

A possible explanation why the Θ^+ is seen in some experiments and not in others

Ya. Azimov¹, K. Goeke², I. Strakovsky³

¹ Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute,
Gatchina, 188300 Russia

² Institut für Theor. Physik -II, Ruhr-Universität,
D-44780 Bochum, Germany

³ Center for Nuclear Studies, Physics Department,
The George Washington University,
Washington, DC 23606 USA

Abstract

To understand the whole set of positive and null data on the $\Theta^+(1530)$ -production, we suggest the hypothesis that multiquark hadrons are mainly generated from many-quark states, which emerge either as short-term hadron fluctuations, or as hadron remnants in hard processes. This approach allows us to describe both non-observation of the Θ^+ in current null experiments and peculiar features of its production in positive experiments. Further, we are able to propose new experiments that might be decisive for the problem of the Θ^+ existence. Distributions of the Θ^+ in such experiments can give important information both on higher Fock components of conventional hadrons and about structure and hadronization properties of hadron remnants produced in hard processes. We also explain that description of multiquark hadrons may require a modified form of the constituent quark model, with quark masses and couplings being intermediate between their values for the familiar constituent quarks and the current ones.

PACS: 12., 12.39.-x, 12.39.Mk

1 Introduction

Invented more than 40 years ago [1, 2, 3], quarks were initially introduced rather formally, to account for a very limited variety of hadronic flavor multiplets known at that time. Their simplest application was to present every baryon as a three-quark system and every meson as a quark-antiquark system. Then, in respect to the flavor symmetry group $SU(3)_F$, the mesons could exist only as singlets and/or octets, while the baryons could reveal both the same kinds of multiplets and, in addition, decuplets.

Such a simple picture was in good correspondence with experiment. It could be quite successful, if the quarks were nothing more than mathematical objects allowing to visualize symmetry classification of hadrons (such treatment would not contradict to Gell-Mann’s paper [2]). However, if quarks (or “aces”, in Zweig’s terminology [3]) are physical objects, the picture of hadrons with a fixed number of constituents could be self-consistent only in a non-relativistic theory. In a relativistic case, production and annihilation of quark-antiquark pairs prevent the total number of quarks and antiquarks from being fixed. That is why the first hints, that the quarks might be something more than just mathematical objects, revived the question whether one would be able to observe other (exotic) kinds of flavor multiplets, with some more complicated quark content.

One of the simplest clear examples of non-3-quark baryons would be a baryon with positive strangeness, say, a resonance in the KN system with $S = +1$ (by definition, the strange quark s has $S = -1$, and a 3-quark system cannot have $S = +1$). Experimental searches for such exotic states in the KN -spectra started rather early [4, 5, 6]. However, all suggested evidences stayed unconvincing, and later the Particle Data Group (PDG) stopped discussions on experimental spectroscopy of exotics [7].

The first theoretical attempt to describe internal dynamical structure for specific multi-quark hadrons was made in the framework of the “MIT bag” [8, 9, 10]. The calculations supported existence of exotic states, but prescribed them to be very broad, with widths of some hundreds MeV. This result seems quite understandable, since an exotic multi-quark system in the MIT bag looks to be readily prepared for separation into subsystems with conventional quark contents: a tetraquark meson $qq\bar{q}\bar{q}$ may be considered as a system of two quark-antiquark pairs, while the pentaquark baryon $qqqq\bar{q}$ may be considered as (3 quarks + 1 quark-antiquark pair). According to the MIT-bag approach, it is just the enormously huge width that could explain why exotic resonances have not been seen in experiment: they exist, but are too broad to reveal clear-cut bumps. Totally unnoticed for long time has stayed an alternative possibility: the fact that hadrons have an internal structure may suggest some hadrons to have a very complicated structure which would suppress their couplings (and, therefore, production cross sections and decay widths) to conventional hadrons [11]. It could be similar to “structural” suppression of some radiative transitions, which is well-known in atomic physics. Such possibility has been recently demonstrated by detailed calculations for the system of $4q\bar{q}$ [12].

It is interesting, that the standard partial-wave analysis of the elastic KN -scattering [13] (the latest and most complete one published up to now) has later presented four exotic baryon states (resonances), two isoscalar and two isovector, all they having, indeed, large widths 200–500 MeV. However, even before the publication [13], any correspondence between the MIT bag and experiment had become to look generally dubious.

New impetus for studies of exotics has emerged [14] from the Chiral Quark Soliton Approach (χ QSA; for recent reviews see Refs.[15, 16]). It allowed to give rather detailed theoretical prediction of the exotic antidecuplet of baryons (including the Θ^+ with $S = +1$) and strongly stimulated new experimental searches for exotics. These efforts gave, at last, some positive evidences, as summarized in the Review of Particle Properties, issue of 2004 [17].

Nevertheless, the current experimental status of the exotic baryon $\Theta^+(1530)$ is rather uncertain (see the more recent experimental review [18] and the latest edition of the Review of Particle Properties [19]). Some collaborations give positive evidences for its observation, some others do

not see it, thus casting doubts on existence of the Θ^+ and on correctness of its positive evidences. Especially impressive are the recent high-statistics null results of the CLAS Collaboration for Θ^+ -photoproduction in several final-states [20, 21, 22, 23]. And yet, new (“after-CLAS”) dedicated analyses have again provided both confirming [24, 25, 26, 27, 28] and null [29, 30, 31, 32, 33] publications. Moreover, a new suggested way [34] for data analysis may reveal presence of the Θ^+ even in the published CLAS results, through its interference with a known resonance.

At present, an important fact is that there are no data sets, from independent groups, with exactly overlapping observational conditions (initial and/or final states, kinematical regions). Therefore, when comparing today different current data on the Θ^+ , one needs some theoretical models/assumptions for the unknown production mechanisms. Thus, one cannot yet reject existence of the $\Theta^+(1530)$ as a particular exotic pentaquark baryon. We emphasize also that, up to now, there has not been suggested, in the framework of Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) or in other terms, any theoretical reason to forbid multi-quark hadrons.

In such uncertain situation, Karliner and Lipkin [35, 36] raised the question shown in the title here, why the Θ^+ is seen in some experiments and not in others. As an answer, they suggested an important role of the baryon resonance with hidden strangeness $N(2400)$ (see also Ref.[37]). However, this resonance production seems to be insufficient today to explain many of positive evidences. An opposite viewpoint is that all positive results might arise as statistical fluctuations and do not reveal a true physical effect (see, *e.g.*, Ref.[38]).

