

PLANAR GRAPHS AND COVERS

M.J. DUNWOODY

Version 28.4.2009

ABSTRACT. Planar locally finite graphs which are almost vertex transitive are discussed. If the graph is 3-connected and has at most one end then the group of automorphisms is a planar discontinuous group and its structure is well-known. A general result is obtained for such graphs where no restriction is put on the number of ends. It is shown that such a graph can be built up from one-ended or finite planar graphs in a precise way. The results give a classification of the finitely generated groups with planar Cayley graphs.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1965 Maskit [8] proved his Planarity Theorem which classified the planar covers of compact surfaces. This classification is significant in understanding planar graphs. Thus one way of obtaining a planar graph is by embedding a finite graph Y in a surface and then considering its lift in a regular planar cover. A planar graph X obtained in this way will have an automorphism group G such that $G \backslash X$ is finite. We show any 3-connected planar graph X with an automorphism group G such that $Y = G \backslash X$ is finite arises in this way. Maskit's Theorem enables one to classify the groups G that arise and we show that each such group has a planar Cayley graph. Thus one has a classification of the groups that admit a 3-connected, locally finite, planar Cayley graph.

In Section 3 graphs that are not 3-connected are discussed. It is shown that if X is such a graph which is connected and locally finite with automorphism group G then there is a G -tree T , associated with X . To each vertex $v \in VT$ with stabilizer G_v , either G_v contains a normal subgroup N_v which fixes a vertex of X and G_v/N_v is either a finite cyclic or dihedral group or there is a 3-connected G_v -graph Z_v which is a subdivision of a subgraph of X and is planar if X is planar. Each edge e of T is associated with a decomposition $X = A \cup A^*$ where A, A^* are subgraphs $A \cup A^* = X$ and $A \cap A^*$ has either one or two vertices and no edges. This is a new proof of a result of Droms, Servatius and Servatius [3] which generalizes the analysis of Tutte [15] of finite 2-connected graphs. A consequence of this result is that the 3-connected condition can be removed from our classification of locally finite, planar Cayley graphs. The theory here is similar to the construction of trees in [1], Chapter II. The difference is that in [1] we use finite disconnecting edge sets rather than disconnecting vertex sets. Thus in [1] we consider subsets of VX which have finite coboundary.¹

The coboundary of the set $A \subseteq VX$ is the set

$$\delta A = \{e \in EX \mid e \text{ joins vertices in } A \text{ and } VX - A\}.$$

¹ Recently (May, 2009) in [7], Bernhard Krön and I obtain an even more general result further analyzing the situation when a graph can be disconnected by removing a finite set of vertices.

The set of all such subsets is denoted $\mathcal{B}X$, and is a Boolean ring, as it is closed under addition, multiplication, and complementation. The graph X is defined to be *accessible* if there is an integer k such that $\mathcal{B}X$ is generated (as a ring) by the set $A \in \mathcal{B}X$ such that $|\delta A| \leq k$. In [14] it is shown that this is equivalent to the condition that any two ends of X can be separated by removing at most k edges. In [1] it is shown that if X is accessible then $\mathcal{B}X$ is generated by a tree set, i.e. a set in which the partial order induced by subset inclusion can also be interpreted as the natural order on the directed edges of a tree. If a group G is accessible, i.e. if it has an accessible Cayley graph, then it has a decomposition as the fundamental group of graph of groups in which edge groups are finite and vertex groups are finite or have one end.

In [5] I showed that the Cayley graphs of finitely presented groups are accessible. This result could be viewed as a generalization of Maskit's result, though at the time I was unaware of that fact. Later in [1] an account is given of my earlier result and also how it applies in the situation of regular planar covers. Thus a proof is given there of Maskit's Theorem without acknowledgment of his work. I apologize for this omission. In [6] I gave an example of a finitely generated inaccessible group. Thus not every locally finite vertex transitive graph is accessible. In later papers I gave further examples of such graphs.

In this paper it is shown that if X is a locally finite planar graph with automorphism group G , and $G \backslash X$ is finite, then X is accessible. This then enables one to give very precise information about the structure of both X and G . In particular it is possible to classify the groups which have planar Cayley graphs.

Planar Cayley graphs have been studied in the papers of C. Droms and C. Droms, B. Servatius and H. Servatius. Thus in [2] it is proved that a plane group (i.e. a finitely generated group with a plane Cayley graph) is accessible and in [4] a result is proved that is very close to the classification obtained here, except that Maskit's Planarity Theorem is not applied to classify planar covers.

I thank Gareth Jones for showing me the paper [9] and for helpful discussions.

