

# ON INFINITESIMALLY $\tau$ -ISOSPECTRALITY OF LOCALLY SYMMETRIC SPACES

CHANDRASHEEL BHAGWAT, KAUSTABH MONDAL, GUNJA SACHDEVA

**Abstract.** Let  $(\tau, V_\tau)$  be a finite dimensional representation of  $K$ , a maximal compact subgroup of a connected non-compact semisimple Lie group  $G$  and let  $\Gamma_i (i = 1, 2)$  be uniform torsion free lattices in  $G$ . We prove that if all but finitely many irreducible unitary  $\tau$ -spherical representations of  $G$  occur with equal multiplicities in  $L^2(\Gamma_1 \backslash G)$  and  $L^2(\Gamma_2 \backslash G)$ , then  $L^2(\Gamma_1 \backslash G) \cong L^2(\Gamma_2 \backslash G)$  as representations of  $G$ .

We introduce a notion of infinitesimally  $\tau$ -isospectrality and obtain a generalisation of the celebrated Matsushima-Murakami formula ([9], [7]) relating dimension of space of automorphic forms associated to  $\tau$  and multiplicities of irreducible  $\tau^\vee$ -spherical representations in  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Let  $G$  be a connected non-compact semisimple Lie group with finite centre. Let  $K$  be a maximal compact subgroup in  $G$ . Then  $G/K$  is a symmetric space which carries a  $G$ -invariant Riemannian metric induced by the  $\text{Ad}(G)$ -invariant inner product on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , the Lie algebra of  $G$ . For any finite dimensional representation  $(\tau, V_\tau)$  of  $K$ , one has the homogeneous vector bundle  $E_\tau$  on  $G/K$  (see Sec. 2.3 for the details) whose smooth sections are given by the space

$$\mathcal{A}^\infty(G/K, \tau) := \{\phi : G \rightarrow V_\tau \mid \phi(gk) = \tau(k^{-1})(\phi(g)) \text{ for all } k \in K, g \in G\}.$$

This space  $\mathcal{A}^\infty(G/K, \tau)$  is  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})^K$ -stable. In particular, the centre  $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$  of the universal enveloping algebra acts on  $\mathcal{A}^\infty(G/K, \tau)$ , and the Casimir element in the centre induces a

---

*Date:* May 27, 2024.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 22E40, 22E45, 53C35 .

second order elliptic differential operator  $\Delta_\tau$  on  $\mathcal{A}^\infty(G/K, \tau)$ , which is also induced from the Laplace Beltrami operator acting on the smooth functions on  $G/K$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be a uniform lattice in  $G$ . Then  $X_\Gamma := \Gamma \backslash G/K$  is a compact locally symmetric space which is manifold if  $\Gamma$  acts freely on  $G/K$ . The space  $X_\Gamma$  has a Riemannian metric induced from  $G/K$ . We denote by  $V_{\Gamma, \tau}$  to be the space of all  $\Gamma$ -invariant smooth sections in  $\mathcal{A}^\infty(G/K, \tau)$ . Then  $V_{\Gamma, \tau}$  is stable under the action of  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})^K$ , in particular of the centre  $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ . The Casimir element  $C$ , induces the second order self-adjoint elliptic operator  $\Delta_{\tau, \Gamma}$  on  $V_{\Gamma, \tau}$ , which has nonnegative discrete eigenvalues with finite multiplicity. The set of eigenvalues with multiplicity is called the spectrum of  $\Delta_{\tau, \Gamma}$ , denoted by  $\text{Spec}(\Delta_{\tau, \Gamma})$ . Such spectrum of a locally symmetric space is closely related to the multiplicity of irreducible representations occurring in the right regular representation  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$  of  $G$ . Here we mention the famous Matsushima-Murakami formula ([9], see also Prop. 2.4 [7]):

$$(1.1.1) \quad \text{Mult}_{\Delta_{\tau, \Gamma}}(\lambda) = \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{G}, \pi(C) = \lambda} m(\pi, \Gamma) \dim(\text{Hom}_K(\tau^\vee, \pi|_K)),$$

where,  $m(\pi, \Gamma)$  is the multiplicity of  $\pi$  in the right regular representation  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ .

Let  $\widehat{G}_\tau := \{\pi \in \widehat{G} : \text{Hom}_K(\tau, \pi|_K) \neq 0\}$ . Two uniform lattices  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  are called  $\tau$ -representation equivalent if  $m(\pi, \Gamma_1) = m(\pi, \Gamma_2)$  for all  $\pi \in \widehat{G}_\tau$ . From Eq. (1.1.1), it is easily observed that for two uniform lattices  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  in  $G$ , if  $m(\pi, \Gamma_1) = m(\pi, \Gamma_2)$  for all  $\pi \in \widehat{G}_{\tau^\vee}$ , then  $\text{Spec}(\Delta_{\tau, \Gamma_1}) = \text{Spec}(\Delta_{\tau, \Gamma_2})$  for  $X_{\Gamma_1}$  and  $X_{\Gamma_2}$ , respectively. Therefore, if two lattices  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  are  $\tau^\vee$ -representation equivalent, then the corresponding elliptic operators have the same spectrum for the locally symmetric spaces  $X_{\Gamma_1}$  and  $X_{\Gamma_2}$ , respectively i.e. they are  $\tau$ -isospectral (see Prop. 2.5 [7]).

**Definition 1.1.1.** Two co-compact lattices  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  are called almost- $\tau$ -representation equivalent if  $m(\pi, \Gamma_1)$  is equal to  $m(\pi, \Gamma_2)$  for all but finitely many  $\pi \in \widehat{G}_\tau$ .

In this paper, we have answered the question of whether the almost- $\tau$ -representation equivalence implies  $\tau$ -representation equivalence between two uniform torsion free lattices  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  in non-compact symmetric space  $G/K$  with arbitrary rank, and for any finite dimensional representation  $(\tau, V_\tau)$  of  $K$ .

