

Twisting factors and fixed-time models in quantum field theory

EZIO VASSELLI

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Roma “Tor Vergata”,
Via della Ricerca Scientifica, I-00133 Roma, Italy.
ezio.vasselli@gmail.com

May 10, 2024

Abstract

We construct a class of fixed-time models in which the commutations relations of a Dirac field with a bosonic field are non-trivial and depend on the choice of a given distribution (“twisting factor”). If the twisting factor is fundamental solution of a differential operator, then applying the differential operator to the bosonic field yields a generator of the local gauge transformations of the Dirac field. Charged vectors generated by the Dirac field define states of the bosonic field which in general are not local excitations of the given reference state. The Hamiltonian density of the bosonic field presents a non-trivial interaction term: besides creating and annihilating bosons, it acts on momenta of fermionic wave functions. When the twisting factor is the Coulomb potential, the bosonic field contributes to the divergence of an electric field and its Laplacian generates local gauge transformations of the Dirac field. In this way we get a fixed-time model fulfilling the equal time commutation relations of the interacting Coulomb gauge.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 81T05, 81T08.

Keywords. quantum field theory, commutation relations, Coulomb gauge

1 Introduction

A typical class of models in quantum field theory is given by those formed by a Dirac (electron) field ψ and a bosonic field, say φ^λ for future convenience, fulfilling the equal time commutation relations

$$[\varphi^\lambda(\mathbf{x}, t), \psi(\mathbf{y}, t)] = -\sigma(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{y}, t). \quad (1.1)$$

In the previous expression σ is a distribution that evidently measures the obstruction for ψ and φ^λ to commute. Moreover, in dependence on the support of σ , it also yields an

obstruction for them being relatively local. Just to mention two examples, we encounter commutation relations of the type (1.1) in the derivative coupling model [11, §4.6.3] (where σ is the Dirac delta), and in the Coulomb gauge (QED), with σ the Coulomb potential [11, §7.8.1].

Now, a way to produce models where (1.1) holds is to start from commuting free fields ψ and φ and then perform a Wick product of the type $: e^{-i\varphi(x)} : \psi(x)$, as in the derivative coupling model. Nevertheless this method does not allow to escape from the Borchers class of the initial model, so we do not really gain additional structural informations. A further point is that when (1.1) involves non-local field systems, as in the Coulomb gauge, important tools of local Wightman fields are not available, or at least problematic to handle (spin-statistic theorem, perturbative series, renormalization).

In the present paper we propose a different approach to obtain commutation relations of the type (1.1), based on operator algebraic techniques. Starting from a fixed time field system $\mathcal{F} = \{\psi, \varphi\}$ formed by commuting free fields, we construct a new field system $\mathcal{F}^\lambda = \{\psi, \varphi^\lambda\}$. The field φ^λ , fulfilling (1.1), does not act merely on the bosonic Fock space, and \mathcal{F}^λ is not relatively local to \mathcal{F} when σ has support with non-empty interior. In this way typically we obtain non-local field systems, thus the task of exhibiting local observables encoding the informations necessary to reconstruct \mathcal{F}^λ arises. We will approach this problem in a relativistic setting by making use of current and charge density fields [13], with the idea of taking as model the interacting Coulomb gauge whose equal time commutation relations are easily realized by one of our models §3. In the present paper we make a preliminary step towards this direction, by studying the charged states of φ^λ induced by states of ψ .

In our hypothesis, we may assume that φ is defined by a regular representation of the Weyl algebra \mathcal{W} associated with the symplectic space \mathcal{S} of test functions of the type $s = (s_0, s_1) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and that, analogously, ψ arises from a representation of the CAR algebra \mathcal{C}_h where $h \doteq L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)$, $\mathbb{C}^4 \doteq \mathbb{C}^4 \oplus \mathbb{C}^{4,*}$, is the Hilbert space of Dirac (electron-positron) spinors at a fixed time. Starting from these C*-algebraic structures, our main ingredient is the distribution $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3)$ that we use to construct a morphism

$$u_\sigma : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(h),$$

where \mathcal{S} is intended as an additive group. Such an action is defined by means of the exponential of the convolution $\sigma \star s_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and allows to construct what we call a *fermionic representation* of the Weyl algebra, with "twisted Weyl unitaries" $W^\lambda(s)$ acting non-trivially on the fermionic Hilbert space. The adjoint action of the Weyl unitaries induces automorphisms of the CAR algebra, given by

$$W^\lambda(s) \psi(w) W^\lambda(-s) = \psi(e^{-i\sigma \star s_0} w), \quad (1.2)$$

where $s \in \mathcal{S}$ and $w \in h_+ \doteq L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)$ ¹. Provided that the fermionic representation of

¹The relations (1.2) are an example of semi-direct product algebra in the sense of [8, 9]. In the above references, a Weyl algebra relative to an asymptotic electromagnetic field is considered. Here we are interested to different Weyl algebras, at a fixed time and with a different interpretation.

the Weyl algebra is regular, we get the bosonic field φ^λ fulfilling the commutation relations (1.1), that are therefore interpreted as the infinitesimal version of (1.2).

The techniques used to construct φ^λ are not new, in fact they simply involve the fermionic 2nd quantization of a selfadjoint operator on \mathbf{h} defined by the twisting factor. Yet the so-obtained class of models exhibits properties that, at author's knowledge, have not been previously remarked.

First, the Weyl algebra acquires a family of states labelled by fermionic vectors. The corresponding GNS representations are given by the action of the twisted Weyl operators on the cyclic subspaces generated by vectors of the type $\Omega_-^q \otimes \Omega_+$, where Ω_-^q is a vector in the fermionic Fock space with charge $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and Ω_+ is the reference state of φ . Computing the expectation values of φ^λ with respect to one of the above states, we obtain – for different $m \in \mathbb{N}$ – non-trivial transition amplitudes between the Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H}_m^λ of m -fold excitations of $\Omega_-^q \otimes \Omega_+$, in contrast to the untwisted field φ . A further analysis of the expectation values shows that our states in general cannot be interpreted in terms of automorphisms of the Weyl algebra induced by external (c-number) potentials.

Secondly, provided that σ is fundamental solution of a differential operator P_∂ , defining the field $\varrho \doteq P_\partial \varphi^\lambda$ we get the commutation relations

$$[\varrho(s), \psi(w)] = -\psi(s_0 w).$$

Thus $\varrho(s)$ is a generator of the local gauge transformations of the Dirac field, and behaves like the electron-positron charge for all spinors w such that $s_0 \upharpoonright \text{supp}(w) = 1$. The field ϱ is relatively local to ψ independently of the support of σ , and the vector states defined by $\Omega_-^q \otimes \Omega_+$, when restricted to the subalgebra generated by $W(P_\partial s) \in \mathcal{W}$, $s \in \mathcal{S}$, are localized on the support of Ω_-^q .

These results are proved in the following §2 and resumed in our main Theorem 3. We provide examples for σ the Lebesgue measure, the Yukawa potential and, finally, the Coulomb potential. In the latter case we construct an electric field and get the equal time commutation relations of the interacting Coulomb gauge, with $\Delta \varphi^\lambda$ contributing to the charge density field; we stress that in this case the observables are manifest, being given by the electric field and gauge-invariant combinations of the Dirac field (e.g. the free Dirac Hamiltonian [2, §2]).