2. PLANAR GRAPHS

A facial path in a plane graph is one obtained by taking a directed edge and then proceeding in the direction of the edge always turning sharp left and in the reverse direction always turning sharp right. One then obtains either a cycle or a $(2, \infty)$ -path. Clearly every directed edge uniquely determines such a path, and so each unoriented edge lies in two such paths. Recall that a graph is *3-connected* if it has at least 5 vertices and no set of 2 vertices disconnects it. By Menger's Theorem this is equivalent to saying that a graph is 3-connected if it has at least 2 vertices and every pair of distinct vertices is joined by at least 3 internally disjoint paths. Let X be a 3-connected planar graph. It was proved by Whitney [16] for finite graphs that an embedding of X in the 2-sphere is essentially unique, and Imrich showed that for an infinite graph the cyclic order of edges at a vertex is uniquely determined. In [11] it is proved that the Freudenthal compactification of a planar graph has a unique embedding in the 2-sphere. Thus we have

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be a 3-connected locally finite planar graph. Then any automorphism of X takes facial paths to facial paths.*

Let X be a locally finite planar graph 3-connected graph with automorphism group G so that $G \backslash X$ is a finite graph Y . It follows from Theorem 2.1 that we can attach 2-cells to the finite cycles in X which bound faces in the plane graph and obtain a cellular 2-complex K with polyhedron $M = |K|$ which admits an action of G so that $G \backslash M$ is compact. It may be the case that a vertex can lie in more than one infinite facial path (see [9]), in which case M is not a 2-manifold with boundary. However we can embed X in a graph \hat{X} which admits an action of G and for which the corresponding complex \hat{M} is a 2-manifold with boundary. This is done by identifying each infinite facial path with one of the two sides of an infinite ladder. That one can do this follows from the previously quoted result of [11]. Thus we can assume that M is a planar 2-manifold with boundary and that $G \backslash M$ is compact. We also assume that the action of G on M is orientation preserving as if this is not the case then G has a subgroup of H index 2 for which the action is orientation preserving and $H \backslash M$ is compact.

Our main tool in the classification is the theory of tracks in 2-complexes (see [1], Chapter VI). The argument of [1] is generalized to that of compact orbifolds with boundary as this provides the most effective application to planar graphs.

We reproduce p.245 of [1] with some corrections and alterations. The numbering of Theorems and Propositions is that of [1]. As noted in [1], Errata the condition that $H^1(K, \mathbf{Z}_2) = 0$ is much too strong, and rules out most of the planar spaces that it was intended to study. Instead one just needs that simple closed curves (scc's) separate.

Let, then, $M = |K|$ be a 2-manifold possibly with boundary and in which every track corresponding to a scc separates. Here we are assuming that K is a simplicial complex though later we will only assume that it is a cell complex. Suppose there is a group G which acts on K and which thereby induces an action on M . If we assume that G acts faithfully on K (so that it is a subgroup of automorphism group of the 1-skeleton) then the stabilizer of each simplex $\sigma \in SK$ must be finite. This is because any automorphism which fixes a vertex v_0 and its incident edges must fix the whole graph. This follows by considering a sequence of finite subcomplexes $\{v_0\} = K_0 \subset K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \dots$ whose union is K and such that K_i is obtained from K_{i-1} by adjoining an edge at least one vertex of which is in K_{i-1} , and showing that the automorphism must fix each term of the sequence. If we further assume that the action is orientation preserving - either G or a subgroup of index 2 will have this property - then for each $v \in VK, G_v$ must be a finite cyclic group and the stabilizer of an edge is trivial.

We also know that $G \backslash K = L$ is finite, since $G \backslash M$ is compact. Suppose there is an scc in M which does not bound a disc. By Proposition 7.1 there is a track which is an scc that does not bound a disc. Such a track is called *thin* if it has the minimal number of intersections with the one skeleton of K . Note that for the moment we do not consider tracks which are arcs connecting boundary points of M . Let t_1, t_2 be thin tracks. In this special situation we want to prove an analogous result to that of Proposition 5.5, i.e that $t_1 + t_2 = s_1 \oplus s_2$ there s_1 and s_2 are disjoint thin tracks. Note that a thin track separates M into two components each containing infinitely many vertices. For if one of the components contained only finitely many vertices then it has empty intersection with ∂M since each component of ∂M is infinite. The closure of the component containing finitely many vertices will be a compact planar 2-manifold with boundary S^1 which must be a disc. Conversely, if

a track separates M into two components with infinitely many vertices neither can be a disc. The argument of Proposition 5.5 now goes through. As is noted in the [1], Errata after line 9 of p236 the following sentence should be added - "Moreover it follows from the thinness of b_2^* or b_1^* that $\nu = \delta$." By Theorem 5.9 there is an scc ℓ such that $g\ell = \ell$ or $g\ell \cap \ell = \emptyset$ for every $g \in G$ and ℓ does not bound a closed disc in M . In fact we could take ℓ to be a thin track as in Section 5.

