

U -max-Statistics

W. Lao* and M. Mayer†

Abstract

In 1948, W. Hoeffding introduced a large class of unbiased estimators called U -statistics, defined as the average value of a real-valued k -variate function h calculated at all possible sets of k points from a random sample. In the present paper we investigate the corresponding extreme value analogue, which we shall call U -max-statistics. We are concerned with the behavior of the largest value of such function h instead of its average. Examples of U -max-statistics are the diameter or the largest scalar product within a random sample. U -max-statistics of higher degrees are given by triameters and other metric invariants. Keywords: random diameter, triameter, spherical distance, extreme value, U -statistics, Poisson approximation

1 Introduction

U -statistics form a very important class of unbiased estimators for distributional properties such as moments or Spearman's rank correlation. A U -statistic of degree k with symmetric kernel h is a function of the form

$$U(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = \binom{n}{k}^{-1} \sum_J h(\xi_{i_1}, \dots, \xi_{i_k}),$$

where the sum stretches over $J = \{(i_1, \dots, i_k) : 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n\}$, ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n are random elements in a measurable space \mathcal{S} and h is a real-valued Borel function on \mathcal{S}^k , symmetric in its k arguments. In his seminal paper, Hoeffding [8] defined U -statistics for not necessarily symmetric kernels and for

*Institute of Stochastics, University of Karlsruhe, Englerstrasse 2, Karlsruhe, 76128 Germany

†Department of Mathematical Statistics and Actuarial Science, University of Bern, Sidlerstrasse 54, CH-3012 Bern, Switzerland. Supported by Swiss National Foundation Grant No. 200021-103579

random points in d -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^d . Later the concept was extended to arbitrary measurable spaces. Since 1948, most of the classical asymptotic results for sums of i.i.d. random variables have been formulated in the setting of U -statistics, such as central limit laws, strong laws of large numbers, Berry-Esséen type bounds and laws of the iterated logarithm.

The purpose of this article is to investigate the extreme value analogue of U -statistics, i.e.

$$H_n = \max_J h(\xi_{i_1}, \dots, \xi_{i_k}).$$

A typical example of such U -max-statistic is the diameter of a sample of points in a metric space, obtained by using the metric as kernel. Grove and Markvorsen [6] introduced an infinite sequence of metric invariants generalizing the notion of diameter to “triometer”, “quadrometer”, etc. on compact metric spaces. Their k -extent is the maximal average distance between k points, which is an example for a U -max-statistic of arbitrary degree k . Other examples are the largest surface area or perimeter of a triangle formed by point triplets, or the largest scalar product within a sample of points in \mathbb{R}^d .

The key to our results is the observation that for all $z \in \mathbb{R}$, the U -max-statistic H_n does not exceed z if and only if U_z vanishes, where

$$U_z = \sum_J \mathbf{1}\{h(\xi_{i_1}, \dots, \xi_{i_k}) > z\}.$$

The random variable U_z counts the number of exceedances of the threshold z and is a normalized U -statistic in the usual sense. We approximate its distribution with the help of a Poisson approximation result for the sum of dissociated random indicator kernel functions by Barbour et al. [3], which enables us to determine the distribution of H_n up to some known error. In order to deduce the corresponding limit law for H_n , the behavior of the upper tail of the distribution of h must be known, which often requires complicated geometric computations. Denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the Euclidean norm. The general results are used to derive limit theorems for the following settings: largest interpoint distance and scalar product of a sample of points in the d -dimensional closed unit ball $\mathbb{B}^d = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d: \|x\| \leq 1\}$, where the directions of the points have a density on the surface \mathbb{S}^{d-1} of \mathbb{B}^d and are independent of the norms; smallest spherical distance of a sample of points with density on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} ; largest perimeter of all triangles formed by point triplets in a sample of uniformly distributed points on the unit circle \mathbb{S} .

2 Poisson approximation for U -max-statistics

The following result is easily derived from Theorem 2.N for dissociated indicator random variables from Barbour et al. [3]. We use the convention that improper sums for $k = 1$ equal zero.

Theorem 2.1. *Let ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n be i.i.d. \mathcal{S} -valued random elements and $h: \mathcal{S}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a symmetric Borel function. Putting*

$$\begin{aligned} p_{n,z} &= \mathbf{P} \{h(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) > z\}, \\ \lambda_{n,z} &= \binom{n}{k} p_{n,z}, \\ \tau_{n,z}(r) &= p_{n,z}^{-1} \mathbf{P} \{h(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) > z, h(\xi_{1+k-r}, \xi_{2+k-r}, \dots, \xi_{2k-r}) > z\}, \end{aligned}$$

