

ABELIAN AND NON-ABELIAN SECOND COHOMOLOGIES OF QUANTIZED ENVELOPING ALGEBRAS

AKIRA MASUOKA

ABSTRACT. For a class of pointed Hopf algebras including the quantized enveloping algebras, we discuss cleft extensions, cocycle deformations and the second cohomology. We present such a non-standard method of computing the abelian second cohomology that derives information from the non-abelian second cohomology classifying cleft extensions. As a sample computation, a quantum analogue of Whitehead's second lemma for Lie-algebra cohomology is proved.

INTRODUCTION

Let us work over a field k , for a moment. Let H be a Hopf algebra (over k). By the abelian 2nd cohomology $H^2(H, M)$ of H , we mean the 2nd Ext group $\text{Ext}_H^2(k, M)$, where M is a left, say, H -module; it is canonically isomorphic to the 2nd Hochschild cohomology $HH^2(H, M)$, in which M is regarded as trivial as a right H -module.

On the other hand, by a non-abelian 2-cocycle, we mean a (*right*) 2-cocycle $\sigma : H \otimes H \rightarrow k$, which is by definition a convolution-invertible k -linear map satisfying the non-abelian, multiplicative 2-cocycle conditions given by (1.1), (1.2) in the text. Given such σ , the product on H is deformed in the following two ways

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot b &:= \sum \sigma(a_1, b_1) a_2 b_2, \\ a \cdot b &:= \sum \sigma(a_1, b_1) a_2 b_2 \sigma^{-1}(a_3, b_3), \end{aligned}$$

where $a, b \in H$. Let ${}_{\sigma}H, H^{\sigma}$ denote the algebras defined by these deformed products, respectively. Then, ${}_{\sigma}H$ together with the original regular coaction ${}_{\sigma}H \rightarrow {}_{\sigma}H \otimes H$ form a right H -cleft Galois extension over k [9], which we will call a *right H -cleft object*. As was shown by Doi [9], the natural equivalence classes of all 2-cocycles are in 1-1 correspondence with the isomorphism classes, $\text{Cleft}(H)$, of all right H -cleft objects; in the text, the last symbol will denote the isomorphism classes of all left H -cleft objects, but the left and the right H -cleft objects are in 1-1 correspondence. On the other hand, H^{σ} together with the original coalgebra structure form a Hopf algebra, which is called a *cocycle deformation* of H [10]. ${}_{\sigma}H$ is a left H^{σ} -cleft object with respect to the original coproduct ${}_{\sigma}H \rightarrow H^{\sigma} \otimes {}_{\sigma}H$, so that it is an (H^{σ}, H) -bicleft object. By Schauenburg's biGalois theory [26], H^{σ} is characterized as the Hopf algebra L with which ${}_{\sigma}H$ is an (L, H) -biGalois object. In [13], [14], [27], [6], the authors classified right H -cleft objects for various H , and determined their associated Hopf algebras L ; see also [20].

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16W30, 17B37.

Key words and phrases. Hopf algebra, quantized enveloping algebra, cleft extension, the second cohomology, cocycle deformation.

Let U_q denote the quantized enveloping algebra, due to Drinfeld and Jimbo, associated to a symmetrizable GCM (generalized Cartan matrix) \mathbb{A} , in which q may be a root of 1; see Section 7. It has canonical generators $K_i^{\pm 1}, X_i^{\pm}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$). Let $(U_q)^0$ denote the graded Hopf algebra defined in the same way as U_q , except that X_i^+ and X_i^- commute each other in $(U_q)^0$. As part of the main results of this paper,

- (1) (Theorem 7.13) we classify the central U_q -cleft extensions over a given commutative algebra $Z \neq 0$, assuming that the order of q is large enough, and
- (2) (Theorem 7.8.2) we prove that U_q and $(U_q)^0$ are cocycle deformations of each other, giving an explicit isomorphism $U_q \simeq (U_q)^0$ of coalgebras.

By a *central H -cleft extension* over Z , we mean an H -cleft Galois extension over Z in which Z is central. It is identified with an $H \otimes Z$ -cleft object over Z ; this fact would suggest us to work over a commutative ring rather than a field. Notice from (2) that the central U_q -cleft extensions and the central $(U_q)^0$ -cleft extensions both over a fixed Z are in a categorical 1-1 correspondence. Assuming that the GCM \mathbb{A} is of finite type, Günther [13] classified just as in (1) above, the central U_q -cleft extensions, but his result, Satz 4.9, contains some minor error; see Remark 7.14. His method of computing is shown in [14] in the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -case. Under the same assumption as in [13], Kassel and Schneider [18] proved the result in (1). Our method is less technical, describing explicitly a $((U_q)^0, U_q)$ -bicleft object A , say. We remark that U_q and A are naturally filtered so that in particular in U_q , the zero part $(U_q)_0$ is generated by $K_i^{\pm 1}$. We will prove that the associated graded algebra $\text{gr } A$ is a $((U_q)^0, \text{gr } U_q)$ -bicleft object, and indeed $\text{gr } A = (U_q)^0$. As an advantage of our method, the next result follows.

- (3) (Theorem 7.8.1) $(U_q)^0$ and $\text{gr } U_q$ are canonically isomorphic.

Let H be a Hopf algebra again in general. Let M be a vector space, and regard it as a trivial (H, H) -bimodule. Let

$$k_M = k \oplus M = TM/(T^2M)$$

denote the tensor algebra TM divided by all homogeneous components of degree ≥ 2 . This has the projection $\pi : k_M \rightarrow k$ as an augmentation. A central H -cleft extension A over k_M is said to be *augmented*, if it has an augmentation $A \rightarrow k$ extending π . Let $\text{ZCleft}_\varepsilon(H; k_M)$ denote the set of the isomorphism (preserving the augmentation) classes of those augmented extensions. Given a Hochschild 2-cocycle $s : H \otimes H \rightarrow M$, then

$$\sigma : H \otimes H \rightarrow k_M, \quad \sigma(a, b) = \varepsilon(a)\varepsilon(b) + s(a, b)$$

is a 2-cocycle with values in k_M , and $\sigma(H \otimes k_M)$ is a central H -cleft extension over k_M which is augmented with respect to $\varepsilon \otimes \pi : \sigma(H \otimes k_M) \rightarrow k$. We will prove (see Proposition 1.9) that $s \mapsto \sigma(H \otimes k_M)$ gives rise to a bijection

$$H^2(H, M) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{ZCleft}_\varepsilon(H; k_M).$$

(We remark again that we will work on the opposite side in the text.) The dual result with non-trivial coefficients was used in [21], [23] to prove the equivariant smoothness of hereditary Hopf algebras, in which case information on $\text{ZCleft}_\varepsilon(H; k_M)$ was derived from $H^2(H, M)$. In this paper we apply the bijection above in the opposite direction. When $H = U_q$, we will derive information from the result in (1) above, to prove

- (4) (Theorem 7.15) $H^2(U_q, M) = 0$, if the order of q is large enough, and if the characteristic $\text{ch } k \neq 2$.

This is an essential part of a quantum analogue of Whitehead's 2nd lemma for Lie-algebra cohomology; see Remark 7.16.2.

Let $k \neq 0$ be a commutative ring. Throughout we work over k , which will be supposed to a field only in the last Section 7 and Appendix. Then central cleft extensions can be captured as cleft objects, as was seen above. On the contrary we have the disadvantage that Schauenburg's biGalois theory [26], in which the relevant Hopf algebras are mostly supposed to be flat over k , cannot apply, since those Hopf algebras which we will treat with do not necessarily satisfy the assumption. But, this does not matter, since, as we will see in Section 1, the necessary results from [26] hold true without the flatness assumption, in our restricted situation that the involved Galois objects are all cleft.

We will actually derive the results cited above in (1)–(4), from the corresponding results for more general Hopf algebras, H^λ , H^0 , which generalize U_q , $(U_q)^0$, respectively. Adopting the standpoint of Andruskiewitsch and Schneider [1, 2, 3], we construct H^λ , H^0 from a braided k -module. Let $V = \bigoplus_{i \in I} kx_i$ be a free k -module with free basis $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ indexed by a finite set $I \neq \emptyset$. Let

$$c : V \otimes V \xrightarrow{\simeq} V \otimes V, \quad c(x_i \otimes x_j) = q_{ij} x_j \otimes x_i$$

denote the diagonal-type braiding on V which is given by a matrix $(q_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ with entries q_{ij} in the group k^\times of units in k . Suppose that (V, c) is realized in a natural way as an object in the braided monoidal category ${}^{\Gamma} \mathcal{YD}$ of Yetter-Drinfeld modules over the group Hopf algebra $k\Gamma$, where Γ is some abelian group. Then the tensor algebra TV is naturally a graded, braided Hopf algebra in ${}^{\Gamma} \mathcal{YD}$, which gives rise to an ordinary graded Hopf algebra $TV \rtimes \Gamma$, by the Radford-Majid bosonization. Given a system $\lambda = (\lambda_{ij})_{i,j}$ of parameters λ_{ij} in k , where (i, j) are in a certain subset of $I \times I$, we define H^λ as a quotient (ungraded) Hopf algebra of $TV \rtimes \Gamma$; see Definition 4.1. In the special case when all λ_{ij} are zero, H^λ is denoted by H^0 (see Definition 2.2); it is of the form $S \rtimes \Gamma$, where S is a quotient graded braided Hopf algebra of TV . In Section 2, a left H^0 -comodule algebra $A(\lambda)$ is constructed, and is proved to be an H^0 -cleft object. Moreover, it is proved to be an (H^0, H^λ) -bicleft object, in Section 4. As a consequence we have that H^0 and H^λ are cocycle deformations of each other, and also that H^0 and $\text{gr } H^\lambda$ are canonically isomorphic; see Theorem 4.3, Proposition 4.4. The H^0 -cleft object $A(\lambda)$ does not concern any group 2-cocycle, or more precisely, the 2-cocycle $H^0 \otimes H^0 \rightarrow k$ associated to $A(\lambda)$ restricts to the trivial group 2-cocycle $\Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow k^\times$. Deformations of H^0 and $A(\lambda)$ by group 2-cocycles, or more precisely by those 2-cocycles $H^0 \otimes H^0 \rightarrow k$ which arise from group 2-cocycles $\Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow k^\times$ along the projection $H^0 \rightarrow k\Gamma$, are discussed in Section 3. In Section 5, augmented central H^λ -cleft extensions over k_M are discussed. We remark that their isomorphism classes (or the cohomology $H^2(H^\lambda, M)$) depend on λ , while we have $\text{Cleft}(H^0) \simeq \text{Cleft}(H^\lambda)$, since H^0 and H^λ are cocycle deformations of each other. In Section 6, we put the additional assumptions (C1)–(C4), which includes that the matrix (q_{ij}) is of Cartan type [1] in the sense that

$$q_{ij} q_{ji} = q_{ii}^{a_{ij}} \quad \text{for all } i, j \in I$$

for some GCM $(a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$. Under the assumptions, the set $\text{Cleft}(H^\lambda)$ and the cohomology $H^2(H^\lambda, M)$ are determined by Theorems 6.3, 6.4. In Section 7, the results

obtained so far are applied to prove results for the quantized enveloping algebra U_q and the Borel subalgebra B_q . In the Appendix, which was added on the occasion of revision, we supplement Grunenfelder and Mastnak's proof of their very recent result [12], which asserts that the finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebra $u(\mathcal{D}, \lambda, \mu)$ due to Andruskiewitsch and Schneider [3] is a cocycle deformation of the associated graded Hopf algebra.

Notation. The unadorned \otimes denotes the tensor product over k . For a commutative algebra Z , we let Z^\times denote the group of all units in Z . For sets J, X , we let X^J denote the set of all maps $J \rightarrow X$. An element in X^J is expressed so as $\alpha = (\alpha_j)_{j \in J}$, regarded as a system of parameters α_j in X .

1. PRELIMINARIES

1.1. Cocycle deformations. Let H be a Hopf algebra, whose coalgebra structure will be denoted, as usual, by

$$\Delta : H \rightarrow H \otimes H, \quad \Delta(a) = \sum a_1 \otimes a_2; \quad \varepsilon : H \rightarrow k.$$

By an H -comodule we mean a *left* H -comodule V , whose structure will be denoted by $v \mapsto \sum v_{-1} \otimes v_0, V \rightarrow H \otimes V$. The H -comodules naturally form a k -linear monoidal category, which we denote by ${}^H\mathcal{M}$. This is not necessarily abelian since we do not assume that H is flat over k .

A (*right*) 2-cocycle for H is a convolution-invertible k -linear map $H \otimes H \rightarrow k$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad \sum \sigma(a_1, b_1) \sigma(a_2 b_2, c) = \sum \sigma(b_1, c_1) \sigma(a, b_2 c_2)$$

$$(1.2) \quad \sigma(a, 1) = \varepsilon(a) = \sigma(1, a)$$

for all $a, b, c \in H$. Given such σ , let H^σ denote the coalgebra H endowed with the deformed product defined by

$$a \cdot b := \sum \sigma(a_1, b_1) a_2 b_2 \sigma^{-1}(a_3, b_3),$$

where $a, b \in H$. This H^σ forms a Hopf algebra with respect to the original unit 1 and the deformed antipode S^σ as given in [10, Theorem 1.6 (b)]. Given $V \in {}^H\mathcal{M}$, we can regard it as an H^σ -comodule in the obvious way since $H^\sigma = H$ as a coalgebra. Denote this H^σ -comodule by ${}_\sigma V$. Then we have a k -linear monoidal isomorphism

$$(1.3) \quad {}^H\mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\cong} {}^{H^\sigma}\mathcal{M}, \quad V \mapsto {}_\sigma V$$

with respect to the monoidal structure

$$(1.4) \quad {}_\sigma V \otimes {}_\sigma W \xrightarrow{\cong} {}_\sigma(V \otimes W), \quad v \otimes w \mapsto \sum \sigma(v_{-1}, w_{-1}) v_0 \otimes w_0,$$

$$k \xrightarrow{\text{id}} k = {}_\sigma k \quad (\text{identity}).$$

We remark that the inverse σ^{-1} is a 2-cocycle for H^σ , and $(H^\sigma)^{\sigma^{-1}} = H$. An inverse of (1.3) is given by $V \mapsto {}_{\sigma^{-1}} V$.

Definition 1.1. [10] We say that two Hopf algebras L, H are *cocycle deformations* of each other if $L \simeq H^\sigma$ for some 2-cocycle σ for H . The condition is symmetric, as is seen from the remark above.

1.2. Cleft objects. A (left) H -comodule algebra A is said to be *cleft* [11], if there exists a convolution-invertible H -colinear map $\phi : H \rightarrow A$. This ϕ can be chosen so that $\phi(1) = 1$. In this case, ϕ is called a *section* [9].

Definition 1.2. By an H -cleft object we mean a cleft H -comodule algebra A such that $k \simeq {}^{\text{co}H}A$, where

$${}^{\text{co}H}A = \{a \in A \mid \sum a_{-1} \otimes a_0 = 1 \otimes a\},$$

the subalgebra of H -coinvariants.

A section $\phi : H \rightarrow A$ of an H -cleft object A is necessarily bijective.

Lemma 1.3. *An H -comodule algebra map $A \rightarrow A'$ between H -cleft objects is necessarily an isomorphism.*

Proof. This is a special case of [20, Lemma 1.3]. □

Let

$$\text{Cleft}(H)$$

denote the set of the isomorphism classes of all H -cleft objects.

Let $\sigma : H \otimes H \rightarrow k$ be a 2-cocycle. If A is an H -comodule algebra (or an algebra-object in ${}^H\mathcal{M}$), then ${}_{\sigma}A$ is an H^{σ} -comodule algebra with respect to the deformed product

$$a \cdot b := \sum \sigma(a_1, b_1) a_2 b_2 \quad (a, b \in A).$$

Lemma 1.4. *The monoidal isomorphism (1.3) gives a bijection*

$$\text{Cleft}(H) \simeq \text{Cleft}(H^{\sigma}).$$

Proof. A section $\phi : H \rightarrow A$ gives a section $H^{\sigma} \rightarrow {}_{\sigma}A$. □

As a special right H -comodule algebra, a *right H -cleft object* is defined in the obvious way. Let L be another Hopf algebra. An (L, H) -*bicleft object* is an (L, H) -bicomodule algebra which is a cleft object on both sides. Let A be such an object. Then the cotensor product

$$(1.5) \quad A \square_H : {}^H\mathcal{M} \rightarrow {}^L\mathcal{M}$$

gives a k -linear monoidal functor whose monoidal structure is given by those natural isomorphisms

$$(1.6) \quad (A \square_H V) \otimes (A \square_H W) \xrightarrow{\cong} A \square_H (V \otimes W),$$

$$(1.7) \quad k \xrightarrow{\cong} A \square_H k$$

that arise from the algebra structure on A . Working over a commutative ring, we should be careful about the cotensor product. But, since $A \simeq H$ as right H -comodules, and since we have a natural isomorphism $V \simeq H \square_H V$, it follows that the maps in (1.6), (1.7) are indeed isomorphisms in ${}^L\mathcal{M}$.

Let $\sigma : H \otimes H \rightarrow k$ be a 2-cocycle. Then, ${}_{\sigma}H$ is a right H -cleft object whose structure is given by the coproduct $\Delta : {}_{\sigma}H \rightarrow {}_{\sigma}H \otimes H$; see [9, Proposition 1.1] or [24, 7.2.2]. Moreover, ${}_{\sigma}H$ is an (H^{σ}, H) -bicleft object, by Lemma 1.4. The monoidal functor ${}_{\sigma}H \square_H : {}^H\mathcal{M} \rightarrow {}^{H^{\sigma}}\mathcal{M}$ as given by (1.5) is naturally isomorphic to the isomorphism (1.3).

