

A linear-time algorithm for finding the longest segment which scores above a given threshold

Miklós Csűrös*

November 1, 2021

Abstract. This paper describes a linear-time algorithm that finds the longest stretch in a sequence of real numbers (“scores”) in which the sum exceeds an input parameter. The algorithm also solves the problem of finding the longest interval in which the average of the scores is above a fixed threshold. The problem originates from molecular sequence analysis: for instance, the algorithm can be employed to identify long GC-rich regions in DNA sequences. The algorithm can also be used to trim low-quality ends of shotgun sequences in a preprocessing step of whole-genome assembly.

1 Introduction

Let a_1, \dots, a_n be an arbitrary sequence of real numbers with $n > 0$. The *segment* $[i, j]$ for $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ is the interval $\{i, i+1, \dots, j\}$; its *score* is $a(i, j) = a_i + a_{i+1} + \dots + a_j$. This paper’s central problem is the following. Given a score threshold α , find a segment $[i, j]$ that has maximum length $(j - i + 1)$ among those with $a(i, j) \geq \alpha$.

Similar segmentation questions are encountered in statistical change-point estimation [7], with applications in various areas including molecular biology [1, 5, 11]. A number of related problems can be solved with efficient algorithms. Jon Bentley’s classic “programming pearl” finds a segment with maximum score in $O(n)$ time [3]. Csűrös [5] solves the more general problem of finding a k -set of segments with maximum total score in $O(n \min\{k, \log n\})$ time and $O(n)$ space. Huang [10] reports a simple

*Université de Montréal, Department of Computer Science and Operations Research, CP 6128 succ. Centre-Ville, Montréal, Qué., H3C 3J7, Canada. WWW: <http://www.iro.umontreal.ca/~csuros/>

linear-time algorithm for the dual of our problem, namely, that of finding a segment that has maximum score among those longer than a given threshold. An algorithm of Lin *et al.* [12] finds such a segment in $O(n)$ time, when in addition to a lower bound on the segment length, an upper bound is also imposed.

In some situations, it may be interesting to evaluate a segment $[i, j]$ by its *average score* $a(i, j)/(j - i + 1)$. Lin *et al.* [12] devised an algorithm that finds the segment with maximum average score among those longer than L , in $O(n \log L)$ time. Goldwasser *et al.* [8] give a faster algorithm for the same problem that runs in $O(n)$ time irrespective of L . This paper's techniques lead to an $O(n)$ -time algorithm for the dual problem; namely, that of finding the longest segment with average score above a bound α . This latter result is particularly relevant in molecular sequence segmentation. For instance, our algorithm can be employed to identify the longest contiguous region in a DNA sequence with a GC-content (relative frequency of guanine and cytosine) above a cutoff level. The search for GC-rich and GC-poor regions in DNA is one of the main practical motivations behind the algorithms of [5, 8, 10, 12].

Whole-genome shotgun assembly programs also often need to compute long segments with high average scores. In shotgun sequencing, the sequence of a long DNA molecule is computed from the sequences of randomly sampled short fragments [9], called the *shotgun sequences*. The shotgun sequences are typically delivered together with position-specific error probabilities [6] to the assembly software. In a preprocessing phase, many assembly programs trim the shotgun sequences by removing the extremities with high sequencing error. It is important to trim the sequences only as much as is absolutely necessary. Small error levels can be tolerated and even corrected, while the assembly's quality is ultimately determined by its length. The shotgun sequence trimming problem is defined as follows. Given a DNA sequence $s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n$ and position-specific error probabilities e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n , find the longest contiguous substring $s_i \dots s_j$ such that its average error $(e_i + e_{i+1} + \dots + e_j)/(j - i + 1)$ falls below a user-specified threshold E . Clearly, by setting $a_k = 1 - e_k$, we can look for the longest segment for which the average score is above $(1 - E)$ by using the techniques in this paper. Existing assembly programs trim heuristically using variations of a sliding window technique, without guarantees of length optimality. (They rely on the fact that the error probabilities in chain-termination sequencing are usually high at the extremities and low in the middle, and essentially assume a unimodal function.) The assembly program Arachne [2], for instance, purposely looks for the longest segment with an average error below

a threshold, but closer inspection of the source code reveals that the implemented algorithm is not guaranteed to find an optimal segment for all error probabilities.

