

# Rankin-Cohen Deformations and Representation Theory

Yi-Jun YAO\*

October 30, 2018

## Abstract

In this paper, we use the unitary representation theory of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  to understand the Rankin-Cohen brackets for modular forms. Then we use this interpretation to study the corresponding deformation problems that Paula Cohen, Yuri Manin and Don Zagier initiated. Two uniqueness results are established.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\Gamma$  be a congruence subgroup of  $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ . For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , a modular form of weight  $2k$  is a complex function  $f$  on the upper half plane  $\mathbb{H}$  which satisfies([16]):

- (holomorphy)  $f$  is holomorphic.
- (modularity) For  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$  and  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ ,  $f|_{2k} \gamma = f$ , where

$$\left(f|_{2k} \gamma\right)(z) = (cz + d)^{-2k} f\left(\frac{az + b}{cz + d}\right), \quad (1)$$

---

\*Keywords: modular forms—Rankin-Cohen brackets—Representation Theory —Rankin-Cohen deformation

- (growth condition at the boundary) We ask that  $|f(z)|$  would be controlled by a polynomial in  $\max\{1, \operatorname{Im}(z)^{-1}\}$ .

We note by  $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma) = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{M}_{2k}(\Gamma)$  the graded algebra (by the weight) of modular forms with respect to this group.

In the 50's Rankin began the study of bidifferential operators over  $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$  which produce new modular forms, and twenty years later Henri Cohen gave a complete answer (cf. [4]) by proving that all these operators are linear combinations of the following brackets

$$[f, g]_n = \sum_{r=0}^n (-1)^r \binom{n+2k-1}{n-r} \binom{n+2l-1}{r} f^{(r)} g^{(n-r)} \in \mathcal{M}_{2k+2l+2n}(\Gamma), \quad (2)$$

where  $f \in \mathcal{M}_{2k}$  and  $g \in \mathcal{M}_{2l}$  are two modular forms, and  $f^{(r)} = \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right)^r f$ .

These brackets attracted interest of several authors. In [30], Zagier used the Ramanujan derivation  $X : \mathcal{M}_{2k} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{2k+2}$ :

$$Xf = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{df}{dz} - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\log \eta^4) \cdot kf. \quad (3)$$

and introduced two series of elements by induction:

$$f_{r+1} = \partial f_r + r(r+2k-1)\Phi f_{r-1}, \quad g_{s+1} = \partial g_s + s(s+2l-1)\Phi g_{s-1}, \quad (4)$$

where  $\Phi = \frac{1}{144} E_4 \in \mathcal{M}_4$  and  $E_4$  is the Eisenstein series of weight 4. He showed that

$$\sum_{r=0}^n (-1)^r \binom{n+2k-1}{n-r} \binom{n+2l-1}{r} f_r g_{n-r} = [f, g]_n, \quad (5)$$

which turned the modularity of  $[f, g]_n$  obvious as all the  $f_r$  and  $g_{n-r}$  are modular.

Moreover, he showed that for all associative  $\mathbb{Z}$  (or  $\mathbb{N}$ )-graded algebra having a derivation which increase the degree by 2, and for all element  $\Phi$  of degree 4, the formula (5) defines a *canonical Rankin-Cohen algebra* structure.

**Remark 1** When  $\Phi = 0$ , the situation is simplified to what Zagier called standard Rankin-Cohen algebra.

**Remark 2** We remind the readers that in the above definitions only the modularity is used, so we can do the same for nonholomorphic functions.

About the same time, Paula Cohen, Yuri Manin and Don Zagier established a bijective correspondance between the modular forms and the invariant formal pseudodifferential operators, They showed that the following formula (plus linear extension) defines an associative product over  $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)[[\hbar]]$ : for two modular forms  $f \in \mathcal{M}_{2k}$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{M}_{2l}$ ,

$$\mu^\kappa(f, g) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_n^\kappa(k, l)[f, g]_n, \quad (6)$$

where the coefficients are given by

$$t_n^\kappa(k, l) = \left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)^n \sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{n}{2j} \frac{\binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{j} \binom{\kappa - \frac{3}{2}}{j} \binom{\frac{1}{2} - \kappa}{j}}{\binom{-k - \frac{1}{2}}{j} \binom{-l - \frac{1}{2}}{j} \binom{n + k + l - \frac{3}{2}}{j}}. \quad (7)$$

A special case is when  $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$  or  $\frac{3}{2}$ , and the product is reduced to what Eholzer claimed to be an associative product

$$f \star g := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [f, g]_n. \quad (8)$$

**Remark 3** In this formulation, only the modularity of  $f$  is used, we do not need neither holomorphy, nor the growth condition near the boundary.

In 2003, Connes and Moscovici related the Hopf algebra  $\mathcal{H}_1$  introduced their study of transversal index theory, which governs the local symmetry in calculating the index of a transversal elliptic operator, to the Rankin-Cohen brackets. By taking into account the work of Cohen-Manin-Zagier and of Eholzer, especially (8), Connes and Moscovici proved a theorem stating that for every  $\mathcal{H}_1$  on an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  with certain extra structure, there exists a family

of formal deformations of  $\mathcal{A}$  where the general terms of the deformed products are defined by some generalized Rankin-Cohen brackets ([12])

In a joint work with P.Bieliavsky and X.Tang([2]), we have studied the deformation question from a quite different point of view. We used the deformation quantization theory of Fedosov to construct a realization of Rankin-Cohen deformations. More precisely we found a specific symplectic connection on the upper half plane and on the corresponding Weyl algebra we found the same induction relation as that of Connes-Moscovici while calculating the deformed product. Then by an analogous argument, we re-obtain the above theorem of Connes-Moscovici.

In this paper, we study the brackets via the unitary representation theory of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  and then apply the results thus obtained to the deformation questions.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: first a (relatively) explicit interpretation of the Rankin-Cohen brackets are given via the representation theory of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ . The principal result is the following theorem: <sup>1</sup>

**Theorem.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}_{2k}, g \in \mathcal{M}_{2l}$  be two modular forms. Let  $\pi_f \cong \pi_{\deg f}, \pi_g \cong \pi_{\deg g}$  be the corresponding discrete series representations of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ . The tensor product of these two representations can be decomposed into a direct sum of discrete series,*

$$\pi_f \otimes \pi_g = \bigoplus_{n=0} \pi_{\deg f + \deg g + 2n}. \quad (9)$$

*The Rankin-Cohen bracket  $[f, g]_n$  gives (up to scale) the vectors of minimal  $K$ -weight in the representation space of the component  $\pi_{\deg f + \deg g + 2n}$ ;*

These representations are constructed in the following way: let  $f \in \mathcal{M}_{2k}(\Gamma)$  be a modular form, we associate to it a function on  $\Gamma \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  by using the following map: for  $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$(\sigma_{2k}f)(g) = f \Big|_k g(i) = (ci + d)^{-2k} f \left( \frac{ai + b}{ci + d} \right). \quad (10)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>The specialists in the domain certainly know this long time ago, as showed by a remark that Deligne made in 1973 (cf. Remark 10), but before finish writing my Ph.D. thesis(Nov. 2006), I had not found any detailed presentation of the this result.

This function belongs to

$$C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{R}), 2k) = \{F \in C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{R})), F(gr_\theta) = \exp(i2k\theta)F(g)\}.$$

By taking into account the natural right action of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  on  $C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{R}))$ :

$$(\pi(h)F)(g) = F(gh), \quad (11)$$

we obtain a representation of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  and so of the complexified Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$  by taking the smallest invariant subspace which contains the orbit of  $\sigma_{2k}f$ . We show that this representation is a discrete series of weight  $2k$ . In the end, we pull all the vectors in a basis of the representation space back to a subspace of  $C^\infty(\mathbb{H})$  by using the inverse of the  $\sigma_{2(k+n)}$ 's,  $n \geq 0$ .

Then we use this representation theory interpretation to study certain properties of the deformed products, and mainly we can get the next two results:

**Theorem.** *Cohen-Manin-Zagier have found all formal deformed associative products  $*$  :  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}[[\hbar]] \times \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}[[\hbar]] \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}[[\hbar]]$  defined by linear extension and the formula*

$$f * g = \sum \frac{A_n(\deg f, \deg g)}{(\deg f)_n (\deg g)_n} [f, g]_n \hbar^n, \quad (12)$$

where  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  is the space of functions which satisfy the modularity condition, and the notation  $(\alpha)_n := \alpha(\alpha + 1) \cdots (\alpha + n - 1)$ . We ask moreover  $A_0 = 1$  and  $A_1(x, y) = xy$ .

**Proposition.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a congruence subgroup of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$  admits the unique factorization property (for example  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  itself), let  $F_1, F_2, G_1, G_2 \in \mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$  such that*

$$RC(F_1, G_1) = RC(F_2, G_2), \quad (13)$$

as formal series in  $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)[[\hbar]]$ , then there exists a constant  $C$  such that

$$F_1 = CF_2, G_2 = CG_1. \quad (14)$$

**Acknowledgement:** The author would like to thank his Ph.D. advisor Alain Connes for guiding him into this interesting area and for his constant support. He wants to thank Don Zagier for his inspiring course given at Collège de France and to Henri Moscovici, Frédéric Paugam, Jean-Pierre Labesse and François Martin for having helped him on several important points.

## 2 From modular forms to discrete series

In this part we will describe another way to understand these Rankin-Cohen brackets. We will partially follow the argument that Jean-Pierre Labesse indicated ([20]):

Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}_{2k}(\Gamma)$  be a modular form of weight  $2k$  with respect to a congruence subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . We will associate a  $\Gamma$ -invariant function over  $\Gamma \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  to it.

We define

$$(\sigma_{2k}f)(g) = f \Big|_k g(i) = (ci + d)^{-2k} f \left( \frac{ai + b}{ci + d} \right), \quad (15)$$

for  $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ . This function is invariant under the left translation of the group  $\Gamma$ : let  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $f|_k \gamma g = (f|_k \gamma)|_k g = f|_k g$ .