**Theorem 1.1.2.** *Let  $G$  be a non-compact connected semisimple Lie group with finite center. If for two uniform torsion free lattices  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ ,*

$$m(\pi, \Gamma_1) = m(\pi, \Gamma_2)$$

*for all but finitely many  $\pi \in \widehat{G}_\tau$ , then  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  are  $\tau$ -representation equivalent lattices.*

**Remark 1.1.3.** *Consequently, the above hypothesis implies that  $X_{\Gamma_1}$  and  $X_{\Gamma_2}$  are  $\tau^\vee$ -isospectral. When  $\tau$  is trivial, this reduces to the results in [1]. In [2], a special case of Thm. 1.1.2 is proved for the group  $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ .*

1.2. *Can the space  $X_\Gamma$  (upto isometry) be determined by its spectrum?* The works of Milnor (see [10] and Vignéras (see [14]) are in the frontline to answer this negatively. Later, the construction of non-isometric isospectral manifolds by Sunada brought significant arithmetic flavour in this context [13]. In another important work [12] in this context, C. S. Rajan studied the appropriate arithmetic properties which are determined by the spectrum. Other than the ambitious inverse spectral problem there is another converse of the above discussion: *If two spaces  $X_{\Gamma_1}$  and  $X_{\Gamma_2}$  are  $\tau$ -isospectral, then are  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$   $\tau^\vee$ -representation equivalent?* This is called the representation-spectral converse for the pair  $(G, K)$ . This has gotten attention for quite some time. There is a slightly weaker version of this, defined as follows:

**Definition 1.2.1.** *Two locally symmetric spaces  $X_{\Gamma_1}$  and  $X_{\Gamma_2}$  with the same universal cover  $G/K$  are said to be almost- $\tau$ -isospectral if  $\mathrm{Mult}_{\Delta_{\tau, \Gamma_1}}(\lambda) = \mathrm{Mult}_{\Delta_{\tau, \Gamma_2}}(\lambda)$  for all but finitely many  $\lambda$ .*

In the direction of ‘*almost- $\tau$ -representation equivalence implies isospectrality*’, several approaches have been made. In [5], E. Lauret and R. Miatello answered this question in the case of compact Riemannian symmetric space  $G/K$ , i.e., for compact group  $G$ . They further proved that the multiplicity of an appropriate finite subset of  $\widehat{G}_\tau$  determines all multiplicities. Later, they studied the representation-spectral converse in the context of simply connected compact Riemannian symmetric space  $G/K$  of rank one and proved there are infinitely many  $\tau \in \widehat{K}$  such that almost- $\tau$ -isospectrality implies  $\tau^\vee$ -representation equivalence and hence  $\tau$ -isospectral [6].

For many non-compact homogeneous Riemannian symmetric spaces, Pesce [11] proved the validity of representation-spectral converse where  $\tau$  is trivial. When  $\tau$  is trivial the  $\tau$ -spectra are called spherical spectra. Bhagwat-Rajan[1] answered that almost-spherical representation equivalence implies spherical representation equivalence. In fact, they described[1] that for a spherical representation  $\pi \in \widehat{G}_1$ , there exists a character  $\lambda_\pi$  of the algebra of  $G$ -invariant differential operators on  $X_\Gamma$  such that  $\text{Mult}(\lambda_\pi) = m(\pi, \Gamma)$  and conversely. Later, Kelmer [3] obtained several density results which relates the isospectrality and representation equivalence via the notion of length equivalence for the case of  $X_\Gamma$  with real rank one and of non-compact type (having non-compact universal cover), in particular for compact hyperbolic manifolds.

Our method is in the spirit of Bhagwat-Rajan [1] and it uses analytic tools like Selberg trace formula, Harish-Chandra character distribution etc. We consider the Hilbert space  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G, V_\tau)$  (see (2.1.1)). The right regular action of  $G$  on this space gives a right regular representation  $\rho$  of  $G$ . We introduce an algebra (see (2.1.3)) consists of compactly supported right  $K$ -equivariant  $\text{End}(V_\tau)$ -valued functions on  $G$ . We define the convolution operator (see (2.1.4)) of the functions from this algebra with the right regular representation which acts on the space  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G, V_\tau)$ . The spectral decomposition of this operator gives a variant of Selberg trace formula (see (3.0.7)).

1.3. For an infinitesimal character  $\chi$  of  $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ , let  $V_{\chi, \Gamma, \tau} := \{\phi \in V_{\Gamma, \tau} \mid z \cdot \phi = \chi(z)\phi \text{ for all } z \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g})\}$ .

We define a refinement of the notion of  $\tau$ -isospectrality as follows.

**Definition 1.3.1.** *Two locally symmetric spaces  $X_{\Gamma_1}$  and  $X_{\Gamma_2}$  of non-compact type are infinitesimally  $\tau$ -isospectral if*

$$\dim V_{\chi, \Gamma_1, \tau} = \dim V_{\chi, \Gamma_2, \tau} \text{ for all } \chi \in \widehat{\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g})}.$$

Let  $\tau = \tau_p$  be the  $p$ -th exterior power of the adjoint representation of  $K$  on  $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ . The associated homogeneous vector bundle is identified with the  $p$ -th exterior product of the co-tangent bundle on  $G/K$ . In [8], Matsushima proved the relationship between the dimension of harmonic  $p$ -forms on  $X_{\Gamma}$  and the multiplicity of irreducible representations in  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$  that occur with a nonzero  $\tau_p$ -isotypic component. Let  $[\chi]$  be the set of all irreducible representations of  $G$  which has infinitesimal character  $\chi$ . It is known that  $[\chi]$  is a finite subset of  $\widehat{G}$  [Corollary 10.37, [4]]. We have obtained the following variant of Matsushima-Murakami formula:

**Theorem 1.3.2.** *Let  $G$  be a connected non-compact semisimple Lie group. Assume that  $\Gamma$  be a uniform lattice in  $G$ . Then for any  $\chi \in \widehat{\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g})}$  and for any finite dimensional representation  $\tau$  of  $K$ ,*

$$\dim V_{\chi, \Gamma, \tau} = \sum_{\pi \in [\chi]} m(\pi, \Gamma) \dim(\text{Hom}_K(\tau^{\vee}, \pi|_K)).$$

**Remark 1.3.3.** *For the rank one semisimple Lie group  $G$ , an infinitesimal character  $\chi \in \widehat{\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g})}$  is completely determined by its value on the Casimir element. Therefore the above result becomes the earlier mentioned Matsushima-Murakami formula (1.1.1).*

**Corollary 1.3.4.** *If  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  are  $\tau^{\vee}$ -representation equivalent then the spaces  $X_{\Gamma_1}$  and  $X_{\Gamma_2}$  are infinitesimally  $\tau$ -isospectral.*

**Remark 1.3.5.** *If  $\tau$  is trivial, the above formula in Thm. 1.3.2 reduces to [1].*

In Sec. 5.3, we consider the case where the group  $G$  has discrete series representations and using the results from [16] and Thm. 1.3.2, we show that the dimension of  $\chi$ -eigenspace of the automorphic forms of type  $\tau^\vee$  is equal to the  $q_\lambda$ -th  $L^2$ -cohomology of the automorphic line bundle  $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{L}_\lambda$  associated to the discrete series representation  $\pi$  with minimal  $K$ -type  $\tau$  and infinitesimal character  $\chi$ .

