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#### Abstract

For a class of pointed Hopf algebras including the quantized enveloping algebras, we discuss cleft extensions, cocycle deformations and the second cohomology. We present such a non-standard method of computing the abelian second cohomology that derives information from the non-abelian second cohomology classifying cleft extensions. As a sample computation, a quantum analogue of Whitehead's second lemma for Lie-algebra cohomology is proved.


## Introduction

Let us work over a field $k$, for a moment. Let $H$ be a Hopf algebra (over $k$ ). By the abelian 2nd cohomology $H^{2}(H, M)$ of $H$, we mean the 2nd Ext group $\operatorname{Ext}_{H}^{2}(k, M)$, where $M$ is a left, say, $H$-module; it is canonically isomorphic to the 2nd Hochschild cohomology $H H^{2}(H, M)$, in which $M$ is regarded as trivial as a right $H$-module.

On the other hand, by a non-abelian 2-cocycle, we mean a (right) 2-cocycle $\sigma$ : $H \otimes H \rightarrow k$, which is by definition a convolution-invertible $k$-linear map satisfying the non-abelian, multiplicative 2 -cocycle conditions given by (1.1), (1.2) in the text. Given such $\sigma$, the product on $H$ is deformed in the following two ways

$$
\begin{aligned}
a \cdot b & :=\sum \sigma\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) a_{2} b_{2} \\
a \cdot b & :=\sum \sigma\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) a_{2} b_{2} \sigma^{-1}\left(a_{3}, b_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a, b \in H$. Let ${ }_{\sigma} H, H^{\sigma}$ denote the algebras defined by these deformed products, respectively. Then, ${ }_{\sigma} H$ together with the original regular coaction ${ }_{\sigma} H \rightarrow$ ${ }_{\sigma} H \otimes H$ form a right $H$-cleft Galois extension over $k$ [8, which we will call a right $H$-cleft object. As was shown by Doi [8], the natural equivalence classes of all 2-cocycles are in 1-1 correspondence with the isomorphism classes, $\operatorname{Cleft}(H)$, of all right $H$-cleft objects; in the text, the last symbol will denote the isomorphism classes of all left $H$-cleft objects, but the left and the right $H$-cleft objects are in $1-1$ correspondence. On the other hand, $H^{\sigma}$ together with the original coalgebra structure form a Hopf algebra, which is called a cocycle deformation of $H$ [9] ${ }_{\sigma} H$ is a left $H^{\sigma}$-cleft object with respect to the original coproduct ${ }_{\sigma} H \rightarrow H^{\sigma} \otimes{ }_{\sigma} H$, so that it is an $\left(H^{\sigma}, H\right)$-bicleft object. By Schauenburg's biGalois theory [23, $H^{\sigma}$ is characterized as the Hopf algebra $L$ with which ${ }_{\sigma} H$ is an $(L, H)$-biGalois object. In [11, [12, [24, [5], the authors classified right $H$-cleft objects for various $H$, and determined their associated Hopf algebras $L$; see also [18].

[^0]Let $U_{q}$ denote the quantized enveloping algebra, due to Drinfeld and Jimbo, associated to a symmetrizable GCM (generalized Cartan matrix) $\mathbb{A}$, in which $q$ may be a root of 1 ; see Section 7. It has canonical generators $K_{i}^{ \pm 1}, X_{i}^{ \pm}(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$. Let $\left(U_{q}\right)^{0}$ denote the graded Hopf algebra defined in the same way as $U_{q}$, except that $X_{i}^{+}$and $X_{i}^{-}$commute each other in $\left(U_{q}\right)^{0}$. As part of the main results of this paper,
(1) (Theorem 7.13) we classify the central $U_{q}$-cleft extensions over a given commutative algebra $Z \neq 0$, assuming that the order of $q$ is large enough, and
(2) (Theorem 7.8.2) we prove that $U_{q}$ and $\left(U_{q}\right)^{0}$ are cocycle deformations of each other, giving an explicit isomorphism $U_{q} \simeq\left(U_{q}\right)^{0}$ of coalgebras.
By a central $H$-cleft extension over $Z$, we mean an $H$-cleft Galois extension over $Z$ in which $Z$ is central. It is identified with an $H \otimes Z$-cleft object over $Z$; this fact would suggest us to work over a commutative ring rather than a field. Notice from (2) that the central $U_{q}$-cleft extensions and the central $\left(U_{q}\right)^{0}$-cleft extensions both over a fixed $Z$ are in a categorical 1-1 correspondence. Assuming that the GCM $\mathbb{A}$ is of finite type, Günther [11] classified just as in (1) above, the central $U_{q}$-cleft extensions, but his result, Satz 4.9, contains some minor error; see Remark 7.14. His method of computing is shown in [12] in the $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-case. Under the same assumption as in [11], Kassel and Schneider [16] proved the result in (1). Our method is less technical, describing explicitly a $\left(\left(U_{q}\right)^{0}, U_{q}\right)$-bicleft object $A$, say. We remark that $U_{q}$ and $A$ are naturally filtered so that in particular in $U_{q}$, the zero part $\left(U_{q}\right)_{0}$ is generated by $K_{i}^{ \pm 1}$. We will prove that the associated graded algebra gr $A$ is a $\left(\left(U_{q}\right)^{0}, \operatorname{gr} U_{q}\right)$-bicleft object, and indeed gr $A=\left(U_{q}\right)^{0}$. As an advantage of our method, the next result follows.
(3) (Theorem 7.8.1) $\left(U_{q}\right)^{0}$ and $\operatorname{gr} U_{q}$ are canonically isomorphic.

Let $H$ be a Hopf algebra again in general. Let $M$ be a vector space, and regard it as a trivial $(H, H)$-bimodule. Let

$$
k_{M}=k \oplus M=T M /\left(T^{2} M\right)
$$

denote the tensor algebra $T M$ divided by all homogeneous components of degree $\geq 2$. This has the projection $\pi: k_{M} \rightarrow k$ as an augmentation. A central $H$-cleft extension $A$ over $k_{M}$ is said to be augmented, if it has an augmentation $A \rightarrow k$ extending $\pi$. Let $\mathrm{ZCleft}_{\varepsilon}\left(H ; k_{M}\right)$ denote the set of the isomorphism (preserving the augmentation) classes of those augmented extensions. Given a Hochschild 2-cocycle $s: H \otimes H \rightarrow M$, then

$$
\sigma: H \otimes H \rightarrow k_{M}, \quad \sigma(a, b)=\varepsilon(a) \varepsilon(b)+s(a, b)
$$

is a 2-cocycle with values in $k_{M}$, and $\sigma\left(H \otimes k_{M}\right)$ is a central $H$-cleft extension over $k_{M}$ which is augmented with respect to $\varepsilon \otimes \pi:{ }_{\sigma}\left(H \otimes k_{M}\right) \rightarrow k$. We will prove (see Proposition 1.9) that $s \mapsto_{\sigma}\left(H \otimes k_{M}\right)$ gives rise to a bijection

$$
H^{2}(H, M) \stackrel{\simeq}{\rightarrow} \operatorname{ZCleft}_{\varepsilon}\left(H ; k_{M}\right) .
$$

(We remark again that we will work on the opposite side in the text.) The dual result with non-trivial coefficients was used in [19], [20] to prove the equivariant smoothness of hereditary Hopf algebras, in which case information on $\mathrm{ZCleft}_{\varepsilon}\left(H ; k_{M}\right)$ was derived from $H^{2}(H, M)$. In this paper we apply the bijection above in the opposite direction. When $H=U_{q}$, we will derive information from the result in (1) above, to prove
(4) (Theorem 7.15) $H^{2}\left(U_{q}, M\right)=0$, if the order of $q$ is large enough, and if the characteristic ch $k \neq 2$.
This is an essential part of a quantum analogue of Whitehead's 2nd lemma for Lie-algebra cohomology; see Remark 7.16.2.

Let $k \neq 0$ be a commutative ring. Throughout we work over $k$, which will be supposed to a field only in the last Section 7. Then central cleft extensions can be captured as cleft objects, as was seen above. On the contrary we have the disadvantage that Schauenburg's biGalois theory [23], in which the relevant Hopf algebras are mostly supposed to be flat over $k$, cannot apply, since those Hopf algebras which we will treat with do not necessarily satisfy the assumption. But, this does not matter, since, as we will see in Section 1, the necessary results from 23 hold true without the flatness assumption, in our restricted situation that the involved Galois objects are all cleft.

We will actually derive the results cited above in (1)-(4), from the corresponding results for more general Hopf algebras, $H^{\lambda}, H^{0}$, which generalize $U_{q},\left(U_{q}\right)^{0}$, respectively. Adopting the standpoint of Andruskiewitsch and Schneider [1, 2, 3, we construct $H^{\lambda}, H^{0}$ from a braided $k$-module. Let $V=\bigoplus_{i \in I} k x_{i}$ be a free $k$-module with free basis $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ indexed by a finite set $I \neq \emptyset$. Let

$$
c: V \otimes V \xrightarrow{\simeq} V \otimes V, \quad c\left(x_{i} \otimes x_{j}\right)=q_{i j} x_{j} \otimes x_{i}
$$

denote the diagonal-type braiding on $V$ which is given by a matrix $\left(q_{i j}\right)_{i, j \in I}$ with entries $q_{i j}$ in the group $k^{\times}$of units in $k$. Suppose that $(V, c)$ is realized in a natural way as an object in the braided monoidal category ${ }_{\Gamma}^{\Gamma} \mathcal{Y} \mathcal{D}$ of Yetter-Drinfeld modules over the group Hopf algebra $k \Gamma$, where $\Gamma$ is some abelian group. Then the tensor algebra $T V$ is naturally a graded, braided Hopf algebra in $\Gamma_{\Gamma}^{\Gamma} \mathcal{D}$, which gives rise to an ordinary graded Hopf algebra $T V>\varangle \Gamma$, by the Radford-Majid bosonization. Given a system $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{i j}\right)_{i, j}$ of parameters $\lambda_{i j}$ in $k$, where $(i, j)$ are in a certain subset of $I \times I$, we define $H^{\lambda}$ as a quotient (ungraded) Hopf algebra of $T V>\triangleleft \Gamma$; see Definition 4.1. In the special case when all $\lambda_{i j}$ are zero, $H^{\lambda}$ is denoted by $H^{0}$ (see Definition 2.2); it is of the form $S>\triangleleft \Gamma$, where $S$ is a quotient graded braided Hopf algebra of $T V$. In Section 2, a left $H^{0}$-comodule algebra $A(\lambda)$ is constructed, and is proved to be an $H^{0}$-cleft object. Moreover, it is proved to be an $\left(H^{0}, H^{\lambda}\right)$ bicleft object, in Section 4. As a consequence we have that $H^{0}$ and $H^{\lambda}$ are cocycle deformations of each other, and also that $H^{0}$ and gr $H^{\lambda}$ are canonically isomorphic; see Theorem 4.3, Proposition 4.4. The $H^{0}$-cleft object $A(\lambda)$ does not concern any group 2-cocycle, or more precisely, the 2-cocycle $H^{0} \otimes H^{0} \rightarrow k$ associated to $A(\lambda)$ restricts to the trivial group 2-cocycle $\Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow k^{\times}$. Deformations of $H^{0}$ and $A(\lambda)$ by group 2-cocycles, or more precisely by those 2-cocycles $H^{0} \otimes H^{0} \rightarrow k$ which arise from group 2-cocycles $\Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow k^{\times}$along the projection $H^{0} \rightarrow k \Gamma$, are discussed in Section 3. In Section 5, augmented central $H^{\lambda}$-cleft extensions over $k_{M}$ are discussed. We remark that their isomorphism classes (or the cohomology $H^{2}\left(H^{\lambda}, M\right)$ ) depend on $\lambda$, while we have $\operatorname{Cleft}\left(H^{0}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Cleft}\left(H^{\lambda}\right)$, since $H^{0}$ and $H^{\lambda}$ are cocycle deformations of each other. In Section 6, we put the additional assumptions (C1)-(C4), which includes that the matrix $\left(q_{i j}\right)$ is of Cartan type [1] in the sense that

$$
q_{i j} q_{j i}=q_{i i}^{a_{i j}} \text { for all } i, j \in I
$$

for some GCM $\left(a_{i j}\right)_{i, j \in I}$. Under the assumptions, the set $\operatorname{Cleft}\left(H^{\lambda}\right)$ and the cohomology $H^{2}\left(H^{\lambda}, M\right)$ are determined by Theorems 6.3, 6.4. In Section 7, the results
obtained so far are applied to prove results for the quantized enveloping algebra $U_{q}$ and the Borel subalgebra $B_{q}$.
Notation. The unadorned $\otimes$ denotes the tensor product over $k$. For a commutative algebra $Z$, we let $Z^{\times}$denote the group of all units in $Z$. For sets $J, X$, we let $X^{J}$ denote the set of all maps $J \rightarrow X$. An element in $X^{J}$ is expressed so as $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{j}\right)_{j \in J}$, regarded as a system of parameters $\alpha_{j}$ in $X$.

## 1. Preliminaries

1.1. Cocycle deformations. Let $H$ be a Hopf algebra, whose coalgebra structure will be denoted, as usual, by

$$
\Delta: H \rightarrow H \otimes H, \quad \Delta(a)=\sum a_{1} \otimes a_{2} ; \quad \varepsilon: H \rightarrow k
$$

By an $H$-comodule we mean a left $H$-comodule $V$, whose structure will be denoted by $v \mapsto \sum v_{-1} \otimes v_{0}, V \rightarrow H \otimes V$. The $H$-comodules naturally form a $k$-linear monoidal category, which we denote by ${ }^{H} \mathcal{M}$. This is not necessarily abelian since we do not assume that $H$ is flat over $k$.

A (right) 2-cocycle for $H$ is a convolution-invertible $k$-linear map $H \otimes H \rightarrow k$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum \sigma\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) \sigma\left(a_{2} b_{2}, c\right)=\sum \sigma\left(b_{1}, c_{1}\right) \sigma\left(a, b_{2} c_{2}\right)  \tag{1.1}\\
\sigma(a, 1)=\varepsilon(a)=\sigma(1, a) \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $a, b, c \in H$. Given such $\sigma$, let $H^{\sigma}$ denote the coalgebra $H$ endowed with the deformed product defined by

$$
a \cdot b:=\sum \sigma\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) a_{2} b_{2} \sigma^{-1}\left(a_{3}, b_{3}\right)
$$

where $a, b \in H$. This $H^{\sigma}$ forms a Hopf algebra with respect to the original unit 1 and the deformed antipode $S^{\sigma}$ as given in 9, Theorem 1.6 (b)]. Given $V \in{ }^{H} \mathcal{M}$, we can regard it as an $H^{\sigma}$-comodule in the obvious way since $H^{\sigma}=H$ as a coalgebra. Denote this $H^{\sigma}$-comodule by ${ }_{\sigma} V$. Then we have a $k$-linear monoidal isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{H} \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^{\sigma} \mathcal{M}, \quad V \mapsto{ }_{\sigma} V \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with respect to the monoidal structure

$$
\begin{gather*}
{ }_{\sigma} V \otimes_{\sigma} W \xrightarrow{\simeq}{ }_{\sigma}(V \otimes W), \quad v \otimes w \mapsto \sum \sigma\left(v_{-1}, w_{-1}\right) v_{0} \otimes w_{0},  \tag{1.4}\\
k \xrightarrow{\text { id }} k={ }_{\sigma} k \quad \text { (identity). }
\end{gather*}
$$

We remark that the inverse $\sigma^{-1}$ is a 2-cocycle for $H^{\sigma}$, and $\left(H^{\sigma}\right)^{\sigma^{-1}}=H$. An inverse of (1.3) is given by $V \mapsto_{\sigma^{-1}} V$.

Definition 1.1. 9 We say that two Hopf algebras $L, H$ are cocycle deformations of each other if $L \simeq H^{\sigma}$ for some 2-cocycle $\sigma$ for $H$. The condition is symmetric, as is seen from the remark above.
1.2. Cleft objects. A (left) $H$-comodule algebra $A$ is said to be cleft [10, if there exists a convolution-invertible $H$-colinear map $\phi: H \rightarrow A$. This $\phi$ can be chosen so that $\phi(1)=1$. In this case, $\phi$ is called a section [8].

Definition 1.2. By an $H$-cleft object we mean a cleft $H$-comodule algebra $A$ such that $k \simeq{ }^{\operatorname{co} H} A$, where
the subalgebra of $H$-coinvariants.
A section $\phi: H \rightarrow A$ of an $H$-cleft object $A$ is necessarily bijective.
Lemma 1.3. An $H$-comodule algebra map $A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ between $H$-cleft objects is necessarily an isomorphism.

