K_c : G \rightarrow G_c$ is as in (45). For $c \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ let $\tilde{\phi}_c$ be the isomorphism of Theorem 6.13 determined by the vector field v_c . Then it follows from the definitions that

$$c_1 \iota_c(g_1^d g) = (\tilde{\phi}_c(g)_* c)_1 = (g^* c)_1, \quad d := \chi(g), \quad (65)$$

for every positive embedded class $g \in G$ (see Figure 13). The integer $\chi(g)$ is the twisting degree of $\tilde{\phi}_c(g)$ as defined in the introduction.




Figure 13: The path $(g^* c)_1$ with $d = 1$.

Theorem 8.4. If χ is as in Remark 8.3 then the action of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m$ on \mathcal{S}_m in Theorem 8.1 satisfies

$$\sigma^* N_c^X := N_{\sigma_* c}^X \quad (66)$$

for every Lefschetz fibration over Σ with Z as the set of critical values.

Remark 8.5. We emphasize the fact that $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m$ is the framed braid group of the *punctured* surface $\Sigma \setminus \{z_0\}$. As a matter of fact, none of the actions described above descends to the framed braid group of the unpunctured surface Σ . For example, if \mathcal{X} is as in Remark 6.23 and $\chi(g) = 0$ for $g \in \mathcal{X}$, the cocycle satisfies

$$S([b_\ell^{-1}, a_\ell] \cdots [b_1^{-1}, a_1]) = \text{diag}(g_1^{2\ell-2}, 1, \dots, 1) \cdot S(\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_m^2 \cdots \sigma_2) \cdot (g_1 \mathbb{1}_m).$$

Hence, due to the presence of the factor $g_1 \mathbb{1}_m$, it does not descend to a cocycle on the framed braid group of the unpunctured surface. The geometric reason is that one can construct via half-Dehn twists a diffeomorphism supported in the neighbourhood of g_1 , isotopic to the identity with the z_i 's fixed, and whose action on the vanishing cycles consists in replacing L_i by $g_1 L_i$. Of course, there is no geometric reason why the new intersection matrix should take the same value as the old one at some arbitrary element $g \in \Gamma_m$ – their values are equal only at $g = 1$.

Proof of Theorem 8.1. We prove (i). Choose a distinguished set of generators $\mathcal{X} \subset G$ as in Definition 6.20. We shall prove that there is unique cocycle $S : \mathcal{B}_m \rightarrow \text{GL}_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_m])$ that satisfies all the requirements of the theorem, except that the last normalization condition holds only for $g \in \mathcal{X}$ (instead of every positive embedded class in G). Once existence is established, it follows from Lemma 7.10 (a) that $S(g) = \text{diag}(g_1^{\chi_s(g)}, 1, \dots, 1)$ for every positive embedded class $g \in G$ and hence the (*Normalization*) axiom holds in full generality. To prove existence it suffices, by Remark 7.2, to check that the values of S satisfy the defining relations for the braid group $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m$ in Definition 6.2 (with the adaptations of Remark 6.22 for finite sets of generators).

Step 1. *We verify the (Braid) relations*

$$S(\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i) = S(\sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}), \quad S(\sigma_i \sigma_j) = S(\sigma_j \sigma_i) \quad (67)$$

for $i = 2, \dots, m-1$ and $i+2 \leq j \leq m$.

To prove the second equation in (67) we observe that, for $j \geq i+2$, the matrices $S(\sigma_i)$ and $S(\sigma_j)$ commute and that $(\sigma_i)_* g_{j-1} = g_{j-1}$, $(\sigma_j)_* g_{i-1} = g_{i-1}$. Hence $S(\sigma_i \sigma_j) = S(\sigma_i) S(\sigma_j) = S(\sigma_j) S(\sigma_i) = S(\sigma_j \sigma_i)$.

To prove the first equation in (67) we observe that

$$S(\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i) = S(\sigma_i) \cdot (\sigma_i)_* S(\sigma_{i+1}) \cdot (\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1})_* S(\sigma_i),$$

$$S(\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}) = S(\sigma_{i+1}) \cdot (\sigma_{i+1})_* S(\sigma_i) \cdot (\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i)_* S(\sigma_{i+1}).$$

It suffices to show that the diagonal blocks on the columns $i-1, i, i+1$ for these two matrices coincide. Using the relations

$$(\sigma_i)_* g_i = g_{i-1}, \quad (\sigma_i)_* g_{i-1} = g_{i-1} g_i g_{i-1}^{-1}, \quad (\sigma_{i+1})_* g_{i-1} = g_{i-1}$$

we see that the $(i-1, i, i+1)$ -diagonal block for the matrix $S(\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i)$ is equal to the product

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ g_{i-1}^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & g_{i-1}^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ g_{i-1} g_i^{-1} g_{i-1}^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

whereas the same diagonal block for $S(\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1})$ is equal to the product

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & g_i^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ g_{i-1}^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & g_{i-1}^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Both blocks are equal to

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & g_{i-1}^{-1} & 0 \\ g_i^{-1} g_{i-1}^{-1} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and therefore (67) is satisfied.

Step 2. We verify the (Commutation) relations $S(g\sigma_i) = S(\sigma_i g)$ for $g \in \mathcal{X}$ and $i > 2$.

The matrices $S(g)$ and $S(\sigma_i)$ commute and $g_* g_{i-1} = g_{i-1}$, $(\sigma_i)_* g = g$, $(\sigma_i)_* g_1 = g_1$. Hence $S(g\sigma_i) = S(g)S(\sigma_i) = S(\sigma_i)S(g) = S(\sigma_i g)$.

