1

OCHA-PP-270, YITP-07-09, VPI-IPNAS-07-02

The effect of Topcolor Assisted Technicolor, and other models, on Neutrino Oscillation

Minako Honda¹, Yee Kao², Naotoshi Okamura³, Alexey Pronin², and Tatsu Takeuchi²^{*}

 1 Physics Department, Ochanomizu Women's University, Tokyo 112-8610, Japan ²Physics Department, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg VA 24061, USA ³Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

New physics beyond the Standard Model can lead to extra matter effects on neutrino oscillation if the new interactions distinguish among the three flavors of neutrino. In Ref. 1, we argued that a long-baseline neutrino oscillation experiment in which the Fermilab-NUMI beam in its high-energy mode² is aimed at the planned Hyper-Kamiokande detector³ would be capable of constraining the size of those extra matter effects, provided the vacuum value of $\sin^2 2\theta_{23}$ is not too close to one. In this talk, we discuss how such a constraint would translate into limits on the coupling constants and masses of new particles in models such as topcolor assisted technicolor.⁴

1. Introduction

When considering matter effects on neutrino oscillation, it is customary to consider only the W-exchange interaction of the ν_e with the electrons in matter. However, if new interactions beyond the Standard Model (SM) that distinguish among the three generations of neutrinos exist, they can lead to extra matter effects via radiative corrections to the $Z\nu\nu$ vertex which effectively violate neutral current universality, or via the direct exchange of new particles between the neutrinos and matter particles.

For instance, topcolor assisted technicolor⁴ treats the third generation differently from the first two and the Z' in this class of models couples more strongly to the ν_{τ} than to the ν_e or ν_{μ} . In Extended Technicolor (ETC) Models, such as that of Appelquist, Piai, and Shrock,⁵ the neutral technimesons, which mix with the Z , couple to different generation fermions differently, distinguishing among ν_e , ν_μ , and ν_τ . The diagonal ETC gauge bosons also couple to the different generations differently, as well as the

[∗]Presenting Author

large variety of leptoquark states in the model. Flavor distinguishing matter effects from diagonal ETC and leptoquarks are induced by ETC gauge boson mixing.

The effective Hamiltonian that governs neutrino oscillations in the presence of neutral-current lepton universality violation, or new physics that couples to the different generations differently, is given by¹

$$
H = \tilde{U} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \end{bmatrix} \tilde{U}^{\dagger} = U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta m_{21}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \delta m_{31}^2 \end{bmatrix} U^{\dagger} + \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} b_e & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b_\mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b_\tau \end{bmatrix},
$$
\n(1)

where U is the MNS matrix,

$$
a = 2EV_{CC}
$$
, $V_{CC} = \sqrt{2}G_F N_e = N_e \frac{g^2}{4M_W^2}$, (2)

is the usual matter effect due to W-exchange between ν_e and the electrons, and b_e , b_μ , b_τ are the extra matter effects which we assume to be non-equal. We define the parameter ξ as

$$
\frac{b_{\tau} - b_{\mu}}{a} = \xi \tag{3}
$$

Then, the effective Hamiltonian can be rewritten as

$$
H = \tilde{U} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \end{bmatrix} \tilde{U}^{\dagger} = U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta m_{21}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \delta m_{31}^2 \end{bmatrix} U^{\dagger} + a \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\xi/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & +\xi/2 \end{bmatrix}, (4)
$$

where we have absorbed the extra b-terms in the $(1, 1)$ element into a.

The extra ξ -dependent contribution in Eq. (4) can manifest itself when $a > |\delta m_{31}^2|$ (*i.e.* $E \gtrsim 10 \,\text{GeV}$ for typical matter densities in the Earth) in the ν_{μ} and $\bar{\nu}_{\mu}$ survival probabilities as¹

$$
P(\nu_{\mu} \to \nu_{\mu}) \approx 1 - \sin^2 \left(2\theta_{23} - \frac{a\xi}{\delta m_{31}^2} \right) \sin^2 \frac{\Delta}{2},
$$

$$
P(\bar{\nu}_{\mu} \to \bar{\nu}_{\mu}) \approx 1 - \sin^2 \left(2\theta_{23} + \frac{a\xi}{\delta m_{31}^2} \right) \sin^2 \frac{\Delta}{2},
$$
 (5)

where

$$
\Delta \approx \Delta_{31} c_{13}^2 - \Delta_{21} c_{12}^2 , \qquad \Delta_{ij} = \frac{\delta m_{ij}^2}{2E} L , \qquad c_{ij} = \cos \theta_{ij} , \qquad (6)
$$

and the CP violating phase δ has been set to zero. As is evident from these expressions, the small shift due to ξ will be invisible if the value of $\sin^2 2\theta_{23}$ is too close to one. However, if the value of $\sin^2 2\theta_{23}$ is as low