we have, for any $n \geq k$ and any $z \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &|\mathbf{P} \{H_n \leq z\} - \exp\{-\lambda_{n,z}\}| && (2.1) \\ &\leq (1 - \exp\{-\lambda_{n,z}\}) \left\{ p_{n,z} \left[\binom{n}{k} - \binom{n-k}{k} \right] + \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \binom{k}{r} \binom{n-k}{k-r} \tau_{n,z}(r) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly the result can be reformulated as well for the minimum value of the kernel by replacing h with $-h$. One of the main applications of this theorem consists in determining a suitable sequence of transformations $z_n: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $T \subset \mathbb{R}$, such that both the right hand side of (2.1) converges to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $z = z_n(t)$, $t \in T$, and the limits of $\exp\{-\lambda_{n,z_n(t)}\}$ are non-trivial for all $t \in T$. The usual choice is $T = [0, \infty)$. One way to achieve this goal is based on the following two remarks and will eventually lead to the well known Poisson limit theorem of Silverman and Brown [12], originally proved by a suitable coupling.

Remark 1. As already Silverman and Brown [12] stated,

$$p_{n,z} \leq \tau_{n,z}(1) \leq \dots \leq \tau_{n,z}(k) = 1.$$

Remark 2. If the sample size n tends to infinity, then the error (2.1) is asymptotically

$$\mathcal{O}(p_{n,z} n^{k-1} + \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \tau_{n,z}(r) n^{k-r})$$

and for $k > 1$ the sum is dominating, see [3, p. 35].

Remark 3. The symmetry condition on h can be avoided if h is symmetrized by

$$h^*(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \max_{j_1, \dots, j_k} h(x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_k}),$$

where the maximum is taken over all permutations of $1, \dots, k$.

The conditions stated in [12] suffice to ensure that Theorem 2.1 provides a non-trivial Weibull limit law.

Corollary 2.2 (Silverman-Brown limit law [12]). *In the setting of Theorem 2.1, if for some sequence of transformations $z_n: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $T \subset \mathbb{R}$, the conditions*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n, z_n(t)} = \lambda_t > 0 \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{2k-1} p_{n, z_n(t)} \tau_{n, z_n(t)}(k-1) = 0 \quad (2.3)$$

hold for all $t \in T$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P} \{H_n \leq z_n(t)\} = \exp\{-\lambda_t\} \quad (2.4)$$

for all $t \in T$.

Remark 4. Condition (2.2) implies $p_{n, z_n(t)} = \mathcal{O}(n^{-k})$ and by Remarks 1 and 2 we obtain for (2.4) the rate of convergence

$$\mathcal{O}\left(n^{-1} + \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} n^{2k-r} p_{n, z_n(t)} \tau_{n, z_n(t)}(r)\right)$$

with upper bound

$$\mathcal{O}(n^{2k-1} p_{n, z_n(t)} \tau_{n, z_n(t)}(k-1)). \quad (2.5)$$

If $k > 2$, it is sometimes useful to replace (2.3) by the weaker requirement

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{2k-r} p_{n, z_n(t)} \tau_{n, z_n(t)}(r) = 0 \quad (2.6)$$

for each $r \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$, a fact that follows immediately from Theorem 2.1 and Remark 2.

Appel and Russo [2] obtained a Weibull limit law similar to Corollary 2.2 for bivariate h . They assume that the upper tail of the distribution of $h(\xi_1, x)$ does not depend on x for almost all $x \in \mathcal{S}$, which implies that (2.2) and (2.3) hold. However, this condition is fulfilled only in very rare settings, e.g. for uniformly distributed points on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} .

3 Largest interpoint distance

The asymptotic behavior of the range of a univariate sample can be determined by classical extreme value theory, see e.g. [5, Sec. 2.9]. The largest interpoint distance

$$H_n = \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \|\xi_i - \xi_j\|$$

within a sample of points in \mathbb{R}^d is a natural and consistent generalization of the range to spatial data. Matthews and Rukhin [10] derived its limiting behavior for a normal sample, a work which has been generalized by Henze and Klein [7] to a sample of points with symmetric Kotz distribution. Appel et al. [1] found corresponding limit laws in the setting of uniformly distributed points in 2-dimensional compact sets, which are not too smooth near the endpoints of their largest axes. They also provided bounds for the limit law of the diameter of uniformly distributed points in ellipses and the unit disk. The exact limit distribution for the disk and in more general settings was found independently by Lao [9] and Mayer and Molchanov [11]. Lao [9] used Theorem A of [12] to obtain the exact limit law for the diameter of a uniform sample in \mathbb{B}^d . The results in [11] rely on a combination of geometric considerations and blocking techniques and yield e.g. the special case of Theorem 3.1 for spherically symmetric distributions.

In what follows, we denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the scalar product, by μ_{d-1} the $(d-1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure and by Γ and B the complete Gamma and Beta functions.