Step 3. We verify (R1): If $g, h \in \mathcal{X}$ such that (g, h) is a configuration of Type 1 then $S(g\sigma) = S(\sigma g)$ where $\sigma := \sigma_2^{-1} h \sigma_2$.

Let $d := \chi(h)$ and note that

$$(\sigma_2^{-1})_*(g_1^d h) = g_2^d h, \quad (\sigma_2^{-1} h)_* g_1^{-1} = h^{-1} g_2^{-1} h.$$

Hence the $(1, 2)$ -diagonal block of the matrix $S(\sigma)$ is equal to

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & g_2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g_2^d h & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ h^{-1} g_2^{-1} h & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_2 h^{-1} g_2^{-1} h & 0 \\ 0 & g_2^d h \end{pmatrix}$$

and therefore

$$S(\sigma) = \begin{pmatrix} g_2 h^{-1} g_2^{-1} h & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2^d h & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbb{1}_{m-2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (68)$$

Now let $\delta := \chi(g)$. Then, using the relations $g_* g_2 = g_2$ and $g_* h = h$, we see that the $(1, 2)$ -diagonal block of the matrix $S(g\sigma)$ is equal to

$$\begin{pmatrix} g_1^\delta g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g_*(g_2 h^{-1} g_2^{-1} h) & 0 \\ 0 & g_*(g_2^d h) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_1^\delta g g_2 h^{-1} g_2^{-1} h & 0 \\ 0 & g_2^d h \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using the relations $(\sigma_2)_* g = g$, $(\sigma_2^{-1})_* h = h$, and

$$\begin{aligned} h_* g_1 &= h^{-1} g_1 h, & (\sigma_2^{-1})_* g_1 &= g_2, & (\sigma_2)_* g_1 &= g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1}, \\ h_* g &= (h^{-1} g_1 h g_1^{-1}) g (g_1 h^{-1} g_1^{-1} h), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\sigma_*(g_1^\delta g) = (h^{-1} g_2 h g_2^{-1}) g_1^\delta g (g_2 h^{-1} g_2^{-1} h).$$

Hence the $(1, 2)$ -diagonal block of $S(\sigma g)$ is also equal to

$$\begin{pmatrix} g_2 h^{-1} g_2^{-1} h & 0 \\ 0 & g_2^d h \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_*(g_1^\delta g) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_1^\delta g g_2 h^{-1} g_2^{-1} h & 0 \\ 0 & g_2^d h \end{pmatrix}.$$

This proves Step 3.

Step 4. We verify (R2): If $g, h \in \mathcal{X}$ such that (g, h) is a configuration of Type 2 then $S(g\tau) = S(\tau g)$ where $\tau := \sigma_2 h \sigma_2$.

Note first that

$$(\sigma_2)_* h = h, \quad (\sigma_2)_* g_1 = g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1}, \quad (\sigma_2 h)_* g_1^{-1} = h^{-1} g_1 g_2^{-1} g_1^{-1} h$$

and so the $(1, 2)$ -diagonal block of the matrix $S(\tau)$ is equal to

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ g_1^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g_1 g_2^d g_1^{-1} h & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ h^{-1} g_1 g_2^{-1} g_1^{-1} h & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$S(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} h^{-1} g_1 g_2^{-1} g_1^{-1} h & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2^d g_1^{-1} h & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbb{1}_{m-2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (69)$$

Using the formulas

$$g_*h = g^{-1}g_1gg_1^{-1}h, \quad g_*g_1 = g^{-1}g_1g, \quad g_*g_2 = g_2$$

we find

$$g_*(h^{-1}g_1g_2^{-1}g_1^{-1}h) = h^{-1}g_1g_2^{-1}g_1^{-1}h, \quad g_*(g_2^d g_1^{-1}h) = g_2^d g_1^{-1}h.$$

So the $(1, 2)$ -diagonal block of $S(g\tau)$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} g_1^\delta g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g_*(h^{-1}g_1g_2^{-1}g_1^{-1}h) & 0 \\ 0 & g_*(g_2^d g_1^{-1}h) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} g_1^\delta g h^{-1} g_1 g_2^{-1} g_1^{-1} h & 0 \\ 0 & g_2^d g_1^{-1} h \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the relations

$$(\sigma_2)_*g = g, \quad h_*g = h^{-1}g_1hgh^{-1}g_1^{-1}h, \quad (\sigma_2)_*g_1 = g_1g_2g_1^{-1}$$

we obtain

$$\tau_*g = (h^{-1}g_1g_2g_1^{-1}h)g_1^\delta g(h^{-1}g_1g_2^{-1}g_1^{-1}h).$$

Hence the $(1, 2)$ -diagonal block of $S(\tau g)$ is also equal to

$$\begin{pmatrix} h^{-1}g_1g_2^{-1}g_1^{-1}h & 0 \\ 0 & g_2^d g_1^{-1}h \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tau_*g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_1^\delta g h^{-1} g_1 g_2^{-1} g_1^{-1} h & 0 \\ 0 & g_2^d g_1^{-1} h \end{pmatrix}.$$

This proves Step 4.

Step 5. We verify (R3): If $g, h \in \mathcal{X}$ such that (g, h) is a configuration of Type 3 then $S(\sigma g) = S(g\tau)$ where $\sigma := \sigma_2^{-1}h\sigma_2$ and $\tau := \sigma_2 h \sigma_2$.