2

as $\sin^2 2\theta_{23} = 0.92$ (the current 90% lower bound), and if ξ is as large as $\xi = 0.025$ (the central value of from CHARM/CHARM II⁶), then the shift in the survival probability at the first oscillation dip can be as large as \sim 40%. If the Fermilab-NUMI beam in its high-energy mode² were aimed at a declination angle of 46[°] toward the planned Hyper-Kamiokande detector³ in Kamioka, Japan (baseline 9120 km), such a shift would be visible after just one year of data taking, assuming a Mega-ton fiducial volume and 100% efficiency. The absence of any shift after 5 years of data taking would constrain ξ to¹

$$
|\xi| \le \xi_0 \equiv 0.005 \,, \tag{7}
$$

at the 99% confidence level.

In the following, we look at how this potential limit on ξ would translate into constraints on the Z' in topcolor assisted technicolor, and various types of leptoquarks. A more comprehensive analysis will be presented in Ref. 7.

2. Topcolor Assisted Technicolor

Though there are several different versions of topcolor assisted technicolor,⁴ we consider here the simplest in which the quarks and leptons transform under the gauge group

$$
SU(3)_s \times SU(3)_w \times U(1)_s \times U(1)_w \times SU(2)_L \tag{8}
$$

with coupling constants g_{3s} , g_{3w} , g_{1s} , g_{1w} , and g, respectively. It is assumed that $g_{3s} \gg g_{3w}$ and $g_{1s} \gg g_{1w}$. $SU(2)_L$ is the usual weak-isospin gauge group of the SM. The first and second generation fermions are assumed to be charged only under $SU(3)_w \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_w$, while the third generation fermions are assumed to be charged only under $SU(3)_s \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_s$. The $U(1)$ charges for both cases are set equal to the SM hypercharge. At scale $\Lambda \sim 1$ TeV, technicolor, which is included in the model to generate the W and Z masses, is assumed to become strong and generate a condensate (of something which is left unspecified) which breaks the two $SU(3)$'s and the two $U(1)$'s to their diagonal subgroups:

$$
SU(3)_s \times SU(3)_w \to SU(3)_c , \qquad U(1)_s \times U(1)_w \to U(1)_Y , \qquad (9)
$$

which we identify with the usual SM color and hypercharge groups. The massless unbroken U(1) gauge boson B_{μ} and the massive broken U(1) gauge boson Z^{\prime}_{μ} are related to the original $U(1)_{s} \times U(1)_{w}$ gauge fields $Y_{s\mu}$ and $Y_{w\mu}$ by

$$
Z'_\mu = Y_{s\mu} \cos\theta_1 - Y_{w\mu} \sin\theta_1
$$

4

$$
B_{\mu} = Y_{s\mu} \sin \theta_1 + Y_{w\mu} \cos \theta_1 \tag{10}
$$

where

$$
\tan \theta_1 = \frac{g_{1w}}{g_{1s}} \,. \tag{11}
$$

The currents to which the B_{μ} and Z^{\prime}_{μ} couple to are:

$$
g_{1s}J_{1s}^{\mu}Y_{s\mu} + g_{1w}J_{1w}^{\mu}Y_{w\mu} = g'(\cot\theta_1 J_{1s}^{\mu} - \tan\theta_1 J_{1w}^{\mu}) Z'_{\mu} + g'(J_{1s}^{\mu} + J_{1w}^{\mu}) B_{\mu} ,
$$
\n(12)

where

$$
\frac{1}{g'^2} = \frac{1}{g_{1s}^2} + \frac{1}{g_{1w}^2} \,. \tag{13}
$$

The current $J_Y^{\mu} = J_{1s}^{\mu} + J_{1w}^{\mu}$ is the SM hypercharge current, and g' is the SM hypercharge coupling constant.