Theorem 3.1. *Let ξ_1, ξ_2, \dots be i.i.d. points in \mathbb{B}^d , $d \geq 2$, such that $\xi_i = \|\xi_i\|U_i$, $i \geq 1$, where U_i and $\|\xi_i\|$ are independent and $U_i \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Assume that the distribution function F of $1 - \|\xi_1\|$ satisfies*

$$\lim_{s \downarrow 0} s^{-\alpha} F(s) = a \in (0, \infty)$$

for some $\alpha \geq 0$. Further assume that U_1 has a density f with respect to μ_{d-1} and that $\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} f(x)f(-x)\mu_{d-1}(dx) \in (0, \infty)$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P} \left\{ n^{2/\gamma} (2 - H_n) \leq t \right\} = 1 - \exp \left\{ -\frac{\sigma_1}{2} t^\gamma \right\}$$

for $t > 0$, where

$$\gamma = (d-1)/2 + 2\alpha$$

and

$$\sigma_1 = \frac{(4\pi)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} a^2 \Gamma^2(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2} + 2\alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} f(x)f(-x)\mu_{d-1}(dx).$$

The rate of convergence for $t < \infty$ is $\mathcal{O}(n^{-\frac{d-1}{d-1+4\alpha}})$.

Remark 5. Spherically symmetric distributed points have independent and uniformly distributed directions and hence [11, Th. 4.2] follows immediately from Theorem 3.1 with

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} f(x)f(-x)\mu_{d-1}(dx) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})}{2\pi^{d/2}}.$$

The special case $\alpha = 1$ and $a = d$ yields the limit law for the diameter of a sample of uniformly distributed points in \mathbb{B}^d , see [9] or [11].

Remark 6. If $\|\xi_i\| = 1$ almost surely, then $\alpha = 0$ and $a = 1$. For instance, if U_i are uniformly distributed on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} , then for $t > 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P} \left\{ n^{4/(d-1)}(2 - H_n) \leq t \right\} = 1 - \exp \left\{ -\frac{2^{d-3}\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})} t^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \right\},$$

see [2] or [11]. Another example appears if U_i has the von Mises-Fisher distribution of dimension $d \geq 2$ with density

$$f_F(x) = C_d(\kappa) \exp \{ \kappa \langle \mu, x \rangle \}$$

for $x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, where $\mu \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ represents the mean direction and $\kappa > 0$ is the concentration parameter. The normalizing constant $C_d(\kappa)$ is given by

$$C_d(\kappa) = \frac{\kappa^{d/2-1}}{(2\pi)^{d/2} I_{d/2-1}(\kappa)},$$

where I_ν denotes the modified Bessel function of the first kind of order ν . With

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} f_F(x)f_F(-x)\mu_{d-1}(dx) = C_d^2(\kappa) \frac{2\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})}$$

the corresponding limit law follows immediately.

A key part of the proof of Theorem 3.1 is the asymptotic tail behavior of the distribution of the distance between two i.i.d. points.

Lemma 3.2. *If the conditions of Theorem 3.1 hold, then*

$$\lim_{s \downarrow 0} s^{-\gamma} \mathbf{P} \{ \|\xi_1 - \xi_2\| \geq 2 - s \} = \sigma_1.$$

Proof. Let η_1 and η_2 be independent random variables with distribution F and denote by β_x the smaller central angle between U_2 and $x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. The cosine theorem yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P} \{ \|\xi_1 - \xi_2\| \geq 2 - s \} &= \mathbf{P} \{ \|\xi_1\|^2 + \|\xi_2\|^2 + 2\|\xi_1\|\|\xi_2\| \cos \beta_{-U_1} \geq (2 - s)^2 \} \\ &= \mathbf{P} \left\{ \cos \beta_{-U_1} \geq \frac{(2 - s)^2 - (1 - \eta_1)^2 - (1 - \eta_2)^2}{2(1 - \eta_1)(1 - \eta_2)} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and by expansion of $\cos \beta_{-U_1}$ about 0 we obtain for sufficiently small s

$$\mathbf{P} \{ \|\xi_1 - \xi_2\| \geq 2 - s \} = \mathbf{P} \left\{ |\beta_{-U_1}| \leq 2(\tilde{s} - \eta_1 - \eta_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \eta_1 + \eta_2 \leq \tilde{s} \right\}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $|\tilde{s} - s| \leq C_1 s^2$ for some finite C_1 , thus $\tilde{s}/s \rightarrow 1$ as $s \downarrow 0$. Lebesgue's differentiation theorem (see e.g. [4, Th. 2.9.5]) implies that

$$\lim_{s \downarrow 0} \frac{\mathbf{P} \left\{ |\beta_{-x}| \leq 2(\tilde{s} - y)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}}{(4(\tilde{s} - y))^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} = \mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{B}^{d-1})f(-x) = \frac{\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})}f(-x) \quad (3.2)$$

for μ_{d-1} -almost every $x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and any $y \in [0, \tilde{s}]$. Integration over all $x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ with respect to f yields

$$\lim_{s \downarrow 0} (\tilde{s} - y)^{-\frac{d-1}{2}} \mathbf{P} \left\{ |\beta_{-U_1}| \leq 2(\tilde{s} - y)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} = c,$$

where

$$c = \frac{(4\pi)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} f(x)f(-x)\mu_{d-1}(dx),$$

and hence with (3.1)

$$\lim_{s \downarrow 0} \frac{\mathbf{P} \{ \|\xi_1 - \xi_2\| \geq 2 - s \}}{\mathbf{E} \left((\tilde{s} - \eta_1 - \eta_2)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\eta_1 + \eta_2 \leq \tilde{s}\}} \right)} = c.$$