Proof. This is a special case of [18, Lemma 1.3].
Let

$$
\text { Cleft }(H)
$$

denote the set of the isomorphism classes of all $H$-cleft objects.
Let $\sigma: H \otimes H \rightarrow k$ be a 2 -cocycle. If $A$ is an $H$-comodule algebra (or an algebraobject in ${ }^{H} \mathcal{M}$ ), then ${ }_{\sigma} A$ is an $H^{\sigma}$-comodule algebra with respect to the deformed product

$$
a \cdot b:=\sum \sigma\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) a_{2} b_{2} \quad(a, b \in A)
$$

Lemma 1.4. The monoidal isomorphism (1.3) gives a bijection

$$
\operatorname{Cleft}(H) \simeq \operatorname{Cleft}\left(H^{\sigma}\right)
$$

Proof. A section $\phi: H \rightarrow A$ gives a section $H^{\sigma} \rightarrow{ }_{\sigma} A$.
As a special right $H$-comodule algebra, a right $H$-cleft object is defined in the obvious way. Let $L$ be another Hopf algebra. An $(L, H)$-bicleft object is an $(L, H)$ bicomodule algebra which is a cleft object on both sides. Let $A$ be such an object. Then the cotensor product

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \square_{H}:{ }^{H} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow{ }^{L} \mathcal{M} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

gives a $k$-linear monoidal functor whose monoidal structure is given by those natural isomorphisms

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(A \square_{H} V\right) \otimes\left(A \square_{H} W\right) \xrightarrow{\simeq} A \square_{H}(V \otimes W),  \tag{1.6}\\
k \stackrel{\simeq}{\leftrightharpoons} A \square_{H} k \tag{1.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

that arise from the algebra structure on $A$. Working over a commutative ring, we should be careful about the cotensor product. But, since $A \simeq H$ as right $H$ comodules, and since we have a natural isomorphism $V \simeq H \square_{H} V$, it follows that the maps in (1.6), (1.7) are indeed isomorphisms in ${ }^{L} \mathcal{M}$.

Let $\sigma: H \otimes H \rightarrow k$ be a 2-cocycle. Then, ${ }_{\sigma} H$ is a right $H$-cleft object whose structure is given by the coproduct $\Delta:{ }_{\sigma} H \rightarrow{ }_{\sigma} H \otimes H$; see [8, Proposition 1.1] or [21, 7.2.2]. Moreover, ${ }_{\sigma} H$ is an $\left(H^{\sigma}, H\right)$-bicleft object, by Lemma 1.4. The monoidal functor ${ }_{\sigma} H \square_{H}:{ }^{H} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow H^{\sigma} \mathcal{M}$ as given by (1.5) is naturally isomorphic to the isomorphism (1.3).

Conversely, let $A$ be a right $H$-cleft object. By [10, Theorem 11] (or see [21, 7.2.2]), a section $\phi: H \rightarrow A$ turns into an isomorphism of right $H$-cleft objects, if we replace $H$ with ${ }_{\sigma} H$, where $\sigma: H \otimes H \rightarrow k$ is the 2-cocycle defined by

$$
\sigma(a, b)=\sum \phi\left(a_{1}\right) \phi\left(b_{1}\right) \phi^{-1}\left(a_{2} b_{2}\right) \quad(a, b \in H)
$$

Lemma 1.5 (cf. [23, Theorem 3.9]). Suppose in addition that $A$ is an $(L, H)$-bicleft object with $L$-coaction $\rho: A \rightarrow L \otimes A$. Suppose that there is a coalgebra isomorphism $f: H \stackrel{\cong}{\rightrightarrows}$ which makes

commute, then $f$ turns into an isomorphism $H^{\sigma} \xrightarrow{\simeq} L$ of Hopf algebras, so that $L$ and $H$ are cocycle deformations of each other, and the monoidal functor (1.5) is an equivalence.
Proof. As is easily seen, in order for $\Delta:{ }_{\sigma} H \rightarrow H \otimes_{\sigma} H$ to be an algebra map, the algebra structure on the coalgebra $H$ must coincide with that structure on $H^{\sigma}$. This proves the lemma.

A natural equivalence relation is defined among 2-cocycles (see [8]), so that $\sigma \mapsto{ }_{\sigma} H$ gives rise to a bijection from the set of the equivalence classes of 2cocycles for $H$ onto the set $\mathrm{Cleft}^{r}(H)$ of the isomorphism classes of right $H$-cleft objects.

A left 2 -cocycle $\tau: H \otimes H \rightarrow k$ is a convolution-invertible $k$-linear map which satisfies the mirror-image formulae of (1.1), (1.2). Given such $\tau$, we have the left $H$-cleft object $H_{\tau}$ which is constructed just as ${ }_{\sigma} H$, but the side is converted. If $\sigma$ is a right 2-cocycle, $\sigma^{-1}$ is a left 2-cocycle, and vice versa. One sees that ${ }_{\sigma} H \mapsto H_{\sigma^{-1}}$ gives a bijection

$$
\operatorname{Cleft}^{r}(H) \simeq \operatorname{Cleft}(H)
$$

1.3. Augmented cleft extensions and the 2nd cohomology. Let $Z \neq 0$ be a commutative algebra. A central $H$-cleft extension over $Z$ is a cleft $H$-comodule algebra $A$ which includes $Z$ as a central subalgebra so that $Z={ }^{\text {co } H} A$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ZCleft }(H ; Z) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

denote the set of the isomorphism classes of all central $H$-cleft extensions over $Z$. It is naturally identified with the set $\operatorname{Cleft}(H \otimes Z)$ of the isomorphism classes of $H \otimes Z$-cleft objects over $Z$.

Suppose that $Z$ is augmented with respect to an algebra map $\varepsilon_{Z}: Z \rightarrow k$.
Definition 1.6. An augmented central $H$-cleft extension over $Z$ is a pair $\left(A, \varepsilon_{A}\right)$ of a central $H$-cleft extension $A$ over $Z$, together with an algebra map $\varepsilon_{A}: A \rightarrow k$ extending $\varepsilon_{Z}$. An isomorphism of those extensions is an augmented, $H$-comodule algebra isomorphism fixing each elements in $Z$. We let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ZCleft}_{\varepsilon}(H ; Z) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

denote the set of the isomorphism classes of augmented central $H$-cleft extensions over $Z$.

Let $A=\left(A, \varepsilon_{A}\right)$ be such an extension defined as above.

Lemma 1.7. A section $\phi: H \rightarrow A$ can be chosen so that it is augmented, or namely $\varepsilon=\varepsilon_{A} \circ \phi$.

Proof. Replace $\phi$ with $a \mapsto \sum \phi\left(a_{1}\right) \varepsilon_{A}\left(\phi^{-1}\left(a_{2}\right)\right), H \rightarrow A$. Notice that this is augmented, being still a section.

A section $\phi: H \rightarrow A$ gives rise to a left 2-cocycle with values in $Z$,

$$
\tau: H \otimes H \rightarrow Z, \quad \tau(a, b)=\sum \phi^{-1}\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) \phi\left(a_{2}\right) \phi\left(b_{2}\right)
$$

Suppose that $\phi$ is augmented. Then, $\tau$ is augmented in the sense $\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon=\varepsilon_{Z} \circ \tau$, whence $\tau-\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon$ has values in $\operatorname{Ker} \varepsilon_{Z}$. We then have the central $H$-cleft extension $(H \otimes Z)_{\tau}$ over $Z$, which is augmented with respect to $\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon_{Z}:(H \otimes Z)_{\tau} \rightarrow k$. One sees that

$$
(H \otimes Z)_{\sigma} \rightarrow A, \quad a \otimes z \mapsto \phi(a) z
$$

is an isomorphism of augmented central $H$-cleft extensions over $Z$.
Definition 1.8. [6, Chap. X] Given a right $H$-module $M$, we define the $n$-th cohomology $k$-module $H^{n}(H, M)$ of $H$ with coefficients in $M$ by

$$
H^{n}(H, M)=\operatorname{Ext}_{(H, k)}^{n}(k, M) \quad(n \geq 0)
$$

where the right-hand side denotes the relative $n$-th Ext group [17, Chap. IX], in which $k$ is regarded as a trivial right $H$-module through $\varepsilon$.

Recall that $\operatorname{Ext}_{(H, k)}^{n}(k, M)$ is naturally isomorphic to the Hochschild cohomology $H H^{n}\left(H,{ }_{\varepsilon} M\right)$, where ${ }_{\varepsilon} M$ denote the $(H, H)$-bimodule $M$ with the original right $H$-action and the trivial left $H$-action through $\varepsilon$. For the standard complexes for computing the groups are canonically isomorphic. It follows that if $M$ is just a $k$-module, then it is possibly regarded as a trivial $H$-module both on left- and right-hand sides, but the $k$-module $\operatorname{Ext}_{(H, k)}^{n}(k, M)$ does not depend on the side. Indeed, the (bi)modules over a Hopf algebra which we are going to treat with are all trivial, except in Remarks, 1.10.2, 7.16.3.

Fix a $k$-module $M$, which we regard as a trivial $(H, H)$-bimodule. Define an algebra $k_{M}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{M}=k \oplus M=T M /\left(T^{2} M\right) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

the tensor algebra $T M$ divided by all homogeneous components of degree $\geq 2$. Thus, $m m^{\prime}=0$ in $k_{M}$, if $m, m^{\prime} \in M$. This is augmented with respect to the projection $k_{M} \rightarrow k$.

Proposition 1.9. (1) An augmented left 2-cocycle $\tau: H \otimes H \rightarrow k_{M}$ arises uniquely from a (normalized) Hochschild 2-cocycle $t: H \otimes H \rightarrow M$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(a, b)=\varepsilon(a) \varepsilon(b)+t(a, b) \quad(a, b \in H) \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) Given a Hochschild 2-cocycle $t: H \otimes H \rightarrow M$, define a left 2-cocycle $\tau$ : $H \otimes H \rightarrow k_{M}$ by (1.11), which is augmented. Then, $t \mapsto\left(H \otimes k_{M}\right)_{\tau}$ gives rise to a bijection

$$
H^{2}(H, M) \stackrel{\simeq}{\rightarrow} \operatorname{ZCleft}_{\varepsilon}\left(H ; k_{M}\right) .
$$

Proof. This last map is surjective, as is seen from the argument preceding Definition 1.8. For the remaining, see the argument given in the proof of [19, Theorem 4.1].

Remark 1.10. (1) As is seen from the proposition above, augmented left 2-cocycles (with values in $k_{M}$ ) are precisely augmented right 2-cocycles. There is a bijection between the set $\mathrm{ZCleft}_{\varepsilon}\left(H ; k_{M}\right)$ and its right-hand side version, say $\mathrm{ZCleft}_{\varepsilon}^{r}\left(H ; k_{M}\right)$.
(2) Augmented (not necessarily central) $H$-cleft extensions over $k_{M}$ are defined in the obvious way. Let $\operatorname{Cleft}_{\varepsilon}\left(H ; k_{M}\right)$ denote the set of their (augmented) isomorphism classes. The last cited argument in [19] actually proves a bijection

$$
\bigsqcup_{\alpha} H^{2}(H,(M, \alpha)) \simeq \operatorname{Cleft}_{\varepsilon}\left(H ; k_{M}\right)
$$

where $\alpha$ runs through all right $H$-module structures $\alpha: M \otimes H \rightarrow M$ on $M$. See also [20, Remark 1.6].
1.4. Yetter-Drinfeld modules over a group. Let $\Gamma$ be a group. We do not assume here that $\Gamma$ is abelian, though we will do in later sections.

Let ${ }_{\Gamma}^{\Gamma} \mathcal{Y} \mathcal{D}$ denote the $k$-linear braided monoidal category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules over the group Hopf algebra $k \Gamma$, which is abelian; see [15, Chap. IX, Sect. 5], [21, Sect. 10.6]. Thus an object in $\Gamma_{\Gamma}^{\Gamma} \mathcal{Y} \mathcal{D}$ is a $\Gamma$-graded $k$-module $V=\bigoplus_{g \in \Gamma} V_{g}$ given a left $k \Gamma$-module structure such that

$$
g V_{h} \subset V_{g h g^{-1}} \quad(g, h \in \Gamma)
$$

If $\Gamma$ is abelian, this condition means that each component $V_{g}$ is $\Gamma$-stable. If an element $0 \neq v \in V$ is homogeneous, and $v \in V_{g}$, then we write $|v|=g$. Besides the obvious tensor product $V \otimes W$ and unit $k,{ }_{\Gamma}^{\Gamma} \mathcal{Y} \mathcal{D}$ has the braiding

$$
c: V \otimes W \xrightarrow{\simeq} W \otimes V, \quad c(v \otimes w)=|v| w \otimes v
$$

By cocycles, coboundaries or cochains for $\Gamma$, we mean those in the normalized standard complex for computing the group cohomology $H^{\cdot}(\Gamma$,$) . The \Gamma$-module of coefficients will be always trivial. Fix a 2-cocycle $\sigma: \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow k^{\times}$. It gives rise to a right (and at the same time, left) 2-cocycle $k \Gamma \otimes k \Gamma \rightarrow k$ for the group Hopf algebra $k \Gamma$. As a special case of (1.3), we have a $k$-linear monoidal isomorphism $V \mapsto{ }_{\sigma} V,{ }^{\kappa} \Gamma \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\simeq} k \Gamma \mathcal{M}$. The structure (1.4) now turns into

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\sigma} V \otimes_{\sigma} W \xrightarrow{\simeq}_{\sigma}(V \otimes W), \quad v \otimes w \mapsto \sigma(|v|,|w|) v \otimes w . \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $V \in{ }_{\Gamma}^{\Gamma} \mathcal{Y} \mathcal{D}$, endow the $\Gamma$-graded $k$-module ${ }_{\sigma} V$ with the deformed $\Gamma$-action

$$
\begin{equation*}
g \cdot v:=\frac{\sigma(g, h)}{\sigma\left(g h g^{-1}, g\right)} g v \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g, h \in \Gamma, v \in V_{h}$; cf. [2, Lemma 2.12]. One sees ${ }_{\sigma} V \in{ }_{\Gamma}^{\Gamma} \mathcal{Y} \mathcal{D}$.
Proposition 1.11 (Takeuchi). Moreover, $V \mapsto{ }_{\sigma} V$ gives a $k$-linear monoidal isomorphism ${ }_{\Gamma}^{\Gamma} \mathcal{Y D} \xrightarrow{\simeq}{ }_{\Gamma}^{\Gamma} \mathcal{D}$ which preserves the braiding.

Let $R$ be a braided Hopf algebra in ${ }_{\Gamma}^{\Gamma} \mathcal{Y} \mathcal{D}$. Then, ${ }_{\sigma} R$ is necessarily a braided Hopf algebra in $\Gamma_{\Gamma}^{\Gamma} \mathcal{D}$.

Proposition 1.12 (Takeuchi). Explicitly, ${ }_{\sigma} R$ has the following deformed product and coproduct

$$
\begin{aligned}
a \cdot b & :=\sigma(g, h) a b \quad\left(a \in R_{g}, b \in R_{h}\right), \\
\Delta(a) & :=\sum \sigma^{-1}\left(\left|a_{1}\right|,\left|a_{2}\right|\right) a_{1} \otimes a_{2} \quad(a \in R)
\end{aligned}
$$

while its unit, counit and antipode are the same as the original ones.

Keep $R$ as above. By the Radford-Majid bosonization [22] (or see [21, Sect. 10.6]), we have the ordinary Hopf algebra $R>\varangle \Gamma$. As a $k$-module, $R>\varangle \Gamma=R \otimes k \Gamma$. To denote an element, we will write $a g$ for $a \otimes g$, where $a \in R, g \in \Gamma . R>\triangleleft \Gamma$ is the smash (or semi-direct) product $R \rtimes \Gamma$ as an algebra, and is the smash coproduct $R>\triangleleft k \Gamma$ as a coalgebra. Explicitly, its product and coproduct are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
(a g)(b h) & =(a(g b))(g h), \\
\Delta(a g) & =\sum a_{1}\left(g\left|a_{2}\right|\right) \otimes a_{2} g
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a \in R, g, h \in \Gamma$. From ${ }_{\sigma} R$, we obtain the Hopf algebra ${ }_{\sigma} R>\varangle \Gamma$. Through the projection $R>\varangle \Gamma \rightarrow k \Gamma, \sigma$ gives rise to a right 2-cocycle for $R>\varangle \Gamma$, which constructs the deformed $(R>\varangle \Gamma)^{\sigma}$.

Proposition 1.13 (Takeuchi). $a g \mapsto \sigma(|a|, g)$ ag gives a Hopf algebra isomorphism

$$
{ }_{\sigma} R>\triangleleft \Gamma \xrightarrow{\simeq}(R>\triangleleft \Gamma)^{\sigma} .
$$

The author learned the last three propositions, which are all directly verified, from M. Takeuchi's lectures in 2002 for the graduate course at Tsukuba.
1.5. Graded coalgebras and Hopf algebras. By graded coalgebras or Hopf algebras, we mean $\mathbb{N}$-graded those objects, where $\mathbb{N}=\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$. Let $C=\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} C(n)$ be a graded coalgebra. Then, $C(0)$ is a coalgebra. Being a direct summand of $C$, it can be called a subcoalgebra of $C$.

Lemma 1.14. Let $A$ be an (ungraded) algebra. $A$ k-linear map $f: C \rightarrow A$ is convolution-invertible if and only if the restriction $\left.f\right|_{C(0)}: C(0) \rightarrow A$ onto $C(0)$ is.

Proof. To see the essential 'if' part, notice that if $\left.f\right|_{C(0)}$ is convolution-invertible, then so is $\left.f\right|_{C(n)}$, for every $n$, since the kernel of the restriction map $\operatorname{Hom}(C(n), A) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Hom}(C(0), A)$ is a nilpotent ideal.

Corollary 1.15. Let $H$ be a bialgebra. Suppose that $H$ is graded as a coalgebra so that the zero component $H(0)$ is a group Hopf algebra. Then, $H$ has a bijective antipode.

Proof. By Lemma 1.14, the identity maps $H \rightarrow H, H^{\text {cop }} \rightarrow H$ are both convolutioninvertible, since their restrictions onto the zero components are. By [10, Proposition $7], H$ has an antipode and a pode, which are necessarily mutual composite-inverses.