In the present paper, we assume that the Θ^+ , as a representative of exotic hadrons, does exist and have properties corresponding to the published positive evidences: rather low mass and unexpectedly narrow width. Then we are going to reconsider possible dynamical picture for multi-quark hadrons. Though our approach is still qualitative, not quantitative yet, it seems to explain some regularities in (non)observation of the Θ^+ . It allows, further, to suggest new experiments for more detailed studies of the Θ^+ -production properties. At the moment, we do not discuss other possible multi-quark hadrons, which existence has today much weaker experimental support than for the Θ^+ .

2 Exotics and hadron structure

The latest experiments on exotic hadrons (baryons, really) have been oriented on predictions coming mainly from χ QSA), first of all, from Ref.[14]. The corresponding models, as well as other chiral models of strong interactions, may be formally derived in the framework of QCD after functional integration over quark and gluon degrees of freedom. Physically, such a procedure corresponds to averaging over parton (quark and gluon) distributions inside hadrons, and may provide rather good description of many properties of hadrons and/or their interactions. It seems to be true, indeed, for the basic octet and decuplet baryons (see, *e.g.*, Refs.[39, 40, 41, 42]). But, as every averaging, it may appear to be insufficient (or even inadequate) for some problems. Interaction properties of multi-quark hadrons possibly demonstrate just examples of such a kind.

The χ QSA has provided evidence that some coupling constants of exotic hadrons should be suppressed [14, 16, 43], but has not explained a physical reason for the suppression. Nevertheless, traditional calculational methods of χ QSA, related to decomposition in $1/N_c$, allow to find upper

bounds for those coupling constants. As a result, such methods predict $\Gamma_{\Theta^+} \leq 15$ MeV [14], but do not suggest any reliable way to find the corresponding numerical value of Γ_{Θ^+} . Meanwhile, even the upper bound seems to be unexpectedly low in comparison with familiar widths of baryonic resonances ($\sim 50 - 100$ MeV). But if the Θ^+ does exist, its experimental width [27]

$$\Gamma_{\Theta^+} = (0.36 \pm 0.11) \text{ MeV} \quad (1)$$

is strongly below the theoretical χ QSA upper bound ¹. More conservative phenomenological estimations also give only upper bounds for Γ_{Θ^+} , which are 1 – 5 MeV [44, 45, 46, 47]. These values are also essentially lower than the χ QSA limit, though still much higher than the strikingly low value (1).

In such cases one should, evidently, put off the total averaging over quark and gluon degrees of freedom (which leads to the χ QSA) and return (at least, for some time) to the original non-averaged picture. According to the QCD, any hadron should be described as a Fock column, which components may contain any number of (additional) quark-antiquark pairs and/or gluons. Similar is the structure of any bound state in the framework of a relativistic quantum field theory. The hydrogen atom, *e.g.*, as described by the Quantum Electrodynamics (QED), does contain, together with the conventional and familiar proton-electron configuration, some admixture of virtual photons and electron-positron pairs. It is the small value of $\alpha \approx 1/137$, which makes the admixture so minute, that only tiny specific effects are sensitive to its presence. In difference, the QCD coupling “constant” α_s may achieve the strong interaction regime, and the role of the additional pairs may become essential in some situations.

Sure, higher Fock components reveal themselves in short-term fluctuations and, *e.g.*, in hard processes. But one cannot separate a particular higher component to study it in detail. Multi-quark hadrons, if they exist, could be very helpful in this relation. Study of pentaquark baryons, having the 5-quark configuration as a basic component, might help to understand also properties of the 5-quark component in conventional baryons, where this component has a less apparent role.

A possible similarity between higher Fock components of conventional hadrons, on one side, and basic components of multi-quark hadrons, on the other side, would help to understand/predict the structure of multi-quark hadrons. Vice versa, it may be checked by studying the multi-quark hadron properties. For example, if the basic configurations for multi-quark hadrons are contained in the same confinement area as for conventional 3-quark hadrons, then the mean distance between quarks is smaller inside multi-quarks. Due to asymptotic freedom of QCD, this implies that the effective coupling constant (a running quantity!) is smaller for multi-quark hadrons than for conventional ones. Quark masses (also running quantities!) should also be smaller inside multi-quarks than in the familiar constituent quark model, based on conventional hadrons. This latter point seems to agree with data. Indeed, with $m_{u,d} \sim 300$ MeV and $m_s \sim 450$ MeV, the standard constituent quark model, applied to the $\Theta^+ = (udud\bar{s})$, would predict the mass $M_{\Theta^+} \sim 1650$ MeV, while the experimental Θ^+ (if it exists, of course) is about 100 MeV lighter. Thus, the constituent quark picture, to be applicable for multi-quark hadrons, might have different values of

¹The “model-independent approach” to the chiral quark soliton, using phenomenology of the proton spin content and hyperon semileptonic decays, has recently shown [48] that the χ QSA may be consistent with $\Gamma_{\Theta^+} < 1$ MeV and even with the value (1). However, this approach cannot yet fix a particular theoretical value for the width.

parameters (*e.g.*, masses) than the familiar constituent quark picture for conventional hadrons ².

Note that the QCD Hamiltonian contains only the current quarks; thus, the constituent quarks may appear in QCD only as effective objects, like, *e.g.*, quasi-particles in the solid state physics (even the electron in matter, generally, has an effective mass different from its free value). A hint that (effective) quark masses may depend on the kind of the hadron can be seen even in the early papers of Zeldovich and Sakharov [50]. They described masses of hadrons by an effective Hamiltonian with several parameters. These parameters were fitted separately for the mesons (pseudoscalar and vector) and the baryons (octet $1/2^+$ and decuplet $3/2^+$). One of the parameters, in framework of the constituent quark model, may be treated as the sum of masses of hadron's constituents. Then, results of Zeldovich and Sakharov [50] imply that the light quark masses $m_u = m_d$ are $1083/3=361$ MeV in baryons, but only $598/2=299$ MeV in mesons (interestingly, they receive nevertheless the same mass difference $m_s - m_u \approx 170$ MeV, both in mesons and in baryons).

The change of quark properties for multiquark *vs.* conventional hadrons looks to be natural. In Fock configurations with infinitely many quark-antiquark pairs, quarks should be seen as current ones, with low masses (several MeV for u and d) and with α_s in the asymptotically free regime. Transition to such configurations from 3-quark ones may be expected to reveal some kind of continuity. Then, quarks in intermediate configurations, and in multiquark hadrons as well, should have some intermediate properties.