If $\alpha = 0$, then $\mathbf{P} \{ \eta_i = 0 \} = a$, $i = 1, 2$, and thus

$$\lim_{s \downarrow 0} \tilde{s}^{-\gamma} \mathbf{P} \{ \|\xi_1 - \xi_2\| \geq 2 - s \} = ca^2 = \sigma_1.$$

If $\alpha > 0$,

$$\lim_{s \downarrow 0} \frac{\mathbf{P} \{ \|\xi_1 - \xi_2\| \geq 2 - s \}}{\int_0^{\tilde{s}} \int_0^{\tilde{s}-y_1} (\tilde{s} - y_1 - y_2)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} dF(y_2)dF(y_1)} = c$$

and substituting $v_i = y_i/\tilde{s}$, $i = 1, 2$, yields

$$\lim_{s \downarrow 0} \frac{\mathbf{P} \{ \|\xi_1 - \xi_2\| \geq 2 - s \}}{\tilde{s}^\gamma} = ca^2 \alpha^2 \int_0^1 \int_0^{1-v_1} (1 - v_1 - v_2)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} (v_1 v_2)^{\alpha-1} dv_2 dv_1.$$

By Dirichlet's Formula, the double integral equals

$$\frac{\Gamma^2(\alpha)\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2} + 2\alpha)}$$

and the proof is complete. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Plugging the transformation $z_n(t) = 2 - tn^{-2/\gamma}$, $t > 0$ into Corollary 2.2 and using the tail probabilities given in Lemma 3.2, we find

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \binom{n}{2} \mathbf{P} \{ \|\xi_1 - \xi_2\| > z_n(t) \} = \frac{\sigma_1}{2} t^\gamma, \quad t > 0.$$

Hence condition (2.2) holds for all $t > 0$. The more extensive part of the proof aims to show that (2.3) holds. Let β_x and β'_x be the smaller central angles between U_2 and $x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and between U_3 and $x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Further let η_1, η_2 and η_3 be independent random variables with distribution F . Put $s_n = tn^{-2/\gamma}$. Following the proof of Lemma 3.2

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P} \{ \|\xi_1 - \xi_2\| > z_n(t), \|\xi_1 - \xi_3\| > z_n(t) \} \\ & \leq \mathbf{P} \left\{ |\beta_{-U_1}| \leq 2s_n^{\frac{1}{2}}, |\beta'_{-U_1}| \leq 2s_n^{\frac{1}{2}}, \eta_i \leq s_n, i = 1, 2, 3 \right\} \\ & = \mathbf{E} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \mathbf{P} \{ |\beta_{-x}| \leq 2s_n^{\frac{1}{2}} \}^2 f(x) \mu_{d-1}(dx) \mathbf{1} \{ \eta_i \leq s_n, i = 1, 2, 3 \} \right) \\ & \leq C \mathbf{E} (s_n^{d-1} \mathbf{1} \{ \eta_i \leq s_n, i = 1, 2, 3 \}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where the last step follows from (3.2) and C is a suitable finite positive constant. If $\alpha = 0$, then $\mathbf{P} \{ \eta_i = 0 \} = a$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^3 \mathbf{P} \{ \|\xi_1 - \xi_2\| > z_n(t), \|\xi_1 - \xi_3\| > z_n(t) \} \\ & \leq Ca^3 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^3 s_n^{d-1} = Ca^3 t^{d-1} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $\alpha > 0$, we derive from (3.3) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbf{P} \{ \|\xi_1 - \xi_2\| > z_n(t), \|\xi_1 - \xi_3\| > z_n(t) \}}{C \int_0^{s_n} \int_0^{s_n} \int_0^{s_n} s_n^{d-1} dF(y_3) dF(y_2) dF(y_1)} \leq 1$$

and substituting $v_i = y_i/s_n$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^3 \mathbf{P} \{ \|\xi_1 - \xi_2\| > z_n(t), \|\xi_1 - \xi_3\| > z_n(t) \} \\ & \leq Ca^3 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n^{d-1+3\alpha} = Ca^3 t^{d-1+3\alpha} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-\frac{d-1+4\alpha}{d-1+4\alpha}} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The rate of convergence is determined via (2.5). \square

4 Largest scalar product

Besides the Euclidean metric, the scalar product is another symmetric kernel on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$. The behavior of its largest value

$$H_n = \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \langle \xi_i, \xi_j \rangle$$

within a sample of points in \mathbb{B}^d is determined in the next result.