Those Hopf algebras which we are going to treat with all satisfy the assumption of Corollary 1.15, whence their antipodes are bijective, by the corollary.

## 2. The Hopf algebra $H^{0}$ and its cleft objects $A(\lambda)$

Let us list up the symbols which will be defined in this section, and will be used throughout in what follows.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Gamma, \hat{\Gamma}, I\left(=I_{1} \sqcup I_{2} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup I_{N}\right) \\
V=\left\{x_{i}, g_{i}, \chi_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}, q=\left(q_{i j}\right)_{i, j \in I} \\
\Theta, \Xi, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}^{0}, \mathcal{R}(\lambda), H^{0}, A(\lambda)
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $\Gamma$ be an abelian group, and let $\hat{\Gamma}$ denote the character group, i.e., the group of all homomorphisms $\Gamma \rightarrow k^{\times}$. Let $I$ be a non-empty finite set.

Let $q=\left(q_{i j}\right)_{i, j \in I}$ be a square matrix with entries $q_{i j}$ in $k^{\times}$. Let $g_{i} \in \Gamma, \chi_{i} \in \hat{\Gamma}$, such that

$$
\chi_{j}\left(g_{i}\right)=q_{i j} \quad(i, j \in I) .
$$

Let $V=\bigoplus_{i \in I} k x_{i}$ be a free $k$-module with basis $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$. This is made into an object in ${ }_{\Gamma}^{\Gamma} \mathcal{Y D}$ with respect to the structure

$$
\left|x_{i}\right|=g_{i}, \quad g x_{i}=\chi_{i}(g) x_{i} \quad(g \in \Gamma) .
$$

To indicate the structure we will write

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\left\{x_{i}, g_{i}, \chi_{i}\right\}_{i \in I} \in \Gamma \mathcal{Y} \mathcal{D} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The associated braiding is given by

$$
c: V \otimes V \xrightarrow{\simeq} V \otimes V, \quad c\left(x_{i} \otimes x_{j}\right)=q_{i j} x_{j} \otimes x_{i} .
$$

As a braided $k$-module, $V$ is thus of diagonal type [1], afforded by the matrix $q=\left(q_{i j}\right)$.

The tensor algebra $T V$ on $V$ is naturally a graded, braided Hopf algebra in $\Gamma_{\Gamma} \mathcal{Y} \mathcal{D}$, whose bosonization will be denoted by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=T V>\varangle \Gamma . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a graded Hopf algebra with zero component $k \Gamma$. Let $B_{l}$ denote the braid group of degree $l$. The braiding $c$ on $V$ gives rise to a $k B_{l}$-module structure on the $l$-th power tensors $T^{l} V$. Especially, the braided commutator [1] is given by

$$
\left(\operatorname{ad}_{c} x_{i}\right)\left(x_{j}\right)=(1-c)\left(x_{i} \otimes x_{j}\right)=x_{i} \otimes x_{j}-q_{i j} x_{j} \otimes x_{i} .
$$

Lemma 2.1. If $q_{i j} q_{j i}=1$, then $\left(\operatorname{ad}_{c} x_{i}\right)\left(x_{j}\right)$ is a primitive in $T V$, and is a $\left(1, g_{i} g_{j}\right)$ primitive in $F$.

This is well known. In general an element $y$ in a coalgebra is called a $(g, h)$ primitive, where $g, h$ are grouplikes, if $\Delta(y)=y \otimes g+h \otimes y$; it is called a skewprimitive without specifying $g, h$.

Associated to $q=\left(q_{i j}\right)$ is the graph having $I$ as the set of vertices, in which distinct vertices $i, j$ are connected by an edge if and only if $q_{i j} q_{j i} \neq 1$. Fix a decomposition

$$
I=I_{1} \sqcup I_{2} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup I_{N}
$$

of $I$ into non-empty subsets $I_{r}(r=1,2, \ldots, N)$ which are mutually disconnected (in the graph) and disjoint. We write $|i|=r$, if $i \in I_{r}$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{i j} q_{j i}=1 \text { whenever }|i| \neq|j| . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta=\{(i, j) \in I \times I| | i|>|j|\} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix a set $\mathcal{R}$ consisting of primitives in TV of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi\left(x_{i_{1}} \otimes x_{i_{2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{i_{l}}\right) \quad\left(l \geq 2, \quad \xi \in k B_{l}\right), \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|i_{1}\right|=\left|i_{2}\right|=\cdots=\left|i_{l}\right|$. Notice that the element above is of degree $g_{i_{1}} g_{i_{2}} \cdots g_{i_{l}}$ in $\Gamma$. Let $\mathcal{R}^{0}$ denote the union of the set $\mathcal{R}$ with the primitives (see Lemma 2.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{ad}_{c} x_{i}\right)\left(x_{j}\right) \quad((i, j) \in \Theta) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since each element in $\mathcal{R}^{0}$ is a primitive in $T V$, and spans a subobject in $\Gamma_{\Gamma}^{\Gamma} \mathcal{Y} \mathcal{D}$, the ideal $\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right)$ in $T V$ generated by $\mathcal{R}^{0}$ is a homogeneous braided Hopf ideal.
Definition 2.2. Let

$$
S=T V /\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right)
$$

denote the quotient graded braided Hopf algebra, and let

$$
H^{0}=S>\varangle \Gamma
$$

denote the bosonization. Notice that $H^{0}=F /\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right)$, which denotes the quotient graded Hopf algebra of $F$ by the ideal $\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right)$ generated by $\mathcal{R}^{0}$ in $F$.

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi=\left\{(i, j) \in \Theta \mid \chi_{i} \chi_{j}=1\right\} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the 1 in the condition denotes the constant map $g \mapsto 1$.
Definition 2.3. Given $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{i j}\right) \in k^{\Xi}$, let $\mathcal{R}(\lambda)$ denote the union of the set $\mathcal{R}$ with the elements

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\operatorname{ad}_{c} x_{i}\right)\left(x_{j}\right)-\lambda_{i j} g_{i} g_{j} \quad((i, j) \in \Xi)  \tag{2.8}\\
\left(\operatorname{ad}_{c} x_{i}\right)\left(x_{j}\right) \quad((i, j) \in \Theta \backslash \Xi) \tag{2.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

in F. Define a quotient algebra of $F$ by

$$
A(\lambda)=F /(\mathcal{R}(\lambda))
$$

If $\lambda_{i j}=0$ for all $(i, j) \in \Xi$, then $\mathcal{R}(\lambda)=\mathcal{R}^{0}$, and $A(\lambda)=H^{0}$. We unify the expressions (2.8), (2.9) into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\operatorname{ad}_{c} x_{i}\right)\left(x_{j}\right)-\lambda_{i j} g_{i} g_{j} \quad((i, j) \in \Theta) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

by setting $\lambda_{i j}=0$ for $(i, j) \in \Theta \backslash \Xi$.
Lemma 2.4. The coproduct $\Delta: F \rightarrow F \otimes F$ composed with the quotient map $F \otimes F \rightarrow H^{0} \otimes A(\lambda)$ factors through $A(\lambda) \rightarrow H^{0} \otimes A(\lambda)$, by which $A(\lambda)$ is an $H^{0}$-comodule algebra.
Proof. This follows since the element $y$, say, given by (2.10) is sent by $\Delta$ to $\left(\operatorname{ad}_{c} x_{i}\right)\left(x_{j}\right) \otimes 1+g_{i} g_{j} \otimes y$.

We have a direct sum decomposition

$$
V=V_{1} \oplus V_{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{N} \quad \text { in }{ }_{\Gamma}^{\Gamma} \mathcal{Y} \mathcal{D},
$$

where $V_{r}=\bigoplus_{i \in I_{r}} k x_{i}$. The set $\mathcal{R}$ is divided so as

$$
\mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R}_{1} \sqcup \mathcal{R}_{2} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathcal{R}_{N}
$$

into those mutually disjoint subsets $\mathcal{R}_{r}$ which consists of all elements (2.5) such that $r=\left|i_{1}\right|\left(=\left|i_{2}\right|=\cdots=\left|i_{l}\right|\right)$. We have the graded algebras $T V_{r} /\left(R_{r}\right)$. Define a $k$-module by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{T V_{1}}{\left.\mathcal{R}_{1}\right)} \otimes \frac{T V_{2}}{\left(\mathcal{R}_{2}\right)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \frac{T V_{N}}{\left(\mathcal{R}_{N}\right)} \otimes k \Gamma \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The tensor product of the canonical algebra maps $T V_{r} /\left(\mathcal{R}_{r}\right) \rightarrow A(\lambda)(r=1,2, \ldots, N)$, composed with the product $A(\lambda)^{\otimes N} \rightarrow A(\lambda)$, induces a right $k \Gamma$-linear map,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(\lambda): E \rightarrow A(\lambda) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Especially we have

$$
e:=e(0): E \rightarrow H^{0}
$$

We remark that each $T V_{r} /\left(\mathcal{R}_{r}\right)$ is a graded, braided Hopf algebra in $\Gamma_{\Gamma}^{\Gamma} \mathcal{D}$. As the bosonization of their tensor product, $E$ has a natural graded Hopf algebra structure. We see easily that $e$ is a graded Hopf algebra map.

Proposition 2.5. (1) These maps $e(\lambda)$, e are bijective.
(2) The composite

$$
\phi(\lambda):=e(\lambda) \circ e^{-1}: H^{0} \rightarrow A(\lambda)
$$

is a section.
(3) $A(\lambda)$ is an $H^{0}$-cleft object.

Remark 2.6. Once the proposition above is proved, one sees by construction that $\phi(\lambda)$ is characterized as a $k$-linear map $H^{0} \rightarrow A(\lambda)$ which is induced from the identity map $F \rightarrow F$, or in other words which makes the following commute.


We first prove Proposition 2.5 in the special case when $\mathcal{R}=\emptyset$. Let $\tilde{H}^{0}, \tilde{A}(\lambda), \tilde{E}, \ldots$ denote those $H^{0}, A(\lambda), E, \ldots$ obtained by supposing $\mathcal{R}=\emptyset$. In particular, $\tilde{E}=$ $T\left(V_{1}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes T\left(V_{N}\right) \otimes k \Gamma$.

Lemma 2.7. (1) The maps $\tilde{e}(\lambda), \tilde{e}$ are bijective.
(2) The composite

$$
\tilde{\phi}(\lambda):=\tilde{e}(\lambda) \circ \tilde{e}^{-1}: \tilde{H}^{0} \rightarrow \tilde{A}(\lambda)
$$

is a section.
(3) $\tilde{A}(\lambda)$ is an $\tilde{H}^{0}$-cleft object.

For the proof of Part 1, we will apply Bergman's diamond lemma 4, Proposition 7.1] for $R$-rings. Recall that for an algebra $R$, an $R$-ring is an algebra given an algebra map from $R$.

Proof of Lemma 2.7. (1) It suffices to prove only for $\tilde{e}(\lambda)$. Notice that $\tilde{A}(\lambda)$ is a $k \Gamma$-ring, which is generated by the elements $x_{i}(i \in I)$, and is defined by the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{i} x_{j} & =q_{i j} x_{j} x_{i}+\lambda_{i j} g_{i} g_{j} \quad((i, j) \in \Theta)  \tag{2.13}\\
g x_{i} & =\chi_{i}(g) x_{i} g \quad(i \in I, g \in \Gamma) \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

where by convention, $\lambda_{i j}=0$ if $(i, j) \notin \Xi$. To apply [4, Proposition 7.1], we introduce a total ordering into $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ (or into $I$ ), such that $i>j$ if $|i|>|j|$, and consider the lexicographic ordering on the monomials in $x_{i}$. Then the relations (2.13), (2.14) are naturally regarded as a reduction system. Essential is to prove that the overlap ambiguities which arise when we reduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i} x_{j} x_{k} \quad(|i|>|j|>|k|) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

are resolvable. One sees by computation that the reduction results of (2.15) in two ways differ by

$$
\left(q_{i j} q_{i k} \lambda_{j k}-\lambda_{j k}\right) x_{i}+\left(q_{i j} \lambda_{i k}-q_{j k} \lambda_{i k}\right) x_{j}+\left(\lambda_{i j}-q_{i k} q_{j k} \lambda_{i j}\right) x_{k}
$$

But, this is zero, implying the desired resolvability. For if $i \in I,(i, k) \in \Theta$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{i j} q_{i k} \lambda_{j k} & =\chi_{i} \chi_{k}\left(g_{i}\right) \lambda_{j k}= \begin{cases}\lambda_{j k} & \text { if }(j, k) \in \Xi \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& =\lambda_{j k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By [4, Proposition 7.1], $\tilde{A}(\lambda)$ has a right $k \Gamma$-free basis $x_{i_{1}} x_{i_{2}} \cdots x_{i_{l}}$, where $l \geq 0$, $\left|i_{1}\right| \leq\left|i_{2}\right| \leq \cdots \leq\left|i_{l}\right|$. This proves Part 1.
(2) $\tilde{\phi}(\lambda)$ preserves unit, since $\tilde{e}(\lambda), \tilde{e}$ do. We have the obvious embedding $\tilde{E} \hookrightarrow F$ of (right $k \Gamma$-module) coalgebras, and its composite with $F \rightarrow \tilde{A}(\lambda)$ is precisely $\tilde{e}(\lambda)$. We see from Lemma 2.4 that $\tilde{\phi}(\lambda)$ is $\tilde{H}^{0}$-colinear. It is convolution-invertible by Lemma 1.14.
(3) Since $\tilde{\phi}(\lambda)$ is an $\tilde{H}^{0}$-colinear isomorphism, $k$ is precisely the $\tilde{H}^{0}$-coinvariants in $\tilde{A}(\lambda)$, whence Part 3 follows.

By Lemma 2.7, $\tilde{H}^{0}, \tilde{A}(\lambda)$ include $T V_{r}, k \Gamma$ as subalgebras. Let $y$ denote the element in $\mathcal{R}_{r}$ given by (2.5), where we have set $r=\left|i_{1}\right|\left(=\left|i_{2}\right|=\cdots=\left|i_{l}\right|\right)$. Thus, $y \in T^{l} V_{r}$.

Lemma 2.8. Suppose $|j| \neq r$. Then both in $\tilde{H}^{0}$, and in $\tilde{A}(\lambda)$,

$$
x_{j} y=q_{j i_{1}} q_{j i_{2}} \cdots q_{j i_{l}} y x_{j} .
$$

Proof. In $\tilde{H}^{0}$, this holds true since $y$ is a $k$-linear combination of the monomial $x_{i_{1}} x_{i_{2}} \cdots x_{i_{l}}$ and its permutations.

To prove in $\tilde{A}(\lambda)$, let $\tilde{\rho}: \tilde{A}(\lambda) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^{0} \otimes \tilde{A}(\lambda)$ denote the structure. Set

$$
z=x_{j} y-q_{j i_{1}} \cdots q_{j i_{l}} y x_{j}
$$

Since $y$ is a primitive in $T V$ by assumption, we have $\tilde{\rho}(y)=y \otimes 1+g \otimes y$, where $g=g_{i_{1}} \cdots g_{i_{l}}$. Since $x_{j} g=q_{j i_{1}} \cdots q_{j i_{l}} g x_{j}$, we see

$$
\tilde{\rho}(z)=z \otimes 1+g_{i} g_{j} \otimes z=g_{i} g_{j} \otimes z .
$$

By Lemma 2.7, $z=c g_{i} g_{j}$ for some $c \in k$. But, $c$ must be zero, since we see by induction on $m$ that in $\tilde{A}(\lambda)$,

$$
x_{j}\left(T^{m} V_{r}\right) \subset\left(T^{m} V_{r}\right) x_{j}+\left(T^{m-1} V_{r}\right) \Gamma \quad(m>0)
$$

provided $|j| \neq r$.
Proof of Proposition 2.5. Fix $r$, and let $\mathfrak{a}_{r}$ denote the ideal of $T V_{r}$ generated by $\mathcal{R}_{r}$. By Lemma 2.8, we see that both in $\tilde{H}^{0}$ and in $\tilde{A}(\lambda),\left(T V_{s}\right) \mathcal{R}_{r}=\mathcal{R}_{r}\left(T V_{s}\right)$ if $s \neq r$. Obviously, $(k \Gamma) \mathcal{R}_{r}=\mathcal{R}_{r}(k \Gamma)$. It follows that in the both algebras, the ideal ( $\mathcal{R}_{r}$ ) generated by $\mathcal{R}_{r}$ equals

$$
\left(T V_{1}\right) \cdots\left(T V_{r-1}\right) \mathfrak{a}_{r}\left(T V_{r+1}\right) \cdots\left(T V_{N}\right) \Gamma .
$$

This proves Part 1. We see that $\phi(\lambda)$ is $H^{0}$-colinear since it is induced from the $H^{0}$-colinear $\tilde{\phi}(\lambda)$. The remaining can be proved as Lemma 2.7.

Remark 2.9. Proposition 2.5 holds true even if we rearrange the $T V_{r}(r=$ $1, \ldots, N)$ in $E$ in an arbitrary order. To see this, it suffices in the proof of Lemma 2.7.1, to replace the relation (or reduction) (2.13) with the equivalent

$$
x_{j} x_{i}=q_{j i} x_{i} x_{j}-q_{j i} \lambda_{i j} g_{i} g_{j}
$$

if $|i|<|j|$ in the new ordering.

