# Bispinor Formulation of Spin 3/2 Field Theory

Haryanto M. Siahaan<sup>∗</sup>

#### Abstract

In this paper, we investigate an alternative formulation for spin 3/2 field equation. First we will review equation of motion of Dirac and Maxwell, and then construct the equation for spin  $3/2$  in the similar fashion.Our method actually a generalization of relativistic equation of motion based on spin operator and Hamiltonian similar to Dirac and Maxwell equation in ref. [1] pp. 50. Furthermore, we could not bring the equation to the Klein Gordon limit for every wave function component in spin 3/2 formalism.

#### 1 Introduction

The famous Dirac equation can be read as

$$
(i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} - \beta m) = 0 \tag{1}
$$

which also can be written as

$$
i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi = \left(\frac{1}{i}\vec{\alpha}\cdot\nabla - \beta m\right)\psi\tag{2}
$$

 $\text{Then}(\frac{1}{i})$  $\frac{1}{i}\vec{\alpha}\cdot\nabla-\beta m$  is recognized as Dirac Hamiltonian. Each matrix in equation (2) is

$$
\vec{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \vec{\sigma} \\ \vec{\sigma} & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \beta = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}
$$
 (3)

where  $\vec{\sigma}$  are Pauli matrices. In bispinor context, equation (2) can be written as a coupled equation which relate the particle wave function and it's antiparticle.

$$
i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\varphi = m\varphi + \frac{2}{i}\vec{S} \cdot \nabla \chi \tag{4}
$$

<sup>∗</sup>E-mail : hm siahaan@gmail.com

$$
i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\chi = -m\chi + \frac{2}{i}\vec{S} \cdot \nabla \varphi \tag{5}
$$

where  $\vec{S} = \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}$  $\frac{\sigma}{2}$  as our spin operator for every two component spinor in DIrac equation. This spin operator satisfy Lie algebra for  $SU(2)$  group,  $[S^i, S^j] =$  $ie^{ijk}S^k$ . The square of this operator also satisfy  $\vec{S} \cdot \vec{S} = s(s+1) = \frac{3}{4}$  for  $s=\frac{1}{2}.$ 

 $\overline{2}$ .<br>To get the plane wave with  $E^2 = \overline{p}^2 + m^2$  as a solution, equation (2) should satisfy the Klein Gordon equation. This can be done by square each operator at left hand side and right hand side. The properties of  $\{\vec{\alpha}, \beta\} = 0$  and  $\{\alpha_i, \alpha_j\} = 0$  which guarantee that we can get the Klein Gordon expression for each  $\psi$ .

A similar method can be applied for Maxwell equation. In the case of no source, Maxwell equation can be read as

$$
\nabla \times \vec{E} + \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} = 0,\tag{6}
$$

$$
\nabla \times \vec{B} - \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} = 0.
$$
 (7)

 $(\vec{E}, i\vec{B})$ , so equation (6) and (7) can be rewritten as Now, by introducing such a spinor for electromagnetic fields that is  $\psi_{EM} =$ 

$$
i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi_{EM} = \frac{1}{i}\vec{\alpha}_{EM} \cdot \nabla \psi_{EM}
$$
 (8)

where

$$
\vec{\alpha}_{EM} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \vec{S}_{EM} \\ \vec{S}_{EM} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{9}
$$

and  $\vec{S}_{EM}$  is generator of rotation in 3 dimensional Euclidean space. In this way, we can say that  $\left(\frac{1}{i}\right)$  $\frac{1}{i}\vec{\alpha}_{EM}\cdot\nabla\right)$  is the "Maxwell Hamiltonian". The  $\vec{S}_{EM}$ can be recognized as for spin operator for  $s = 1$ , because it satisfy  $[S^i, S^j] = i\epsilon^{ijk}S^k$  and  $\vec{S} \cdot \vec{S} = s(s+1) = 2$ .

$$
S_{EM}^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, S_{EM}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, S_{EM}^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$
(10)

Furthermore, we can check that equation (8) does not satisfy the Klein Gordon equation. In other words, each component of  $\psi_{EM}$  does not have

plane wave solution with  $E^2 = \vec{p}^2 + m^2$  as the eigenvalue of energy. It is clear because at elemntary level we know that the dynamical variable is  $A^{\mu}(x) = (A^{0}(x), \vec{A}(x))$  which has relation with  $\vec{E}(x)$  and  $\vec{B}(x)$  by  $\vec{E}(x) = -\left(\frac{\partial \vec{A}(x)}{\partial t} - \nabla A^0(x)\right)$  and  $\vec{B}(x) = \nabla \times \vec{A}(x)$ . By imposing this relation and the Lorentz gauge constraint<sup>1</sup>, we can get the massless Klein Gordon expression for every  $A^{\mu}$ 's component. At this point, we can learn that the Klein Gordon equation, or in other words relativistic mass-energymomentum relation, could not be directly satisfied when we construct a higher spin formulation in "bispinor" fashion.

