

# Symplectic surgeries and normal surface singularities

DAVID T. GAY  
ANDRÁS I. STIPSICZ

*Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics  
University of Cape Town  
Private Bag X3, Rondebosch 7701  
South Africa*

*Rényi Institute of Mathematics  
Reáltanoda utca 13–15, Budapest, Hungary and  
Mathematics Department, Columbia University  
2990 Broadway, New York, NY 10027*

Email: David.Gay@uct.ac.za, stipsicz@math-inst.hu

**Abstract** We show that a negative definite configuration of symplectic surfaces in a symplectic 4–manifold can be symplectically replaced by a smoothing of a normal surface singularity with resolution diffeomorphic to a tubular neighborhood of the configuration.

**AMS Classification** 57R17; 14E15, 14J17

**Keywords** symplectic rational blow–down, symplectic neighborhoods, surface singularities

## 1 Introduction

Most of the recent examples in smooth 4–manifold topology have been constructed using the following “cut-and-paste” scheme: Suppose that the smooth closed 4–manifold  $X$  is decomposed along the embedded 3–manifold  $Y$  as

$$X = X_1 \cup_Y X_2$$

where  $X_1, X_2$  are codimension–0 submanifolds of  $X$ . Suppose furthermore that  $Z_1$  is a smooth 4–manifold with boundary  $\partial Z_1$  diffeomorphic to  $Y = \partial X_1$ . Then a new 4–manifold

$$Z = Z_1 \cup_Y X_2$$

can be constructed by cutting  $X_1$  out of  $X$  and gluing  $Z_1$  back in. The topological type of  $Z$  might also depend on the gluing diffeomorphism  $\varphi: \partial Z_1 \rightarrow Y$ , but for simplicity we will suppress this dependence in the notation. For example, if  $X_1$  is the tubular neighborhood of a torus of self–intersection 0 and  $Z_1 = D^2 \times T^2$  then appropriate choices of  $\varphi$  give (generalized) logarithmic transformation and Luttinger surgery.

The most important topological data of a closed smooth 4–manifold are the fundamental group  $\pi_1$ , the Euler characteristic  $\chi$  and the signature  $\sigma$ . In fact, in the simply connected case  $\chi$  and  $\sigma$  essentially determine the smooth 4–manifold up to homeomorphism [4]. The change of  $\chi$  and  $\sigma$  can be very easily determined in a cut-and-paste operation, since these quantities are additive, while the fundamental group can be computed using the Seifert–Van Kampen theorem. The determination of the smooth structure is, however, much more complicated. The most sensitive smooth invariant, the Seiberg–Witten function

$$SW_X: H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

is very hard to compute in general, and although a TQFT-type theory (the monopole Floer homology [10]) has been developed to compute the Seiberg–Witten invariants of the result of a cut-and-paste construction, such computations are extremely challenging in practice. Partial knowledge of  $SW_Z$  is provided by Taubes’ famous theorem [21], stating that  $SW_Z(c_1(Z, \omega))$  is  $\pm 1$  provided  $\omega \in \Omega^2(Z)$  is a symplectic form on  $Z$  (and  $b_2^+(Z) > 1$ ). Therefore we are particularly interested in cut-and-paste constructions which can be performed within the symplectic category.

In this paper we will consider the following special case of the above cut-and-paste construction: Suppose that  $C = C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_n \subset (X, \omega)$  is a collection of closed symplectic 2–dimensional submanifolds of the closed symplectic 4–manifold  $(X, \omega)$ , intersecting each other  $\omega$ –orthogonally according to the plumbing graph  $\Gamma$ . Let  $X_1$  be the tubular neighborhood  $\nu C$  of the configuration  $C = C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_n$ . Assume furthermore that  $\Gamma$  is negative definite, and consider a normal surface singularity  $(S_\Gamma, 0)$  with resolution graph  $\Gamma$ . (It is a result of algebraic geometry [9] that such  $(S_\Gamma, 0)$  exists provided  $\Gamma$  is negative definite, although the analytic structure on  $(S_\Gamma, 0)$  might not be uniquely determined by  $\Gamma$ .) Suppose finally that  $Z_1$  is the Milnor fiber of a smoothing of the singularity  $(S_\Gamma, 0)$ . (Note that, depending on  $(S_\Gamma, 0)$ , such smoothing may or may not exist.) The main result of this paper is:

**Theorem 1.1** *Under the above circumstances the 4–manifold  $Z = Z_1 \cup_Y (X - X_1)$  — with a suitable gluing diffeomorphism  $\varphi$  specified later — admits a symplectic structure  $\omega_Z$ , which can be assumed to agree with the given symplectic structure  $\omega$  on  $X - X_1$ .*

One way of interpreting this result is the following: Consider the singular 4–manifold  $X^{sing}$  we get by collapsing  $C$  to a point. If the singularity of  $X^{sing}$  is diffeomorphic to a holomorphic model admitting a smoothing, then this smoothing can always be “globalized” in the symplectic category. Notice that we do

not require the singular point to have a holomorphic model in  $X^{sing}$  as in [15] (where the analytic structure near the singular point is also assumed to be modeled by the holomorphic situation) — we just require the existence of a diffeomorphism. For “globalizing” local deformations in the holomorphic category in a similar context, see [12].

According to [1], the link  $Y = \partial Z_1$  of the singularity  $(S_\Gamma, 0)$  given by the (negative definite) plumbing graph  $\Gamma$  admits a unique (up to contactomorphism) Milnor fillable contact structure  $\xi_{Milnor}$ , for which  $Z_1$  (with its Stein structure originating from the deformation) provides a Stein filling. In the following  $\xi_M$  will denote the Milnor fillable contact structure  $\xi_{Milnor}$ . In fact, our proof will not use the fact that  $Z_1$  is a smoothing of  $(S_\Gamma, 0)$ , we will rather rely on the fact that  $Z_1$  admits a symplectic structure  $\Omega$  which is a strong symplectic filling of  $(Y, \xi_M)$ . (For this reason the chosen analytic structure on  $(S_\Gamma, 0)$  is not relevant.)

An extremely powerful symplectic cut-and-paste operation, the *symplectic normal connected sum* was discovered by Gompf [7] and (independently) by McCarthy–Wolfson [14]. In a slightly different direction, the symplectic interpretation of the rational blow-down procedure of Fintushel–Stern and Park [5, 17] by Symington [18, 19] provided further interesting symplectic surgery constructions in 4-dimensional symplectic topology. More recently, in [6] we verified Theorem 1.1 for a special class of negative definite plumbing trees of spheres. In that approach we heavily relied on the “local toric” approach of Symington [18, 19], cf. also [20]. In the present paper we extend the ideas of [6] to the generality described in Theorem 1.1. For the convenience of the reader, below we summarize the strategy used in the proof of Theorem 1.1, and also point out the differences when compared to [6].