We verify also that for

$$r_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{R}), \quad (16)$$

we have

$$(\sigma_{2k}f)(gr_\theta) = \exp(i2k\theta)(\sigma_{2k}f)(g). \quad (17)$$

In fact,  $\sigma_{2k}$  gives a bijection between

$$C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}, 2k) = \left\{ F \in C^\infty(\mathbb{H}), f(\gamma.z) = (cz + d)^{2k} f(z), \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma \right\}. \quad (18)$$

and

$$C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{R}), 2k) = \{F \in C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{R})), F(gr_\theta) = \exp(i2k\theta)F(g)\}. \quad (19)$$

Take the space of smooth functions  $C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{R}))$ , we have a natural right action of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  on  $\Gamma \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ : for  $F \in C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{R}))$ ,

$$(\pi(h)F)(g) = F(gh). \quad (20)$$

We take the smallest invariant subspace under the action of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  which contains the orbit of  $\sigma_{2k}f$  for a form  $f \in \mathcal{M}_{2k}$ , and we are interested in the action of Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$  on this space. We adopt the notations that S. Lang use in his book [19]. A basis of this Lie algebra is

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad W = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (21)$$

while a basis for the complexified Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$  is

$$E_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_- = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ -i & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad W = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(tV) &= \begin{pmatrix} \cosh t & \sinh t \\ \sinh t & \cosh t \end{pmatrix}, \quad \exp(tH) = \begin{pmatrix} \exp t & 0 \\ 0 & \exp(-t) \end{pmatrix}, \\ \exp(tE_+) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1+t & it \\ it & 1-t \end{pmatrix}, \quad \exp(tE_-) = \begin{pmatrix} 1+t & -it \\ -it & 1-t \end{pmatrix}, \\ \exp(tW) &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & \sin t \\ -\sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Now we take an arbitrary holomorphic function  $\xi$  over the upper half plane  $\mathbb{H}$ , for *all*  $k$ , we define

$$(F_k\xi)(g) := (\sigma_{2k}\xi)(g).$$

We calculate first the action of the base vectors described above on  $F_k\xi$ . We find

$$\begin{aligned}
(L_V F_k \xi)(g) &= (-2k) \frac{di + c}{ci + d} (F_k \xi)(g) + 2 \left( F_{k+1} \frac{d\xi}{dz} \right) (g), \\
(L_H F_k \xi)(g) &= (-2k) \frac{ci - d}{ci + d} (F_k \xi)(g) + 2i \left( F_{k+1} \frac{d\xi}{dz} \right) (g),
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{E_+}(F_k \xi)(g) &= 2 \left[ (-2k) \frac{ci - d}{ci + d} (F_k \xi)(g) + 2i \left( F_{k+1} \frac{d\xi}{dz} \right) (g) \right], \\
L_{E_-}(F_k \xi)(g) &= (L_H - iL_V)(F_k \xi)(g) = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

And we have also

$$(L_W F_k \xi)(g) = 2ki(\sigma_{2k} \xi)(g) = 2ki(F_k \xi)(g). \tag{26}$$

So by induction, we have

**Lemma 4** For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

1.  $(L_{E_+})^n(F_k \xi) = 2^n \sum_{t=0}^n (-1)^{n-t} \frac{n!}{t!} \binom{2k+n-1}{n-t} \left( \frac{ci-d}{ci+d} \right)^{n-t} (2i)^t \left( F_{k+t} \frac{d^t \xi}{dz^t} \right) (g);$
2.  $L_W(L_{E_+})^n(F_k \xi)(g) = (2k+2n)i(L_{E_+})^n(F_k \xi)(g);$
3.  $L_{E_-}(L_{E_+})^n(F_k \xi)(g) = -4n(2k+n-1)(L_{E_+})^{n-1}(F_k \xi)(g).$

Next we calculate the action of the Casimir operator defined by

$$\omega = V^2 + H^2 - W^2 = \frac{1}{2}(E_+ E_- + E_- E_+) - W^2. \tag{27}$$

The above calculation shows that for each vector  $(L_{E_+})^n F_k \xi$

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega(L_{E_+})^n F_k \xi &= \frac{1}{2}[-4n(2k+n-1) - 4(n+1)(2k+n)](L_{E_+})^n F_k \xi + (2k+2n)^2 (L_{E_+})^n F_k \xi \\
&= 4k(k-1)(L_{E_+})^n F_k \xi.
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$



Thus the Casimir acts on the space generated by the  $(L_{E_+})^n F_k \xi$ 's as constant.

If we start by a modular form  $f$  (so a holomorphic function) of weight  $2k$  and form a vector space generated by the functions  $(L_{E_+})^n F_k f$ . The above argument shows then  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$  also acts and the Casimir acts as the multiplication by the constant  $4k^2 - 4k$ . So we have a representation of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ .

Now we prove its irreducibility: for all operator  $T$  which commutes with the representation,  $[T, E_-] = 0$  implies that for the vector of minimal weight  $F_k f$ ,  $T F_k f$  is still a vector of minimal weight (for it's sent to zero by  $E_-$ ), so there is a constant  $\lambda$  such that  $T F_k f = \lambda F_k f$ . By the same argument, by  $E_- T(E_+ F_k f) = T E_-(E_+ F_k f) = T(8k F_k f) = 8k \lambda T_k f$ , we have  $T(E_+ F_k f) = \lambda E_+ F_k f$ . So by induction we show that  $T$  acts by constant, the representation is therefore irreducible. The representation theory of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  implies (cf. [19], [28]):

**Proposition 5** *What we have constructed is an irreducible representation of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$  which is the infinitesimal version of the discrete series of the group  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  of weight  $2k$ .*

When we take all these functions of  $C^\infty(SL_2(\mathbb{R}))$  back to the space  $C^\infty(\mathbb{H})$  by using the bijectivity of the maps  $\sigma_{2k+2n}$ , we get a representation of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ , denoted by  $\pi_f$ . We denote by  $E_+, E_-, W$  the operators which correspond to  $L_{E_+}, L_{E_-}, L_W$ .

First by

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_{2k+2} E_+ f)(g) &= L_{E_+}(\sigma_{2k} f)(g) \\ &= 2 \left[ (-2k) \frac{ci - d}{ci + d} (\sigma_{2k} f)(g) + 2i \left( \sigma_{2k+2} \frac{df}{dz} \right) (g) \right] \\ &= 2 \left[ 2k \frac{1}{ai + b} \sigma_{2k+2} f + 2i \sigma_{2k+2} \frac{df}{dz} \right] (g), \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

we can define

$$\tilde{X}f := -\frac{1}{8\pi} (E_+)f = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{df}{dz} - \frac{2kf}{4\pi Imz}, \quad (30)$$

which is called Shimura operator by some authors and played an important role in Henri Cohen's paper [4].

In fact we can verify directly that

**Lemma 6** *Let  $f$  be a differentiable function such that*

$$f\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = (cz+d)^{2k}f(z),$$

we have,

$$\tilde{X}f\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = (cz+d)^{2k+2}\tilde{X}f(z).$$

**Proof.** It's sufficient to use

$$\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d} \cdot \frac{c\bar{z}+d}{c\bar{z}+d}\right) = \frac{\operatorname{Im}z}{|cz+d|^2}. \quad (31)$$

The claim can be obtained by the following calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{X}f\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( f\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) \right) / \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \frac{az+b}{cz+d} \right) - \frac{2k}{4\pi \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right)} f\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left[ (cz+d)^{2k} \frac{df}{dz} + 2k(cz+d)^{2k-1} f(z) \right] (cz+d)^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{2k}{4\pi} \frac{(cz+d)(c\bar{z}+d)}{\operatorname{Im}z} (cz+d)^{2k} f(z) \\ &= (cz+d)^{2k+2} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{df}{dz} + (cz+d)^{2k+1} \frac{2k}{4\pi \operatorname{Im}z} (cz+d) f(z) \\ &= (cz+d)^{2k+2} \tilde{X}f(z). \square \end{aligned}$$

By reiterating this operation, we get the following correspondence:

$$\left(-\frac{1}{8\pi}\right)^n \frac{1}{2k \cdots (2k+n-1)} (E_+)^n f \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{2k \cdots (2k+n-1)} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \frac{Y}{2\pi \operatorname{Im}z} \right)^n f.$$

where  $Yf = kf$  is the Euler operator. Using the representation theory of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ , we can choose the vectors on the right hand side to form a basis, we can write

$$\varphi_n = \frac{1}{2k \cdots (2k+n-1)} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \frac{Y}{2\pi \operatorname{Im} z} \right)^n f, \quad (32)$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The action of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$  is given by

$$E_+ \varphi_n = (-8\pi)(2k+n)\varphi_{n+1}, \quad (33)$$

$$E_- \varphi_n = \frac{n}{2\pi} \varphi_{n-1}, \quad (34)$$

$$W \varphi_n = 2ni\varphi_n. \quad (35)$$

We introduce an operator  $\tilde{\partial}$  such that  $\tilde{\partial}\varphi_n = \varphi_{n+1}$ , then

$$\varphi_n = \tilde{\partial}^n \varphi_0 = \tilde{\partial}^n f. \quad (36)$$

And moreover we have

**Lemma 7** *Let  $f$  be a smooth function which satisfies the modularity condition of weight  $2k$ , then,*

$$f^{(m)} := \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right)^m f = m! \sum_{r=0}^m \frac{1}{(4\pi y)^r} \frac{\tilde{X}^{m-r}}{(m-r)!} \binom{2k+m-1}{r} f. \quad (37)$$

This implies exactly

$$[f, g]_n = \sum_{r=0}^n (-1)^r \tilde{X}^r \binom{2k+n-1}{n-r} f \tilde{X}^{n-r} \binom{2l+n-1}{r} g, \quad (38)$$

for  $f \in \mathcal{M}_{2k}$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{M}_{2l}$ , because

$$\begin{aligned} [f, g]_n &= \sum_{r=0}^n (-1)^r \binom{n+2k-1}{n-r} \binom{n+2l-1}{r} f^{(r)} g^{(n-r)} \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^n (-1)^r \binom{n+2k-1}{n-r} \binom{n+2l-1}{r} \\ &\quad \left( r! \sum_{s=0}^r \frac{1}{(4\pi y)^s} \binom{2k+r-1}{s} \frac{\tilde{X}^{r-s}}{(r-s)!} f \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( (n-r)! \sum_{t=0}^{n-r} \frac{1}{(4\pi y)^t} \binom{2l+n-r-1}{t} \frac{\tilde{X}^{n-r-t}}{(n-r-t)!} g \right) \\
= & \sum_{s,t} \frac{1}{(4\pi y)^{s+t}} \left( \sum_{r=s}^{n-t} (-1)^r \binom{n+2k-1}{n-r} \binom{n+2l-1}{r} \frac{r!}{(r-s)!} \frac{(n-r)!}{(n-r-t)!} \right. \\
& \left. \binom{2k+r-1}{s} \binom{2l+n-r-1}{t} \tilde{X}^{r-s} f \tilde{X}^{n-r-t} g \right)
\end{aligned}$$