Let P be a G -pattern in M , where the component tracks of P are scc's. Let C be the closure in M of a component of $M - P$. Thus C is a two-manifold (with boundary) acted on by G_C , and ∂C contains a G_C -set of scc's. We obtain a two-manifold \hat{C} (possibly with boundary components which are copies of \mathbf{R}) by attaching discs to each boundary scc in ∂C . If \hat{C} has an scc ℓ which does not bound a disc in \hat{C} then there is such a curve which actually lies in C . Again as in the proof of Theorem 5.9, we can choose ℓ so that it is a track, disjoint from P and either $g\ell = \ell$ or $g\ell \cap \ell = \emptyset$ for every $g \in G$. Thus we can replace P by $P \cup \{g\ell | g \in G\}$. Since $G \setminus K = L$ is finite, we cannot repeat this process more than $n(L)$ times, and so we eventually find a G -pattern P in M , such that, if \hat{C} is obtained by attaching discs to each boundary scc in the closure of a component of $M - P$ then every scc in \hat{C} bounds a disc. If $\hat{C} = S^2$ then its stabilizer is a finite group of isometries of S^2 while if $\hat{C} = \mathbf{R}^2$ then its stabilizer admits an action by isometries on either the Euclidean or hyperbolic plane. In both cases \hat{C} has empty boundary and it will be a planar discontinuous group. The structure of these groups is well known (see [17]).

If \hat{C} is not \mathbf{R}^2 or S^2 then it must contain at least one component of ∂M . In fact \hat{C} must contain more than one component. For if not then G_C is the stabilizer of the single boundary component t which is infinite cyclic and there is no cocompact action of an infinite cyclic group on a simply connected two-manifold with a single boundary component. Consider an arc ℓ joining two boundary components. Then ℓ must separate C . For if not there is an scc in C meeting ℓ in a single point. But this curve will bound a disc in \hat{C} , and this disc must contain a component of ∂M , since it contains an end point of ℓ . But each component of ∂M is not compact and so we have a contradiction. Hence ℓ separates. Since every arc joining points in $\partial \hat{C}$ separates, we can repeat the process described in the previous paragraph for scc's, to obtain a G_C -pattern P_C of tracks which are arcs such that the closure of each component of $\hat{C} - P_C$ is a disc. Each such disc will have finite cyclic stabilizer. Note that the stabilizer of each arc in P_C is trivial or cyclic of order two and each track with non-trivial stabilizer can be replaced by a parallel pair for which the stabilizers are trivial. Thus G_C acts on a tree with trivial edge groups and finite cyclic vertex groups. By Bass-Serre theory a group has such an action if and only if it is a free product of cyclic groups (not necessarily finite). Such a group will be the fundamental group of a graph of finite cyclic groups. We have proved the following.

Theorem 2.2. *If G is the automorphism group of a 3-connected locally finite planar graph X with $G \setminus X$ finite, then G (or an index two subgroup of G) is the fundamental group of a graph of groups in which each vertex group is either a planar discontinuous group or a free product of finitely many cyclic groups, and all edge groups are finite cyclic groups (possibly trivial).*

This means that any such group can be built up from planar discontinuous groups and finite cyclic groups in finitely many steps by free products with amalgamation along a finite cyclic subgroup or by HNN extensions along a finite cyclic subgroup. This sort of result was conjectured in [9].

In fact our proof gives more information than this as it provides information about the action of G on the 2-manifold M . Let $\mathcal{G}(Y)$ be the graph of groups in the above, so that for each vertex $v \in VY$ the group $G(v)$ is either planar discontinuous or a free product of finitely many cyclic groups, and for each oriented edge $e \in EY$ the edge group $G(e)$ is finite cyclic and there are specified injective homomorphism $G(e) \rightarrow G(\iota e), G(e) \rightarrow G(\tau e)$ into the associated vertex groups.

The additional information is contained in the description of the orbifolds M and $G \backslash M = W$. Thus for each vertex $v \in VY$ there is a compact 2-orbifold $W(v)$ which is a compact surface - possibly with boundary - and finitely many special interior points each with a specified index which is a positive integer. For each edge $e \in EY$ there is an arc joining $a(e)$ joining a special point in $W(\iota e)$ and a special point in $W(\tau e)$ with the same index. We thicken each arc so that it is the axis of a solid cylinder whose end discs are small closed discs about the special points in $W(\iota e)$ and $W(\tau e)$. Now we can take W to be the union of the $W(v)$'s minus the interior of any disc that is the end of an edge cylinder together with the closed annulus that is the curved part of the boundary of each such cylinder. Note that each special point cannot be the end point of more than one $a(e)$ but there may be special points which are not the end point of any arc. For these points it can be assumed the index is more than one. There is a well-known classification of closed surfaces and closed surfaces with boundary and also of compact 2-orbifolds (see [12]), and so can classify the spaces W . Note that W is itself a 2-orbifold in which the special points are those in the vertex $W(v)$'s that are not the end points of any $a(e)$. There is also a set P of scc's in W , each element of W corresponding to a meridian in the annulus which is the curved part of the boundary of a cylinder around a particular $a(e)$. Each such scc has a k -fold covering by an scc in M where k is the index shared by the two end points of the corresponding arc $a(e)$.