Conversely, let A be a right H -cleft object. By [11, Theorem 11] (or see [24, 7.2.2]), a section $\phi : H \rightarrow A$ turns into an isomorphism of right H -cleft objects, if we replace H with ${}_{\sigma}H$, where $\sigma : H \otimes H \rightarrow k$ is the 2-cocycle defined by

$$\sigma(a, b) = \sum \phi(a_1)\phi(b_1)\phi^{-1}(a_2b_2) \quad (a, b \in H).$$

Lemma 1.5 (cf. [26, Theorem 3.9]). *Suppose in addition that A is an (L, H) -bicleft object with L -coaction $\rho : A \rightarrow L \otimes A$. Suppose that there is a coalgebra isomorphism $f : H \xrightarrow{\cong} L$ which makes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & H \otimes H \\ \phi \downarrow & & \downarrow f \otimes \phi \\ A & \xrightarrow{\rho} & L \otimes A \end{array}$$

commute, then f turns into an isomorphism $H^{\sigma} \xrightarrow{\cong} L$ of Hopf algebras, so that L and H are cocycle deformations of each other, and the monoidal functor (1.5) is an equivalence.

Proof. As is easily seen, in order for $\Delta : {}_{\sigma}H \rightarrow H \otimes_{\sigma} H$ to be an algebra map, the algebra structure on the coalgebra H must coincide with that structure on H^{σ} . This proves the lemma. \square

A natural equivalence relation is defined among 2-cocycles (see [9]), so that $\sigma \mapsto {}_{\sigma}H$ gives rise to a bijection from the set of the equivalence classes of 2-cocycles for H onto the set $\text{Cleft}^r(H)$ of the isomorphism classes of right H -cleft objects.

A *left 2-cocycle* $\tau : H \otimes H \rightarrow k$ is a convolution-invertible k -linear map which satisfies the mirror-image formulae of (1.1), (1.2). Given such τ , we have the left H -cleft object H_{τ} which is constructed just as ${}_{\sigma}H$, but the side is converted. If σ is a right 2-cocycle, σ^{-1} is a left 2-cocycle, and vice versa. One sees that ${}_{\sigma}H \mapsto H_{\sigma^{-1}}$ gives a bijection

$$\text{Cleft}^r(H) \simeq \text{Cleft}(H).$$

1.3. Augmented cleft extensions and the 2nd cohomology. Let $Z \neq 0$ be a commutative algebra. A *central H -cleft extension* over Z is a cleft H -comodule algebra A which includes Z as a central subalgebra so that $Z = {}^{\text{co}}H A$. Let

$$(1.8) \quad \text{ZCleft}(H; Z)$$

denote the set of the isomorphism classes of all central H -cleft extensions over Z . It is naturally identified with the set $\text{Cleft}(H \otimes Z)$ of the isomorphism classes of $H \otimes Z$ -cleft objects over Z .

Suppose that Z is augmented with respect to an algebra map $\varepsilon_Z : Z \rightarrow k$.

Definition 1.6. An *augmented central H -cleft extension* over Z is a pair (A, ε_A) of a central H -cleft extension A over Z , together with an algebra map $\varepsilon_A : A \rightarrow k$ extending ε_Z . An *isomorphism* of those extensions is an augmented, H -comodule algebra isomorphism fixing each elements in Z . We let

$$(1.9) \quad \text{ZCleft}_{\varepsilon}(H; Z)$$

denote the set of the isomorphism classes of augmented central H -cleft extensions over Z .

Let $A = (A, \varepsilon_A)$ be such an extension defined as above.

Lemma 1.7. *A section $\phi : H \rightarrow A$ can be chosen so that it is augmented, or namely $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_A \circ \phi$.*

Proof. Replace ϕ with $a \mapsto \sum \phi(a_1)\varepsilon_A(\phi^{-1}(a_2))$, $H \rightarrow A$. Notice that this is augmented, being still a section. \square

A section $\phi : H \rightarrow A$ gives rise to a left 2-cocycle with values in Z ,

$$\tau : H \otimes H \rightarrow Z, \quad \tau(a, b) = \sum \phi^{-1}(a_1, b_1)\phi(a_2)\phi(b_2).$$

Suppose that ϕ is augmented. Then, τ is augmented in the sense $\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon = \varepsilon_Z \circ \tau$, whence $\tau - \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon$ has values in $\text{Ker } \varepsilon_Z$. We then have the central H -cleft extension $(H \otimes Z)_\tau$ over Z , which is augmented with respect to $\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon_Z : (H \otimes Z)_\tau \rightarrow k$. One sees that

$$(H \otimes Z)_\tau \rightarrow A, \quad a \otimes z \mapsto \phi(a)z$$

is an isomorphism of augmented central H -cleft extensions over Z .

Definition 1.8. [7, Chap. X] Given a right H -module M , we define the n -th cohomology k -module $H^n(H, M)$ of H with coefficients in M by

$$H^n(H, M) = \text{Ext}_{(H,k)}^n(k, M) \quad (n \geq 0),$$

where the right-hand side denotes the relative n -th Ext group [19, Chap. IX], in which k is regarded as a trivial right H -module through ε .

Recall that $\text{Ext}_{(H,k)}^n(k, M)$ is naturally isomorphic to the Hochschild cohomology $HH^n(H, {}_\varepsilon M)$, where ${}_\varepsilon M$ denote the (H, H) -bimodule M with the original right H -action and the trivial left H -action through ε . For the standard complexes for computing $HH^n(H, {}_\varepsilon M)$ gives a non-standard complex for computing $\text{Ext}_{(H,k)}^n(k, M)$. It follows that if M is just a k -module, then it is possibly regarded as a trivial H -module both on left- and right-hand sides, but the k -module $\text{Ext}_{(H,k)}^n(k, M)$ does not depend on the side. Indeed, the (bi)modules over a Hopf algebra which we are going to treat with are all trivial, except in Remarks, 1.10.2, 7.16.3.

Fix a k -module M , which we regard as a trivial (H, H) -bimodule. Define an algebra k_M by

$$(1.10) \quad k_M = k \oplus M = TM/(T^2M),$$

the tensor algebra TM divided by all homogeneous components of degree ≥ 2 . Thus, $mm' = 0$ in k_M , if $m, m' \in M$. This is augmented with respect to the projection $k_M \rightarrow k$.

Proposition 1.9. (1) *An augmented left 2-cocycle $\tau : H \otimes H \rightarrow k_M$ arises uniquely from a (normalized) Hochschild 2-cocycle $t : H \otimes H \rightarrow M$, so that*

$$(1.11) \quad \tau(a, b) = \varepsilon(a)\varepsilon(b) + t(a, b) \quad (a, b \in H).$$

(2) *Given a Hochschild 2-cocycle $t : H \otimes H \rightarrow M$, define a left 2-cocycle $\tau : H \otimes H \rightarrow k_M$ by (1.11), which is augmented. Then, $t \mapsto (H \otimes k_M)_\tau$ gives rise to a bijection*

$$H^2(H, M) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{ZCleft}_\varepsilon(H; k_M).$$

Proof. This last map is surjective, as is seen from the argument preceding Definition 1.8. For the remaining, see the argument given in the proof of [21, Theorem 4.1]. \square

Remark 1.10. (1) As is seen from the proposition above, augmented left 2-cocycles (with values in k_M) are precisely augmented right 2-cocycles. There is a bijection between the set $\text{ZCleft}_\varepsilon(H; k_M)$ and its right-hand side version, say $\text{ZCleft}_\varepsilon^r(H; k_M)$.

(2) *Augmented* (not necessarily central) *H-cleft extensions* over k_M are defined in the obvious way. Let $\text{Cleft}_\varepsilon(H; k_M)$ denote the set of their (augmented) isomorphism classes. The last cited argument in [21] actually proves a bijection

$$\bigsqcup_{\alpha} H^2(H, (M, \alpha)) \simeq \text{Cleft}_\varepsilon(H; k_M),$$

where α runs through all right H -module structures $\alpha : M \otimes H \rightarrow M$ on M . See also [23, Remark 1.6].

1.4. Yetter-Drinfeld modules over a group. Let Γ be a group. We do not assume here that Γ is abelian, though we will do in later sections.

Let ${}^{\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$ denote the k -linear braided monoidal category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules over the group Hopf algebra $k\Gamma$, which is abelian; see [17, Chap. IX, Sect. 5], [24, Sect. 10.6]. Thus an object in ${}^{\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$ is a Γ -graded k -module $V = \bigoplus_{g \in \Gamma} V_g$ given a left $k\Gamma$ -module structure such that

$$gV_h \subset V_{ghg^{-1}} \quad (g, h \in \Gamma).$$

If Γ is abelian, this condition means that each component V_g is Γ -stable. If an element $0 \neq v \in V$ is homogeneous, and $v \in V_g$, then we write $|v| = g$. Besides the obvious tensor product $V \otimes W$ and unit k , ${}^{\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$ has the braiding

$$c : V \otimes W \xrightarrow{\cong} W \otimes V, \quad c(v \otimes w) = |v|w \otimes v.$$

By *cocycles*, *coboundaries* or *cochains* for Γ , we mean those in the normalized standard complex for computing the group cohomology $H^*(\Gamma, \cdot)$. The Γ -module of coefficients will be always trivial. Fix a 2-cocycle $\sigma : \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow k^\times$. It gives rise to a right (and at the same time, left) 2-cocycle $k\Gamma \otimes k\Gamma \rightarrow k$ for the group Hopf algebra $k\Gamma$. As a special case of (1.3), we have a k -linear monoidal isomorphism $V \mapsto {}_{\sigma}V, {}^{k\Gamma}\mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\cong} {}^{k\Gamma}\mathcal{M}$. The structure (1.4) now turns into

$$(1.12) \quad {}_{\sigma}V \otimes {}_{\sigma}W \xrightarrow{\cong} {}_{\sigma}(V \otimes W), \quad v \otimes w \mapsto \sigma(|v|, |w|)v \otimes w.$$

If $V \in {}^{\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$, endow the Γ -graded k -module ${}_{\sigma}V$ with the deformed Γ -action

$$(1.13) \quad g \cdot v := \frac{\sigma(g, h)}{\sigma(ghg^{-1}, g)}gv,$$

where $g, h \in \Gamma, v \in V_h$; cf. [2, Lemma 2.12]. One sees ${}_{\sigma}V \in {}^{\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$.

Proposition 1.11 (Takeuchi). *Moreover, $V \mapsto {}_{\sigma}V$ gives a k -linear monoidal isomorphism ${}^{\Gamma}\mathcal{YD} \xrightarrow{\cong} {}^{\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$ which preserves the braiding.*

Let R be a braided Hopf algebra in ${}^{\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$. Then, ${}_{\sigma}R$ is necessarily a braided Hopf algebra in ${}^{\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$.

Proposition 1.12 (Takeuchi). *Explicitly, ${}_{\sigma}R$ has the following deformed product and coproduct*

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot b &:= \sigma(g, h)ab \quad (a \in R_g, b \in R_h), \\ \Delta(a) &:= \sum \sigma^{-1}(|a_1|, |a_2|)a_1 \otimes a_2 \quad (a \in R), \end{aligned}$$

while its unit, counit and antipode are the same as the original ones.

Keep R as above. By the Radford-Majid bosonization [25] (or see [24, Sect. 10.6]), we have the ordinary Hopf algebra $R \bowtie \Gamma$. As a k -module, $R \bowtie \Gamma = R \otimes k\Gamma$. To denote an element, we will write ag for $a \otimes g$, where $a \in R$, $g \in \Gamma$. $R \bowtie \Gamma$ is the smash (or semi-direct) product $R \rtimes \Gamma$ as an algebra, and is the smash coproduct $R \bowtie \blacktriangleleft k\Gamma$ as a coalgebra. Explicitly, its product and coproduct are given by

$$\begin{aligned} (ag)(bh) &= (a(gb))(gh), \\ \Delta(ag) &= \sum a_1(g|a_2|) \otimes a_2g, \end{aligned}$$

where $a \in R$, $g, h \in \Gamma$. From ${}_\sigma R$, we obtain the Hopf algebra ${}_\sigma R \bowtie \Gamma$. Through the projection $R \bowtie \Gamma \rightarrow k\Gamma$, σ gives rise to a right 2-cocycle for $R \bowtie \Gamma$, which constructs the deformed $(R \bowtie \Gamma)^\sigma$.

Proposition 1.13 (Takeuchi). *$ag \mapsto \sigma(|a|, g)ag$ gives a Hopf algebra isomorphism*

$${}_\sigma R \bowtie \Gamma \xrightarrow{\cong} (R \bowtie \Gamma)^\sigma.$$

The author learned the last three propositions, which are all directly verified, from M. Takeuchi's lectures in 2002 for the graduate course at Tsukuba.

1.5. Graded coalgebras and Hopf algebras. By *graded coalgebras* or *Hopf algebras*, we mean \mathbb{N} -graded those objects, where $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. Let $C = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} C(n)$ be a graded coalgebra. Then, $C(0)$ is a coalgebra. Being a direct summand of C , it can be called a subcoalgebra of C .

Lemma 1.14. *Let A be an (ungraded) algebra. A k -linear map $f : C \rightarrow A$ is convolution-invertible if and only if the restriction $f|_{C(0)} : C(0) \rightarrow A$ onto $C(0)$ is.*

Proof. To see the essential 'if' part, notice that if $f|_{C(0)}$ is convolution-invertible, then so is $f|_{C(n)}$, for every n , since the kernel of the restriction map $\text{Hom}(C(n), A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C(0), A)$ is a nilpotent ideal. \square

Corollary 1.15. *Let H be a bialgebra. Suppose that H is graded as a coalgebra so that the zero component $H(0)$ is a group Hopf algebra. Then, H has a bijective antipode.*

Proof. By Lemma 1.14, the identity maps $H \rightarrow H$, $H^{\text{cop}} \rightarrow H$ are both convolution-invertible, since their restrictions onto the zero components are. By [11, Proposition 7], H has an antipode and a pde, which are necessarily mutual composite-inverses. \square

Those Hopf algebras which we are going to treat with all satisfy the assumption of Corollary 1.15, whence their antipodes are bijective, by the corollary.

2. THE HOPF ALGEBRA H^0 AND ITS CLEFT OBJECTS $A(\lambda)$

Let us list up the symbols which will be defined in this section, and will be used throughout in what follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma, \hat{\Gamma}, I & (= I_1 \sqcup I_2 \sqcup \dots \sqcup I_N) \\ V & = \{x_i, g_i, \chi_i\}_{i \in I}, q = (q_{ij})_{i, j \in I} \\ \Theta, \Xi, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}^0, \mathcal{R}(\lambda), H^0, A(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

Let Γ be an abelian group, and let $\hat{\Gamma}$ denote the character group, i.e., the group of all homomorphisms $\Gamma \rightarrow k^\times$. Let I be a non-empty finite set.

Let $q = (q_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ be a square matrix with entries q_{ij} in k^\times . Let $g_i \in \Gamma$, $\chi_i \in \hat{\Gamma}$, such that

$$\chi_j(g_i) = q_{ij} \quad (i, j \in I).$$

Let $V = \bigoplus_{i \in I} kx_i$ be a free k -module with basis $(x_i)_{i \in I}$. This is made into an object in ${}^\Gamma \mathcal{YD}$ with respect to the structure

$$|x_i| = g_i, \quad gx_i = \chi_i(g)x_i \quad (g \in \Gamma).$$

To indicate the structure we will write

$$(2.1) \quad V = \{x_i, g_i, \chi_i\}_{i \in I} \in {}^\Gamma \mathcal{YD}.$$

The associated braiding is given by

$$c : V \otimes V \xrightarrow{\cong} V \otimes V, \quad c(x_i \otimes x_j) = q_{ij}x_j \otimes x_i.$$

As a braided k -module, V is thus of *diagonal type* [1], afforded by the matrix $q = (q_{ij})$.

The tensor algebra TV on V is naturally a graded, braided Hopf algebra in ${}^\Gamma \mathcal{YD}$, whose bosonization will be denoted by

$$(2.2) \quad F = TV \rtimes \Gamma.$$

This is a graded Hopf algebra with zero component $k\Gamma$. Let B_l denote the braid group of degree l . The braiding c on V gives rise to a kB_l -module structure on the l -th power tensors $T^l V$. Especially, the *braided commutator* [1] is given by

$$(\text{ad}_c x_i)(x_j) = (1 - c)(x_i \otimes x_j) = x_i \otimes x_j - q_{ij}x_j \otimes x_i.$$

Lemma 2.1. *If $q_{ij}q_{ji} = 1$, then $(\text{ad}_c x_i)(x_j)$ is a primitive in TV , and is a $(1, g_i g_j)$ -primitive in F .*

This is well known. In general an element y in a coalgebra is called a (g, h) -*primitive*, where g, h are grouplikes, if $\Delta(y) = y \otimes g + h \otimes y$; it is called a *skew-primitive* without specifying g, h .