2 Algorithm

Define the *prefix score* $f_j = a(1, j)$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$, and let $f_0 = 0$. Obviously, $a(i, j) = f_j - f_{i-1}$, and thus we are looking for the longest segment $[i, j]$ with $f_j \geq f_{i-1} + \alpha$. Now, let $0 \leq i^* < j^* \leq n$ be such that $f_{j^*} \geq f_{i^*} + \alpha$ and $(j^* - i^*)$ is maximal. Clearly, $[i^* + 1, j^*]$ is the longest segment with $a(i^* + 1, j^*) \geq \alpha$.

Lemma 1. *Let $0 \leq i^* < j^* \leq n$ be such that $f_{j^*} \geq f_{i^*} + \alpha$ and $(j^* - i^*)$ is maximal. If $i^* > 0$, then*

$$f_{i^*} < f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{i^*-1}. \quad (1a)$$

If $j^ < n$, then*

$$f_{j^*} > f_n, f_{n-1}, \dots, f_{j^*+1}. \quad (1b)$$

Proof. We prove Eq. (1a). For the sake of contradiction, assume that there exists such an $i < i^*$ that $f_i \leq f_{i^*}$. Then $j^* - i > j^* - i^*$, yet $f_{j^*} \geq f_{i^*} + \alpha \geq f_i + \alpha$. Eq. (1b) is proven analogously. \square

Definition 1. *Define the left sequence of minima $0 = l_1 < l_2 < \dots < l_k \leq n$ by $l_1 = 0$ and $l_j = \min\{i: l_{j-1} < i \leq n, f_i < f_{l_{j-1}}\}$. Define the right sequence of maxima $n = r_1 > r_2 > \dots > r_m \geq 0$ by $r_1 = n$ and $r_j = \max\{i: 0 \leq i < r_{j-1}, f_i > f_{r_{j-1}}\}$.*

Figure 1 illustrates these notions. By definition, the left sequence of minima is sorted in decreasing order of prefix scores:

$$f_{l_1} > f_{l_2} > \dots > f_{l_k}. \quad (2a)$$

Similarly,

$$f_{r_1} < f_{r_2} < \dots < f_{r_m}. \quad (2b)$$

By Lemma 1, we can restrict our attention to segments $[i, j]$ where $i \in \{l_1, \dots, l_k\}$ and $j \in \{r_1, \dots, r_m\}$. Equations (2a) and (2b) imply the following lemmas.




Figure 1: Left sequence of minima $\{l_i\}$ and right sequence of maxima $\{r_j\}$.

Lemma 2. *Let $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$. If $f_i + \alpha \leq f_{r_j}$ for some j , then $f_i + \alpha \leq f_{r_{j'}}$ for all $j' \geq j$.*

Lemma 3. *Let $j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$. If $f_{l_i} + \alpha \leq f_j$, then $f_{l_{i'}} + \alpha \leq f_j$ for all $i' \geq i$.*

In view of these lemmas, we can define the following values.

Definition 2. *For all $i = 1, \dots, k$ define $\text{right}(i)$ by $\text{right}(i) = \min\{j: f_{r_j} \geq f_{l_i} + \alpha\}$. Let $\text{right}(i) = m + 1$ if $f_{l_i} + \alpha > f_{r_j}$ for all j .*

For all $j = 1, \dots, m$, define $\text{left}(j)$ by $\text{left}(j) = \min\{i: f_{l_i} + \alpha \leq f_{r_j}\}$; let $\text{left}(j) = k + 1$ if $f_{l_i} + \alpha > f_{r_j}$ for all i .