The exchange of the Z' leads to the current-current interaction

$$
\frac{g'^2}{2M_{Z'}^2} \left(\cot \theta_1 J_{1s} - \tan \theta_1 J_{1w}\right) \left(\cot \theta_1 J_{1s} - \tan \theta_1 J_{1w}\right) ,\qquad (14)
$$

the $J_{1s}J_{1s}$ part of which does not contribute to neutrino oscillations on the Earth, while the $J_{1w}J_{1w}$ part is suppressed relative to the $J_{1w}J_{1s}$ part by a factor of $\tan^2 \theta_1 \ll 1$. Therefore, we only need to consider the $J_{1s}J_{1w}$ interaction which only affects the propagation of $\nu_\tau.$ The effective potential felt by ν_{τ} due to this interaction is⁷

$$
V_{\nu_{\tau}} = \frac{N_n}{8} \frac{g'^2}{M_{Z'}^2} \approx \frac{N_e}{8} \frac{g'^2}{M_{Z'}^2},\tag{15}
$$

and the effective ξ is

$$
\xi_{TT} = \frac{V_{\nu_{\tau}} - V_{\nu_{\mu}}}{V_{CC}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(g'/M_{Z'})^2}{(g/M_W)^2} = \frac{1}{2} \tan^2 \theta_W \frac{M_W^2}{M_{Z'}^2} = \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \theta_W \frac{M_Z^2}{M_{Z'}^2}.
$$
\n(16)

The limit $|\xi_{TT}| \leq \xi_0 = 0.005$ then translates into:

$$
M_{Z'} \ge M_Z \sqrt{\frac{\sin^2 \theta_W}{2\xi_0}} \approx 440 \,\text{GeV} \,. \tag{17}
$$

Unfortunately, this potential limit from the measurement of ξ is weaker than what is already available from precision electroweak data, 8 and from direct searches for $p\bar{p} \to Z' \to \tau^+\tau^-$ at CDF.^{9,10}

3. Generation Non-diagonal Leptoquarks

The interactions of leptoquarks with ordinary matter can be described in a model-independent fashion by an effective low-energy Lagrangian as discussed in Ref. 11. Assuming the fermionic content of the SM, the most general dimensionless $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ invariant couplings of scalar and vector leptoquarks satisfying baryon and lepton number conservation are given by:

$$
\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{F=2} + \mathcal{L}_{F=0} , \qquad (18)
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{L}_{F=2} = \left[g_{1L}^{ij} (\overline{u_{iL}^{c}} e_{jL} - \overline{d_{iL}^{c}} \nu_{jL}) + g_{1R}^{ij} (\overline{u_{iR}^{c}} e_{jR}) \right] S_{1}^{0}
$$
\n
$$
+ \left[g_{2L}^{ij} (\overline{d_{iR}^{c}} \gamma^{\mu} e_{jL}) + g_{2R}^{ij} (\overline{d_{iL}^{c}} \gamma^{\mu} e_{jR}) \right] V_{2\mu}^{+}
$$
\n
$$
+ \left[g_{2L}^{ij} (\overline{d_{iR}^{c}} \gamma^{\mu} \nu_{jL}) + g_{2R}^{ij} (\overline{u_{iL}^{c}} \gamma^{\mu} e_{jR}) \right] V_{2\mu}^{-}
$$
\n
$$
+ \tilde{g}_{2L}^{ij} \left[(\overline{u_{iR}^{c}} \gamma^{\mu} e_{jL}) \tilde{V}_{2\mu}^{+} + (\overline{u_{iR}^{c}} \gamma^{\mu} \nu_{jL}) \tilde{V}_{2\mu}^{-} \right]
$$
\n
$$
+ g_{3L}^{ij} \left[-\sqrt{2} (\overline{d_{iL}^{c}} e_{jL}) S_{3}^{+} - (\overline{u_{iL}^{c}} e_{jL} + \overline{d_{iL}^{c}} \nu_{jL}) S_{3}^{0} + \sqrt{2} (\overline{u_{iL}^{c}} \nu_{jL}) S_{3}^{-} \right], \qquad (19)
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{L}_{F=0} = \left[h_{2L}^{ij} (\overline{u_{iR}} e_{jL}) + h_{2R}^{ij} (\overline{u_{iL}} e_{jR}) \right] S_{2}^{+}
$$
\n
$$
+ \left[h_{2L}^{ij} (\overline{u_{iR}} \nu_{jL}) - h_{2R}^{ij} (\overline{d_{iL}} e_{jR}) \right] S_{2}^{-}
$$