Using the relations

$$(\sigma_2)_*g = g, \quad h_*g = h^{-1}g_1hgh^{-1}g_1^{-1}h, \quad (\sigma_2^{-1})_*g_1 = g_2, \quad (\sigma_2^{-1})_*h = h$$

we obtain $\sigma_*(g_1^\delta g) = (h^{-1}g_2hgh^{-1})g_1^\delta g(h^{-1}g_2^{-1}h)$. Hence it follows from (68) that the $(1, 2)$ -diagonal block of $S(\sigma g)$ is equal to

$$\begin{pmatrix} g_2 h^{-1} g_2^{-1} h & 0 \\ 0 & g_2^d h \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_*(g_1^\delta g) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_1^\delta g h^{-1} g_2^{-1} h & 0 \\ 0 & g_2^d h \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using the relations $g_*g_1 = g^{-1}g_1g$, $g_*g_2 = g_2$, $g_*h = g^{-1}g_1gh$ and (69) we see that the $(1, 2)$ -diagonal block for $S(g\tau)$ is also equal to

$$\begin{pmatrix} g_1^\delta g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g_*(h^{-1}g_1g_2^{-1}g_1^{-1}h) & 0 \\ 0 & g_*(g_2^d g_1^{-1}h) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_1^\delta gh^{-1}g_2^{-1}h & 0 \\ 0 & g_2^d h \end{pmatrix}.$$

This proves Step 5.

Step 6. We prove the (Framing) relations $S(g\varepsilon_i) = S(\varepsilon_i g)$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $g \in \mathcal{X}$, $S(\varepsilon_i \sigma_j) = S(\sigma_j \varepsilon_i)$ for $i \neq j - 1, j$, and

$$S(\varepsilon_i \sigma_i) = S(\sigma_i \varepsilon_{i-1}), \quad S(\varepsilon_{i-1} \sigma_i) = S(\sigma_i \varepsilon_i), \quad (70)$$

for $i = 2, \dots, m$.

The relation $S(g\varepsilon_1) = S(\varepsilon_1 g)$ follows from the fact that $g_*g_1 = g^{-1}g_1g$. The remaining commutation relations are obvious. The $(i-1, i)$ -diagonal block of the matrix $S(\varepsilon_i \sigma_i)$ is equal to

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ g_{i-1}^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ g_i g_{i-1}^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ g_{i-1}^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g_{i-1} g_i g_{i-1}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The last product is the $(i-1, i)$ -diagonal block of $S(\sigma_i \varepsilon_{i-1})$. This proves the first equation in (70). The $(i-1, i)$ -diagonal block of the matrix $S(\varepsilon_{i-1} \sigma_i)$ is equal to

$$\begin{pmatrix} g_{i-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ g_{i-1}^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & g_{i-1} \\ g_{i-1}^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ g_{i-1}^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_{i-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The last product is the $(i-1, i)$ -diagonal block of $S(\sigma_i \varepsilon_i)$. This proves Step 6 and assertion (i).

We prove (ii). Fix a monodromy character $N \in \mathcal{S}_m$. We must prove that $\sigma^*N \in \mathcal{S}_m$ for every $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_m$. Equation (16) is equivalent to

$$N^t = (-1)^n N,$$

and using the definition of σ^*N we get

$$(\sigma^*N)^t = (\sigma^{-1})_*(S^t N S)^t = (\sigma^{-1})_*(S^t N^t S) = (-1)^n \sigma^*N.$$

It remains to prove that σ^*N satisfies (17) and (18), and it is enough to check this for the generators σ_k , ε_i , and $g \in \mathcal{X}$.

We first treat the case $\sigma = \sigma_k$ for $k = 2, \dots, m$. If $\sigma = \sigma_k$ it follows from the definition of $\sigma_k^* N$ that, for $i, j \neq k-1, k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_k^* N)_{i,k-1}(h) &= N_{ik}(((\sigma_k)_* h)g_{k-1}), & (\sigma_k^* N)_{ik}(h) &= N_{i,k-1}((\sigma_k)_* h), \\ (\sigma_k^* N)_{k-1,j}(h) &= N_{kj}(g_{k-1}^{-1}((\sigma_k)_* h)), & (\sigma_k^* N)_{kj}(h) &= N_{k-1,j}((\sigma_k)_* h), \\ (\sigma_k^* N)_{k-1,k-1}(h) &= N_{kk}(g_{k-1}^{-1}((\sigma_k)_* h)g_{k-1}), \\ (\sigma_k^* N)_{kk}(h) &= N_{k-1,k-1}((\sigma_k)_* h), \\ (\sigma_k^* N)_{k-1,k}(h) &= N_{k,k-1}(g_{k-1}^{-1}((\sigma_k)_* h)), \\ (\sigma_k^* N)_{k,k-1}(h) &= N_{k-1,k}(((\sigma_k)_* h)g_{k-1}), \end{aligned}$$

as well as $(\sigma_k^* N)_{ij}(h) = N_{ij}((\sigma_k)_* h)$. Hence equation (17) holds because every diagonal entry of $(\sigma_k^* N)(1)$ is also a diagonal entry of $N(1)$. That (18) is satisfied follows by a direct check using the relations

$$(\sigma_k)_* g_k = g_{k-1}, \quad (\sigma_k)_* g_{k-1} = g_{k-1}g_k g_{k-1}^{-1}, \quad (\sigma_k)_* g_j = g_j$$

for $j \neq k-1, k$. We provide only one interesting sample computation and leave the verification of the other cases to the reader, namely

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_k^* N)_{k-1,k}(gg_{k-1}h) &= N_{k,k-1}(g_{k-1}^{-1}((\sigma_k)_* g)g_{k-1}g_k g_{k-1}^{-1}((\sigma_k)_* h)) \\ &= N_{k,k-1}(g_{k-1}^{-1}((\sigma_k)_* g)g_{k-1}g_{k-1}^{-1}((\sigma_k)_* h)) \\ &\quad - \varepsilon N_{kk}(g_{k-1}^{-1}((\sigma_k)_* g)g_{k-1})N_{k,k-1}(g_{k-1}^{-1}((\sigma_k)_* h)) \\ &= (\sigma_k^* N)_{k-1,k}(gh) - \varepsilon(\sigma_k^* N)_{k-1,k-1}(g)(\sigma_k^* N)_{k-1,k}(h). \end{aligned}$$

Here $\varepsilon = (-1)^{n(n+1)/2}$ and the second equation follows from (18) for N .