Associated to $q = (q_{ij})$ is the graph having I as the set of vertices, in which distinct vertices i, j are connected by an edge if and only if $q_{ij}q_{ji} \neq 1$. Fix a decomposition

$$I = I_1 \sqcup I_2 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup I_N$$

of I into non-empty subsets I_r ($r = 1, 2, \dots, N$) which are mutually disconnected (in the graph) and disjoint. We write $|i| = r$, if $i \in I_r$. We have

$$(2.3) \quad q_{ij}q_{ji} = 1 \quad \text{whenever } |i| \neq |j|.$$

Define

$$(2.4) \quad \Theta = \{(i, j) \in I \times I \mid |i| > |j|\}.$$

Let \mathcal{R} be a subset of TV consisting of elements of the form

$$(2.5) \quad \xi(x_{i_1} \otimes x_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{i_l}) \quad (l \geq 2, \quad \xi \in kB_l),$$

where $|i_1| = |i_2| = \cdots = |i_l|$. Notice that the element above is of degree $g_{i_1} g_{i_2} \cdots g_{i_l}$ in Γ . Suppose that \mathcal{R} includes a sequence of finite length $L \geq 0$, say, of subsets

$$\emptyset = \mathcal{R}_{(0)} \subset \mathcal{R}_{(1)} \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{R}_{(L)} = \mathcal{R}$$

such that for each $0 < h \leq L$, every element in $\mathcal{R}_{(h)}$ is a primitive modulo $(\mathcal{R}_{(h-1)})$ in TV ; in particular, every element in $\mathcal{R}_{(1)}$ is required to be a primitive. One then sees inductively that each ideal $(\mathcal{R}_{(h)})$ in TV generated by $\mathcal{R}_{(h)}$ is a homogeneous braided Hopf ideal of TV . Let \mathcal{R}^0 denote the union of the set \mathcal{R} with the primitives (see Lemma 2.1)

$$(2.6) \quad (\text{ad}_c x_i)(x_j) \quad ((i, j) \in \Theta).$$

Obviously, (\mathcal{R}^0) is also a homogeneous braided Hopf ideal in TV .

Definition 2.2. Let

$$S = TV/(\mathcal{R}^0)$$

denote the quotient graded braided Hopf algebra, and let

$$H^0 = S \rtimes \Gamma$$

denote the bosonization. Notice that $H^0 = F/(\mathcal{R}^0)$, which denotes the quotient graded Hopf algebra of F by the ideal (\mathcal{R}^0) generated by \mathcal{R}^0 in F .

Define

$$(2.7) \quad \Xi = \{(i, j) \in \Theta \mid \chi_i \chi_j = 1\},$$

where the 1 in the condition denotes the constant map $g \mapsto 1$.

Definition 2.3. Given $\lambda = (\lambda_{ij}) \in k^\Xi$, let $\mathcal{R}(\lambda)$ denote the union of the set \mathcal{R} with the elements

$$(2.8) \quad (\text{ad}_c x_i)(x_j) - \lambda_{ij} g_i g_j \quad ((i, j) \in \Xi),$$

$$(2.9) \quad (\text{ad}_c x_i)(x_j) \quad ((i, j) \in \Theta \setminus \Xi)$$

in F . Define a quotient algebra of F by

$$A(\lambda) = F/(\mathcal{R}(\lambda)).$$

If $\lambda_{ij} = 0$ for all $(i, j) \in \Xi$, then $\mathcal{R}(\lambda) = \mathcal{R}^0$, and $A(\lambda) = H^0$. We unify the expressions (2.8), (2.9) into

$$(2.10) \quad (\text{ad}_c x_i)(x_j) - \lambda_{ij} g_i g_j \quad ((i, j) \in \Theta),$$

by setting $\lambda_{ij} = 0$ for $(i, j) \in \Theta \setminus \Xi$.

Lemma 2.4. *The coproduct $\Delta : F \rightarrow F \otimes F$ composed with the quotient map $F \otimes F \rightarrow H^0 \otimes A(\lambda)$ factors through $A(\lambda) \rightarrow H^0 \otimes A(\lambda)$, by which $A(\lambda)$ is an H^0 -comodule algebra.*

Proof. Notice first that the element y , say, given by (2.10) is sent by Δ to $(\text{ad}_c x_i)(x_j) \otimes 1 + g_i g_j \otimes y$. Then the result follows by induction on L . \square

We have a direct sum decomposition

$$V = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_N \quad \text{in } {}_F^F \mathcal{YD},$$

where $V_r = \bigoplus_{i \in I_r} kx_i$. The set \mathcal{R} is divided so as

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{R}_2 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathcal{R}_N$$

into those mutually disjoint subsets \mathcal{R}_r which consists of all elements (2.5) such that $r = |i_1| (= |i_2| = \cdots = |i_l|)$. We have the graded algebras $TV_r/(\mathcal{R}_r)$. Define a k -module by

$$(2.11) \quad E = \frac{TV_1}{(\mathcal{R}_1)} \otimes \frac{TV_2}{(\mathcal{R}_2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \frac{TV_N}{(\mathcal{R}_N)} \otimes k\Gamma.$$

The tensor product of the canonical algebra maps $TV_r/(\mathcal{R}_r) \rightarrow A(\lambda)$ ($r = 1, 2, \dots, N$), composed with the product $A(\lambda)^{\otimes N} \rightarrow A(\lambda)$, induces a right $k\Gamma$ -linear map,

$$(2.12) \quad e(\lambda) : E \rightarrow A(\lambda).$$

Especially we have

$$e := e(0) : E \rightarrow H^0.$$

We remark that each $TV_r/(\mathcal{R}_r)$ is a graded, braided Hopf algebra in ${}_{\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$. As the bosonization of their tensor product, E has a natural graded Hopf algebra structure. We see easily that e is a graded Hopf algebra map.

Proposition 2.5. (1) *These maps $e(\lambda)$, e are bijective.*

(2) *The composite*

$$\phi(\lambda) := e(\lambda) \circ e^{-1} : H^0 \rightarrow A(\lambda)$$

is a section.

(3) *$A(\lambda)$ is an H^0 -cleft object.*

Remark 2.6. Once the proposition above is proved, one sees by construction that $\phi(\lambda)$ is characterized as a k -linear map $H^0 \rightarrow A(\lambda)$ which is induced from the identity map $F \rightarrow F$, or in other words which makes the following commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & F \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^0 & \xrightarrow[\phi(\lambda)]{} & A(\lambda) \end{array}$$

We will prove the proposition by induction on L .

Lemma 2.7. *Proposition 2.5 holds true in $L = 0$.*

For the proof of Part 1, we will apply Bergman's diamond lemma [5, Proposition 7.1] for R -rings. Recall that for an algebra R , an R -ring is an algebra given an algebra map from R .

Proof of Lemma 2.7. Suppose $L = 0$, or namely $\mathcal{R} = \emptyset$. Then, $E = TV_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes TV_N \otimes k\Gamma$, in particular.

(1) It suffices to prove only for $e(\lambda)$. Notice that $A(\lambda)$ is a $k\Gamma$ -ring, which is generated by the elements x_i ($i \in I$), and is defined by the relations

$$(2.13) \quad x_i x_j = q_{ij} x_j x_i + \lambda_{ij} g_i g_j \quad ((i, j) \in \Theta),$$

$$(2.14) \quad g x_i = \chi_i(g) x_i g \quad (i \in I, g \in \Gamma),$$

where by convention, $\lambda_{ij} = 0$ if $(i, j) \notin \Theta$. To apply [5, Proposition 7.1], we introduce a total ordering into $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ (or into I), such that $i > j$ if $|i| > |j|$, and consider the lexicographic ordering on the monomials in x_i . Then the relations

(2.13), (2.14) are naturally regarded as a reduction system. Essential is to prove that the overlap ambiguities which arise when we reduce

$$(2.15) \quad x_i x_j x_k \quad (|i| > |j| > |k|)$$

are resolvable. One sees by computation that the reduction results of (2.15) in two ways differ by

$$(q_{ij}q_{ik}\lambda_{jk} - \lambda_{jk})x_i + (q_{ij}\lambda_{ik} - q_{jk}\lambda_{ik})x_j + (\lambda_{ij} - q_{ik}q_{jk}\lambda_{ij})x_k.$$

But, this is zero, implying the desired resolvability. For if $i \in I$, $(i, k) \in \Theta$,

$$\begin{aligned} q_{ij}q_{ik}\lambda_{jk} &= \chi_i \chi_k (g_i) \lambda_{jk} = \begin{cases} \lambda_{jk} & \text{if } (j, k) \in \Xi \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \lambda_{jk}. \end{aligned}$$

By [5, Proposition 7.1], $A(\lambda)$ has a right $k\Gamma$ -free basis $x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_l}$, where $l \geq 0$, $|i_1| \leq |i_2| \leq \cdots \leq |i_l|$. This proves Part 1.

(2) $\phi(\lambda)$ preserves unit, since $e(\lambda)$, e do. We have the obvious embedding $E \hookrightarrow F$ of (right $k\Gamma$ -module) coalgebras, and its composite with $F \rightarrow A(\lambda)$ is precisely $e(\lambda)$. We see from Lemma 2.4 that $\phi(\lambda)$ is H^0 -colinear. It is convolution-invertible by Lemma 1.14.

(3) Since $\phi(\lambda)$ is an H^0 -colinear isomorphism, k is precisely the H^0 -coinvariants in $A(\lambda)$, whence Part 3 follows. \square

Suppose that we have proved the proposition in $L - 1$, and let \tilde{H}^0 , $\tilde{A}(\lambda)$ denote those H^0 , $A(\lambda)$ defined by supposing $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_{(L-1)}$. They both then include

$$(2.16) \quad \tilde{T}_r := TV_r / (\mathcal{R}_r \cap \mathcal{R}_{(L-1)}) \quad (1 \leq r \leq N),$$

as well as $k\Gamma$, as subalgebras. Choose an arbitrary element in \mathcal{R} as given by (2.5), and let y denote its image in \tilde{T}_r , where we have set $r = |i_1|$ ($= |i_2| = \cdots = |i_l|$). Notice that \tilde{T}_r is graded so as $\tilde{T}_r = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} \tilde{T}_r(m)$, where $\tilde{T}_r(m)$ denotes the component of degree m . Then, $y \in \tilde{T}_r(l)$.

Lemma 2.8. *Keep the assumptions and the notation as above. Suppose $|j| \neq r$. Then both in \tilde{H}^0 , and in $\tilde{A}(\lambda)$,*

$$x_j y = q_{j i_1} q_{j i_2} \cdots q_{j i_l} y x_j.$$

Proof. In \tilde{H}^0 , this holds true since y is a k -linear combination of the monomial $x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_l}$ and its permutations.

To prove in $\tilde{A}(\lambda)$, let $\tilde{\rho} : \tilde{A}(\lambda) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^0 \otimes \tilde{A}(\lambda)$ denote the structure. Set

$$z = x_j y - q_{j i_1} \cdots q_{j i_l} y x_j.$$

By assumption, y is a primitive in \tilde{T}_r . Since by the induction hypothesis, we have the natural section $\tilde{H}^0 \xrightarrow{\cong} \tilde{A}(\lambda)$ as given in Remark 2.6, $\tilde{\rho}(y) = y \otimes 1 + g \otimes y$, where $g = g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_l}$. Since $x_j g = q_{j i_1} \cdots q_{j i_l} g x_j$, we see

$$\tilde{\rho}(z) = z \otimes 1 + g g_j \otimes z = g g_j \otimes z.$$

Again by the induction hypothesis above, $z = c g g_j$ for some $c \in k$. But, c must be zero, whence $z = 0$, as desired, since we see by induction on m that in $\tilde{A}(\lambda)$,

$$(2.17) \quad x_j \tilde{T}_r(m) \subset \tilde{T}_r(m) x_j + \tilde{T}_r(m-1) \Gamma \quad (m > 0),$$

provided $|j| \neq r$. \square

Proof of Proposition 2.5. To prove in L , keep the assumptions and the notation as above. Fix r , and let \mathfrak{a}_r denote the ideal of \tilde{T}_r generated by the natural image of \mathcal{R}_r . By Lemma 2.8, we see that both in \tilde{H}^0 and in $\tilde{A}(\lambda)$, $\tilde{T}_s \mathfrak{a}_r = \mathfrak{a}_r \tilde{T}_s$ for all s . Obviously, $\Gamma \mathfrak{a}_r = \mathfrak{a}_r \Gamma$. Hence in the both algebras, the ideal generated by the image of \mathcal{R}_r equals

$$\tilde{T}_1 \cdots \tilde{T}_{r-1} \mathfrak{a}_r \tilde{T}_{r+1} \cdots \tilde{T}_N \Gamma.$$

This proves Part 1. We see that $\phi(\lambda)$ is H^0 -colinear since it is induced from the H^0 -colinear $\tilde{\phi}(\lambda)$. The remaining can be proved as Lemma 2.7. \square

Remark 2.9. Proposition 2.5 holds true even if we rearrange the TV_r ($r = 1, \dots, N$) in E in an arbitrary order. To see this, it suffices in the proof of Lemma 2.7.1, to replace the relation (or reduction) (2.13) with the equivalent

$$x_j x_i = q_{ji} x_i x_j - q_{ji} \lambda_{ij} g_i g_j,$$

if $|i| < |j|$ in the new ordering.

3. DEFORMATIONS OF H^0 , $A(\lambda)$ BY GROUP 2-COCYCLES

Let $Z^2(\Gamma, k^\times)$ denote the group of all normalized 2-cocycles $\Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow k^\times$. Let $\sigma \in Z^2(\Gamma, k^\times)$. We will write $\tilde{\sigma}$ for the inverse σ^{-1} . From $V = \{x_i, g_i, \chi_i\}_{i \in I}$ in (2.1), we obtain by Proposition 1.11

$$(3.1) \quad \sigma V = \{x_i, g_i, \chi_i^\sigma\}_{i \in I} \in {}_\Gamma^{\Gamma} \mathcal{YD},$$

where

$$(3.2) \quad \chi_i^\sigma(g) = \frac{\sigma(g, g_i)}{\sigma(g_i, g)} \chi_i(g) \quad (g \in \Gamma).$$

The associated braiding $c^\sigma : {}_\sigma V \otimes {}_\sigma V \xrightarrow{\cong} {}_\sigma V \otimes {}_\sigma V$ is given by $c^\sigma(x_i \otimes x_j) = q_{ij}^\sigma x_j \otimes x_i$, where

$$(3.3) \quad q_{ij}^\sigma = \frac{\sigma(g_i, g_j)}{\sigma(g_j, g_i)} q_{ij}.$$

See [2, Lemma 2.12]. Regarding σ as a right 2-cocycle for H^0 through the projection $H^0 = S \triangleright \triangleleft \Gamma \rightarrow k\Gamma$, we obtain $(H^0)^\sigma$, ${}_\sigma A(\lambda)$. We aim to describe explicitly these deformed objects.

Choose another $\tau \in Z^2(\Gamma, k^\times)$. Then we have the Γ -graded algebra $TV \rtimes_\tau \Gamma$ of crossed product; it differs from the smash product $TV \rtimes \Gamma$ only by

$$(3.4) \quad \bar{g}\bar{g}' = \tau(g, g') \overline{gg'} \quad (g, g' \in \Gamma),$$

where $g (= 1 \otimes g)$ in $TV \rtimes \Gamma$ is denoted by \bar{g} in $TV \rtimes_\tau \Gamma$. Let \mathcal{Q} be a set consisting of such elements in $TV \rtimes_\tau \Gamma$ of the form

$$(3.5) \quad \xi(x_{i_1} \otimes x_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{i_l}) - c \bar{g}_{i_1} \bar{g}_{i_2} \cdots \bar{g}_{i_l},$$

where $l \geq 2$, $\xi \in kB_l$, $c \in k$. Notice that the element above is of degree $g_{i_1} g_{i_2} \cdots g_{i_l}$ in Γ .

Definition 3.1. Read every element (3.5) in \mathcal{Q} as an element in $T_\sigma V \rtimes_{\sigma\tau} \Gamma$, by replacing the action by ξ with what arises from c^σ , and taking $\bar{g}_{i_1} \bar{g}_{i_2} \cdots \bar{g}_{i_l}$ as the product given by $\sigma\tau$. Let ${}_\sigma \mathcal{Q}$ denote the subset of $T_\sigma V \rtimes_{\sigma\tau} \Gamma$ consisting of thus read elements.

Lemma 3.2. (1) *The natural embeddings of ${}_{\sigma}V$, Γ into ${}_{\sigma}(TV \rtimes_{\tau} \Gamma)$ induce an isomorphism of Γ -graded algebras,*

$$T_{\sigma}V \rtimes_{\sigma\tau} \Gamma \xrightarrow{\cong} {}_{\sigma}(TV \rtimes_{\tau} \Gamma).$$

(2) *The isomorphism above induces an isomorphism,*

$$T_{\sigma}V \rtimes_{\sigma\tau} \Gamma / {}_{\sigma}\mathcal{Q} \xrightarrow{\cong} {}_{\sigma}(TV \rtimes_{\tau} \Gamma / \mathcal{Q}).$$

Proof. (1) We see from (3.2) that such a Γ -graded algebra map as above is defined. To see that it is an isomorphism, it suffices to apply the result below in the special case when $\mathcal{Q} = \emptyset$.

(2) In general let A be a Γ -graded algebra including V as a Γ -graded submodule. Let

$$(3.6) \quad y = \xi(x_{i_1} \otimes x_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{i_l}) \in T^l V,$$

where $l \geq 2$, $\xi \in kB_l$, and let ${}_{\sigma}y$ be the corresponding element in $T^l {}_{\sigma}V$ read as above. By considering the l -fold iteration ${}_{\sigma}V \otimes \cdots \otimes {}_{\sigma}V \xrightarrow{\cong} {}_{\sigma}(V \otimes \cdots \otimes V)$ of (1.12), we see that the product of ${}_{\sigma}y$ taken in ${}_{\sigma}A$ differs from the product of y taken in A , only by scalar multiplication by

$$(3.7) \quad u := \sigma(g_{i_1}, g_{i_2})\sigma(g_{i_1}g_{i_2}, g_{i_3}) \cdots \sigma(g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_{l-1}}, g_{i_l}).$$

Suppose $A = TV \rtimes_{\tau} \Gamma / \mathcal{Q}$. Then it follows that the composite

$$T_{\sigma}V \rtimes_{\sigma\tau} \Gamma \rightarrow {}_{\sigma}(TV \rtimes_{\tau} \Gamma) \rightarrow {}_{\sigma}A$$

induces

$$f : B := T_{\sigma}V \rtimes_{\sigma\tau} \Gamma / {}_{\sigma}\mathcal{Q} \rightarrow {}_{\sigma}A.$$

This is surjective, since ${}_{\sigma}f$, whose image contains the natural generators, is surjective; recall here $\tilde{\sigma} = \sigma^{-1}$. By applying the result to B , we have an epimorphism

$$h : A = TV \rtimes_{\tau} \Gamma / \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow {}_{\sigma}B.$$

Since the composite ${}_{\sigma}h \circ f$ is the identity map of B , f is a isomorphism. \square

Remark 3.3. Let y, u be as in (3.6), (3.7). The proof above shows that ${}_{\sigma}y \mapsto uy$ under the canonical isomorphism $T_{\sigma}V \xrightarrow{\cong} {}_{\sigma}(TV)$.