## 3. Deformations of $H^{0}, A(\lambda)$ By group 2-COCYCLES

Let $Z^{2}\left(\Gamma, k^{\times}\right)$denote the group of all normalized 2-cocycles $\Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow k^{\times}$. Let $\sigma \in Z^{2}\left(\Gamma, k^{\times}\right)$. We will write $\check{\sigma}$ for the inverse $\sigma^{-1}$. From $V=\left\{x_{i}, g_{i}, \chi_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ in (2.1), we obtain by Proposition 1.11

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\sigma} V=\left\{x_{i}, g_{i}, \chi_{i}^{\sigma}\right\}_{i \in I} \in{ }_{\Gamma}^{\Gamma} \mathcal{Y D} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{i}^{\sigma}(g)=\frac{\sigma\left(g, g_{i}\right)}{\sigma\left(g_{i}, g\right)} \chi_{i}(g) \quad(g \in \Gamma) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The associated braiding $c^{\sigma}:{ }_{\sigma} V \otimes_{\sigma} V \xrightarrow{\simeq}{ }_{\sigma} V \otimes_{\sigma} V$ is given by $c^{\sigma}\left(x_{i} \otimes x_{j}\right)=q_{i j}^{\sigma} x_{j} \otimes x_{i}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{i j}^{\sigma}=\frac{\sigma\left(g_{i}, g_{j}\right)}{\sigma\left(g_{j}, g_{i}\right)} q_{i j} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

See [2, Lemma 2.12]. Regarding $\sigma$ as a right 2-cocycle for $H^{0}$ through the projection $H^{0}=S>\triangleleft \Gamma \rightarrow k \Gamma$, we obtain $\left(H^{0}\right)^{\sigma},{ }_{\sigma} A(\lambda)$. We aim to describe explicitly these deformed objects.

Choose another $\tau \in Z^{2}\left(\Gamma, k^{\times}\right)$. Then we have the $\Gamma$-graded algebra $T V \rtimes_{\tau} \Gamma$ of crossed product; it differs from the smash product $T V \rtimes \Gamma$ only by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{g} \bar{g}^{\prime}=\tau\left(g, g^{\prime}\right) \overline{g g^{\prime}} \quad\left(g, g^{\prime} \in \Gamma\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g(=1 \otimes g)$ in $T V \rtimes \Gamma$ is denoted by $\bar{g}$ in $T V \rtimes_{\tau} \Gamma$. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a set consisting of such elements in $T V \rtimes_{\tau} \Gamma$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi\left(x_{i_{1}} \otimes x_{i_{2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{i_{l}}\right)-c \bar{g}_{i_{1}} \bar{g}_{i_{2}} \cdots \bar{g}_{i_{l}} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l \geq 2, \xi \in k B_{l}, c \in k$. Notice that the element above is of degree $g_{i_{1}} g_{i_{2}} \cdots g_{i_{l}}$ in $\Gamma$.

Definition 3.1. Read every element (3.5) in $\mathcal{Q}$ as an element in $T_{\sigma} V \rtimes_{\sigma \tau} \Gamma$, by replacing the action by $\xi$ with what arises from $c^{\sigma}$, and taking $\bar{g}_{i_{1}} \bar{g}_{i_{2}} \cdots \bar{g}_{i_{l}}$ as the product given by $\sigma \tau$. Let $\sigma \mathcal{Q}$ denote the subset of $T_{\sigma} V \rtimes_{\sigma \tau} \Gamma$ consisting of thus read elements.

Lemma 3.2. (1) The natural embeddings of ${ }_{\sigma} V, \Gamma$ into ${ }_{\sigma}\left(T V \rtimes_{\tau} \Gamma\right)$ induce an isomorphism of $\Gamma$-graded algebras,

$$
T_{\sigma} V \rtimes_{\sigma \tau} \Gamma \xrightarrow{\simeq}_{\sigma}\left(T V \rtimes_{\tau} \Gamma\right) .
$$

(2) The isomorphism above induces an isomorphism,

$$
T_{\sigma} V \rtimes_{\sigma \tau} \Gamma / \sigma \mathcal{Q} \simeq_{\sigma}\left(T V \rtimes_{\tau} \Gamma / \mathcal{Q}\right)
$$

Proof. (1) We see from (3.2) that such a $\Gamma$-graded algebra map as above is defined. To see that it is an isomorphism, it suffices to apply the result below in the special case when $\mathcal{Q}=\emptyset$.
(2) In general let $A$ be a $\Gamma$-graded algebra including $V$ as a $\Gamma$-graded submodule. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\xi\left(x_{i_{1}} \otimes x_{i_{2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{i_{l}}\right) \in T^{l} V, \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l \geq 2, \xi \in k B_{l}$, and let ${ }_{\sigma} y$ be the corresponding element in $T^{l}{ }_{\sigma} V$ read as above. By considering the $l$-fold iteration ${ }_{\sigma} V \otimes \cdots \otimes_{\sigma} V \xrightarrow{\simeq}{ }_{\sigma}(V \otimes \cdots \otimes V)$ of (1.12), we see that the product of ${ }_{\sigma} y$ taken in ${ }_{\sigma} A$ differs from the product of $y$ taken in $A$, only by scalar multiplication by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u:=\sigma\left(g_{i_{1}}, g_{i_{2}}\right) \sigma\left(g_{i_{1}} g_{i_{2}}, g_{i_{3}}\right) \cdots \sigma\left(g_{i_{1}} \cdots g_{i_{l-1}}, g_{i_{l}}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $A=T V \rtimes_{\tau} \Gamma / \mathcal{Q}$. Then it follows that the composite

$$
T_{\sigma} V \rtimes_{\sigma \tau} \Gamma \rightarrow_{\sigma}\left(T V \rtimes_{\tau} \Gamma\right) \rightarrow_{\sigma} A
$$

induces

$$
f: B:=T_{\sigma} V \rtimes_{\sigma \tau} \Gamma /{ }_{\sigma} \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow{ }_{\sigma} A
$$

This is surjective, since $\check{\sigma} f$, whose image contains the natural generators, is surjective; recall here $\check{\sigma}=\sigma^{-1}$. By applying the result to $B$, we have an epimorphism

$$
h: A=T V \rtimes_{\tau} \Gamma / \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow_{\check{\sigma}} B
$$

Since the composite $\sigma h \circ f$ is the identity map of $B, f$ is a isomorphism.
Remark 3.3. Let $y, u$ be as in (3.6), (3.7). The proof above shows that ${ }_{\sigma} y \mapsto u y$ under the canonical isomorphism $T_{\sigma} V \stackrel{\cong}{\rightrightarrows}(T V)$.

Recall from Section 2 the constructions

$$
S=T V /\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right), \quad H^{0}=T V>\triangleleft \Gamma /\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right), \quad A(\lambda)=T V \rtimes \Gamma /(\mathcal{R}(\lambda))
$$

Notice from Remark 3.3 that ${ }_{\sigma} \mathcal{R}^{0}$ consists of primitives in $T_{\sigma} V$, whence

$$
T_{\sigma} V /\left({ }_{\sigma} \mathcal{R}^{0}\right), \quad T_{\sigma} V>\triangleleft \Gamma /\left({ }_{\sigma} \mathcal{R}^{0}\right)
$$

are a graded, braided Hopf algebra in $\Gamma_{\Gamma}^{\Gamma} \mathcal{D}$, and an ordinary graded Hopf algebra, respectively. ${ }_{\sigma} \mathcal{R}(\lambda)$ is the union of the set ${ }_{\sigma} \mathcal{R}$ with the elements

$$
x_{i} \otimes x_{j}-q_{i j}^{\sigma} x_{j} \otimes x_{i}-\lambda_{i j} \bar{g}_{i} \bar{g}_{j} \quad((i, j) \in \Theta)
$$

in $T_{\sigma} V \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma$. We see that

$$
T_{\sigma} V \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma /\left({ }_{\sigma} \mathcal{R}(\lambda)\right)
$$

is a $T_{\sigma} V>\varangle \Gamma /\left({ }_{\sigma} \mathcal{R}^{0}\right)$-comodule algebra (see (3.13)); it is in fact a cleft object, as will be seen from the next proposition.

Proposition 3.4. (1) $x_{i} \mapsto x_{i}(i \in I), g \mapsto g(g \in \Gamma)$ give rise to isomorphisms

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{\sigma} V /\left({ }_{\sigma} \mathcal{R}^{0}\right) & \stackrel{\simeq}{\leftrightarrows}{ }_{\sigma} S, \\
T_{\sigma} V>\triangleleft \Gamma /\left({ }_{\sigma} \mathcal{R}^{0}\right) & \xrightarrow{\simeq}\left(H^{0}\right)^{\sigma} \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

of graded, braided Hopf algebras in $\Gamma_{\Gamma}^{\Gamma} \mathcal{Y}$, and of graded Hopf algebras, respectively. (2) $x_{i} \mapsto x_{i}(i \in I), \bar{g} \mapsto g(g \in \Gamma)$ give rise to an algebra isomorphism

$$
T_{\sigma} V \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma /\left({ }_{\sigma} \mathcal{R}(\lambda)\right) \xrightarrow{\simeq}_{\sigma} A(\lambda),
$$

which is compatible with (3.8) through the left coactions.

Proof. This follows by Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 1.13.
Let $\sigma \in Z^{2}\left(\Gamma, k^{\times}\right)$. Define $s_{i}^{\sigma} \in \hat{\Gamma}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{i}^{\sigma}(g)=\frac{\sigma\left(g_{i}, g\right)}{\sigma\left(g, g_{i}\right)} \quad(g \in \Gamma) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

One sees $s_{i}^{\sigma}=\chi_{i}\left(\chi_{i}^{\sigma}\right)^{-1}$, whence $s_{i}^{\sigma} \in \hat{\Gamma}$; see (3.2). Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi(\sigma)=\left\{(i, j) \in \Theta \mid x_{i} x_{j}=s_{i}^{\sigma} s_{j}^{\sigma}\right\} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The condition given here is equivalent to $\chi_{i}^{\check{\sigma}} \chi_{j}^{\check{\sigma}}=1$. If $\sigma=1$, then $\Xi(\sigma)=\Xi$; see (2.7). If $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ in $Z^{2}\left(\Gamma, k^{\times}\right)$are cohomologous, then $s_{i}^{\sigma}=s_{i}^{\sigma^{\prime}}$, whence $\Xi(\sigma)=\Xi\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)$.
Definition 3.5. Given $\mu=\left(\mu_{i j}\right) \in k^{\Xi(\sigma)}$, let $\mathcal{R}(\sigma, \mu)$ denote the union of the set with the elements

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i} \otimes x_{j}-q_{i j} x_{j} \otimes x_{i}-\mu_{i j} \bar{g}_{i} \bar{g}_{j} \quad((i, j) \in \Theta) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $T V \rtimes{ }_{\sigma} \Gamma$, where we set $\mu_{i j}=0$ if $(i, j) \notin \Xi(\sigma)$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(\sigma, \mu)=T V \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma /(\mathcal{R}(\sigma, \mu)) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The algebra $A(\lambda)$ equals $A(1, \lambda)$ with the notation above.
Notice that $T V \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma$ is $T V>\varangle \Gamma$-cleft object with respect to the algebra map

$$
\begin{equation*}
T V \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma \rightarrow(T V>\triangleleft \Gamma) \otimes\left(T V \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

given by $x_{i} \mapsto x_{i} \otimes 1+g_{i} \otimes x_{i}(i \in I), \bar{g} \mapsto g \otimes \bar{g} .(g \in \Gamma)$. The identity map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { id }: T V>\triangleleft \Gamma \rightarrow T V \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

with both identified with $T V \otimes k \Gamma$, gives a section; see Lemma 1.14.
Proposition 3.6. The algebra map (3.13) induces an algebra map

$$
A(\sigma, \mu) \rightarrow H^{0} \otimes A(\sigma, \mu)
$$

by which $A(\sigma, \mu)$ is an $H^{0}$-cleft object. A section $H^{0} \rightarrow A(\sigma, \mu)$ is induced from the identity map (3.14).
Proof. Reconsider the construction of $A(\lambda)$, starting from ${ }_{\check{\sigma}} V$, in which case the set $\Xi$ in (2.7) is $\Xi(\sigma)$. Set $\mathcal{Q}^{0}={ }_{\sigma} \mathcal{R}^{0}$. Given $\mu \in \Xi(\sigma)$, let $\mathcal{Q}(\mu)$ denote the union of the set ${ }_{\sigma} \mathcal{R}$ with the elements

$$
x_{i} \otimes x_{j}-q_{i j}^{\check{\sigma}} x_{j} \otimes x_{i}-\mu_{i j} g_{i} g_{j} \quad((i, j) \in \Theta)
$$

in $T_{\check{\sigma}} V>\varangle \Gamma$, where by convention, $\mu_{i j}=0$ if $(i, j) \notin \Xi(\sigma)$. Then we have the $T_{\check{\sigma}} V>\varangle \Gamma /\left(\mathcal{Q}^{0}\right)$-cleft object $T_{\check{\sigma}} V \rtimes \Gamma /(\mathcal{Q}(\mu))$. Apply $\sigma()$, and use the isomorphisms in Proposition 3.4. Then we see that $A(\sigma, \mu)$ is an $H^{0}$-cleft object, since ${ }_{\sigma} \mathcal{Q}^{0}=\mathcal{R}^{0}$, ${ }_{\sigma} \mathcal{Q}(\mu)=\mathcal{R}(\sigma, \mu)$. The section

$$
T_{\check{\sigma}} V>\triangleleft \Gamma /\left(\mathcal{Q}^{0}\right) \rightarrow T_{\check{\sigma}} V \rtimes \Gamma / \mathcal{Q}(\mu)
$$

given by Remark 2.6 gives rise to a section $H^{0} \rightarrow A(\sigma, \mu)$. This is indeed induced from the identity map (3.14), since we see that the following commutes.


We wish to classify all $A(\sigma, \mu)$ into isomorphism classes. Since $V \oplus k \Gamma$ in $T V>\varangle \Gamma$ is isomorphically mapped into $H^{0}$, we denote the images of the natural basis elements $x_{i}(i \in I), g(\in \Gamma)$ in $V \oplus k \Gamma$ by the same symbols $x_{i}, g$. Let $A$ be an $H^{0}$-cleft object.
Definition 3.7. An element $a$ in $A$ is called an $N B$ (normal basis) element for $x_{i}$ (resp., $g$ ) if there is a section $\phi: H^{0} \rightarrow A$ such that $a=\phi\left(x_{i}\right)$ (resp., $a=\phi(g)$ ).
Lemma 3.8. With the notation as above, let $\tilde{g}(\in A)$ be an $N B$ element for each $g \in \Gamma$.
(1) An arbitrary NB element for $g$ is of the form $u \tilde{g}$, where $u \in k^{\times}$.
(2) If $\tilde{x}_{i}(\in A)$ is an $N B$ element for $x_{i}$, there exists $c_{g}(\in k)$ for each $g$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{g} \tilde{x}_{i}=\chi_{i}(g) \tilde{x}_{i} \tilde{g}+c_{g} \tilde{g}_{i} \tilde{g} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (1) This is easy to see.
(2) This holds since one sees that the element $a:=\tilde{g} \tilde{x}_{i}-\chi_{i}(g) \tilde{x}_{i} \tilde{g}$ is sent to $g_{i} g \otimes a$ by the coaction $A \rightarrow H^{0} \otimes A$.

Keep $A, \tilde{g}$ as above.
Definition 3.9. An NB element $\tilde{x}_{i}(\in A)$ for $x_{i}$ is said to be normalized, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{g} \tilde{x}_{i}=\chi_{i}(g) \tilde{x}_{i} \tilde{g} \text { for all } g \in \Gamma \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 3.8.1 this condition is independent of choice of $\tilde{g}$.
Lemma 3.10. Fix $i \in I$. Suppose $q_{i i}-1 \in k^{\times}$. Then there exists uniquely $a$ normalized $N B$ element $\tilde{x}_{i}(\in A)$ for $x_{i}$.

Proof. Existence. Choose an NB element $\tilde{x}_{i}$ for $x_{i}$. By Lemma 3.8.2, we have an element $c(\in k)$ such that $\tilde{g}_{i} \tilde{x}_{i}=q_{i i} \tilde{x}_{i} \tilde{g}_{i}+c \tilde{g}_{i}^{2}$. Set

$$
\hat{x}_{i}=\tilde{x}_{i}+\left(q_{i i}-1\right)^{-1} c \tilde{g}_{i}
$$

Then one computes that $\tilde{g}_{i} \hat{x}_{i}=q_{i i} \hat{x}_{i} \tilde{g}_{i}$. Suppose that a section $\phi$ affords $\tilde{x}_{i}$ so that $\phi\left(x_{i}\right)=\tilde{x}_{i}$. We can construct a $k$-linear map $f: S \rightarrow k$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(1)=1, \quad f\left(x_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j}\left(q_{i i}-1\right)^{-1} c \quad(j \in I) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\gamma=f \otimes \varepsilon: H^{0}=S \otimes k \Gamma \rightarrow k$, where $\varepsilon$ denotes the counit of $k \Gamma$; this $\gamma$ is convolution-invertible by Lemma 1.14. The convolution-product $\phi * \gamma$ is a section which affords $\hat{x}_{i}$. We remark that this change of sections does not change the values $\phi\left(x_{j}\right), \phi(g)$ other than $\phi\left(x_{i}\right)$.