Theorem 4.1. *Let ξ_1, ξ_2, \dots be i.i.d. points in \mathbb{B}^d , $d \geq 2$, such that $\xi_i = \|\xi_i\|U_i$, $i \geq 1$, where U_i and $\|\xi_i\|$ are independent and $U_i \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Assume that the distribution function F of $1 - \|\xi_1\|$ satisfies*

$$\lim_{s \downarrow 0} s^{-\alpha} F(s) = a \in (0, \infty)$$

for some $\alpha \geq 0$. Further assume that U_1 has a square-integrable density f on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} with respect to μ_{d-1} . Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P} \left\{ n^{2/\gamma} (1 - H_n) \leq t \right\} = 1 - \exp \left\{ -\frac{\sigma_2}{2} t^\gamma \right\}$$

for $t > 0$, where

$$\gamma = (d - 1)/2 + 2\alpha$$

and

$$\sigma_2 = \frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} a^2 \Gamma^2(\alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2} + 2\alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} f^2(x) \mu_{d-1}(dx).$$

The rate of convergence for $t < \infty$ is $\mathcal{O}(n^{-\frac{d-1}{d-1+4\alpha}})$.

Lemma 4.2. *If the conditions of Theorem 4.1 hold, then*

$$\lim_{s \downarrow 0} s^{-\gamma} \mathbf{P} \left\{ \langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle \geq 1 - s \right\} = \sigma_2.$$

Proof. If β_x is the smaller central angle between U_2 and $x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and η is distributed as $1 - \|\xi_1\| \|\xi_2\|$, then

$$\mathbf{P} \left\{ \langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle \geq 1 - s \right\} = \mathbf{P} \left\{ \cos \beta_{U_1} \geq (1 - s)/(1 - \eta), \eta \leq s \right\}.$$

Expanding $\cos \beta_{U_1}$ about 0 yields for all sufficiently small s

$$\mathbf{P} \left\{ \langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle \geq 1 - s \right\} = \mathbf{P} \left\{ |\beta_{U_1}| \leq (2(\tilde{s} - \eta))^{\frac{1}{2}}, \eta \leq \tilde{s} \right\}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $|\tilde{s} - s| \leq C_1 s^2$ for some finite C_1 , and thus $\tilde{s}/s \rightarrow 1$ as $s \downarrow 0$. Lebesgue's differentiation theorem (see e.g. [4, Th. 2.9.5]) implies that

$$\lim_{s \downarrow 0} \frac{\mathbf{P} \left\{ |\beta_x| \leq (2(\tilde{s} - y))^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}}{(2(\tilde{s} - y))^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} = \mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{B}^{d-1})f(x) = \frac{\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})}f(x). \quad (4.2)$$

for μ_{d-1} -almost every $x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and any $y \in [0, \tilde{s}]$. Integration over all $x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ with respect to f yields

$$\lim_{s \downarrow 0} (\tilde{s} - y)^{-\frac{d-1}{2}} \mathbf{P} \left\{ |\beta_{U_1}| \leq (2(\tilde{s} - y))^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} = c$$

with

$$c = \frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} f^2(x) \mu_{d-1}(dx),$$

and by (4.1) we obtain

$$\lim_{s \downarrow 0} \mathbf{P} \{ \langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle \geq 1 - s \} / \mathbf{E} \left((\tilde{s} - \eta)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \mathbf{1} \{ \eta \leq \tilde{s} \} \right) = c.$$

If $\alpha = 0$, then $\mathbf{P} \{ \eta = 0 \} = a^2$ and hence

$$\lim_{s \downarrow 0} s^{-\gamma} \mathbf{P} \{ \langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle \geq 1 - s \} = ca^2 = \sigma_2.$$

If $\alpha > 0$, then $\mathbf{P} \{ \langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle \geq 1 - s \}$ equals asymptotically, as $s \downarrow 0$, to

$$c \int_{1-\tilde{s}}^1 \int_{(1-\tilde{s})/y_1}^1 (\tilde{s} - 1 + y_1 y_2)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} dF(1 - y_2) dF(1 - y_1).$$