Recall from Section 2 the constructions

$$S = TV / (\mathcal{R}^0), \quad H^0 = TV \rtimes_{\triangleleft} \Gamma / (\mathcal{R}^0), \quad A(\lambda) = TV \rtimes \Gamma / (\mathcal{R}(\lambda)).$$

Notice from Remark 3.3 that the set ${}_{\sigma}\mathcal{R}^0$ together with

$$\emptyset = {}_{\sigma}\mathcal{R}_{(0)} \subset {}_{\sigma}\mathcal{R}_{(1)} \subset \cdots \subset {}_{\sigma}\mathcal{R}_{(L)} = {}_{\sigma}\mathcal{R}$$

satisfy the same conditions as what was required to \mathcal{R} , so that $T_{\sigma}V / ({}_{\sigma}\mathcal{R}_{(h)}) \simeq {}_{\sigma}(TV / (\mathcal{R}_{(h)}))$ ($0 \leq h \leq L$) as graded braided Hopf algebras. Moreover,

$$T_{\sigma}V / ({}_{\sigma}\mathcal{R}^0), \quad T_{\sigma}V \rtimes_{\triangleleft} \Gamma / ({}_{\sigma}\mathcal{R}^0)$$

are a graded, braided Hopf algebra in ${}_{\Gamma}^{\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$, and an ordinary graded Hopf algebra, respectively. ${}_{\sigma}\mathcal{R}(\lambda)$ is the union of the set ${}_{\sigma}\mathcal{R}$ with the elements

$$x_i \otimes x_j - q_{ij}^{\sigma} x_j \otimes x_i - \lambda_{ij} \bar{g}_i \bar{g}_j \quad ((i, j) \in \Theta)$$

in $T_{\sigma}V \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma$. We see that

$$T_{\sigma}V \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma / ({}_{\sigma}\mathcal{R}(\lambda))$$

is a $T_{\sigma}V \rtimes_{\triangleleft} \Gamma / ({}_{\sigma}\mathcal{R}^0)$ -comodule algebra (see (3.13)); it is in fact a cleft object, as will be seen from the next proposition.

Proposition 3.4. (1) $x_i \mapsto x_i$ ($i \in I$), $g \mapsto g$ ($g \in \Gamma$) give rise to isomorphisms

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} T_\sigma V / (\sigma \mathcal{R}^0) &\xrightarrow{\cong} {}_\sigma S, \\ T_\sigma V \rtimes \Gamma / (\sigma \mathcal{R}^0) &\xrightarrow{\cong} (H^0)^\sigma \end{aligned}$$

of graded, braided Hopf algebras in ${}_{\mathbb{F}}\mathcal{YD}$, and of graded Hopf algebras, respectively.

(2) $x_i \mapsto x_i$ ($i \in I$), $\bar{g} \mapsto g$ ($g \in \Gamma$) give rise to an algebra isomorphism

$$T_\sigma V \rtimes_\sigma \Gamma / (\sigma \mathcal{R}(\lambda)) \xrightarrow{\cong} {}_\sigma A(\lambda),$$

which is compatible with (3.8) through the left coactions.

Proof. This follows by Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 1.13. \square

Let $\sigma \in Z^2(\Gamma, k^\times)$. Define $s_i^\sigma \in \hat{\Gamma}$ by

$$(3.9) \quad s_i^\sigma(g) = \frac{\sigma(g_i, g)}{\sigma(g, g_i)} \quad (g \in \Gamma).$$

One sees $s_i^\sigma = \chi_i(\chi_i^\sigma)^{-1}$, whence $s_i^\sigma \in \hat{\Gamma}$; see (3.2). Define

$$(3.10) \quad \Xi(\sigma) = \{(i, j) \in \Theta \mid x_i x_j = s_i^\sigma s_j^\sigma\}.$$

The condition given here is equivalent to $\chi_i^\sigma \chi_j^\sigma = 1$. If $\sigma = 1$, then $\Xi(\sigma) = \Xi$; see (2.7). If σ and σ' in $Z^2(\Gamma, k^\times)$ are cohomologous, then $s_i^\sigma = s_i^{\sigma'}$, whence $\Xi(\sigma) = \Xi(\sigma')$.

Definition 3.5. Given $\mu = (\mu_{ij}) \in k^{\Xi(\sigma)}$, let $\mathcal{R}(\sigma, \mu)$ denote the union of the set with the elements

$$(3.11) \quad x_i \otimes x_j - q_{ij} x_j \otimes x_i - \mu_{ij} \bar{g}_i \bar{g}_j \quad ((i, j) \in \Theta)$$

in $TV \rtimes_\sigma \Gamma$, where we set $\mu_{ij} = 0$ if $(i, j) \notin \Xi(\sigma)$. Define

$$(3.12) \quad A(\sigma, \mu) = TV \rtimes_\sigma \Gamma / (\mathcal{R}(\sigma, \mu)).$$

The algebra $A(\lambda)$ equals $A(1, \lambda)$ with the notation above.

Notice that $TV \rtimes_\sigma \Gamma$ is $TV \rtimes \Gamma$ -cleft object with respect to the algebra map

$$(3.13) \quad TV \rtimes_\sigma \Gamma \rightarrow (TV \rtimes \Gamma) \otimes (TV \rtimes_\sigma \Gamma)$$

given by $x_i \mapsto x_i \otimes 1 + g_i \otimes x_i$ ($i \in I$), $\bar{g} \mapsto g \otimes \bar{g}$. ($g \in \Gamma$). The identity map

$$(3.14) \quad \text{id} : TV \rtimes \Gamma \rightarrow TV \rtimes_\sigma \Gamma,$$

with both identified with $TV \otimes k\Gamma$, gives a section; see Lemma 1.14.

Proposition 3.6. The algebra map (3.13) induces an algebra map

$$A(\sigma, \mu) \rightarrow H^0 \otimes A(\sigma, \mu),$$

by which $A(\sigma, \mu)$ is an H^0 -cleft object. A section $H^0 \rightarrow A(\sigma, \mu)$ is induced from the identity map (3.14).

Proof. Reconsider the construction of $A(\lambda)$, starting from ${}_\sigma V$, in which case the set Ξ in (2.7) is $\Xi(\sigma)$. Set $\mathcal{Q}^0 = {}_\sigma \mathcal{R}^0$. Given $\mu \in \Xi(\sigma)$, let $\mathcal{Q}(\mu)$ denote the union of the set ${}_\sigma \mathcal{R}$ with the elements

$$x_i \otimes x_j - q_{ij}^\sigma x_j \otimes x_i - \mu_{ij} g_i g_j \quad ((i, j) \in \Theta)$$

in $T_{{}_\sigma} V \rtimes \Gamma$, where by convention, $\mu_{ij} = 0$ if $(i, j) \notin \Xi(\sigma)$. Then we have the $T_{{}_\sigma} V \rtimes \Gamma / (\mathcal{Q}^0)$ -cleft object $T_{{}_\sigma} V \rtimes \Gamma / (\mathcal{Q}(\mu))$. Apply $\sigma(\)$, and use the isomorphisms

in Proposition 3.4. Then we see that $A(\sigma, \mu)$ is an H^0 -cleft object, since ${}_\sigma \mathcal{Q}^0 = \mathcal{R}^0$, ${}_\sigma \mathcal{Q}(\mu) = \mathcal{R}(\sigma, \mu)$. The section

$$T_{\tilde{\sigma}} V \rtimes \Gamma / (\mathcal{Q}^0) \rightarrow T_{\tilde{\sigma}} V \rtimes \Gamma / \mathcal{Q}(\mu)$$

given by Remark 2.6 gives rise to a section $H^0 \rightarrow A(\sigma, \mu)$. This is indeed induced from the identity map (3.14), since we see that the following commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TV \rtimes \Gamma & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & TV \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma \\ \simeq \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\ (T_{\tilde{\sigma}} V \rtimes \Gamma)^{\sigma} & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & {}_{\sigma}(T_{\tilde{\sigma}} V \rtimes \Gamma) \end{array}$$

□

We wish to classify all $A(\sigma, \mu)$ into isomorphism classes. Since $V \oplus k\Gamma$ in $TV \rtimes \Gamma$ is isomorphically mapped into H^0 , we denote the images of the natural basis elements x_i ($i \in I$), g ($g \in \Gamma$) in $V \oplus k\Gamma$ by the same symbols x_i, g . Let A be an H^0 -cleft object.

Definition 3.7. An element a in A is called an *NB (normal basis) element* for x_i (resp., g) if there is a section $\phi : H^0 \rightarrow A$ such that $a = \phi(x_i)$ (resp., $a = \phi(g)$).

Lemma 3.8. *With the notation as above, let \tilde{g} ($\in A$) be an NB element for each $g \in \Gamma$.*

(1) *An arbitrary NB element for g is of the form $u\tilde{g}$, where $u \in k^{\times}$.*

(2) *If \tilde{x}_i ($\in A$) is an NB element for x_i , there exists c_g ($\in k$) for each g , such that*

$$(3.15) \quad \tilde{g}\tilde{x}_i = \chi_i(g)\tilde{x}_i\tilde{g} + c_g\tilde{g}_i\tilde{g}.$$

Proof. (1) This is easy to see.

(2) This holds since one sees that the element $a := \tilde{g}\tilde{x}_i - \chi_i(g)\tilde{x}_i\tilde{g}$ is sent to $g_i g \otimes a$ by the coaction $A \rightarrow H^0 \otimes A$. □

Keep A, \tilde{g} as above.

Definition 3.9. An NB element \tilde{x}_i ($\in A$) for x_i is said to be *normalized*, if

$$(3.16) \quad \tilde{g}\tilde{x}_i = \chi_i(g)\tilde{x}_i\tilde{g} \quad \text{for all } g \in \Gamma.$$

By Lemma 3.8.1 this condition is independent of choice of \tilde{g} .

Lemma 3.10. *Fix $i \in I$. Suppose $q_{ii} - 1 \in k^{\times}$. Then there exists uniquely a normalized NB element \tilde{x}_i ($\in A$) for x_i .*

Proof. Existence. Choose an NB element \tilde{x}_i for x_i . By Lemma 3.8.2, we have an element c ($\in k$) such that $\tilde{g}_i\tilde{x}_i = q_{ii}\tilde{x}_i\tilde{g}_i + c\tilde{g}_i^2$. Set

$$\hat{x}_i = \tilde{x}_i + (q_{ii} - 1)^{-1}c\tilde{g}_i.$$

Then one computes that $\tilde{g}_i\hat{x}_i = q_{ii}\hat{x}_i\tilde{g}_i$. Suppose that a section ϕ affords \tilde{x}_i so that $\phi(x_i) = \tilde{x}_i$. We can construct a k -linear map $f : S \rightarrow k$ such that

$$(3.17) \quad f(1) = 1, \quad f(x_j) = \delta_{ij}(q_{ii} - 1)^{-1}c \quad (j \in I).$$

Let $\gamma = f \otimes \varepsilon : H^0 = S \otimes k\Gamma \rightarrow k$, where ε denotes the counit of $k\Gamma$; this γ is convolution-invertible by Lemma 1.14. The convolution-product $\phi * \gamma$ is a section

which affords \hat{x}_i . We remark that this change of sections does not change the values $\phi(x_j)$, $\phi(g)$ other than $\phi(x_i)$.

Fix $g \in \Gamma$. We have $u \in k^\times$ such that $\tilde{g}\tilde{g}_i = u\tilde{g}_i\tilde{g}$. Let us write \tilde{x}_i for \hat{x}_i . Then we have (3.15). The reduction of $\tilde{g}\tilde{g}_i\tilde{x}_i$ in two ways shows

$$q_{ii}c_g\tilde{g}_i\tilde{g}\tilde{g}_i = c_g\tilde{g}_i\tilde{g}\tilde{g}_i,$$

which implies $c_g = 0$, since $q_{ii} - 1 \in k^\times$.

Uniqueness. Suppose that \tilde{x}_i, \hat{x}_i are both desired ones. We see as in the proof of Lemma 3.8.2 that $\tilde{x}_i - \hat{x}_i = c\tilde{g}_i$ for some $c \in k$. The conjugation by \tilde{g}_i gives

$$(q_{ii}c\tilde{g}_i =) q_{ii}(\tilde{x}_i - \hat{x}_i) = c\tilde{g}_i,$$

which implies $c = 0$, since $q_{ii} - 1 \in k^\times$. \square

Lemma 3.11. *Let $A(\sigma, \mu)$ be the H^0 -cleft object as defined by (3.12). The images of x_i ($i \in I$), \bar{g} ($g \in \Gamma$) under $TV \rtimes_\sigma \Gamma \rightarrow A(\sigma, \mu)$ are NB elements in which the images of x_i are all normalized.*

Proof. This follows by Proposition 3.6. \square

Definition 3.12. Let

$$\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}(\Gamma, \Xi; k)$$

denote the set of all pairs (σ, μ) , where $\sigma \in Z^2(\Gamma, k^\times)$, $\mu = (\mu_{ij}) \in k^{\Xi(\sigma)}$. Given two pairs $(\sigma, \mu), (\sigma', \mu')$ in \mathcal{Z} , we write $(\sigma, \mu) \sim (\sigma', \mu')$, if there exists a 1-cochain $\eta : \Gamma \rightarrow k^\times$, i.e., a map with $\eta(1) = 1$, such that

$$(3.18) \quad \sigma' = \sigma(\partial\eta),$$

$$(3.19) \quad \mu'_{ij} = \mu_{ij}\eta(g_i)\eta(g_j) \quad ((i, j) \in \Xi(\sigma)).$$

Recall that (3.18) implies $\Xi(\sigma) = \Xi(\sigma')$. One sees that \sim defines an equivalence relation on \mathcal{Z} . We denote by

$$\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \Xi; k) = \mathcal{Z} / \sim$$

the set of all equivalence classes in \mathcal{Z} .

Proposition 3.13. *Suppose that $q_{ii} - 1 \in k^\times$ for all $i \in I$. Then, $(\sigma, \mu) \mapsto A(\sigma, \mu)$ gives rise to an injection*

$$\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \Xi; k) \hookrightarrow \text{Cleft}(H^0).$$

Proof. Let $(\sigma, \mu), (\sigma', \mu')$ be pairs in \mathcal{Z} . By Lemmas 3.10, 3.11, an isomorphism $A(\sigma', \mu') \xrightarrow{\cong} A(\sigma, \mu)$ must be induced from an algebra map $f : TV \rtimes_{\sigma'} \Gamma \rightarrow TV \rtimes_\sigma \Gamma$ given by

$$f(x_i) = x_i \quad (i \in I), \quad f(\bar{g}) = \eta(g)\bar{g} \quad (g \in \Gamma),$$

where $\eta : \Gamma \rightarrow k^\times$ is a 1-cochain. We see that f indeed induces the desired isomorphism (see Lemma 1.3) if and only if $(\sigma, \mu) \sim (\sigma', \mu')$; this proves the proposition. In fact, f preserves the relations (3.4) (resp., the relations arising from (3.11)) if and only if (3.18) (resp., (3.19)) holds; it necessarily preserves each element in \mathcal{R} . \square

4. THE HOPF ALGEBRA H^λ AS A COCYCLE DEFORMATION OF H^0

We fix $\lambda = (\lambda_{ij}) \in k^\Xi$, throughout this section.

Definition 4.1. Let \mathcal{R}^λ denote the union of the set \mathcal{R} with the elements

$$(4.1) \quad (\text{ad}_c x_i)(x_j) - \lambda_{ij}(g_i g_j - 1) \quad ((i, j) \in \Theta)$$

in the Hopf algebra $F = TV \rtimes \Gamma$ (see (2.2)), where we set $\lambda_{ij} = 0$ if $(i, j) \notin \Xi$. Define

$$H^\lambda = F/(\mathcal{R}^\lambda).$$

Notice that the element given by (4.1) is a $(1, g_i g_j)$ -primitive in F . One then sees that H^λ is a Hopf algebra. If $\lambda_{ij} = 0$ for all $(i, j) \in \Xi$, then $\mathcal{R}^\lambda = \mathcal{R}^0$, and $H^\lambda = H^0$; see Definition 2.2.

Recall from Proposition 2.5 that we have the H^0 -cleft object $A(\lambda)$. Recall from (2.11), (2.12) the definitions of E , $e(\lambda)$.

Proposition 4.2. (1) The coproduct $\Delta : F \rightarrow F \otimes F$ induces an algebra map $A(\lambda) \rightarrow A(\lambda) \otimes H^\lambda$, by which $A(\lambda)$ is a right H^λ -comodule algebra.

(2) The tensor product of the canonical algebra maps $TV_r/(\mathcal{R}_r) \rightarrow H^\lambda$ ($r = 1, 2, \dots, N$), composed with the product $(H^\lambda)^{\otimes N} \rightarrow H^\lambda$, induces an isomorphism

$$e^\lambda : E \xrightarrow{\cong} H^\lambda$$

of right $k\Gamma$ -module coalgebras. The composite

$$\phi^\lambda := e(\lambda) \circ (e^\lambda)^{-1} : H^\lambda \rightarrow A(\lambda)$$

is a right H^λ -colinear section.