It can be seen from the above that any group G with the structure given in the theorem can be realized as the automorphism group of a planar graph. In fact for an appropriately chosen set of generators S the Cayley graph of G with respect to S will be planar. The group G has a presentation

$$\begin{aligned} G &= \langle a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \dots, a_p, b_p, e_1, e_2, \dots, e_r, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_s \mid e_1^{m_1} = e_2^{m_2} \dots = e_r^{m_r} \\ &= w_1^{n_1} = w_2^{n_2} \dots = w_t^{n_t} = [a_1, b_1][a_2, b_2] \dots [a_p, b_p] e_1, e_2 \dots e_r f_1 f_2 \dots f_s = 1 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r are integers bigger than one, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_t are words in the generators representing the scc's in P and the positive integers n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t are the corresponding values of the index k .

Consider the group \bar{G} with presentation

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{G} &= \langle a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \dots, a_p, b_p, e_1, e_2, \dots, e_r, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_s \mid \\ &[a_1, b_1][a_2, b_2] \dots [a_p, b_p] e_1 e_2 \dots e_r f_1 f_2 \dots f_s = 1 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

The Cayley complex \bar{W} corresponding to this presentation has $2p + r + s$ loops corresponding to the generators all sharing a single base point b to make a $(2p + r + s)$ -leaf rose R and there is a single 2-cell attached along the relator word. The universal cover \bar{M} of \bar{W} is planar and the lift of R is the Cayley graph \bar{X} of \bar{G}

with respect to the set of generators. Let N be the normal subgroup of \bar{G} which is the normal closure of the elements corresponding to the other relators of G . Then $M = N \backslash \bar{M}$ is planar and there is an embedding of the Cayley graph $X = N \backslash \bar{X}$ of G in M .

What we have proved here is essentially a generalization of Maskit's Planarity Theorem to surfaces with boundary.

3. GRAPHS WHICH ARE NOT 3-CONNECTED

Let X be a locally finite connected graph which is 1-connected but not 2-connected. This means that there are cut-points, i.e. vertices whose removal disconnects the graph.

We consider subgraphs $A \subset X$ with the following property

- (i) there is exactly one vertex $u \in VA$ such that if $w \in VA, w \neq u$ then every edge of X incident with w is also in A . Some, but not all, edges incident with u are in A .

Define $\delta A = \{u\}$.

Let $\mathcal{B}_1 X$ denote the set of all subgraphs of X satisfying (i). Let $A \in \mathcal{B}_1 X$. Let A^* be the subgraph with $VA^* = (VX - VA) \cup \{u\}$ and $EA^* = EX - EA$. Clearly A^* satisfies (i) and so $A^* \in \mathcal{B}_1 X$ with $\delta A^* = \{u\}$. Also if $G = \text{Aut} X$ so that X is a G -graph (acting on the left) then $\mathcal{B}_1 X$ is invariant under G .

Since X is locally finite removing a cut-point x produces finitely many connected components C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k the union of any proper subset of the C_i 's together with the edges joining them to x and also including x , gives an element of $\mathcal{B}_1 X$ and any element of $\mathcal{B}_1 X$ arises in this way. Let \mathcal{E} be the subset of $\mathcal{B}_1 X$ containing only those elements A for which just one of A or A^* corresponds to a single component C_i . If A corresponds to one component then it is a minimal element of $\mathcal{B}_1 X$ containing x . If both A and A^* correspond to a single component C_i , then $k = 2$ and x is a cut-point of degree 2, and we can replace X by a homeomorphic graph in which x no longer occurs. A graph in which there are cut-points and in which every cut point has degree 2 must be homeomorphic to an interval. Thus, unless this is the case, \mathcal{E} will be non-empty if X is not 2-connected.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{E}$, then at least one of $A \subseteq B, A \subseteq B^*, A^* \subseteq B, A^* \subseteq B^*$.*

Proof. Let $\delta A = \{x\}$, and let $\delta B = \{y\}$ and suppose that A, B are minimal elements of $\mathcal{B}_1 X$ containing x, y respectively, i.e. they correspond to single components of $X - \{x\}, X - \{y\}$ respectively. If $x = y$ then either $A = B$ or $A \cap B = \{x\}$ so that $A \subseteq B^*$. Suppose $x \neq y$. If $y \in A$ and $x \in B$ consider $A^* \cap B^*$, it is clear that any vertex in this subgraph must have all its incident edges also in the subgraph, which, since X is connected, must be either X or \emptyset . It cannot be X and so it is \emptyset and so $A^* \subseteq B$. All the other cases are treated similarly.

Now \subseteq is a partial order on subgraphs and $A \subseteq B$ implies $B^* \subseteq A^*$. Also if $A, B \in \mathcal{E}$ and $A \subseteq B$ then there are only finitely many $C \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $A \subseteq C \subseteq B$. To see this, let $u \in VA, w \in VB^*$ and let $u = v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n = w$ be the vertices of a path joining them. If $A \subseteq C \subseteq B$ then $\delta C = \{v_i\}$ for some $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. But there are only finitely many elements of \mathcal{E} corresponding to a given cut-point. \square

We see then that the set \mathcal{E}, \subseteq is a partially ordered set closed under the order reversing involution $A \mapsto A^*$ and in addition it satisfies the two conditions

- (i) for every $A, B \in \mathcal{E}$ at least one of $A \subseteq B, A \subseteq B^*, B \subseteq A, B \subseteq A^*$ holds.
- (ii) if $A, B \in \mathcal{E}$ and $A \subseteq B$ then there are only finitely many $C \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $A \subseteq C \subseteq B$.