**Remark 1.3.6.** *The finite set  $[\chi]$  of all irreducible representations of a real reductive group (informally a  $\chi$ -packet) is quite close to Langlands  $L$ -packet that consists of irreducible admissible representations having same  $L$ -parameter. It is known that the irreducible representations from a fixed  $L$ -packet have same infinitesimal character i.e., they cannot be distinguished by the spectral data. According to our knowledge, the converse of this is not known in general. It is interesting to formulate a suitable variant of Matsushima-Murakami formula in terms of  $L$ -packet instead, and that might reflect some analogous relationship between the multiplicities of irreducible representations in a given  $L$ -packet and isospectrality.*

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. BASIC SETUP.** Let  $G$  be a connected non-compact semisimple Lie group and let  $K$  be a maximal compact subgroup of  $G$  with Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{k}$ , respectively. Then the homogeneous space  $G/K$  is a symmetric space with a  $G$ -invariant metric. Let  $(\tau, V_\tau)$  be a finite dimensional representation of  $K$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be a uniform torsion-free lattice in  $G$ .

We consider the right regular representation  $\rho$  of  $G$  on the space

$$(2.1.1) \quad L^2(\Gamma \backslash G, V_\tau) = \{ \phi : G \rightarrow V_\tau \mid \phi \text{ is measurable, } \phi(\gamma g) = \phi(g) \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Gamma, \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} |\phi(g)|^2 dg < \infty \}.$$

Since  $\Gamma \backslash G$  is compact, the right regular representation  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$  of  $G$  is completely reducible and each irreducible subrepresentation occurs with finite multiplicity. In other

words,

$$L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \cong \widehat{\bigoplus_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} m(\pi, \Gamma) W_\pi}$$

with  $m(\pi, \Gamma) < \infty$  for all  $\pi \in \widehat{G}$ .

We choose an orthonormal basis for each copy of  $\pi$ , taking union of those we get an orthonormal basis  $\mathcal{W}$  of  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ . Let  $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be an orthonormal basis of  $V_\tau$ . For any  $\psi \in \mathcal{W}$ , we define  $\psi_i(x) := \psi(x)v_i$ . Then  $\{\psi_i \mid \psi \in \mathcal{W} \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  forms an orthonormal basis of  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G, V_\tau)$ . Note that  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G, V_\tau) = L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \otimes V_\tau$ .

Therefore, we get

$$(2.1.2) \quad L^2(\Gamma \backslash G, V_\tau) \cong \widehat{\bigoplus_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} m(\pi, \Gamma) (W_\pi \otimes V_\tau)}.$$

For each copy of  $W_\pi \otimes V_\tau$ , we identify a subspace  $V_\pi$  in  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G, V_\tau)$ . The subspace  $V_\pi$  is not an irreducible  $G$ -subspace, rather it is direct sum of  $\dim V_\tau$  many copies of  $W_\pi$ .

We introduce a space  $C_c^\infty(G, K, V_\tau)$  defined by

$$(2.1.3) \quad \{f : G \rightarrow \text{End}(V_\tau) \mid f \text{ is compactly supported and smooth such that} \\ f(kx) = f(x)\tau(k^{-1}) \text{ for all } x \in G, k \in K\}.$$

For  $f \in C_c^\infty(G, K, V_\tau)$ , let  $h_f(x) := \text{Trace}(f(x))$  for all  $x \in G$ . Now for any  $f \in C_c^\infty(G, K, V_\tau)$ , we define the convolution operator  $\rho(f) : L^2(\Gamma \backslash G, V_\tau) \rightarrow L^2(\Gamma \backslash G, V_\tau)$  by

$$(2.1.4) \quad \rho(f)\phi(x) = \int_G f(y)(\phi(gy)) dy \quad \text{for all } \phi \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash G, V_\tau).$$

**Proposition 2.1.1.** *Each  $V_\pi$  is  $\rho(f)$ -stable subspace for all  $f \in C_c^\infty(G, K, V_\tau)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{W}$  be the subset such that  $\mathcal{U}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $W_\pi$ . Then  $\{\phi_i : \phi \in \mathcal{U}, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $V_\pi$ . Thus it suffices to show that

$$\langle \rho(f)\phi_i, \psi_j \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for any } \phi \in \mathcal{U}, \psi \in \mathcal{W} \setminus \mathcal{U} \text{ and any } 1 \leq i, j \leq n.$$

We compute,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \rho(f)\phi_i, \psi_j \rangle &= \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} \langle \rho(f)\phi_i(g), \psi_j(g) \rangle dg \\
 &= \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} \left\langle \int_G \phi(gy) f(y) v_i, \psi(g) v_j \right\rangle dy dg \\
 (2.1.5) \quad &= \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} \int_G \phi(gy) \overline{\psi(g)} \langle f(y) v_i, v_j \rangle dy dg \\
 &= \int_G \langle f(y) v_i, v_j \rangle \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} \phi(gy) \overline{\psi(g)} dg dy \\
 &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

**2.2. RESULTS ON HARISH-CHANDRA CHARACTERS.** We will be using the following two important results on *Harish-Chandra characters*:

**Harish-Chandra character distribution:** Let  $(\pi, W_\pi)$  be an irreducible unitary representation of  $G$ . Let  $C_c^\infty(G)$  be the space of all compactly supported smooth functions on  $G$ . For any  $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$ , the convolution operator  $\pi(f)$  on  $W_\pi$  is defined by  $\pi(f)v = \int_G f(g)\pi(g)v dg$  for all  $v \in W_\pi$ . It is a trace class operator [Theorem 10.2, [4]] and

$$\chi_\pi(f) = \text{Trace}(\pi(f)) \text{ for all } f \in C_c^\infty(G).$$

**Theorem 2.2.1.** *Let  $\{\pi_i\}$  be a finite collection of mutually inequivalent unitary irreducible representations of  $G$ . Then their characters  $\{\chi_{\pi_i}\}$  are linearly independent distributions on  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Reader is referred to [Theorem 10.6, [4]].

□

**Theorem 2.2.2.** *Let  $\pi$  be an irreducible unitary representation of  $G$ . Then the distribution character  $\chi_\pi$  is given by a locally integrable function  $\phi_\pi$  on  $G$  i.e. for  $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$ ,*

$$\chi_\pi(f) = \int_G f(g)\phi_\pi(g) dg.$$



Moreover, the restriction of  $\phi_\pi$  to the regular set of  $G$  is a real analytic function invariant under conjugation.