The Fock column picture of hadrons has been recently applied to the problem of small width of the Θ^+ [51]. Starting from the soliton of χ QSA, the baryons were described at the light-cone as a set of quarks (3 quarks appended by additional $q\bar{q}$ pairs) in the self-consistent mean chiral field. Thus, the gluon degrees of freedom were totally integrated out, while the quark ones were integrated out only partly. The calculations gave indeed a small width $\Gamma_{\Theta^+} \approx 4$ MeV, essentially below the χ QSA upper bound, but still higher than the experimental value (1). The final numerical result is sensitive to approximations and assumptions. Various corrections may further diminish the width. Indeed, application of relativistic corrections gave the width about 2 MeV [52, 53], while further account for the mass difference between the Θ^+ and nucleon have lowered the theoretical value down to $\Gamma_{\Theta^+} \approx 0.43$ MeV [54], just comparable to the experimental value (1).

Let us discuss the physical reasons for such a small value. According to the calculations [51, 52, 54], emission of the chiral pseudoscalar field (of kaons for the Θ^+ -decay) does not change the total number of quarks and antiquarks in the infinite momentum frame. Then, say, the $\Delta(1232)$, being mainly in a 3-quark configuration, can emit one pion to transform into the basic 3-quark component of the nucleon. The corresponding probability is rather high. The same is true for the $NN\pi$ -vertex. In difference, the minimal configuration of the Θ^+ is 5-quark. After the kaon emission, it transforms into a non-strange baryon system, but again in the 5-quark configuration. Therefore, the decay $\Theta^+ \rightarrow KN$ goes through multiquark component(s) of the nucleon and, thus, becomes suppressed in comparison, say, with $\Delta \rightarrow N\pi$, though their phase-spaces are similar.

Such conclusion, because of many uncertainties in the present calculations, may be still disputable. For example, other authors differently see the role of 5-quark components in Δ -decays,

²Relation between exotic hadrons and the constituent quark model has been recently discussed from another viewpoint by Lipkin [49].

to both $N\pi$ [55] and $N\gamma$ [56] channels. In any case, we emphasize that necessity to account for higher (multi-quark) components of conventional baryons opens new possibilities for understanding the small value of Γ_{Θ^+} . Note that accounting for possibility of the smaller effective α_s in 5-quark configurations, as discussed above, has not been used in calculations of Refs.[51, 52, 53, 54]. It may give additional contribution to the smallness of the Γ_{Θ^+} .

Now, by analogy, we can assume that configurations with large number of quarks and antiquarks have a special role also in production of exotic hadrons. Specifically, we assume that exotics production goes mainly through many-quark configurations, while canonical hadrons may be (and, possibly, are) produced mainly through few-quark configurations. This should not mean that the many-quark states hadronize only into exotic multi-quark hadrons, while the few-quark states produce only canonical hadrons. But we expect that the relative yield of the multi-quark hadrons (in respect to the canonical ones) is higher for many-quark initial states.

Our hypothesis is a generalization of the suggestion of Karliner and Lipkin [35, 36], who assumed the Θ^+ -production mainly going through the multi-quark resonance. In difference, we do not stick to particular resonance(s) and admit contributions from any many-quark state.

3 Exotics production as a new kind of hard processes

The known hard processes of hadronic interactions are related, at the parton level, to the underlying short-time partonic processes. We can separate all such processes into two groups.

The first, smaller group contains e^+e^- annihilation into hadrons, heavy quarkonium decays into light hadrons, and also hadron production in the high-energy $\gamma\gamma$ collisions. All these processes have no initial light-quarks hadrons. At the parton level, they start from production of small number (one, mainly) of (mainly light) quark-antiquark pair(s). Thus, hadronization in this set of hard processes begins from few-quark states.

The other, larger group contains such processes as deep inelastic scattering (DIS), Drell-Yan pair production, high- p_T hadron production, heavy quark (or, say, W/Z) production in hadron collisions or in photoproduction, and so on. All these processes, at the particle level, have initially at least one hadron. In the course of the underlying short-time process, one parton (or small number of them) becomes knocked out of the hadron(s). The basic current interest in such hard processes is aimed at the probability of those partons to be knocked out (structure functions) and/or at their further evolution (parton interactions and hadronization).

Meanwhile, these processes, together with the knocked out partons, produce also remnant(s) of the initial hadron(s), with very many partons. We have practically no information on structure and later evolution of such many-parton systems. Indeed, experimentally, they are mainly lost in the existing detectors. Theoretically, their description in the framework of QCD has never been achieved (see, however Ref.[57] for some attempts). This information vacuum, in respect to hadron remnants, will have to change. Such systems are very interesting themselves. They may be helpful also for our present goals, since before hadronization they provide just a rich source of many-quark states.

Let us discuss the origin of the remnants. In the space-time picture, higher Fock components of a hadron are known to be related with short-term fluctuations. Such fluctuations become

averaged out and, thus, are not seen explicitly in long-time-scale processes (though affect them through radiative corrections). They are better seen, however, in short-time-scale processes (it is like to a kind of stroboscopic effects). Thus, hard (short-time-scale) hadronic processes provide a way to tag short-term fluctuations with the corresponding characteristic time. Due to the uncertainty principle, the characteristic number of partons in a fluctuation is also correlated with the fluctuation life-time. The shorter is the time-scale of an underlying process, the higher may be the number of partons in the fluctuations essential for the process. Only one or several of the partons are active participant(s) of the “elementary process”, while all others are spectators, frozen in the hadron remnant. The number of partons in the remnant is not fixed, but concentrated near a characteristic number, that increases with decreasing the time scale of the hard process.

Thus, remnants, that emerge in hard processes, provide many-parton states. According to our present hypothesis, their hadronization should generate multiquark hadrons (exotic, in particular) at higher relative rate, than it is familiar in well-studied processes. We expect, therefore, that hadron remnants in hard processes with initial conventional hadrons may appear to be exotics factories.

This does not mean, however, that they are the only source of exotics. Fluctuations (with various parton multiplicities) exist in hadrons independently of one or another process; they contribute to any process, at least through radiative corrections. Of course, statistical weight of a particular fluctuation may be different in different processes. Our hypothesis suggests that higher-multiplicity fluctuation(s) should stronger contribute to the production of multiquark hadrons than fluctuations with lower multiplicity. Thus, a process of exotics production is mainly related with higher Fock components of initial hadron(s) and, therefore, tags them. In this sense, exotics production should be considered as a new kind of hard processes. Such processes contain short-term fluctuations as a necessary intermediate stage, even if a particular process, at the hadron level, does not formally contain any explicit short-time scale.

Close relation between exotics production and many-parton fluctuations may have observable consequences. For example, if parton multiplicity of essential configurations is larger for exotics production than for production of conventional hadrons, then it is natural to expect that the hadron multiplicity accompanying a produced exotic hadron should be higher than the average hadron multiplicity.