By Lemmas 1, 2 and 3, for every $i = 1, \dots, k$, the longest segment $[l_i + 1, j]$ which scores above α has $j = r_{\text{right}(i)}$, unless $\text{right}(i) = m + 1$ or $r_{\text{right}(i)} \leq l_i$, in which case there is no suitable segment with left endpoint at $l_i + 1$. Similarly, $\text{left}(j)$ gives the left endpoint $i = l_{\text{left}(j)}$ for the longest segment $[i + 1, r_j]$ that scores above the threshold, unless $\text{left}(j) = k + 1$ or $l_{\text{left}(j)} \geq r_j$, in which case there is no suitable segment with right endpoint r_j . Lemmas 2 and 3 imply the following property.

Lemma 4. *For all $1 \leq i < i' \leq k$, $\text{right}(i) \geq \text{right}(i')$. For all $m \geq j > j' \geq 1$, $\text{left}(j) \leq \text{left}(j')$.*

Now, the best segment is the longest valid segment in the set

$$\{[l_i + 1, r_{\text{right}(i)}]: i = 1, \dots, k\} \cup \{[l_{\text{left}(j)} + 1, r_j]: j = 1, \dots, m\}.$$

In fact, it suffices to consider only one of the two sets since for the longest segment $[l_{i^*} + 1, r_{j^*}]$, $\text{left}(j^*) = i^*$ and $\text{right}(i^*) = j^*$.

The following algorithm solves the original problem.

1 Algorithm LONGESTSEGMENT

```

2 Input: scores  $a_i: i = 1, \dots, n$ ; threshold  $\alpha$ 
3 Output: longest segment that scores above  $\alpha$ , or nil if no segment
   score exceeds  $\alpha$ 
4 Set  $f_0 \leftarrow 0$ ; for  $i \leftarrow 1, \dots, n$  do  $f_i \leftarrow f_{i-1} + a_i$ 
5 Set  $k \leftarrow 1, l_1 \leftarrow 0$ 
6 for  $i \leftarrow 1, \dots, n$  do if  $f_i < f_{l_k}$  then  $k \leftarrow k + 1, l_k \leftarrow i$ 
7 Set  $m \leftarrow 1, r_1 \leftarrow n$ 
8 for  $j \leftarrow n, \dots, 1$  do if  $f_j > f_{r_m}$  then  $m \leftarrow m + 1, r_m \leftarrow j$ 
9 Set  $\max \leftarrow 0$ ,  $\text{segment} \leftarrow \text{nil}$ 
10 Set  $i \leftarrow 1, j \leftarrow m$ 
11 while  $i \leq k$  and  $j \geq 1$  do
12     while  $i \leq k$  and  $f_{l_i} + \alpha > f_{r_j}$  do  $i \leftarrow i + 1$ 
13     if  $i \leq k$  then
14         while  $j \geq 1$  and  $f_{l_i} + \alpha \leq f_{r_j}$  do
15             if  $r_j - l_i > \max$  then  $\max \leftarrow r_j - l_i$ ,  $\text{segment} \leftarrow [l_i + 1, r_j]$ 
16             Set  $j \leftarrow j - 1$ 
17         end while
18     end if
19 end while
20 return  $\text{segment}$ 

```

Theorem 1. *Algorithm LONGESTSEGMENT finds the longest segment which scores above the threshold α in $O(n)$ time.*

Proof. Line 4 calculates the prefix sums f_i in $O(n)$ time. Lines 5–6 compute the left sequence of minima, and Lines 7–8 compute the right sequence of maxima, in $O(n)$ time. Lines 11–19 are executed in $O(k + m) = O(n)$ time, since the loops of Lines 12 and 16 increase i and decrease j by one, respectively.