By substituting $v_1 = (1 - y_1)/\tilde{s}$ and $v_2 = (1 - y_2)/(1 - (1 - \tilde{s})/y_1)$ the last expression equals asymptotically, as $s \downarrow 0$, to

$$ca^2 \alpha^2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \tilde{s}^{2\alpha} \left(\frac{1 - v_1}{1 - \tilde{s}v_1} \right)^\alpha \left(\tilde{s} - 1 + (1 - \tilde{s}v_1) \left(1 - \tilde{s}v_2 \frac{1 - v_1}{1 - \tilde{s}v_1} \right) \right)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} v_1^{\alpha-1} v_2^{\alpha-1} dv_2 dv_1.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{s \downarrow 0} s^{-\gamma} \mathbf{P} \{ \langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle \geq 1 - s \} \\ &= ca^2 \alpha^2 \int_0^1 (1 - v_1)^{\frac{d-1}{2} + \alpha} v_1^{\alpha-1} dv_1 \int_0^1 (1 - v_2)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} v_2^{\alpha-1} dv_2 \\ &= ca^2 \alpha^2 \mathbf{B}((d+1)/2 + \alpha, \alpha) \mathbf{B}((d+1)/2, \alpha) = \sigma_2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 4.1. An application of Corollary 2.2 yields, together with the transformation $z_n = 1 - tn^{-2/\gamma}$, $t > 0$, and Lemma 4.2 the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \binom{n}{2} \mathbf{P} \{ \langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle \geq z_n(t) \} = \frac{\sigma_2}{2} t^\gamma$$

hence (2.2) holds for any $t > 0$ and it remains to check (2.3). Put $s_n = tn^{-2/\gamma}$ and let β_x and β'_x be the smaller central angles between U_2 and $x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and between U_3 and x . Following the proof of Lemma 4.2

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P} \{ \langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle \geq z_n(t), \langle \xi_1, \xi_3 \rangle \geq z_n(t) \} \\ & \leq \mathbf{P} \left\{ |\beta_{U_1}| \leq (2s_n)^{\frac{1}{2}}, |\beta'_{U_1}| \leq (2s_n)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \|\xi_i\| \geq z_n(t), i = 1, 2, 3 \right\} \\ & = \mathbf{E} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \mathbf{P} \left\{ |\beta_x| \leq (2s_n)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}^2 f(x) \mu_{d-1}(dx) \mathbf{1} \{ \|\xi_i\| \geq z_n(t), i = 1, 2, 3 \} \right) \\ & \leq C \mathbf{E} (s_n^{d-1} \mathbf{1} \{ \|\xi_i\| \geq z_n(t), i = 1, 2, 3 \}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

where the last step follows from (4.2) and C is a suitable finite positive constant. If $\alpha = 0$, then $\mathbf{P} \{ \|\xi_i\| = 1 \} = \alpha$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & n^3 \mathbf{P} \{ \langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle \geq z_n(t), \langle \xi_1, \xi_3 \rangle \geq z_n(t) \} \\ & \leq C a^3 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^3 s_n^{d-1} = C a^3 t^{d-1} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $\alpha > 0$, then (4.3) is bounded from above by

$$C \int_0^{s_n} \int_0^{s_n} \int_0^{s_n} s_n^{d-1} dF(y_3) dF(y_2) dF(y_1)$$

and substituting $v_i = y_i/s_n$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, yields finally

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^3 \mathbf{P} \{ \langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle \geq z_n(t), \langle \xi_1, \xi_3 \rangle \geq z_n(t) \} \\ & \leq C a^3 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n^{d-1+3\alpha} = C a^3 t^{d-1+3\alpha} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\frac{d-1}{d-1+4\alpha}} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and the rate of convergence is determined via (2.5). \square

5 Smallest spherical distance

A nice application of Theorem 4.1 comes from the field of directional statistics. The following theorem determines the limiting behavior of the smallest spherical distance

$$S_n = \min_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \beta_{i,j}$$

within i.i.d. points U_1, U_2, \dots on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} , where $\beta_{i,j}$ denotes the smaller of the two central angles between U_i and U_j . In other words, S_n equals the smallest central angle formed by point pairs within the sample.

Theorem 5.1. *Let U_1, U_2, \dots be i.i.d. points on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} , $d \geq 2$, having square-integrable density f on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} with respect to μ_{d-1} . Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P} \left\{ n^{2/(d-1)} S_n \leq t \right\} = 1 - \exp \left\{ -\frac{\sigma_3}{2} t^{d-1} \right\}$$

for any $t > 0$, where

$$\sigma_3 = \frac{\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} f^2(x) \mu_{d-1}(dx)$$

The rate of convergence is $\mathcal{O}(n^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ for finite t .