We treat the case $\sigma = \varepsilon_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. It follows from the definition that the entries of $\varepsilon_i^* N$ are

$$\begin{aligned} (\varepsilon_i^* N)_{ji}(h) &= N_{ji}(hg_i^{-1}), & (\varepsilon_i^* N)_{ij}(h) &= N_{ij}(g_i h), \\ (\varepsilon_i^* N)_{jk}(h) &= N_{jk}(h), & (\varepsilon_i^* N)_{ii}(h) &= N_{ii}(g_i h g_i^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

for $j, k \neq i$. Here we have used the fact that ε_i acts trivially on Γ_m . Equation (17) holds because every diagonal entry of $(\varepsilon_i^* N)(1)$ is also a diagonal entry of $N(1)$. For the proof of (18) we provide the following sample computation for $j \neq i$ and $h, k \in \Gamma_m$.

$$\begin{aligned} (\varepsilon_i^* N)_{ij}(hg_i k) &= N_{ij}(g_i h g_i k) \\ &= N_{ij}((g_i h g_i^{-1})g_i(g_i k)) \\ &= N_{ij}(g_i h k) - \varepsilon N_{ii}(g_i h g_i^{-1})N_{ij}(g_i k) \\ &= (\varepsilon_i^* N)_{ij}(hk) - \varepsilon(\varepsilon_i^* N)_{ii}(h)(\varepsilon_i^* N)_{ij}(k). \end{aligned}$$

Here the third equation follows from (18) for N .

We treat the case $\sigma = g$ with $g \in \mathcal{X}$. As before, let $\delta := \chi(g)$. Then, by definition, the entries of g^*N are

$$\begin{aligned} (g^*N)_{i1}(h) &= N_{i1}((g_*h)g^{-1}g_1^{-\delta}), & (g^*N)_{1j}(h) &= N_{1j}(g_1^\delta g(g_*h)), \\ (g^*N)_{ij}(h) &= N_{ij}(g_*h), & (g^*N)_{11}(h) &= N_{11}(g_1^\delta g(g_*h)g^{-1}g_1^{-\delta}) \end{aligned}$$

for $i, j \neq 1$. Equation (17) holds again because every diagonal entry of $(g^*N)(1)$ is also a diagonal entry of $N(1)$. One verifies (18) by a direct check using the relations $g_*g_1 = g^{-1}g_1g$ and $g_*g_j = g_j$ for $j \neq 1$. We again provide only one sample computation, namely

$$\begin{aligned} (g^*N)_{11}(hg_1k) &= N_{11}(g_1^\delta g(g_*h)(g_*g_1)(g_*k)g^{-1}g_1^{-\delta}) \\ &= N_{11}(g_1^\delta g(g_*h)g^{-1}g_1g(g_*k)g^{-1}g_1^{-\delta}) \\ &= N_{11}((g_1^\delta g(g_*h)g^{-1}g_1^{-\delta})g_1(g_1^\delta g(g_*k)g^{-1}g_1^{-\delta})) \\ &= N_{11}(g_1^\delta g(g_*h)(g_*k)g^{-1}g_1^{-\delta}) \\ &\quad - \varepsilon N_{11}(g_1^\delta g(g_*h)g^{-1}g_1^{-\delta})N_{11}(g_1^\delta g(g_*k)g^{-1}g_1^{-\delta}) \\ &= (g^*N)_{11}(hk) - \varepsilon(g_1^\delta g^*N)_{11}(h)(g^*N)_{11}(k). \end{aligned}$$

Here the third equation follows from (18) for N . This proves (ii). Assertion (iii) follows from the fact that ε_i acts trivially on \mathcal{S}_m whenever n is odd. This proves the theorem. \square

Remark 8.6. Denote $D(\gamma) := \text{diag}(\gamma, 1, \dots, 1) \in \text{GL}_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_m])$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma_m$. Then the formula $(\gamma, N) \mapsto D(\gamma)^t N D(\gamma)$ defines a contravariant action of Γ_m on \mathcal{S}_m and the action of g_1 commutes with that of any element γ because

$$D(g_1)^t N D(g_1) = E^t N E, \quad E := \text{diag}((-1)^{n+1}, 1, \dots, 1), \quad N \in \mathcal{S}_m. \quad (71)$$

This equation is equivalent to $g_1^{-1}N_{11}g_1 = N_{11}$, $g_1^{-1}N_{1j} = (-1)^{n+1}N_{1j}$, $N_{i1}g_1 = (-1)^{n+1}N_{i1}$ for $i, j \neq 1$ and hence follows from (17) and (18).

This implies in particular that the action of $G \subset \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m$ on \mathcal{S}_m by (62) can be expressed in the form

$$g^*N = (D(g_1^\delta g)^t N D(g_1^\delta g)) \circ g_*, \quad \delta := \chi(g). \quad (72)$$

To see this, denote $\gamma := s(g)g^{-1} \in \Gamma_m$. Then $\gamma \in \langle g_1 \rangle$ by Lemma 7.10 (b) and $\rho_1(\gamma) = \delta$. Hence it follows from (71) that

$$D(\gamma)^t N D(\gamma) = (E^\delta)^t N E^\delta = D(g_1^\delta g)^t N D(g_1^\delta g).$$

Since $S(g) = D(s(g)) = D(\gamma g) = D(\gamma)D(g)$, this implies (72).