$$
+ \tilde{h}_{2L}^{ij} \left[(\overline{d_{iR}} e_{jL}) \tilde{S}_{2}^{+} + (\overline{d_{iR}} \nu_{jL}) \tilde{S}_{2}^{-} \right] + \left[h_{1L}^{ij} (\overline{u_{iL}} \gamma^{\mu} \nu_{jL} + \overline{d_{iL}} \gamma^{\mu} e_{jL}) + h_{1R}^{ij} (\overline{d_{iR}} \gamma^{\mu} e_{jR}) \right] V_{1\mu}^{0} + h_{3L}^{ij} \left[\sqrt{2} (\overline{u_{iL}} \gamma^{\mu} e_{jL}) V_{3\mu}^{+} + (\overline{u_{iL}} \gamma^{\mu} \nu_{jL} - \overline{d_{iL}} \gamma^{\mu} e_{jL}) V_{3\mu}^{0} + \sqrt{2} (\overline{d_{iL}} \gamma^{\mu} \nu_{jL}) V_{3\mu}^{-} \right]
$$
(20)

Here, the scalar and vector leptoquark fields are denoted by S and V , their subscripts indicating the dimension of their $SU(2)_L$ representation, and the superscripts indicating the sign of the weak-isospin of each component. We allow for generation non-diagonal couplings with the indices i and j indicating the quark and lepton generation numbers, respectively. The subscript L or R on the coupling constants indicate the chirality of the lepton involved in the interaction. For simplicity, color indices have been suppressed. The leptoquarks S_1 , \vec{S}_3 , V_2 , \tilde{V}_2 carry fermion number $F = 3B + L = -2$, while the leptoquarks $S_2, \tilde{S}_2, V_1, \vec{V}_3$ have $F = 0$. The interactions that affect neutrino oscillation are those with $(ij) = (12)$ or (13) .

5

6

LQ	C_{LQ}	$\delta\lambda_\tau^2$	upper bound from $ \xi \leq \xi_0$
$\overline{S_1}$	$+3$	$ g_{1L}^{13}\>$ $ g_{1L}^{12} $	0.01
\vec{S}_3	$+9$	12	0.003
S_2	$^{-3}$		0.01
\tilde{S}_2	-3	2	0.01
$\overline{V_2}$	$+6$		0.005
\tilde{V}_2	$+6$	9	0.005
$\scriptstyle{V_1}$	-6		0.005
\vec{V}_3	-18	\mathfrak{D} 12	0.002

Table 1. Constraints on the leptoquark couplings with all the leptoquark masses set to 300 GeV. To obtain the bounds for a different leptoquark mass M_{LQ} , simply rescale these numbers with the factor $(M_{LQ}/300 \text{ GeV})^2$.

It is straightforward to calculate the effective potentials due to the exchange of these leptoquarks, as well as the effective values of ξ .⁷ Assuming a common mass for leptoquarks in the same $SU(2)_L$ weak-isospin multiplet, the effective ξ due to the exchange of any particular type of leptoquark can be written in the form

$$
\xi_{LQ} = C_{LQ} \frac{\delta \lambda_{LQ}^2 / M_{LQ}^2}{g^2 / M_W^2} = \frac{C_{LQ}}{4\sqrt{2}G_F} \left(\frac{\delta \lambda_{LQ}^2}{M_{LQ}^2} \right) . \tag{21}
$$

Here, C_{LQ} is a constant prefactor, and $\delta \lambda_{LQ}^2$ represents

$$
\delta \lambda_{LQ}^2 = |\lambda_{LQ}^{12}|^2 - |\lambda_{LQ}^{13}|^2 \,, \tag{22}
$$

where λ_{LQ}^{ij} is a generic coupling constant. The values of C_{LQ} and $\delta \lambda_{LQ}^2$ for the different types of leptoquark are listed in Table 1. The constraint $|\xi_{LQ}| \leq \xi_0$ translates into:

$$
M_{LQ} \ge \sqrt{\frac{|C_{LQ}||\delta\lambda_{LQ}^2|}{4\sqrt{2}G_F\,\xi_0}} \approx \sqrt{|C_{LQ}||\delta\lambda_{LQ}^2|} \times (1700\,\text{GeV})\,. \tag{23}
$$