*Proof.* Reader is referred to [Theorem 10.25, [4]]. □

**2.3. ISOSPECTRALITY AND REPRESENTATION EQUIVALENCE OF LATTICES.** There is a natural homogeneous vector bundle  $E_\tau$  on  $G/K$  that is associated with the representation  $(\tau, V_\tau)$  of  $K$ . The space of all smooth global sections of  $E_\tau$  can be realised as  $\mathcal{A}^\infty(G/K, \tau) = \{\phi : G \rightarrow V_\tau \mid \phi \text{ is smooth, } \phi(xk) = \tau(k^{-1})(\phi(x)) \text{ for all } x \in G, k \in K\}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{A}^\infty(G/K, \tau)$  is a  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})^K$  module. In particular,  $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})^K$  acts on  $\mathcal{A}^\infty(G/K, \tau)$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$  be the Cartan decomposition of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We choose a basis  $\{X_i\}$  and  $\{Y_j\}$  of  $\mathfrak{k}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$ , respectively with respect to a bilinear form  $B$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  induced from the killing form such that  $B(X_k, X_l) = -\delta_{kl}$  and  $B(Y_m, Y_n) = \delta_{mn}$ . Let  $C$  be the Casimir element given by  $C = -\sum X_i^2 + \sum Y_j^2$ . The Casimir element  $C$  induces a second order symmetric elliptic operator  $\Delta_\tau$  on  $\mathcal{A}^\infty(G/K, \tau)$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be a uniform torsion-free lattice in  $G$ , and let  $X_\Gamma := \Gamma \backslash G/K$  be the associated compact locally symmetric space of non-compact type. We consider the vector bundle  $E_{\tau, \Gamma}$  on  $X_\Gamma$  defined by the relation  $[\gamma g, w] \sim [g, w]$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $[g, w] \in E_\tau$ . The space of all smooth global sections of  $E_{\tau, \Gamma}$  can be realised as the space  $V_{\Gamma, \tau} = \{\phi \in \mathcal{A}^\infty(G/K, \tau) \mid \phi(\gamma x) = \phi(x) \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ . Hence, the centre  $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$  acts on  $V_{\Gamma, \tau}$ .

Let  $\Delta_{\tau, \Gamma} := \Delta_\tau|_{V_{\Gamma, \tau}}$ . This is again a second order symmetric elliptic operator on  $X_\Gamma$ . Its spectrum  $\text{Spec}(\Delta_{\tau, \Gamma})$  is a discrete subset of the non-negative real numbers. Let  $\text{Mult}_{\Delta_{\tau, \Gamma}}(\lambda) :=$  the multiplicity of  $\lambda \in \text{Spec}(\Delta_{\tau, \Gamma})$ . Recall Eq. (1.1.1)

$$\text{Mult}_{\Delta_{\tau, \Gamma}}(\lambda) = \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{G}, \pi(C)=\lambda} m(\pi, \Gamma) \dim(\text{Hom}_K(\tau^\vee, \pi|_K)),$$

where  $\pi(C)$  is the scalar by which Casimir element  $C$  acts on  $W_\pi$ .

**Definition 2.3.1.**  $X_{\Gamma_1}$  and  $X_{\Gamma_2}$  are called  $\tau$ -isospectral if the operators  $\Delta_{\tau, \Gamma_1}$  and  $\Delta_{\tau, \Gamma_2}$  have same spectrum (with multiplicity).

We denote  $\widehat{G}_\tau = \{\pi \in \widehat{G} : \text{Hom}_K(\tau, \pi) \neq 0\}$ .

**Definition 2.3.2.**  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  are called  $\tau$ -representation equivalent if  $m(\pi, \Gamma_1) = m(\pi, \Gamma_2)$  for all  $\pi \in \widehat{G}_\tau$ .

It is clear from Eq.( 1.1.1) if  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  are  $\tau^\vee$ -representation equivalent, then  $X_{\Gamma_1}$  and  $X_{\Gamma_2}$  are  $\tau$ -isospectral.

**2.4. A FURTHER REFINEMENT.** We have seen that  $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$  acts on  $V_{\Gamma, \tau}$  (see 1.1). For any character  $\chi \in \widehat{\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g})}$ , we have the  $\chi$ -eigenspace defined as

$$V_{\chi, \Gamma, \tau} := \{\phi \in V_{\Gamma, \tau} \mid z \cdot \phi = \chi(z)\phi \text{ for all } z \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g})\}.$$

In fact,  $V_{\Gamma, \tau}$  decomposes as  $V_{\Gamma, \tau} = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \widehat{\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g})}} V_{\chi, \Gamma, \tau}$ .

We here introduce a notion of two locally symmetric spaces being *infinitesimally  $\tau$ -isospectral*, defined as follows:

**Definition 2.4.1.** Two locally symmetric spaces  $X_{\Gamma_1}$  and  $X_{\Gamma_2}$  are infinitesimally  $\tau$ -isospectral if for all characters  $\chi \in \widehat{\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g})}$ ,

$$\dim V_{\chi, \Gamma_1, \tau} = \dim V_{\chi, \Gamma_2, \tau}.$$

**Remark 2.4.2.** If  $G$  is a real rank one Lie group, the centre  $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$  is the polynomial algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  in the Casimir element  $C$ . Therefore, the  $\chi$ -eigenspace is simply the  $\lambda$ -eigenspace where  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of the Laplace-Beltrami operator  $\Delta_{\tau, \Gamma}$  on  $E_{\tau, \Gamma}$ . In this case, infinitesimally  $\tau$ -isospectrality reduces to  $\tau$ -isospectrality as defined above.

As in [1] and [2], we can expect that the  $\dim V_{\chi, \Gamma, \tau}$  is related with the multiplicities  $m(\pi, \Gamma)$  of  $\pi \in \widehat{G}_{\tau^\vee}$  occurring in  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$  with infinitesimal character  $\chi$ . This is the content of Thm. 1.3.2.

3. SELBERG TRACE FORMULA FOR  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G, V_\tau)$ 

Recall  $\{\psi_j : \psi \in \mathcal{W}, j \in 1, \dots, n\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G, V_\tau)$  (see 2.1.1). For any  $f \in C_c^\infty(G, K, V_\tau)$  (see 2.1.3), let  $[f_{ij}]_{n \times n}$  be the matrix representation of  $f$  with respect to the basis  $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$  of  $V_\tau$ . For  $f \in C_c^\infty(G, K, V_\tau)$ , observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho(f)\psi_j(x) &= \int_G f(x^{-1}y)(\psi_j(y)) dy \\
 &= \int_G f(x^{-1}y)(\psi(y)v_j) dy \\
 &= \sum_i \int_G \psi(y)f_{ij}(x^{-1}y)v_i dy.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.0.1}$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Trace}(\rho(f)) &= \sum_{\psi \in \mathcal{W}} \sum_j \langle \rho(f)\psi_j, \psi_j \rangle \\
 &= \sum_{\psi \in \mathcal{W}} \sum_j \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} \langle \sum_i \int_G \psi(y)f_{ij}(x^{-1}y)v_i dy, \psi(x)v_j \rangle dx \\
 &= \sum_{\psi \in \mathcal{W}} \int_{\Gamma \backslash G \times \Gamma \backslash G} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \text{Trace}(f(x^{-1}\gamma y)) \overline{\psi(x)} \psi(y) dy dx \\
 &= \sum_{\psi \in \mathcal{W}} \int_{\Gamma \backslash G \times \Gamma \backslash G} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} h_f(x^{-1}\gamma y) \overline{\psi(x)} \psi(y) dy dx.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.0.2}$$