A similar argument shows that the isomorphism $S_g = S(g) \circ g_* : \mathbb{H}_{g^*N} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_N$ can be expressed as

$$S_g = D(g_1^\delta g) \circ g_*, \quad \delta := \chi(g). \quad (73)$$

This equation is meaningful by (72). It reduces to the identity

$$N(D(g_1)\lambda - E\lambda) = 0$$

for $\lambda \in \Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_m]^m$, which is equivalent to the equation $N_{i1}g_1 = (-1)^{n+1}N_{i1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. The latter follows again from (17) and (18).

Proof of Theorem 8.4. Let $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be as in Remark 8.3 and fix a Lefschetz fibration $X \rightarrow \Sigma$ with critical fibers over the elements of Z . It suffices to prove (66) for the case where σ is one of the generators σ_k , $k = 2, \dots, m$, or ε_i , $i = 1, \dots, m$, or $g \in \mathcal{X}$. One sees from Figure 14 that the generator σ_k acts on a distinguished basis $c = (c_1, \dots, c_m)$ by

$$\sigma_k^* c = (c_1, \dots, c_{k-2}, c_k \iota_c(g_{k-1})^{-1}, c_{k-1}, c_{k+1}, \dots, c_m).$$

Here $c_k \iota_c(g_{k-1})^{-1}$ denotes the catenation of paths at z_0 . The action on the associated tuple of vanishing cycles is

$$\sigma_k^*(L_1, \dots, L_m) = (L_1, \dots, L_{k-2}, \iota_c(g_{k-1})L_k, L_{k-1}, L_{k+1}, \dots, L_m). \quad (74)$$

Similarly, the generator ε_i acts on a distinguished basis $c = (c_1, \dots, c_m)$ by $\varepsilon_i^* c = (c_1, \dots, c_{i-1}, c_i \iota_c(g_i), c_{i+1}, \dots, c_m)$, and on the associated tuple of vanishing cycles by

$$\varepsilon_i^*(L_1, \dots, L_m) = (L_1, \dots, L_{i-1}, g_i^{-1}L_i, L_{i+1}, \dots, L_m). \quad (75)$$

By (65) a positive embedded class $g \in G$ acts on a distinguished basis $c = (c_1, \dots, c_m)$ by $g^* c = (c_1 \iota_c(g_1^\delta), c_2, \dots, c_m)$, and on the associated tuple of vanishing cycles by

$$g^*(L_1, \dots, L_m) = (\iota_c(g_1^\delta)^{-1}L_1, L_2, \dots, L_m), \quad \delta := \chi(g). \quad (76)$$

Let us abbreviate $(\tilde{L}_1, \dots, \tilde{L}_m) := \sigma^*(L_1, \dots, L_m)$, $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_m$. Then the monodromy characters associated to c and $\sigma^* c$ are defined by

$$(N_c)_{ij}(h) = \langle L_i, \iota_c(h)L_j \rangle, \quad (N_{\sigma^* c})_{ij}(h) = \langle \tilde{L}_i, \iota_{\sigma^* c}(h)\tilde{L}_j \rangle = \langle \tilde{L}_i, \iota_c(\sigma_* h)\tilde{L}_j \rangle,$$




Figure 14: Action of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m$ on a distinguished configuration.

for $h \in \Gamma_m$. The last equation follows from Corollary 6.7.

If $\sigma = \sigma_k$ it follows from (74) that the two monodromy characters are related by the following formulas, with $i, j \neq k-1, k$:

$$\begin{aligned} (N_{\sigma_k^* c})_{i,k-1}(h) &= (N_c)_{ik}(((\sigma_k)_* h)g_{k-1}), & (N_{\sigma_k^* c})_{ik}(h) &= (N_c)_{i,k-1}((\sigma_k)_* h), \\ (N_{\sigma_k^* c})_{k-1,j}(h) &= (N_c)_{kj}(g_{k-1}^{-1}((\sigma_k)_* h)), & (N_{\sigma_k^* c})_{kj}(h) &= (N_c)_{k-1,j}((\sigma_k)_* h), \end{aligned}$$

$$(N_{\sigma_k^* c})_{k-1,k-1}(h) = (N_c)_{kk}(g_{k-1}^{-1}((\sigma_k)_* h)g_{k-1}),$$

$$(N_{\sigma_k^* c})_{kk}(h) = (N_c)_{k-1,k-1}((\sigma_k)_* h),$$

$$(N_{\sigma_k^* c})_{k-1,k}(h) = (N_c)_{k,k-1}(g_{k-1}^{-1}((\sigma_k)_* h)),$$

$$(N_{\sigma_k^* c})_{k,k-1}(h) = (N_c)_{k-1,k}(((\sigma_k)_* h)g_{k-1}),$$

as well as $(N_{\sigma_k^* c})_{ij}(h) = (N_c)_{ij}((\sigma_k)_* h)$. If $\sigma = \varepsilon_i$ it follows from (75) that the monodromy characters $N_{\varepsilon_i^* c}$ and N_c satisfy the relations, with $j, k \neq i$:

$$\begin{aligned} (\varepsilon_i^* N_c)_{ji}(h) &= (N_c)_{ji}(hg_i^{-1}), & (\varepsilon_i^* N_c)_{ij}(h) &= (N_c)_{ij}(g_i h), \\ (\varepsilon_i^* N_c)_{jk}(h) &= (N_c)_{jk}(h), & (\varepsilon_i^* N_c)_{ii}(h) &= (N_c)_{ii}(g_i h g_i^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