(3) $A(\lambda)$ is an (H^0, H^λ) -bicleft object.

Proof. (1) Easy; see the proof of Lemma 2.4.

(2) This together with the fact that $A(\lambda)$ is a right H^λ -cleft object are proved by induction on L , just as Proposition 2.5. The proof in $L = 0$ is very similar to the proof of Lemma 2.7. Suppose that we have proved in $L - 1$, and let $\tilde{H}^\lambda, \tilde{A}(\lambda)$ denote those $H^\lambda, A(\lambda)$ defined by supposing $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_{(L-1)}$. We claim that Lemma 2.8 holds true in \tilde{H}^λ as well. In fact we see from the proof of the lemma that the element

$$z = x_j y - q_{j i_1} \cdots q_{j i_l} y x_j$$

is zero in $\tilde{A}(\lambda)$. But, this element is sent by the right coaction $\tilde{A}(\lambda) \rightarrow \tilde{A}(\lambda) \otimes \tilde{H}^\lambda$ to the element

$$z \otimes 1 + g g_j \otimes z = g g_j \otimes z \quad \text{in } \tilde{A}(\lambda) \otimes \tilde{H}^\lambda,$$

where $g = g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_l}$. This implies that $z = 0$ in \tilde{H}^λ , as desired. Then as in the proof of Proposition 2.5, the induction completes.

(3) It remains to prove that $A(\lambda)$ is an (H^0, H^λ) -bicomodule. But, this follows since $A(\lambda)$ arises from the (F, F) -bicomodule F . \square

Remark 2.9 can apply to H^λ as well.

Theorem 4.3. H^λ and H^0 are cocycle deformations of each other.

Proof. This follows by Lemma 1.5, since we have the following commutative diagram, in which the unlabeled arrow is the H^λ -coaction on $A(\lambda)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & E \otimes E \\ e(\lambda) \downarrow & & \downarrow e(\lambda) \otimes e^\lambda \\ A(\lambda) & \longrightarrow & A(\lambda) \otimes H^\lambda \end{array}$$

□

Recall that $F = TV \succ\llcorner \Gamma$ is naturally graded, with $k\Gamma$ its zero component. Hence it has the induced filtration by $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. The quotient algebra map $F \rightarrow A(\lambda)$ induces a filtration on $A(\lambda)$, with which the map turns into an epimorphism of filtered algebras. It induces an epimorphism $F \rightarrow \text{gr } A(\lambda)$ of graded algebras. Similarly, $F \rightarrow H^\lambda$ turns into an epimorphism of filtered Hopf algebras, which induces an epimorphism $F \rightarrow \text{gr } H^\lambda$ of graded Hopf algebras. We see that the two graded algebra epimorphisms factor through

$$(4.2) \quad H^0 \rightarrow \text{gr } A(\lambda), \quad H^0 \rightarrow \text{gr } H^\lambda,$$

the latter of which is a graded Hopf algebra map. Since the (H^0, H^λ) -bicomodule algebra structure maps

$$H^0 \otimes A(\lambda) \leftarrow A(\lambda) \rightarrow A(\lambda) \otimes H^\lambda$$

on $A(\lambda)$ are filtered, they induce an $(H^0, \text{gr } H^\lambda)$ -bicomodule algebra structure

$$H^0 \otimes \text{gr } A(\lambda) \leftarrow \text{gr } A(\lambda) \rightarrow \text{gr } A(\lambda) \otimes \text{gr } H^\lambda$$

on $\text{gr } A(\lambda)$.

Proposition 4.4. (1) $\text{gr } A(\lambda)$ is an $(H^0, \text{gr } H^\lambda)$ -bicleft object.

(2) The graded algebra map $H^0 \rightarrow \text{gr } A(\lambda)$ in (4.2) is an isomorphism.

(3) The graded Hopf algebra map $H^0 \rightarrow \text{gr } H^\lambda$ in (4.2) is an isomorphism.

Proof. (1) Notice that $e(\lambda) : E \rightarrow A(\lambda)$ is filtered, whence the section $\phi(\lambda) : H^0 \rightarrow A(\lambda)$ is a filtered H^0 -colinear map. It induces an isomorphism $\text{gr } \phi(\lambda) : H^0 \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{gr } A(\lambda)$ of graded H^0 -comodules, which is convolution-invertible by Lemma 1.14. Thus, $\text{gr } A(\lambda)$ is a left H^0 -cleft object with section $\text{gr } \phi(\lambda)$. Similarly, $\text{gr } A(\lambda)$ is a right $\text{gr } H^\lambda$ -cleft object with section $\text{gr } \phi^\lambda$.

(2) The prescribed map is a map of H^0 -cleft objects, which is necessarily an isomorphism by Lemma 1.3.

(3) It follows by [11, Theorem 9] (or see [24, 8.2.4]) that H^0 and $\text{gr } A(\lambda)$, being cleft, are right Galois objects for H^0 , $\text{gr } H^\lambda$, respectively. Combined with the result of Part 2, the (so-called Galois) isomorphisms [24, 8.1.1] which ensure the objects to be Galois show that the natural map $H^0 \otimes H^0 \rightarrow \text{gr } A(\lambda) \otimes \text{gr } H^\lambda$ is an isomorphism. Since $H^0 (\simeq \text{gr } A(\lambda))$ includes k as a direct summand, $H^0 \rightarrow \text{gr } H^\lambda$ is an isomorphism. □

Let $\check{\mathcal{R}}(\lambda)$ denote the union of the set \mathcal{R} with the elements

$$(\text{ad}_c x_i)(x_j) + \lambda_{ij} 1 \quad ((i, j) \in \Theta)$$

in F , where we set $\lambda_{ij} = 0$ if $(i, j) \notin \Xi$. Define

$$B(\lambda) = F/(\check{\mathcal{R}}(\lambda)).$$

Proposition 4.5. *The obvious (F, F) -bicomodule algebra structure on F induces an (H^λ, H^0) -bicomodule algebra structure on $B(\lambda)$. Moreover, $B(\lambda)$ is an (H^λ, H^0) -bicleft object.*

Proof. This can be proved just as Proposition 4.2. \square

In the sequel we will write A, B for $A(\lambda), B(\lambda)$.

Proposition 4.6. (1) *The canonical isomorphism $F \xrightarrow{\cong} F \square_F F$ induces isomorphisms*

$$\alpha : H^0 \xrightarrow{\cong} A \square_{H^\lambda} B, \quad \beta : H^\lambda \xrightarrow{\cong} B \square_{H^0} A$$

of (H^0, H^0) - and (H^λ, H^λ) -bicomodule algebras, respectively.

(2) *We have the following commutative diagrams consisting of (H^0, H^λ) - and, resp., (H^λ, H^0) -bicomodule algebras and their isomorphisms, where the unlabeled arrows are canonical isomorphisms.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H^0 \square_{H^0} A & \xrightarrow{\alpha \square \text{id}} & (A \square_{H^\lambda} B) \square_{H^0} A \\
 \uparrow & & \downarrow \\
 A & & \\
 \downarrow & & \\
 A \square_{H^\lambda} H^\lambda & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \square \beta} & A \square_{H^\lambda} (B \square_{H^0} A) \\
 H^\lambda \square_{H^\lambda} B & \xrightarrow{\beta \square \text{id}} & (B \square_{H^0} A) \square_{H^\lambda} B \\
 \uparrow & & \downarrow \\
 B & & \\
 \downarrow & & \\
 B \square_{H^0} H^0 & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \square \alpha} & B \square_{H^0} (A \square_{H^\lambda} B)
 \end{array}$$

(3) *We have the k -linear monoidal functors*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi_A = A \square_{H^\lambda} & : H^\lambda \mathcal{M} \rightarrow H^0 \mathcal{M}, \\
 \Phi_B = B \square_{H^0} & : H^0 \mathcal{M} \rightarrow H^\lambda \mathcal{M},
 \end{aligned}$$

which are mutually quasi-inverses. Moreover, $\alpha \square_{H^0}$ and $\beta \square_{H^\lambda}$ induce isomorphisms of monoidal functors,

$$f_\alpha : \text{id} \simeq \Phi_A \circ \Phi_B, \quad f_\beta : \text{id} \simeq \Phi_B \circ \Phi_A.$$

$(\Phi_A, \Phi_B, f_\alpha, f_\beta)$ forms monoidal equivalence data in the sense of Takeuchi [29]; thus, $\Phi_B f_\alpha = f_\beta \Phi_B$, $f_\alpha \Phi_A = \Phi_A f_\beta$.

Proof. (1) It is easy to see that the bicomodule algebra maps α, β are induced. Being maps between cleft objects, they are necessarily isomorphisms, by Lemma 1.3.

(2) This is verified directly.

(3) This follows by Part 2. \square

Let $(\sigma, \mu) \in \mathcal{Z}(\Gamma, \Xi; k)$. We have the H^0 -cleft object $A(\sigma, \mu)$. We wish to describe explicitly the corresponding H^λ -cleft object $B\Box_{H^0}A(\sigma, \mu)$. Recall $F = TV \rtimes \Gamma$. Let us write

$$F(\sigma) = TV \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma.$$

Definition 4.7. Let $\mathcal{R}^\lambda(\sigma, \mu)$ be the union of the set \mathcal{R} with the elements

$$(\text{ad}_c x_i)(x_j) + \lambda_{ij}1 - \mu_{ij}\bar{g}_i\bar{g}_j \quad ((i, j) \in \Theta)$$

in $F(\sigma)$, where by convention, $\lambda_{ij} = 0$ if $(i, j) \notin \Xi$, and $\mu_{ij} = 0$ if $(i, j) \notin \Xi(\sigma)$. Define

$$(4.3) \quad A^\lambda(\sigma, \mu) = F(\sigma)/(\mathcal{R}^\lambda(\sigma, \mu)).$$

Proposition 4.8. (1) The natural algebra map $F(\sigma) \rightarrow F \otimes F(\sigma)$ as given in (3.13) induces an algebra map

$$A^\lambda(\sigma, \mu) \rightarrow H^\lambda \otimes A^\lambda(\sigma, \mu),$$

by which $A^\lambda(\sigma, \mu)$ is an H^λ -comodule algebra.

(2) Moreover, $A^\lambda(\sigma, \mu)$ is H^λ -cleft object. The map $\text{id} : F \rightarrow F(\sigma)$ given in (3.14) induces a section

$$(4.4) \quad \phi^\lambda(\sigma, \mu) : H^\lambda \rightarrow A^\lambda(\sigma, \mu).$$

(3) The canonical isomorphism $F(\sigma) \xrightarrow{\cong} F\Box_F F(\sigma)$ induces an isomorphism

$$A^\lambda(\sigma, \mu) \simeq B\Box_{H^0}A(\sigma, \mu) = \Phi_B(A(\sigma, \mu))$$

of H^λ -comodule algebras.

Proof. (1) Easy.

(3) Write

$$C = A(\sigma, \mu), \quad C^\lambda = A^\lambda(\sigma, \mu).$$

Let J denote the kernel of the composite

$$F(\sigma) \xrightarrow{\cong} F\Box_F F(\sigma) \rightarrow B\Box_{H^0}C$$

of the canonical epimorphisms of H^λ -comodule algebras. Set $D = F(\sigma)/J$. We see easily that the quotient map $F(\sigma) \rightarrow D$ factors through an epimorphism

$$f : C^\lambda \rightarrow D$$

of H^λ -comodule algebras. We should prove that f is an isomorphism. It suffices to prove that

$$\Phi_A(f) : A\Box_{H^\lambda}C^\lambda \rightarrow A\Box_{H^\lambda}D$$

is an isomorphism. We see just as above that the isomorphism $F \xrightarrow{\cong} F\Box_F F(\sigma)$ arising from id induces an epimorphism $C \rightarrow A\Box_{H^\lambda}C^\lambda$. Its composite with $\Phi_A(f)$ coincides with the canonical isomorphism

$$C \simeq A\Box_{H^\lambda}D \simeq (A\Box_{H^\lambda}B)\Box_{H^0}C,$$

as is easily seen. It follows that $\Phi_A(f)$ is an isomorphism, as desired.

(2) The first statement follows by Part 3. By Proposition 3.6, $\text{id} : F \rightarrow F(\sigma)$ induces a section $H^0 \rightarrow A(\sigma, \mu)$. One sees just as in Remark 2.6 that the identity map $F \rightarrow F$ induces a left H^λ -colinear section $H^\lambda \rightarrow B$. These results, combined with the first statement, prove the second; see the proof of Lemma 1.4. \square

Proposition 4.9. *Suppose that $q_{ii} - 1 \in k^\times$ for all $i \in I$. Then, $(\sigma, \mu) \mapsto A^\lambda(\sigma, \mu)$ gives rise to an injection*

$$\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \Xi; k) \hookrightarrow \text{Cleft}(H^\lambda).$$

Proof. This follows by Propositions 3.13, 4.8.3. \square

5. AUGMENTED CENTRAL H^λ -CLEFT EXTENSIONS

We continue to fix $\lambda = (\lambda_{ij}) \in k^\Xi$, throughout this section. Define a subset Ξ_λ of Ξ by

$$(5.1) \quad \Xi_\lambda = \{(i, j) \in \Xi \mid \lambda_{ij} \neq 0\}.$$

Fix a k -module M , and regard it as a trivial Γ -module. Let $Z^2(\Gamma, M)$ denote the additive group of all normalized 2-cocycles $\Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow M$.

Definition 5.1. Let

$$\mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^\lambda = \mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^\lambda(\Gamma, \Xi; M)$$

denote the set of all pairs (s, m) , where $s \in Z^2(\Gamma, M)$, $m = (m_{ij}) \in M^\Xi$ such that

$$(5.2) \quad s(g, g_i) + s(g, g_j) = s(g_i, g) + s(g_j, g) \quad \text{for all } g \in \Gamma,$$

either if $(i, j) \in \Xi_\lambda$ or if $m_{ij} \neq 0$; notice that the condition depends on λ . Given two pairs $(s, m), (s', m')$ in $\mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^\lambda$, we write $(s, m) \sim_\varepsilon (s', m')$, if there exists a 1-cochain $t : \Gamma \rightarrow M$, i.e., a map with $t(1) = 0$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} s' &= s + \partial t, \\ m'_{ij} &= m_{ij} + \lambda_{ij}(t(g_i) + t(g_j)) \quad ((i, j) \in \Xi). \end{aligned}$$

One sees that \sim_ε defines an equivalence relation on $\mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^\lambda$. We denote by

$$\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^\lambda(\Gamma, \Xi; M) = \mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^\lambda / \sim_\varepsilon$$

the set of all equivalence classes in $\mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^\lambda$.

Recall from (1.10) the augmented algebra k_M . By extending the coefficients from k to k_M , we define the set $\mathcal{Z}(\Gamma, \Xi; k_M)$ just as in Definition 3.12. Let $(\sigma, \mu) \in \mathcal{Z}(\Gamma, \Xi; k_M)$. Thus, $\sigma \in Z^2(\Gamma, k_M^\times)$, and $\mu = (\mu_{ij}) \in (k_M)^\Xi(\sigma)$, where $\Xi(\sigma)$ is defined by (3.10), but $\chi_i \chi_j = s_i^\sigma s_j^\sigma$ should now be regarded as an equation in the group of group homomorphism $\Gamma \rightarrow k_M^\times$. We will suppose, as before, $\mu \in (k_M)^\Xi$ by setting $\mu_{ij} = 0$ if $(i, j) \notin \Xi(\sigma)$. The pair gives rise to the central H^λ -cleft extension $A^\lambda(\sigma, \mu)$ over k_M ; see Definition 4.7. We say that $A^\lambda(\sigma, \mu)$ is *augmented*, if $x_i \mapsto 0$ ($i \in I$), $\bar{g} \mapsto 1$ ($g \in \Gamma$) well defines an algebra map $A^\lambda(\sigma, \mu) \rightarrow$ extending the augmentation $k_M \rightarrow k$.

Lemma 5.2. *A pair (σ, μ) in $\mathcal{Z}(\Gamma, \Xi; k_M)$ such that $A^\lambda(\sigma, \mu)$ is augmented arises uniquely from a pair (s, m) in $\mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^\lambda(\Gamma, \Xi; M)$, so that*

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &= 1 + s, \\ \mu_{ij} &= \lambda_{ij} + m_{ij} \quad ((i, j) \in \Xi). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. One sees easily that a desired pair (σ, μ) is uniquely of the form as above. We should prove that the two conditions

$$\sigma \in Z^2(\Gamma, k_M^\times), \quad \mu_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{if } (i, j) \notin \Xi(\sigma)$$

are equivalent to $(s, m) \in \mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^\lambda$. The first condition is equivalent to $s \in Z^2(\Gamma, M)$. The second is equivalent to that (5.2) holds if $(i, j) \in \Xi_\lambda$ or $m_{ij} \neq 0$, since we have

$$\Xi(\sigma) = \{(i, j) \in \Xi \mid (5.2) \text{ holds}\}.$$

These prove the desired equivalence. \square

Given $m = (m_{ij}) \in M^\Xi$, we define

$$\lambda + m = (\lambda_{ij} + m_{ij}) \ (\in (k_M)^\Xi).$$

Proposition 5.3. *Suppose that $q_{ii} - 1 \in k^\times$ for all $i \in I$. Then, $(s, m) \mapsto A^\lambda(1 + s, \lambda + m)$ gives rise to an injection*

$$\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^\lambda(\Gamma, \Xi; M) \hookrightarrow \text{ZCleft}_\varepsilon(H^\lambda; k_M),$$

where $\text{ZCleft}_\varepsilon(H^\lambda; k_M)$ is defined by Definition 1.6.