First we will show that the union  $C \subset (X, \omega)$  of the symplectic surfaces (of arbitrary genera, intersecting each other  $\omega$ -orthogonally and according to the negative definite graph  $\Gamma$ ) in the symplectic 4-manifold  $(X, \omega)$  admits an  $\omega$ -convex neighborhood  $U_C$ . This will be achieved by producing a model symplectic 4-manifold  $(X_\Gamma, \omega_\Gamma)$  containing a configuration  $C_\Gamma$  of symplectic surfaces (intersecting each other  $\omega_\Gamma$ -orthogonally according to  $\Gamma$ , with the same areas and genera as the surfaces in  $C$ ) with a neighborhood system of  $\omega_\Gamma$ -convex neighborhoods of  $C_\Gamma$ , such that any neighborhood  $\nu C_\Gamma$  of  $C_\Gamma$  contains an element of this  $\omega_\Gamma$ -convex neighborhood system. Then a Moser type argument shows that any small enough neighborhood  $\nu C_\Gamma \subset X_\Gamma$  is symplectomorphic to a neighborhood  $\nu C$  of  $C$  in  $(X, \omega)$ , and hence  $\nu C$  contains an  $\omega$ -convex neighborhood  $U_C$ . This  $U_C$  will be our codimension-0 submanifold  $X_1$  which

we remove from  $X$ . In the construction of  $(X_\Gamma, \omega_\Gamma)$  we will use simple models for the surfaces which are symbolized by the vertices of the plumbing graph  $\Gamma$  (similarly to the approach we applied for the central vertex of a starshaped graph in [6]) and will apply a toric construction for the edges of  $\Gamma$  (similarly to the construction along the legs in [6]). After finding the  $\omega$ -convex neighborhood  $U_C \subset (X, \omega)$  we would like to compare the induced contact structure  $\xi_C$  on  $\partial U_C$  to the Milnor fillable contact structure  $\xi_M$  on  $\partial Z_1$  (given as the 2-plane field of complex tangencies on the link). To this end we describe an open book decomposition of  $\xi_C$  and (using a result of [1]) relate it to the Milnor fillable contact structure  $\xi_M$  in certain special cases. In the general case we construct a smoothly trivial symplectic cobordism between  $\xi_M$  and  $\xi_C$ , and apply the symplectic gluing scheme along hypersurfaces of contact type, as carefully treated in [3] (cf. also [6]), to verify Theorem 1.1.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we recall some basics of normal surface singularities. Section 3 is devoted to the description of the  $\omega$ -convex neighborhoods of the configuration  $C \subset (X, \omega)$ . In Section 4 we describe an open book decomposition of  $(U_C, \xi_C)$  compatible with the contact structure induced on the boundary of the  $\omega$ -convex neighborhood, while in Section 5 we finish the proof of Theorem 1.1.

**Acknowledgements:** The second author was partially supported by EU Marie Curie TOK project BudAlgGeo and by OTKA T49449. Both authors wish to acknowledge support by ZA-15/2006 Bilateral Project (South African NRF Grant number 62124). The second author also would like to thank András Némethi and Sándor Kovács for helpful discussions.

## 2 Generalities on normal surface singularities

For the sake of completeness, in this section we collect some of the basic results regarding normal surface singularities. For general reference see [11, 13, 16, 22].

A “complex germ”  $(V, 0)$  is an equivalence class of subsets of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , where two subsets are equivalent if they agree on some open neighborhood of 0. A germ  $f: (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  of a holomorphic function is an equivalence class of holomorphic functions from  $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  to  $(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ , where two functions are equivalent if they agree on some open neighborhood of  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . Note that the “inverse image of 0” under a germ of a holomorphic function is naturally a complex germ. Also note that all derivatives of a holomorphic germ are well defined at 0. The complex germ  $(V, 0)$  is a *surface singularity* if there are  $f_i: (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$

germs of holomorphic functions  $(i = 1, \dots, m)$  such that

$$(V, 0) = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid f_i(x) = 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, m\},$$

and the rank  $r(x)$  of the matrix

$$\left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial z_j}(x)\right)_{i=1, \dots, m; j=1, \dots, n}$$

is equal to  $n-2$  for a generic point  $x$  of  $V$ . If  $r(x) = n-2$  for all  $x \in V-0$  then the singularity is called *isolated*.  $(V, 0)$  is *normal* if any bounded holomorphic function  $f: V - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  extends to a holomorphic function on  $V$ . A normal surface singularity is necessarily isolated.

The *link*  $L$  of the normal surface singularity  $(V, 0)$  is defined as the intersection of  $V$  and a sphere  $S_\epsilon^{2n-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid |x| = \epsilon\}$ . The 3-manifold  $L$  is independent of the embedding of  $V$  into  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , and (provided it is small enough) independent of  $\epsilon$ .

A *resolution* of a singularity  $(V, 0)$  is a smooth complex surface  $\tilde{V}$  together with a proper holomorphic map  $\pi: \tilde{V} \rightarrow V$  such that  $\pi$  restricted to  $\pi^{-1}(V - \{0\})$  is an isomorphism, that is, a diffeomorphism which is holomorphic in both directions. The resolution is *good* if  $\pi^{-1}(0)$  is a normal crossing divisor, that is, in a decomposition of  $\pi^{-1}(0) = E = E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_k$  into irreducible components all curves are smooth, intersect each other transversely and there is no triple intersection. Such a resolution always exists, but it is not unique. A resolution is called *minimal* if it does not contain any rational curve with self-intersection  $(-1)$ . The minimal resolution is unique, but might not be good (in the above sense). The resolution can be assumed to be Kähler, in such a way that  $\pi$  is a symplectomorphism away from  $0 \in V$ , where  $V$  inherits its symplectic form from  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . A good resolution can be described by its *dual graph*, where each irreducible component of  $E$  is symbolized by a vertex, each vertex is decorated by the genus and the self-intersection of the corresponding component, and two vertices are connected if the corresponding curves intersect each other. Notice that since the curves  $E_i$  are assumed to be smooth, the resulting graph contains no edge with coinciding endpoints. It is easy to see that the plumbing 3-manifold defined by the dual graph of a resolution is diffeomorphic to the link of the singularity at hand.

A resolution graph of a normal surface singularity is always negative definite, and according to a deep theorem of Grauert [9], any negative definite plumbing graph appears as the graph of an appropriate (and not necessarily unique) normal surface singularity. Notice that the link  $L$  of the singularity  $(V, 0)$  admits a contact structure by considering the complex tangents along  $L$ . According

to [1] this contact structure is unique up to contactomorphism. It is called the *Milnor fillable* contact structure on  $L$ . By a famous result of Bogomolov the complex structure on a resolution  $\tilde{V}$  can be deformed to a (possible blow-up of a) Stein filling, hence Milnor fillable contact structures are necessarily Stein fillable.

A *smoothing* of  $(V, 0)$  consists of a germ of a complex 3-fold  $(\mathfrak{V}, 0)$  together with a (germ of a) proper flat analytic map  $f: (\mathfrak{V}, 0) \rightarrow (\Delta, 0)$  (where  $(\Delta, 0)$  is the germ of an open disk in  $\mathbb{C}$ ) and an isomorphism  $i: (f^{-1}(0), 0) \rightarrow (V, 0)$  such that  $\mathfrak{V} - \{0\}$  is nonsingular and  $f|_{\text{int}\mathfrak{V}-\{0\}}$  is a submersion. By the Ehresman fibration theorem it follows then that over  $\Delta - \{0\}$  the map  $f$  is a fiber bundle whose fibers are smooth 2-dimensional Stein manifolds. The typical (nonsingular) fiber is called the *Milnor fiber* of the smoothing. Notice that its boundary is equal to the link of the singularity. Such smoothing does not necessarily exist for a given singularity; if it does, the Milnor fiber provides a further Stein filling of the Milnor fillable contact structure of the link of the singularity.

### 3 Construction of $\omega$ -convex neighborhoods

The aim of this section is to show that

**Theorem 3.1** *If  $C = C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_n \subset (X, \omega)$  is a collection of symplectic surfaces in a symplectic 4-manifold  $(X, \omega)$  intersecting each other  $\omega$ -orthogonally according to the negative definite plumbing graph  $\Gamma$  then  $C$  admits an  $\omega$ -convex neighborhood  $U_C \subset (X, \omega)$ .*

Recall that we always assume that  $\Gamma$  does not admit an edge from a vertex back to itself; in other words, the symplectic surfaces  $C_i \subset (X, \omega)$  are assumed to be embedded. The general case involving immersed surfaces can always be reduced to this situation by blow-ups.