It's clear that when  $u = s+t, v = r-s$  (and so  $n-r-t = n-u-v$ ) are all fixed, the coefficient of  $\tilde{X}^v f \tilde{X}^{n-u-v} g$  is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_s (-1)^{s+v} \binom{n+2k-1}{n-v-s} \binom{n+2l-1}{v+s} \\
& \frac{(v+s)! (n-v-s)!}{v! (n-v-u)!} \binom{2k+v+s-1}{s} \binom{2l+n-v-s-1}{u-s} \\
= & (-1)^v \sum_s (-1)^s \frac{(n+2k-1)!}{(2k+v+s-1)! (n-v-s)!} \frac{(2l+n-v-s-1)!}{(n+2l-1)!} \\
& \frac{(v+s)! (n-v-s)! (2k+v+s-1)!}{v! (n-v-u)! s! (2k+v-1)!} \frac{(2l+n-v-s-1)!}{(u-s)! (2l+n-u-v-1)!} \\
= & (-1)^v \sum_s (-1)^s \frac{(n+2k-1)! (n+2l-1)!}{(2k+v-1)! v! (n-v-u)! (2l+n-u-v-1)!} \frac{1}{s! (u-s)!} \\
= & (-1)^v \frac{(n+2k-1)! (n+2l-1)!}{(2k+v-1)! v! (n-v-u)! (2l+n-u-v-1)! u!} \sum_s (-1)^s \frac{u!}{s! (u-s)!},
\end{aligned}$$

which is non-zero if and only if  $u = 0$ , i.e.,  $s = t = 0$ . We get thus the result.  $\square$

We will see immediately a more conceptual explanation of this identity.

### 3 Construction of the brackets

Given two representations of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  (and the corresponding derived representation of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$  or  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ ), we're interested in their tensor product. In fact, we have the following theorem of J. Repka (cf. [23]):

**Theorem 8** For two discrete series of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ , their tensor product has the following decomposition: (for  $m, n \geq 1$ )

$$\pi_m \otimes \pi_n \cong \pi_{m+n} \oplus \pi_{m+n+2} \oplus \pi_{m+n+4} \oplus \cdots \cong \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \pi_{n+m+2k}. \quad (39)$$

To adapt this theorem (Lie algebra version) into our situation, we give a special consideration on the representation space. More precisely,

**Proposition 9** Given two modular forms  $f \in \mathcal{M}_{2k}$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{M}_{2l}$ , then in the decomposition

$$\pi_f \otimes \pi_g = \bigoplus_{n=0} \pi_{\deg f + \deg g + 2n}, \quad (40)$$

a vector of minimal  $K$ -weight of  $\pi_{\deg f + \deg g + 2n}$  has the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{r=0} (-1)^r \binom{n}{r} \tilde{\partial}^r f \otimes \tilde{\partial}^{n-r} g \\ &= \frac{1}{(2k)_n (2l)_n} \sum_{r=0}^n (-1)^r \tilde{X}^r \binom{2k+n-1}{n-r} f \otimes \tilde{X}^{n-r} \binom{2l+n-1}{r} g. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Under the map defined by the product:

$$M : f \otimes g \mapsto fg, \quad (42)$$

this corresponds to a modular form of weight  $2k+2l+2n$  which can be expressed as

$$\frac{1}{2k(2k+1) \cdots (2k+n-1) 2l(2l+1) \cdots (2l+n-1)} [f, g]_n = \frac{1}{(2k)_n (2l)_n} [f, g]_n. \quad (43)$$

**Proof.** The first part is a consequence of the fact that the space of minimal  $K$ -weight vectors is exactly the kernel of the operator  $\Delta E_- = E_- \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes E_-$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Delta E_- \left( \sum_{r=0}^n (-1)^r \binom{n}{r} \tilde{\partial}^r f \otimes \tilde{\partial}^{n-r} g \right) \\
&= \sum_{r=0}^n (-1)^r \binom{n}{r} \left( E_- (\tilde{\partial}^r f) \otimes \tilde{\partial}^{n-r} g + \tilde{\partial}^r f \otimes E_- (\tilde{\partial}^{n-r} g) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{r=0}^n (-1)^r \binom{n}{r} \left( r \tilde{\partial}^{r-1} f \otimes \tilde{\partial}^{n-r} g + (n-r) \tilde{\partial}^r f \otimes \tilde{\partial}^{n-r-1} g \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{r=0}^n \left( (-1)^r \binom{n}{r} (n-r) + (-1)^{r+1} \binom{n}{r+1} (r+1) \right) \tilde{\partial}^r f \otimes \tilde{\partial}^{n-r-1} g \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The second half is just (38). The operator  $M$  is a twister between the subrepresentation in the tensor product and the representation constructed from  $[f, g]_n$ .  $\square$

**N.B. In this construction, we can only determine the coefficients up to scale.**

Furthermore, the formulation of Rankin-Cohen brackets using the operator  $\tilde{X}$  can be naturally generalized to all pair of functions  $(f, g) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^2$ , where

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma) := \bigoplus_k \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{2k}(\Gamma) := \bigoplus_k \left\{ f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, f \Big|_{2k} \gamma = f, \forall \gamma \in \Gamma \right\} \quad (44)$$

is the space of smooth complex functions on the upper half plane which satisfy (only) the modularity condition.

But in this case we do not have a general discrete series interpretation as above.

**Remark 10** *In fact, the relation between the tensor products of discrete series representations and Rankin-Cohen brackets was already observed some 35 years ago as one can find the following remark of P.Deligne made in 1973 [13]: there he talked about discrete series of  $GL(2)$ :*

*“Remarque 2.1.4. L’espace  $F(G, GL(2, \mathbb{Z}))$  ci-dessus est stable par produit. D’autre part,  $D_{k-1} \otimes D_{l-1}$  contient les  $D_{k+l+2m}$  ( $m \geq 0$ ) . Pour  $m = 0$ , ceci correspond au fait que le produit  $fg$  d’une forme modulaire holomorphe de*

poids  $k$  par une de poids  $l$ , en est une de poids  $k + l$ . Pour  $m = 1$ , en coordonnées (1.5.2)(remark: this should be 1.1.5.2), on trouve que  $l \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \cdot g - k f \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial z}$  est modulaire holomorphe de poids  $k + l + 2$ , et ainsi de suite. De même dans le cadre adélique.”

In fact, here what we get is the modularity of  $\frac{1}{k} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \cdot g - f \cdot \frac{1}{l} \frac{\partial g}{\partial z}$ .

After the main part of the paper was written (as one chapter of my thesis in French), M. Weissman([29]) posted on Arxiv a paper which is along the line of Deligne’s remark.

**Remark 11** We notice also there is an interpretation of these Rankin-Cohen brackets Using the theory of transvectants. Especially in a recent paper ([15]), El Gradechi treated the Rankin-Cohen brackets in a very similar way as we did above.

## 4 Applications to Formal Deformations

In this part, we study the formal deformations constructed from the Rankin-Cohen brackets, more precisely we are interested in the products  $* : \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)[[\hbar]] \times \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)[[\hbar]] \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)[[\hbar]]$  defined by linearity and the formula:

$$\begin{aligned} f * g &= \sum \frac{A_n(\deg f, \deg g)}{(\deg f)_n (\deg g)_n} \left( \sum_{r=0}^n (-1)^r \widetilde{X}^r \binom{2k+n-1}{n-r} f \widetilde{X}^{n-r} \binom{2l+n-1}{r} g \right) \hbar^n \\ &= \sum \frac{A_n(\deg f, \deg g)}{(\deg f)_n (\deg g)_n} [f, g]_n \hbar^n, \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where  $f, g \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ . We ask furthermore  $A_0 = 1$  et  $A_1(x, y) = xy$ . The main concern is to have an associative product. First we have

**Proposition 12** *If the  $A_n$ ’s give rise to an associative product, then in the expansion of  $(f * g) * h$  and  $f * (g * h)$ , the coefficients of every  $\widetilde{X}^r f \widetilde{X}^s g \widetilde{X}^t h$  are the same.*

**Proof.** In fact, we only need to show the equality of the coefficients for  $\frac{\partial^r f}{\partial z^r} \frac{\partial^s g}{\partial z^s} \frac{\partial^t h}{\partial z^t}$  and we prove this by contradiction. Assume that there are functions  $f_0, g_0, h_0 \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  and an index triple  $(r_0, s_0, t_0)$  such that the coefficient of  $\frac{\partial^{r_0} f}{\partial z^{r_0}} \frac{\partial^{s_0} g}{\partial z^{s_0}} \frac{\partial^{t_0} h}{\partial z^{t_0}}$  in  $(f_0 * g_0) * h_0 - f_0 * (g_0 * h_0)$  is non-zero. So the associativity of the product  $*$  gives rise to a differential equation which is satisfied by *all*  $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\deg f_0}, g \in \mathcal{M}_{\deg g_0}, h \in \mathcal{M}_{\deg h_0}$ .

Now the only constrain on these functions are their invariance under the action of  $\Gamma$ , which implies that we have the freedom to modify the functions in the *interior* of a fundamental domain. So in a small open set contained in the fundamental domain, we can have some  $f_1, g_1, h_1$  such that  $\frac{\partial^r f_1}{\partial z^r} = \frac{\partial^s g_1}{\partial z^s} = \frac{\partial^t h_1}{\partial z^t} = 0, 0 \leq r, s, t \leq n, r \neq r_0, s \neq s_0, t \neq t_0$ ; and

$$\frac{\partial^{r_0} f}{\partial z^{r_0}} \neq 0, \frac{\partial^{s_0} g}{\partial z^{s_0}} \neq 0, \frac{\partial^{t_0} h}{\partial z^{t_0}} \neq 0.$$

But this gives us a contradiction. The proposition is then proved.  $\square$

For three functions  $f, g$  and  $h$  in  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ , the objects  $(f * g) * h$  and  $f * (g * h)$  live in the vector space

$$H_{f,g,h} := \bigoplus_n H_{n,f,g,h} := \bigoplus_n \left\langle \widetilde{X}^r f \widetilde{X}^s g \widetilde{X}^t h \hbar^{r+s+t}, r+s+t=n \right\rangle. \quad (46)$$

Generically,  $H_{n,f,g,h}$  is a vector space of dimension  $\frac{1}{2}(n+1)(n+2)$ . So it is natural to check the identification of the coefficients with respect to the canonical base  $\widetilde{X}^r f \widetilde{X}^s g \widetilde{X}^t h \hbar^{r+s+t}$  ( $r+s+t=n$ ). The problem is that in this

case, for  $H_{n,f,g,h}$ , we will have  $\sum_{r=0}^n \sum_{s=0}^{n-r} \sum_{t=0}^{n-r-s} 1 = \frac{1}{2}(n+1)(n+2)$  equations, which is not very practical.