If $\sigma = g \in \mathcal{X}$, it follows from (76) that the monodromy characters $N_{g^* c}$ and

N_c satisfy the relations

$$\begin{aligned} (N_{g^*c})_{i1}(h) &= (N_c)_{i1}((g_*h)g^{-1}g_1^{-\delta}), & (N_{g^*c})_{1j}(h) &= (N_c)_{1j}(g_1^\delta g(g_*h)), \\ (N_{g^*c})_{ij}(h) &= (N_c)_{ij}(g_*h), & (N_{g^*c})_{11}(h) &= (N_c)_{11}(g_1^\delta g(g_*h)g^{-1}g_1^{-\delta}) \end{aligned}$$

for $i, j \neq 1$. These formulas coincide with the ones used in the proof of Theorem 8.1 (ii) and hence we obtain in all three cases that $N_{\sigma^*c} = \sigma^*N_c$. This proves the theorem. \square

9 Proofs of the main results

Proof of Theorem A. Choose a consistent family of discs D_c and nonvanishing vector fields $v_c \in \text{Vect}(\Sigma \setminus \text{int}(D_c))$ as in Remark 6.14. Then the group $\mathcal{B}_{1,c} \subset \mathcal{B}$ in the introduction is isomorphic to the fundamental group $G_c = \pi_1(\Sigma \setminus \text{int}(D_c), c_1(1))$ via $J_c : G_c \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{1,c}$ in (44). The vector field v_c determines an obvious homomorphism

$$\kappa_{v_c} : \mathcal{B}_{1,c} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1,c}.$$

Let $\chi_{v_c} : G_c \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the character associated to v_c via (57) and define the map

$$\chi_c : \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1,c} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

by

$$\chi_c(\varepsilon_{1,c}^d \kappa_{v_c}(J_c(g))) := d + \chi_{v_c}(g), \quad g \in G_c, \quad d \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (77)$$

These functions satisfy the (*Character*) axiom by Lemma 7.8. The (*Normalization*) axiom holds because $\chi_{v_c}(g)$ is the twisting degree of $\kappa_{v_c}(J_c(g))$ for positive embedded classes $g \in G_c$, by definition of χ_{v_c} in (57). The (*Homotopy*) axiom is obvious and the (*Equivariance*) axiom follows from the identities

$$v_{\psi_*c} = \psi_*v_c, \quad \varepsilon_{1,\psi_*c} = \psi\varepsilon_{1,c}\psi^{-1}, \quad \kappa_{\psi_*v_c}(J_{\psi_*c}(\psi_*g)) = \psi\kappa_{v_c}(J_c(g))\psi^{-1}.$$

This proves existence. Uniqueness follows from the (*Character*) axiom and the fact that the values of χ_c on the elements of a suitable generating set are determined by the (*Normalization*) axiom. This proves Theorem A. \square

Proof of Theorem B. Let $D_c, v_c, G_c, K_c, \tilde{\phi}_c$, and ι_c as in the proofs of Theorems 6.4 and 6.13. Let $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the character associated to the vector fields v_c as in Remark 8.3 and let $S = S_\chi : \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_m])$ be the cocycle of Theorem 8.1. For $c \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ define $S_c : \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])$ by

$$S_c(\tilde{\phi}_c(\sigma)) := S(\sigma) \circ \iota_c^{-1}, \quad \sigma \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m. \quad (78)$$

That these functions satisfy the (*Homotopy*) axiom is obvious.

We prove that S_c satisfies the (*Cocycle*) condition (7) for every $c \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. Fix two elements $\phi, \psi \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ and choose $\sigma, \tau \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m$ such that

$$\tilde{\phi}_c(\sigma) = \phi, \quad \tilde{\phi}_c(\tau) = \psi. \quad (79)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} S_c(\phi\psi) &= S(\sigma\tau) \circ \iota_c^{-1} \\ &= (S(\sigma)\sigma_*S(\tau)) \circ \iota_c^{-1} \\ &= (S(\sigma) \circ \iota_c^{-1})(S(\tau) \circ \sigma_*^{-1} \circ \iota_c^{-1}) \\ &= (S(\sigma) \circ \iota_c^{-1})(S(\tau) \circ \iota_c^{-1} \circ \phi_*^{-1}) \\ &= S_c(\phi)(S_c(\psi) \circ \phi_*^{-1}) \\ &= S_c(\phi)\phi_*S_c(\psi). \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the identity $\iota_c \circ \sigma_* = \phi_* \circ \iota_c : \Gamma_m \rightarrow \Gamma$ of Theorem 6.4 (iii) and the formula $\phi_*\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M} \circ \phi_*^{-1}$ for $\mathcal{M} \in M_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])$ and $\phi \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ (see equation (32)). Hence S_c satisfies (7) as claimed.

We prove that the cocycles S_c satisfy the (*Coboundary*) condition (8) for all $c \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\phi, \psi \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$. Choose $\sigma, \tau \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m$ such that (79) holds. Then

$$\tilde{\phi}_{\psi_*c}(\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau) = \psi\tilde{\phi}_c(\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau)\psi = \tilde{\phi}_c(\sigma) = \phi$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} S_c(\psi)S_{\psi_*c}(\phi) &= (S(\tau) \circ \iota_c^{-1})(S(\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau) \circ \iota_{\psi_*c}^{-1}) \\ &= (S(\tau) \circ \iota_c^{-1})(S(\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau) \circ (\iota_c \circ \tau_*)^{-1}) \\ &= (S(\tau)\tau_*S(\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau)) \circ \iota_c^{-1} \\ &= S(\sigma\tau) \circ \iota_c^{-1} \\ &= S_c(\phi\psi) \\ &= S_c(\phi)\phi_*S_c(\psi). \end{aligned}$$

Here the last equation follows from (7). Hence the S_c satisfy (8) as claimed.