Fix $g \in \Gamma$. We have $u \in k^{\times}$such that $\tilde{g} \tilde{g}_{i}=u \tilde{g}_{i} \tilde{g}$. Let us write $\tilde{x}_{i}$ for $\hat{x}_{i}$. Then we have (3.15). The reduction of $\tilde{g} \tilde{g}_{i} \tilde{x}_{i}$ in two ways shows

$$
q_{i i} c_{g} \tilde{g}_{i} \tilde{g} \tilde{g}_{i}=c_{g} \tilde{g}_{i} \tilde{g} \tilde{g}_{i}
$$

which implies $c_{g}=0$, since $q_{i i}-1 \in k^{\times}$.
Uniqueness. Suppose that $\tilde{x}_{i}, \hat{x}_{i}$ are both desired ones. We see as in the proof of Lemma 3.8.2 that $\tilde{x}_{i}-\hat{x}_{i}=c \tilde{g}_{i}$ for some $c \in k$. The conjugation by $\tilde{g}_{i}$ gives

$$
\left(q_{i i} c \tilde{g}_{i}=\right) q_{i i}\left(\tilde{x}_{i}-\hat{x}_{i}\right)=c \tilde{g}_{i}
$$

which implies $c=0$, since $q_{i i}-1 \in k^{\times}$.

Lemma 3.11. Let $A(\sigma, \mu)$ be the $H^{0}$-cleft object as defined by (3.12). The images of $x_{i}(i \in I), \bar{g}(g \in \Gamma)$ under $T V \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma \rightarrow A(\sigma, \mu)$ are $N B$ elements in which the images of $x_{i}$ are all normalized.

Proof. This follows by Proposition 3.6.
Definition 3.12. Let

$$
\mathcal{Z}=\mathcal{Z}(\Gamma, \Xi ; k)
$$

denote the set of all pairs $(\sigma, \mu)$, where $\sigma \in Z^{2}\left(\Gamma, k^{\times}\right), \mu=\left(\mu_{i j}\right) \in k^{\Xi(\sigma)}$. Given two pairs $(\sigma, \mu),\left(\sigma^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime}\right)$ in $\mathcal{Z}$, we write $(\sigma, \mu) \sim\left(\sigma^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime}\right)$, if there exists a 1-cochain $\eta: \Gamma \rightarrow k^{\times}$, i.e., a map with $\eta(1)=1$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma^{\prime} & =\sigma(\partial \eta)  \tag{3.18}\\
\mu_{i j}^{\prime} & =\mu_{i j} \eta\left(g_{i}\right) \eta\left(g_{j}\right) \quad((i, j) \in \Xi(\sigma)) \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Recall that (3.18) implies $\Xi(\sigma)=\Xi\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)$. One sees that $\sim$ defines an equivalence relation on $\mathcal{Z}$. We denote by

$$
\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \Xi ; k)=\mathcal{Z} / \sim
$$

the set of all equivalence classes in $\mathcal{Z}$.
Proposition 3.13. Suppose that $q_{i i}-1 \in k^{\times}$for all $i \in I$. Then, $(\sigma, \mu) \mapsto A(\sigma, \mu)$ gives rise to an injection

$$
\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \Xi ; k) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Cleft}\left(H^{0}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $(\sigma, \mu),\left(\sigma^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime}\right)$ be pairs in $\mathcal{Z}$. By Lemmas 3.10, 3.11, an isomorphism $A\left(\sigma^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\simeq} A(\sigma, \mu)$ must be induced from an algebra map $f: T V \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma \rightarrow T V \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma$ given by

$$
f\left(x_{i}\right)=x_{i} \quad(i \in I), \quad f(\bar{g})=\eta(g) \bar{g} \quad(g \in \Gamma)
$$

where $\eta: \Gamma \rightarrow k^{\times}$is a 1 -cochain. We see that $f$ indeed induces the desired isomorphism (see Lemma 1.3) if and only if $(\sigma, \mu) \sim\left(\sigma^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime}\right)$; this proves the proposition. In fact, $f$ preserves the relations (3.4) (resp., the relations arising from (3.11)) if and only if (3.18) (resp., (3.19)) holds; it necessarily preserves each element in $\mathcal{R}$.
4. The Hopf algebra $H^{\lambda}$ as a cocycle deformation of $H^{0}$

We fix $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{i j}\right) \in k^{\Xi}$, throughout this section.
Definition 4.1. Let $\mathcal{R}^{\lambda}$ denote the union of the set $\mathcal{R}$ with the elements

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\operatorname{ad}_{c} x_{i}\right)\left(x_{j}\right)-\lambda_{i j}\left(g_{i} g_{j}-1\right) \quad((i, j) \in \Theta) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the Hopf algebra $F=T V>\varangle \Gamma$ (see (2.2)), where we set $\lambda_{i j}=0$ if $(i, j) \notin \Xi$. Define

$$
H^{\lambda}=F /\left(\mathcal{R}^{\lambda}\right)
$$

Since each element in $\mathcal{R}^{\lambda}$ is a $\left(1, g_{i} g_{j}\right)$-primitive in $F, H^{\lambda}$ is a Hopf algebra. If $\lambda_{i j}=0$ for all $(i, j) \in \Xi$, then $\mathcal{R}^{\lambda}=\mathcal{R}^{0}$, and $H^{\lambda}=H^{0}$; see Definition 2.2.

Recall from Proposition 2.5 that we have the $H^{0}$-cleft object $A(\lambda)$. Recall from (2.11), (2.12) the definitions of $E, e(\lambda)$.

Proposition 4.2. (1) The coproduct $\Delta: F \rightarrow F \otimes F$ induces an algebra map $A(\lambda) \rightarrow A(\lambda) \otimes H^{\lambda}$, by which $A(\lambda)$ is a right $H^{\lambda}$-comodule algebra.
(2) The tensor product of the canonical algebra maps $T V_{r} /\left(\mathcal{R}_{r}\right) \rightarrow H^{\lambda} \quad(r=$ $1,2, \ldots, N)$, composed with the product $\left(H^{\lambda}\right)^{\otimes N} \rightarrow H^{\lambda}$, induces an isomorphism

$$
e^{\lambda}: E \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^{\lambda}
$$

of right $k \Gamma$-module coalgebras. The composite

$$
\phi^{\lambda}:=e(\lambda) \circ\left(e^{\lambda}\right)^{-1}: H^{\lambda} \rightarrow A(\lambda)
$$

is a right $H^{\lambda}$-colinear section.
(3) $A(\lambda)$ is an $\left(H^{0}, H^{\lambda}\right)$-bicleft object.

Proof. (1) Easy.
(2) First, consider in the special case when $\mathcal{R}=\emptyset$, and let $\tilde{e}^{\lambda}, \tilde{H}^{\lambda}, \tilde{A}(\lambda)$ denote those $e^{\lambda}, H^{\lambda}, A(\lambda)$ in that special case, just as in Lemma 2.7. As in the proof of the lemma, we see that $\tilde{e}^{\lambda}: \tilde{E} \rightarrow \tilde{H}^{\lambda}$ is bijective. Next, we see that Lemma 2.8 holds true in $H^{\lambda}$ as well. In fact we see from the proof of the lemma that the element

$$
z=x_{j} y-q_{j i_{1}} \cdots q_{j i_{l}} y x_{j}
$$

is zero in $\tilde{A}(\lambda)$. But, this element is sent by the right coaction $\tilde{A}(\lambda) \rightarrow \tilde{A}(\lambda) \otimes \tilde{H}^{\lambda}$ to the element

$$
z \otimes 1+g g_{j} \otimes z=g g_{j} \otimes z \text { in } \tilde{A}(\lambda) \otimes \tilde{H}^{\lambda}
$$

where $g=g_{i_{1}} \cdots g_{i_{l}}$. This implies that $z=0$ in $\tilde{H}^{\lambda}$, as desired. Finally, we see as in the proof of Proposition 2.5 that Part 2 above holds, and that $A(\lambda)$ is a right $H^{\lambda}$-cleft object.
(3) It remains to prove that $A(\lambda)$ is an $\left(H^{0}, H^{\lambda}\right)$-bicomodule. But, this follows since $A(\lambda)$ arises from the $(F, F)$-bicomodule $F$.

Remark 2.9 can apply to $H^{\lambda}$ as well.
Theorem 4.3. $H^{\lambda}$ and $H^{0}$ are cocycle deformations of each other.
Proof. This follows by Lemma 1.5, since we have the following commutative diagram, in which the unlabeled arrow is the $H^{\lambda}$-coaction on $A(\lambda)$.


Recall that $F=T V>\varangle \Gamma$ is naturally graded, with $k \Gamma$ its zero component. Hence it has the induced filtration by $\mathbb{N}=\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$. The quotient algebra map $F \rightarrow$ $A(\lambda)$ induces a filtration on $A(\lambda)$, with which the map turns into an epimorphism of filtered algebras. It induces an epimorphism $F \rightarrow \operatorname{gr} A(\lambda)$ of graded algebras. Similarly, $F \rightarrow H^{\lambda}$ turns into an epimorphism of filtered Hopf algebras, which induces an epimorphism $F \rightarrow \operatorname{gr} H^{\lambda}$ of graded Hopf algebras. We see that the two graded algebra epimorphisms factor through

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{0} \rightarrow \operatorname{gr} A(\lambda), \quad H^{0} \rightarrow \operatorname{gr} H^{\lambda} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the latter of which is a graded Hopf algebra map. Since the $\left(H^{0}, H^{\lambda}\right)$-bicomodule algebra structure maps

$$
H^{0} \otimes A(\lambda) \leftarrow A(\lambda) \rightarrow A(\lambda) \otimes H^{\lambda}
$$

on $A(\lambda)$ are filtered, they induce an $\left(H^{0}, \mathrm{gr} H^{\lambda}\right)$-bicomodule algebra structure

$$
H^{0} \otimes \operatorname{gr} A(\lambda) \leftarrow \operatorname{gr} A(\lambda) \rightarrow \operatorname{gr} A(\lambda) \otimes \operatorname{gr} H^{\lambda}
$$

on $\operatorname{gr} A(\lambda)$.
Proposition 4.4. (1) gr $A(\lambda)$ is an $\left(H^{0}, \mathrm{gr} H^{\lambda}\right)$-bicleft object.
(2) The graded algebra map $H^{0} \rightarrow \operatorname{gr} A(\lambda)$ in (4.2) is an isomorphism.
(3) The graded Hopf algebra map $H^{0} \rightarrow \operatorname{gr} H^{\lambda}$ in (4.2) is an isomorphism.

Proof. (1) Notice that $e(\lambda): E \rightarrow A(\lambda)$ is filtered, whence the section $\phi(\lambda): H^{0} \rightarrow$ $A(\lambda)$ is a filtered $H^{0}$-colinear map. It induces an isomorphism $\operatorname{gr} \phi(\lambda): H^{0} \xrightarrow{\simeq}$ $\operatorname{gr} A(\lambda)$ of graded $H^{0}$-comodules, which is convolution-invertible by Lemma 1.14. Thus, $\operatorname{gr} A(\lambda)$ is a left $H^{0}$-cleft object with section $\operatorname{gr} \phi(\lambda)$. Similarly, $\operatorname{gr} A(\lambda)$ is a right gr $H^{\lambda}$-cleft object with section gr $\phi^{\lambda}$.
(2) The prescribed map is a map of $H^{0}$-cleft objects, which is necessarily an isomorphism by Lemma 1.3.
(3) It follows by [10, Theorem 9] (or see [21, 8.2.4]) that $H^{0}$ and gr $A(\lambda)$, being cleft, are right Galois objects for $H^{0}$, gr $H^{\lambda}$, respectively. Combined with the result of Part 2, the (so-called Galois) isomorphisms [21, 8.1.1] which ensure the objects to be Galois show that the natural map $H^{0} \otimes H^{0} \rightarrow \operatorname{gr} A(\lambda) \otimes \operatorname{gr} H^{\lambda}$ is an isomorphism. Since $H^{0}(\simeq \operatorname{gr} A(\lambda))$ includes $k$ as a direct summand, $H^{0} \rightarrow \operatorname{gr} H^{\lambda}$ is an isomorphism.

Let $\check{\mathcal{R}}(\lambda)$ denote the union of the set $\mathcal{R}$ with the elements

$$
\left(\operatorname{ad}_{c} x_{i}\right)\left(x_{j}\right)+\lambda_{i j} 1 \quad((i, j) \in \Theta)
$$

in $F$, where we set $\lambda_{i j}=0$ if $(i, j) \notin \Xi$. Define

$$
B(\lambda)=F /(\check{\mathcal{R}}(\lambda))
$$

Proposition 4.5. The obvious $(F, F)$-bicomodule algebra structure on $F$ induces an $\left(H^{\lambda}, H^{0}\right)$-bicomodule algebra structure on $B(\lambda)$. Moreover, $B(\lambda)$ is an $\left(H^{\lambda}, H^{0}\right)$ bicleft object.

Proof. This can be proved just as Proposition 4.2.
In the sequel we will write $A, B$ for $A(\lambda), B(\lambda)$.
Proposition 4.6. (1) The canonical isomorphism $F \stackrel{\simeq}{\simeq} F \square_{F} F$ induces isomorphisms

$$
\alpha: H^{0} \xrightarrow{\simeq} A \square_{H^{\lambda}} B, \quad \beta: H^{\lambda} \xrightarrow{\simeq} B \square_{H^{0}} A
$$

of $\left(H^{0}, H^{0}\right)$ - and $\left(H^{\lambda}, H^{\lambda}\right)$-bicomodule algebras, respectively.
(2) We have the following commutative diagrams consisting of $\left(H^{0}, H^{\lambda}\right)$ - and, resp., $\left(H^{\lambda}, H^{0}\right)$-bicomodule algebras and their isomorphisms, where the unlabeled arrows are canonical isomorphisms.

(3) We have the $k$-linear monoidal functors

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{A}=A \square_{H^{\lambda}} \quad: \quad H^{\lambda} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow{ }^{H^{0}} \mathcal{M} \\
& \Phi_{B}=B \square_{H^{0}} \quad: \quad H^{0} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow{ }^{H^{\lambda} \mathcal{M}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which are mutually quasi-inverses. Moreover, $\alpha \square_{H^{0}}$ and $\beta \square_{H^{\lambda}}$ induce isomorphisms of monoidal functors,

$$
f_{\alpha}: \mathrm{id} \simeq \Phi_{A} \circ \Phi_{B}, \quad f_{\beta}: \mathrm{id} \simeq \Phi_{B} \circ \Phi_{A}
$$

$\left(\Phi_{A}, \Phi_{B}, f_{\alpha}, f_{\beta}\right)$ forms monoidal equivalence data in the sense of Takeuchi [26]; thus, $\Phi_{B} f_{\alpha}=f_{\beta} \Phi_{B}, f_{\alpha} \Phi_{A}=\Phi_{A} f_{\beta}$.

Proof. (1) It is easy to see that the bicomodule algebra maps $\alpha, \beta$ are induced. Being maps between cleft objects, they are necessarily isomorphisms, by Lemma 1.3.
(2) This is verified directly.
(3) This follows by Part 2.

Let $(\sigma, \mu) \in \mathcal{Z}(\Gamma, \Xi ; k)$. We have the $H^{0}$-cleft object $A(\sigma, \mu)$. We wish to describe explicitly the corresponding $H^{\lambda}$-cleft object $B \square_{H^{0}} A(\sigma, \mu)$. Recall $F=T V>\triangleleft \Gamma$. Let us write

$$
F(\sigma)=T V \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma
$$

Definition 4.7. Let $\mathcal{R}^{\lambda}(\sigma, \mu)$ be the union of the set $\mathcal{R}$ with the elements

$$
\left(\operatorname{ad}_{c} x_{i}\right)\left(x_{j}\right)+\lambda_{i j} 1-\mu_{i j} \bar{g}_{i} \bar{g}_{j} \quad((i, j) \in \Theta)
$$

in $F(\sigma)$, where by convention, $\lambda_{i j}=0$ if $(i, j) \notin \Xi$, and $\mu_{i j}=0$ if $(i, j) \notin \Xi(\sigma)$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\lambda}(\sigma, \mu)=F(\sigma) /\left(\mathcal{R}^{\lambda}(\sigma, \mu)\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 4.8. (1) The natural algebra map $F(\sigma) \rightarrow F \otimes F(\sigma)$ as given in (3.13) induces an algebra map

$$
A^{\lambda}(\sigma, \mu) \rightarrow H^{\lambda} \otimes A^{\lambda}(\sigma, \mu)
$$

by which $A^{\lambda}(\sigma, \mu)$ is an $H^{\lambda}$-comodule algebra.
(2) Moreover, $A^{\lambda}(\sigma, \mu)$ is $H^{\lambda}$-cleft object. The map id : $F \rightarrow F(\sigma)$ given in (3.14) induces a section

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\lambda}(\sigma, \mu): H^{\lambda} \rightarrow A^{\lambda}(\sigma, \mu) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3) The canonical isomorphism $F(\sigma) \xrightarrow{\simeq} F \square_{F} F(\sigma)$ induces an isomorphism

$$
A^{\lambda}(\sigma, \mu) \simeq B \square_{H^{0}} A(\sigma, \mu)=\Phi_{B}(A(\sigma, \mu))
$$

of $H^{\lambda}$-comodule algebras.
Proof. (1) Easy.
(3) Write

$$
C=A(\sigma, \mu), \quad C^{\lambda}=A^{\lambda}(\sigma, \mu)
$$

Let $J$ denote the kernel of the composite

$$
F(\sigma) \xrightarrow{\simeq} F \square_{F} F(\sigma) \rightarrow B \square_{H^{0}} C
$$

of the canonical epimorphisms of $H^{\lambda}$-comodule algebras. Set $D=F(\sigma) / J$. We see easily that the quotient map $F(\sigma) \rightarrow D$ factors through an epimorphism

$$
f: C^{\lambda} \rightarrow D
$$

of $H^{\lambda}$-comodule algebras. We should prove that $f$ is an isomorphism. It suffices to prove that

$$
\Phi_{A}(f): A \square_{H^{\lambda}} C^{\lambda} \rightarrow A \square_{H^{\lambda}} D
$$

is an isomorphism. We see just as above that the isomorphism $F \xrightarrow{\simeq} F \square_{F} F(\sigma)$ arising from id induces an epimorphism $C \rightarrow A \square_{H^{\lambda}} C^{\lambda}$. Its composite with $\Phi_{A}(f)$ coincides with the canonical isomorphism

$$
C \simeq A \square_{H^{\lambda}} D\left(\simeq\left(A \square_{H^{\lambda}} B\right) \square_{H^{0}} C\right)
$$

as is easily seen. It follows that $\Phi_{A}(f)$ is an isomorphism, as desired.
(2) The first statement follows by Part 3. By Proposition 3.6, id : $F \rightarrow F(\sigma)$ induces a section $H^{0} \rightarrow A(\lambda, \mu)$. One sees just as in Remark 2.6 that the identity map $F \rightarrow F$ induces a left $H^{\lambda}$-colinear section $H^{\lambda} \rightarrow B$. These results, combined with the first statement, prove the second; see the proof of Lemma 1.4.