Put  $K_f(x, y) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} h_f(x^{-1}\gamma y)$ . Above equals,

$$\sum_{\psi \in \mathcal{W}} \int_{\Gamma \backslash G \times \Gamma \backslash G} K_f(x, y) \overline{\psi(x)} \psi(y) dy dx.
 \tag{3.0.3}$$

Recall that if  $T$  is an integral operator on  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$  defined by

$$T\psi(x) = \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} K_f(x, y) \psi(y) dy.
 \tag{3.0.4}$$

Then  $T$  is a trace class operator and its trace is given by

$$(3.0.5) \quad \text{Trace}(T) = \sum_{\psi \in \mathcal{W}} \int_{\Gamma \backslash G \times \Gamma \backslash G} K_f(x, y) \overline{\psi(x)} \psi(y) \, dy \, dx.$$

On the other hand the trace of such an operator  $T$  equals  $\int_{\Gamma \backslash G} K_f(x, x) \, dx$ . Therefore,

$$\text{Trace}(\rho(f)) = \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} K_f(x, x) \, dx = \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} h_f(x^{-1} \gamma x) \, dx.$$

From Prop. 2.1.1, we have

$$\text{Trace}(\rho(f)) = \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} m(\pi, \Gamma) \text{Trace}(\rho(f)|_{V_\pi}).$$

Now,

$$(3.0.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Trace}(\rho(f)|_{V_\pi}) &= \sum_j \sum_{\psi \in \mathcal{W} \cap W_\pi} \langle \rho(f) \psi_j, \psi_j \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\psi \in \mathcal{W} \cap W_\pi} \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} \int_G \psi(xy) \overline{\psi(x)} \text{Trace}(f(y)) \, dy \, dx \\ &= \text{Trace}(\pi(h_f)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have the following *Selberg Trace formula*:

$$(3.0.7) \quad \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} m(\pi, \Gamma) \text{Trace}(\pi(h_f)) = \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} h_f(x^{-1} \gamma x) \, dx = \sum_{[\gamma] \in [\Gamma]_G} \text{vol}(\Gamma_\gamma \backslash G_\gamma) \int_{G_\gamma \backslash G} h_f(x^{-1} \gamma x) \, dx.$$

We denote  $\int_{G_\gamma \backslash G} h_f(x^{-1} \gamma x) \, dx$  by  $O_\gamma(h_f)$ .

**Remark 3.0.1.** *This trace formula is a generalisation of the well-known Selberg trace formula. For more details for the ‘geometric side’, see [15].*

## 4. PROOF OF FIRST MAIN RESULT Thm. 1.1.2

4.1. *SOME PRELIMINARY RESULTS.* We will describe the lemmas and propositions required to prove Thm. 1.1.2.

**Lemma 4.1.1.** *Let  $Kx$  be a left coset of  $K$  in  $G$  for some  $x \in G$ . Let  $U$  be an open set containing the left coset  $Kx$ . Then there exists  $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$  with  $\text{Supp}(f) \subset U$  such that  $\int_K f(kx)\chi_\tau(k) dk \neq 0$ . (Here  $\chi_\tau$  is the character function of  $\tau$ .)*

*Proof.* For any  $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$  with  $\text{Supp}(f) \subset U$ , we define  $\psi \in C^\infty(K)$  by  $\psi(k) := f(kx)$ . Conversely, for any  $\psi \in C^\infty(K)$ , define  $f(kx) := \psi(k)$ , and extend  $f$  smoothly to  $U$ .

Now, if  $\int_K f(kx)\chi_\tau(k) dk = 0$  for all  $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$  such that  $\text{Supp}(f) \subset U$ , then

$$\int_K \psi(k) \chi_\tau(k) dk = 0 \text{ for all } \psi \in C^\infty(K).$$

But that implies  $\chi_\tau$  is identically zero leading to a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.1.2.** *Let  $Kx \neq Ky$  be two distinct left cosets of  $K$  in  $G$ . Then there exists  $F \in C_c^\infty(G, K, V_\tau)$  such that  $h_F(x) \neq 0$  and  $h_F|_{Ky} = 0$ .*

*Proof.*  $Kx \neq Ky$  are disjoint compact sets in  $G$ . Choose disjoint open sets  $U_1, U_2$  with  $Kx \subset U_1, Ky \subset U_2$ , and  $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$ . From the above Lem. 4.1.1, there exists  $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$  with  $\text{Supp}(f) \subset U_1$  such that  $\int_K f(kx)\chi_\tau(k) dk \neq 0$ .

Define,  $F(g) := \int_K f(kg)\tau(k) dk$ . Then,  $F \in C_c^\infty(G, K, V_\tau)$ .

Furthermore,  $h_F(g) = \int_K f(kg)\chi_\tau(k) dk$ . Hence  $h_F(x) \neq 0$  and  $h_F(y) = 0$  and hence  $h_F|_{Ky} = 0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.1.3.** *Let  $\pi$  be an irreducible representation of  $G$  occurring as a subrepresentation of  $\rho$ . Assume that  $\pi$  is not  $\tau$ -spherical i.e.  $\text{Hom}_K(V_\tau, V_\pi) = 0$ . Then  $\rho(f)$  is zero on  $V_\pi$ .*

*Proof.* It is enough to show that  $\rho(f)$  is zero at each element of the orthonormal basis  $\{\psi_j : \psi \in \mathcal{U}, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ . Here,  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{W} \cap W_\pi$ . Recall that (see Eq. (2.1.4))

$$\rho(f)\psi_j(g) = \int_G \psi(gy)f(y)(v_j) dy.$$

For a fixed  $\psi \in \mathcal{U}$ , consider the subspace  $U_\psi := \text{span} \{\psi_j : j \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$ . If  $v = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j v_j$ , we write  $\psi_v := \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \psi_j$ . Then the subspace  $U_\psi$  is same as  $\{\psi_v : v \in V_\tau\}$ . There is an action of  $K$  on  $U_\psi$  by  $\tau(k)\psi_v = \psi_{\tau(k)v}$ .