Note also the partial order is preserved under the action of G . A G -set (\mathcal{E}, \subseteq) satisfying the above conditions can be regarded as the oriented edge set of a G -tree $T = T(\mathcal{E})$ (see [5] or ([1], p50)). Let $v \in VT$. Different ways of defining the a vertex of T are given in [5] and [1]. In [1] it is defined as a particular orientation of the edge set, intuitively it is the orientation in which the edges point towards the specified vertex. in [5] a vertex is defined as the set of oriented edges which have the specified vertex as initial vertex. Thus one defines an equivalence relation \sim on \mathcal{E} as follows:-

$A \sim B$ if $A \subset B^*$ but for no $C \in \mathcal{E}$ is $A \subset C \subset B^*$.

It is easy to show (see [5]) that (\mathcal{E}, \sim) is an equivalence relation and the natural map $\iota : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow VT = \mathcal{E} / \sim$ determines the tree T . We follow this approach, so that $v \in VT$ is an equivalence class of elements of \mathcal{E} .

The structure of T is set out in the following.

Theorem 3.2. *Let X be a connected G -graph, with automorphism group G . There is a G -tree T with the following properties. The vertex set VT is partitioned $VT = J \cup K$ so that each edge $e \in ET$ has one vertex in J and one in K . The vertices of J can be regarded as the set of cut-points of X and each $u \in K$ corresponds to a maximal 2-connected subgraph B (or 2-block). Every vertex of X lies in at least one 2-block, and it lies in more than one if and only if it is a cut-point. Every edge of X lies in exactly one 2-block. A vertex in K may have infinite degree, but the vertices of J have finite degree. The 2-block B associated to the vertex $u \in K$ is a G_u -graph.*

Proof. Most of the properties listed follow from the construction of T . If c is a cut-point of X , then the elements of \mathcal{E} associated with c , i.e. corresponding to a single component of $X - \{c\}$ satisfy the conditions for a vertex of T . However there are other vertices of T . Let B be a maximal 2-connected subgraph. For every $A \in \mathcal{E}$ either $B \subseteq A$ or $B \subseteq A^*$. Let u be the set of those $A \in \mathcal{E}$ for which $B \subseteq A^*$ but A^* is minimal with this property. Then if $A', A \in u$ and $A' \subset A$, and $A' \subseteq C \subseteq A^*$ for $C \in \mathcal{E}$, then if $B \subseteq C^*$ then $A' = C$ while if $B \subseteq C$ then $A = C$ by the minimality of A^* . Thus $u \in VT$. \square

The above decomposition of a graph is well-known. Tutte [15] extended this approach, by analyzing how finite graphs can be separated by removing pairs of points. He obtained a tree decomposition in which some vertices corresponded to 3-blocks or maximal 3-connected subgraphs and Droms, Servatius and Servatius [3] generalized Tutte's result to infinite locally finite graphs. We give a new proof of this latter result.

Let X be a locally finite connected graph which is 2-connected but not 3-connected. This means that there are no cut-points, i.e. vertices whose removal disconnects the graph, but X can be disconnected by the removal of a pair of vertices. We also assume that X is simple (there is at most one edge joining any pair of vertices and there are no loops) and there are no vertices of degree 2. If a graph does not have this property then, unless the graph is 2-regular, or contains a subdivided loop, it can be replaced by a homeomorphic graph in which there are

no vertices of degree 2 and if there are multiple edges joining two vertices they can be replaced by a single edge joining those vertices.

We consider subgraphs $A \subset X$ with the following property

- (i) there are exactly 2 vertices $u, v \in VA$ such that if $w \in VA, w \neq u, w \neq v$ then every edge of X incident with w is also in A . At least one, but not all, of the edges incident with each of u, v are in A .
- (ii) A does not consist of a single edge and it does not consist of a subgraph obtained from X by removing one edge and no vertices.

The set of such subgraphs is denoted \mathcal{B}_2X . For A as above we put $\delta A = \{u, v\}$. Following Tutte [15], we call this the *hinge* of A . Since X is connected every vertex in A is joined to either u or v by a path. Thus A has at most 2 components. But if there were 2 components then u, v would be cut-points which would contradict our assumption that X is 2-connected. It follows that A is connected.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $u \in VX$. There are only finitely many $A \in \mathcal{B}_2X$ such that $u \in \delta A$.*