**Remark 3.2** Using Grauert's result [9] it is not hard to show that  $C$  admits a neighborhood which is a weak symplectic filling of an appropriate contact structure on its boundary. Therefore the complement of this neighborhood is a weak concave filling, and although in some cases weak convex fillings can be deformed to be strong, no similar result for concave fillings is known. Weak fillings, however, are not suitable for the gluing constructions we will apply later, hence we verify the existence of an  $\omega$ -convex neighborhood, providing the desired strong concave filling of the boundary of the appropriate neighborhood.

By applying the following result (which is an application of Moser's method), the construction of the appropriate neighborhood relies on constructing model symplectic structures on the plumbing 4-manifold  $X_\Gamma$  determined by  $\Gamma$ . We start with recalling the Moser-type result.

**Theorem 3.3** (Moser, cf. also [6, 19]) *Suppose that  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  are symplectic forms on a 4-manifold  $M$  containing a configuration of smooth surfaces  $C = C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_n$  which are both  $\omega_1$ - and  $\omega_2$ -symplectic, with intersections which are both  $\omega_1$ - and  $\omega_2$ -orthogonal. Then  $C$  admits symplectomorphic neighborhoods  $(U_1, \omega_1)$  and  $(U_2, \omega_2)$  (via a symplectomorphism which is the identity on  $C$ ) if and only if  $\int_{C_i} \omega_1 = \int_{C_i} \omega_2$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .  $\square$*

The rest of the section is occupied by the construction of the model neighborhoods, and in fact we prove a slightly more general result than we need for this paper. Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite graph with vertex set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , with each vertex  $v$  labelled with a self-intersection  $s_v \in \mathbb{Z}$ , an area  $a_v \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and a genus  $g_v \in \mathbb{N}$ . (As always,  $\mathbb{R}^+$  denotes  $(0, \infty)$ .) Let  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)^T \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^n$ . Assume that  $\Gamma$  has no edges from a vertex back to itself. Let  $Q$  be the associated  $n \times n$  intersection matrix for  $\Gamma$ , so that  $Q_{ii} = s_i$  and  $Q_{ij}$  is the number of edges from vertex  $i$  to vertex  $j$ . (Notice that the off-diagonals of  $Q$  are therefore all nonnegative.)

In [6] we defined a *neighborhood 5-tuple* as a 5-tuple  $(X, \omega, C, f, V)$  such that  $(X, \omega)$  is a symplectic 4-manifold,  $C$  is a collection of symplectic surfaces in  $X$  intersecting  $\omega$ -orthogonally,  $f: X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a smooth function with no critical values in  $(0, \infty)$  and with  $f^{-1}(0) = C$ , and  $V$  is a Liouville vector field on  $X - C$  with  $df(V) > 0$ . From this it easily follows that, for small  $t > 0$ ,  $f^{-1}[0, t]$  is an  $\omega$ -convex tubular neighborhood of  $C$ .

**Proposition 3.4** *If there exists a vector  $\mathbf{z} \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^n$  with  $-Q\mathbf{z} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\mathbf{a}$  then there exists a neighborhood 5-tuple  $(X, \omega, f, C, V)$  such that  $C$  is a configuration of symplectic surfaces  $C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_n$  intersecting  $\omega$ -orthogonally according to the graph  $\Gamma$ , with  $C_i \cdot C_i = s_i$ ,  $\int_{C_i} \omega = a_i$  and  $\text{genus}(C_i) = g_i$ .*

Before giving the proof we give a quick survey of the necessary facts about toric moment maps on symplectic 4-manifolds. These results are all standard except that here we suppress the importance of the torus action and focus instead on how the geometry of the moment map image determines the smooth and symplectic topology of the total space; from a 4-manifold topologist's point of view a useful exposition can be found in [20]. Suppose that  $\mu: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  is

a toric moment map on a symplectic 4-manifold  $(X, \omega)$  with connected fibers, with  $\partial X = \emptyset$ .

- (1) Associated to  $\mu$  we have coordinates  $(p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2)$  on  $X$ , with  $p_i \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $q_i \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ , such that  $\mu(p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2) = (p_1, p_2)$  and  $\omega = dp_1 \wedge dq_1 + dp_2 \wedge dq_2$ .
- (2) The image  $\mu(X) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  has polygonal boundary with edges of rational slope. Where two edges with primitive integral tangent vectors  $(a, b)^T$  and  $(c, d)^T$  (oriented by  $\partial\mu(X)$ ) meet at a vertex, we have the ‘‘Delzant condition’’:

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} = 1.$$

- (3) The fibers over interior points of  $\mu(X)$  are tori (with coordinates  $(q_1, q_2)$ ). The fiber above a point in the interior of an edge of  $\partial\mu(X)$  with primitive integral tangent vector  $(a, b)^T$  is a circle with coordinate  $aq_1 + bq_2$ , so that the  $(-b, a)$ -circles in a nearby  $(q_1, q_2)$ -torus bound disks. The fiber above a vertex of  $\partial\mu(X)$  is a single point.
- (4) Any other symplectic 4-manifold  $(X', \omega')$  with toric moment map  $\mu': X' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  with connected fibers and with  $\mu'(X') = \mu(X)$  is symplectomorphic to  $(X, \omega)$  via a fiber-preserving symplectomorphism. Furthermore, any 2-dimensional submanifold  $B$  (with boundary and corners) of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , with rational slope polygonal boundary satisfying the Delzant condition, occurs as the image of a toric moment map on some symplectic 4-manifold (with connected fibers).
- (5) Given any matrix  $A \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ , there exists a toric moment map  $\mu_A: (X, \omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $\mu_A(X) = A\mu(X)$  and such that the coordinates  $(p'_1, q'_1, p'_2, q'_2)$  associated to  $\mu_A$  are related to the coordinates  $(p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2)$  associated to  $\mu$  via the following transformation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} p'_1 \\ p'_2 \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} q'_1 \\ q'_2 \end{pmatrix} = A^{-T} \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(Here  $A^{-T} = (A^{-1})^T$ .)

- (6) The vector field  $x\partial_x + y\partial_y$  radiating out from the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  lifts to a Liouville vector field  $V = p_1\partial_{p_1} + p_2\partial_{p_2}$  on  $X - \mu^{-1}(\partial\mu(X))$ . Given some  $A \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ , the change of coordinates discussed in the preceding point transforms  $V$  to  $V' = p'_1\partial_{p'_1} + p'_2\partial_{p'_2}$ .
- (7) Looking at a very specific case, if  $R = (x_0, x_1) \times [y_0, y_1]$  is an open subset of  $B = \mu(X)$  (hence  $(x_0, x_1) \times \{y_0\} \subset \partial B$ ), then the set  $\mu^{-1}(R)$  is diffeomorphic to  $(x_0, x_1) \times S^1 \times D_\rho^2$ , where  $D_\rho^2$  is an open disk in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  of



radius  $\rho = \sqrt{2(y_1 - y_0)}$  centered at the origin. Furthermore,  $\omega|_{\mu^{-1}(R)} = dt \wedge d\alpha + r dr \wedge d\theta$ , where  $t \in (x_0, x_1)$ ,  $\alpha \in S^1$  and  $(r, \theta)$  are standard polar coordinates on  $D_\rho^2$ , and with these coordinates,  $\mu(t, \alpha, r, \theta) = (t, \frac{1}{2}r^2 + y_0)$ , i.e.  $p_1 = t$ ,  $q_1 = \alpha$ ,  $p_2 = \frac{1}{2}r^2 + y_0$ ,  $q_2 = \theta$ . Then  $\mu^{-1}(\partial R) = \mu^{-1}((x_0, x_1) \times \{y_0\})$  is a cylinder  $(x_0, x_1) \times S^1 \times \{0\}$  with symplectic area  $2\pi(x_1 - x_0)$ . The Liouville vector field  $p_1\partial_{p_1} + p_2\partial_{p_2}$  then becomes  $V = t\partial_t + (\frac{1}{2}r + \frac{y_0}{r})\partial_r$ . (Note that  $V$  is clearly undefined at  $r = 0$  except in the special case that  $y_0 = 0$ .)