In the final §4 we perform an analysis in momentum space and give an expression for the Hamiltonian of φ^λ , that presents interaction terms acting both on the bosonic and fermionic factor of the Fock space: in particular, it induces an exchange of momenta between fermionic and bosonic states. This is useful to get a hint of the form that our models may take in the relativistic setting. The analysis of this latter scenario, as well as the necessary discussion of Einstein causality for the observables, are postponed to [13].

Finally we mention that C*-algebraic aspects of our construction are investigated in the companion paper [12]. There, a C*-algebra \mathcal{F} generated by the symbols $\psi(w)$ and elements of a given C*-algebra \mathcal{A} is defined, with relations generalizing (1.2). The construction

involves creation and annihilation operators on a suitable fermionic Fock bimodule over \mathcal{A} . When \mathcal{A} is a Weyl algebra, \mathcal{F} is a semidirect product algebra of the type [8, 9], and the fields constructed in the present paper define regular representations of \mathcal{F} .

2 The models

In the present section we present our family of static models, firstly by considering generic states of the Weyl algebra and then passing to regular states (hence to bosonic fields). In GNS representations, the main point is that the Weyl algebra acts non-trivially on the fermionic factor of the Hilbert space, and as a consequence it gains a family of states labeled by the electron-positron charge. This point shall be evident in the Examples §3.

Stone generator of the twisting factor. We start by considering the symplectic space $\mathcal{S} \doteq \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ of compactly supported, real test functions with symplectic form

$$\eta(s, s') \doteq \int (s_1 s'_0 - s_0 s'_1) \quad , \quad s = (s_0, s_1), s' \in \mathcal{S}. \quad (2.1)$$

We then define the Hilbert spaces $\mathbf{h}_+ \doteq L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)$, $\mathbf{h}_- \doteq L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^{4,*})$ and $\mathbf{h} \doteq \mathbf{h}_+ \oplus \mathbf{h}_-$ endowed with the standard scalar product [2, Eq.13]. On \mathbf{h} we define the conjugation

$$\kappa(w_+ \oplus \bar{w}_-) \doteq w_- \oplus \bar{w}_+ \quad , \quad w_+, w_- \in \mathbf{h}_+. \quad (2.2)$$

In the previous expression, \bar{w}_- is the $\mathbb{C}^{4,*}$ -valued map defined by the conjugate of w_- . Given the tempered distribution $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3)$ we have $\sigma \star s_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$, and we define the unitary-valued mapping $u_{\sigma,s} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{U}(\hat{\mathbb{C}}^4))$,

$$u_{\sigma,s}(\mathbf{x}) \doteq \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\sigma \star s_0(\mathbf{x})} \mathbf{1}_4 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\sigma \star s_0(\mathbf{x})} \mathbf{1}_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.3)$$

where $\mathbf{1}_4$ is the 4×4 identity matrix. Applying $u_{\sigma,s}$ to spinors $w \in \mathbf{h}$ we obtain a unitary operator, and this yields the group morphism $u_\sigma : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{h})$, $s \mapsto u_{\sigma,s}$, that we call the *twist*. u_σ is regular: given $s \in \mathcal{S}$ and $w \in \mathbf{h}$, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int |u_{\sigma,ts}(\mathbf{x})w(\mathbf{x}) - w(\mathbf{x})|^2 d^3\mathbf{x} = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

thus we may write $u_{\sigma,s} = e^{i\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\sigma,s}}$ where the Stone generator $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\sigma,s}$ can be written explicitly

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\sigma,s}w = (-\sigma \star s_0 \cdot w_+) \oplus (\sigma \star s_0 \cdot \bar{w}_-) \quad (2.5)$$

on Dirac spinors w such that $\sigma \star s_0 \cdot w \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \hat{\mathbb{C}}^4)$. Spinors $w \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \hat{\mathbb{C}}^4)$ yield a common dense domain for all $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\sigma,s}$ at varying of $s \in \mathcal{S}$.

Lemma 2.1. *The mapping $s \mapsto \mu_{\sigma,s}$ is continuous in the Schwartz topology, in the sense that for each $w, w' \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \hat{\mathbb{C}}^4)$, the scalar products*

$$\int \langle w(\mathbf{x}), \mp \sigma \star s_0(\mathbf{x}) w'(\mathbf{x}) \rangle d^3 \mathbf{x} \quad (2.6)$$

define tempered distributions in the argument $s_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Proof. We set $\zeta_\mp(s_0)$ equals (2.6), thus the Lemma will be proved if we show that the so-obtained linear functionals are tempered distributions. Given $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, we consider the compactly supported function $s_0^\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $s_0^\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{y}) \doteq s_0(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x})$. It yields the explicit expression for the convolution $\sigma \star s_0(\mathbf{x}) \doteq \sigma(s_0^\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, that is a C^∞ function [6, Chap.9]. Given a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, the modulus $|\sigma(s_0^\mathbf{x})|$ has its maximum on some $\mathbf{a} \in K$. In the rest of the proof we take as K the closed support of $\langle w, w' \rangle \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{C})$. Now, the continuity condition for σ says that there are $c > 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|\sigma(f)| \leq c \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|(1 + q_m) \partial^\alpha f\|_\infty \quad , \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3),$$

where $q_m(\mathbf{y}) \doteq |\mathbf{y}|^m$ and α are multi-indices labelling the partial derivatives ∂^α . We can now estimate

$$|\zeta_\mp(s_0)| = \left| \int \langle w(\mathbf{x}), w'(\mathbf{x}) \rangle \sigma \star s_0(\mathbf{x}) d^3 \mathbf{x} \right| \quad (2.7)$$

$$\leq \|\langle w, w' \rangle\|_\infty \int_K |\sigma \star s_0(\mathbf{x})| d^3 \mathbf{x} \quad (2.8)$$

$$= \|\langle w, w' \rangle\|_\infty \int_K |\sigma(s_0^\mathbf{x})| d^3 \mathbf{x} \quad (2.9)$$

$$\leq \|\langle w, w' \rangle\|_\infty \text{vol}(K) |\sigma(s_0^\mathbf{a})| \quad (2.10)$$

$$\leq c \text{vol}(K) \|\langle w, w' \rangle\|_\infty \cdot \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|(1 + q_m) \partial^\alpha s_0^\mathbf{a}\|_\infty \quad (2.11)$$

$$= c \text{vol}(K) \|\langle w, w' \rangle\|_\infty \cdot \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \left\| \frac{1 + q_m}{1 + q_m^\mathbf{a}} (1 + q_m^\mathbf{a}) \partial^\alpha s_0^\mathbf{a} \right\|_\infty \quad (2.12)$$

$$\leq c \text{vol}(K) M \|\langle w, w' \rangle\|_\infty \cdot \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|(1 + q_m^\mathbf{a}) \partial^\alpha s_0^\mathbf{a}\|_\infty \quad (2.13)$$

$$\leq c \text{vol}(K) M \|\langle w, w' \rangle\|_\infty \cdot \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|(1 + q_m) \partial^\alpha s_0\|_\infty \quad , \quad (2.14)$$

where $q_m^\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{y}) \doteq |\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{a}|^m$ and $M \doteq \max_{\mathbf{a} \in K} \|(1 + q_m)(1 + q_m^\mathbf{a})^{-1}\|_\infty$. Note that in the definition of M we passed to the max over \mathbf{a} because we want an estimate independent of s_0 (note, in fact, that \mathbf{a} depends on s_0); that M is well-defined follows by the fact that $\|(1 + q_m)(1 + q_m^\mathbf{a})^{-1}\|_\infty$ is continuous on $\mathbf{a} \in K$. In conclusion, the last estimate ensures that ζ_\mp fulfils the continuity property with respect to the Schwartz topology, and the Lemma is proved. \square

By construction we have the following equalities,

$$[\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\sigma,s}, \kappa]_+ = 0 \quad , \quad [u_{\sigma,s}, \kappa] = 0 \quad , \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, \quad (2.15)$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]_+$ is the anticommutator ².