Proof. Let $\delta A = \{u, w\}$ and let $v \in VX$ be adjacent to u . If $v \in VA$ then there is another vertex $p \in VA^*$ which is adjacent to u . This is because some but not all edges incident with u are in A . Similarly if $v \in VA^*$, then there is a vertex p adjacent to u which is in VA . For each p adjacent to $u, p \neq v$ choose a path in X joining v and p not passing through u . Such a path exists since u is not a cut-point. There are finitely many of these paths since u has finite degree. As one of these paths joins vertices in A and A^* it must pass through w . We see then that there are only finitely many possibilities for w . Now A is determined by the pair $\delta A = \{u, w\}$ and the edges of A which are incident with this pair. There are only finitely many possibilities and so the lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let $x \in VX$ and let $A_1 \supseteq A_2 \supseteq \dots$ be a sequence of subgraphs in \mathcal{B}_2X each containing x . The sequence is eventually constant.*

Proof. Let $v \in VA_1^*$ and let p be a path in X joining v, x . Now for each i , the path p joins vertices in A_i and A_i^* and so it must intersect δA . As the path p contains a fixed finite set of vertices the Lemma follows from Lemma 3.3. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Let $x \in VX$ and let A be a smallest element of \mathcal{B}_2X containing x . Let $B \in \mathcal{B}_2X$ then at least one of $A \subseteq B, A \subseteq B^*, A^* \subseteq B, A^* \subseteq B^*$.*

Proof. Let $\delta A = \{u, v\}, \delta B = \{p, q\}$. Suppose first that u, v, p, q are all distinct. If A contained both p and q and $u, v \in B$ then every vertex in $A^* \cap B^*$ would have every incident edge in $A^* \cap B^*$ and so it is \emptyset since it cannot be X . Hence $A^* \subseteq B$. Let $p, q, u \in A \cap B, v \in B^*$ then every vertex in $A^* \cap B^*$ except v would have every incident edge in $A^* \cap B^*$. Since v is not a cut-point, $A^* \cap B^* = \{v\}$. Similarly $A^* \cap B = \{u\}$ which is absurd, since A^* does not consist of just two vertices. So this case does not occur. The case when the hinge for A is contained in B or B^* and only one vertex of δB is contained in A cannot occur either, by reversing the roles of A and B in the above argument. Still assuming that u, v, p, q are all distinct, suppose $x, u, p \in A \cap B$, and $v \in B^*, q \in A^*$. Now $A \cap B$ would be a smaller element of \mathcal{B}_2X than A containing x , which is a contradiction unless $A \cap B$ consists of a single edge e with two vertices u, p and $x = u$ or $x = p$. If $x = u$, then removing

the edge e and vertex p from A produces a smaller element of \mathcal{B}_2X unless A has 2 edges and 3 vertices u, p, v . Similarly if $x = p$ then removing e and the vertex u from A produces a smaller element of \mathcal{B}_2X unless A has 2 edges and 3 vertices u, p, v . In both these cases p has degree 2 which contradicts our hypothesis.

All other cases for $\{u, v, p, q\}$ all distinct can be reduced to one of the cases considered by a relabelling. Thus if u, v, p, q are all distinct, then one of the four inclusions occurs.

Suppose now that $u = p$ and u, v, q are distinct. If A contains q and B contains v then every vertex of $A^* \cap B^*$ except u would have every incident edge in $A^* \cap B^*$ which means that $A^* \cap B^* = \{u\}$. But then $A^* \subseteq B \cup \{u\} = B$.

Finally if $u = p, v = q$ and $x \in B$ then either $A \cap B$ contains a single edge e joining vertices u, v one of which is x or $A \cap B$ is an element of \mathcal{B}_2X containing x and so by the minimality of $A, A = A \cap B$ and $A \subseteq B$. In the case when $A \cap B$ contains a single edge e joining vertices u, v one of which is x , note that $x \in B^*$ and $A \cap B^*$ must contain more than 2 vertices, and so by the minimality of $A, A = A \cap B^*$. \square

Choose A_0 to be a fixed smallest element of \mathcal{B}_2X containing a particular x_0 . If we choose \mathcal{E} to be the set $\{gA_0, gA_0^* | g \in G\}$ then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.6. *Let X be a G -graph which is locally finite and 2-connected but not 3-connected. Suppose also that X is not a cycle, that it is simple graph and has at least 4 vertices. Then there is a non-empty G -subset $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{B}_2$ closed under the order reversing involution $A \mapsto A^*$, satisfying the following two conditions*

- (i) *for every $A, B \in \mathcal{E}$ at least one of $A \subseteq B, A \subseteq B^*, B \subseteq A, B \subseteq A^*$ holds.*
- (ii) *if $A, B \in \mathcal{E}$ and $A \subseteq B$ then there are only finitely many $C \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $A \subseteq C \subseteq B$.*

Proof. It remains to prove that \mathcal{E} satisfies (ii). Let $A, B \in \mathcal{E}, A \subseteq B$. Let $u \in VA, w \in B^*$ and let $u = v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n = w$ be the vertices of a path joining them. If $A \subseteq C \subseteq B$ then $v_i \in \delta C$ for some $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. It follows easily from Lemma 3.3 that \mathcal{E} satisfies (ii). \square

As above the G -set (\mathcal{E}, \subseteq) satisfying the above conditions can be regarded as the oriented edge set of a G -tree $T = T(\mathcal{E})$.