**Proof of Proposition 3.4** Fix a vector  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n)^T \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^n$  with  $-Q\mathbf{z} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\mathbf{a}$ . For each vertex  $v$  and for each edge  $e$  meeting  $v$ , choose an integer  $s_{v,e}$  such that  $\sum s_{v,e} = s_v$ , where this sum and other similar sums below are taken over all edges meeting the given vertex  $v$ . Also, for each vertex  $v$  and each edge  $e$  meeting  $v$ , letting  $w$  be the vertex at the other end of  $e$ , let  $x_{v,e} = -s_{v,e}z_v - z_w$ . Note that, for each  $v$  we have  $\sum x_{v,e} = (-Q\mathbf{z})_v = \frac{1}{2\pi}a_v > 0$ . Choose a small positive constant  $\epsilon$ , small enough so that for each  $v$  we have  $\sum(x_{v,e} - \epsilon) > 0$ . Also choose small positive constants  $\delta$  and  $\gamma$  satisfying a constraint to be stated shortly.

Consider the first quadrant  $P = [0, \infty)^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  and let  $g: P \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a smooth function satisfying the following properties (see Figure 1):

- (1) 0 is the only critical value of  $g$ .
- (2)  $g^{-1}(0) = \partial P$ .
- (3) If  $y - x \geq \gamma$  then  $g(x, y) = x$ .
- (4) If  $y - x \leq -\gamma$  then  $g(x, y) = y$ .
- (5) For all  $x, y$  we have  $g(x, y) = g(y, x)$ .
- (6) In the region  $-\gamma \leq y - x \leq \gamma$ , the level sets  $g^{-1}(t)$ , for  $t > 0$ , are smooth curves symmetric about the line  $y = x$ , with slope changing monotonically as a function of  $y - x$  from 0 to  $\infty$ .

The constants  $\delta$  and  $\gamma$  should satisfy the following constraint: For each vertex  $v$  and for each edge  $e$  incident to  $v$ , the line passing through  $(0, \epsilon)$  with tangent vector  $(1, -s_{v,e})$  should intersect  $g^{-1}(\delta)$  in the region  $y - x > \gamma$ . By symmetry we will also have that the line passing through  $(\epsilon, 0)$  with tangent vector  $(-s_{v,e}, 1)$  intersects  $g^{-1}(\delta)$  in the region  $y - x < -\gamma$ . Note that if  $s_{v,e} < 0$ , this constraint is simply the constraint that  $\gamma < \epsilon$ .

For each edge  $e$  we now construct a neighborhood 5-tuple  $(X_e, \omega_e, f_e, C_e, V_e)$  as follows (see Figure 2): Consider the two vertices at the ends of  $e$  and arbitrarily label one  $v$  and the other  $v'$ . Let  $g_e(x, y) = g(x - z_v, y - z_{v'})$ , a function from




Figure 1: Contour plot of  $g$ .

$P + (z_v, z_{v'})$  to  $[0, \infty)$ . Let  $R_e$  be the open subset of  $g_e^{-1}[0, \delta)$  between the line passing through  $(z_v, z_{v'} + 2\epsilon)$  with tangent vector  $(1, -s_{v,e})$  and the line passing through  $(z_v + 2\epsilon, z_{v'})$  with tangent vector  $(-s_{v',e}, 1)$ . Let  $(X_e, \omega_e)$  be the unique connected symplectic 4-manifold with toric moment map  $\mu_e: X_e \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $\mu_e(X_e) = R_e$ . Let  $C_e = \mu_e^{-1}(\partial R_e)$ ,  $f_e = g_e \circ \mu_e$  and let  $V_e$  be the Liouville vector field obtained by lifting the radial vector field emanating from the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , as in item (6) in the discussion of toric geometry above. Note that  $df_e(V_e) > 0$  because  $dg_e(x\partial_x + y\partial_y) > 0$ , which is true because  $z_v > 0$  and  $z_{v'} > 0$ . (Topologically,  $C_e$  is just a union of two disks meeting transversely at one point and  $X_e$  is a 4-ball neighborhood of  $C$ .)

Also let  $R_{e,v}$  be the open subset of  $R_e$  between the parallel lines passing through  $(z_v, z_{v'} + \epsilon)$  and  $(z_v, z_{v'} + 2\epsilon)$  with tangent vector  $(1, -s_{v,e})$ , and let  $R_{e,v'}$  be the open subset of  $R_e$  between the parallel lines passing through  $(z_v + \epsilon, z_{v'})$  and  $(z_v + 2\epsilon, z_{v'})$  with tangent vector  $(-s_{v',e}, 1)$ . By the constraints on  $\delta$  and  $\gamma$ , these are both parallelograms, open on three sides.

Now we introduce two reparametrizations of this neighborhood 5-tuple, one for each of the vertices  $v$  and  $v'$ , using matrices  $A_v, A_{v'} \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  as in item (5) preceding this proof. These matrices are:

$$A_v = \begin{pmatrix} -s_{v,e} & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_{v'} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -s_{v',e} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The reader should at this point verify that  $A_v$  transforms  $R_{e,v}$  into the region  $(x_{v,e} - 2\epsilon, x_{v,e} - \epsilon) \times [z_v, z_v + \delta)$  and that  $A_{v'}$  transforms  $R_{e,v'}$  into the region  $(x_{v',e} - 2\epsilon, x_{v',e} - \epsilon) \times [z_{v'}, z_{v'} + \delta)$ . Referring to item (7) in the toric discussion preceding this proof, we see that on  $\mu_e^{-1}(R_{e,v})$  and on  $\mu_e^{-1}(R_{e,v'})$  we can write everything down in particularly nice local coordinates as follows:




Figure 2: The moment map image  $R_e$  of  $(X_e, \omega_e)$ ; in this example  $s_{v,e} = 0$  and  $s_{v',e} = -1$ .

- (1) On  $\mu_e^{-1}(R_{e,v})$  we have:
  - $\mu_e^{-1}(R_{e,v}) \cong (x_{v,e} - 2\epsilon, x_{v,e} - \epsilon) \times S^1 \times D_{\sqrt{2\delta}}^2$  with corresponding coordinates  $(t, \alpha, r, \theta)$ .
  - In these coordinates,  $\omega_e = dt \wedge d\alpha + r dr \wedge d\theta$ .
  - $C_e \cap \mu_e^{-1}(R_{e,v}) = (x_{v,e} - 2\epsilon, x_{v,e} - \epsilon) \times S^1 \times \{0\}$ .
  - $f_e = \frac{1}{2}r^2 + z_v$ .
  - $V_e = t\partial_t + (\frac{1}{2}r + \frac{z_v}{r})\partial_r$ .
- (2) On  $\mu_e^{-1}(R_{e,v'})$  we have:
  - $\mu_e^{-1}(R_{e,v'}) \cong (x_{v',e} - 2\epsilon, x_{v',e} - \epsilon) \times S^1 \times D_{\sqrt{2\delta}}^2$  with corresponding coordinates  $(t, \alpha, r, \theta)$ .
  - In these coordinates,  $\omega_e = dt \wedge d\alpha + r dr \wedge d\theta$ .
  - $C_e \cap \mu_e^{-1}(R_{e,v'}) = (x_{v',e} - 2\epsilon, x_{v',e} - \epsilon) \times S^1 \times \{0\}$ .
  - $f_e = \frac{1}{2}r^2 + z_{v'}$ .
  - $V_e = t\partial_t + (\frac{1}{2}r + \frac{z_{v'}}{r})\partial_r$ .