We prove that each S_c satisfies the (*Normalization*) axiom. Conditions (9) and (10) follow immediately from the definitions. To prove (11) we observe that, by (78) and Theorem 8.1, there is a function $s_c : \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1,c} \rightarrow \Gamma$ such that

$$S_c(\beta) = \text{diag}(s_c(\beta), 1, \dots, 1) \quad (80)$$

for every $\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1,c}$. Moreover, equation (7) shows that s_c is a cocycle. Now the function $\rho_c : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies

$$\rho_c \circ \iota_c = \rho_1 : \Gamma_m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$

Hence, by Lemma 7.5, the function $\rho_c \circ s_c : \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1,c} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies the (*Character*) axiom in Theorem A. Thus it remains to prove that $\rho_c \circ s_c$ agrees with χ_c on a suitable set of generators. Let us fix a positive embedded class $\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1,c}$. Any such class can be written in the form

$$\beta = \tilde{\phi}_c(\varepsilon_1^d g) = \varepsilon_{1,c}^d \tilde{\phi}_c(g) = \varepsilon_{1,c}^d \kappa_{v_c}(\phi_c(g)) \quad (81)$$

for some positive embedded class $g \in G$ and some $d \in \mathbb{Z}$. By (81), (78), and Theorem 8.1, we have

$$S_c(\beta) = S_c(\tilde{\phi}_c(\varepsilon_1^d g)) = S(\varepsilon_1^d g) \circ \iota_c^{-1} = \text{diag}(\iota_c(g_1^{d+\chi(g)}), 1, \dots, 1)$$

and so it follows from (80) that

$$s_c(\beta) = \iota_c(g_1^{d+\chi(g)}).$$

Hence

$$\rho_c(s_c(\beta)) = d + \chi(g) = d + \chi_{v_c}(K_c(g)) = \chi_c(\beta).$$

Here the second equation follows from Remark 8.3 and the last follows from (77) and (81). This proves (11).

Thus we have proved existence. To prove uniqueness we fix a marked distinguished configuration $c \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ and assume that $S_c : \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_m(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])$ is any function that satisfies the (*Cocycle*) and (*Normalization*) axioms. The (*Normalization*) axiom shows that there is a function $s_c : \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1,c} \rightarrow \Gamma$ such that

$$S_c(\beta) = \text{diag}(s_c(\beta), 1, \dots, 1)$$

for every $\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1,c}$ and that this function satisfies

$$\rho_c \circ s_c = \chi_c : \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1,c} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$

The (*Cocycle*) axiom shows s_c is a cocycle. By Proposition 7.9, the function s_c is uniquely determined by these two conditions. Hence the (*Normalization*) and (*Cocycle*) axioms determine the values of S_c on a suitable set of generators of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ and so they determine S_c uniquely. This proves Theorem B. \square

Proof of Theorem C. Let ι_c , $\tilde{\phi}_c$, and S_c be as in the proof of Theorem B. Given $\psi \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ and $c \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ we prove that $\mathcal{T}_{\psi,c}(\mathcal{N}) \in \mathcal{S}_{\psi_*c}$ and $\psi^*\mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{S}_c$ for every $\mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{S}_c$. To see this choose $\tau \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m$ such that

$$\tilde{\phi}_c(\tau) = \psi$$

and denote

$$N := \mathcal{N} \circ \iota_c \in \mathcal{S}_m.$$

Then, by Theorem 8.1, we have $\tau^*N \in \mathcal{S}_m$ and hence the assertion follows from the identities

$$\mathcal{T}_{\psi,c}(\mathcal{N}) \circ \iota_{\psi_*c} = (\psi^*\mathcal{N}) \circ \iota_c = \tau^*N. \quad (82)$$

That $S_c(\psi)$ induces an isomorphism from $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{T}_{\psi,c}}(\mathcal{N})$ to $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$ follows from Proposition 3.7. That the formula

$$\phi^*\mathcal{N} := (\mathcal{S}_c(\phi)^t \mathcal{N} \mathcal{S}_c(\phi)) \circ \phi_*$$

defines a group action follows from the cocycle condition (7) and that $\mathcal{T}_{\psi,c} : \mathcal{S}_c \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\psi_*c}$ is equivariant follows from the coboundary condition (8). To prove the composition rule we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{\phi,\psi_*c} \circ \mathcal{T}_{\psi,c}(\mathcal{N}) &= (\mathcal{S}_c(\psi) \mathcal{S}_{\psi_*c}(\phi))^t \mathcal{N} (\mathcal{S}_c(\psi) \mathcal{S}_{\psi_*c}(\phi)) \\ &= (\mathcal{S}_c(\phi) \phi_* \mathcal{S}_c(\psi))^t \mathcal{N} (\mathcal{S}_c(\phi) \phi_* \mathcal{S}_c(\psi)) \\ &= \mathcal{S}_c(\phi\psi)^t \mathcal{N} \mathcal{S}_c(\phi\psi) \\ &= \mathcal{T}_{\phi\psi,c}(\mathcal{N}). \end{aligned}$$

Here the second equation follows from the coboundary condition (8) and the third from the cocycle condition (7). Thus we have proved (i).