We now consider the group $\mathcal{G} \doteq \mathbb{R}^3 \rtimes \mathbb{SO}(3)$ of Galilean transformations generated by space translations and rotations. It acts both on \mathbf{h} and \mathcal{S}

$$U_\tau w(\mathbf{x}) \doteq w_+(\tau^{-1}\mathbf{x}) \oplus \bar{w}_-(\tau^{-1}\mathbf{x}) \quad , \quad s_\tau(\mathbf{x}) \doteq s(\tau^{-1}\mathbf{x}) \quad , \quad \tau \in \mathcal{G}.$$

This yields the unitary representation $U : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{h})$ and we find

$$U_\tau u_{\sigma,s} = u_{\sigma_R, s_\tau} U_\tau, \quad (2.16)$$

for $\tau = (\mathbf{a}, R) \in \mathcal{G}$, $s \in \mathcal{S}$. Given the Weyl algebra \mathcal{W} defined by (\mathcal{S}, η) , we have an action by automorphisms $\alpha : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{aut} \mathcal{W}$,

$$\alpha_\tau(W(s)) \doteq W(s_\tau) \quad , \quad \tau \in \mathcal{G}.$$

Now, we consider the free right Hilbert module $\mathfrak{h} \doteq \mathbf{h} \otimes \mathcal{W}$, on which we define the right \mathcal{W} -module operators

$$W^\lambda(s)(w \otimes A) \doteq u_{\sigma,s} w \otimes W(s)A, \quad (2.17)$$

$w \in \mathbf{h}$, $s \in \mathcal{S}$, $A \in \mathcal{W}$. The operators $W^\lambda(s)$ fulfil the Weyl relations, thus they induce a *-morphism $\lambda : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{h})$ defining a left \mathcal{W} -module action on \mathfrak{h} . With the method illustrated in [12], we can define the fermionic Fock bimodule $\mathfrak{F}_-(\mathfrak{h})$ and the C*-algebra \mathcal{F}_σ generated by operators $\psi(w)$, $W^\lambda(s)$, $w \in \mathbf{h}_+$, $s \in \mathcal{S}$, acting on $\mathfrak{F}_-(\mathfrak{h})$. On \mathcal{F}_σ we have the relations

$$W^\lambda(s)\psi(w) = \psi(e^{-i\sigma \star s_0} w)W^\lambda(s), \quad (2.18)$$

besides the usual CARs and Weyl relations for $\psi(w)$ and $W^\lambda(s)$ respectively (here $\psi(w)$, $w \in \mathbf{h}_+$, is intended as the electron field [2]). It is evident by (2.18) that σ yields an obstacle to make $\psi(w)$ and $W^\lambda(s)$ commute, thus we may regard \mathcal{F}_σ as a twist of the tensor product (that we obtain for $\sigma = 0$) of \mathcal{W} by the CAR algebra over \mathbf{h} . In the following lines we provide a direct construction of Hilbert space representations of \mathcal{F}_σ , obtained in correspondence with states of \mathcal{W} .

²Note that writing $u_{\sigma,s}$ as an exponential series we find $u_{\sigma,s}\kappa = \sum_h (h!)^{-1} i^h \kappa (-1)^h \boldsymbol{\mu}_{s,\sigma}^h = \kappa u_{\sigma,s}$ by antilinearity of κ , thus the first of (2.15) implies the second one.

Representations and charged states. Let $\omega \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{W})$ be a state with GNS triple $(\mathbf{h}^\omega, \Omega_+, \pi^\omega)$; to be concise, we write $W^\omega(s) \equiv \pi^\omega(W(s))$. We set $\mathcal{H}^\omega \doteq \mathcal{F}_-(\mathbf{h}) \otimes \mathbf{h}^\omega$, where $\mathcal{F}_-(\mathbf{h})$ is the fermionic Fock space. On \mathcal{H}^ω act the operators $\psi(w) \otimes \mathbb{I}^\omega$, $w \in \mathbf{h}_+$, defined by the free (electron) Dirac field [2], where $\mathbb{I}^\omega \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{h}^\omega)$ is the identity. We set

$$W^{\lambda, \omega}(s) \doteq \Gamma_-(u_{\sigma, s}) \otimes W^\omega(s) \quad , \quad W^{\lambda, \omega}(s) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}^\omega), \quad (2.19)$$

where $\Gamma_-(u_{\sigma, s})$ is the fermionic 2nd quantization of $u_{\sigma, s} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{h})$. It is easily verified that the operators $W^{\lambda, \omega}(s)$ fulfil the Weyl relations, so (2.19) defines a representation $\pi^{\lambda, \omega}$ of \mathcal{W} that is reduced on each n -Fermion subspace $\mathcal{H}^{\omega, n} \doteq (\otimes_n^- \mathbf{h}) \otimes \mathbf{h}^\omega$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is now easy to check that (2.18) holds in representation. Given $z \in \mathbf{h}$, we consider the fermionic annihilation operators $\mathbf{a}_-(z)$, $\langle z| \doteq (n)^{-1/2} \mathbf{a}_-(z)$, in particular for $z = w \oplus 0$, $w \in \mathbf{h}_+$; then we take $w_- \in \otimes_n^- \mathbf{h} \subset \mathcal{F}_-(\mathbf{h})$, $v_+ \in \mathbf{h}^\omega$ and compute

$$\begin{aligned} W^{\lambda, \omega}(s) \psi(w) (w_- \otimes v_+) &= \\ (2)^{-1/2} W^{\lambda, \omega}(s) \{ \sqrt{n+1} (w \oplus 0) \otimes_- w_- + \sqrt{n} \langle 0 \oplus \bar{w} | w_- \} \otimes W^\omega(s) v_+ &= \\ (2)^{-1/2} \{ \sqrt{n+1} (e^{-i\sigma \star s_0} w \oplus 0) \otimes_- \Gamma_-(u_{\sigma, s}) w_- + \sqrt{n} \langle 0 \oplus e^{i\sigma \star s_0} \bar{w} | \Gamma_-(u_{\sigma, s}) w_- \} \otimes W^\omega(s) v_+ &= \\ \psi(e^{-i\sigma \star s_0} w) W^{\lambda, \omega}(s) (w_- \otimes W^\omega(s) v_+), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$W^{\lambda, \omega}(s) \psi(w) = \psi(e^{-i\sigma \star s_0} w) W^{\lambda, \omega}(s). \quad (2.20)$$