### 2 Construction of Spin 3/2 Field Equation

Learning from the pattern that we get in Dirac and Maxwell formalism, we can make a generalization for arbitrary spin by denoting that Hamiltonian operator could have the form  $\left(\frac{a}{i}\right)$  $\frac{a}{i}\vec{\alpha}_s \cdot \nabla - bm$ ) with undetermined complex matrices a and b.  $\vec{\alpha}_s$  is a left diagonal matrix which contains spin operator and s denote the value of spin. So in general we could have an equation which can be read as

$$
i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Psi_s(x) = \left(\frac{a}{i}\vec{\alpha}_s \cdot \nabla + bm\right)\Psi_s(x)
$$
 (11)

where  $\Psi_s(x)$  is the bispinor for arbitrary spin field and has  $2s(s+1)$  components.

So in spin 3/2 formalism, we would get an equation of motion that written as

$$
i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Psi_{3/2}\left(x\right) = \left(\frac{a}{i}\vec{\alpha}_{3/2}\cdot\nabla + bm\right)\Psi_{3/2}\left(x\right) \tag{12}
$$

where

$$
\vec{\alpha}_{3/2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \vec{S}_{3/2} \\ \vec{S}_{3/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$
 (13)

and  $\vec{S}_{3/2} = (S^1_{3/2}, S^2_{3/2}, S^3_{3/2}).$ 

$$
S_{3/2}^1 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{3} & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & \sqrt{3} \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3} & 0 \end{pmatrix},
$$
(14)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This constraint must be included

$$
S_{3/2}^{2} = \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{3} & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & -\sqrt{3} \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (15)
$$

$$
S_{3/2}^{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -3 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (16)

Matrices of spin operator are produced by usual angular momentum relations,

$$
S_{\pm} = S^1 \pm iS^2,\tag{17}
$$

$$
S^3 | s, m \rangle = m | s, m \rangle, \qquad (18)
$$

$$
S_{\pm} | s, m \rangle = \sqrt{\left(s \mp m\right) \left(s \pm m + 1\right)} | s, m \pm 1 \rangle. \tag{19}
$$

For simplification, we try to evaluate equation (12) in massless case. In an explicit form, we can write our equation as

$$
i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\begin{pmatrix} \Phi(x) \\ \Omega(x) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{a}{i}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \vec{S}_{3/2} \cdot \nabla \\ \vec{S}_{3/2} \cdot \nabla & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Phi(x) \\ \Omega(x) \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (20)

Before further investigation, we should recognize that our spin operator, or more precisely our  $\vec{\alpha}_{3/2}$  do not anticommut for each different components<sup>2</sup> and  $\alpha_{3/2}^i \alpha_{3/2}^i \neq \frac{1}{a}$  $\frac{1}{a}I_{4\times4}$  which means equation (20) cannot simply has Klein Gordon expression. Our formalism cannot directly fulfill this requirement to be such a relativistic equation. Probably this problem is similar to Maxwell formalism when we use the "spinor"  $\psi_{EM} = (\vec{E}, i\vec{B})$  as the dynamical variable. Perhaps we need another constraints to satisfy this relativistic wave equation requirement or finding another another" dynamical variable" that can be related to our bispinor for describing spin 3/2 fields just like in Maxwell formalism case before.

#### 3 Conclusion

Trying to get such a consistent theory of higher spin fields (higher than spin 1) is a complicated manner. Beside, there still no single particle with higher

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Compared to Dirac's  $\vec{\alpha}$ 

spin that needs some explanation ever exist in laboratory. But effort to get a good equation is an interesting thing. Furthermore, finding the expression (11) for standard Rarita Schwinger formulation can be proved imposible.

\*\*\*

I am grateful to Prof. Triyanta for interesting discussion and his encouragement.

## 4 References

- 1. C. Itzykson, and J. Zuber, "Quantum Field Theory,"McGraw-Hill (1980). pp. 48-50.
- 2. W.Rarita, and J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. 60 (1941) 61.