Assertion (ii) follows from (82) and Theorem 8.4. Namely, with

$$\tau^*N_c^X = N_{\tau^*c}^X = N_{\psi_*c}^X$$

we have

$$\mathcal{T}_{\psi,c}(\mathcal{N}_c^X) = (\tau^*N_c^X) \circ \iota_{\psi_*c}^{-1} = N_{\psi_*c}^X \circ \iota_{\psi_*c}^{-1} = \mathcal{N}_{\psi_*c}^X.$$

Assertion (iii) in Theorem C follows immediately from Theorem 8.1 (iv). This proves Theorem C. \square




Figure 15: A 3-sheeted branched cover over the torus

10 An Example

Let $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^2$ be a degree 3 branched cover of a genus 3 surface over the torus with 4 branch points of branching order 2 (see Figure 15). The map f is a covering over the complement of the two slits from z_1 to z_3 and z_2 to z_4 depicted in the figure. The surface Σ is obtained by gluing the three sheets labeled 1, 2 and 3 along the slits as indicated. We identify the fiber M over z_0 with the set $\{1, 2, 3\}$. Each vanishing cycle is an oriented 0-sphere, i.e. consists of two points labeled by opposite signs (which can be chosen arbitrarily). The marked distinguished configuration indicated in the figure determines the vanishing cycles up to orientation. We choose the orientations as follows:

$$L_1 = \{1^-, 2^+\}, \quad L_2 = L_4 = \{1^+, 3^-\}, \quad L_3 = \{1^+, 2^-\}.$$

The fundamental group Γ of the 4-punctured torus is generated by the elements a, b, g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4 where the g_i are determined by the distinguished configuration and a and b are the horizontal and vertical loops indicated in Figure 15. These generators are subject to the relation $b^{-1}a^{-1}ba = g_1g_2g_3g_4$. Understood as permutations, the generators g_1, g_3 and a act by the transposition $(1, 2)$, while g_2 and g_4 act by the transposition $(1, 3)$, and b acts by the transposition $(2, 3)$. Note that the commutator

$$b^{-1}a^{-1}ba = g_1g_2g_3g_4 = (231)$$

is not the identity.

The intersection matrix of the L_i is

$$\mathcal{N}_c(1) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ -2 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover, the images of the L_i under a are

$$a(L_1) = \{1^+, 2^-\}, \quad a(L_2) = a(L_4) = \{2^+, 3^-\}, \quad a(L_3) = \{1^-, 2^+\}$$

and hence

$$\mathcal{N}_c(a) = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 2 & -1 & -2 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Similarly,

$$\mathcal{N}_c(g_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 & -1 & -2 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -2 & -1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{N}_c(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 & -1 & -2 \\ -2 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ -2 & 1 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{N}_c(ab) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -2 & -1 & -2 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{N}_c(ba) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 2 & -1 & -2 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 2 & -1 & -2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{N}_c(g_1) = \mathcal{N}_c(g_3) = \mathcal{N}_c(a)$ and $\mathcal{N}_c(g_4) = \mathcal{N}_c(g_2)$. The matrix $\mathcal{N}_c(g_i)$ can also be obtained from $\mathcal{N}_c(1)$ via the gluing formula

$$\mathcal{N}_c(g_i) = \mathcal{N}_c(1) - \mathcal{N}_c(1)E_i\mathcal{N}_c(1).$$

The action of the fundamental group on the homology of the fiber $M = \{1, 2, 3\}$ obviously factors through the permutation group \mathfrak{S}_3 and hence the function $\mathcal{N}_c : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{4 \times 4}$ is uniquely determined by its values on $1, g_2, a, b, ab$ and ba . One can verify directly that \mathcal{N}_c satisfies (16-18), for example $\mathcal{N}_c(ba) = \mathcal{N}_c(ab)^T$ and

$$\mathcal{N}_c(b) = \mathcal{N}_c(ag_1b) = \mathcal{N}_c(ab) - \mathcal{N}_c(a)E_1\mathcal{N}_c(b).$$

References

- [1] P. Bellingeri, On presentations of surface braid groups. *J. Algebra* **274** (2004), no. 2, 543–563.
- [2] J. Birman, *Braids, Links, and Mapping Class Groups*, Annals of Math. Studies **82**, Princeton University Press, 1975.
- [3] S.K. Donaldson, Lefschetz fibrations in symplectic geometry. *Doc. Math. J. DMV.*, Extra Volume, ICMII:309–314, 1998.
- [4] S.K. Donaldson, Polynomials, vanishing cycles and Floer homology. *Mathematics: frontiers and perspectives*, 55–64, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.
- [5] S.K. Donaldson and R.P. Thomas, Gauge theory in higher dimensions, in *The Geometric Universe (Oxford, 1996)*, 31–47, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1998.
- [6] C. Earle and J. Eells, A fiber bundle description of Teichmüller theory. *J. Differential Geometry* **3** (1969), 19–43.
- [7] J. González-Meneses, New presentations of surface braid groups *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* **10** (2001), 431–451.
- [8] K.H. Ko and L. Smolinsky, The framed braid group and 3-manifolds, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, **115** (1992), 541–551.
- [9] D. McDuff and D.A. Salamon, *J-holomorphic Curves and Symplectic Topology*, AMS Colloquium Publications **52**, 2004.
- [10] J.W. Robbin and D.A. Salamon, A construction of the Deligne–Mumford orbifold. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **8** (2006), no. 4, 611–699.
- [11] P. Seidel, Vanishing cycles and mutations, *3rd European Congress of Mathematics (Barcelona 2000)*, Volume II, Progr. Math., Birkhäuser, 2001, pp 65–85, math.SG/0007115.
- [12] P. Seidel, More about vanishing cycles and mutations, *Symplectic Geometry and Mirror Symmetry*, Proc. 4th KIAS International Conference, (Seoul 2000), World Sci., Singapore, 2001, pp 429–465, math.SG/0010032.
- [13] J.-P. Serre, *Local fields*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **67**, Springer, 1979.