We now introduce the notation $\vec{q} = (q_i)$ to indicate a finite sequence with entries ± 1 ; we write $|\vec{q}| \in \mathbb{N}$ for the cardinality of \vec{q} and $q \doteq \sum_i q_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. We use the above sequences to form Hilbert spaces $\mathbf{h}^{\vec{q}} \subset \mathcal{F}_-^q(\mathbf{h})$ spanned by vectors of the type

$$\Omega_-^q \doteq \Omega_-^0 \otimes_- \Omega_-^1 \dots \otimes_- \Omega_-^{|\vec{q}|}, \quad (2.21)$$

where Ω_-^0 is the fermionic Fock vector and $\Omega_-^i \in \mathbf{h}_{\text{sign}(q_i)}$ for $i > 0$. By construction $\Omega_-^q \in \otimes_-^{|\vec{q}|} \mathbf{h} \cap \mathcal{F}_-^q(\mathbf{h})$, where $\mathcal{F}_-^q(\mathbf{h})$ is the subspace of $\mathcal{F}_-(\mathbf{h})$ with charge q . Assuming that Ω_-^q is normalized, the vector $\Omega^q \doteq \Omega_-^q \otimes \Omega_+$ defines the state

$$\omega_{\sigma, q}(W(s)) \doteq \langle \Omega^q, W^{\lambda, \omega}(s) \Omega^q \rangle = \langle \Omega_-^q, \Gamma_-(u_{\sigma, s}) \Omega_-^q \rangle \omega(W(s)). \quad (2.22)$$

States of the above type may coincide with ω on specific localization regions, depending on the supports of σ and Ω_-^q . For example,

- If σ is the Dirac delta then $\text{supp}(\sigma \star s_0) = \text{supp}(s_0)$, so that $\Gamma_-(u_{\sigma, s}) \Omega_-^q = \Omega_-^q$ and $\omega_{\sigma, q}(W(s)) = \omega(W(s))$ for $\text{supp}(s) \cap \text{supp}(\Omega_-^q) = \emptyset$. In other words, if we take ω as a reference state of \mathcal{W} then $\omega_{\sigma, q}$ is localized on the support of Ω_-^q .
- If $\text{supp}(\sigma)$ is contained in the 3-ball B_r with radius r centered in the origin then $\text{supp}(\sigma \star s_0) \subseteq \text{supp}(s_0) + B_r$ and we have $\omega_{\sigma, q}(W(s)) = \omega(W(s))$ whenever s_0 is such that $(\text{supp}(\Omega_-^q) + B_r) \cap \text{supp}(s_0) = \emptyset$. Thus the state $\omega_{\sigma, q}$ is localized in the region around $\text{supp}(\Omega_-^q)$ with radius $r > 0$.

- Analogously, if $\text{supp}(\sigma) = \mathbb{R}^3$ then there are no regions where $\omega_{\sigma,q} = \omega$.

The GNS representations of $\omega_{\sigma,q}$ are clearly given by the restrictions of $\pi^{\lambda,\omega}$ to the cyclic subspaces of Ω^q , which have charge $q \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}^{\lambda,\omega,\Omega^q} &\doteq \text{span} \{W^{\lambda,\omega}(s)\Omega^q = \Gamma_-(u_{\sigma,s})\Omega_-^q \otimes W(s)\Omega_+, s \in \mathcal{S}\}, \\ \mathcal{H}^{\lambda,\omega,\Omega^q} &\subset \mathcal{H}^{\omega,q} \doteq \mathcal{F}_-^q(\mathbf{h}) \otimes \mathbf{h}^\omega.\end{aligned}\tag{2.23}$$

Note that the spaces $\mathcal{H}^{\lambda,\omega,\Omega^q}$ do not have the form of a tensor product, because the test functions $s \in \mathcal{S}$ stand simultaneously in both the factors. We write, at varying of $q \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\pi^{\lambda,\omega,q} \doteq \pi^{\lambda,\omega} \upharpoonright \mathcal{H}^{\omega,q}, \quad \pi^{\lambda,\omega,\Omega^q} \doteq \pi^{\lambda,\omega} \upharpoonright \mathcal{H}^{\lambda,\omega,\Omega^q}.\tag{2.24}$$

Since $\psi(w)$ maps $\mathcal{F}_-^q(\mathbf{h})$ into $\mathcal{F}_-^{q-1}(\mathbf{h})$, by (2.20) we find

$$\psi(e^{-i\sigma*s_0}w)W^{\lambda,\omega,q}(s) = W^{\lambda,\omega,q-1}(s)\psi(w),\tag{2.25}$$

where $W^{\lambda,\omega,q}(s) \doteq \pi^{\lambda,\omega,q}(W(s))$.

We wish to understand the interplay between the \mathcal{G} -action and $\pi^{\lambda,\omega}$. To this end, we assume that the reference state ω is \mathcal{G} -invariant (for example, the Fock state), so that there is a unitary representation $U^\omega : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{h}^\omega)$ besides $U^- : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{F}_-(\mathbf{h}))$ which is defined by fermionic second quantization $U_\tau^- \doteq \Gamma_-(U_\tau)$. Applying (2.16) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\pi^{\lambda,\omega}(W(s_\tau)) &= \Gamma_-(u_{\sigma,s_\tau}) \otimes W^\omega(s_\tau) = \\ &= U_\tau^- \Gamma_-(u_{\sigma_{R^{-1}},s}) U_\tau^{-*} \otimes U_\tau^\omega W^\omega(s) U_\tau^{\omega*} = \\ &= V_\tau \pi^{\omega,\sigma_{R^{-1}}}(W(s)) V_\tau^*,\end{aligned}$$

having defined $V_\tau \doteq U_\tau^- \otimes U_\tau^\omega$ and $\pi^{\omega,\sigma_{R^{-1}}}(W(s)) \doteq \Gamma_-(u_{\sigma_{R^{-1}},s}) \otimes W^\omega(s)$. The previous expression says that: (1) the representations $\pi^{\lambda,\omega}$ and $\pi^{\omega,\sigma_{R^{-1}}}$ are unitarily equivalent for any $\tau = (\mathbf{a}, R)$, and if σ is rotation-invariant then $\pi^{\lambda,\omega}$ is \mathcal{G} -covariant; (2) for generic σ , the representation $\pi^{\lambda,\omega}$ is translation covariant,

$$\pi^{\lambda,\omega}(W(s_{\mathbf{a}})) = V_{\mathbf{a}} \pi^{\lambda,\omega}(W(s)) V_{\mathbf{a}}^*.\tag{2.26}$$

The same computation yields

$$\omega_{\sigma,q}(W(s_\tau)) = \langle U_\tau^{-*} \Omega_-^q, \Gamma_-(u_{\sigma_{R^{-1}},s}) U_\tau^{-*} \Omega_-^q \rangle \omega(W(s)).\tag{2.27}$$

Regular representations. Assuming that π^ω is regular, by (2.4) and (2.19) we conclude that $\pi^{\lambda,\omega}$ is regular, thus we introduce the (Segal) field φ^λ defined by $W^{\lambda,\omega}(s) = e^{i\varphi^\lambda(s)}$. Explicitly, we find

$$\varphi^\lambda(s) = \mathbb{I}_- \otimes \varphi(s) + \boldsymbol{\mu}_\sigma^-(s) \otimes \mathbb{I}_+,\tag{2.28}$$

where φ is the field defined by the regular representation π^ω and

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_\sigma^- : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}) \quad , \quad \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{F}_-(\mathbf{h}),\tag{2.29}$$

is defined by the fermionic 2nd quantized of the Stone generator (2.5); in the above expression, \mathcal{D} is the subspace of antisymmetric tensor products of spinors $w \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \hat{\mathbb{C}}^4)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D})$ the *-algebra of linear operators from \mathcal{D} into \mathcal{D} . By Lemma 2.1, μ_σ^- is an operator-valued distribution. We shall refer to φ^λ as the *twisted bosonic field*. By (2.15), and using the fact that μ_σ^- by construction preserves the fermionic n -particle space (and in particular both the positive and negative charge subspaces), we find

$$[\mu_\sigma^-, N_-] = [\mu_\sigma^-, Q_-] = [\mu_\sigma^-, \Gamma_-(\kappa)]_+ = 0, \quad (2.30)$$

where N_- is the Fermion particle number operator, Q_- is the electron-positron charge operator and $\Gamma_-(\kappa)$ is the fermionic 2nd quantized of κ .