Also as above a vertex $v \in VT$ is an equivalence class under the equivalence relation \sim on \mathcal{E} defined as follows:-

$$A \sim B \text{ if } A \subset B^* \text{ but for no } C \in \mathcal{E} \text{ is } A \subset C \subset B^*.$$

As in the analysis of \mathcal{B}_1X some vertices correspond to hinges. There will be other vertices $v \in VT$ unless for every $A \in \mathcal{E}$ there is only one other $B \in \mathcal{E}$ (namely A^*) such that $\delta B = \delta A$.

For any such v we now show how to associate a graph $Z = Z_v$. Let $Z' = \bigcap_{E \in v} E^*$. Clearly if $A \subseteq B^*$ then B^* contains the hinges of both A and B . It follows easily that Z' will contain every vertex which is in δE for any $E \in v$. In particular it is non-empty. The graph Z is obtained from Z' by adjoining an extra edge for each $E \in v$ joining the vertices of the hinge of E provided these vertices are not already joined by an edge.. In [Tu] these edges are called virtual edges. Note that for each such extra edge (corresponding to E) there is a path in E joining the vertices of the edge. If we let Z'' be the graph consisting of Z' together with each such path then Z'' is isomorphic to a subdivision of Z and it is a subgraph of X .

Thus if X is planar, then so is each Z_v . Let G_v be the stabilizer of v , then Z is a G_v -graph.

We now show that if for any $v \in VT$, not corresponding to a hinge, Z_v is not 3-connected and it is not a cycle, then we can enlarge the G -set \mathcal{E} .

Step 1. *The graph Z is connected.*

Let $x = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n = y$ be the vertices of a shortest path in X joining two vertices of $VZ = VZ'$. Any part of the path which lies in a particular $E \in v$ must start and end with a vertex which is special for E . Since we have a shortest path, these vertices are different and so joined by an edge in Z . We obtain a path in Z by replacing each such section by the corresponding edge in Z . It follows that Z is connected.

Step 2. *The graph Z is 2-connected.*

Suppose x is a vertex whose removal disconnects Z . Let U be one of the components of $Z - \{x\}$. We want to show that x is a cut-point for X as well as for Z . Let U' be the subgraph of X consisting of those vertices and edges which are joined to a vertex of U by a path in $X - \{x\}$. Suppose $z \in VZ \cap U'$. There is a path in $X - x$ joining z to a vertex of U . Now as in Step 1 replace this path by a path in Z . This path will still not pass through x as the vertices are a subset of the original path. But U is a component of $Z - \{x\}$ and so the path must lie in U . Hence $VZ \cap U' = U$ and x is a cut-point for X .

Step 3. *If Z is not 3-connected and it is not a cycle (i.e. it contains vertices of degree greater than 2), then there is non-empty G_v -set $\mathcal{E}_v \subseteq \mathcal{B}_2Z$ satisfying conditions (i) above.*

This follows immediately from Theorem 3.6.

Step 4. *If Z is not 3-connected and it is not a cycle we can enlarge \mathcal{E} , i.e. there is a G -set $\mathcal{E}' \subseteq \mathcal{B}_2X$ properly containing \mathcal{E} and satisfying conditions (i) and (ii).*

Let $A_v \in \mathcal{E}_v$ with $\delta A_v = \{x, y\}$ and let A'_v be the subgraph of X consisting of edges and vertices which are joined to A_v by a path lying in $X - \{x, y\}$. As in the proof of Step 2, $A'_v \cap Z_v = A_v$. Also if $A_v, B_v \in \mathcal{E}_v$ and $A_v \subseteq B_v$ then $A'_v \subseteq B'_v$. Let $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E} \cup \{gA'_v, gA_v^* \mid g \in G, A_v \in \mathcal{E}_v\}$. In order to show that \mathcal{E}' satisfies (iii) it suffices to show that if $g \in G$ then A'_v, gB'_v are nested. We know this is the case if $g \in G_v$. If $g \notin G_v$ then $v \neq gv$ and $gB_v \in \mathcal{E}_{gv}$. Let the path in T joining v and gv start with edge e and finish with edge f , so that $\iota e = v, \tau f = gv$. Thus e is in the equivalence class defining v and f^* is in the equivalence class defining gv . Also because e, f are oriented coherently as part of a edge path, we have $f \subseteq e$. Now e corresponds to the subgraph of Z_v consisting of a single virtual edge and its vertices. Hence either $e \subseteq A_v$ or $e \subseteq A_v^*$. Similarly $f^* \subseteq gB'_v$ or $f^* \subseteq gB_v^*$. But if, say, $e \subseteq A'_v$ and $f^* \subseteq gB'_v$, then $A_v^* \cap gB_v^* \subseteq e^* \cap f = \emptyset$ and so A'_v and gB'_v are nested.