If the points are uniformly distributed on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} , then Theorem 5.1 applies with

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} f^2(x) \mu_{d-1}(dx) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})}{2\pi^{d/2}}.$$

If the points on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} follow the von Mises-Fisher distribution as introduced in Section 3, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} f_F^2(x) \mu_{d-1}(dx) = C_d^2(\kappa) / C_d(2\kappa).$$

In dimension 2, S_n equals the minimal spacing, i.e. the smallest arc length between the ‘‘order’’ statistics.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Clearly, the relation $\cos \beta_{i,j} = \langle U_i, U_j \rangle$ holds for all pairs of i and j between 1 and n . Since the cosine function is continuous and monotone strictly decreasing on $(0, \pi)$ and by the fact that

$$\lim_{s \downarrow 0} s^{-\frac{1}{2}} \arccos(1 - s) = \sqrt{2},$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P} \left\{ n^{2/(d-1)} S_n \leq t \right\} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P} \left\{ \min_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \beta_{i,j} \leq t n^{-2/(d-1)} \right\} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P} \left\{ \min_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \beta_{i,j} \leq \arccos \left(1 - t^2 n^{-4/(d-1)} / 2 \right) \right\} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P} \left\{ \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \langle U_i, U_j \rangle \geq 1 - t^2 n^{-4/(d-1)} / 2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.1 yields the proof with $\alpha = 0$ and $a = 1$. □

6 Largest perimeter

Finally we present a result for a U -max-statistic of degree 3, namely the limit law for the largest value

$$\max_{1 \leq i < j < \ell \leq n} \text{peri}(U_i, U_j, U_\ell)$$

of the perimeter $\text{peri}(U_i, U_j, U_\ell)$ of all triangles formed by triplets of independent and uniformly distributed points U_1, U_2, \dots on the unit circle \mathbb{S} . The random triameter (see [6]) of the sample is the largest perimeter up to a factor 3, hence the limit law for the triameter of U_1, U_2, \dots can be derived immediately.

Theorem 6.1. *If U_1, U_2, \dots are independent and uniformly distributed points on \mathbb{S} , then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P} \left\{ n^3(3\sqrt{3} - H_n) \leq t \right\} = 1 - \exp \left\{ -\frac{2t}{9\pi} \right\}$$

for all $t > 0$ and for finite t the rate of convergence is $\mathcal{O}(n^{-\frac{1}{2}})$.

Lemma 6.2. *If U_1, U_2, U_3 are independent and uniformly distributed points on \mathbb{S} , then*

$$\lim_{s \downarrow 0} s^{-1} \mathbf{P} \left\{ \text{peri}(U_1, U_2, U_3) \geq 3\sqrt{3} - s \right\} = \frac{4}{3\pi}.$$

Proof. Clearly, $\text{peri}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ is maximal for x_1, x_2, x_3 being the vertices of an equilateral triangle on \mathbb{S} , which has perimeter $3\sqrt{3}$. If β_1 and β_2 are the angles (measured counter-clockwise) between U_1 and U_2 and between U_2 and U_3 respectively. By rotational symmetry, β_1 and β_2 are independent and uniformly distributed on $[0, 2\pi]$. The cosine theorem yields for sufficiently small s

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P} \left\{ \text{peri}(U_1, U_2, U_3) \geq 3\sqrt{3} - s \right\} &= 2\mathbf{P} \left\{ (2 - 2\cos\beta_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (2 - 2\cos\beta_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (2 - 2\cos(2\pi - \beta_1 - \beta_2))^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq 3\sqrt{3} - s, \beta_1, \beta_2 \in [2\pi/3 \pm c_s] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

where $c_s = C_1\sqrt{s}$ and C_1 is a suitable finite positive constant. If η_1 and η_2 are independent and uniformly distributed on $[-c_s, c_s]$, then the last expression equals

$$\begin{aligned} &2\mathbf{P} \left\{ (2 - 2\cos(2\pi/3 + \eta_1))^{\frac{1}{2}} + (2 - 2\cos(2\pi/3 + \eta_2))^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (2 - 2\cos(2\pi/3 - \eta_1 - \eta_2))^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq 3\sqrt{3} - s \right\} \mathbf{P} \left\{ \beta_1 \in [2\pi/3 \pm c_s] \right\}^2. \end{aligned}$$

By series expansion, (6.1) equals

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2(c_s/\pi)^2 \mathbf{P} \left\{ \eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2 + (\eta_1 + \eta_2)^2 \leq 8\tilde{s}/\sqrt{3} \right\} \\
&= 2(c_s/\pi)^2 \mathbf{P} \left\{ \eta_2 \in \left[-\eta_1/2 \pm (4\tilde{s}/\sqrt{3} - 3\eta_1^2/4)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \right\} \\
&= \pi^{-2} \int_{-4\sqrt{\tilde{s}}/3^{3/4}}^{4\sqrt{\tilde{s}}/3^{3/4}} (4\tilde{s}/\sqrt{3} - 3y^2/4)^{\frac{1}{2}} dy = \frac{4\tilde{s}}{3\pi},
\end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

where $|\tilde{s} - s| \leq C_2 s^{3/2}$ for some finite C_2 , and the proof follows by the fact that $\tilde{s}/s \rightarrow 1$ as $s \downarrow 0$. \square

Proof of Theorem 6.1. Plugging into Corollary 2.2 the transformation $z_n(t) = 3\sqrt{3} - tn^{-3}$ together with the result of Lemma 6.2 yields