Clearly,  $U_\psi$  is a representation of  $K$  isomorphic to  $\tau$ . Note that  $\widehat{\bigoplus_{\psi \in \mathcal{W}} U_\psi} = V_\pi$ . We show that  $\rho(f)|_{U_\psi} = 0$ . In fact, we show that  $\rho(f) \in \text{Hom}_K(U_\psi, V_\pi)$ .

Let  $\psi_v \in U_\psi$  and  $k_0 \in K$ . Then for every  $g \in G$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho(k_0)\rho(f)\psi_v)(g) &= (\rho(f)\psi_v)(gk_0) \\ &= \int_G f(y) (\psi(gk_0y)v) dy \\ &= \int_G \psi(gy) f(k_0^{-1}y)v dy \\ &= \int_G \psi(gy) f(y)\tau(k_0)v dy \\ &= \rho(f)\psi_{\tau(k_0)v}(g) \\ &= \rho(f) \tau(k_0) \psi_v(g). \end{aligned} \tag{4.1.1}$$

Therefore,  $\rho(f)$  is zero on  $U_\psi$  for all  $\psi \in \mathcal{W}$ . Consequently,  $\rho(f)$  is zero on  $V_\pi$ . □

**Lemma 4.1.4.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a torsion-free uniform lattice in  $G$ . For a non-trivial element  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , the conjugacy class  $[\gamma]_G$  of  $\gamma$  in  $G$  is disjoint from  $K$ .*

*Proof.* Reader is referred to [1]. □

**Lemma 4.1.5.** *If  $\gamma \neq e$ , then  $e \notin K[\gamma]_G$ .*

*Proof.* If there is  $k \in K$  and  $x \in G$  such that  $kx^{-1}\gamma x = e$ , then  $x^{-1}\gamma x \in K$ ; which contradicts the previous lemma. □

**Proposition 4.1.6.** *There exists an open set  $B$  in  $G$  such that  $[\gamma]_G \cap B$  is empty for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ , and  $B$  is stable under left  $K$  action on  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $U'$  be a relatively compact open set containing  $e$  in  $G$ . Let  $U = KU'$ . Then  $U$  is relatively compact and therefore it intersects at the most finitely many conjugacy classes  $[\gamma]_G$ . Since, the natural map  $G \rightarrow K \backslash G$  is proper,  $K[\gamma]_G$  is closed in  $G$ . Since  $U$  is  $K$ -stable,  $K[\gamma]_G \cap U \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $[\gamma]_G \cap U \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $E := \bigcup_{\gamma \neq e} (K[\gamma]_G \cap U)$ . Since  $E$  is a finite union of closed sets, it is closed and  $K$ -stable subset of  $U$ . From Lem. 4.1.5, we conclude that  $e \notin E$ . Choose an open set  $V$  containing  $e$  such that  $E \cap V = \emptyset$ . Now, let  $B = KV \cap K^c$ . Then  $B$  is the desired open set in  $G$ . □

**4.2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1.2.** We have two uniform torsion free lattices  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  in  $G$ . So by Eq. (2.1.2)

$$(\rho_{\Gamma_i}, L^2(\Gamma_i \backslash G, V_\tau)) = \widehat{\bigoplus_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} m(\pi, \Gamma_i) V_\pi}$$

for  $i = 1, 2$ . Let  $t_\pi = m(\pi, \Gamma_1) - m(\pi, \Gamma_2)$ . By hypothesis there exists a finite set  $\mathcal{S} \subset \widehat{G}_\tau$  such that  $t_\pi = 0$  for all  $\pi \in \widehat{G}_\tau \setminus \mathcal{S}$ . For  $f \in C_c^\infty(G, K, V_\tau)$ ,  $\rho_{\Gamma_i}(f)$  is zero on  $V_\pi$  if  $\pi \notin \widehat{G}_\tau$  by the proposition 4.1.3. Let  $h_f(y) = \text{Trace}(f(y))$ . Therefore from the Selberg trace formula 3.0.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}} t_\pi \text{Trace}(\pi(h_f)) &= \sum_{[\gamma] \in [\Gamma_1]_G \cup [\Gamma_2]_G} (a(\gamma, \Gamma_1) - a(\gamma, \Gamma_2)) O_\gamma(h_f); \\ \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}} t_\pi \int_G h_f(y) \phi_\pi(y) dy &= \sum_{[\gamma] \in [\Gamma_1]_G \cup [\Gamma_2]_G} (a(\gamma, \Gamma_1) - a(\gamma, \Gamma_2)) O_\gamma(h_f). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\phi = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}} t_\pi \phi_\pi$ . Then

$$\int_G h_f(y) \phi(y) dy = \sum_{[\gamma] \in [\Gamma_1]_G \cup [\Gamma_2]_G} (a(\gamma, \Gamma_1) - a(\gamma, \Gamma_2)) O_\gamma(h_f).$$

Let  $B$  be the open set from the proposition 4.1.6. For any  $f \in C_c^\infty(G, K, V_\tau)$  supported in  $B$ , the orbital integrals on the right side is zero. For such functions  $f$ , we have

$$\int_B h_f(y) \phi(y) dy = 0.$$

From Lem. 4.1.2, the functions  $h_f$  separates points in  $B$ . Hence  $\phi$  must vanish on the open subset  $B$  of  $G$ . Since  $\phi$  is real analytic (see 2.2.2), it vanishes on all of  $G$ . By the linear independence of functions  $\phi_\pi$  (see 2.2.1), we conclude that  $m(\pi, \Gamma_1) = m(\pi, \Gamma_2)$  for all  $\pi \in \widehat{G}_\tau$ .

## 5. PROOF OF SECOND MAIN RESULT Thm. 1.3.2

**5.1. SOME OBSERVATIONS.** In this subsection, we make some observations that will be useful in the proof of Thm. 1.3.2.

Recall (see Sec. 2.3) that  $\mathcal{A}^\infty(G/K, \tau)$  is the space of smooth sections of the vector bundle  $E_\tau$  and  $V_{\Gamma, \tau}$  is the subspace defined by

$$V_{\Gamma, \tau} = \{\phi \in \mathcal{A}^\infty(G/K, \tau) \mid \phi(\gamma x) = \phi(x) \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Gamma, x \in G\}.$$

Let  $n = \dim(V_\tau)$ . Recall the choice of orthonormal basis  $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$  of  $V_\tau$  (see ??). For  $\phi \in V_{\Gamma, \tau}$ , write  $\phi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(x) v_i$  for all  $x \in G$ , where each  $\phi_i$  is smooth complex valued function on  $\Gamma \backslash G$ . Recall that  $V_{\chi, \Gamma, \tau}$  is the  $\chi$ -eigenspace of  $V_{\Gamma, \tau}$  with respect to the action of  $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ . For any  $X \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$  and for all  $x \in G$ , we can see that

$$(5.1.1) \quad X \cdot \phi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n X \cdot \phi_i(x) v_i.$$

Therefore, we conclude that  $X \cdot \phi_i = \chi(X) \phi_i$  for all  $i$ .