Proposition 4.9. Suppose that $q_{i i}-1 \in k^{\times}$for all $i \in I$. Then, $(\sigma, \mu) \mapsto A^{\lambda}(\sigma, \mu)$ gives rise to an injection

$$
\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \Xi ; k) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Cleft}\left(H^{\lambda}\right)
$$

Proof. This follows by Propositions 3.13, 4.8.3.

## 5. Augmented central $H^{\lambda}$-cleft extensions

We continue to fix $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{i j}\right) \in k^{\Xi}$, throughout this section. Define a subset $\Xi_{\lambda}$ of $\Xi$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi_{\lambda}=\left\{(i, j) \in \Xi \mid \lambda_{i j} \neq 0\right\} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix a $k$-module $M$, and regard it as a trivial $\Gamma$-module. Let $Z^{2}(\Gamma, M)$ denote the additive group of all normalized 2-cocycles $\Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow M$.

Definition 5.1. Let

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon}^{\lambda}=\mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon}^{\lambda}(\Gamma, \Xi ; M)
$$

denote the set of all pairs $(s, m)$, where $s \in Z^{2}(\Gamma, M), m=\left(m_{i j}\right) \in M^{\Xi}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s\left(g, g_{i}\right)+s\left(g, g_{j}\right)=s\left(g_{i}, g\right)+s\left(g_{j}, g\right) \text { for all } g \in \Gamma, \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

either if $(i, j) \in \Xi_{\lambda}$ or if $m_{i j} \neq 0$; notice that the condition depends on $\lambda$. Given two pairs $(s, m),\left(s^{\prime}, m^{\prime}\right)$ in $\mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon}^{\lambda}$, we write $(s, m) \sim_{\varepsilon}\left(s^{\prime}, m^{\prime}\right)$, if there exists a 1-cochain $t: \Gamma \rightarrow M$, i.e., a map with $t(1)=0$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
s^{\prime} & =s+\partial t \\
m_{i j}^{\prime} & =m_{i j}+\lambda_{i j}\left(t\left(g_{i}\right)+t\left(g_{j}\right)\right) \quad((i, j) \in \Xi)
\end{aligned}
$$

One sees that $\sim_{\varepsilon}$ defines an equivalence relation on $\mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon}^{\lambda}$. We denote by

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon}^{\lambda}(\Gamma, \Xi ; M)=\mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon}^{\lambda} / \sim_{\varepsilon}
$$

the set of all equivalence classes in $\mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon}^{\lambda}$.
Recall from (1.10) the augmented algebra $k_{M}$. By extending the coefficients from $k$ to $k_{M}$, we define the set $\mathcal{Z}\left(\Gamma, \Xi ; k_{M}\right)$ just as in Definition 3.12. Let $(\sigma, \mu) \in$ $\mathcal{Z}\left(\Gamma, \Xi ; k_{M}\right)$. Thus, $\sigma \in Z^{2}\left(\Gamma, k_{M}^{\times}\right)$, and $\mu=\left(\mu_{i j}\right) \in\left(k_{M}\right)^{\Xi(\sigma)}$, where $\Xi(\sigma)$ is defined by (3.10), but $\chi_{i} \chi_{j}=s_{i}^{\sigma} s_{j}^{\sigma}$ should now be regarded as an equation in the group of group homomorphism $\Gamma \rightarrow k_{M}^{\times}$. We will suppose, as before, $\mu \in\left(k_{M}\right)^{\Xi}$ by setting $\mu_{i j}=0$ if $(i, j) \notin \Xi(\sigma)$. The pair gives rise to the central $H^{\lambda}$-cleft extension $A^{\lambda}(\sigma, \mu)$ over $k_{M}$; see Definition 4.7. We say that $A^{\lambda}(\sigma, \mu)$ is augmented, if $x_{i} \mapsto 0$ $(i \in I), \bar{g} \mapsto 1(g \in \Gamma)$ well defines an algebra map $A^{\lambda}(\sigma, \mu) \rightarrow$ extending the augmentation $k_{M} \rightarrow k$.

Lemma 5.2. A pair $(\sigma, \mu)$ in $\mathcal{Z}\left(\Gamma, \Xi ; k_{M}\right)$ such that $A^{\lambda}(\sigma, \mu)$ is augmented arises uniquely from a pair $(s, m)$ in $\mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon}^{\lambda}(\Gamma, \Xi ; M)$, so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma & =1+s \\
\mu_{i j} & =\lambda_{i j}+m_{i j} \quad((i, j) \in \Xi)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. One sees easily that a desired pair $(\sigma, \mu)$ is uniquely of the form as above. We should prove that the two conditions

$$
\sigma \in Z^{2}\left(\Gamma, k_{M}^{\times}\right), \quad \mu_{i j}=0 \quad \text { if }(i, j) \notin \Xi(\sigma)
$$

are equivalent to $(s, m) \in \mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon}^{\lambda}$. The first condition is equivalent to $s \in Z^{2}(\Gamma, M)$. The second is equivalent to that (5.2) holds if $(i, j) \in \Xi_{\lambda}$ or $m_{i j} \neq 0$, since we have

$$
\Xi(\sigma)=\{(i, j) \in \Xi \mid \text { (5.2) holds }\}
$$

These prove the desired equivalence.

Given $m=\left(m_{i j}\right) \in M^{\Xi}$, we define

$$
\lambda+m=\left(\lambda_{i j}+m_{i j}\right)\left(\in\left(k_{M}\right)^{\Xi}\right)
$$

Proposition 5.3. Suppose that $q_{i i}-1 \in k^{\times}$for all $i \in I$. Then, $(s, m) \mapsto A^{\lambda}(1+$ $s, \lambda+m)$ gives rise to an injection

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon}^{\lambda}(\Gamma, \Xi ; M) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{ZCleft}_{\varepsilon}\left(H^{\lambda} ; k_{M}\right),
$$

where $\mathrm{ZCleft}_{\varepsilon}\left(H^{\lambda} ; k_{M}\right)$ is defined by Definition 1.6.
For the proof we remark that Definitions 3.7, 3.9 and Lemmas 3.8, 3.10, which are all concerned with cleft extensions for the Hopf algebra $H^{0}$, are generalized in the obvious way to those extensions for $H^{\lambda}$. In addition, Lemma 3.11 is generalized by the following.

Lemma 5.4. Let $A^{\lambda}(\sigma, \mu)$ be the $H^{\lambda}$-cleft object as defined by (4.3). The images of $x_{i}(i \in I), \bar{g}(g \in \Gamma)$ under the quotient map $T V \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma \rightarrow A^{\lambda}(\sigma, \mu)$ are NB elements in which the images of $x_{i}$ are all normalized.

Proof. This follows by Proposition 4.8.2.
Proof of Proposition 5.3. Let $(s, m),\left(s^{\prime}, m^{\prime}\right)$ be pairs in $\mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon}^{\lambda}$. Set

$$
(\sigma, \mu)=(1+s, \lambda+m), \quad\left(\sigma^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime}\right)=\left(1+s^{\prime}, \lambda+m^{\prime}\right)
$$

We apply the generalized Lemmas 3.8, 3.10, and Lemma 5.4 above, to $A^{\lambda}(\sigma, \mu)$, $A^{\lambda}\left(\sigma^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime}\right)$, regarding them as $H^{\lambda} \otimes k_{M}$-cleft objects. It then follows that an augmented isomorphism $A^{\lambda}\left(\sigma^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\simeq} A^{\lambda}(\sigma, \mu)$ must be induced from an algebra map $f: T V \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma \rightarrow T V \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma$ given by

$$
f\left(x_{i}\right)=x_{i} \quad(i \in I), \quad f(\bar{g})=(1+t(g)) \bar{g} \quad(g \in \Gamma)
$$

where $t: \Gamma \rightarrow M$ is a 1-cochain. We see that $f$ indeed induces the desired isomorphism if and only if $(s, m) \sim_{\varepsilon}\left(s^{\prime}, m^{\prime}\right)$; this proves the proposition. See the proof of Proposition 3.13.

## 6. Classification results in the case of Cartan-type braidings

We will impose additional assumptions, ( C 1$)-(\mathrm{C} 4)$, on $q=\left(q_{i j}\right)$ and $\mathcal{R}$. Let $\mathbb{A}=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{i, j \in I}$ be a GCM (generalized Cartan matrix). First we assume:
(C1) $q$ is of Cartan type [1] with respect to $\mathbb{A}$ in the sense that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{i j} q_{j i}=q_{i i}^{a_{i i}} \text { for all } i, j \in I \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that (6.1) automatically holds if $i=j$, since $a_{i i}=2$. Since $q_{i j} q_{j i} \neq 1$ implies $a_{i j} \neq 0,|i| \neq|j|$ implies that $i$ and $j$ are disconnected in the Dynkin diagram of $\mathbb{A}$.

The following claim follows from the proof of [1, Lemma A1].
Lemma-Definition 6.1. Let $i, j$ be distinct elements in I. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
(l)_{q_{i i}} \in k^{\times} \text {for all } 0<l \leq-a_{i j} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the element

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\operatorname{ad}_{c} x_{i}\right)^{1-a_{i j}}\left(x_{j}\right) \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $T V$ is a primitive, where $(l)_{q_{i i}}$ denotes the $q_{i i}$-integer

$$
(l)_{q_{i i}}=1+q_{i i}+\cdots+q_{i i}^{l-1}
$$

Such a primitive of the form (6.3) is said to be of Serre type.
Notice that the element (6.3) is of the form (2.5). In addition to (C1), we assume:
(C2) $\mathcal{R}$ consists only of primitives of Serre type. More specifically,

$$
\mathcal{R}=\left\{\left(\operatorname{ad}_{c} x_{i}\right)^{1-a_{i j}}\left(x_{j}\right) \mid(i, j) \in \Pi\right\}
$$

where $\Pi$ is a subset,

$$
\Pi \subset\{(i, j) \in I \times I| | i|=|j|, \text { (6.2) holds. }\}
$$

(C3) $q_{i i}-1 \in k^{\times}$for all $i \in I$,
(C4) $q_{i i} q_{j j}-q_{i j} q_{j i} \in k^{\times}$for all $(i, j) \in \Pi$.
Under (C3), the condition (6.2) is equivalent to

$$
q^{l}-1 \in k^{\times} \text {for all } 0<l \leq-a_{i j}
$$

Remark 6.2. Let $\sigma \in Z^{2}\left(\Gamma, k^{\times}\right)$. We see from (3.3) that

$$
q_{i i}^{\sigma}=q_{i i}, \quad q_{i j}^{\sigma} q_{j i}^{\sigma}=q_{i j} q_{j i} \quad(i, j \in I)
$$

Therefore, if $q, \mathcal{R}$ satisfy the assumptions (C1)-(C4), then $q^{\sigma}$, ${ }_{\sigma} \mathcal{R}$ do. Cf. [2, Sect. 3.2].

Theorem 6.3. Under the assumptions (C1)-(C4), $(\sigma, \mu) \mapsto A^{\lambda}(\sigma, \mu)$ gives rise to a bijection

$$
\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \Xi ; k) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \operatorname{Cleft}\left(H^{\lambda}\right) .
$$

Proof. By (the proof of) Proposition 4.9, it suffices to prove the surjectivity, supposing $\lambda=0$, or $\lambda_{i j}=0$ for all $(i, j) \in \Xi$. Let $A$ be an $H^{0}$-cleft object. We should prove that $A \simeq A(\sigma, \mu)$ for some $(\sigma, \mu) \in \mathcal{Z}$. Choose a section $\phi: H^{0} \rightarrow A$, and set

$$
\tilde{x}_{i}=\phi\left(x_{i}\right) \quad(i \in I), \quad \tilde{g}=\phi(g) \quad(g \in \Gamma) .
$$

By Lemma 3.10 and (C3), we may suppose that $\tilde{x}_{i}$ are all normalized. There uniquely exists $\sigma \in Z^{2}\left(\Gamma, k^{\times}\right)$such that

$$
\tilde{g} \tilde{g}^{\prime}=\sigma\left(g, g^{\prime}\right) \widetilde{g g^{\prime}} \quad\left(g, g^{\prime} \in \Gamma\right)
$$

This implies that in ${ }_{\sigma} A$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{g} \tilde{g}^{\prime}=\widetilde{g g^{\prime}} \quad\left(g, g^{\prime} \in \Gamma\right) \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Proposition 3.4.2 and Remark 6.2, we can replace $V$ with ${ }_{\sigma} V$, and may suppose that (6.4) holds in $A$. It then suffices to prove that $A \simeq A(\mu)$ (see Definition 2.3) for some $\mu \in k^{\Xi}$. We see that $x_{i} \mapsto \tilde{x}_{i}(i \in I), g \mapsto \tilde{g}(g \in \Gamma)$ define an $H^{0}$-comodule algebra map $f: F=T V>\triangleleft \Gamma \rightarrow A$. It suffices to prove that $f$ factors through $A(\mu) \rightarrow A$, which will be necessarily an isomorphism by Lemma 1.3. Since each $\left(\operatorname{ad}_{c} x_{i}\right)\left(x_{j}\right)$, where $(i, j) \in \Xi$, is a $\left(1, g_{i} g_{j}\right)$-primitive in $F$, we have $\mu=\left(\mu_{i j}\right) \in k^{\Xi}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{x}_{i} \tilde{x}_{j}=q_{i j} \tilde{x}_{j} \tilde{x}_{i}+\mu_{i j} \tilde{g}_{i} \tilde{g}_{j} \quad((i, j) \in \Xi) \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose an arbitrary element (6.3) from $\mathcal{R}$, and let $y$ denote its image in $A$. Then we have as above, $c \in k$ such that

$$
y=c \tilde{g}_{i}^{1-a_{i j}} \tilde{g}_{j}
$$

The conjugations by $\tilde{g}_{i}$, and by $\tilde{g}_{j}$ give

$$
\begin{align*}
q_{i i}^{1-a_{i j}} q_{i j} y & =c \tilde{g}_{i}^{1-a_{i j}} \tilde{g}_{j}(=y)  \tag{6.6}\\
q_{j i}^{1-a_{i j}} q_{j j} y & =c \tilde{g}_{i}^{1-a_{i j}} \tilde{g}_{j}(=y) \tag{6.7}
\end{align*}
$$

By (6.1), (6.6), one sees that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{q_{i i}}{q_{j i}} y=y \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This together with (6.1), (6.7) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{q_{j j}}{q_{i j}} y=\frac{q_{j j}}{q_{i j}}\left(\frac{q_{i i}}{q_{j i}}\right)^{a_{i j}} y=q_{j i}^{1-a_{i j}} q_{j j} y=y . \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since one sees by (6.8), (6.9) that $\left(q_{i i} q_{j j}-q_{i j} q_{j i}\right) y=0$, it follows by (C4) that $y=0$. We have shown that each element in $\mathcal{R}$ is zero in $A$. This together with (6.5) prove the desired factorization.