In order to reduce the number of equations to verify, we will try to determine a subspace in which live  $(f * g) * h$  and  $f * (g * h)$ . In fact, we have already seen that when  $f$  and  $g$  are both holomorphic,  $f * g$  is a series which can be written as a sum (with coefficients) of the  $\hbar^n \sum (-1)^r \binom{n}{r} \widetilde{\partial}^r f \widetilde{\partial}^{n-r} g$ 's, and the latter form a basis of the kernel of the operator  $\hbar^{-1} \Delta E_-$ , we have then



**Lemma 13** For three holomorphic functions  $f, g, h \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ , the kernel of the operator  $\hbar^{-1}E_- : H_{f,g,h} \rightarrow H_{f,g,h}$  generated by the vectors ( $0 \leq p \leq n$ )

$$\xi_{n,p} = \hbar^n \sum_{s=0}^p (-1)^s \binom{p}{s} \frac{\widetilde{X}^s}{(2k+2l+2n)_s} \left( \sum_{r=0}^{n-p} \binom{n-p}{r} \widetilde{\partial}^{n-p-r} f \widetilde{\partial}^r g \right) \widetilde{\partial}^{p-s} h.$$

( $f * g$ ) \*  $h$  and  $f * (g * h)$  belong to this kernel.

**Proof.** We know that  $H_{n;f,g,h}$  is a vector space of dimension  $\frac{1}{2}(n+1)(n+2)$ . We establish first the fact that the map  $\mathcal{E}$  is surjective: for every vector  $\hbar^{n-1} \widetilde{\partial}^r f \widetilde{\partial}^s g \widetilde{\partial}^t h$  with  $r+s+t = n-1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \hbar^{-1}E_- \left( \hbar^n \sum_{i=0}^{n-1-r} \frac{(-1)^i i!}{\prod_{u=0}^i (r+1+u)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left[ \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{s}{i-j} \binom{t}{j} \widetilde{\partial}^{r+1+i} f \widetilde{\partial}^{s-i+j} g \widetilde{\partial}^{t-j} h \right] \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \hbar^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1-r} \frac{(-1)^i i!}{\prod_{u=0}^i (r+1+u)} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{s}{i-j} \binom{t}{j} \right. \\ & \quad \left( (r+1+i) \widetilde{\partial}^{r+i} f \widetilde{\partial}^{s-i+j} g \widetilde{\partial}^{t-j} h \right. \\ & \quad \quad \left. + (s-i+j) \widetilde{\partial}^{r+1+i} f \widetilde{\partial}^{s-i+j-1} g \widetilde{\partial}^{t-j} h \right. \\ & \quad \quad \left. \left. + (t-j) \widetilde{\partial}^{r+1+i} f \widetilde{\partial}^{s-i+j} g \widetilde{\partial}^{t-j-1} h \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \hbar^{n-1} \sum_{i,j} \left[ \frac{(-1)^i i!}{\prod_{u=0}^{i-1} (r+1+u)} \binom{s}{i-j} \binom{t}{j} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{(-1)^{i-1} (i-1)!}{\prod_{u=0}^{i-1} (r+1+u)} \binom{s}{i-j} \binom{t}{j} i \right] \\ & \quad \widetilde{\partial}^{r+i} f \widetilde{\partial}^{s-i+j} g \widetilde{\partial}^{t-j} h \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \hbar^{n-1} \widetilde{\partial}^r f \widetilde{\partial}^s g \widetilde{\partial}^t h. \end{aligned}$$

The dimension at degree  $n-1$  is  $\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)$ , this implies that the dimension of the kernel at degree  $n$  is  $n+1$ .

The vectors  $\xi_{n,p}$  are in the kernel of  $\hbar^{-1}E_-$ : we verify first that for two functions  $f$  and  $g$  in the kernel of  $E_-$ , we have

$$E_- \tilde{X}(fg) = 4 \deg(fg)fg.$$

So by simple induction, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} & E_- \frac{\tilde{X}^s}{(2k+2l+2n)_s} \left( \sum_{r=0}^{n-p} \binom{n-p}{r} \tilde{\partial}^{n-p-r} f \tilde{\partial}^r g \right) \\ = & \frac{\tilde{X}^{s-1}}{(2k+2l+2n)_{(s-1)}} \left( \sum_{r=0}^{n-p} \binom{n-p}{r} \tilde{\partial}^{n-p-r} f \tilde{\partial}^r g \right), \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \hbar^{-1}E_- \xi_{n,p} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \hbar^{n-1} \left[ \sum_{s=0}^p (-1)^s \binom{p}{s} s \tilde{\partial}^{s-1} \left( \sum_{r=0}^{n-p} \binom{n-p}{r} \tilde{\partial}^{n-p-r} f \tilde{\partial}^r g \right) \tilde{\partial}^{p-s} h \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{s=0}^p (-1)^s \binom{p}{s} E_- \tilde{\partial}^s \left( \sum_{r=0}^{n-p} \binom{n-p}{r} \tilde{\partial}^{n-p-r} f \tilde{\partial}^r g \right) (p-s) \tilde{\partial}^{p-s-1} h \right] \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we can project  $\xi_{n,p}$  on the component whose second factor is  $g$  and we get

$$\tilde{\partial}^{n-p} f g \tilde{\partial}^p h. \quad (48)$$

These functions are generically linearly independent. This proves that the  $(n+1)$   $\xi_{n,p}$ 's constitute a basis of the kernel of  $\hbar^{-1}E_-$  at degree  $n$ .  $\square$

In general, for all element  $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ , we can define, in the vector space generated by the basis  $\{\varphi_n = \frac{1}{(\deg f)_n} \tilde{X}^n f, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , an operator  $\tilde{\partial}$  by the formulae  $\tilde{\partial} \varphi_n = \varphi_{n+1}$ , then (41) is still valid. We can then define an operator  $\hbar^{-1}E_- : H_{f,g,h} \rightarrow H_{f,g,h}$  by the following formula:

$$\hbar^{-1}E_- (\tilde{\partial}^r f \tilde{\partial}^s g \tilde{\partial}^t h \hbar^{r+s+t}) = (r \tilde{\partial}^{r-1} f \tilde{\partial}^s g \tilde{\partial}^t h + s \tilde{\partial}^r f \tilde{\partial}^{s-1} g \tilde{\partial}^t h$$

$$+t\tilde{\partial}^r f \tilde{\partial}^s g \tilde{\partial}^{t-1} h) \hbar^{r+s+t-1}, \quad (49)$$

Then the above argument works without any modification.

So it is sufficient now to identify the coefficients of  $\hbar^n \tilde{\partial}^p f g \tilde{\partial}^{n-p} h$  to obtain the associativity. In  $(f * g) * h$ , it is the sum of the terms (for  $n - r \geq p$ )

$$\frac{(-1)^r A_r(2k, 2l)}{(2k)_r} \binom{n-r}{p} \frac{(-1)^{p-r} A_{n-r}(2k+2l+2r, 2m)}{(2k+2l+2r)_{n-p} (2m)_p}.$$

For  $f * (g * h)$ , it is the sum of the terms (for  $s \leq p$ )

$$\frac{(-1)^p A_{n-s}(2k, 2l+2m+2s)}{(2k)_{n-p} (2l+2m+2s)_p} \binom{n-s}{n-p} \frac{A_s(2l, 2m)}{(2m)_s}$$

So finally what we should verify is the following identities, for  $p = 0, 1, \dots, n$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{r=0}^{n-p} \binom{n-r}{p} \frac{A_{n-r}(2k+2l+2r, 2m) A_r(2k, 2l)}{(2k+2l+2r)_{n-p-r} (2m)_p (2k)_r} \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{n-p} \binom{n-s}{n-p} \frac{A_{n-s}(2k, 2l+2m+2s) A_s(2l, 2m)}{(2k)_{n-p} (2l+2m+2s)_{p-s} (2m)_s}. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

We first look at the simplest case, the identification of the coefficient of  $\hbar$ . We need to verify

$$\begin{aligned} & A_1(2k+2l, 2m) \left( \frac{1}{2k+2l} (f_{2k+2} g_{2l} h_{2m} + f_{2k} g_{2l+2} h_{2m}) - f_{2k} g_{2l} \frac{1}{2m} h_{2m+2} \right) \\ & + A_1(2k, 2l) \left( \frac{1}{2k} f_{2k+2} g_{2l} h_{2m} - f_{2k} \frac{1}{2l} g_{2l+2} h_{2m} \right) \\ &= A_1(2k, 2l+2m) \left( \frac{1}{2k} f_{2k+2} g_{2l} h_{2m} - \frac{1}{2l+2m} (f_{2k} g_{2l+2} h_{2m} + f_{2k} g_{2l} h_{2m+2}) \right) \\ & + A_1(2l, 2m) \left( f_{2k} \frac{1}{2l} g_{2l+2} h_{2m} - f_{2k} g_{2l} \frac{1}{2m} h_{2m+2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

In other words,

$$\frac{1}{2k+2l} A_1(2k+2l, 2m) + \frac{1}{2k} A_1(2k, 2l) = \frac{1}{2k} A_1(2k, 2l+2m),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2k+2l}A_1(2k+2l, 2m) - \frac{1}{2l}A_1(2k, 2l) &= \frac{1}{2l}A_1(2l, 2m) - \frac{1}{2l+2m}A_1(2k, 2l+2m), \\ -\frac{1}{2m}A_1(2k+2l, 2m) &= -\frac{1}{2l+2m}A_1(2k, 2l+2m) - \frac{1}{2m}A_1(2l, 2m). \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that  $A_1(2k, 2l) = 2k \cdot 2l$  verify these equations.