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \binom{n}{3} \mathbf{P} \{ \text{peri}(U_1, U_2, U_3) > z_n(t) \} = \frac{2t}{9\pi},$$

hence (2.2) is satisfied for all $t > 0$. Condition (2.3) does not hold, so we use the weaker requirement (2.6) to replace (2.3), i.e. we need to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^5 \mathbf{P} \{ \text{peri}(U_1, U_2, U_3) > z_n(t), \text{peri}(U_1, U_4, U_5) > z_n(t) \} = 0 \tag{6.3}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^4 \mathbf{P} \{ \text{peri}(U_1, U_2, U_3) > z_n(t), \text{peri}(U_1, U_2, U_4) > z_n(t) \} = 0. \tag{6.4}$$

For (6.3), we follow the proof of Lemma 6.2. In addition, denote by β'_1 and β'_2 the random angles between U_1 and U_4 and between U_4 and U_5 respectively. It follows immediately by rotational symmetry, that $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta'_1$ and β'_2 are independent and uniformly distributed on $[0, 2\pi]$. With the help of Lemma 6.2 we check (6.3) by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^5 \mathbf{P} \{ \text{peri}(U_1, U_2, U_3) > z_n(t), \text{peri}(U_1, U_2, U_4) > z_n(t) \} \\
& \leq C_1 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^5 \mathbf{P} \{ \text{peri}(U_1, U_2, U_3) > z_n(t) \}^2 = C_2 t^2 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where C_1 and C_2 are suitable finite positive constants. To show (6.4) we follow the proof of Lemma 6.2 and introduce the random variable η_3 , which is independent of η_1 and η_2 and uniformly distributed on $[-c_s, c_s]$. For suitable finite positive constants C_3, C_4 and C_5

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{P} \{ \text{peri}(U_1, U_2, U_3) > z_n(t), \text{peri}(U_1, U_2, U_4) > z_n(t) \} \\
& \leq C_3 c_s^3 \mathbf{P} \left\{ \eta_2, \eta_3 \in \left[-\eta_1/2 \pm (4\tilde{s}/\sqrt{3} - 3\eta_1^2/4)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \right\} \\
& = C_4 c_s^2 \int_{-4\sqrt{\tilde{s}}/3^{3/4}}^{4\sqrt{\tilde{s}}/3^{3/4}} \mathbf{P} \left\{ \eta_2 \in \left[-y/2 \pm (4\tilde{s}/\sqrt{3} - 3y^2/4)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \right\}^2 dy = C_5 \tilde{s}^{3/2},
\end{aligned}$$

and with $s = tn^{-3}$ and $s/\tilde{s} \rightarrow 1$ as $s \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^4 \mathbf{P} \{ \text{peri}(U_1, U_2, U_3) > z_n(t), \text{peri}(U_1, U_2, U_4) > z_n(t) \} \\ & \leq C_5 t^{3/2} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-\frac{1}{2}} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (6.4) holds and the rate of convergence is determined by Remark 2. \square

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Prof. Dr. N. Henze and Prof. Dr. I. Molchanov for their invaluable help concerning this and many other problems.

References

- [1] M. J. B. Appel, C. A. Najim, and R. P. Russo. Limit laws for the diameter of a random point set. *Adv. Appl. Probab.*, 34:1–10, 2002.
- [2] M. J. B. Appel and R. P. Russo. Limiting distributions for the maximum of a symmetric function on a random point set. *J. Theor. Probab.*, 19:365–375, 2006.
- [3] A. D. Barbour, L. Holst, and S. Janson. *Poisson Approximation*. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1992.
- [4] H. Federer. *Geometric Measure Theory*. Springer, Berlin, 1969.
- [5] J. Galambos. *The Asymptotic Theory of Extreme Order Statistics*. Wiley, New York, 1978.
- [6] K. Grove and S. Markvorsen. Curvature, triameter, and beyond. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 27:261–265, 1992.
- [7] N. Henze and T. Klein. The limit distribution of the largest interpoint distance from a symmetric Kotz sample. *J. Multiv. Anal.*, 57:228–239, 1996.
- [8] W. Hoeffding. A class of statistics with asymptotically normal distribution. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 19:293–325, 1948.
- [9] W. Lao. The limit law of the maximum distance of points in a sphere in \mathbb{R}^d . Technical report, University of Karlsruhe, Karlsruhe, Germany, 2006.

- [10] P. C. Matthews and A. L. Rukhin. Asymptotic distribution of the normal sample range. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 13:454–466, 1993.
- [11] M. Mayer and I. Molchanov. Limit theorems for the diameter of a random sample in the unit ball. *Extremes*. To appear.
- [12] B. W. Silverman and T. C. Brown. Short distances, flat triangles and poisson limits. *J. Appl. Probab.*, 15:815–825, 1978.