Theorem 6.4. Under the assumptions (C1)-(C4), $(s, m) \mapsto A^{\lambda}(1+s, \lambda+m)$ gives rise to a bijection

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon}^{\lambda}(\Gamma, \Xi ; M) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \operatorname{ZCleft}_{\varepsilon}\left(H^{\lambda} ; k_{M}\right)\left(\simeq H^{2}\left(H^{\lambda}, M\right)\right) .
$$

Proof. By Proposition 5.3, it suffices to prove the surjectivity. Let $A=\left(A, \varepsilon_{A}\right)$ be an augmented central $H^{\lambda}$-cleft extension over $k_{M}$. By Lemma 1.7, it has an augmented section $\phi: H^{\lambda} \rightarrow A$. Set $\tilde{x}_{i}=\phi\left(x_{i}\right)(i \in I), \tilde{g}=\phi(g)(g \in \Gamma)$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{A}\left(\tilde{x}_{i}\right)=0, \quad \varepsilon_{A}(\tilde{g})=1 \quad(i \in I, g \in \Gamma) \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Regard $A$ as an $H^{\lambda} \otimes k_{M}$-cleft object. Then, $\tilde{x}_{i}, \tilde{g}$ form NB elements. By (C3), the $\tilde{x}_{i}$ can be so chosen as to be normalized. This is possible with the property (6.10) preserved, as is seen from the proof of Lemma 3.10. Notice that Theorem 6.3 still holds true over $k_{M}$, under the same assumptions. Combining the result with Lemmas 3.8.1, 3.10 (generalized to $H^{\lambda}$ ), we have $(\sigma, \mu) \in \mathcal{Z}\left(\Gamma, \Xi ; k_{M}\right)$ and 1-cochain $\eta: \Gamma \rightarrow k_{M}^{\times}$, such that $x_{i} \mapsto \tilde{x}_{i}(i \in I), g \mapsto \eta(g)^{-1} \tilde{g}(g \in \Gamma)$ induce an isomorphism $A^{\lambda}(\sigma, \mu) \simeq A$ of $H^{\lambda}$-comodule algebras. By replacing $(\sigma, \mu)$ with ( $\sigma^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime}$ ) defined by (3.18), (3.19), we may suppose that $\eta(g)=1$ for all $g \in \Gamma$; see the proof of Proposition 3.13. Then, $A^{\lambda}(\sigma, \mu)$, being augmented, is necessarily of the form $A^{\lambda}(1+s, \lambda+m)$, by Lemma 5.2. This proves the desired surjectivity.

## 7. Results for the quantized enveloping algebra $U_{q}$ and the Borel SUBALGEBRA $B_{q}$

In this section we suppose that $k$ is a field. Let $\mathbb{A}=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ be an $n \times n$ GCM which is symmetrized by a diagonal matrix $\mathbb{D}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\cdots d_{i} \cdots\right)$ with $0<d_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$, so that $d_{i} a_{i j}=d_{j} a_{j i}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Fix $0 \neq q \in k$. Set

$$
q_{i}=q^{d_{i}} \quad(1 \leq i \leq n)
$$

and suppose that for each $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ord}\left(q_{i}^{2}\right)>\max \left\{1,-a_{i j} \mid j \neq i\right\} \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\operatorname{ord}\left(q_{i}^{2}\right)$ denotes the order of $q_{i}^{2}$ in the group $k^{\times}$; it is infinite (and then satisfies (7.1)), if $q_{i}$ is not a root of 1 .

Definition 7.1. 14 The quantized enveloping algebra $U_{q}$ associated to $\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{D}$ is the algebra which is generated by the elements

$$
K_{i}, K_{i}^{-1}, X_{i}^{+}, X_{i}^{-} \quad(1 \leq i \leq n)
$$

and is defined by the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{j} K_{i}=K_{i} K_{j}(i<j),  \tag{7.2}\\
& K_{i} K_{i}^{-1}= 1  \tag{7.3}\\
&= K_{i}^{-1} K_{i},  \tag{7.4}\\
& K_{i} X_{j}^{ \pm} K_{i}^{-1}=q_{i}^{ \pm a_{i j}} X_{j}^{ \pm},  \tag{7.5}\\
& X_{i}^{+} X_{j}^{-}-X_{j}^{-} X_{i}^{+}=\delta_{i j} \frac{K_{i}-K_{i}^{-1}}{q_{i}-q_{i}^{-1}},  \tag{7.6}\\
& \sum_{r=0}^{1-a_{i j}}(-1)^{r}\left[\begin{array}{c}
1-a_{i j} \\
r
\end{array}\right]_{i}\left(X_{i}^{ \pm}\right)^{1-a_{i j}-r} X_{j}^{ \pm}\left(X_{i}^{ \pm}\right)^{r}=0 \quad(i \neq j) .
\end{align*}
$$

Here, []$_{i}$ denotes the Gauss binomial coefficients defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{c}
m \\
r
\end{array}\right]_{i}=\frac{[m]_{i}[m-1]_{i} \cdots[m-r+1]_{i}}{[r]_{i}[r-1]_{i} \cdots[1]_{i}} \quad(m \geq r>0),} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{c}
m \\
0
\end{array}\right]_{i}=1, \text { where }[m]_{i}=\frac{q_{i}^{m}-q_{i}^{-m}}{q_{i}-q_{i}^{-1}} \quad(m>0)}
\end{aligned}
$$

The Borel subalgebra of $U_{q}$ is the subalgebra generated by $K_{i}, K_{i}^{-1}, X_{i}^{+}(1 \leq i \leq n)$.
The assumption (7.1) ensures that the denominators of the right-hand side of (7.5), and of the Gauss binomial coefficients in (7.6) are non-zero. It is known that $U_{q}$ forms a pointed Hopf algebra including $B_{q}$ as a Hopf subalgebra, in which $K_{i}^{ \pm 1}$ are grouplikes, $X_{i}^{+}$is a $\left(1, K_{i}\right)$-primitive, and $X_{i}^{-}$is a ( $K_{i}^{-1}, 1$ )-primitive. We will see below that $B_{q}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.U_{q}\right)$ is of the form $H^{0}$ (resp. $H^{\lambda}$ ).
Notation 7.2. Let $I_{+}=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$, and let $\Gamma$ be the free abelian group on the set of the $n$ letters $g_{i}\left(i \in I_{+}\right)$. Define $\chi_{j} \in \hat{\Gamma}\left(j \in I_{+}\right)$by

$$
\chi_{j}\left(g_{i}\right)=q_{i}^{a_{i j}} \quad\left(i \in I_{+}\right)
$$

Define an object $V_{+}$in ${ }_{\Gamma}^{\Gamma} \mathcal{Y} \mathcal{D}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{+}=\left\{x_{i}, g_{i}, \chi_{i}\right\}_{i \in I_{+}} \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The associated braiding is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c: V_{+} \otimes V_{+} \xrightarrow{\simeq} V_{+} \otimes V_{+}, \quad c\left(x_{i} \otimes x_{j}\right)=q_{i}^{a_{i j}} x_{j} \otimes x_{i}, \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is afforded by the matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{q}_{+}=\left(q_{i j}\right)_{i, j \in I_{+}}, \quad \text { where } q_{i j}=q_{i}^{a_{i j}}=q^{d_{i} a_{i j}} \tag{7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see that $\mathbf{q}_{+}$is of Cartan type with respect to the GCM $\mathbb{A}$; see (C1) in Section 6. To construct, as in Section 2, $H^{0}$ from the $V_{+}$above, we do not decompose $I_{+}$ into disconnected subsets, so that we set $\mathcal{R}^{0}=\mathcal{R}$. We choose as $\mathcal{R}$ the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}_{+}=\left\{\left(\operatorname{ad}_{c} x_{i}\right)^{1-a_{i j}}\left(x_{j}\right) \mid i \neq j\right\} \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which consists of primitives in $T V_{+}$of Serre type, by the assumption (7.1); see Lemma 6.1. We thus construct

$$
H^{0}=T V_{+}>\varangle \Gamma /\left(\mathcal{R}_{+}\right)
$$

Lemma 7.3. $g_{i}^{ \pm} \mapsto K_{i}^{ \pm 1}, x_{i} \mapsto X_{i}^{+} \quad\left(i \in I_{+}\right)$give an isomorphism $H^{0} \xrightarrow{\simeq} B_{q}$ of Hopf algebras.
Proof. This is easy to see, and is well known. Notice that the image of $\left(\operatorname{ad}_{c} x_{i}\right)^{1-a_{i j}}\left(x_{j}\right)$ in $B_{q}$ coincides with the left-hand side of (7.6) with plus-sign.

In particular we can identify via $g_{i}^{ \pm} \mapsto K_{i}^{ \pm 1}\left(i \in I_{+}\right)$the group $\Gamma\left(\simeq \mathbb{Z}^{n}\right)$ with the group generated by $K_{i}^{ \pm 1}$ in $B_{q}$.

In some places we will impose the following assumption.
$\left(\mathrm{C}_{q}\right) q^{2\left(d_{i}+d_{j}-d_{i} a_{i j}\right)} \neq 1$ for all $i \neq j$ in $I_{+}$
Theorem 7.4. Under the assumption $\left(\mathrm{C}_{q}\right)$, the restriction maps give a bijection

$$
\operatorname{ZCleft}\left(B_{q} ; Z\right) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \operatorname{Cleft}(Z \Gamma)\left(\simeq\left(Z^{\times}\right)\binom{n}{2},\right.
$$

and a $k$-linear isomorphism

$$
H^{2}\left(B_{q}, M\right) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^{2}(\Gamma, M)\left(\simeq M^{\binom{n}{2}}\right),
$$

where $Z \neq 0$ is a commutative algebra, and $M$ is an arbitrary $k$-vector space, regarded as the trivial module over $B_{q}$, and over $\Gamma$.

Proof. In the present situation,

$$
\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \Xi ; Z)=H^{2}\left(\Gamma, Z^{\times}\right), \quad \mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon}^{\lambda}(\Gamma, \Xi ; M)=H^{2}(\Gamma, M)
$$

since $\Theta=\Xi=\emptyset$. The theorem will follow from Theorems $6.3,6.4$, if we see that the assumptions (C1)-(C4) are satisfied. Obviously, (C1), (C2) are satisfied. (C3) is ensured by (7.1). Since we see from (7.9) that

$$
q_{i i} q_{j j}-q_{i j} q_{j i}=q^{2\left(d_{i}+d_{j}-d_{i} a_{i j}\right)}
$$

$(\mathrm{C} 4)$ is precisely $\left(\mathrm{C}_{q}\right)$.
Remark 7.5. Let $M$ be a trivial module over $\Gamma\left(\simeq \mathbb{Z}^{n}\right)$ as above. As is well known,

$$
\alpha \mapsto\left(\sigma\left(g_{j}, g_{i}\right)-\sigma\left(g_{i}, g_{j}\right)\right)_{i<j}
$$

gives an isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{2}(\Gamma, M) \simeq M^{\binom{n}{2}} \tag{7.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular we have

$$
\operatorname{Cleft}(Z \Gamma) \simeq H^{2}\left(\Gamma, Z^{\times}\right) \simeq\left(Z^{\times}\right)\binom{n}{2},
$$

where $Z \neq 0$ is a commutative algebra.
Notation 7.6. Let $I_{-}=-I_{+}=\{-1,-2, \ldots,-n\}$. Define $V_{-} \in{ }_{\Gamma}^{\Gamma} \mathcal{Y} \mathcal{D}$ by

$$
V_{-}=\left\{x_{-i}, g_{i}, \chi_{i}^{-1}\right\}_{i \in I_{+}}
$$

Set

$$
I=I_{+} \sqcup I_{-}, \quad V=V_{+} \oplus V_{-}\left(\in{ }_{\Gamma}^{\Gamma} \mathcal{Y} \mathcal{D}\right)
$$

We denote the associated braiding on $V$, which extends the $c$ on $V_{+}$given by (7.8), by the same symbol $c: V \otimes V \xrightarrow{\simeq} V \otimes V$.

Notice that $(V, c)$ is of diagonal type, with $c$ afforded by the matrix

$$
\mathbf{q}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{q}_{+} & \check{\mathbf{q}}_{+} \\
\mathbf{q}_{+} & \check{\mathbf{q}}_{+}
\end{array}\right), \text {where } \check{\mathbf{q}}_{+}=\left(q_{i j}^{-1}\right)_{i, j \in I_{+}}
$$

Here we have supposed that the indices in $I$ are in the order $1,2, \ldots, n,-1,-2, \ldots,-n$. Moreover, $\mathbf{q}$ is of Cartan type with respect to the GCM $\mathbb{A} \oplus \mathbb{A}$.

To construct, as in Section $4, H^{\lambda}$ from $V$, we decompose $I$ into $I_{1}=I_{-}$and $I_{2}=I_{+}$, which are mutually disconnected. Then,

$$
\Theta=\left\{(i,-j) \mid i, j \in I_{+}\right\}, \quad \Xi \supset\left\{(i,-i) \mid i \in I_{+}\right\}
$$

We can choose $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{i,-j}\right) \in k^{\Xi}$, so as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{i,-j}=\delta_{i j} \frac{1}{q_{i}-q_{i}^{-1}} \quad\left(i, j \in I_{+}\right) \tag{7.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define

$$
\mathcal{R}=\left\{\left(\operatorname{ad}_{c} x_{ \pm i}\right)^{1-a_{i j}}\left(x_{ \pm j}\right) \mid i, j \in I_{+}, i \neq j\right\}
$$

which consists of primitives in TV of Serre type, by the assumption (7.1). Therefore, $R^{\lambda}$ denotes the union of the set $\mathcal{R}$ with the elements

$$
\left(\operatorname{ad}_{c} x_{i}\right)\left(x_{-j}\right)-\delta_{i j} \frac{g_{i} g_{j}-1}{q_{i}-q_{i}^{-1}} \quad\left(i, j \in I_{+}\right)
$$

We thus construct

$$
H^{\lambda}=T V>\varangle \Gamma /\left(\mathcal{R}^{\lambda}\right)
$$

Lemma 7.7. $g_{i}^{ \pm 1} \mapsto K_{i}^{ \pm 1}, x_{i} \mapsto X_{i}^{+}, x_{-i} \mapsto X_{i}^{-} K_{i}\left(i \in I_{+}\right)$gives an isomorphism $H^{\lambda} \xrightarrow{\simeq} U_{q}$ of Hopf algebras.

Proof. This is also well known; a detailed proof can be found in [11, Bemerkung 4.2]. We only remark that the image of $\left(\operatorname{ad}_{c} x_{-i}\right)^{1-a_{i j}}\left(x_{-j}\right)$ coincides with the left-hand side of $(7,6)$ with minus-sign, modulo multiplication by a unit.

The isomorphism above transfers the natural filtration on $H^{\lambda}$ onto $U_{q}$, so that $U_{q}$ turns into a filtered Hopf algebra with respect to the filtration $\left(U_{q}\right)_{0} \subset\left(U_{q}\right)_{1} \subset \ldots$ in which $\left(U_{q}\right)_{r}$ is spanned by those monomials in $K_{i}^{ \pm 1}, X_{i}^{ \pm}\left(i \in I_{+}\right)$containing $X_{i}^{ \pm}$not more than $r$. Let $\operatorname{gr} U_{q}$ denote the associated graded Hopf algebra. On the other hand, let $\left(U_{q}\right)^{0}$ denote the (graded) Hopf algebra defined just as $U_{q}$ except that the relation (7.5) is replaced by

$$
X_{i}^{+} X_{j}^{-}-X_{j}^{-} X_{i}^{+}=0
$$

Theorem 7.8. (1) We have a canonical isomorphism $\left(U_{q}\right)^{0} \simeq \operatorname{gr} U_{q}$ of graded Hopf algebras.
(2) $U_{q}$ and $\left(U_{q}\right)^{0}$ are cocycle deformations of each other.

Proof. As in Section 2, we define $H^{0}=T V>\triangleleft \Gamma /\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right)$, where $\mathcal{R}^{0}$ is the union of the set $\mathcal{R}$ with the elements $\left(\operatorname{ad}_{c} x_{i}\right)\left(x_{-j}\right)\left(i, j \in I_{+}\right)$. Just as Lemma 7.7, we have an isomorphism $H^{0} \simeq\left(U_{q}\right)^{0}$ of graded Hopf algebras. The theorem now follows by Theorem 4.3 and Proposition 4.4.3.
Remark 7.9. Suppose that the GCM $\mathbb{A}$ is of finite type. In this case the result of Part 2 above was proved by Kassel and Schneider [16] in a different method. They also proved the same result for the Frobenius-Lusztig kernels $u_{q}$, which is a finitedimensional quotient Hopf algebra of $U_{q}$ defined when $q$ is a root of 1 ; this result also
follows from our Theorem 4.3, in the same way as above. Didt [7] obtained results on cocycle deformations of finite-dimensional Nichols algebras and their liftings. Among others, he obtained the result [7. Theorem 1] for those algebras defined with zero root vector parameters [2, 3, which generalizes the above-cited result for $u_{q}$. Even this result by Didt follows from our Theorem 4.3.

Let $Z \neq 0$ be a commutative algebra. Define

$$
\nabla=\left\{(i, j) \mid i, j \in I_{+}, i<j\right\}
$$

Then, $\# \nabla=\binom{n}{2}$. Let $u=\left(u_{i j}\right)_{i<j} \in\left(Z^{\times}\right)^{\nabla}$. We set

$$
u_{i i}=1, \quad u_{j i}=u_{i j}^{-1} \quad(i<j)
$$

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi(u)=\left\{(i, j) \in I_{+}^{2} \mid q^{d_{r}\left(a_{r i}-a_{r j}\right)}=u_{r i} u_{r j} \text { for all } r \in I_{+}\right\} \tag{7.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The group $\left(Z^{\times}\right)^{I_{+}}$acts on $Z^{\Xi(u)}$ from the right by

$$
\left(\mu^{\omega}\right)_{i j}=\mu_{i j} \omega_{i} \omega_{j} \quad((i, j) \in \Xi(u))
$$

where $\omega=\left(\omega_{i}\right) \in\left(Z^{\times}\right)^{I_{+}}, \mu=\left(\mu_{i j}\right) \in Z^{\Xi(u)}$. Let $Z^{\Xi(u)} /\left(Z^{\times}\right)^{I_{+}}$denote the set of all orbits.