Then we pass to the next step, the identification of the coefficients of  $\hbar^2$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A_2(2k+2l, 2m)}{2m(2m+1)} &= \frac{A_2(2k, 2l+2m)}{(2l+2m)(2l+2m+1)} \\ &\quad + 4kl + \frac{A_2(2l, 2m)}{2m(2m+1)}, \\ \frac{A_2(2k+2l, 2m)}{(2k+2l)2m} + (2k+2l+2)2l &= \frac{A_2(2k, 2l+2m)}{2k(2l+2m)} + (2l+2m+2)2l, \\ \frac{A_2(2k+2l, 2m)}{(2k+2l)(2k+2l+1)} + 4lm + \frac{A_2(2k, 2l)}{2k(2k+1)} &= \frac{A_2(2k, 2l+2m)}{2k(2k+1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

This system has a special solution:

$$A_2(2k, 2l) = \frac{1}{2}2k(2k+1)2l(2l+1), \quad (52)$$

so we need to solve the homogeneous system:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A_2(2k+2l, 2m)}{2m(2m+1)} &= \frac{A_2(2k, 2l+2m)}{(2l+2m)(2l+2m+1)} + \frac{A_2(2l, 2m)}{2m(2m+1)}, \\ \frac{A_2(2k+2l, 2m)}{(2k+2l)2m} &= \frac{A_2(2k, 2l+2m)}{2k(2l+2m)}, \\ \frac{A_2(2k+2l, 2m)}{(2k+2l)(2k+2l+1)} + \frac{A_2(2k, 2l)}{2k(2k+1)} &= \frac{A_2(2k, 2l+2m)}{2k(2k+1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

We note by  $\tilde{A}(2k, 2l)$  the function which  $\frac{2k+2l+1}{4kl}A(2k, 2l)$ , the equations that  $\tilde{A}(2k, 2l)$  satisfy are:

$$\tilde{A}_2(2k+2l, 2m) \left( \frac{1}{2m+1} - \frac{1}{2k+2l+2m+1} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \tilde{A}_2(2k, 2l + 2m) \left( \frac{1}{2l + 2m + 1} - \frac{1}{2k + 2l + 2m + 1} \right) \\
&\quad + \tilde{A}_2(2l, 2m) \left( \frac{1}{2m + 1} - \frac{1}{2l + 2m + 1} \right), \\
\tilde{A}_2(2k + 2l, 2m) &= \tilde{A}_2(2k, 2l + 2m), \\
\tilde{A}_2(2k + 2l, 2m) &\left( \frac{1}{2k + 2l + 1} - \frac{1}{2k + 2l + 2m + 1} \right) \\
&\quad + \tilde{A}_2(2k, 2l) \left( \frac{1}{2k + 1} - \frac{1}{2k + 2l + 1} \right) \\
&= \tilde{A}_2(2k, 2l + 2m) \left( \frac{1}{2k + 1} - \frac{1}{2k + 2l + 2m + 1} \right). \tag{54}
\end{aligned}$$

The first two equations indicate  $\tilde{A}_2(2l, 2m) = \tilde{A}_2(2k + 2l, 2m)$  for all  $(2k, 2l, 2m)$ , and by using once more the second equation, we get  $\tilde{A}_2(2l, 2m) = \tilde{A}_2(2k + 2l, 2m) = \tilde{A}_2(2k, 2l + 2m)$ , i.e.,  $\tilde{A}$  is a constant function. We then conclude that in our situation the degree of freedom is one, i.e., in the general formula of  $A_2$  we can introduce a parameter  $c$  :

$$A_2(2k, 2l) = \frac{1}{2}2k(2k + 1)2l(2l + 1) + c \frac{2k2l}{2k + 2l + 1}. \tag{55}$$

Now we study some properties of a sequence  $A_n$  which defines an associative product. We assume their existence (the examples of Cohen-Manin-Zagier provide some) and we have

**Lemma 14** *Assume their existence, the  $A_n$ 's ( $n \geq 3$ ) are determined by  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}$  and the associativity.*

**Proof.** Our aim is to determine the value of  $A_n(2x, 2y)$  for every pair  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  ( $(0, 0)$  is not included because in this case, for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $[f, g]_n = 0$ ). The idea is very simple, in order to do the identification of the coefficients of  $\hbar^n$ , we have  $n + 1$  equations, indexed by  $p$ , by considering  $2k, 2l, 2m$  as constants and assume that  $A_i (i < n)$  are already known.

If  $l > 0$ , there is, in these equations, (at most) four unknowns:  $A_n(2k, 2l)$ ,  $A_n(2l, 2m)$ ,  $A_n(2k + 2l, 2m)$ ,  $A_n(2k, 2l + 2m)$ . The first two appear only once each:  $p = 0$  for  $A_n(2k, 2l)$ , and  $p = n$  for  $A_n(2l, 2m)$ . When  $n \geq 3$ , we take the two equations with  $p = 1$  and  $2$ . The determinant of the linear equation system with  $A_n(2k + 2l, 2m)$  and  $A_n(2k, 2l + 2m)$  as unknown is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \det \begin{pmatrix} \binom{n}{1} \frac{1}{(2k+2l)_{n-1}(2m)_1} & \binom{n}{n-1} \frac{1}{(2k)_{n-1}(2l+2m)_1} \\ \binom{n}{2} \frac{1}{(2k+2l)_{n-2}(2m)_2} & \binom{n}{n-2} \frac{1}{(2k)_{n-2}(2l+2m)_2} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \binom{n}{n-1} \binom{n}{n-2} \frac{1}{(2k+2l)_{n-2}(2m)_1(2k)_{n-2}(2l+2m)_1} \\
&= \binom{n}{n-1} \binom{n}{n-2} \frac{1}{\left( \frac{1}{(2k+2l+n-2)(2l+2m+1)} - \frac{1}{(2m+1)(2k+n-2)} \right)} \\
&= \binom{n}{n-1} \binom{n}{n-2} \frac{1}{\frac{(2k+2l)_{n-2}(2m)_1(2k)_{n-2}(2l+2m)_1}{-(2l)^2 - (2l)(2k+2m+n-1)}} \neq 0, \quad (56)
\end{aligned}$$

following the fact that  $l > 0, n > 2$ , and that  $k, m$  are all positive integers.

We can therefore obtain the value of  $A_n(2x, 2y)$  for a pair  $(2x, 2y)$  which can be expressed as  $(2k+2l, 2m)$  or  $(2k, 2l+2m)$  for a certain  $l > 0$  without any ambiguity. The lemma is proven.  $\square$

Next, we have the following lemma by induction:

**Lemma 15** *We have  $A_n(2k, 2l) = A_n(2l, 2k)$  and  $A_n(2k, 0) = 0$ .*

**Proof.** We have already obtained  $A_n(2k, 2l) = A_n(2l, 2k)$  and  $A_n(2k, 0) = 0$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2$ . Assume now that this is valid for  $0, 1, \dots, n-1$ . When we consider the associativity identity for three functions  $f \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{2m}, g \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{2l}, h \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{2k}$ , (50) becomes, for all fixed  $n$  and  $p$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{r=0}^{n-p} \binom{n-r}{p} \frac{A_{n-r}(2m+2l+2r, 2k)A_r(2m, 2l)}{(2m+2l+2r)_{n-p-r}(2k)_p(2m)_r} \\
&= \sum_{s=0}^{n-p} \binom{n-s}{n-p} \frac{A_{n-s}(2m, 2l+2k+2s)A_s(2l, 2k)}{(2m)_{n-p}(2l+2k+2s)_{p-s}(2k)_s}.
\end{aligned}$$

If we exchange the indices  $r$  and  $s$ , and replace  $p$  by  $n-p$ , we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{s=0}^{n-p} \binom{n-s}{n-p} \frac{A_{n-s}(2m+2l+2s, 2k)A_s(2m, 2l)}{(2m+2l+2s)_{p-s}(2k)_{n-p}(2m)_s} \\
&= \sum_{r=0}^{n-p} \binom{n-r}{p} \frac{A_{n-r}(2m, 2l+2k+2r)A_r(2l, 2k)}{(2m)_p(2l+2k+2r)_{n-p-r}(2k)_r}.
\end{aligned}$$

For  $0 < p < n$ , the only different with respect to (50), by using induction hypothesis, is that we've replaced  $A_n(2k, 2l+2m)$  (resp.  $A_n(2k+2l, 2m)$ ) by  $A_n(2l+2m, 2k)$  (resp.  $A_n(2m, 2k+2l)$ ). This implies that  $A_n(2l+2m, 2k)$  and  $A_n(2m, 2k+2l)$  satisfy the same linear equation system as  $A_n(2k, 2l+2m)$  and  $A_n(2k+2l, 2m)$ , the previous lemma gives  $A_n(2x, 2y) = A_n(2y, 2x)$ .

When we take  $l = 0, k, m \neq 0$  in (50), The identity  $p = 0$  is simplified as

$$\sum_{r=0}^{n-p} \frac{A_{n-r}(2k+2r, 2m)A_r(2k, 0)}{(2k+2r)_{n-p-r}(2m)_p(2k)_r} = \frac{A_n(2k, 2m+2s)}{(2k)_n},$$

i.e.

$$\frac{A_n(2k, 2m)}{(2k)_n} + \frac{A_n(2k, 0)}{(2k)_n} = \frac{A_n(2k, 2m)}{(2k)_n},$$

then we have  $A_n(2k, 0)$ , the lemma is established.  $\square$

When we write  $A_n$  as a polynomial of  $2k, 2l$  and  $c$ , then because that  $A_0, A_1$  are both of degree 0 in  $c$ , we conclude by the above argument that

**Lemma 16**  $A_n$  is a polynomial of degree  $\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$  in  $c$ .

In [5], they use only the modularity to construct the invariant formal pseudodifferential operators.

**Theorem 17** *Cohen-Manin-Zagier have in fact found all associative formal products of the form (45).*

**Remark 18** *We underline here two facts:*

1. numerically, the parameter  $c$  introduced in (55) equals to  $-3 + 4\kappa - \kappa^2$  for the  $\kappa$  in (7);

2. when we consider the restriction to classical modular forms, for every degree the space  $\mathcal{M}_{2k}$  is of finite dimension. Our argument above does not work any more, so it is not excluded that other formal products defined using Rankin-Cohen brackets exist at this level.