It follows from the previous discussion that if we can show that we can bound the number of times the G -set \mathcal{E} can be enlarged, then there must be a set E for which all the corresponding vertex graphs Z_v are 3-connected. We show that this is the case if X is G -finite. In Lemma 3.3, it was shown that there are only finitely many $A \in \mathcal{B}_2X$ for which δA contains a particular vertex. It follows easily that the

size of the G -set \mathcal{E} is bounded and by choosing a largest such \mathcal{E} the corresponding Z_v 's are 3-connected. These are the 3-blocks of X . Note that we have to allow Z_v to have 2 or 3 vertices, which we regard as 3-connected.

We can now combine our results so that we consider all graphs which are not 3-connected. We still assume that X is a simple graph with no vertices of degree 2. Enlarge \mathcal{B}_2X to consist of subgraphs A for which either

- (i) either there are 2 vertices $u, v \in VA$ such that if $w \in VA, w \neq u, w \neq v$ then every edge of X incident with w is also in A .
- or
- (ii) there is a single vertex $u \in VA$ such that if $w \in VA, w \neq u$, then every edge of X incident with w is also in A .

If X is 2-connected, there are no subgraphs satisfying (ii).

Theorem 3.7. *Let X be a G -finite locally finite graph, where G is the automorphism group of X . There is a G -finite G -subset \mathcal{E} of \mathcal{B}_2X which satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii). If T is the G -tree associated with \mathcal{E} then every edge of T is associated with a decomposition (A, A^*) where A, A^* are subgraphs such that $A \cup A^* = X$ and $A \cap A^*$ consists of either one or two vertices. To every vertex $v \in VT$ corresponds either a cut-point of X , a G_v -cycle or a 3-connected G_v -graph which has a subdivision which is a subgraph of X , so that it is planar if X is planar.*

In [15] Tutte gives examples where the graph associated with a vertex is a cycle.

Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 2.2 give us a lot of information about G -finite locally finite planar graphs. In particular if the G -action is free, as it is for a Cayley graph, then we can remove the 3-connected condition from Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 3.8. *If G is a group and X is a connected locally finite planar graph X on which X acts freely so that $G \backslash X$ finite, then G (or an index two subgroup of G) is the fundamental group of a graph of groups in which each vertex group is either a planar discontinuous group or a free product of finitely many cyclic groups and all edge groups are finite cyclic groups (possibly trivial).*

Proof. If the action is free, then the stabilizer of any cut-point is trivial and the stabilizer of any edge of the tree T in Theorem 3.7 has order at most 2. This means that G is the fundamental group of a graph of groups in which each vertex group G_v acts freely on a planar 3-connected planar graph X_v and $G_v \backslash X_v$ is finite and each edge group has order at most 2. The result follows immediately from Theorem 3.7. □

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Dicks and M.J. Dunwoody. *Groups acting on graphs*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989. Errata <http://mat.uab.es/~dicks/>
- [2] C.Droms *Infinite-ended groups with planar Cayley graphs*. Journal of Group Theory **9** (2006) 487-496.
- [3] C.Droms, B.Servatius, H.Servatius *The structure of locally finite two-connected graphs*. Electronic J. of Comb. **118** (1995) #R17.
- [4] C.Droms, B. Servatius, H.Servatius *Connectivity and Planarity of Cayley graphs*. Beiträge Algebra Geom. **39** (1998) 269-282.
- [5] M.J.Dunwoody, *The accessibility of finitely presented groups*. Invent. Math. **81** (1985) 449-57.

- [6] M.J.Dunwoody, *An inaccessible group*. In *Geometric Group Theory*. (edited by G.A.Niblo and M.A.Roller), L.M.S. Lecture Note Ser, **181**, Cambridge University Press, 1992, 75-78.
- [7] M.J.Dunwoody and B.Krön, *Vertex cuts*. <http://www.personal.soton.ac.uk/mjd7/Pub.html>, arXiv:0905.0064.
- [8] B.Maskit, *A theorem on planar covering surfaces with applications to 3-manifolds*. Ann. of Math. **82** (1965) 341-355.
- [9] Bojan Mohar, *Tree amalgamation of graphs and tessellations of the Cantor sphere*. preprint (2005).
- [10] W.Imrich, *On Whitney's theorem on the unique embeddability of 3-connected planar graphs*, Recent Advances in Graph Theory (Proc. Second Czechoslovak Symposium, Prague, (1974), 303-306, Academia, Prague, 1975.
- [11] R.B.Richter and C. Thomassen. *3-connected planar spaces uniquely embed in the sphere* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **354** (2002) 4585-4595..
- [12] G.P.Scott, *The geometries of 3-manifolds*. Bull. London Math. Soc. **15** (1983) 401-487.
- [13] C. Thomassen. *Planarity and duality of finite and infinite graphs*. J. Comb. Th. Series B **29** (1980) 244-271.
- [14] C. Thomassen and W. Woess. *Vertex-transitive graphs and accessibility*. J. Comb. Theory, Series B **58** (1993) 248-268.
- [15] W.T.Tutte, *Graph Theory*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 21, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [16] H.Whitney, *2-isomorphic graphs*, Amer. J. Math. **55** (1933) 245-254.
- [17] H.Zieschang, E.Vogt, H.-D. Coldewey, *Flächen und ebene diskontinuierliche Gruppen*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York. 1970.