Each  $\tau(k)$  has a matrix representation with respect to the chosen orthonormal basis of  $V_\tau$ . Let the  $(i, j)$ -th entry of  $\tau(k)$  be denoted by  $a_{ij}(k)$ .

Note that  $\phi(xk) = \tau(k^{-1})(\phi(x))$  for all  $x \in G$  and  $k \in K$ . Thus we have

$$(5.1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_i \phi_i(xk) v_i &= \tau(k^{-1}) \left( \sum_j \phi_j(x) v_j \right) \\ &= \sum_l \left( \sum_j a_{lj}(k^{-1}) \phi_j(x) \right) v_l. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\phi_i(xk) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(k^{-1}) \phi_j(x)$  for all  $x \in G$ ,  $k \in K$ .

5.2. *PROOF OF Thm. 1.3.2.* We denote  $[\chi] = \{\pi \in \widehat{G} : \text{the infinitesimal character of } \pi \text{ is } \chi\}$ . Recall that

$$L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) = \widehat{\bigoplus_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} m(\pi, \Gamma) W_\pi}.$$

There are  $m(\pi, \Gamma)$  many copies of  $W_\pi$  say,  $\{W_{\pi_t} : 1 \leq t \leq m(\pi, \Gamma)\}$ . Let  $P_{\pi_t}$  be the projection onto  $W_{\pi_t}$ .

Clearly,  $\phi_i \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ . We have

$$\phi_i = \sum_{\pi \in [\chi]} \sum_{t=1}^{m(\pi, \Gamma)} P_{\pi_t} \phi_i.$$

For any  $1 \leq t \leq m(\pi, \Gamma)$ , clearly  $P_{\pi_t} \in \text{Hom}_G(L^2(\Gamma \backslash G), W_{\pi_t})$ . Using Eq. (5.1.2), we get

$$(5.2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi(k) P_{\pi_t} \phi_i &= P_{\pi_t} \rho(k) \phi_i \\ &= P_{\pi_t} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(k^{-1}) \phi_j \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(k^{-1}) P_{\pi_t} \phi_j. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $F_t := \text{Span of } \{P_{\pi_t} \phi_j : 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ . From (5.2.1), it follows that  $F_t$  is a finite dimensional representation of  $K$ . Let  $\tau^\vee$  be the dual representation of  $\tau$  of  $K$  on the dual space  $V_\tau^*$ . Let  $\{v_i^*\}_{i=1}^n$  be the dual basis of  $V_\tau^*$ . Then there is  $K$ -isomorphism between  $F_t$  and  $V_\tau^*$  which maps each  $P_{\pi_t} \phi_i$  to  $v_i^*$ .

Now we assume  $\tau$  is irreducible (and hence  $\tau^\vee$  as well). For a fixed  $t$ , let  $W_{\pi_t}(\tau^\vee)$  be the isotypic component of  $\tau^\vee$  in  $W_{\pi_t}$ . Then  $F_t \subset W_{\pi_t}(\tau^\vee)$ . We have a decomposition

$$W_{\pi_t}(\tau^\vee) = \bigoplus_{p=1}^{M_{\tau^\vee}} H_p,$$

where, each  $H_p$  is an irreducible representation of  $K$  isomorphic to  $\tau^\vee$ . Note that  $M_{\tau^\vee} = \dim(\text{Hom}_K(\tau^\vee, \pi))$ .

For each  $p$ , let  $\{\phi_{t,p,s}\}_{s=1}^n$  be a basis of  $H_p$  satisfying  $\phi_{p,t,s}(xk) = \sum_{u=1}^n a_{us}(k^{-1}) \phi_{t,p,u}(x)$ . Note that this is possible because each  $H_p$  is isomorphic to  $F_t$ .

Now,  $P_{\pi_t} \phi_i = \sum_p \sum_s \alpha_{p,s,i} \phi_{t,p,s}$ . For each  $p$ , the matrix  $(\alpha_{p,s,i})_{si}$  is matrix which represents a  $K$ -homomorphism between  $F_t$  and  $H_p$ . Therefore,  $\alpha_{p,s,i} = \alpha_p \delta_{s,i}$  for some scalar  $\alpha_p$  by Schur's lemma.

Therefore,  $P_{\pi_t} \phi_i = \sum_p \alpha_p \phi_{t,p,i}$ . We define  $\phi_{t,p}(x) := \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_{t,p,i}(x) v_i$  for all  $t$  and  $p$ . Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i v_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_{\pi \in [\chi]} \sum_{t=1}^{m(\pi, \Gamma)} P_{\pi_t} \phi_i \right) v_i \\ (5.2.2) \quad &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\pi \in [\chi]} \sum_{t=1}^{m(\pi, \Gamma)} \sum_{p=1}^{M_{\tau^\vee}} \alpha_p \phi_{t,p,i} v_i \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in [\chi]} \sum_{t=1}^{m(\pi, \Gamma)} \sum_{p=1}^{M_{\tau^\vee}} \alpha_p \phi_{t,p}. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that  $\dim V_{\chi, \Gamma, \tau} \leq \sum_{\pi \in [\chi]} m(\pi, \Gamma) \dim(\text{Hom}_K(\tau^\vee, \pi))$ .

Conversely, for every  $t$  and for every  $p$ , choose a basis  $\{\phi_{t,p,i}\}_{i=1}^n$  of  $H_p$  such that

$$\phi_{t,p,i}(xk) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ji}(k^{-1}) \phi_{t,p,j}(x).$$

Let  $\phi_{t,p} = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_{t,p,i} v_i$ . Then  $\phi_{t,p}(xk) = \tau(k^{-1})(\phi_{t,p}(x))$ . Since  $X \cdot \phi_{t,p,i} = \chi(X) \phi_{t,p,i}$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ , it follows that  $\phi_{t,p} \in V_{\chi, \Gamma, \tau}$ .

We conclude that  $\sum_{\pi \in [\chi]} m(\pi, \Gamma) \dim(\text{Hom}_K(\tau^\vee, \pi)) \leq \dim V_{\chi, \Gamma, \tau}$ .

Therefore, we have the equality

$$(5.2.3) \quad \dim V_{\chi, \Gamma, \tau} = \sum_{\pi \in [\chi]} m(\pi, \Gamma) \dim(\text{Hom}_K(\tau^\vee, \pi)) \text{ for all } \tau \in \widehat{K}.$$

Now, let us consider the general case that  $\tau$  is a finite dimensional representation of  $K$  (possibly reducible). Let  $\tau \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^q m_i \tau_i$  be a decomposition of  $\tau$  into irreducible representations of  $K$ . Hence  $\tau^\vee \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^q m_i (\tau_i)^\vee$ .