We give a proposition which shows that the multiplication structure defined by the Eholzer product (or Rankin-Cohen product for Connes-Moscovici) is somewhat “finer” than that defined by the usual product, in fact, we have

**Proposition 19** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a congruence subgroup of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$  admits the unique factorization property (for example  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  itself), let  $F_1, F_2, G_1, G_2 \in \mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$  such that*

$$RC(F_1, G_1) = RC(F_2, G_2), \quad (57)$$

as formal series in  $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)[[\hbar]]$ , then there exists a constant  $C$  such that

$$F_1 = CF_2, G_1 = CG_2. \quad (58)$$

We prove first that

**Lemma 20** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}_{2k}, g \in \mathcal{M}_{2l}, h \in \mathcal{M}_{2m}$  be three modular forms such that  $[fg, h]_n = [f, gh]_n$  for all  $n$ , then  $l = 0$ , i.e.,  $g$  is a constant function.*

**Proof of the lemma.** Our data satisfy automatically  $[fg, h]_0 = [f, gh]_0$ . As to the case  $n = 1$ , we have

$$(2k + 2l)fg \frac{dh}{dz} - 2m \frac{d(fg)}{dz} h = 2kf \frac{d(gh)}{dz} - (2l + 2m) \frac{df}{dz} h,$$

which implies that

$$(2k + 2m) \frac{1}{g} \frac{dg}{dz} = 2l \left( \frac{1}{f} \frac{df}{dz} + \frac{1}{h} \frac{dh}{dz} \right),$$

in other words

$$g^{k+m} = C^{ste} (fh)^l, \quad (59)$$

for a non-zero constant. Now we write the Fourier expansions of these three modular forms:



$$\begin{aligned}
f &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 q + \alpha_2 q^2 + \cdots, \\
g &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 q + \beta_2 q^2 + \cdots, \\
h &= \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 q + \gamma_2 q^2 + \cdots.
\end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

where  $q = \exp(2\pi iz)$ . As  $q \frac{d}{dq} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)^n f &= 0^n \alpha_0 + 1^n \alpha_1 q + 2^n \alpha_2 q^2 + \cdots, \\
\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)^n g &= 0^n \beta_0 + 1^n \beta_1 q + 2^n \beta_2 q^2 + \cdots, \\
\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)^n h &= 0^n \gamma_0 + 1^n \gamma_1 q + 2^n \gamma_2 q^2 + \cdots.
\end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

This implies that in the calculation of  $[fg, h]_n$  and of  $[f, gh]_n (n \geq 1)$ , there are only two terms (among the  $n + 1$  to sum up) which contain the term of degree 1 in  $q$ : the first and the last in the definition formula. We have then for all  $n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\binom{2k+2l+n-1}{n} \alpha_0 \beta_0 \gamma_1 + (-1)^n \binom{2m+n-1}{n} (\alpha_0 \beta_1 + \alpha_1 \beta_0) \gamma_0 \\
&= \binom{2k+n-1}{n} \alpha_0 (\beta_0 \gamma_1 + \beta_1 \gamma_0) + (-1)^n \binom{2l+2m+n-1}{n} \alpha_1 \beta_0 \gamma_0.
\end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

We have to distinguish several different cases:

1)  $l = 0$ , i.e.,  $g = \beta_0$ . (62) is automatically valid, and it's exactly the claim of the lemma.

2)  $l > 0$ , there are two possibilities:

a)  $\beta_0 \neq 0$ , then following (59) we have  $\alpha_0 \neq 0, \gamma_0 \neq 0$  (because that the constant term of  $(fh)^{k+m}$  is non-zero). By using the bilinearity of the brackets, it's possible to assume  $\alpha_0 = \beta_0 = \gamma_0 = 1$ . Then (62) becomes, for all  $n$ ,

$$\binom{2k+2l+n-1}{n} \gamma_1 + (-1)^n \binom{2m+n-1}{n} (\beta_1 + \alpha_1)$$

$$= \binom{2k+n-1}{n}(\gamma_1 + \beta_1) + (-1)^n \binom{2l+2m+n-1}{n} \alpha_1. \quad (63)$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $m \geq k$  (otherwise we consider  $[hg, f]_n = [h, gf]_n$ ), now the variables  $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1$  satisfy the equations (for all  $n$ )

$$A_{n1}\alpha_1 + A_{n2}\beta_1 + A_{n3}\gamma_1 = 0 \quad (64)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_{n1} &= (-1)^n(2m)_n - (-1)^n(2l+2m)_n, \\ A_{n2} &= (-1)^n(2m)_n - (2k)_n, \\ A_{n3} &= (2k+2l)_n - (2k)_n, \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

and especially,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{11} &= 2l, \\ A_{12} &= -2k - 2m, \\ A_{13} &= 2l, \\ A_{21} &= -(2m+2m+1)2l - (2l)^2 = -(4m+2l+1)(2l), \\ A_{22} &= 2m(2m+1) - 2k(2k+1) = (2m-2k)(2m+2k+1), \\ A_{23} &= (4k+2l+1)(2l), \\ A_{31} &= (2l)^3 + 3(2m+1)(2l)^2 + (3(2m)^2 + 6(2m) + 2)(2l), \\ A_{32} &= -2m(2m+1)(2m+2) - 2k(2k+1)(2k+2), \\ A_{33} &= (2l)^3 + 3(2k+1)(2l)^2 + (3(2k)^2 + 6(2k) + 2)(2l). \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

The determinant of this system of linear equations is then

$$\begin{aligned} & \det A_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3} \\ = & \det \begin{pmatrix} 2l & -2k-2m & 2l \\ -(4m+2l+1)(2l) & (2m-2k)(2m+2k+1) & (4k+2l+1)(2l) \\ A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33} \end{pmatrix} \\ = & (2l)^2 \left\{ -6(k+l+m+1)(2m-2k)^2 \right. \\ & \left. + (2k+2l+2m+1) \left[ -(2k+2m)(6k+6l+2m) - 12k \right] (2m-2k) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -(4k + 4l + 4m + 2)(2k + 2m)(2k + 2l)(4k + 2l + 3) \} \\
& < 0. \tag{67}
\end{aligned}$$

We have taken as hypothesis  $m \geq k$  and as the weights of modular forms  $k, l, m$  are all positive integers and  $l \geq 1$ , the last inequality is obtained because all the three terms to be sum up are nonnegative.

We can conclude that  $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = \gamma_1 = 0$ . The same argument can be applied when we compare the coefficients of  $q^2$ , and we obtain a system of linear equations for  $\alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2$  with the same coefficient matrix, so  $\alpha_2 = \beta_2 = \gamma_2 = 0$ , so on and so forth. we get a contradiction.

b)  $\beta_0 = 0$ , the argument in (59) gives us  $\alpha_0 = 0$  or  $\gamma_0 = 0$ .

So we can then assume that the first nonzero terms are  $\alpha_r q^r, \beta_s q^s, \gamma_t q^t$  ( $r, s, t \geq 0$ ). We consider now the term of lowest degree in  $q$ , say  $r + s + t$ , in the identity  $[fg, h]_n = [f, gh]_n$ , we obtain, for all  $n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{p=0}^n (-1)^p \binom{n}{p} (2k + 2l + p)_{n-p} (2m + n - p)_p (r + s)^p t^{n-p} \alpha_r \beta_s \gamma_t \\
& = \sum_{q=0}^n (-1)^q \binom{n}{q} (2k + q)_{n-1} (2l + 2m + n - q)_q r^q (s + t)^{n-q} \alpha_r \beta_s \gamma_t. \tag{68}
\end{aligned}$$

As the  $\alpha_r, \beta_s, \gamma_t$  are nonzero, dividing both sides by  $\alpha_r \beta_s \gamma_t$  this becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{p=0}^n (-1)^p \binom{n}{p} (2k + 2l + p)_{n-p} (2m + n - p)_p (r + s)^p t^{n-p} \\
& = \sum_{q=0}^n (-1)^q \binom{n}{q} (2k + q)_{n-1} (2l + 2m + n - q)_q r^q (s + t)^{n-q}. \tag{69}
\end{aligned}$$

For  $n = 1$ , we have,

$$(k + m)s = l(r + t).$$

By taking into account this relation, we obtain, by replacing  $s$  by  $\frac{l(r + t)}{k + m}$  (if  $k$  and  $m$  are all zero, then according to (59),  $l = 0$ , too, a contradiction) for every  $n \geq 2$  a homogeneous equation of degree  $n$  in  $r, t$ . For  $n = 2$ , this equation is

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= [(2m)_2 - (2l + 2m)_2]r^2 - 2[(2k + 2l + 1)(2m + 1) - (2k + 1)(2l + 2m + 1)]rt \\
&\quad + [(2k + 2l)_2 - (2k)_2]t^2 + 2[(2m)_2 + (2k + 1)(2l + 2m + 1)]rs \\
&\quad - 2[(2k + 2l + 1)(2m + 1) + (2k)_2]st + [(2m)_2 - (2k)_2]s^2 \\
&= \frac{2l}{(k + m)^2} \left\{ [(k + 3m)(k + l + m) + (k + m)]r^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (2m - 2k)(k + l + m)rt - [(3k + m)(k + l + m) + (k + m)]t^2 \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We see first that  $r$  and  $t$  are either all zero or all non-zero, because that the coefficients of  $r^2$  and  $t^2$  are all strictly non zero. The case where  $r = t = 0$  is already treated above, we assume from now on  $r, s, t > 0$ . The last expression has a factor  $r + t$ , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \frac{2l}{(k + m)^2} \left\{ [(k + 3m)(k + l + m) + (k + m)]r^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (2m - 2k)(k + l + m)rt - [(3k + m)(k + l + m) + (k + m)]t^2 \right\} \\
&= \frac{2l}{(k + m)^2} (r + t) \left\{ [(k + 3m)(k + l + m) + (k + m)]r \right. \\
&\quad \left. - [(3k + m)(k + l + m) + (k + m)]t \right\}. \tag{70}
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that there exists a positive constant  $\mu$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
t &= \mu[(k + 3m)(k + l + m) + (k + m)], \\
r &= \mu[(3k + m)(k + l + m) + (k + m)], \\
s &= \mu l[4(k + l + m) + 2]. \tag{71}
\end{aligned}$$

We calculate the equation for  $n = 3$ , the difference of two sides is, by using (59),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{(k + m)^3} \left\{ (2k + 2l)(2k + 2l + 1)(2k + 2l + 2)t^3(k + m)^3 \right. \\
&\quad - 3(2k + 2l + 1)(2k + 2l + 2)(2m + 2)[(k + m)r + l(r + t)]t^2(k + m)^2 \\
&\quad \left. + 3(2k + 2l + 2)(2m + 1)(2m + 2)[(k + m)r + l(r + t)]^2t(k + m) \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2m(2m+1)(2m+2)[(k+m)r+l(r+t)]^3 \\
& -2k(2k+1)(2k+2)[l(r+t)+t(k+m)]^3 \\
& +3(2k+1)(2k+2)(2l+2m+2)[l(r+t)+t(k+m)]^2 r(k+m) \\
& -3(2k+2)(2l+2m+1)(2l+2m+2)[l(r+t)+t(k+m)]r^2(k+m)^2 \\
& +(2l+2m)(2l+2m+1)(2l+2m+2)r^3(k+m)^3 \} \quad (72)
\end{aligned}$$