Alternatively, one can fix the leptoquark mass and obtain upper bounds on the leptoquark couplings:

$$
\left|\delta\lambda_{LQ}^2\right| \le \left(\frac{4\sqrt{2}G_F\,\xi_0}{C_{LQ}}\right)M_{LQ}^2 \approx \frac{0.03}{C_{LQ}}\left(\frac{M_{LQ}}{300\,\text{GeV}}\right)^2. \tag{24}
$$

The values when $M_{LQ} = 300 \,\text{GeV}$ are listed in the rightmost column of Table 1. Thought it is often stated that generation non-diagonal couplings of leptoquarks are strongly constrained by the absence of flavor changing neutral currents, it is only the products of the $(ij) = (12)$ and (13) couplings with other couplings that are constrained.¹² The limits on the

individual couplings can be improved considerably. The current leptoquark mass bounds from direct searches at the Tevatron, LEP, and HERA are in the 200∼300 GeV range assuming generation diagonal couplings set equal to $\sqrt{4\pi\alpha}$. At the LHC, leptoquarks, if they exist, can be expected to be pair-produced copiously through gluon-gluon fusion. The expected sensitivity is up to about 1.5 TeV.¹³ Depending on the value assumed for $\delta \lambda_{LQ}^2$, the bound from Eq. (23) can be competitive.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Drs. Andrew Akeroyd, Mayumi Aoki, Masafumi Kurachi, Robert Shrock, and Hiroaki Sugiyama for helpful discussions. This research was supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy, grant DE–FG05–92ER40709, Task A (Kao, Pronin, and Takeuchi).

References

- 1. M. Honda, N. Okamura, and T. Takeuchi, arXiv:hep-ph/0603268.
- 2. NUMI Technical Design Handbook,
- available at http://www-numi.fnal.gov/numwork/tdh/tdh index.html 3. Y. Itow et al., arXiv:hep-ex/0106019;
- updated version available at http://neutrino.kek.jp/jhfnu/.
- 4. C. T. Hill, Phys. Lett. B 345, 483 (1995); G. Buchalla, G. Burdman, C. T. Hill, and D. Kominis, Phys. Rev. D 53, 5185 (1996).
- 5. T. Appelquist, M. Piai and R. Shrock, Phys. Rev. D 69, 015002 (2004).
- 6. J. Dorenbosch et al. [CHARM Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B 180, 303 (1986); P. Vilain et al. [CHARM-II Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B 320, 203 (1994).
- 7. M. Honda, Y. Kao, N. Okamura, A. Pronin, and T. Takeuchi, in preparation.
- 8. R. S. Chivukula and J. Terning, Phys. Lett. B 385, 209 (1996); W. Loinaz and T. Takeuchi, Phys. Rev. D 60, 015005 (1999).
- 9. D. Acosta et al. [CDF Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 131801 (2005).
- 10. W. M. Yao et al. [Particle Data Group], J. Phys. G 33 (2006) 1.
- 11. W. Buchmüller, R. Rückl and D. Wyler, Phys. Lett. B 191, 442 (1987); M. Tanabashi, p.412 of Ref. 10.
- 12. S. Davidson, D. C. Bailey and B. A. Campbell, Z. Phys. C 61, 613 (1994); M. Leurer, Phys. Rev. D 49, 333 (1994); M. Leurer, Phys. Rev. D 50, 536 (1994); E. Gabrielli, Phys. Rev. D 62, 055009 (2000).
- 13. ATLAS detector and physics performance. Technical design report. Vol. 2, CERN-LHCC-99-15, ATLAS-TDR-15; CMS physics : Technical Design Report v.2 : Physics performance, CERN-LHCC-2006-021, CMS-TDR-008-2; V. A. Mitsou, N. C. Benekos, I. Panagoulias and T. D. Papadopoulou, Czech. J. Phys. 55, B659 (2005).