Now we will construct neighborhood 5-tuples associated to the vertices so that they can be glued to the neighborhoods constructed above using the explicit coordinates that we have just seen in the preceding paragraph. [6, Lemma 2.4] tells us that for each vertex  $v$  we can find a compact surface  $\Sigma_v$  of genus  $g_v$  with a symplectic form  $\beta_v$  and Liouville vector field  $W_v$  such that  $\Sigma_v$  has one boundary component  $\partial_{e,v}\Sigma_v$  for each edge  $e$  incident with  $v$  and such that there exists a collar neighborhood  $N_{e,v}$  of each  $\partial_{e,v}\Sigma_v$  parametrized as  $(x_{v,e} - 2\epsilon, x_{v,e} - \epsilon] \times S^1$  on which  $\beta_v = dt \wedge d\alpha$  and  $W_v = t\partial_t$ . (Here we use the constraint we imposed on  $\epsilon$ , namely that, for each vertex  $v$  we have  $\sum(x_{v,e} - \epsilon) > 0$ .) Note that  $\int_{\Sigma_v} \beta_v = 2\pi \sum(x_{v,e} - \epsilon)$ . Then our neighborhood 5-tuple for the vertex  $v$  is:

$$\begin{aligned} (X_v &= (\Sigma_v - \partial\Sigma_v) \times D_{\sqrt{2\delta}}^2, \\ \omega_v &= \beta_v + r dr \wedge d\theta, \\ C_v &= \Sigma_v - \partial\Sigma_v, \\ f_v &= \frac{1}{2}r^2 + z_v, \\ V_v &= W_v + \left(\frac{1}{2}r + \frac{z_v}{r}\right)\partial_r. \end{aligned}$$

These neighborhoods can then be glued to the neighborhoods for the edges as follows: For each edge  $e$  with incident vertices  $v$  and  $v'$ , glue the end  $(N_{e,v} - \partial_{e,v}\Sigma_v) \times D_{\sqrt{2\delta}}^2$  of  $X_v$  to the end  $\mu_e^{-1}(R_{e,v})$  of  $X_e$  by identifying the  $(t, \alpha, r, \theta)$  coordinates, and similarly glue  $(N_{e,v'} - \partial_{e,v'}\Sigma'_v) \times D_{\sqrt{2\delta}}^2$  to  $\mu_e^{-1}(R_{e,v'})$ . The result is the 5-tuple  $(X, \omega, C, f, V)$ .

We now verify that the areas and self-intersections of the surfaces in  $C$  are correct. For the areas, note that the closed surface  $C_v \subset X$  is the union of  $(\Sigma_v - \partial\Sigma_v) \times 0$  in  $X_v$  with the various disks  $\mu_e^{-1}(\partial_v R_e) \subset X_e$ , where  $\partial_v R_e$  is one of the two edges making up  $\partial R_e$ . The area of  $(\Sigma_v - \partial\Sigma_v) \times 0$  is  $2\pi \sum(x_{v,e} - \epsilon)$ , the area of each disk is  $2\pi(2\epsilon)$  and the area of each overlapping cylinder is  $2\pi\epsilon$ , so the total area is  $2\pi \sum x_{v,e} = a_v$ . For the self-intersections, note that the boundary of a tubular neighborhood of  $C_v$  is a 3-manifold homeomorphic to  $\Sigma_v \times S^1$  with the boundary components Dehn filled with solid tori. Looking at how the matrices  $A_v$  (or  $A_{v'}$ ) transform the regions  $R_e$ , and following the argument at the end of the proof of [6, Proposition 2.3], we see that the  $(1, s_{v,e})$  curves in each  $\partial_{v,e}\Sigma_v \times S^1$  are filled in by disks. So this 3-manifold is the  $S^1$ -bundle over  $C_v$  of Euler class  $\sum s_{v,e} = s_v$ .  $\square$

In order to apply Proposition 3.4 in the proof of Theorem 3.3 we need to show that the symmetric matrix  $Q$  defined by the graph  $\Gamma$  of the symplectic surfaces

$C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_n \subset (X, \omega)$  satisfies the property that the equation

$$-Q\mathbf{z} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\mathbf{a}$$

admits a solution  $\mathbf{z} = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^n$  for any given  $\mathbf{a} \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^n$ . The basis of our argument is the following simple linear algebra observation:

**Lemma 3.5** *Suppose that the bilinear form  $(x, y)$  is given by the negative definite symmetric matrix  $Q$  with only nonnegative off-diagonals in the basis  $\{E_i\}$ . If for a vector  $x$  the inequalities  $(x, E_i) \leq 0$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) are all satisfied, then all coordinates of  $x$  are nonnegative.*

**Proof** Let us expand  $x$  in the basis  $\{E_i\}$  and denote the resulting  $n$ -tuple by  $x$  as well. Suppose that  $x = x_1 - x_2$  where  $x_i$  has only nonnegative entries for  $i = 1, 2$ , and the supports of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are disjoint. Take  $E_i$  from the support of  $x_2$ . Then by the assumption

$$(x, E_i) = (x_1, E_i) - (x_2, E_i) \leq 0$$

implying that  $(x_1, E_i) \leq (x_2, E_i)$ . Summing for all basis vectors  $E_i$  in the support of  $x_2$  and multiplying the inequalities with the positive coefficients they have in  $x_2$  we get

$$(x_1, x_2) \leq (x_2, x_2).$$

Since the support of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  is disjoint (and the off-diagonals in  $Q$  are all nonnegative, that is,  $(E_i, E_j) \geq 0$  once  $i \neq j$ ), we have that  $(x_1, x_2) \geq 0$ . On the other hand,  $Q$  is negative definite, so  $(x_2, x_2) \leq 0$ . This implies that  $(x_2, x_2) = 0$ , which by definiteness implies that  $x_2 = 0$ , hence  $x = x_1$ , verifying the lemma.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.6** *For any  $\mathbf{a} \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^n$  the vector  $-Q^{-1}\mathbf{a}$  is in  $(\mathbb{R}^+)^n$ .*

**Proof** Suppose that  $\mathbf{a}$  is in  $(\mathbb{R}^+)^n$  and consider  $\mathbf{b} = -Q^{-1}\mathbf{a}$ . Then  $-\mathbf{a} = Q\mathbf{b}$  is a vector with only nonpositive coordinates, that is,  $(\mathbf{b}, E_i) \leq 0$  for all  $i$ . The application of Lemma 3.5 then finishes the proof.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 3.1** By the above corollary and Proposition 3.4, there exists a neighborhood 5-tuple  $(X_\Gamma, \omega_\Gamma, f_\Gamma, C_\Gamma, V_\Gamma)$  for the given plumbing graph  $\Gamma$  (decorated with  $a_i = \int_{C_i} \omega$ ). By basic results in differential topology, there exists an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $C$  in  $X$  which is diffeomorphic to  $f_\Gamma^{-1}(t)$  for some small  $t > 0$ , via a diffeomorphism sending  $C$  to  $C_\Gamma$ . By Theorem 3.3, we

can make this diffeomorphism into a symplectomorphism, after possibly taking a smaller neighborhood of  $C$  and a smaller value for  $t$ . Since in the neighborhood 5-tuple every neighborhood of  $C_\Gamma$  contains an  $\omega_\Gamma$ -convex neighborhood, its image under the symplectomorphism provides  $U_C \subset (X, \omega)$ .  $\square$

## 4 Open book decompositions on $\partial U_C$

This section is devoted to the description of an open book decomposition on  $\partial U_C$  compatible with the contact structure induced on it as an  $\omega$ -convex neighborhood of  $C$ . We begin with a lemma about “open book decompositions” (OBDs) on 3-manifolds with boundary. By an OBD on a 3-manifold  $M$  with  $\partial M \neq \emptyset$ , we mean a pair  $(B, \pi)$ , where  $B \subset M - \partial M$  is a link and  $\pi : M - B \rightarrow S^1$  is a fibration which behaves as open books usually behave near  $B$  and which restricts to  $\partial M$  to give an honest fibration of  $\partial M$  over  $S^1$ . When the pages are oriented, this induces an orientation on  $B$  as the boundary of a page.