We denote by  $P_3$  the braced quantity, as an integer coefficient polynomial of  $k, l, m, r, t$ . Taking the values of  $r$  and  $t$  as in (71), we obtain a polynomial in  $k, l, m$  whose coefficients are all **positive** (cf. Appendix A for the explicit expressions), which implies that it could not have positive integer roots in  $k, l, m$ . So this possibility is excluded.  $\square$

**Proof of the Proposition 19.** We do first a simplification: Let

$$F_1 = f_{1,2k} + f_{1,2k+2} + f_{1,2k+4} + \cdots ; \quad G_1 = g_{1,2l} + g_{1,2l+2} + g_{1,2l+4} + \cdots ;$$

$$F_2 = f_{2,2k'} + f_{2,2k'+2} + f_{2,2k'+4} + \cdots ; \quad G_2 = g_{2,2l'} + g_{2,2l'+2} + g_{2,2l'+4} + \cdots ;$$

be the natural graduation of these modular forms. Then when we look at, for each degree in  $\hbar$ , the term whose coefficient is a modular form of smallest weight, we find the terms  $[f_{1,2k}, g_{1,2l}]_n \hbar^n$  and  $[f_{1,2k'}, g_{1,2l'}]_n \hbar^n$ . So we have

$$RC(f_{1,2k}, g_{1,2l}) = RC(f_{2,2k'}, g_{2,2l'}).$$

In other words,  $[f_{1,2k}, g_{1,2l}]_n = [f_{2,2k'}, g_{2,2l'}]_n$  for all  $n$ . Using the unique factorization hypothesis, we can speak of the biggest common divisor of  $f_{1,2k}$  and  $f_{2,2k'}$  (resp.  $g_{1,2l}$  and  $g_{2,2l'}$ ), denoted by  $f_0$  (resp.  $g_0$ ). We see first that by adjusting constants it's possible to have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{f_{1,2k}}{f_0} &= \frac{g_{2,2l'}}{g_0} = A, \\
\frac{f_{2,2k'}}{f_0} &= \frac{g_{1,2l}}{g_0} = B. \quad (73)
\end{aligned}$$

We have then  $[f_0 A, B g_0]_i = [f_0 B, A g_0]_i$  for all  $i$ . Moreover,  $A, B$  are prime between them as polynomials of the generators. We use then

**Lemma 21** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}_{2k}, A \in \mathcal{M}_{2l}, B \in \mathcal{M}_{2m}, g \in \mathcal{M}_{2n}$  be four modular forms such that*

$$[fA, Bg]_i = [fB, Ag]_i,$$

*for all  $i$ , and that  $A, B$  are prime between them as polynomials of the generators, then either  $A = 1$ , or  $B = 1$ .*

**Proof of the lemma.** For  $i = 1$ , by definition,

$$(k+l)fA \frac{d(Bg)}{dz} - \frac{d(fA)}{dz} (m+n)Bg = (k+m)fB \frac{d(Ag)}{dz} - \frac{d(fB)}{dz} (l+n)Ag, \quad (74)$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} & (k+l)fA \left( \frac{dB}{dz}g + B \frac{dg}{dz} \right) - \left( \frac{df}{dz}A + f \frac{dA}{dz} \right) (m+n)Bg \\ &= (k+m)fB \left( \frac{dA}{dz}g + A \frac{dg}{dz} \right) - \left( f \frac{dB}{dz} + \frac{df}{dz}B \right) (l+n)Ag. \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

We divide the terms by  $fABg$  to obtain

$$(l-m) \left( \frac{1}{f} \frac{df}{dz} + \frac{1}{g} \frac{dg}{dz} \right) = (k+2m+n) \frac{1}{A} \frac{dA}{dz} - (k+2l+n) \frac{1}{B} \frac{dB}{dz}, \quad (76)$$

i.e.

$$(fg)^{l-m} = \frac{A^{k+2m+n}}{B^{k+2l+n}}. \quad (77)$$

If  $l \geq m$ , then the left hand side is a polynomial in the generators. As  $A, B$  are prime between them, we get  $B = 1$ . If  $l \leq m$  we get  $A = 1$ . The lemma is proved.  $\square$

We can summarize the two lemmas above as follows:

**Lemma 22** *For four non-zero modular forms,  $f_1 \in \mathcal{M}_{2l}, g_1 \in \mathcal{M}_{2k}, f_2 \in \mathcal{M}_{2l'}, g_2 \in \mathcal{M}_{2k'}$ , if we have*

$$[f_1, g_1]_n = [f_2, g_2]_n, \quad (78)$$

for all  $n$ . Then  $k = k'$ ,  $l = l'$ , and there exists a non-zero constant  $C$  such that

$$f_1 = C f_2, \quad C g_1 = g_2. \quad (79)$$

**Proof of the Proposition 19(continued)** . Following the Lemma 22, we have  $k = k'$ ,  $l = l'$  and the existence of a constant  $C$  such that

$$f_{1,2k} = C f_{2,2k}, \quad g_{2,2l} = C g_{1,2l}.$$

Then we pass to the next degree, i.e. in the expansion of  $RC(F_1, G_1) = RC(F_2, G_2)$ , of every  $\hbar^n$ , the term with second lowest weight coefficient (which is an element in  $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$ ). Besides  $f_{1,2k} = C f_{2,2k}$  and  $C g_{1,2l} = g_{2,2l}$ , the relevant terms in the expansion of  $F_1, G_1, F_2, G_2$  are,  $f_{1,2k+2}$ ,  $f_{2,2k+2}$ ,  $g_{1,2l+2}$ ,  $g_{2,2l+2}$ . we have, for all  $n$ ,

$$[f_{1,2k}, g_{1,2l+2}]_n + [f_{1,2k+2}, g_{1,2l}]_n = [f_{2,2k}, g_{2,2l+2}]_n + [f_{2,2k+2}, g_{2,2l}]_n.$$

i.e.,

$$[f_{1,2k}, C g_{1,2l+2} - g_{2,2l+2}]_n = [f_{1,2k+2} - C f_{2,2k+2}, g_{1,2l}]_n,$$

for all  $n$  and the same constant  $C$ . If  $f_{1,2k+2} - C f_{2,2k+2} \in \mathcal{M}_{2k+2}$  and  $C g_{1,2l+2} - g_{2,2l+2} \in \mathcal{M}_{2l+2}$  are non-zero, we can apply once more the Lemma 22 to get a contradiction. So the only possibility that left is

$$f_{1,2k+2} = C f_{2,2k+2} \quad , \quad g_{2,2l+2} = C g_{1,2l+2}.$$

The rest is an induction procedure. If we have already  $f_{1,2k+2i} = C f_{2,2k+2i}$ ,  $g_{2,2l+2i} = C g_{1,2l+2i}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq p-1$ , then when we consider in  $RC(F_1, G_1) = RC(F_2, G_2)$  the term who belongs to  $\mathcal{M}_{2k+2l+2n+2p}\hbar^n$ , we get an equality

$$\sum_i [f_{1,2k+2i}, g_{1,2l+2p-2i}]_n = \sum_i [f_{2,2k+2i}, g_{2,2l+2p-2i}]_n. \quad (80)$$

Using the induction hypothesis, it can be simplified to

$$[f_{1,2k}, g_{1,2l+2p}]_n + [f_{1,2k+2p}, g_{1,2l}]_n = [f_{2,2k}, g_{2,2l+2p}]_n + [f_{2,2k+2p}, g_{2,2l}]_n, \quad (81)$$

or, in an equivalent way,

$$[f_{1,2k}, Cg_{1,2l+2p} - g_{2,2l+2p}]_n = [f_{1,2k+2p} - Cf_{2,2k+2p}, g_{1,2l}]_n,$$

for all  $n$  and the same constant  $C$ . If  $f_{1,2k+2p} - Cf_{2,2k+2p} \in \mathcal{M}_{2k+2p}$  and  $Cg_{1,2l+2p} - g_{2,2l+2p} \in \mathcal{M}_{2l+2p}$  are both non-zero, the Lemma 22 gives rise to a contradiction. So it's possible for us to conclude that

$$f_{1,2k+2p} = Cf_{2,2k+2p}, \quad g_{2,2l+2p} = Cg_{1,2l+2p}.$$

The proposition is established.  $\square$

## A The value of $P_3$

The following are results of calculus of Mathematica.

$$\begin{aligned} & P_3(k, l, m, r, t) \\ = & 4l(r+t)(-3k^2r^2 - 2k^3r^2 + 3klr^2 + 2kl^2r^2 - 6kmr^2 - 15k^2mr^2 - 3k^3mr^2 + 3lmr^2 \\ & - 9klmr^2 - 6k^2lmr^2 - 3kl^2mr^2 - 3m^2r^2 - 24km^2r^2 - 15k^2m^2r^2 - 9lm^2r^2 \\ & - 24klm^2r^2 - 9l^2m^2r^2 - 11m^3r^2 - 21km^3r^2 - 18lm^3r^2 - 9m^4r^2 + 12k^2rt + 17k^3rt \\ & + 3k^4rt + 6klrt + 21k^2lrt + 6k^3lrt + 4kl^2rt + 3k^2l^2rt + 24kmrt + 51k^2mrt + 24k^3mrt \\ & + 6lmrt + 42klmrt + 42k^2lmrt + 4l^2mrt + 18kl^2mrt + 12m^2rt + 51km^2rt + 42k^2m^2rt \\ & + 21lm^2rt + 42klm^2rt + 3l^2m^2rt + 17m^3rt + 24km^3rt + 6lm^3rt + 3m^4rt - 3k^2t^2 \\ & - 11k^3t^2 - 9k^4t^2 + 3klt^2 - 9k^2lt^2 - 18k^3lt^2 + 2kl^2t^2 - 9k^2l^2t^2 - 6kmt^2 - 24k^2mt^2 \\ & - 21k^3mt^2 + 3lmt^2 - 9klmt^2 - 24k^2lmt^2 + 2l^2mt^2 - 3kl^2mt^2 - 3m^2t^2 - 15km^2t^2 \\ & - 15k^2m^2t^2 - 6klm^2t^2 - 2m^3t^2 - 3km^3t^2 + 2l^2mr^2). \end{aligned}$$