The above examples demonstrate that our hypothesis is not purely formal. Even in the present qualitative form, it can be applied to analyze existing or future experiments.

4 Experimental Θ^+ -production

Up to now, positive evidences have been published for three exotic baryons: $\Theta^+(1530)$, $\Theta_c^0(3100)$ and $\Xi_{3/2}^-(1860)$ (or $\Phi^{--}(1860)$) [19]. Each of the two latter states was seen by one group only; they have not been found in other dedicated experiments. So we will not discuss them here.

More crucial in the present situation looks the (non)existence of the Θ^+ . The corresponding information (positive or negative) is much more copious than for any other exotic hadron. We will not overview all existing publications. However, some of data, both published and preliminary, seem to touch not only a problem of the Θ^+ -existence, but also a possible mechanism of the Θ^+ -

production. It is natural, therefore, to consider them from the viewpoint of our hypothesis. We will discuss the positive and negative experimental data separately.

4.1 Positive data

a) *ZEUS data.* Let us begin with data of the ZEUS Collaboration, since they are most advanced in relation to a mechanism of the Θ^+ -production. The Collaboration has found the $\Theta^+(1530)$ and its antiparticle [58] in the kinematical region, where hadroproduction was believed to come from fragmentation of the knocked-out parton(s) [59, 60]. The proton remnant has been usually considered to escape nearly unnoticed by the ZEUS detector. In any case, the remnant contribution in the region used was assumed to be negligible. Such expectations have been confirmed by measurements of fragmentation functions for various identified hadrons. In particular, fragmentation fractions for different charmed hadrons, measured by ZEUS in this kinematical region [61], coincide with those measured in e^+e^- annihilation, as was predicted for DIS in the current fragmentation region (fragmentation of the knocked-out (anti)quark is expected to be nearly the same as fragmentation of the (anti)quark produced in e^+e^- annihilation) [57].

Special attention was given to production properties of the $\Lambda(1520)$ and $\Lambda_c^+(2285)$, since they could be kinematically similar to production of the $\Theta^+(1530)$. It appears [62] that the $\Lambda(1520)$ and $\Lambda_c^+(2285)$ are produced as expected: $\Lambda(1520)$ through fragmentation of the knocked-out quark, while $\Lambda_c^+(2285)$ through fragmentation of the $c\bar{c}$ -pair, generated by the γ^* -gluon fusion.

Nevertheless, $\Theta^+(1530)$ in the same kinematical region clearly demonstrates distributions characteristic for being generated by hadronization of the target (proton) remnant (in particular, it is mainly produced in the forward hemisphere, *i.e.*, at positive pseudorapidity η) [62]. The proton remnant in DIS is a state with superposition of various many-quark configurations. Thus, the ZEUS data evidently support our hypothesis of the Θ^+ -production coming mainly from hadronization of such configurations.

Furthermore, our hypothesis leads to expectation that the Θ^+ -production in DIS should change with Q^2 , because of changing role of different many-parton configurations. Experimentally, the Θ^+ -yield in ZEUS data decreases with increasing Q_{\min}^2 at $Q^2 > 20 \text{ GeV}^2$ [60]. However, it is mainly due to the factor $1/Q^4$ coming from the photon propagator squared. This factor has no relation to remnant configurations and can be eliminated, if one considers the relative yield of the Θ^+ in respect to conventional hadrons. The ZEUS Collaboration investigated the ratio $\Theta^+(1530)/\Lambda(1116)$ in the same kinematical region. This ratio shows slow increase, but, with current large uncertainties, may be considered also as a constant, about 4% [60]. The situation reminds the case of nucleon structure functions, which initially looked to be Q^2 -independent (Bjorken scaling), but then both theoretical considerations and more precise measurements have revealed scaling violation in the structure functions, with slow (logarithmical) dependence on Q^2 . Since the underlying physics, the enhanced role of many-parton configurations at higher Q^2 , is the same in these two cases, we expect that the ratio $\Theta^+(1530)/\Lambda(1116)$ should also logarithmically change (increase) at high Q^2 . Evidently, it is a prediction for future experiments, which can, thus, check our hypothesis.

Meanwhile, we would like to discuss one more feature of the ZEUS data. The Θ^+ peak is not seen in the pK_S spectrum at $Q^2 > 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ [58]. In the HERA kinematics, one has

$W^2 < 10^5 \text{ GeV}^2$, where W is the (γ^*p) c.m. energy, and the above restriction for Q^2 may be rewritten for the Bjorken variable $x = Q^2/(W^2 + Q^2)$ as $x > 10^{-5}$. A very interesting point is that the ZEUS data demonstrate the Θ^+ peak even at $Q^2 > 1 \text{ GeV}^2$, if one applies additional restriction $W < 125 \text{ GeV}$ [58], *i.e.*,

$$x > 6.4 \cdot 10^{-5}. \quad (2)$$

It is well known, on the other side, that nucleon structure functions increase at very small x . Therefore, the larger contributions to the DIS inclusive hadroproduction (in particular, to the K_{SP} spectrum) at $Q^2 > 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ should come from the lower region

$$10^{-5} < x < 6.4 \cdot 10^{-5}, \quad (3)$$

which violates condition (2). Thus, the ZEUS data [58] imply that the Θ^+ -production in the region (3) should not have such enhancement (if any), which appears at small x for the usual DIS production of the background non-resonant K_{SP} system. Note that, kinematically, the region (3) cannot be reached at HERA in other Q^2 -regions investigated by ZEUS (more exactly, at $Q^2 > 6 \text{ GeV}^2$). This might explain why observability of the Θ^+ -peak in the ZEUS data does not need any additional restrictions for W (or x) at higher Q^2 .

The two quantities, Q^2 and x , are, generally, independent variables, and a definite value of x may (and, most probably, does) select some states among various many-parton configurations with the “life time” $\sim 1/\sqrt{Q^2}$, corresponding to the used value of Q^2 . If the Θ^+ is not produced indeed at too small x , it could mean, that typical states of the remnant with presence of a quark having such x , may have properties (*e.g.*, quark energy distributions) that suppress the Θ^+ -formation. Future experiments could study both Q^2 and x dependencies of the Θ^+ -production in more detail, to extract interesting information on structure of both the proton remnant and the Θ^+ itself. The related problems seem to be worth of more detailed theoretical discussion elsewhere.

b) *Some other positive data.* Manifestations of the Θ^+ -production mechanism are possibly seen also in preliminary data of the SVD Collaboration. Its higher-statistics analysis [25, 26] has confirmed the earlier evidence for production of the $\Theta^+(1530)$ in nucleon-nucleon collisions [63]. Moreover, preliminary data of this collaboration provide distributions of the Θ^+ in the Feynman variable x_F and in p_T .