For the proof we remark that Definitions 3.7, 3.9 and Lemmas 3.8, 3.10, which are all concerned with cleft extensions for the Hopf algebra H^0 , are generalized in the obvious way to those extensions for H^λ . In addition, Lemma 3.11 is generalized by the following.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $A^\lambda(\sigma, \mu)$ be the H^λ -cleft object as defined by (4.3). The images of x_i ($i \in I$), \bar{g} ($g \in \Gamma$) under the quotient map $TV \rtimes_\sigma \Gamma \rightarrow A^\lambda(\sigma, \mu)$ are NB elements in which the images of x_i are all normalized.*

Proof. This follows by Proposition 4.8.2. \square

Proof of Proposition 5.3. Let $(s, m), (s', m')$ be pairs in $\mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^\lambda$. Set

$$(\sigma, \mu) = (1 + s, \lambda + m), \quad (\sigma', \mu') = (1 + s', \lambda + m').$$

We apply the generalized Lemmas 3.8, 3.10, and Lemma 5.4 above, to $A^\lambda(\sigma, \mu)$, $A^\lambda(\sigma', \mu')$, regarding them as $H^\lambda \otimes k_M$ -cleft objects. It then follows that an augmented isomorphism $A^\lambda(\sigma', \mu') \xrightarrow{\cong} A^\lambda(\sigma, \mu)$ must be induced from an algebra map $f : TV \rtimes_\sigma \Gamma \rightarrow TV \rtimes_{\sigma'} \Gamma$ given by

$$f(x_i) = x_i \ (i \in I), \quad f(\bar{g}) = (1 + t(g))\bar{g} \ (g \in \Gamma),$$

where $t : \Gamma \rightarrow M$ is a 1-cochain. We see that f indeed induces the desired isomorphism if and only if $(s, m) \sim_\varepsilon (s', m')$; this proves the proposition. See the proof of Proposition 3.13. \square

6. CLASSIFICATION RESULTS IN THE CASE OF CARTAN-TYPE BRAIDINGS

We will impose additional assumptions, (C1)–(C4), on $q = (q_{ij})$ and \mathcal{R} . Let $\mathbb{A} = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ be a GCM (generalized Cartan matrix). First we assume:

(C1) q is of Cartan type [1] with respect to \mathbb{A} in the sense that

$$(6.1) \quad q_{ij}q_{ji} = q_{ii}^{a_{ij}} \quad \text{for all } i, j \in I.$$

Notice that (6.1) automatically holds if $i = j$, since $a_{ii} = 2$. Since $q_{ij}q_{ji} \neq 1$ implies $a_{ij} \neq 0$, $|i| \neq |j|$ implies that i and j are disconnected in the Dynkin diagram of \mathbb{A} .

The following claim follows from the proof of [1, Lemma A1].

Lemma-Definition 6.1. *Let i, j be distinct elements in I . If*

$$(6.2) \quad (l)_{q_{ii}} \in k^\times \quad \text{for all } 0 < l \leq -a_{ij},$$

then the element

$$(6.3) \quad (\text{ad}_c x_i)^{1-a_{ij}}(x_j)$$

in TV is a primitive, where $(l)_{q_{ii}}$ denotes the q_{ii} -integer

$$(l)_{q_{ii}} = 1 + q_{ii} + \cdots + q_{ii}^{l-1}.$$

Such a primitive of the form (6.3) is said to be *of Serre type*.

Notice that the element (6.3) is of the form (2.5). In addition to (C1), we assume:

(C2) \mathcal{R} consists only of primitives of Serre type. More specifically,

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_{(1)} = \{(\text{ad}_c x_i)^{1-a_{ij}}(x_j) \mid (i, j) \in \Pi\},$$

where Π is a subset,

$$\Pi \subset \{(i, j) \in I \times I \mid |i| = |j|, i \neq j, (6.2) \text{ holds.}\},$$

(C3) $q_{ii} - 1 \in k^\times$ for all $i \in I$,

(C4) $q_{ii}q_{jj} - q_{ij}q_{ji} \in k^\times$ for all $(i, j) \in \Pi$.

Under (C3), the condition (6.2) is equivalent to

$$q^l - 1 \in k^\times \quad \text{for all } 0 < l \leq -a_{ij}.$$

Remark 6.2. Let $\sigma \in Z^2(\Gamma, k^\times)$. We see from (3.3) that

$$q_{ii}^\sigma = q_{ii}, \quad q_{ij}^\sigma q_{ji}^\sigma = q_{ij} q_{ji} \quad (i, j \in I).$$

Therefore, if q, \mathcal{R} satisfy the assumptions (C1)–(C4), then $q^\sigma, \sigma\mathcal{R}$ do. Cf. [2, Sect. 3.2].

Theorem 6.3. *Under the assumptions (C1)–(C4), $(\sigma, \mu) \mapsto A^\lambda(\sigma, \mu)$ gives rise to a bijection*

$$\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \Xi; k) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Cleft}(H^\lambda).$$

Proof. By (the proof of) Proposition 4.9, it suffices to prove the surjectivity, supposing $\lambda = 0$, or $\lambda_{ij} = 0$ for all $(i, j) \in \Xi$. Let A be an H^0 -cleft object. We should prove that $A \simeq A(\sigma, \mu)$ for some $(\sigma, \mu) \in \mathcal{Z}$. Choose a section $\phi : H^0 \rightarrow A$, and set

$$\tilde{x}_i = \phi(x_i) \quad (i \in I), \quad \tilde{g} = \phi(g) \quad (g \in \Gamma).$$

By Lemma 3.10 and (C3), we may suppose that \tilde{x}_i are all normalized. There uniquely exists $\sigma \in Z^2(\Gamma, k^\times)$ such that

$$\tilde{g}\tilde{g}' = \sigma(g, g')\widetilde{gg'} \quad (g, g' \in \Gamma).$$

This implies that in ${}_\sigma A$,

$$(6.4) \quad \tilde{g}\tilde{g}' = \widetilde{gg'} \quad (g, g' \in \Gamma).$$

By Proposition 3.4.2 and Remark 6.2, we can replace V with ${}_\sigma V$, and may suppose that (6.4) holds in A . It then suffices to prove that $A \simeq A(\mu)$ (see Definition 2.3) for some $\mu \in k^\Xi$. We see that $x_i \mapsto \tilde{x}_i$ ($i \in I$), $g \mapsto \tilde{g}$ ($g \in \Gamma$) define an H^0 -comodule algebra map $f : F = TV \rtimes \Gamma \rightarrow A$. It suffices to prove that f factors through $A(\mu) \rightarrow A$, which will be necessarily an isomorphism by Lemma 1.3. Since each

$(\text{ad}_c x_i)(x_j)$, where $(i, j) \in \Theta$, is a $(1, g_i g_j)$ -primitive in F , we have $\mu = (\mu_{ij}) \in k^\Theta$ such that

$$(6.5) \quad \tilde{x}_i \tilde{x}_j = q_{ij} \tilde{x}_j \tilde{x}_i + \mu_{ij} \tilde{g}_i \tilde{g}_j \quad ((i, j) \in \Theta).$$

Here, $\mu_{ij} = 0$ if $(i, j) \notin \Xi$; otherwise, $\mu_{ij} \tilde{g}_i \tilde{g}_j (= \tilde{x}_i \tilde{x}_j - q_{ij} \tilde{x}_j \tilde{x}_i)$ would not then commute with all \tilde{g} , where $g \in \Gamma$. Choose an arbitrary element (6.3) from \mathcal{R} , and let y denote its image in A . Then we have as above, $c \in k$ such that

$$y = c \tilde{g}_i^{1-a_{ij}} \tilde{g}_j.$$

The conjugations by \tilde{g}_i , and by \tilde{g}_j give

$$(6.6) \quad q_{ii}^{1-a_{ij}} q_{ij} y = c \tilde{g}_i^{1-a_{ij}} \tilde{g}_j (= y),$$

$$(6.7) \quad q_{ji}^{1-a_{ij}} q_{jj} y = c \tilde{g}_i^{1-a_{ij}} \tilde{g}_j (= y).$$

By (6.1), (6.6), one sees that

$$(6.8) \quad \frac{q_{ii}}{q_{ji}} y = y.$$

This together with (6.1), (6.7) imply that

$$(6.9) \quad \frac{q_{jj}}{q_{ij}} y = \frac{q_{jj}}{q_{ij}} \left(\frac{q_{ii}}{q_{ji}} \right)^{a_{ij}} y = q_{ji}^{1-a_{ij}} q_{jj} y = y.$$

Since one sees by (6.8), (6.9) that $(q_{ii} q_{jj} - q_{ij} q_{ji}) y = 0$, it follows by (C4) that $y = 0$. We have shown that each element in \mathcal{R} is zero in A . This together with (6.5) prove the desired factorization. \square

Theorem 6.4. *Under the assumptions (C1)–(C4), $(s, m) \mapsto A^\lambda(1+s, \lambda+m)$ gives rise to a bijection*

$$\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^\lambda(\Gamma, \Xi; M) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{ZCleft}_\varepsilon(H^\lambda; k_M) (\simeq H^2(H^\lambda, M)).$$

Proof. By Proposition 5.3, it suffices to prove the surjectivity. Let $A = (A, \varepsilon_A)$ be an augmented central H^λ -cleft extension over k_M . By Lemma 1.7, it has an augmented section $\phi : H^\lambda \rightarrow A$. Set $\tilde{x}_i = \phi(x_i)$ ($i \in I$), $\tilde{g} = \phi(g)$ ($g \in \Gamma$). Then,

$$(6.10) \quad \varepsilon_A(\tilde{x}_i) = 0, \quad \varepsilon_A(\tilde{g}) = 1 \quad (i \in I, g \in \Gamma).$$

Regard A as an $H^\lambda \otimes k_M$ -cleft object. Then, \tilde{x}_i, \tilde{g} form NB elements. By (C3), the \tilde{x}_i can be so chosen as to be normalized. This is possible with the property (6.10) preserved, as is seen from the proof of Lemma 3.10. Notice that Theorem 6.3 still holds true over k_M , under the same assumptions. Combining the result with Lemmas 3.8.1, 3.10 (generalized to H^λ), we have $(\sigma, \mu) \in \mathcal{Z}(\Gamma, \Xi; k_M)$ and 1-cochain $\eta : \Gamma \rightarrow k_M^\times$, such that $x_i \mapsto \tilde{x}_i$ ($i \in I$), $g \mapsto \eta(g)^{-1} \tilde{g}$ ($g \in \Gamma$) induce an isomorphism $A^\lambda(\sigma, \mu) \simeq A$ of H^λ -comodule algebras. By replacing (σ, μ) with (σ', μ') defined by (3.18), (3.19), we may suppose that $\eta(g) = 1$ for all $g \in \Gamma$; see the proof of Proposition 3.13. Then, $A^\lambda(\sigma, \mu)$, being augmented, is necessarily of the form $A^\lambda(1+s, \lambda+m)$, by Lemma 5.2. This proves the desired surjectivity. \square

7. RESULTS FOR THE QUANTIZED ENVELOPING ALGEBRA U_q AND THE BOREL SUBALGEBRA B_q

In this section we suppose that k is a field. Let $\mathbb{A} = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ be an $n \times n$ GCM which is symmetrized by a diagonal matrix $\mathbb{D} = \text{diag}(\cdots d_i \cdots)$ with $0 < d_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, so that $d_i a_{ij} = d_j a_{ji}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Fix $0 \neq q \in k$. Set

$$q_i = q^{d_i} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n),$$

and suppose that for each $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$(7.1) \quad \text{ord}(q_i^2) > \max\{1, -a_{ij} \mid j \neq i\}.$$

Here, $\text{ord}(q_i^2)$ denotes the order of q_i^2 in the group k^\times ; it is infinite (and then satisfies (7.1)), if q_i is not a root of 1.

Definition 7.1. [16] The *quantized enveloping algebra* U_q associated to \mathbb{A} , \mathbb{D} is the algebra which is generated by the elements

$$K_i, K_i^{-1}, X_i^+, X_i^- \quad (1 \leq i \leq n),$$

and is defined by the relations

$$(7.2) \quad K_j K_i = K_i K_j \quad (i < j),$$

$$(7.3) \quad K_i K_i^{-1} = 1 = K_i^{-1} K_i,$$

$$(7.4) \quad K_i X_j^\pm K_i^{-1} = q_i^{\pm a_{ij}} X_j^\pm,$$

$$(7.5) \quad X_i^+ X_j^- - X_j^- X_i^+ = \delta_{ij} \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}},$$

$$(7.6) \quad \sum_{r=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ r \end{bmatrix}_i (X_i^\pm)^{1-a_{ij}-r} X_j^\pm (X_i^\pm)^r = 0 \quad (i \neq j).$$

Here, $\begin{bmatrix} m \\ r \end{bmatrix}_i$ denotes the Gauss binomial coefficients defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ r \end{bmatrix}_i = \frac{[m]_i [m-1]_i \cdots [m-r+1]_i}{[r]_i [r-1]_i \cdots [1]_i} \quad (m \geq r > 0),$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_i = 1, \quad \text{where } [m]_i = \frac{q_i^m - q_i^{-m}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}} \quad (m > 0).$$

The *Borel subalgebra* B_q of U_q is the subalgebra generated by K_i, K_i^{-1}, X_i^+ ($1 \leq i \leq n$).

The assumption (7.1) ensures that the denominators of the right-hand side of (7.5), and of the Gauss binomial coefficients in (7.6) are non-zero. It is known that U_q forms a pointed Hopf algebra including B_q as a Hopf subalgebra, in which $K_i^{\pm 1}$ are grouplikes, X_i^+ is a $(1, K_i)$ -primitive, and X_i^- is a $(K_i^{-1}, 1)$ -primitive. We will see below that B_q (resp. U_q) is of the form H^0 (resp. H^λ).

Notation 7.2. Let $I_+ = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, and let Γ be the free abelian group on the set of the n letters g_i ($i \in I_+$). Define $\chi_j \in \hat{\Gamma}$ ($j \in I_+$) by

$$\chi_j(g_i) = q_i^{a_{ij}} \quad (i \in I_+).$$

Define an object V_+ in ${}_{\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$ by

$$(7.7) \quad V_+ = \{x_i, g_i, \chi_i\}_{i \in I_+}.$$

The associated braiding is given by

$$(7.8) \quad c : V_+ \otimes V_+ \xrightarrow{\cong} V_+ \otimes V_+, \quad c(x_i \otimes x_j) = q_i^{a_{ij}} x_j \otimes x_i,$$

which is afforded by the matrix

$$(7.9) \quad \mathbf{q}_+ = (q_{ij})_{i,j \in I_+}, \quad \text{where } q_{ij} = q_i^{a_{ij}} = q^{d_i a_{ij}}.$$

We see that \mathbf{q}_+ is of Cartan type with respect to the GCM \mathbb{A} ; see (C1) in Section 6. To construct, as in Section 2, H^0 from the V_+ above, we do not decompose I_+ into disconnected subsets, so that we set $\mathcal{R}^0 = \mathcal{R}$. We choose as \mathcal{R} ($= \mathcal{R}_{(1)}$) the set

$$(7.10) \quad \mathcal{R}_+ = \{(\text{ad}_c x_i)^{1-a_{ij}}(x_j) \mid i \neq j\},$$

which consists of primitives in TV_+ of Serre type, by the assumption (7.1); see Lemma 6.1. We thus construct

$$H^0 = TV_+ \rtimes_{\Delta} \Gamma / (\mathcal{R}_+).$$

Lemma 7.3. $g_i^{\pm} \mapsto K_i^{\pm 1}$, $x_i \mapsto X_i^+$ ($i \in I_+$) give an isomorphism $H^0 \xrightarrow{\cong} B_q$ of Hopf algebras.

Proof. This is easy to see, and is well known. Notice that the image of $(\text{ad}_c x_i)^{1-a_{ij}}(x_j)$ in B_q coincides with the left-hand side of (7.6) with plus-sign. \square

In particular we can identify via $g_i^{\pm} \mapsto K_i^{\pm 1}$ ($i \in I_+$) the group Γ ($\simeq \mathbb{Z}^n$) with the group generated by $K_i^{\pm 1}$ in B_q .

In some places we will impose the following assumption.

$$(C_q) \quad q^{2(d_i+d_j-d_i a_{ij})} \neq 1 \text{ for all } i \neq j \text{ in } I_+$$

Theorem 7.4. Under the assumption (C_q) , the restriction maps give a bijection

$$\text{ZCleft}(B_q; Z) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Cleft}(Z\Gamma) (\simeq (Z^\times)^{\binom{n}{2}}),$$

and a k -linear isomorphism

$$H^2(B_q, M) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^2(\Gamma, M) (\simeq M^{\binom{n}{2}}),$$

where $Z \neq 0$ is a commutative algebra, and M is an arbitrary k -vector space, regarded as the trivial module over B_q , and over Γ .

Proof. In the present situation,

$$\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \Xi; Z) = H^2(\Gamma, Z^\times), \quad \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^\lambda(\Gamma, \Xi; M) = H^2(\Gamma, M),$$

since $\Theta = \Xi = \emptyset$. The theorem will follow from Theorems 6.3, 6.4, if we see that the assumptions (C1)–(C4) are satisfied. Obviously, (C1), (C2) are satisfied. (C3) is ensured by (7.1). Since we see from (7.9) that

$$q_{ii}q_{jj} - q_{ij}q_{ji} = q^{2(d_i+d_j-d_i a_{ij})},$$

(C4) is precisely (C_q) . \square

Remark 7.5. Let M be a trivial module over $\Gamma (\simeq \mathbb{Z}^n)$ as above. As is well known,

$$\alpha \mapsto (\sigma(g_j, g_i) - \sigma(g_i, g_j))_{i < j}$$

gives an isomorphism

$$(7.11) \quad H^2(\Gamma, M) \simeq M^{\binom{n}{2}}.$$

In particular we have

$$\text{Cleft}(Z\Gamma) \simeq H^2(\Gamma, Z^\times) \simeq (Z^\times)^{\binom{n}{2}},$$

where $Z \neq 0$ is a commutative algebra.