**Lemma 4.1** *Consider  $M = [0, 1] \times S^1 \times S^1$  with coordinates  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $(\alpha, \beta) \in S^1 \times S^1$ . Given any  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists an OBD  $(B, \pi)$  on  $M$  such that  $\pi|_{\{0\} \times S^1 \times S^1} = \beta$  and  $\pi|_{\{1\} \times S^1 \times S^1} = \beta + (m - n)\alpha$ . The pages  $\pi^{-1}(\theta)$  are transverse to  $\partial_\beta$ , and the binding  $B$  is tangent to  $\partial_\beta$ . In addition  $B$  has  $m + n$  components  $B_1, \dots, B_{m+n}$ , which we can take to be  $B_i = \{1/2\} \times \{(2\pi i)/(m + n)\} \times S^1$ . When the pages are oriented so that  $\partial_\beta$  is positively transverse then  $B_1, \dots, B_m$  are oriented in the positive  $\partial_\beta$  direction while  $B_{m+1}, \dots, B_{m+n}$  are oriented in the negative  $\partial_\beta$  direction. (If  $m = n = 0$  we use, of course, the map  $\pi = \beta$  on all of  $M$  and have  $B = \emptyset$ .)*

**Proof** This proof follows directly from the following observation which we leave to the reader to verify (with the aid of Figure 3): Consider  $P = [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \times S^1$  with coordinates  $(x, y, \theta)$ . There is an OBD  $(B_P, \pi_P)$  on  $P$  with  $B_P = \{1/2\} \times \{1/2\} \times S^1$ , such that  $f|_{\{0\} \times [0, 1] \times S^1} = \theta$ ,  $f|_{[0, 1] \times \{0\} \times S^1} = \theta$ ,  $f|_{[0, 1] \times \{1\} \times S^1} = \theta$  and  $f|_{\{1\} \times [0, 1] \times S^1} = \theta + 2\pi y$ . When the pages are oriented so that  $\partial_\theta$  is positively transverse then  $B_P$  is oriented in the positive  $\partial_\theta$  direction.

Given this observation, the lemma can be proved by stacking  $m$  of the above models side-by-side (in the  $y$  direction), followed by  $n$  of the above models with the  $\theta$  direction reversed.  $\square$




Figure 3: Building block for OBD's. The shaded surface indicates a page.

Recall that a plumbed 3-manifold  $M = M_\Gamma$  constructed according to a plumbing graph  $\Gamma$  decomposes along a collection of tori  $\{T_e\}$ , indexed by the edges of  $\Gamma$ , into codimension-0 pieces  $\{M_v\}$ , indexed by the vertices of  $\Gamma$ . Each  $M_v$  fibers over a compact surface  $\Sigma_v$  with each boundary component  $\partial_{v,e}M_v$  of  $M_v$  fibering over a corresponding boundary component  $\partial_{v,e}\Sigma_v$  of  $\Sigma_v$ . On each torus  $T_e$  there are thus two fibrations over  $S^1$ , coming from the vertices at the two ends of  $e$ . We say that an OBD on  $M$  is *almost horizontal* if the pages are transverse to the fibers on each  $M_v$  and transverse to both types of fibers on each  $T_e$  and if the binding components are disjoint from the  $T_e$ 's and are fibers of the fibration of the corresponding  $M_v$ 's. In addition, we can orient the binding components as boundary components of a page, with the page oriented so as to intersect fibers positively. When this orientation points in the positive fiber direction, we say that the binding component in question is positive, and otherwise we say it is negative. If all the binding components are positive then we say that the OBD is *horizontal*. (For more about horizontal OBD's, see [2].)

Now we refer to the notation of Proposition 3.4 and its proof. For any small enough  $t > 0$ ,  $M = f^{-1}(t)$  is a plumbed 3-manifold. We may take the separating tori  $\{T_e\}$  to be  $T_e = \mu_e^{-1}(g_e^{-1}(t) \cap L)$ , where  $L$  is the line  $(y - z_{v'}) - (x - z_v) = 0$  in  $R_e$ . Let  $\xi_C = \ker(i_V\omega|_M)$  be the contact structure induced on  $M$  by the Liouville vector field  $V$  and the symplectic structure  $\omega$ . For any vertex  $v$  of the plumbing graph  $\Gamma$ , let  $d_v$  denote the valency of  $v$ , that is, the number of edges in  $\Gamma$  incident to  $v$ .

**Proposition 4.2** *Given nonnegative integers  $p_v, n_v$  for each vertex  $v$  of  $\Gamma$*

such that  $p_v - n_v = -s_v - d_v$ , there exists an almost horizontal OBD on  $M$  supporting  $\xi$  with  $p_v + n_v$  binding components in each fibered piece  $M_v$ , with  $p_v$  positive components and  $n_v$  negative components. This OBD is independent of the areas  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  of the symplectic surfaces  $C_1, \dots, C_n$ , and therefore the various contact structures induced by the different symplectic structures for different  $\mathbf{a} \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^n$  are all isotopic.

**Proof** Referring to the proof of Proposition 3.4, we see that  $M$  is built by gluing the  $f_v^{-1}(t)$ 's to the  $f_e^{-1}(t)$ 's. Recall that  $f_v^{-1}(t) = (\Sigma_v - \partial\Sigma_v) \times S_\rho^1$ , where  $S_\rho^1$  is the circle of radius  $\rho = \sqrt{2t}$ . Each  $f_e^{-1}(t)$  is a submanifold of  $X_e$  which has toric coordinates  $(p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2)$ . The OBD we construct will be the  $S_\rho^1$  coordinate function  $\theta$  on each  $f_v^{-1}(t)$  and the function  $q_1 + q_2$  on each  $f_e^{-1}(t)$ . We will put in binding components in the  $(x_{v,e} - 2\epsilon, x_{v,e} - \epsilon) \times S^1 \times S_\rho^1$  overlaps where the gluing happens, in order to “interpolate” from  $\theta$  to  $q_1 + q_2$ . In order to do this, we must transform the function  $q_1 + q_2$  into the  $(t, \alpha, \theta)$  coordinates on each  $(x_{v,e} - 2\epsilon, x_{v,e} - \epsilon) \times S^1 \times S_\rho^1$  and  $(x_{v',e} - 2\epsilon, x_{v',e} - \epsilon) \times S^1 \times S_\rho^1$ , using the transformations given by the matrices  $A_v$  and  $A_{v'}$ . We see that the change of coordinates associated with  $A_v$  at the end  $R_{e,v}$ , transforms  $q_1 + q_2$  into the function  $(-s_{v,e} - 1)\alpha + \theta$  and that the change associated with  $A_{v'}$  transforms  $q_1 + q_2$  into  $(-s_{v',e} - 1)\alpha + \theta$ . Thus using Lemma 4.1, we see that for each vertex  $v$  incident to an edge  $e$ , if we have two nonnegative integers  $p_{v,e}, n_{v,e}$  with  $p_{v,e} - n_{v,e} = -s_{v,e} - 1$  we can interpolate from  $q_1 + q_2$  to  $\theta$  by introducing  $p_{v,e}$  positive binding components and  $n_{v,e}$  negative binding components. By suitably partitioning the  $p_v$ 's into  $p_{v,e}$ 's and the  $n_v$ 's into  $n_{v,e}$ 's, we construct the desired OBD.