To give an explicit expression of μ_σ^- , for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k = 1, \dots, n$ we introduce the matrix

$$\tau_{n,k} \doteq \dots \mathbf{1}_8 \otimes \left(\begin{array}{cc} \overbrace{-\mathbf{1}_4}^k & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_4 \end{array} \right) \otimes \mathbf{1}_8 \dots,$$

acting non-trivially only on the k th factor of $\otimes^n \hat{\mathbb{C}}^4$; it encodes the action of the electron-positron charge on the given factor. Then, given $w_- = \oplus_n w_-^n \in \mathcal{D}$, $w_-^n \doteq P_n^- w_-^n$, we write

$$\mu_\sigma^-(s) w_-(\vec{y}) = \bigoplus_n P_n^- \sum_{k=1}^n \int \tau_{n,k} \sigma(\mathbf{y}_k - \mathbf{x}) s_0(\mathbf{x}) w_-^n(\vec{y}) d^3 \mathbf{x}, \quad (2.31)$$

where $\vec{y} \doteq (\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_k, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{3n}$, $w_-^n \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{3n}, \otimes^n \hat{\mathbb{C}}^4)$ is antisymmetric in the arguments $\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n \in \mathbb{R}^3$, and P_n^- is the antisymmetric projection onto $\otimes_n^- \hat{\mathbb{C}}^4$. Thus, regarded as a "field", μ_σ^- is given by

$$\mu_\sigma^-(\mathbf{x}) = \bigoplus_n P_n^- \sum_{k=1}^n \tau_{n,k} \sigma_{n,k,\mathbf{x}}, \quad (2.32)$$

having defined the operator-valued distribution $\sigma_{n,k,\mathbf{x}} w_-^n(\vec{y}) \doteq \sigma(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}_k) w_-^n(\vec{y})$ on the dense subspace of $\otimes_n^- \mathbf{h}$ spanned by antisymmetric functions in $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{3n}, \otimes^n \hat{\mathbb{C}}^4)$.

Example 2.1 (The Fock state). Let $\omega(W(s)) \doteq e^{-1/4(\|s_0 + is_1\|_2^2)}$, $s \in \mathcal{S}$, denote the Fock state. Then \mathbf{h}^ω is the bosonic Fock space $\mathcal{F}_+(\mathbf{h}_0)$, $\mathbf{h}_0 \doteq L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C})$, and φ is the bosonic free field over \mathbf{h}_0 . If ϕ is the usual initial condition of the free scalar field and π its conjugate field [1, §8.4.A], then $\phi(s_0) = \varphi(s_0, 0)$, $\pi(s_1) = \varphi(0, s_1)$. This yields the Fock representation of \mathcal{W} over $\mathcal{F}_+(\mathbf{h}_0)$. Adding μ_σ^- for an arbitrary distribution σ yields the fields φ^λ . The Weyl operators in representation are given by

$$W^{\lambda, \omega}(s) \doteq e^{i\varphi^\lambda(s)} = e^{i\mu_\sigma^-(s)} \otimes e^{i\varphi(s)}.$$

Given the states $\omega_{\sigma,q} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{W})$ (2.22), $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, for $q = 0$ we find $\omega_{\sigma,q} = \omega$, whilst

$$\omega_{\sigma,q}(W(s)) = \frac{1}{|\vec{q}|!} \sum_{\pi} \prod_k \int \langle \Omega_{-}^i(\mathbf{x}), e^{-i\sigma \star s_0(\mathbf{x})} \Omega_{-}^{\pi(i)}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle d^3\mathbf{x} \cdot e^{-1/4\|s_0+is_1\|_2^2}.$$

The localization properties of the above states depend on σ as discussed in the previous paragraph. For example, in the case $q = -1$, $\sigma(\mathbf{x}) = 1/|\mathbf{x}|$, so that $\Omega_{-}^1 \in \mathbf{h}_{+}$,

$$\omega_{\sigma,1}(W(s)) = \int \langle \Omega_{-}^1(\mathbf{x}), e^{-i \int |\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|^{-1} s_0(\mathbf{y}) d^3\mathbf{y}} \Omega_{-}^1(\mathbf{x}) \rangle d^3\mathbf{x} \cdot e^{-1/4\|s_0+is_1\|_2^2}. \quad (2.33)$$

Elementary properties of the twisted bosonic field. Our aim is now to give some elementary properties of the twisted field φ^{λ} defined in (2.28). As a first step we note that for all $s, s' \in \mathcal{S}$ we have

$$[\varphi^{\lambda}(s), \varphi^{\lambda}(s')] = [\varphi(s), \varphi(s')] = -i\eta(s, s'),$$

where φ is the untwisted bosonic field defined by the regular reference state $\omega \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{W})$. Assuming that φ is constructed in terms of creation-annihilation operators $\mathbf{a}_{+}^{\circ}(s)$, we may write

$$\varphi^{\lambda}(s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathbf{a}_{+\sigma}(s) + \mathbf{a}_{+\sigma}^{*}(s)) \quad (2.34)$$

where

$$\mathbf{a}_{+\sigma}^{\circ}(s) \doteq \mathbb{I}_{-} \otimes \mathbf{a}_{+}^{\circ}(s) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \mu_{\sigma}^{-}(s) \otimes \mathbb{I}_{+}, \quad (2.35)$$

and \circ indicates a blank or the $*$ symbol. Having started from the hypothesis that $\mathbf{a}_{+}(s)\Omega_{+} = 0$, we find

$$\mathbf{a}_{+\sigma}(s)\Omega = 0,$$

where $\Omega \doteq \Omega_{-}^0 \otimes \Omega_{+}$. Thus $\langle \Omega, \varphi^{\lambda}(s)\Omega \rangle = 0$ provided that the same is true for φ with respect to Ω_{+} (that is the case when ω is the Fock state).

In accord with the considerations of the previous paragraphs, φ^{λ} is highly reducible on \mathcal{H}^{ω} . The fact that φ^{λ} commutes with the fermionic number operator implies that we have restricted fields $\varphi^{\lambda,n}$ defined on the Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}^{\omega,n} \doteq (\otimes_{-}^n \mathbf{h}) \otimes \mathbf{h}^{\omega}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so that we may write $\varphi^{\lambda} = \oplus_n \varphi^{\lambda,n}$, $\mathcal{H}^{\omega} = \oplus_n \mathcal{H}^{\omega,n}$. By definition $\varphi^{\lambda,0}$ is the untwisted field φ acting irreducibly on $\mathcal{H}^{\omega,0} \simeq \mathbf{h}^{\omega}$. Instead, the fields $\varphi^{\lambda,n}$ can in turn be reduced on the cyclic subspaces $\mathcal{H}^{\lambda,\omega,\Omega^q} \subset \mathcal{H}^{\omega,n}$, $n = |\vec{q}|$ (2.23). An alternative decomposition is $\varphi^{\lambda} = \oplus_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi^{\lambda,q}$ according the electron-positron charge, obtained by reducing φ^{λ} over the q charge subspaces $\mathcal{H}^{\omega,q}$ (2.23); they correspond to the representations $\pi^{\lambda,\omega,q}$ of the Weyl algebra (2.24).