Notation 7.6. Let $I_- = -I_+ = \{-1, -2, \dots, -n\}$. Define $V_- \in {}_{\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$ by

$$V_- = \{x_{-i}, g_i, \chi_i^{-1}\}_{i \in I_+}.$$

Set

$$I = I_+ \sqcup I_-, \quad V = V_+ \oplus V_- \ (\in {}_{\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}).$$

We denote the associated braiding on V , which extends the c on V_+ given by (7.8), by the same symbol $c: V \otimes V \xrightarrow{\simeq} V \otimes V$.

Notice that (V, c) is of diagonal type, with c afforded by the matrix

$$\mathbf{q} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{q}_+ & \check{\mathbf{q}}_+ \\ \mathbf{q}_+ & \check{\mathbf{q}}_+ \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } \check{\mathbf{q}}_+ = (q_{ij}^{-1})_{i, j \in I_+}.$$

Here we have supposed that the indices in I are in the order $1, 2, \dots, n, -1, -2, \dots, -n$. Moreover, \mathbf{q} is of Cartan type with respect to the GCM $\mathbb{A} \oplus \mathbb{A}$.

To construct, as in Section 4, H^λ from V , we decompose I into $I_1 = I_-$ and $I_2 = I_+$, which are mutually disconnected. Then,

$$\Theta = \{(i, -j) \mid i, j \in I_+\}, \quad \Xi \supset \{(i, -i) \mid i \in I_+\}.$$

We can choose $\lambda = (\lambda_{i, -j}) \in k^{\Xi}$, so as

$$(7.12) \quad \lambda_{i, -j} = \delta_{ij} \frac{1}{q_i - q_i^{-1}} \quad (i, j \in I_+).$$

Define

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_{(1)} = \{(\text{ad}_c x_{\pm i})^{1-a_{ij}}(x_{\pm j}) \mid i, j \in I_+, i \neq j\},$$

which consists of primitives in TV of Serre type, by the assumption (7.1). Therefore, R^λ denotes the union of the set \mathcal{R} with the elements

$$(\text{ad}_c x_i)(x_{-j}) - \delta_{ij} \frac{g_i g_j - 1}{q_i - q_i^{-1}} \quad (i, j \in I_+).$$

We thus construct

$$H^\lambda = TV \rtimes_{\Delta} \Gamma / (R^\lambda).$$

Lemma 7.7. $g_i^{\pm 1} \mapsto K_i^{\pm 1}$, $x_i \mapsto X_i^+$, $x_{-i} \mapsto X_i^- K_i$ ($i \in I_+$) gives an isomorphism $H^\lambda \xrightarrow{\simeq} U_q$ of Hopf algebras.

Proof. This is also well known; a detailed proof can be found in [13, Bemerkung 4.2]. We only remark that the image of $(\text{ad}_c x_{-i})^{1-a_{ij}}(x_{-j})$ coincides with the left-hand side of (7.6) with minus-sign, modulo multiplication by a unit. \square

The isomorphism above transfers the natural filtration on H^λ onto U_q , so that U_q turns into a filtered Hopf algebra with respect to the filtration $(U_q)_0 \subset (U_q)_1 \subset \cdots$ in which $(U_q)_r$ is spanned by those monomials in $K_i^{\pm 1}, X_i^\pm$ ($i \in I_+$) containing X_i^\pm not more than r . Let $\text{gr } U_q$ denote the associated graded Hopf algebra. On the other hand, let $(U_q)^0$ denote the (graded) Hopf algebra defined just as U_q except that the relation (7.5) is replaced by

$$X_i^+ X_j^- - X_j^- X_i^+ = 0.$$

Theorem 7.8. (1) *We have a canonical isomorphism $(U_q)^0 \simeq \text{gr } U_q$ of graded Hopf algebras.*

(2) U_q and $(U_q)^0$ are cocycle deformations of each other.

Proof. As in Section 2, we define $H^0 = TV \triangleright \triangleleft \Gamma / (\mathcal{R}^0)$, where \mathcal{R}^0 is the union of the set \mathcal{R} with the elements $(\text{ad}_c x_i)(x_{-j})$ ($i, j \in I_+$). Just as Lemma 7.7, we have an isomorphism $H^0 \simeq (U_q)^0$ of graded Hopf algebras. The theorem now follows by Theorem 4.3 and Proposition 4.4.3. \square

Remark 7.9. Suppose that the GCM \mathbb{A} is of finite type. In this case the result of Part 2 above was proved by Kassel and Schneider [18] in a different method. They also proved the same result for the Frobenius-Lusztig kernels u_q , which is a finite-dimensional quotient Hopf algebra of U_q defined when q is a root of 1; this result also follows from our Theorem 4.3, in the same way as above. Ditt [8] obtained results on cocycle deformations of finite-dimensional Nichols algebras and their liftings. Among others, he obtained the result [8, Theorem 1] for those algebras defined with zero root vector parameters [2, 3], which generalizes the above-cited result for u_q . Even this result by Ditt follows from our Theorem 4.3; see Remark A.3 in Appendix.

Let $Z \neq 0$ be a commutative algebra. Define

$$\nabla = \{(i, j) \mid i, j \in I_+, i < j\}.$$

Then, $\#\nabla = \binom{n}{2}$. Let $u = (u_{ij})_{i < j} \in (Z^\times)^\nabla$. We set

$$u_{ii} = 1, \quad u_{ji} = u_{ij}^{-1} \quad (i < j).$$

Define

$$(7.13) \quad \Xi(u) = \{(i, j) \in I_+^2 \mid q^{d_r(a_{ri} - a_{rj})} = u_{ri} u_{rj} \text{ for all } r \in I_+\}.$$

The group $(Z^\times)^{I_+}$ acts on $Z^{\Xi(u)}$ from the right by

$$(\mu^\omega)_{ij} = \mu_{ij} \omega_i \omega_j \quad ((i, j) \in \Xi(u)),$$

where $\omega = (\omega_i) \in (Z^\times)^{I_+}$, $\mu = (\mu_{ij}) \in Z^{\Xi(u)}$. Let $Z^{\Xi(u)} / (Z^\times)^{I_+}$ denote the set of all orbits.

Example 7.10. Assume (C_q) . If $u = 1$, or namely if $u_{ij} = 1$ for all $i < j$, then

$$\Xi(1) = \{(i, i) \mid i \in I_+\},$$

since the condition given in (7.13) for $r = i, j$ implies $q^{2(d_i + d_j - d_i a_{ij})} = 1$. It follows that

$$Z^{\Xi(1)} / (Z^\times)^{I_+} \simeq (Z / (Z^\times)^2)^n.$$

Definition 7.11. Given $\mu = (\mu_{ij}) \in Z^{\Xi(u)}$, let $A_q(u, \mu)$ denote the Z -algebra which is generated by the elements $\tilde{K}_i^{\pm 1}, \tilde{X}_i^{\pm}$ ($i \in I_+$), and is defined by the same relations as (7.3), (7.4) (with $K_i^{\pm 1}, X_i^{\pm}$ replaced by $\tilde{K}_i^{\pm 1}, \tilde{X}_i^{\pm}$), and by the additional relations

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{K}_j \tilde{K}_i &= u_{ij} \tilde{K}_i \tilde{K}_j \quad (i < j), \\ \tilde{X}_i^+ \tilde{X}_j^- - \tilde{X}_j^- \tilde{X}_i^+ &= \mu_{ij} \tilde{K}_i - \delta_{ij} \frac{\tilde{K}_i^{-1}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}, \\ \sum_{r=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ r \end{bmatrix}_i (\tilde{X}_i^+)^{1-a_{ij}-r} \tilde{X}_j^+ (\tilde{X}_i^+)^r &= 0 \quad (i \neq j), \\ \sum_{r=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-u_{ij})^r \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ r \end{bmatrix}_i (\tilde{X}_i^-)^{1-a_{ij}-r} \tilde{X}_j^- (\tilde{X}_i^-)^r &= 0 \quad (i \neq j). \end{aligned} \quad (7.14)$$

Proposition 7.12. $\rho(\tilde{K}_i^{\pm 1}) = K_i^{\pm 1} \otimes \tilde{K}_i^{\pm 1}$, $\rho(\tilde{X}_i^+) = X_i^+ \otimes 1 + K_i \otimes \tilde{X}_i^+$, $\rho(\tilde{X}_i^-) = X_i^- \otimes \tilde{K}_i^{-1} + 1 \otimes \tilde{X}_i^-$ define a Z -algebra map

$$\rho : A_q(u, \mu) \rightarrow U_q \otimes A_q(u, \mu),$$

by which $A_q(u, \mu)$ is a central U_q -cleft extensions over Z .

Proof. Choose $\sigma \in Z^2(\Gamma, Z^\times)$ whose cohomology class corresponds to u via (7.11); thus, $\sigma(g_i, g_j)/\sigma(g_j, g_i) = u_{ij}$. Then, $\Xi(u) \simeq \Xi(\sigma)$ via $(i, j) \mapsto (i, -j)$, by which we can regard $\mu \in \Xi(\sigma)$. Then one can construct the $H^\lambda \otimes Z$ -cleft object $A^\lambda(\sigma, \mu)$ as in Definition 4.7, where λ is kept as in (7.12). Notice that (7.14) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{r=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ r \end{bmatrix}_i (\tilde{X}_i^- \tilde{K}_i)^{1-a_{ij}-r} (\tilde{X}_j^- \tilde{K}_j) (\tilde{X}_i^- \tilde{K}_i)^r = 0.$$

Then one can see that the Z -algebra map $T(V \otimes Z) \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma \rightarrow A_q(u, \mu)$ given by $\bar{g}_i^{\pm 1} \mapsto \tilde{K}_i^{\pm 1}$, $x_i \mapsto \tilde{X}_i^+$, $x_{-i} \mapsto \tilde{X}_i^- \tilde{K}_i$ ($i \in I_+$) induces a Z -algebra isomorphism $A^\lambda(\sigma, \mu) \simeq A_q(u, \mu)$, by which and by $H^\lambda \simeq U_q$, the H^λ -comodule algebra structure on $A^\lambda(\sigma, \mu)$ is transferred to the U_q -comodule algebra structure on $A_q(u, u)$ prescribed above. This proves the proposition. \square

Theorem 7.13. Assume (C_q) . Then the maps $Z^{\Xi(u)} \rightarrow \text{ZCleft}(U_q; Z)$ ($u \in (Z^\times)^\nabla$) defined by $\mu \mapsto A_q(u, \mu)$ give rise to a bijection

$$\bigsqcup_{u \in (Z^\times)^\nabla} Z^{\Xi(u)} / (Z^\times)^{I_+} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{ZCleft}(U_q; Z).$$

Proof. The assumptions (C1)–(C4) are satisfied, as is seen in a similar way of the proof of Theorem 7.4. We remark in particular that (C4) is still equivalent to (C_q) in the present situation.

Given $(\sigma, \mu) \in \mathcal{Z}(\Gamma, \Xi; Z)$, suppose that the cohomology class of σ corresponds to $u \in (Z^\times)^\nabla$. Assign then $\mu \in Z^{\Xi(u)}$ to (σ, μ) , identifying $\Xi(u) = \Xi(\sigma)$. One sees that this assignment gives rise to a map

$$\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \Xi; Z) \rightarrow \bigsqcup_u Z^{\Xi(u)} / (Z^\times)^\nabla.$$

By Theorem 6.3 and the proof of Proposition 7.2, it suffices to prove that this map is bijective. The surjectivity is obvious. For the injectivity, suppose that (σ, μ) ,

(σ', μ') have the same image. Then, σ and σ' are cohomologous, and we have $\eta(g_i) \in Z^\times$ ($i \in I_+$) such that

$$\mu'_{ij} = \mu_{ij} \eta(g_i) \eta(g_j) \quad ((i, j) \in \Xi(u)).$$

We should prove that $g_i \mapsto \eta(g_i)$ can extend to a 1-cochain $\eta : \Gamma \rightarrow Z^\times$ such that $\sigma' = \sigma(\partial\eta)$. This is possible by the freeness of Γ . In fact, suppose that in a (necessarily split) extension $Z^\times \twoheadrightarrow G \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma$ of abelian groups, a section $g \mapsto \tilde{g}$, $\Gamma \rightarrow G$ affords a 2-coboundary $\tau : \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow Z^\times$. The group homomorphism $f : Z^\times \times \Gamma \rightarrow G$ uniquely determined by

$$f|_{Z^\times} = \text{id}, \quad f(g_i) = \eta(g_i) \tilde{g}_i \quad (i \in I_+)$$

gives an equivalence $Z^\times \times \Gamma \xrightarrow{\cong} G$ of extensions. This implies $\tau = \partial\eta$. We can apply this result for τ to $\sigma'\sigma^{-1}$, since

$$\frac{\sigma'\sigma^{-1}(g_i, g_j)}{\sigma'\sigma^{-1}(g_j, g_i)} = \frac{u_{ij}}{u_{ij}} = 1.$$

□

Remark 7.14. Günther [13, Satz 4.9, Lemma 4.11] determined the set $Z\text{Cleft}(U_q; Z)$, assuming that the GCM \mathbb{A} is of finite type. Our parametrization by (u, μ) is essentially the same as Günther's. But, he supposes, with our notation, that μ can take non-zero values outside $\Xi(u)$, unless Z is an integral domain; this is wrong.

Theorem 7.15. *Assume (C_q) , and that the characteristic $\text{ch } k \neq 2$. Then for any trivial U_q -module M ,*

$$H^2(U_q, M) = 0.$$

Proof. Since the assumptions (C1)–(C4) are satisfied (see the proof of Theorem 7.13), it suffices by Theorem 6.4 to prove that $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^\lambda(\Gamma, \Xi; M)$ contains only one element.

We see from Example 7.10 that under (C_q) ,

$$\Xi = \Xi_\lambda = \{(i, -i) \mid i \in I_+\}.$$

Let $(s, m) \in \mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^\lambda(\Gamma, \Xi; M)$. Then, $s \in Z^2(\Gamma, M)$, $m = (m_{i, -i})_{i \in I_+}$, such that

$$(7.15) \quad 2s(g, g_i) = 2s(g_i, g) \quad (i \in I_+, g \in \Gamma).$$

Take another (s', m') . We have to find a 1-cochain $t : \Gamma \rightarrow M$ such that

$$(7.16) \quad s' = s + \partial t,$$

$$(7.17) \quad m'_{i, -i} = \lambda_{i, -i} 2t(g_i) + m_{i, -i} \quad (i \in I_+).$$

We can choose elements $t(g_i) \in M$ ($i \in I_+$) satisfying (7.17), since we assume $\text{ch } k \neq 2$. The assumption and (7.15) imply that $s(g_j, g_i) = s(g_i, g_j)$ for all $i < j$. Just as in the last part of the proof of Theorem 7.13, $g_i \mapsto t(g_i)$ can extend to a 1-cochain t satisfying (7.16). □

Remark 7.16. (1) Suppose $\text{ch } k \neq 2$. For any trivial U_q -module M ,

$$H^1(U_q, M) = 0$$

since we see that an augmented algebra map $U_q \rightarrow k_M$ is necessarily trivial; see [30, Sect. 9.2].

(2) Suppose that the GCM \mathbb{A} is of finite type. We choose $\mathbb{D} = \text{diag}(\cdots d_i \cdots)$ in the standard way, and so $d_i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, in particular. Suppose $\text{ch } k = 0$, and that

q is transcendental over \mathbb{Q} . Then every finite-dimensional U_q -module is semisimple [15, 5.17 Theorem]. Let M be a finite-dimensional simple U_q -module. Then we see just as in the classical, Lie-algebra situation [30, Theorem 7.8.9], that if $M \neq k$, then

$$(7.18) \quad H^i(U_q, M) = \text{Ext}_{U_q}^i(k, M) = 0 \quad (i \geq 0),$$

since the center $Z(U_q)$ of U_q acts on M through a non-trivial algebra map $Z(U_q) \rightarrow k$, while it acts on k through the trivial $Z(U_q) \rightarrow k$; see [15, 6.26 Claim]. By Theorem 7.15 and Part 1 above, the equation (7.18) holds true when $i = 1, 2$, even if $M = k$. This result is a quantum analogue of Whitehead's lemmas [17, Corollary XVIII.3.2], [30, Corollaries 7.8.10, 7.8.12].

(3) It is possible to apply some classification result of non-central cleft extensions to compute the 2nd cohomology with non-trivial coefficients. For example, let T be Taft's Hopf algebra of dimension N^2 , supposing that k contains a primitive N -th root ζ of 1. If we let $\Gamma = \langle g \rangle$ denote the cyclic group of order N with a generator g , and let $\chi \in \hat{\Gamma}$ such that $\chi(g) = \zeta$, then $T = k[x]/(x^N) \rtimes \Gamma$ in which x spans an object $\{x, g, \chi\}$ in ${}_{\Gamma}^{\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$. There are precisely N simple T -modules $k(i)$ ($0 \leq i < N$), which is 1-dimensional and arises from the character χ^i . From the results in [20] on T -cleft extensions, we see

$$H^2(T, k(i)) = \begin{cases} k & (i = 0) \\ 0 & (0 < i < N). \end{cases}$$

Ştefan [28, Theorem 2.8] proved this result, supposing that N is a prime.