The x_F -distribution for the $\Theta^+(1530)$ appears to be very soft: no $\Theta^+(1530)$ is seen at $|x_F| > 0.3$ [26, 64], while the observed $\Lambda(1520)$ -yield is much harder, it has maximum at $|x_F| = 0.4$ [64]. Distribution of the Θ^+ over p_T is also soft (no Θ^+ at $p_T > 1.5 \text{ GeV}$) [64]. The two distributions together imply that the total c.m.-momentum of the produced Θ^+ is not higher than 1.5 GeV , essentially smaller than kinematically available (and than that for $\Lambda(1520)$). Such properties look quite natural in framework of our hypothesis, since we expect that accompanying hadron multiplicity for the pentaquark $\Theta^+(1530)$ is higher than, say, for the conventional hyperon $\Lambda(1520)$. This makes the c.m.-energy available for the $\Theta^+(1530)$ to be smaller than for the $\Lambda(1520)$.

Similar evidence may come also from preliminary data of the HERMES Collaboration [65]. When the standard kinematic constraints, used for the Θ^+ -observation, are appended by the requirement of an additional detected pion, the signal/background ratio essentially improves. It reaches 2:1, instead of 1:3 in the published HERMES data [66]. Our hypothesis allows to understand such an unexpected result. The condition of an additional pion in the detector enhances

the role of events with higher multiplicity, where, as we argue, the pentaquarks should be present at higher rate in respect to conventional hadrons than in average events.

4.2 Null data

a) *Low-energy measurements.* Two collaborations have published impressive null results on the $\Theta^+(1530)$ at low (or relatively low) initial energies.

One of them, the Belle Collaboration, used low-energy kaons, produced in e^+e^- -annihilation, for secondary scattering inside the detector. Physically, this experiment is similar to that of DIANA, so they may be directly compared. In both cases, one studies the charge exchange reaction $K^+n \rightarrow pK_S$ inside a nucleus and looks for the Θ^+ as an intermediate resonance. Experimental results on this charge exchange process may be directly interpreted in terms of the Θ^+ -width. The Belle data [67] do not show any Θ^+ -signal and provide the restriction

$$\Gamma_{\Theta^+} < 0.64 \text{ MeV}, \quad (4)$$

which may cast doubt on the value

$$\Gamma_{\Theta^+} = (0.9 \pm 0.3) \text{ MeV}, \quad (5)$$

extracted by Cahn and Trilling [45] from earlier DIANA analysis [68]. However, that publication was incomplete, and some additional assumptions on the background contributions were necessary to extract the value (5). More detailed DIANA data [27] allow to find the width with much less assumptions. Its new value (1) looks extremely low³, it is not yet quite understood theoretically, but does not contradict to any other experimental result on Γ_{Θ^+} . In particular, with this new value, the current Belle data cannot exclude existence of the Θ^+ .

The other set of null data is given by the CLAS measurements of photoproduction off proton and neutron (inside the deuteron). Despite impressive experimental statistics, treatment of several final states has not revealed any reliable Θ^+ -signal [20, 21, 22, 23]. These results could pretend to disprove positive evidences of LEPS and SAPHIR. However, the kinematical region of the CLAS detector cannot completely overlap those of the two others (the problem is mainly related with the forward direction), so a more detailed comparison is necessary for definite conclusions.

Some current theoretical estimations [69, 70] predict even lower cross sections for the Θ^+ -photoproduction, than the experimental upper limits of CLAS. It is not clear, whether such expectations may agree with existing positive observations. However, today's predictions have essential theoretical uncertainties. They touch, first of all, form factors in exchange diagrams. The published calculations apply form factors with properties familiar for conventional hadrons. However, the form factors might be different if transition, say, $N \rightarrow \Theta^+$ goes mainly in 5-quark configuration. According to ideas of Feynman [71], the more constituents has a system, the faster should change (decrease) its form factor⁴. Then, according to our hypothesis, photoproduction

³Of course, it is much smaller than the experimental resolution. The method of extraction of such small width is described in Ref.[45].

⁴The underlying physics is rather transparent: to change the motion of a whole system of many constituents one should realize many interactions between all those constituents, so to drag each of them.

of the pentaquark Θ^+ on the nucleon should go as on a 5-quark system, with a form factor having steeper t -dependence than in photoproduction of conventional hadrons. This might produce the sharp angular distribution which would make mutually consistent observation of the Θ^+ , *e.g.*, in the forward-looking detector LEPS and its non-observation in the less-forward detector CLAS.

If the CLAS data really contain a small Θ^+ -signal, consistent with the published bounds, this signal may be enhanced to the observable level due to interference effects [34]. Thus, the published CLAS data cannot yet reject existence of the Θ^+ .

This is even more true for the COSY-TOF data. The new measurements [32] do not confirm earlier evidence of the same collaboration for the Θ^+ -baryon in the reaction $pp \rightarrow pK^0\Sigma^+$ [72]. Instead, they set a strict limit for the Θ^+ -production. However, their new upper boundary for the cross section is still higher than the theoretical estimation [73] obtained before both new and old analyses.

b) *High-energy measurements.* Null results have been published also for several types of processes considered to be high-energy ones. We begin with $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons (and/or $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow$ hadrons). Such processes at high energies go mainly through the prompt production of one quark-antiquark pair, which then hadronizes. This favorably leads to purely mesonic final states. The events with baryon-antibaryon pairs need 3 prompt quark-antiquark pairs and provide only small part (say, less than 1/10) of all high-energy events. Production of pentaquark baryon(s) needs even more, 5 prompt quark-antiquark pairs, and one may expect that number of events with pentaquark(s) in high-energy e^+e^- annihilation is less than 1/10 of events with conventional baryons. Current experimental data have not reached sufficient precision to observe them at such level.

Similar (and even stronger) conclusions are also true for charmonium decays, where modes with baryon-antibaryon pairs have the rate of order 1/100 in respect to purely meson modes. This implies that pentaquarks in charmonium decays may be expected to have rate not more than 1/100 in regard to conventional baryons. Detailed analysis [37] shows that the corresponding experimental data have strongly insufficient precision.