Expectation values. Let $n = |\vec{q}| \neq 0$ and $\Omega^q = \Omega_-^q \otimes \Omega_+ \in \mathcal{H}^{\omega, n}$ a normalized vector of the type (2.21), where $\Omega_-^q \in \otimes^n \mathbf{h}$ has compact support and charge q . We consider, at varying of $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the Hilbert spaces

$$\mathcal{H}_m^\lambda \doteq \text{span}\{\varphi^\lambda(s_1) \cdots \varphi^\lambda(s_m) \Omega^q\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}^{\omega, n}, \quad (2.36)$$

and the expectation values

$$\mathbf{w}_m^{\lambda, q}(s^1, \dots, s^m) \doteq \langle \Omega^q, \varphi^\lambda(s^1) \cdots \varphi^\lambda(s^m) \Omega^q \rangle, \quad s^1, \dots, s^m \in \mathcal{S}. \quad (2.37)$$

We analyze in particular the case with charge $q = 1$, so that $\Omega_-^1 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4) \subset \mathbf{h}_+$ and $\mu_{\sigma, s}^- \Omega_-^1 = \mp \sigma \star s_0 \cdot \Omega_-^1$ where \mp is the opposite of the sign of $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. Writing

$$\mathbf{w}_m(s^1, \dots, s^m) \doteq \langle \Omega^1, \varphi(s^1) \cdots \varphi(s^m) \Omega^1 \rangle = \langle \Omega_+, \varphi(s^1) \cdots \varphi(s^m) \Omega_+ \rangle$$

and

$$\mathbf{w}_m^\sigma(s^1, \dots, s^m) \doteq \langle \Omega^1, \mu_\sigma^-(s^1) \cdots \mu_\sigma^-(s^m) \Omega^1 \rangle = \langle \Omega_-^1, \mu_{\sigma, s^1} \cdots \mu_{\sigma, s^m} \Omega_-^1 \rangle,$$

we have the "m-point functions"

$$\mathbf{w}_m^{\lambda, 1}(s^1, \dots, s^m) = \sum_k \sum_{\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{i} \cup \mathbf{j}} \mathbf{w}_{m-k}(s^{i(1)}, \dots, s^{i(m-k)}) \mathbf{w}_k^\sigma(s^{j(1)}, \dots, s^{j(k)}),$$

where $\mathbf{m} = \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{i} \cup \mathbf{j}$ is any disjoint union with $\mathbf{i} = \{i(1) < \dots < i(m-k)\}$ and $\mathbf{j} = \{j(1) < \dots < j(k)\}$. Note that we may have $\mathbf{w}_1 \neq 0$, for example when $\omega(W(s)) = e^{i\rho(f)-1/4\|s_0+i s_1\|_2^2}$ for some distribution $\rho \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3)$ (in this case $\mathbf{w}_1(s) = \rho(s)$). Also note that in general we have

$$\mathbf{w}_1^\sigma(s) = \langle \Omega_-^1, \mu_{\sigma, s} \Omega_-^1 \rangle \neq 0$$

for Ω_-^1 having support overlapping with that of $\sigma \star s$. When ω is the Fock state, the odd terms \mathbf{w}_{2k+1} vanish so that

$$\mathbf{w}_{2m+1}^{\lambda, 1}(s^1, \dots, s^{2m+1}) = \sum_k \sum_{\text{odd } \mathbf{m}+1 = \mathbf{i} \cup \mathbf{j}} \mathbf{w}_{2m+1-k}(s^{i(1)}, \dots, s^{i(2m+1-k)}) \mathbf{w}_k^\sigma(s^{j(1)}, \dots, s^{j(k)}),$$

and in particular $\mathbf{w}_1^{\lambda, 1} = \mathbf{w}_1^\sigma \neq 0$. Thus there are non-trivial transition amplitudes between states belonging to the spaces \mathcal{H}_m^λ and $\mathcal{H}_{m+1}^\lambda$, in contrast with the case where $\sigma = 0$ and ω the Fock state. Of course, analogous considerations hold for generic $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since the hierarchy of distributions $\mathbf{w}^{\lambda, q}$ is invariant for unitary equivalence of representations of \mathcal{W} , we proved:

Proposition 2.2. *Let ω be the Fock space on \mathcal{W} and $\sigma \neq 0$. Then, given a compactly supported fermionic vector Ω_-^q with charge $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, the cyclic representation $\pi^{\lambda, \omega, \Omega^q}$ in (2.24) is not unitarily equivalent to π^ω .*

Let $R \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $R \cap (\text{supp}(\Omega_-^q) + \text{supp}(\sigma)) = \emptyset$. Then $\mathbf{w}_m^\sigma(s^1, \dots, s^m) = 0$ for all s^1, \dots, s^m supported in R and this indicates that $\pi^{\lambda, \omega, \Omega^q}$ is unitarily equivalent to π^ω on such a region. Yet, as we shall see in the following section, in cases of physical interest we have $\text{supp}(\sigma) = \mathbb{R}^3$.

Now, it is well-known that distributions $\rho \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3)$ induce Weyl algebra automorphisms $\beta_\rho(W(s)) \doteq e^{i\rho(s)}W(s)$, $W(s) \in \mathcal{W}$, such that in the regular representation $\pi^\omega \beta_\rho$ the corresponding Segal field is $\varphi_\rho \doteq \varphi + \rho \mathbb{I}_+$. The interpretation of ρ is then that it is an external field interacting with φ . Picking $\rho = \mathbf{w}_1^\sigma$ we have that φ^λ and φ_ρ have the same "one-point function", yet for $m = 2$ we find

$$\langle \Omega_+, \varphi_\rho(s^1) \varphi_\rho(s^2) \Omega_+ \rangle = \mathbf{w}_2(s^1, s^2) + \mathbf{w}_1^\sigma(s^1) \mathbf{w}_1^\sigma(s^2).$$

The above distribution in general does not equal $\mathbf{w}_2^{\lambda, 1}$ because of the term $\mathbf{w}_2^\sigma(s^1, s^2)$, which differs from $\mathbf{w}_1^\sigma(s^1) \mathbf{w}_1^\sigma(s^2)$ unless the operators $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\sigma, s^1}$, $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\sigma, s^2}$ are given by multiplication by a scalar. This proves that in general our representations cannot be interpreted in terms of external potentials.