Let  $V_{\Gamma, \tau}$  be as in Sec. 2.3. The center  $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$  acts on this space. Therefore for any character  $\chi \in \widehat{\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g})}$ , we can consider the  $\chi$ -eigenspace  $V_{\chi, \Gamma, \tau} \subset V_{\Gamma, \tau}$ . We observe that

$$\dim V_{\chi, \Gamma, \tau} = \sum_{i=1}^q m_i \dim V_{\chi, \Gamma, \tau_i}.$$

We can use the argument culminating into Eq.(5.2.3) for each  $\tau_i$  and the additivity properties of  $\text{Hom}$  spaces with respect to decomposition of  $\tau$  to complete the proof of Thm. 1.3.2.

**5.3. DISCRETE SERIES REPRESENTATIONS AND COHOMOLOGY.** In this subsection, we comment about the case when  $G$  is non-compact connected semisimple group that admits a discrete series representation. (This is same as saying  $\text{rank } G = \text{rank } T$ , where  $T$  is a ‘compact’ Cartan subgroup.) It is well-known that for a given  $\chi \in \widehat{\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g})}$ , and a  $K$ -type  $\tau$

there exists at most one (up to infinitesimal equivalence) discrete series representation  $\pi_{\lambda+\rho}$  with the infinitesimal character  $\chi$  and minimal  $K$ -type  $\tau$  where  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$  such that  $\lambda + \rho$  is regular and integral linear form. Also,  $\text{Hom}_K(\tau, \pi_{\lambda+\rho}) = 1$ .

Therefore Thm. 1.3.2 implies the dimension of  $V_{\chi, \Gamma, \tau^\vee}$  is equal to the multiplicity of the above discrete series  $\pi_{\lambda+\rho}$  in  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ .

For  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ , there exists a  $G$ -equivariant holomorphic line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$  on  $G/T$ . Under a mild condition on  $\lambda$  (see 7.66 [16]), the theorem [Thm. 7.65, [16]] implies the  $L^2$ -cohomology  $H_2^*(\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{L}_\lambda)$  is non-vanishing in exactly one degree  $q_\lambda$  (depends on  $\lambda$ ) and

$$\dim H_2^{q_\lambda}(\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{L}_\lambda) = m(\pi_{\lambda+\rho}, \Gamma).$$

Hence, the dimension of the  $\chi$ -eigenspace of the automorphic forms of type  $\tau^\vee$  is equal to the  $q_\lambda$ -th  $L^2$ -cohomology of the automorphic line bundle  $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{L}_\lambda$ .

**FUNDING:** K. Mondal thanks Prime Minister Research Fellowship (PMRF) Govt. of India for supporting this work partially. G. Sachdeva's research is supported by Department of Science Technology-Science and Engineering Research Board, Govt. of India POWER Grant [SPG/2022/001738].

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:** K. Mondal thanks the program Zariski dense subgroups, number theory and geometric application (ICTS/zdsg2024/01) at International Centre for Theoretical Sciences (ICTS), Bengaluru, India in January, 2024. He also sincerely thanks Prof. C. S. Rajan for many fruitful discussions during this workshop.

## References

- [1] Bhagwat Chandrasheel, and Rajan C. S., *On a spectral analog of the strong multiplicity one theorem*. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN(2011), no.18, 4059–4073.
- [2] Bhagwat Chandrasheel, and Sachdeva Gunja, *Results on Strong multiplicity one theorem*. (Preprint) <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2210.00303>

- [3] Kelmer Dubi, *A refinement of strong multiplicity one for spectra of hyperbolic manifolds. (English summary)* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 366 (2014), no.11, 5925–5961.
- [4] Knapp A., *Representation Theory of Semisimple Groups: An Overview Based on Examples*, Princeton University Press, 2001.
- [5] Lauret Emilio A., and Miatello Roberto J., *Strong multiplicity one theorems for locally homogeneous spaces of compact-type. (English summary)* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 148 (2020), no.7, 3163–3173.
- [6] Lauret Emilio A., and Miatello Roberto J., *Strong representation equivalence for compact symmetric spaces of real rank one. (English summary)* Pacific J. Math. 314 (2021), no.2, 333–373.
- [7] Lauret Emilio A., Miatello Roberto J., and Rossetti, J. P., *Representation equivalence and  $p$ -spectrum of constant curvature space forms. (English summary)* J. Geom. Anal. 25 (2015), no.1, 564–591.
- [8] Matsushima Yozô, *A formula for the Betti numbers of compact locally symmetric Riemannian manifolds. J. Differential Geometry* 1 (1967), 99–109.
- [9] Matsushima Yozô, and Murakami Shingo, *On certain cohomology groups attached to Hermitian symmetric spaces. II.* Osaka Math. J. 5 (1968), 223–241.
- [10] Milnor J., *Eigenvalues of the Laplace operator on certain manifolds.* Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 51 (1964), 542.
- [11] Pesce Hubert, *Variétés hyperboliques et elliptiques fortement isospectrales. (French. English summary) [Strongly isospectral hyperbolic and elliptic manifolds]* J. Funct. Anal. 134 (1995), no.2, 363–391.
- [12] Rajan Conjeeveram S., *Some questions on spectrum and arithmetic of locally symmetric spaces.* (English summary) Algebraic and arithmetic structures of moduli spaces (Sapporo 2007), 137–157. Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 58 Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 2010 ISBN:978-4-931469-59-4
- [13] Sunada T., *Riemannian coverings and isospectral manifolds*, Annals of Mathematics, 121, (1985), 169–186.
- [14] Vignéras M.-F., *Variétés Riemanniennes isospectrales et non isométriques*, Annals of Mathematics, 112, (1980), 21–32.
- [15] Wallach Nolan R., *On the Selberg trace formula in the case of compact quotient.* Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 82 (1976), no.2, 171–195.
- [16] Williams Floyd L., *Lectures on the spectrum of  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ .* Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., 242 Longman Scientific and Technical, Harlow; copublished in the United States with John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1991. xiv+348 pp. ISBN:0-582-06863-0.

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Dr. Homi Bhabha Road, Pashan, Pune 411008, INDIA.

Email address: cbhagwat@iiserpune.ac.in

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Dr. Homi Bhabha Road, Pashan, Pune 411008, INDIA.

*Email address:* `kaustabh.mondal@students.iiserpune.ac.in`

BITS Pilani, K.K. BIRLA GOA Campus, NH 17B, Bypass Road, Zuarinagar, Sancoale, Goa 403726, India.

*Email address:* `gunjas@goa.bits-pilani.ac.in`