In hadron-hadron collisions, there is the negative search for the Θ^+ by the SPHINX Collaboration [74]. It is usually claimed to reject the SVD positive result [25, 26, 64] (the two collaborations collected their data at the same Serpukhov proton beam of 70 GeV). However, the SPHINX detector is sensitive mainly to the area of diffraction dissociation (excitation) of the initial proton. At the Serpukhov energy, this process can be well described by an effective pomeron interacting with constituent quarks, without changing the number of constituents. Therefore, our hypothesis implies strong suppression of multiquark baryons in the SPHINX experiment. The suppression may be weaker in the SVD case, where contribution of many-quark fluctuations could provide a more noticeable effect, than in a special case of diffraction dissociation.

A large set of high-energy data for the Θ^+ -search in hadron-hadron collisions has been obtained at hadronic colliders. Their detectors usually tag events with high values of the transverse energy. Such events are mainly initiated by partons knocked out from initial hadrons, which then hadronize essentially as few-parton systems. Multiquark hadrons are very rare in their hadronization products. For the same events, our hypothesis suggests to expect a higher rate of exotic hadron production in remnants of the initial hadrons. However, these remnants are practically lost for the FNAL detectors CDF and/or D0. The same is true for the main RHIC detectors, at least, in symmetric collisions $p + p$, $Cu + Cu$ and $Au + Au$. Up to now, dedicated investiga-

tion of the hadron remnants has not been planned for those collider detectors in any approved experiments.

Thus, the current high-energy data, which do not see the Θ^+ , cannot be decisive for the pentaquark problem, even despite their greater statistics. In framework of our hypothesis, the reason is that they investigate kinematical regions where multi-quark hadrons are produced with very low rate.

4.3 Suggestions for further experiments

Our hypothesis about exotics production mechanism allows us to suggest new approaches for studying multi-quark hadrons. The corresponding experiments should be oriented to hadrons produced from many-quark systems. As we explained, a clear example of such systems is provided by hadron remnants in hard processes with initial hadrons. At current symmetric configurations of the colliding beams, the remnants escape into tubes of the storage rings. Thus, to investigate them, one would need to essentially modify the existing detectors, to register forward-going hadrons having very high rapidity.

Directions of necessary modification may be demonstrated by the BRAHMS forward spectrometer. It has recently presented the first measurements of high-rapidity production of pions, kaons, protons, and their antiparticles in pp -collisions at RHIC ($y_{had} \approx 3$, while $y_{beam} = 5.4$ at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV) [75]. The data for pions and kaons agree with calculations up to Next-To-Leading-Order in the perturbative QCD, but (anti)protons in that region show yet unexplained deviations from expected distributions (see Ref. [75] for more detailed comparison of data *vs.* theory). Baryon spectroscopy in this region may also appear non-conventional.

Another approach could be applied, if one used, say, at the FNAL collider, an asymmetric configuration with p and \bar{p} beams of unequal energies. Then, the Lorentz boost would increase the angular dimension for the remnant of the less-energetic hadron, so it could be seen even in the existing detectors, without their modifications. Such a way would allow to study the kinematical region in high-energy hadronic collisions, which has not been seen up to now and which could provide, as we expect, higher rate of exotics production, than in the published collider experiments.

Note, that the HERA facility is just an asymmetric collider. However, its asymmetry (the proton momentum much higher than the electron one) is such that the detectors ZEUS and H1 favor investigations of the current fragmentation region, while the target fragmentation region, directly related to the proton remnant, is strongly shrunked. We expect that the HERA run with less energetic proton beam could allow the ZEUS detector (and may be H1 as well) to study in more detail the proton remnant contributions. A very interesting and perspective direction of studies could be investigation of x - and Q^2 -dependencies of the Θ^+ -production in DIS. In particular, we expect that, with Q^2 growing, the ratio of exotic/conventional hadron yields should logarithmically increase, in analogy with logarithmic increase of the small- x hadronic structure functions.

Many-quark systems may emerge also in soft processes, due to short-term fluctuations of initial hadron(s). However, their relative contribution is usually rather small and, thus, should provide rather small cross section for the exotics production as compared to conventional hadron

production ⁵. To increase an exotics signal/background ratio one needs to apply some selections enhancing the role of many-parton fluctuations. A possible way could be to study events with high (total or charged) hadron multiplicity. Of course, such events have larger combinatorial background. But we expect that the relative yield of the exotic Θ^+ -baryon *vs.* a conventional baryon, say, $\Lambda(1520)$, should be enhanced for such events in comparison to the total set of events. Properties of the Θ^+ -production, as observed by the SVD Collaboration [25, 26, 63, 64], show that also useful for the exotics observation might be restriction to relatively low energy of the (KN) -pair (as we have explained, this is also related to the enlarged multiplicity).

The two latter points may be essential in estimating experiments with null results for exotics searches. Some of those experiments, because of “technical” reasons, apply restrictions on particle energies and/or on multiplicity of selected events. The above discussion demonstrates that such restriction can suppress (or enhance!) the exotics production. Such a possibility should be taken into account in future experiments.

5 Summary and conclusions

Let us summarize the above considerations. We assume that exotic (and, more generally, multi-quark) hadrons are mainly generated from many-quark partonic configurations, which may emerge either as short-term fluctuations of initial hadrons in any hadronic process, or as hadron remnants in hard processes. This implies that the characteristic time scale for production of multi-quark hadrons is, in any case, shorter than for conventional hadron production. In this sense, the multi-quark production presents a new kind of hard processes.

We have demonstrated that our assumption is able to give qualitative explanation why the Θ^+ has been seen in some experiments and not in others. Moreover, it allows to overcome seeming inconsistencies between experiments, which are similar at first sight, and to understand such peculiar features of positive experiments as, *e.g.*, essential change of signal/background ratio with relatively small change of registration conditions.

We can also suggest new experiments that might be decisive to check (and hopefully confirm) existence of the Θ^+ and other multi-quark hadrons. Most important for this purpose could be studies of hadron remnants in hard processes. Up to now, structure and evolution of those remnants have not been experimentally investigated and/or theoretically understood.

If our assumptions appear correct, experiments with production and investigation of multi-quark hadrons could provide new, very interesting and important information about structure of conventional hadrons and about properties of their short-term fluctuations. We may expect also, that studies of multi-quark hadrons will reveal both a new hadronic spectroscopy and new understanding of constituent quarks, with their properties, masses and couplings, depending on the number of quarks in the hadron.

⁵Note, that hard processes, directly related to the short-term fluctuations, also have cross sections small in comparison with soft processes. Separation of a hard process from much more copious soft background requires some special selection conditions, *e.g.*, high- p_T or high- Q^2 events.