Infinitesimal commutation relations. In the sequel, to be concise we will write

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_\sigma^-(s) \equiv \boldsymbol{\mu}_\sigma^-(s) \otimes \mathbb{I}_+ \quad , \quad \varphi(s) \equiv \mathbb{I}_- \otimes \varphi(s) \quad , \quad \psi(w) \equiv \psi(w) \otimes \mathbb{I}_+ \quad ,$$

so that $\varphi^\lambda = \varphi + \boldsymbol{\mu}_\sigma^-$. Our aim is to check the infinitesimal version of (2.20),

$$[\varphi^\lambda(s), \psi(w)] = -\psi(\sigma \star s_0 \cdot w). \quad (2.38)$$

To this end, we proceed by evaluating the commutator at l.h.s. of (2.38) on vectors of the type $w_- \otimes v_+$, $w_- \in \otimes_-^n \mathbf{h} \cap \text{Dom}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_\sigma^-(s))$, $v_+ \in \text{Dom}(\varphi) \subset \mathbf{h}^\omega$. Starting from the fact that $[\varphi(s), \psi(w)] = 0$ we find $[\varphi^\lambda(s), \psi(w)] = [\boldsymbol{\mu}_\sigma^-(s), \psi(w)]$ and, given the fermionic creation and annihilation operators $\mathbf{a}_+^*(w)$, $\mathbf{a}_-(w)$, $w \in \mathbf{h}$, we perform the standard computations

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\mu}_\sigma^-(s) \mathbf{a}_-(\kappa w) w_- &= n^{-1/2} \sum_k (-1)^{k-1} \left(\langle \kappa w, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\sigma, s} w_k \rangle w_k^{(-)} + \langle \kappa w, w_k \rangle \boldsymbol{\mu}_\sigma^-(s) w_k^{(-)} \right) + \\ &- n^{-1/2} \sum_k (-1)^{k-1} \langle \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\sigma, s} \kappa w, w_k \rangle w_k^{(-)} = \\ &= \mathbf{a}_-(\kappa w) \boldsymbol{\mu}_\sigma^-(s) w_- + \mathbf{a}_-(\kappa \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\sigma, s} w) w_- , \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}_\sigma^-(s) \mathbf{a}_+^*(w) w_- &= \sqrt{n+1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\sigma, s} w \otimes_- w_- + \sqrt{n+1} w \otimes_- \sum_k \dots \otimes_- \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\sigma, s} w_k \otimes_- \dots = \\ &= \mathbf{a}_+^*(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\sigma, s} w) w_- + \mathbf{a}_+^*(w) \boldsymbol{\mu}_\sigma^-(s) w_- , \end{aligned}$$

having used (2.15). Summing up the two equalities we get

$$[\boldsymbol{\mu}_\sigma^-(s), \hat{\psi}(w)] = \hat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\sigma, s} w), \quad (2.39)$$

where $\hat{\psi}$ stands for the self-dual Dirac field. For $w \in \mathbf{h}_+ \oplus 0$, we have $\hat{\psi}(w) = \psi(w)$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\sigma, s} w = -(\sigma \star s_0)w$; thus we get (2.38), corresponding to the expression

$$[\varphi^\lambda(\mathbf{x}), \psi(\mathbf{y})] = -\sigma(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) \psi(\mathbf{y}). \quad (2.40)$$

Differential operators. Let P_∂ denote a differential operator with constant coefficients (e.g. $P_\partial = -\Delta$, the Laplace operator). Assuming that σ is a fundamental solution of P_∂ we have $P_\partial \sigma = \delta$, that is, $P_\partial(\sigma \star s_0) = s_0$, and by elementary properties of convolutions we find $P_\partial \mu_{\sigma,s} \doteq \mu_{\sigma, P_\partial s} = \mu_{P_\partial \sigma, s} = \mu_{\delta,s}$. Since $\delta \star s_0 = s_0$, for $w \in \mathbf{h}$ we have

$$P_\partial \mu_{\sigma,s}(w_+ \oplus \bar{w}_-) = \mu_{\delta,s} w = (-s_0 w_+) \oplus (s_0 \bar{w}_-) = \tau s_0 w \quad , \quad \tau \doteq \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{1}_4 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

As we saw in the previous paragraphs, $\mu_{\delta,s}$ is the infinitesimal counterpart of the unitary representation

$$u_{\delta,s} w(\mathbf{x}) \doteq e^{-is_0} w_+ \oplus e^{is_0} \bar{w}_-$$

inducing local gauge transformations of the ψ field. Given $\mu_{\delta}^-(s) \doteq \Gamma_-(\mu_{\delta,s})$, to be concise we write

$$\varrho(s) \doteq \varphi^\lambda(P_\partial s) = \varphi(P_\partial s) + \mu_{\delta}^-(s).$$

By applying (2.38), and using the fact that $[\varphi(s), \psi(w)] = 0$, we obtain the following relations on vectors in domain and for $w \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4) \subset \mathbf{h}_+$:

$$[\varrho(s), \psi(w)] = -\psi(s_0 w) \quad , \quad e^{i\varrho(s)} \psi(w) e^{-i\varrho(s)} = \psi(e^{-is_0} w). \quad (2.41)$$

Thus $\psi(w)$ and $\varrho(s)$ commute for $\text{supp}(s) \cap \text{supp}(w) = \emptyset$, independently of the commutation relations between ψ and φ^λ . As a consequence, if we evaluate the states (2.22) over the C^* -subalgebra \mathcal{W}_σ generated by Weyl unitaries $W(P_\partial s)$, then we find

$$\omega_{\sigma,q}(W(P_\partial s)) = \langle \Omega_-^q, \Gamma_-(u_{\delta,s}) \Omega_-^q \rangle \omega(W(P_\partial s)),$$

implying

$$\omega_{\sigma,q}(W(P_\partial s)) = \omega(W(P_\partial s)) \quad , \quad \text{supp}(s) \cap \text{supp}(\Omega_-^q) = \emptyset.$$

We conclude that the restriction of $\omega_{\sigma,q}$ to \mathcal{W}_σ is localized on the support of Ω_-^q .

3 Synthesis and examples

Theorem 3.1. *Let \mathcal{W} denote the Weyl algebra of be the symplectic space (\mathcal{S}, η) (2.1), and $\omega \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{W})$ a regular state with GNS triple $(\mathbf{h}^\omega, \Omega_+, \pi^\omega)$. Let $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h}_+ \oplus \mathbf{h}_-$ be the Hilbert space with conjugation κ (2.2). Then for any distribution $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3)$ the following properties hold.*

1. *There are a Dirac (electron) field ψ and a bosonic Field φ^λ , both defined on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^\omega \doteq \mathcal{F}_-(\mathbf{h}) \otimes \mathbf{h}^\omega$ and fulfilling, besides the usual $C(A/C)Rs$, the commutation relations*

$$[\varphi^\lambda(s), \psi(w)] = -\psi(\sigma \star s_0 \cdot w) \quad (3.1)$$

for all $w \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4) \subset \mathbf{h}_+$, $s = (s_0, s_1), s' \in \mathcal{S}$. In terms of fields,

$$[\varphi^\lambda(\mathbf{x}), \psi(\mathbf{y})] = -\sigma(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) \psi(\mathbf{y}). \quad (3.2)$$

2. If σ is a fundamental solution of the differential operator P_∂ , then defining $\varrho \doteq \varphi^\lambda P_\partial$ we have

$$[\varrho(s), \psi(w)] = -\psi(s_0 w) \quad , \quad e^{i\varrho(s)} \psi(w) e^{-i\varrho(s)} = \psi(e^{-is_0} w). \quad (3.3)$$

In other words ϱ is generator of the local gauge transformations $\psi(w) \rightarrow \psi(e^{-is_0} w)$. The operators $\varrho(s)$ and $\psi(w)$ commute for $\text{supp}(s) \cap \text{supp}(w) = \emptyset$.