Example 7.10. Assume $\left(\mathrm{C}_{q}\right)$. If $u=1$, or namely if $u_{i j}=1$ for all $i<j$, then

$$
\Xi(1)=\left\{(i, i) \mid i \in I_{+}\right\}
$$

since the condition given in (7.13) for $r=i, j$ implies $q^{2\left(d_{i}+d_{j}-d_{i} a_{i j}\right)}=1$. It follows that

$$
Z^{\Xi(1)} /\left(Z^{\times}\right)^{I_{+}} \simeq\left(Z /\left(Z^{\times}\right)^{2}\right)^{n}
$$

Definition 7.11. Given $\mu=\left(\mu_{i j}\right) \in Z^{\Xi(u)}$, let $A_{q}(u, \mu)$ denote the $Z$-algebra which is generated by the elements $\tilde{K}_{i}^{ \pm 1}, \tilde{X}_{i}^{ \pm}\left(i \in I_{+}\right)$, and is defined by the same relations as $(7.3),(7.4)\left(\right.$ with $K_{i}^{ \pm 1}, X_{i}^{ \pm}$replaced by $\left.\tilde{K}_{i}^{ \pm 1}, \tilde{X}_{i}^{ \pm}\right)$, and by the additional relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{K}_{j} \tilde{K}_{i}=u_{i j} \tilde{K}_{i} \tilde{K}_{j} \quad(i<j), \\
& \tilde{X}_{i}^{+} \tilde{X}_{j}^{-}-\tilde{X}_{j}^{-} \tilde{X}_{i}^{+}=\mu_{i j} \tilde{K}_{i}-\delta_{i j} \frac{\tilde{K}_{i}^{-1}}{q_{i}-q_{i}^{-1}}, \\
& \sum_{r=0}^{1-a_{i j}}(-1)^{r}\left[\begin{array}{c}
1-a_{i j} \\
r
\end{array}\right]_{i}\left(\tilde{X}_{i}^{+}\right)^{1-a_{i j}-r} \tilde{X}_{j}^{+}\left(\tilde{X}_{i}^{+}\right)^{r}=0(i \neq j), \\
& \sum_{r=0}^{1-a_{i j}}\left(-u_{i j}\right)^{r}\left[\begin{array}{c}
1-a_{i j} \\
r
\end{array}\right]_{i}\left(\tilde{X}_{i}^{-}\right)^{1-a_{i j}-r} \tilde{X}_{j}^{-}\left(\tilde{X}_{i}^{-}\right)^{r}=0(i \neq j) \tag{7.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition 7.12. $\rho\left(\tilde{K}_{i}^{ \pm 1}\right)=K_{i}^{ \pm 1} \otimes \tilde{K}_{i}^{ \pm 1}, \rho\left(\tilde{X}_{i}^{+}\right)=X_{i}^{+} \otimes 1+K_{i} \otimes \tilde{X}_{i}^{+}, \rho\left(\tilde{X}_{i}^{-}\right)=$ $X_{i}^{-} \otimes \tilde{K}_{i}^{-1}+1 \otimes \tilde{X}_{i}^{-}$define a $Z$-algebra map

$$
\rho: A_{q}(u, \mu) \rightarrow U_{q} \otimes A_{q}(u, \mu)
$$

by which $A_{q}(u, \mu)$ is a central $U_{q}$-cleft extensions over $Z$.

Proof. Choose $\sigma \in Z^{2}\left(\Gamma, Z^{\times}\right)$whose cohomology class corresponds to $u$ via (7.11); thus, $\sigma\left(g_{i}, g_{j}\right) / \sigma\left(g_{j}, g_{i}\right)=u_{i j}$. Then, $\Xi(u) \simeq \Xi(\sigma)$ via $(i, j) \mapsto(i,-j)$, by which we can regard $\mu \in \Xi(\sigma)$. Then one can construct the $H^{\lambda} \otimes Z$-cleft object $A^{\lambda}(\sigma, \mu)$ as in Definition 4.7, where $\lambda$ is kept as in (7.12). Notice that (7.14) is equivalent to

$$
\sum_{r=0}^{1-a_{i j}}(-1)^{r}\left[\begin{array}{c}
1-a_{i j} \\
r
\end{array}\right]_{i}\left(\tilde{X}_{i}^{-} \tilde{K}_{i}\right)^{1-a_{i j}-r}\left(\tilde{X}_{j}^{-} \tilde{K}_{j}\right)\left(\tilde{X}_{i}^{-} \tilde{K}_{i}\right)^{r}=0
$$

Then one can see that the $Z$-algebra map $T(V \otimes Z) \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma \rightarrow A_{q}(u, \mu)$ given by $\bar{g}_{i}^{ \pm 1} \mapsto$ $\tilde{K}_{i}^{ \pm 1}, x_{i} \mapsto \tilde{X}_{i}^{+}, x_{-i} \mapsto \tilde{X}_{i}^{-} \tilde{K}_{i}\left(i \in I_{+}\right)$induces a $Z$-algebra isomorphism $A^{\lambda}(\sigma, \mu) \simeq$ $A_{q}(u, \mu)$, by which and by $H^{\lambda} \simeq U_{q}$, the $H^{\lambda}$-comodule algebra structure on $A^{\lambda}(\sigma, \mu)$ is transferred to the $U_{q}$-comodule algebra structure on $A_{q}(u, u)$ prescribed above. This proves the proposition.

Theorem 7.13. Assume $\left(\mathrm{C}_{q}\right)$. Then the maps $Z^{\Xi(u)} \rightarrow \operatorname{ZCleft}\left(U_{q} ; Z\right)(u \in$ $\left.\left(Z^{\times}\right)^{\nabla}\right)$ defined by $\mu \mapsto A_{q}(u, \mu)$ give rise to a bijection

$$
\bigsqcup_{u \in\left(Z^{\times}\right)^{\nabla}} Z^{\Xi(u)} /\left(Z^{\times}\right)^{I_{+}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \operatorname{ZCleft}\left(U_{q} ; Z\right)
$$

Proof. The assumptions (C1)-(C4) are satisfied, as is seen in a similar way of the proof of Theorem 7.4. We remark in particular that $(\mathrm{C} 4)$ is still equivalent to $\left(\mathrm{C}_{q}\right)$ in the present situation.

Given $(\sigma, \mu) \in \mathcal{Z}(\Gamma, \Xi ; Z)$, suppose that the cohomology class of $\sigma$ corresponds to $u \in\left(Z^{\times}\right)^{\nabla}$. Assign then $\mu \in Z^{\Xi(u)}$ to $(\sigma, \mu)$, identifying $\Xi(u)=\Xi(\sigma)$. One sees that this assignment gives rise to a map

$$
\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \Xi ; Z) \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{u} Z^{\Xi(u)} /\left(Z^{\times}\right)^{\nabla}
$$

By Theorem 6.3 and the proof of Proposition 7.2, it suffices to prove that this map is bijective. The surjectivity is obvious. For the injectivity, suppose that $(\sigma, \mu)$, $\left(\sigma^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime}\right)$ have the same image. Then, $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ are cohomologous, and we have $\eta\left(g_{i}\right) \in Z^{\times}\left(i \in I_{+}\right)$such that

$$
\mu_{i j}^{\prime}=\mu_{i j} \eta\left(g_{i}\right) \eta\left(g_{j}\right) \quad((i, j) \in \Xi(u))
$$

We should prove that $g_{i} \mapsto \eta\left(g_{i}\right)$ can extend to a 1 -cochain $\eta: \Gamma \rightarrow Z^{\times}$such that $\sigma^{\prime}=\sigma(\partial \eta)$. This is possible by the freeness of $\Gamma$. In fact, suppose that in a (necessarily split) extension $Z^{\times} \mapsto G \rightarrow \Gamma$ of abelian groups, a section $g \mapsto \tilde{g}$, $\Gamma \rightarrow G$ affords a 2-coboundary $\tau: \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow Z^{\times}$. The group homomorphism $f: Z^{\times} \times \Gamma \rightarrow G$ uniquely determined by

$$
\left.f\right|_{Z \times}=\operatorname{id}, \quad f\left(g_{i}\right)=\eta\left(g_{i}\right) \tilde{g}_{i} \quad\left(i \in I_{+}\right)
$$

gives an equivalence $Z^{\times} \times \Gamma \xrightarrow{\simeq} G$ of extensions. This implies $\tau=\partial \eta$. We can apply this result for $\tau$ to $\sigma^{\prime} \sigma^{-1}$, since

$$
\frac{\sigma^{\prime} \sigma^{-1}\left(g_{i}, g_{j}\right)}{\sigma^{\prime} \sigma^{-1}\left(g_{j}, g_{i}\right)}=\frac{u_{i j}}{u_{i j}}=1
$$

Remark 7.14. Günther [11, Satz 4.9, Lemma 4.11] determined the set $\operatorname{ZCleft}\left(U_{q} ; Z\right)$, assuming that the GCM $\mathbb{A}$ is of finite type. Our parametrization by $(u, \mu)$ is essentially the same as Günther's. But, he supposes, with our notation, that $\mu$ can take non-zero values outside $\Xi(u)$, unless $Z$ is an integral domain; this is wrong.

Theorem 7.15. Assume $\left(\mathrm{C}_{q}\right)$, and that the characteristic ch $k \neq 2$. Then for any trivial $U_{q}$-module $M$,

$$
H^{2}\left(U_{q}, M\right)=0
$$

Proof. Since the assumptions (C1)-(C4) are satisfied (see the proof of Theorem $7.13)$, it suffices by Theorem 6.4 to prove that $\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon}^{\lambda}(\Gamma, \Xi ; M)$ contains only one element.

We see from Example 7.10 that under $\left(C_{q}\right)$,

$$
\Xi=\Xi_{\lambda}=\left\{(i,-i) \mid i \in I_{+}\right\}
$$

Let $(s, m) \in \mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon}^{\lambda}(\Gamma, \Xi ; M)$. Then, $s \in Z^{2}(\Gamma, M), m=\left(m_{i,-i}\right)_{i \in I_{+}}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 s\left(g, g_{i}\right)=2 s\left(g_{i}, g\right) \quad\left(i \in I_{+}, g \in \Gamma\right) \tag{7.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Take another $\left(s^{\prime}, m^{\prime}\right)$. We have to find a 1-cochain $t: \Gamma \rightarrow M$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
s^{\prime} & =s+\partial t  \tag{7.16}\\
m_{i,-i}^{\prime} & =\lambda_{i,-i} 2 t\left(g_{i}\right)+m_{i,-i} \quad\left(i \in I_{+}\right) \tag{7.17}
\end{align*}
$$

We can choose elements $t\left(g_{i}\right) \in M\left(i \in I_{+}\right)$satisfying (7.17), since we assume ch $k \neq 2$. The assumption and (7.15) imply that $s\left(g_{j}, g_{i}\right)=s\left(g_{i}, g_{j}\right)$ for all $i<j$. Just as in the last part of the proof of Theorem 7.13, $g_{i} \mapsto t\left(g_{i}\right)$ can extend to a 1 -cochain $t$ satisfying (7.16).

Remark 7.16. (1) Suppose $\operatorname{ch} k \neq 2$. For any trivial $U_{q}$-module $M$,

$$
H^{1}\left(U_{q}, M\right)=0
$$

since we see that an augmented algebra map $U_{q} \rightarrow k_{M}$ is necessarily trivial; see [27, Sect. 9.2].
(2) Suppose that the GCM $\mathbb{A}$ is of finite type. We choose $\mathbb{D}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\cdots d_{i} \cdots\right)$ in the standard way, and so $d_{i} \in\{1,2,3\}$, in particular. Suppose ch $k=0$, and that $q$ is transcendental over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then every finite-dimensional $U_{q}$-module is semisimple [13, 5.17 Theorem]. Let $M$ be a finite-dimensional simple $U_{q}$-module. Then we see just as in the classical, Lie-algebra situation [27, Theorem 7.8.9], that if $M \neq k$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{i}\left(U_{q}, M\right)=\operatorname{Ext}_{U_{q}}^{i}(k, M)=0 \quad(i \geq 0) \tag{7.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

since the center $Z\left(U_{q}\right)$ of $U_{q}$ acts on $M$ through a non-trivial algebra map $Z\left(U_{q}\right) \rightarrow$ $k$, while it acts on $k$ through the trivial $Z\left(U_{q}\right) \rightarrow k$; see [13, 6.26 Claim]. By Theorem 7.15 and Part 1 above, the equation (7.18) holds true when $i=1,2$, even if $M=k$. This result is a quantum analogue of Whitehead's lemmas [15, Corollary XVIII.3.2], [27, Corollaries 7.8.10, 7.8.12].
(3) It is possible to apply some classification result of non-central cleft extensions to compute the 2 nd cohomology with non-trivial coefficients. For example, let $T$ be Taft's Hopf algebra of dimension $N^{2}$, supposing that $k$ contains a primitive $N$-th root $\zeta$ of 1 . If we let $\Gamma=\langle g\rangle$ denote the cyclic group of order $N$ with a generator $g$, and let $\chi \in \hat{\Gamma}$ such that $\chi(g)=\zeta$, then $T=k[x] /\left(x^{N}\right)>\varangle \Gamma$ in which $x$ spans an object $\{x, g, \chi\}$ in $\Gamma_{\Gamma}^{\Gamma} \mathcal{D}$. There are precisely $N$ simple $T$-modules $k(i)(0 \leq i<N)$,
which is 1-dimensional and arises from the character $\chi^{i}$. From the results in [18] on $T$-cleft extensions, we see

$$
H^{2}(T, k(i))= \begin{cases}k & (i=0) \\ 0 & (0<i<N)\end{cases}
$$

Ştefan [25, Theorem 2.8] proved this result, supposing that $N$ is a prime.

## References

[1] N. Andruskiewitsch and H.-J. Schneider, Finite quantum groups and Cartan matrices, Adv. Math. 154 (2000), 1-45.
[2] N. Andruskiewitsch and H.-J. Schneider, Pointed Hopf algebras, in: Recent developments in Hopf algebra theory, MSRI Publ. 43 (2002), Cambridge Univ. Press, pp. 1-68.
[3] N. Andruskiewitsch and H.-J. Schneider, On the classification of finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebras, Ann. Math., to appear.
[4] G.M. Bergman, The diamond lemma for ring theory, Adv. Math. 29 (1978), 178-218.
[5] J. Bichon, Galois and biGalois objects over monomial non-semisimple Hopf algebras, J. Algebra Appl. 5 (2006), 653-680.
[6] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, "Homological Algebra", Princeton Univ. Press, 1956.
[7] D. Didt, Pointed Hopf algebras and quasi-isomorphisms, Algebr. Represent. Theory 8 (2005), 347-362.
[8] Y. Doi, Equivalent crossed products for a Hopf algebra, Comm. Algebra 17 (1989), 3053-3085.
[9] Y. Doi, Braided bialgebras and quadratic bialgebras, Comm. Algebra 21 (1993), 1731-1749.
[10] Y. Doi and M. Takeuchi, Cleft comodule algebras for a bialgebra, Comm. Algebra 14 (1986), 801-817.
[11] R. Günther, Verschränkte Produkte für punktierte Hopfalgebren, Ph.D. thesis, Universität München, 1999.
[12] R. Günther, Crossed products for pointed Hopf algebras, Comm. Algebra 27 (1999), 43894410.
[13] J.C. Jantzen, "Lectures on Quantum Groups", Grad. Studies in Math. Vol. 6, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995.
[14] M. Jimbo, A q-difference analogue of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and the Yang-Baxter equation, Lett. Math. Phys. 10 (1985), 63-69.
[15] C. Kassel, "Quantum Groups", Grad. Texts in Math. 155, Springer, 1995.
[16] C. Kassel and H.-J. Schneider, Homotopy theory of Hopf Galois extensions, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble 55 (2005), 2521-2550.
[17] S. MacLane, "Homology", Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 114, Springer, 1967.
[18] A. Masuoka, Cleft extensions for a Hopf algebra generated by a nearly primitive element, Comm. Algebra 22 (1994), 4537-4559.
[19] A. Masuoka, Hopf cohomology vanishing via approximation by Hochschild cohomology, Banach Center Publ. 61 (2003), 111-123.
[20] A. Masuoka and T. Oka, Unipotent algebraic affine supergroups and nilpotent Lie superalgebras, Algebr. Represent. Theory 8 (2005), 397-413.
[21] S. Montgomery, "Hopf algebras and Their Actions of Rings", CBMS Conf. Series in Math. 82, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.
[22] D. Radford, The structure of Hopf algebras with a projection, J. Algebra 92 (1985), 322-347.
[23] P. Schauenburg, Hopf Bigalois extensions, Comm. Algebra 24 (1996), 3797-3825.
[24] P. Schauenburg, Galois objects over generalized Drinfeld doubles, with application to $u_{q}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}\right)$, J. Algebra 217 (1999), 584-598.
[25] D. Ştefan, Hochschild cohomology and the coradical filtration of pointed coalgebras: applications, J. Algebra 210 (1998), 535-556.
[26] M. Takeuchi, $\sqrt{\text { Morita }}$ theory, J. Math. Soc. Japan 39 (1987), 301-336.
[27] C. Weibel, "An Introduction to Homological Algebra", Cambridge Studies in Advanced Math. 38, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1994.

Institute of Mathematics, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8571 Japan
E-mail address: akira@math.tsukuba.ac.jp


[^0]:    2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16W30, 17B37.
    Key words and phrases. Hopf algebra, quantized enveloping algebra, cleft extension, the second cohomology, cocycle deformation.