Acknowledgments

We thank A. Airapetian, S. Chekanov, D. Diakonov, A. Dolgolenko, M. Karliner, A. Kubarovsky, H. Lipkin, V. Petrov, M. V. Polyakov, and R. Workman for useful discussions on various sides of the exotics problem. Ya.A. thanks the Ruhr-Universität-Bochum for hospitality at some stages of this work. The work was partly supported by the Russian State Grant RSGSS-1124.2003.2, by the Russian-German Collaboration Treaty (RFBR, DFG), by the COSY-Project Jülich, by Verbundforschung “Hadronen und Kerne” of the BMBF, by Transregio/SFB Bonn, Bochum, Giessen of the DFG, by the U. S. Department of Energy Grant DE-FG02-99ER41110, by the Jefferson Laboratory, and by the Southeastern Universities Research Association under DOE Contract DE-AC05-84ER40150.

References

- [1] H. Goldberg and Yu. Ne’eman, *Nuovo Cim.* **27**, 1 (1963).
- [2] M. Gell-Mann, *Phys. Lett.* **8**, 214 (1964).
- [3] G. Zweig, CERN preprints TH-401, TH-412 (1964).
- [4] R. L. Cool *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **16**, 1228 (1966); **17**, 102 (1966).
- [5] R. J. Abrams *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **19**, 259 (1967).
- [6] J. Tyson *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **19**, 255 (1967).
- [7] M. Aguilar-Benitez *et al.* (Particle Data Group), *Phys. Lett. B* **170**, 289 (1986).
- [8] R. L. Jaffe and K. Johnson, *Phys. Lett. B* **60**, 201 (1976).
- [9] R. L. Jaffe, invited talk at the *Topical Conf. on Baryon Resonances, Oxford, July 5-9, 1976*; preprint SLAC-PUB-1774, July 1976; *Oxford Top. Conf. 1976*, p. 455 (QCD161:C45:1976).
- [10] R. L. Jaffe, *Phys. Rev. D* **15**, 267, 281 (1977).
- [11] Ya. I. Azimov, *Phys. Lett. B* **32**, 499 (1970).
- [12] C. E. Carlson, C. D. Carone, H. J. Kwee, and V. Nazaryan, *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 037501 (2004); hep-ph/0312325.
- [13] J. S. Hyslop *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. D* **46**, 961 (1992).
- [14] D. Diakonov, V. Petrov, and M. V. Polyakov, *Z. Phys. A* **359**, 305 (1997); hep-ph/9703373.
- [15] H. Walliser and V. B. Kopeliovich, *ZhETF* **124**, 483 (2003) [*JETP* **97**, 433 (2003)]; hep-ph/0304058.
- [16] J. Ellis, M. Karliner, and M. Praszalovicz, *JHEP* 0405, 002 (2004); hep-ph/0401127.

- [17] S. Eidelman *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Phys. Lett. B **592**, 1 (2004).
- [18] V. Burkert, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **21**, 1764 (2006); hep-ph/0510309.
- [19] W.-M. Yao *et al.* (Particle Data Group), J. Phys. G **33**, 1 (2006).
- [20] M. Battaglieri *et al.* (CLAS Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 042001 (2006); hep-ex/0510061.
- [21] B. McKinnon *et al.* (CLAS Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 212001 (2006); hep-ex/0603028.
- [22] S. Niccolai *et al.* (CLAS Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 032001 (2006); hep-ex/0604047.
- [23] R. de Vita *et al.* (CLAS Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **74**, 032001 (2006); hep-ex/0606062.
- [24] T. Hotta (for the LEPS Collaboration), Acta Phys. Polon. B **36**, 2173 (2005);
T. Nakano (for the LEPS Collaboration), talk at *The Workshop on η -photoproduction*, Bochum, Germany, February, 2006;
T. Nakano (for the LEPS Collaboration), talk at the Yukawa Intern. Seminar (YKIS) 2006, *New Frontiers in QCD, Exotic Hadrons and Exotic Matter*, Nov. 20 – Dec. 8, 2006, Kyoto, Japan (<http://www2.yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp/~ykis06/index.html>).
- [25] A. Aleev, E. Ardashev, V. Balandin, S. Basiladze, *et al.* (SVD Collaboration), hep-ex/0509033.
- [26] A. Kubarovsky, V. Popov, and V. Volkov (for the SVD Collaboration), in *Proc. of the XXXIII Intern. Conf. on High Energy Physics (ICHEP'06), Moscow, Russia, July 26 - August 2, 2006*; hep-ex/0610050.
- [27] V. V. Barmin, A. E. Asratyan, V. S. Borisov, C. Curceanu, *et al.* (DIANA Collaboration), Yad. Fiz. **70**, 39 (2007) [Phys. At. Nucl. **70**, 35 (2007)]; hep-ex/0603017.
- [28] Yu. A. Troyan, A. V. Beljaev, A. Yu. Troyan, E. B. Plekhanov, *et al.*, in *Proc. of the 18th Intern. Baldin Seminar on High Energy Physics Problems: Relativistic Nuclear Physics and Quantum Chromodynamics (ISHEPP 2006), Dubna, Russia, Sep. 2006*; hep-ex/0610085.
- [29] J. M. Link *et al.* (FOCUS Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **639**, 604 (2006); hep-ex/0606014.
- [30] P. Achard, O. Adrian, M. Aguilar-Benitez, J. Alcaraz, *et al.* (L3 Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. C **49**, 395 (2007); hep-ex/0609054.
- [31] M. Nekipelov, M. Buescher, M. Hartmann, I. Keshelashvili, *et al.*, J. Phys. G **34**, 627 (2007); nucl-ex/0610026.
- [32] M. Abdel-Bary *et al.* (COSY-TOF Collaboration), hep-ex/0612048.
- [33] O. Samoylov, D. Naumov, V. Cavalanni, P. Astier, *et al.* (Nomad Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. C **49**, 499 (2007); hep-ex/0612063.