APPENDIX. ON THE HOPF ALGEBRAS $u(\mathcal{D}, \lambda, \mu)$ DEFINED BY
ANDRUSKIEWITSCH AND SCHNEIDER

Suppose that k is a field. Very recently, Grunefelder and Mastnak [12, Theorem 3.5] proved that the finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebra $u(\mathcal{D}, \lambda, \mu)$, which was defined by Andruskiewitsch and Schneider as a general form in their classification list [3], is a cocycle deformation of the associated graded Hopf algebra $u(\mathcal{D}, 0, 0)$. Their proof depends on [22, Theorem 2], which, however, was wrong, missing an assumption; the corrected formulation was published in [4] as Theorem 3.4. Grunefelder and Mastnak missed the assumption. In this appendix we will confirm their result, by proving that the missing assumption is satisfied in their proof; we will use our idea used in the proof of Lemma 2.8.

Let $\mathbb{A} = (a_{ij})_{i,j}$ be a $\theta \times \theta$ GCM of finite type, and let Φ^+ denote the set of the positive roots in the associated root system. Set $I = \{1, 2, \dots, \theta\}$, and let I_1, \dots, I_t ($\subset I$) denote the connected components in the Dynkin diagram of \mathbb{A} . Regard $I \subset \Phi^+$ as the subset of the simple roots. Let Γ be a finite abelian group, and let us have

$$V = \{x_i, g_i, \chi_i\}_{i \in I} \in {}_{\Gamma}^{\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}.$$

Suppose that the matrix $q = (q_{ij})$ given by $q_{ij} = \chi_j(g_i)$ is of Cartan type (see (6.1)) with respect to \mathbb{A} . Suppose $q_{ii} \neq 1$ ($i \in I$), and that each q_{ii} is a root of 1 of odd order, satisfying the additional assumption [3, (2.5)]; it ensures that the order of q_{ii} is constant on each component I_r . Let $\alpha \in \Phi^+$, and let $I_{r(\alpha)}$ denote the connected component in which α has its support. Let N_α denote the constant value, mentioned above, on $I_{r(\alpha)}$. Andruskiewitsch and Schneider [3, Sect. 2] defined the

root vector $x_\alpha \in TV$ as an iterated braided commutator of those x_i with $i \in I_{r(\alpha)}$. Let $\chi_\alpha \in \hat{\Gamma}$ defined by $gx_\alpha = \chi_\alpha(g)x_\alpha$ ($g \in \Gamma$).

To reconstruct the relevant algebras by our method, we first verify that $I = I_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup I_t$ is a partition of I into mutually disconnected (in our sense), non-empty subsets. We may suppose that $i > j$ whenever $r(i) > r(j)$ (or $|i| > |j|$ with our notation). Then the set Θ turns to be

$$\Theta = \{(i, j) \in I \times I \mid i > j, i \not\sim j\},$$

where $i \sim j$ (resp., $i \not\sim j$) means that i and j are connected (resp., disconnected). To construct the graded Hopf algebra H^0 from the V above, choose $L \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $L > \text{ht}(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi^+$, and define

$$\emptyset = \mathcal{R}_{(0)} \subset \mathcal{R}_{(1)} \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{R}_{(L)} = \mathcal{R}$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{(1)} &= \{(\text{ad}_c x_i)^{1-a_{ij}}(x_j) \mid i \sim j, i \neq j\}, \\ \mathcal{R}_{(h)} &= \mathcal{R}_{(1)} \cup \{x_\alpha^{N_\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Phi^+, \text{ht}(\alpha) < h, \chi_\alpha^{N_\alpha} \neq 1\} \quad (1 < h \leq L). \end{aligned}$$

By [1, Lemma A.1], $\mathcal{R}_{(1)}$ consists of primitives in TV . Let $\alpha \in \Phi^+$. Let β_1, \dots, β_p be the elements in Φ^+ with support in $I_{r(\alpha)}$, given in a special order; see [3, Sect. 2]. By [3, (2.12)], the coproduct $\Delta(x_\alpha^{N_\alpha})$ of $TV/(\mathcal{R}_{(1)})$ is given by

$$(A.1) \quad \Delta(x_\alpha^{N_\alpha}) = x_\alpha^{N_\alpha} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_\alpha^{N_\alpha} + \sum_{b,c} t_{b,c} z^b \otimes z^c,$$

in which the summation is taken with respect to all non-zero vectors

$$b = (b_1, \dots, b_p), \quad c = (c_1, \dots, c_p) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{N}^p$$

such that $\sum_{l=1}^p (b_l + c_l)\beta_l = \alpha$. In addition, $t_{b,c} \in k$, and for $a = (a_1, \dots, a_p) \in \mathbb{N}^p$,

$$(A.2) \quad z^a := x_{\beta_1}^{Na_1} \cdots x_{\beta_p}^{Na_p}, \quad \text{where } N := N_\alpha.$$

In (A.1), the heights $\text{ht}(\beta_l)$ of those β_l for which $x_{\beta_l}^N$ appears in z^b or z^c are all less than $\text{ht}(\alpha)$. One sees also that if $\chi_\alpha^{N_\alpha} \neq 1$, or namely if $x_\alpha^{N_\alpha}$ is not Γ -invariant, some $x_{\beta_l}^N$ that appears in z^b or z^c is not Γ -invariant. Therefore every element in $\mathcal{R}_{(h)}$ ($h > 1$) is a primitive modulo $(\mathcal{R}_{(h-1)})$. We can thus define a graded Hopf algebra,

$$H^0 = TV/(\mathcal{R}^0) \rtimes \Gamma.$$

See Definition 2.2. If $\chi_\alpha^{N_\alpha} = 1$, $x_\alpha^{N_\alpha}$ is central in H^0 . Choose arbitrarily $\lambda_{ij} \in k$ for each $(i, j) \in \Theta$ with $\chi_i \chi_j = 1$, and set $-\lambda = (-\lambda_{ij}) \in k^\Xi$. By Proposition 2.5, we obtain an H^0 -cleft object,

$$A(-\lambda) = TV \rtimes \Gamma / (\mathcal{R}(-\lambda)).$$

Let $\rho : A(-\lambda) \rightarrow H^0 \otimes A(-\lambda)$ denote the structure. Let $g_\alpha = |x_\alpha|$ ($\in \Gamma$), the degree of x_α in Γ . Suppose $\chi_\alpha^{N_\alpha} = 1$. Since we have the natural section $H^0 \xrightarrow{\simeq} A(-\lambda)$ as given in Remark 2.6, it follows from (A.1) that

$$(A.3) \quad \rho(x_\alpha^N) = x_\alpha^N \otimes 1 + g_\alpha^N \otimes x_\alpha^N + \sum_{b,c} t_{b,c} z^b h^c \otimes z^c,$$

where $N := N_\alpha$, $h^c := g_{\beta_1}^{Nc_1} \cdots g_{\beta_p}^{Nc_p}$, and b, c are restricted to those for which $b_l = c_l = 0$ if $\chi_{\beta_l}^N \neq 1$.

Lemma A.1. *Let $\alpha \in \Phi^+$, such that $\chi_\alpha^{N_\alpha} = 1$. Then, $x_\alpha^{N_\alpha}$ is central in $A(-\lambda)$.*

Proof. Write $N = N_\alpha$. Obviously, $gx_\alpha^N = x_\alpha^N g$ for all $g \in \Gamma$. Choose any $i \in I$. We will prove by induction on $\text{ht}(\alpha)$ that $x_i x_\alpha^N = x_\alpha^N x_i$. Since x_α^N is central in H^0 , $\rho(x_i)$ ($= x_i \otimes 1 + g_i \otimes x_i$) commutes with the first term $x_\alpha^N \otimes 1$ on the right-hand side of (A.3). It commutes with the last summation, by the induction hypothesis; notice also that $x_i h^c = h^c x_i$ in H^0 , by (6.1). If we set $w = x_i x_\alpha^N - x_\alpha^N x_i$ ($\in A(-\lambda)$), it follows that $\rho(w) = g_i g \otimes w$, whence $w = dg_i g$ for some $d \in k$. But, since $N > 1$, d must be zero, as is seen from (2.17). Hence, $x_i x_\alpha^N = x_\alpha^N x_i$, which completes the induction. \square

Let B denote the subalgebra of H^0 generated by all $x_\alpha^{N_\alpha}$ ($\alpha \in \Phi^+$) such that $\chi_\alpha^{N_\alpha} = 1$, which is a central, left coideal subalgebra of H^0 . One sees that the quotient Hopf algebra $H^0/(B^+)$ coincides with the graded Hopf algebra $u(\mathcal{D}, 0, 0)$; see above. In [3], \mathcal{D} denotes the datum consisting of Γ , $\{g_i, \chi_i\}_{i \in I}$ and \mathbb{A} , $R(\mathcal{D})$ denotes the quotient braided Hopf algebra $TV/(\mathcal{R}_{(1)})$ of TV , and $K(\mathcal{D})$ denotes the braided Hopf subalgebra of $R(\mathcal{D})$ generated by all

$$(\text{ad}_c x_i)(x_j) \ ((i, j) \in \Theta), \quad x_\alpha^{N_\alpha} \ (\alpha \in \Phi^+).$$

Hence we have a Hopf-algebra quotient, $R(\mathcal{D}) \rtimes \Gamma \rightarrow H^0$, which maps $K(\mathcal{D})$ onto B , and an algebra quotient, $R(\mathcal{D}) \rtimes \Gamma \rightarrow A(-\lambda)$, which is compatible with the left coactions by $R(\mathcal{D}) \rtimes \Gamma$ and by H^0 . Notice that $R(\mathcal{D}) \rtimes \Gamma / (K(\mathcal{D})^+) = u(\mathcal{D}, 0, 0)$. Let λ_{ij} ($(i, j) \in \Theta$) be as above if $\chi_i \chi_j = 1$, and set $\lambda_{ij} = 0$ if $\chi_i \chi_j \neq 1$. Choose arbitrary scalars μ_α ($\alpha \in \Phi^+$), but set $\mu_\alpha = 0$ if $\chi_\alpha^{N_\alpha} \neq 1$. Grunenfelder and Mastnak [12] well define an algebra map $f : K(\mathcal{D}) \rtimes \Gamma \rightarrow k$ such that

$$f(g) = 1 \ (g \in \Gamma), \quad f((\text{ad}_c x_i)(x_j)) = \lambda_{ij} \ ((i, j) \in \Theta), \quad f(x_\alpha^{N_\alpha}) = \mu_{ij} \ (\alpha \in \Phi^+).$$

Moreover, they prove that $R(\mathcal{D}) \rtimes \Gamma / (f \cdot K(\mathcal{D})^+ \cdot f^{-1}) = u(\mathcal{D}, \lambda, \mu)$, and conclude by [22, Theorem 2] that $u(\mathcal{D}, 0, 0)$ and $u(\mathcal{D}, \lambda, \mu)$ are cocycle deformations of each other, since

$$(A.4) \quad A := R(\mathcal{D}) \rtimes \Gamma / (f \cdot K(\mathcal{D})^+)$$

is a bicleft object over the two Hopf algebras. Here, $f \cdot$ and $\cdot f^{-1}$ denote the algebra automorphisms of $K(\mathcal{D}) \rtimes \Gamma$ given by

$$f \cdot a = \sum a_1 f(a_2), \quad a \cdot f^{-1} = \sum f^{-1}(a_1) a_2 \quad (a \in K(\mathcal{D}) \rtimes \Gamma).$$

But, they must have proved that $A \neq 0$, which is the missing assumption of [22, Theorem 2]; see [4, Theorem 3.4]. We will prove that A is a cleft object for $u(\mathcal{D}, 0, 0) = H^0/(B^+)$, whence $A \neq 0$. First, by using [3, Theorem 2.6], we see that the composite

$$K(\mathcal{D}) \hookrightarrow K(\mathcal{D}) \rtimes \Gamma \xrightarrow{f \cdot} K(\mathcal{D}) \rtimes \Gamma \hookrightarrow R(\mathcal{D}) \rtimes \Gamma$$

induces $B \rightarrow A(-\lambda)$, which we denote by φ . Explicitly,

$$\varphi(x_\alpha^{N_\alpha}) = x_\alpha^{N_\alpha} + f(x_\alpha^{N_\alpha}) g_\alpha^{N_\alpha} + \sum_{b,c} t_{b,c} f(z^c) z^b h^c.$$

This φ is obviously a left H^0 -comodule algebra map. Next, we see from Lemma A.1 the following.

Lemma A.2. *$\varphi(B)$ is central in $A(-\lambda)$.*

In summary, $\varphi : B \rightarrow A(-\lambda)$ is an H^0 -comodule algebra map from a central left coideal subalgebra B of a pointed Hopf algebra H^0 , which is faithfully flat over B , into the center of an H^0 -cleft object $A(-\lambda)$. It follows by (the opposite side version of) Günther's theorem [14, Theorem 4] that $A(-\lambda)/(\varphi(B^+))$ is an $H^0/(B^+)$ -cleft object. But, since we see $A(-\lambda)/(\varphi(B^+)) = A$, this proves that A is a $u(\mathcal{D}, 0, 0)$ -cleft object, as desired.

Remark A.3. Let $1 < h \leq L$. We can define $\mathcal{R}_{(h)}$ alternatively so as

$$\mathcal{R}_{(h)} = \mathcal{R}_{(1)} \cup \{x_\alpha^{N_\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Phi^+, \text{ht}(\alpha) < h\}.$$

Then, $H^0 = u(\mathcal{D}, 0, 0)$. In this case, our Theorem 4.3 is precisely Didt's [8, Theorem 1].

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Andruskiewitsch and H.-J. Schneider, *Finite quantum groups and Cartan matrices*, Adv. Math. 154 (2000), 1–45.
- [2] N. Andruskiewitsch and H.-J. Schneider, *Pointed Hopf algebras*, in: Recent developments in Hopf algebra theory, MSRI Publ. 43 (2002), Cambridge Univ. Press, pp. 1–68.
- [3] N. Andruskiewitsch and H.-J. Schneider, *On the classification of finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebras*, Ann. Math., to appear.
- [4] M. Beattie, S. Dăscălescu and Ş. Raianu, *Lifting of Nichols algebras of type B_2* , Israel J. Math. 132 (2002), 1–28.
- [5] G.M. Bergman, *The diamond lemma for ring theory*, Adv. Math. 29 (1978), 178–218.
- [6] J. Bichon, *Galois and biGalois objects over monomial non-semisimple Hopf algebras*, J. Algebra Appl. 5 (2006), 653–680.
- [7] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *“Homological Algebra”*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1956.
- [8] D. Didt, *Pointed Hopf algebras and quasi-isomorphisms*, Algebr. Represent. Theory 8 (2005), 347–362.
- [9] Y. Doi, *Equivalent crossed products for a Hopf algebra*, Comm. Algebra 17 (1989), 3053–3085.
- [10] Y. Doi, *Braided bialgebras and quadratic bialgebras*, Comm. Algebra 21 (1993), 1731–1749.
- [11] Y. Doi and M. Takeuchi, *Cleft comodule algebras for a bialgebra*, Comm. Algebra 14 (1986), 801–817.
- [12] L. Grunewald and M. Mastnak, *Pointed and copointed Hopf algebras as cocycle deformations*, math.RA0709.0120v1.
- [13] R. Günther, *Verschränkte Produkte für punktierte Hopfalgebren*, Ph.D. thesis, Universität München, 1999.
- [14] R. Günther, *Crossed products for pointed Hopf algebras*, Comm. Algebra 27 (1999), 4389–4410.
- [15] J.C. Jantzen, *“Lectures on Quantum Groups”*, Grad. Studies in Math. Vol. 6, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995.
- [16] M. Jimbo, *A q -difference analogue of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and the Yang-Baxter equation*, Lett. Math. Phys. 10 (1985), 63–69.
- [17] C. Kassel, *“Quantum Groups”*, Grad. Texts in Math. 155, Springer, 1995.
- [18] C. Kassel and H.-J. Schneider, *Homotopy theory of Hopf Galois extensions*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble 55 (2005), 2521–2550.
- [19] S. MacLane, *“Homology”*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 114, Springer, 1967.
- [20] A. Masuoka, *Cleft extensions for a Hopf algebra generated by a nearly primitive element*, Comm. Algebra 22 (1994), 4537–4559.
- [21] A. Masuoka, *Hopf cohomology vanishing via approximation by Hochschild cohomology*, Banach Center Publ. 61 (2003), 111–123.
- [22] A. Masuoka, *Defending the negated Kaplansky conjecture*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 129 (2001), 3185–3192.
- [23] A. Masuoka and T. Oka, *Unipotent algebraic affine supergroups and nilpotent Lie superalgebras*, Algebr. Represent. Theory 8 (2005), 397–413.
- [24] S. Montgomery, *“Hopf algebras and Their Actions of Rings”*, CBMS Conf. Series in Math. 82, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.

- [25] D. Radford, *The structure of Hopf algebras with a projection*, J. Algebra 92 (1985), 322–347.
- [26] P. Schauenburg, *Hopf Bigalois extensions*, Comm. Algebra 24 (1996), 3797–3825.
- [27] P. Schauenburg, *Galois objects over generalized Drinfeld doubles, with application to $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$* , J. Algebra 217 (1999), 584–598.
- [28] D. Ştefan, *Hochschild cohomology and the coradical filtration of pointed coalgebras: applications*, J. Algebra 210 (1998), 535–556.
- [29] M. Takeuchi, *$\sqrt{\text{Morita}}$ theory*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 39 (1987), 301–336.
- [30] C. Weibel, *“An Introduction to Homological Algebra”*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Math. 38, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1994.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TSUKUBA, TSUKUBA, IBARAKI 305-8571 JAPAN
E-mail address: akira@math.tsukuba.ac.jp