By taking the values  $t = \mu[(k+3m)(k+l+m) + (k+m)]$ ,  $r = \mu[(3k+m)(k+l+m) + (k+m)]$ , one gets

$$\begin{aligned} & P_3(k, l, m, \mu[(3k+m)(k+l+m) + (k+m)], \mu[(3k+m)(k+l+m) + (k+m)]) \\ = & \mu^3(48k^5l + 320k^6l + 720k^7l + 672k^8l + 256k^9l + 96k^4l^2 + 960k^5l^2 + 2976k^6l^2 + 3552k^7l^2 \\ & + 1536k^8l^2 + 640k^4l^3 + 3792k^5l^3 + 6624k^6l^3 + 3584k^7l^3 + 1536k^4l^4 + 5280k^5l^4 \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&+4096k^6l^4 + 1536k^4l^5 + 2304k^5l^5 + 512k^4l^6 + 240k^4lm + 1920k^5lm + 5232k^6lm \\
&+5760k^7lm + 2304k^8lm + 384k^3l^2m + 4800k^4l^2m + 18240k^5l^2m + 26016k^6l^2m \\
&+12288k^7l^2m + 2560k^3l^3m + 19152k^4l^3m + 40896k^5l^3m + 25088k^6l^3m + 6144k^3l^4m \\
&+26784k^4l^4m + 24576k^5l^4m + 6144k^3l^5m + 11520k^4l^5m + 2048k^3l^6m + 480k^3lm^2 \\
&+4800k^4lm^2 + 16080k^5lm^2 + 21120k^6lm^2 + 9216k^7lm^2 + 576k^2l^2m^2 + 9600k^3l^2m^2 \\
&+46176k^4l^2m^2 + 80352k^5l^2m^2 + 43008k^6l^2m^2 + 3840k^2l^3m^2 + 38496k^3l^3m^2 \\
&+103968k^4l^3m^2 + 75264k^5l^3m^2 + 9216k^2l^4m^2 + 53952k^3l^4m^2 + 61440k^4l^4m^2 \\
&+9216k^2l^5m^2 + 23040k^3l^5m^2 + 3072k^2l^6m^2 + 480k^2lm^3 + 6400k^3lm^3 + 27120k^4lm^3 \\
&+43392k^5lm^3 + 21504k^6lm^3 + 384kl^2m^3 + 9600k^2l^2m^3 + 61824k^3l^2m^3 + 135840k^4l^2m^3 \\
&+86016k^5l^2m^3 + 2560kl^3m^3 + 38496k^2l^3m^3 + 139392k^3l^3m^3 + 125440k^4l^3m^3 \\
&+6144kl^4m^3 + 53952k^2l^4m^3 + 81920k^3l^4m^3 + 6144kl^5m^3 + 23040k^2l^5m^3 \\
&+2048kl^6m^3 + 240klm^4 + 4800k^2lm^4 + 27120k^3lm^4 + 54720k^4lm^4 + 256lm^9 \\
&+32256k^5lm^4 + 96l^2m^4 + 4800kl^2m^4 + 46176k^2l^2m^4 + 135840k^3l^2m^4 \\
&+107520k^4l^2m^4 + 640l^3m^4 + 19152kl^3m^4 + 103968k^2l^3m^4 + 125440k^3l^3m^4 \\
&+1536l^4m^4 + 26784kl^4m^4 + 61440k^2l^4m^4 + 1536l^5m^4 + 11520kl^5m^4 + 512l^6m^4 \\
&+48lm^5 + 1920klm^5 + 16080k^2lm^5 + 43392k^3lm^5 + 32256k^4lm^5 + 960l^2m^5 \\
&+18240kl^2m^5 + 80352k^2l^2m^5 + 86016k^3l^2m^5 + 3792l^3m^5 + 40896kl^3m^5 \\
&+75264k^2l^3m^5 + 5280l^4m^5 + 24576kl^4m^5 + 2304l^5m^5 + 320lm^6 + 5232klm^6 \\
&+21120k^2lm^6 + 21504k^3lm^6 + 2976l^2m^6 + 26016kl^2m^6 + 43008k^2l^2m^6 \\
&+6624l^3m^6 + 25088kl^3m^6 + 4096l^4m^6 + 720lm^7 + 5760klm^7 + 9216k^2lm^7 \\
&+3552l^2m^7 + 12288kl^2m^7 + 3584l^3m^7 + 672lm^8 + 2304klm^8 + 1536l^2m^8).
\end{aligned}$$

## References

- [1] Bayen, F.; Flato, M.; Fronsdal, C.; Lichnerowicz, A.; Sternheimer, D. Deformation theory and quantization. I. Deformations of symplectic structures. *Ann. Physics* 111 (1978), no. 1, 61–110.
- [2] Bieliavsky, Pierre; Tang, Xiang; Yao, Yi-Jun Rankin-Cohen brackets and quantization of foliation, Part I: formal quantization, math.QA/0506506, *Advances in Mathematics*
- [3] Bröcker, Theodor; tom Dieck, Tammo Representations of compact Lie groups. Translated from the German manuscript. Corrected reprint of the 1985 translation. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 98. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. x+313 pp. ISBN: 0-387-13678-9

- [4] Cohen, H., Sums involving the values at negative integers of L-functions of quadratic characters, *Math. Ann.* **217** (1975), 271-285.
- [5] Cohen, Paula Beazley; Manin, Yuri; Zagier, Don, Automorphic pseudodifferential operators. Algebraic aspects of integrable systems, 17–47, Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., 26, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1997.
- [6] Connes, A., Cyclic cohomology and the transverse fundamental class of a foliation. In **Geometric methods in operator algebras**, pp. 52–144, *Pitman Res. Notes in Math.* **123**, Longman, Harlow, 1986.
- [7] Connes, Alain Noncommutative geometry. Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 1994. xiv+661 pp. ISBN: 0-12-185860-X <ftp://ftp.alainconnes.org/book94bigpdf.pdf>
- [8] Connes, A. and Moscovici, H., Hopf algebras, cyclic cohomology and the transverse index theorem, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **198** (1998), 199-246.
- [9] Connes, A. and Moscovici, H., Cyclic cohomology and Hopf algebra symmetry, *Letters Math. Phys.* **52** (2000), 1-28.
- [10] Connes, Alain; Moscovici, Henri Differentiable cyclic cohomology and Hopf algebraic structures in transverse geometry. Essays on geometry and related topics, Vol. 1, 2, 217–255, Monogr. Enseign. Math., 38, Enseignement Math., Geneva, 2001.
- [11] Connes, Alain; Moscovici, Henri, Modular Hecke algebras and their Hopf symmetry. *Mosc. Math. J.* 4 (2004), no. 1, 67–109, 310.
- [12] Connes, Alain; Moscovici, Henri, Rankin-Cohen brackets and the Hopf algebra of transverse geometry. *Mosc. Math. J.* 4 (2004), no. 1, 111–130, 311.
- [13] Deligne, P. Formes modulaires et représentations de  $GL(2)$ . (French) Modular functions of one variable, II (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, Antwerp, 1972), pp. 55–105. *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Vol. 349, Springer, Berlin, 1973.

- [14] Fedosov, Boris Deformation quantization and index theory. *Mathematical Topics*, 9. Akademie Verlag, Berlin, 1996. 325 pp. ISBN: 3-05-501716-1
- [15] El Gradechi, Amine M. The Lie theory of the Rankin-Cohen brackets and allied bi-differential operators. *Adv. Math.* 207 (2006), no. 2, 484–531.
- [16] From number theory to physics. Papers from the Meeting on Number Theory and Physics held in Les Houches, March 7–16, 1989. Edited by M. Waldschmidt, P. Moussa, J. M. Luck and C. Itzykson. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992. xiv+690 pp. ISBN 3-540-53342-7
- [17] Kirillov, A. *Eléments de la théorie des représentations*. (French) Traduit du russe par A. Sossinsky [A. B. Sosinskiĭ]. Editions Mir, Moscow, 1974. 347 pp.
- [18] Knapp, Anthony W. *Representation theory of semisimple groups. An overview based on examples*. Reprint of the 1986 original. Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001. xx+773 pp. ISBN: 0-691-09089-0
- [19] Lang, Serge *SL<sub>2</sub>(R)*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Amsterdam, 1975. xvi+428 pp.
- [20] Labesse, Jean-Pierre, Personal Communication, 2005.
- [21] Moyal, J. E. Quantum mechanics as a statistical theory. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 45, (1949). 99–124.
- [22] Rankin, R. A. The construction of automorphic forms from the derivatives of a given form. *J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.)* 20 (1956), 103–116.
- [23] Repka, Joe Tensor products of unitary representations of  $SL_2(R)$ . *Amer. J. Math.* 100 (1978), no. 4, 747–774.
- [24] Serre, J.-P. *A course in arithmetic*. Translated from the French. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 7. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973. viii+115 pp.
- [25] Schmid, W. *Representations of semi-simple Lie groups, dans: Representation theory of Lie groups*, London Math. Soc. Lect. Notes Ser. 34 (1979), Cambridge Univ. Press.

- [26] Sugiura, Mitsuho Unitary representations and harmonic analysis. An introduction. Kodansha Ltd., Tokyo; Halstead Press [John Wiley & Sons], New York-London-Sydney, 1975. xii+402 pp.
- [27] Valette, Alain, K-Théorie pour Certaines  $C^*$ -algèbres Associées aux Groupes de Lie, Thèse, Université Libre de Bruxelles, 1983.
- [28] Vogan, David A., Jr. Representations of real reductive Lie groups. Progress in Mathematics, 15. Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass., 1981. xvii+754 pp. ISBN: 3-7643-3037-6
- [29] Weissman, Martin H., Multiplying Modular Forms, math.RT/0612004.
- [30] Zagier, D., Modular forms and differential operators. K. G. Ramanathan memorial issue, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.* 104 (1994), no. 1, 57–75.
- [31] Zagier, D., Formes modulaires et Opérateurs différentiels, *Cours 2001-2002 au Collège de France*.
- [32] Zagier, D., Some combinatorial identities occuring in the theory of modular forms, en préparation.

Projet AO, Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu , 175 rue du Chevaleret  
75013 Paris, France

email:yao@math.jussieu.fr