- [34] M. Amarian, D. Diakonov, and M. V. Polyakov, hep-ph/0612150.
- [35] M. Karliner and H. J. Lipkin, Phys. Lett. B **597**, 309 (2004); hep-ph/0405002.
- [36] H. J. Lipkin, in *Proceedings of the 32nd International Conference on High Energy Physics (ICHEP04), Beijing, China, Aug. 2004*, vol. **2**, p. 1033; hep-ph/0501209.
- [37] Ya. Azimov and I. Strakovsky, Phys. Rev. C **70**, 035210 (2004); nucl-th/0406312.
- [38] K. Hicks, in *Proc. of the IX Intern. Conf. on Hypernuclear and Strange Particle Physics, Mainz, Germany, Oct. 2006*; hep-ph/0703004.
- [39] C. V. Christov *et al.*, in *Proc. of the First German-Polish Symposium on Particles and Fields, Rydzyna Castle, Poland, Apr. 28 – May 1, 1992*, ed. by H. D. Doebner, M. Pawlowski, and R. Raczka, (World Scientific, 1994), p. 3; hep-ph/9303211.
- [40] C. V. Christov *et al.*, Progr. Part. Nucl. Phys. **37**, 91 (1996); hep-ph/9604441.
- [41] D. Diakonov, in *Lectures at the Advanced Summer School on Non-Perturbative Quantum Field Theory, Peniscola, Spain, June 2-6, 1997*, p. 1; hep-ph/9802298.
- [42] D. Diakonov and V. Petrov, in *The Frontier of Particle Physics/Handbook of QCD; Boris Ioffe Festschrift*, ed. by M. Shifman, (World Scientific, 2001) vol. 1, p. 359; hep-ph/0009006.
- [43] M. Praszalowicz, Acta Phys. Polon. B **35**, 1625 (2004); hep-ph/0402038.
- [44] R. A. Arndt *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **68**, 042201 (2003); Erratum, C **69**, 019901 (2004); nucl-th/0308012.
- [45] R. N. Cahn and G. H. Trilling, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 011501 (2004); hep-ph/0311245.
- [46] W. R. Gibbs, Phys. Rev. C **70**, 045208 (2004); nucl-th/0405024.
- [47] A. Sibirtsev *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **599**, 230 (2004); hep-ph/0405099.
- [48] G.-S. Yang, H.-Ch. Kim, and K. Goetze, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 094004 (2007) ; hep-ph/0701168.
- [49] H. Lipkin, hep-ph/0703190.
- [50] Ya. B. Zeldovich and A. D. Sakharov, Yad. Fiz. **4**, 394 (1966) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **4**, 283 (1967)];
Ya. B. Zeldovich and A. D. Sakharov, Acta Phys. Hungar. **22**, 153 (1967).
- [51] D. Diakonov and V. Petrov, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 074009 (2005); hep-ph/0505201.
- [52] C. Lorce, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 054019 (2006); hep-ph/0603231.
- [53] D. Diakonov, Write-up of the talks at *Quarks-2006 (St. Petersburg, Russia, May, 2006)* and at *Quark Confinement and Hadron Spectrum VII (Ponta Delgada, Sep. 2006)*; AIP Conf. Proc. **892**, 258 (2007); hep-ph/0610166.

- [54] C. Lorce, arXiv:0705.1505 [hep-ph].
- [55] Q. B. Li and D. O. Riska, Phys. Rev. C **73**, 035201 (2006); nucl-th/0507008.
- [56] Q. B. Li and D. O. Riska, Nucl. Phys. A **766**, 172 (2006); nucl-th/0511053.
- [57] Yu. Dokshitzer, L. Gribov, V. Khoze, and S. Troyan, Phys. Lett. B **202**, 276 (1988).
L. V. Gribov, Yu. L. Dokshitzer, S. I. Troyan, and V. A. Khoze, ZhETF **94**, 12 (1988) [Sov. Phys. JETP **67**, 1303 (1988)].
A. V. Anisovich, G. Anzivino, F. Arzarello, Ya. I. Azimov, *et al.*, Nuovo Cim. A **106**, 547 (1993).
- [58] S. Chekanov *et al.* (ZEUS Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **591**, 7 (2004); hep-ex/0403051.
- [59] S. Chekanov (for the ZEUS Collaboration), in *Proc. of the XII Intern. Workshop on Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS 2004), Strbske Pleso, High Tatras, Slovakia, April, 2004*, ed. D. Bruncko, J. Ferencei, and P. Strizenec, p. 579; hep-ex/0405013.
- [60] U. Karshon (for the ZEUS Collaboration), in *Proc. of the Intern. Workshop PENTAUARK04, SPring-8, Hyogo, Japan, 20-23 July 2004*, p. 75; hep-ex/0410029.
- [61] N. Coppola (on behalf of the H1 and ZEUS Collaborations), in *Proc. of the 41st Rencontres de Moriond - QCD and high energy hadronic interactions, La Thuile, France, March, 2006*; hep-ex/0605056.
- [62] S. Chekanov (for the H1 and ZEUS Collaborations), in *Proc. of the Intern. Europhysics Conf. on High-Energy Physics (July 21-27, 2005) Lisboa, Portugal*; PoS (HEP 2005) 086 (2006); hep-ex/0510057.
- [63] A. Aleev, N. Amaglobeli, E. Ardashev, V. Balandin, *et al.* (SVD Collaboration), Yad. Fiz. **68**, 1012 (2005) [Phys. At. Nucl. **68**, 974 (2005)]; hep-ex/0401024.
- [64] P. Ermolov (for the SVD Collaboration), talk at the seminar of IHEP, April 2006.
- [65] W. Lorenzon (for the HERMES Collaboration), in *Proc. of the Intern. Workshop PENTAUARK04, SPring-8, Hyogo, Japan, 20-23 July, 2004*, p. 66; hep-ex/0411027.
- [66] A. Airapetian *et al.* (HERMES Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **585**, 213 (2004); hep-ex/0312044.
- [67] K. Abe *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **632**, 173 (2006); hep-ex/0507014.
- [68] V. V. Barmin, V. S. Borisov, G. V. Davidenko, A. G. Dolgolenko, *et al.* (DIANA Collaboration), Yad. Fiz. **66**, 1763 (2003) [Phys. At. Nucl. **66**, 1715 (2003)]; hep-ex/0304040.
- [69] H. Kwee, M. Guidal, M. V. Polyakov, and M. Vanderhaeghen, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 054012 (2005); hep-ph/0507180.

- [70] V. Guzey, hep-ph/0608129.
- [71] R. P. Feynman, *Photon-Hadron Interactions*, (W. A. Benjamin, Inc., 1972).
- [72] M. Abdel-Bary *et al.* (COSY-TOF Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **595**, 127 (2004); hep-ex/0403011.
- [73] M. V. Polyakov, A. Sibirtsev, K. Tsushima, W. Cassing, and K. Goeke, Eur. Phys. J. A **9**, 115 (2000); nucl-th/9909048.
- [74] Yu. M. Antipov, A. V. Artamonov, V. A. Batarin, O. V. Eroshin, *et al.* (SPHINX Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. A **21**, 455 (2004); hep-ex/0407026.
- [75] I. Arsene, I. G. Beardon, D. Beavis, S. Bekele, *et al.* (BRAHMS Collaboration), accepted for publication in Phys. Rev. Lett.; hep-ex/0701041.