It remains to verify that this OBD is almost horizontal and supports  $\xi$ . The OBD is clearly almost horizontal on each  $f_v^{-1}(t)$  and on the overlap regions where the binding components are put in. On each  $f_e^{-1}(t)$ , we need to see how the fiber directions  $\partial_\theta$  coming from each vertex incident to  $e$  transform via the inverses of the transformations associated to  $A_v$  and  $A_{v'}$ . This check is straightforward and we see that, at the  $v$  end,  $\partial_\theta$  becomes  $\partial_{q_1}$  and at the  $v'$  end,  $\partial_\theta$  becomes  $\partial_{q_2}$ . Both of these are transverse to the pages, i.e. the fibers of  $q_1 + q_2$ .

Lastly, we need to verify that a Reeb vector field for  $\xi_C$  is transverse to the pages of this OBD and tangent to the bindings. However, this is clear because, on  $f_v^{-1}(t)$  the Reeb vector field is a positive multiple of  $\partial_\theta$ , and on  $f_e^{-1}(t)$  the Reeb vector field is a positive multiple of  $b_1\partial_{q_1} + b_2\partial_{q_2}$  where  $g_e = b_1dx + b_2dy$ , and  $b_1, b_2 > 0$  by construction of  $g_e$ . Notice that in this construction there was no dependence on the areas  $\mathbf{a}$ .  $\square$



## 5 The proof of Theorem 1.1

In order to apply the gluing scheme of symplectic 4-manifolds along hypersurfaces of contact type (as it is given in [3]) we have to verify that the contact structure  $\xi_C$  (given by the toric pictures) and the Milnor fillable contact structure  $\xi_M$  are contactomorphic. (Recall, that in the previous section we saw that the toric approach produces isotopic contact structures for any input vector  $\mathbf{a} \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^n$ .) In the case of negative definite starshaped plumbing trees of spheres with three legs this identification of contact structures relied on the classification of tight contact structures on certain small Seifert fibered 3-manifolds. Such a classification is not available in general. Although we strongly believe that the two contact structures above are contactomorphic, we could prove it only under strong restrictions on the plumbing graph  $\Gamma$ . This proof is given in the next subsection. The last subsection describes a way to carry out the gluing (with the use of a certain symplectic cobordism) without proving the desired contactomorphism.

### 5.1 The case of strongly nonpositive plumbing graphs

Recall that each vertex of the plumbing graph  $\Gamma$  is decorated by two integers:  $g_i \geq 0$  denotes the genus of the surface  $\Sigma_i$  corresponding to the vertex  $v_i$ , while  $s_i$  is the Euler number of the normal disk bundle of  $\Sigma_i$  in the plumbing 4-manifold  $X_\Gamma$  (or alternatively the self-intersection of the homology class  $[\Sigma_i]$ ). Since  $\Gamma$  is negative definite, we have that  $s_i < 0$ . As before, let  $d_i$  denote the valency of the vertex  $v_i$ , that is, the number of edges emanating from  $v_i$ . We say that  $\Gamma$  is *strongly nonpositive* if the inequality

$$-s_i > d_i + 2g_i$$

holds for every vertex  $v_i$ . According to our description of a compatible OBD, we see that if the above strict inequality holds, then there is a horizontal OBD compatible with  $\xi_C$  such that it has at least  $2g_i + 1$  binding components near every vertex of  $\Gamma$ .

**Proposition 5.1** *If  $-s_i > d_i + 2g_i$  holds for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$  then the contact structures  $\xi_C$  and  $\xi_M$  are contactomorphic.*

**Proof** By [1, Theorem 4.1] there exists a horizontal OBD compatible with  $\xi_M$  which has the same binding as the OBD constructed in Section 4 (compatible with  $\xi_C$ ). Since  $2g_i + 1 > 0$  for all vertices  $v_i$ , there are binding components

near every vertex. In this case, however, [1, Proposition 4.6] shows that the two horizontal OBD's with the same binding are isomorphic, implying that  $\xi_C$  and  $\xi_M$  are contactomorphic.  $\square$

In the general case, however, the OBD we found for  $\xi_C$  is only almost horizontal, since it involves binding components oriented opposite to the fiber orientation. In these cases we present a different argument for finishing the proof of Theorem 1.1.

## 5.2 A symplectic cobordism

Consider now the singularity  $(S_\Gamma, 0)$  with resolution dual graph  $\Gamma$  and with symplectic structure  $\omega_\Gamma$  on  $S_\Gamma - \{0\}$ , and consider the (Kähler) symplectic structure  $\omega_K$  on the (good) resolution  $\tilde{S}_\Gamma$ . The embedding of  $(S_\Gamma, 0)$  into some  $\mathbb{C}^k$  provides a Liouville vector field  $w$  on  $S_\Gamma - \{0\}$  and so the sublevel sets of the distance function from the origin provide an  $\omega_\Gamma$ -convex neighborhood basis, that is, for every neighborhood  $N$  of the origin in  $S_\Gamma$  there is an  $\omega_\Gamma$ -convex neighborhood contained in  $N$ . By pulling back via the resolution map  $\varphi: \tilde{S}_\Gamma \rightarrow S_\Gamma$  (which is a symplectomorphism when restricted to  $S_\Gamma - \{0\}$ ), the same holds for neighborhoods of  $C = \varphi^{-1}(0)$  in  $\tilde{S}_\Gamma$ . On the other hand, for the appropriate  $\mathbf{a}$  (given by the  $\omega_K$ -areas of the symplectic submanifolds of  $C$  in the resolution) the toric construction of Section 3 provides a symplectic structure  $\omega_{\mathbf{a}}$  on  $X_\Gamma \cong \tilde{S}_\Gamma$  with an  $\omega_{\mathbf{a}}$ -convex neighborhood basis for  $C$ . According to Theorem 3.3 there are neighborhoods  $N_1, N_2$  of  $C \subset \tilde{S}_\Gamma$  such that  $(N_1, \omega_{\mathbf{a}})$  and  $(N_2, \omega_K)$  are symplectomorphic via a symplectomorphism

$$\psi: (N_1, \omega_{\mathbf{a}}) \rightarrow (N_2, \omega_K).$$

Consider now an  $\omega_{\mathbf{a}}$ -convex neighborhood  $U$  of  $C$  in  $N_1$  and an  $\omega_K$ -convex neighborhood  $V$  in  $\psi(U) \subset N_2$ . Since  $\psi$  is a symplectomorphism, the image of the Liouville vector field  $v$  on  $(U, \omega_{\mathbf{a}}) \subset (N_1, \omega_{\mathbf{a}})$  (proving that  $\partial U$  is a hypersurface of contact type with induced contact structure  $\xi_C$ ) provides a Liouville vector field  $\psi(v)$  along  $\psi(U)$  in  $N_2$ . Therefore the symplectic submanifold

$$T = \psi(U) - \text{int } V$$

is a symplectic cobordism between  $(\partial\nu C, \xi_M)$  and  $(\partial\nu C, \xi_C)$ ; near  $\partial\psi(U)$  we have  $\psi(v)$ , and near  $\partial V$  we have  $w$  as Liouville vector fields.