Line 9 initializes the structures for tracking the best segment: \max stores the length of the longest segment found and segment is the best segment. In Lines 10–19, the algorithm goes through pairs (i, j) where $i = \text{left}(j)$. More precisely, the algorithm’s correctness follows from the invariant that $i = k + 1$ or $i = \text{left}(j)$ holds in Line 13. Subsequently, as long as the **while** loop’s condition in Line 14 is true, $i = \text{left}(j)$ holds. As discussed, one of the

segments $[l_{\text{left}(j)} + 1, r_j]$ is the longest one that scores above the cutoff, and, thus Line 15 finds the optimal segment if the invariant is true. In order to see that the invariant is true, notice the following. First, after the condition of the loop in Line 12 fails with $j = m$, the invariant holds by Definition 2 of $\text{left}(m)$. Secondly, for $j < m$, $\text{left}(j)$ can be looked for starting the search at $\text{left}(j + 1)$ by Lemma 4, and, thus the invariant holds every time the execution arrives to Line 13. \square

3 Related problems

The same technique applies also to the problem of finding a segment with maximum score with a lower bound on the segment length. (Albeit Xiaoqiu Huang’s algorithm [10] is arguably simpler.) The idea is to define the left and right pairs by thresholding on the segment length and then select the one segment with the highest score.

The described algorithm can also be used to find the longest segment with an average score above a given threshold β . Since $\frac{a_i + a_{i+1} + \dots + a_j}{j - i + 1} \geq \beta$ if and only if $\sum_{k=i}^j (a_k - \beta) \geq 0$, the longest such segment can be found by using Algorithm LONGESTSEGMENT with scores $(a_i - \beta)$ and threshold $\alpha = 0$.

4 Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Mihai Pop and Pavel Havlak for discussions of shotgun sequence trimming, as well as David Jaffe for sending me the Arachne source code.

Remark. Kuan-Yu Chen and Kun-Mao Chao’s paper [4] about the same problem came out in print while this paper was under review. (So this will always remain a preprint.) Their algorithm works in an on-line setting. They also show the reduction for finding the shortest segment which scores above a given cutoff.

References

- [1] I. E. Auger and C. E. Lawrence. Algorithms for the optimal identification of segment neighborhoods. *Bull. Math. Biol.*, 51(1):39–54, 1989.

- [2] S. Batzoglou, D. B. Jaffe, K. Stanley, J. Butler, S. Gnerre, E. Mauceli, B. Berger, J. P. Mesirov, and E. S. Lander. ARACHNE: A whole-genome shotgun assembler. *Genome Res.*, 12:177–189, 2002.
- [3] J. Bentley. Programming pearls: algorithm design techniques. *Comm. ACM*, 27(9):865–873, 1984.
- [4] K.-Y. Chen and K.-M. Chao. Optimal algorithms for locating the longest or shortest segments satisfying a sum or average constraint. *Inform. Process. Lett.*, 96:197–201, 2005.
- [5] M. Csűrös. Maximum-scoring segment sets. *IEEE/ACM Trans. Comput. Biol. Bioinf.*, 1(4):139–150, 2004.
- [6] B. Ewing and P. Green. Base-calling of automated sequencer traces using *phred*: II. error probabilities. *Genome Res.*, 8:186–194, 1998.
- [7] Y.-X. Fu and R. N. Curnow. Maximum likelihood estimation of multiple change points. *Biometrika*, 77(3):563–573, 1990.
- [8] M. H. Goldwasser, M.-Y. Kao, and H.-I. Lu. Linear-time algorithms for computing maximum-density sequence segments with bioinformatics applications. *J. Comp. Syst. Sci.*, 70(2):128–144, 2005.
- [9] E. D. Green. Strategies for the systematic sequencing of complex genomes. *Nat. Rev. Genet.*, 2:573–583, 2001.
- [10] X. Huang. An algorithm for identifying regions of a DNA sequence that satisfy a content requirement. *Comput. Appl. Biosci.*, 10:219–225, 1994.
- [11] S. Karlin. Statistical studies of biomolecular sequences: score-based methods. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.*, 344(1310):391–402, 1994.
- [12] Y.-L. Lin, T. Jiang, and K.-M. Chao. Efficient algorithms for locating the length-constrained heaviest segments with applications to biomolecular sequence analysis. *J. Comp. Syst. Sci.*, 65:570–586, 2002.