By this observation the gluing construction of symplectic structures along hypersurfaces of contact type provides a symplectic structure on the 4-manifold  $Z' = Z_1 \cup T \cup (X - X_1)$ : The strong symplectic filling  $Z_1$  of  $(\partial\nu C, \xi_M)$  can be

symplectically glued to  $T$  along its concave end, while  $Z_1 \cup T$  can be symplectically glued to  $X - X_1$ . In addition, the resulting symplectic structure can be assumed to agree with  $\omega$  on  $(X - X_1)$ .

**Proposition 5.2** *The 4-manifold  $T$  is diffeomorphic to  $\partial\nu C \times [0, 1]$ , hence provides a topologically trivial symplectic cobordism from  $(\partial\nu C, \xi_M)$  to  $(\partial\nu C, \xi_C)$ .*

**Proof** Let us consider a sequence  $V_3 \subset \psi(U_3) \subset V_2 \subset \psi(U_2) \subset V_1 \subset \psi(U_1)$  of subsets, where  $U_i$  are  $\omega_a$ -convex neighborhoods in  $N_1$ , while  $V_j$  are  $\omega_K$ -convex neighborhoods in  $N_2 \subset (\tilde{S}_\Gamma, C)$ . Notice that (since the Liouville vector fields have no critical values on  $N_i - C$ ), we have that the differences  $U_i - \text{int } U_{i+1}$  and  $V_j - \text{int } V_{j+1}$  are all topologically trivial cobordisms. We can assume that  $U_2 = U$  and  $V_2 = V$ , hence  $T = \psi(U_2) - \text{int } V_2$ . Let us consider a handlebody decomposition of  $T$  with the least number of 1- and 3-handles. (We can obviously assume that the decomposition involves no 0- and 4-handles.) Similarly, fix handle decompositions of the other consecutive differences. In this way, by composing the decompositions we get handle decompositions of the trivial cobordisms  $U_i - \text{int } U_{i+1}$  and  $V_i - \text{int } V_{i+1}$ . Consider first  $U_2 - \text{int } U_3$ . The 2-handles of  $V_2 - \text{int } \psi(U_3)$  are attached before the 1-handles of  $T$ , hence these 2-handles cannot cancel the 1-handles in  $T$ . Likewise, by the minimality of the decomposition of  $T$ , 2-handles of  $T$  cannot cancel the 1-handles in  $T$ . Since the 4-manifold  $\psi(U_2) - \text{int } \psi(U_3) \cong U_2 - \text{int } U_3$  is the trivial cobordism, we conclude that the handle decomposition of  $T$  admits no 1-handles. Similar argument (with the use of  $V_1 - \text{int } \psi(U_2)$  instead of  $V_2 - \text{int } \psi(U_3)$ ) shows that the handle decomposition involves no 3-handles either. Hence  $T$  is a cobordism from  $\partial\nu C$  to  $\partial\nu C$  built using 2-handles only. The same argument (with the use of  $\psi(U_3) - \text{int } V_3$  instead of  $V_2 - \text{int } \psi(U_3)$ ) shows that  $V_2 - \text{int } \psi(U_3)$  admits a handle decomposition with 2-handles only. Since the union  $T \cup (V_2 - \text{int } \psi(U_3))$  is the trivial cobordism  $\partial\nu C \times [0, 1]$ , and it admits a handle decomposition with 2-handles only, in the decomposition there are no 2-handles at all. This, however, shows that  $T$  is a smoothly trivial cobordism, concluding the proof.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.1** Consider the symplectic cobordism  $T$ . By gluing symplectic 4-manifolds along hypersurfaces of contact type we can symplectically glue the complement of an  $\omega$ -convex neighborhood of  $C$  to  $T$  along its convex end, and glue  $Z_1$  to  $T$  along its concave end. Since the cobordism  $T$  is trivial, the symplectic structure constructed in this way is on the smooth 4-manifold  $Z = Z_1 \cup (X - X_1)$ , concluding the proof. The contactomorphisms

we chose in the symplectic gluing along the hypersurfaces of contact type will determine the gluing map of Theorem 1.1.  $\square$

## References

- [1] C. Caubel, A. Némethi and P. Popescu–Pampu, *Milnor open books and Milnor fillable contact 3–manifolds*, *Topology* **45** (2006), 673–689.
- [2] T. Etgü and B. Ozbagci, *Explicit horizontal open books on some plumbings*, *Internat. J. Math.* **17** (2006), 1013–1031.
- [3] J. Etnyre, *Symplectic convexity in low–dimensional topology*, *Symplectic, contact and low–dimensional topology* (Athens, GA, 1996) *Topology Appl.* **88** (1998), 3–25.
- [4] M. Freedman, *The topology of four–dimensional manifolds*, *J. Differential Geom.* **17** (1982), 357–453.
- [5] R. Fintushel and R. Stern, *Rational blowdowns of smooth 4–manifolds*, *J. Diff. Geom.* **46** (1997), 181–235.
- [6] D. Gay and A. Stipsicz, *Symplectic rational blow–down along Seifert fibered 3–manifolds*, *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, to appear, arXiv:math/0703370.
- [7] R. Gompf *A new construction of symplectic manifolds*, *Ann. of Math.* **142** (1995), 527–595.
- [8] R. Gompf and A. Stipsicz, *4–manifolds and Kirby calculus*, *AMS Grad. Studies in Math.* **20**, 1999.
- [9] H. Grauert, *Über Modifikationen und exzeptionelle analytische Mengen*, *Math. Ann.* **146** (1962), 498–507.
- [10] P. Kronheimer and T. Mrowka, *Floer homology for Seiberg–Witten monopoles*, book in preparation.
- [11] H. Laufer, *Normal two–dimensional singularities*, *Annals of Math. Studies* **71**, Princeton University Press, 1971.
- [12] Y. Lee and J. Park, *Simply connected surfaces of general type with  $p_g = 0$  and  $K^2 = 2$* , arXiv:math.AG/0609072.
- [13] E. Looijenga and J. Wahl, *Quadratic functions and smoothing surface singularities*, *Topology* **25** (1986), 261–291.
- [14] J. McCarthy and J. Wolfson, *Symplectic gluing along hypersurfaces and resolution of isolated orbifold singularities*, *Invent. Math.* **119** (1995), 129–154.
- [15] J. McCarthy and J. Wolfson, *Symplectic resolution of isolated algebraic singularities*, *Geometry, topology and dynamics* (Montreal, PQ, 1995) 101–105.
- [16] A. Némethi, *Five lectures on normal surface singularities. With the assistance of Ágnes Szilárd and Sándor Kovács*, *Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud.* **8** (1999), Low dimensional topology, 269–351.

- [17] J. Park, *Seiberg–Witten invariants of generalized rational blow-downs*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **56** (1997), 363–384.
- [18] M. Symington, *Symplectic rational blowdowns*, J. Diff. Geom. **50** (1998), 505–518.
- [19] M. Symington, *Generalized symplectic rational blowdowns*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **1** (2001), 503–518.
- [20] M. Symington, *Four dimensions from two in symplectic topology*, Topology and Geometry of manifolds (Athens, GA, 2001) 153–208.
- [21] C. Taubes, *The Seiberg–Witten invariants and symplectic forms*, Math. Res. Lett. **1** (1994), 809–822.
- [22] J. Wahl, *Topology, geometry and equations of normal surface singularities*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **324** (2006), 351–371.