3. Let $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\Omega_-^q \in \mathcal{F}_-^q(\mathbf{h})$ denote a product vector (2.21). Then there is a representation $\pi^{\lambda, \omega, \Omega_-^q} : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^{\lambda, \omega, \Omega_-^q})$, $\mathcal{H}^{\lambda, \omega, \Omega_-^q} \subset \mathcal{H}^\omega$, having cyclic vector $\Omega^q \doteq \Omega_-^q \otimes \Omega_+$. It turns out that $\pi^{\lambda, \omega, \Omega_-^q}$ is the GNS representation of the state

$$\omega_{\sigma, q}(W(s)) \doteq \langle \Omega_-^q, \Gamma_-(u_{\sigma, s}) \Omega_-^q \rangle \omega(W(s)), \quad (3.4)$$

where $\Gamma_-(u_{\sigma, s})$ is the fermionic 2nd quantized of $u_{\sigma, s} w \doteq e^{-i\sigma \star s_0} w_+ \oplus e^{i\sigma \star s_0} \bar{w}_-$, $w = w_+ \oplus \bar{w}_- \in \mathbf{h}$. The states $\omega_{\sigma, q}$ are localized in the region $\text{supp}(\Omega_-^q) + \text{supp}(\sigma)$ in the sense that

$$\omega_{\sigma, q}(W(s)) = \omega(W(s)) \quad , \quad \text{supp}(s) \cap (\text{supp}(\Omega_-^q) + \text{supp}(\sigma)) = \emptyset, \quad (3.5)$$

yet their restrictions on \mathcal{W}_σ are localized in $\text{supp}(\Omega_-^q)$,

$$\omega_{\sigma, q}(W(P_\partial s)) = \omega(W(s)) \quad , \quad \text{supp}(s) \cap \text{supp}(\Omega_-^q) = \emptyset. \quad (3.6)$$

Example 1. Toy models with the Lebesgue measure. We consider the distribution $\sigma(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 1$ given by the Lebesgue measure. Applying the convolution by a test function $s_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ yields $\sigma \star s_0(\mathbf{x}) = -\langle s_0 \rangle$ where $\langle s_0 \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ is the integral of s_0 . Given a regular GNS triple $(\mathbf{h}^\omega, \Omega_+, \pi^\omega)$ for $\omega \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{W})$, where \mathcal{W} is the Weyl algebra (2.1), we form the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^\omega \doteq \mathcal{F}_-(\mathbf{h}) \otimes \mathbf{h}^\omega$, on which the fields φ^λ and ψ are defined. They fulfil the commutation relations

$$[\varphi^\lambda(s), \psi(w)] = \langle s_0 \rangle \psi(w),$$

thus test functions $s \in \mathcal{S}$ with $\langle s_0 \rangle = \pm 1$ define field operators that detect the electron-positron charge. The explicit expression of φ^λ is given by $\varphi^\lambda(s) = \varphi(s) + \boldsymbol{\mu}_\sigma^-(s)$ where φ is the bosonic field defined by ω and $\boldsymbol{\mu}_\sigma^-(s)$ the fermionic second quantization of the multiplication operator by $-\langle s_0 \rangle$. We have the decomposition $\varphi^\lambda = \oplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi^{\lambda, n}$ with respect to the fermion number operator,

$$\varphi^{\lambda, 0} = \varphi \quad , \quad \varphi^{\lambda, n}(s) = \varphi(s) - P_n^- \sum_{k=1}^n \tau_{n, k} \langle s_0 \rangle \quad , \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

As an alternative we may take $w_-^q = \otimes_k w_-^k \in \mathbf{h}^{\vec{q}} \subset \mathcal{F}_-^q(\mathbf{h})$, so that $\tau_{n, k} w_-^k = \pm w_-^k$, $\sum_{k=1}^n \tau_{n, k} w_-^k = q w_-^q$ and $\varphi^\lambda = \oplus_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi^{\lambda, q}$ where $\varphi^{\lambda, 0} = \varphi$, $\varphi^{\lambda, q}(s) = \varphi(s) - q \langle s_0 \rangle$; this makes evident that

$$\varphi^\lambda(s) = \varphi(s) - \langle s_0 \rangle Q_-.$$

We now return on the Weyl algebra and define $\alpha \in \mathbf{aut}\mathcal{W}$, $\alpha(W(s)) \doteq e^{-i\langle s_0 \rangle} W(s)$. We have $W^{\lambda, \omega, q}(s) = \mathbb{I}_q \otimes e^{-iq\langle s_0 \rangle} W^\omega(s)$, so that $\pi^{\lambda, \omega, q} = \mathbb{I}_q \otimes \pi^\omega \alpha^q$ where \mathbb{I}_q is the projection onto $\mathcal{F}_-^q(\mathbf{h})$. Using $\mathbb{I}_{q-1}\psi(w) = \psi(w)\mathbb{I}_q$ we find

$$\psi(w) (\mathbb{I}_q \otimes e^{-iq\langle s_0 \rangle} W^\omega(s)) = e^{-i\langle s_0 \rangle} (\mathbb{I}_{q-1} \otimes e^{-i(q-1)\langle s_0 \rangle} W^\omega(s)) \psi(w),$$

in accord with (2.20). Thus the fermionic field operators are intertwiners of the type

$$\psi(w) \in (\pi^{\lambda, \omega, q}, \pi^{\lambda, \omega, q-1} \alpha).$$

Besides shifting the fermionic charge q , they intertwine the action of the outer automorphism α .

Example 2. Yukawa potentials. Let $m > 0$ and $\sigma(\mathbf{x}) \doteq e^{-m|\mathbf{x}|} |\mathbf{x}|^{-1}$ be intended as the fundamental solution $(-\Delta + m^2)\sigma = \delta$. We consider the Weyl algebra \mathcal{W} defined by (2.1), a GNS triple $(\mathbf{h}^\omega, \Omega_+, \pi^\omega)$ for $\omega \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{W})$ and the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^\omega \doteq \mathcal{F}_-(\mathbf{h}) \otimes \mathbf{h}^\omega$. Assuming that ω is regular, we get a field φ^λ such that

$$[\varphi^\lambda(s), \psi(w)] = -\psi(\sigma \star s_0 \cdot w) \quad , \quad [\varrho(s), \psi(w)] = -\psi(s_0 w),$$

where $\varrho(s) \doteq \varphi^\lambda((-\Delta + m^2)s)$. Since $\text{supp}(\sigma) = \mathbb{R}^3$, we conclude that ψ and φ^λ are not relatively local. Despite that, ϱ behaves like a charge density in the sense that it generates local gauge transformations of ψ and hence is able to detect the electron-positron charge,

$$[\varrho(s), \psi(w)] = -\psi(w),$$

having chosen s_0 such that $s_0 \upharpoonright \text{supp}(w) = 1$. Defining $\Omega^{-1} \doteq \psi(w)\Omega$, $w \in \mathbf{h}_+$, where $\Omega \doteq \Omega_-^0 \otimes \Omega_+$, for ω the standard Fock state we obtain the charged state

$$\omega_{\sigma, -1}(W(s)) \doteq \langle \Omega^{-1}, W^{\lambda, \omega}(s) \Omega^{-1} \rangle = \tag{3.7}$$

$$\int \langle w(\mathbf{x}), e^{-i \int e^{-m|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|} |\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|^{-1} s_0(\mathbf{y}) d^3 \mathbf{y}} w(\mathbf{x}) \rangle d^3 \mathbf{x} \cdot e^{-1/4 \|s_0 + i s_1\|_2^2}. \tag{3.8}$$

As observed in the general discussion, $\omega_{\sigma, -1}$ is not a local excitation of ω . Analogously we obtain states of \mathcal{W} with arbitrary charge $q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Example 3. Coulomb gauge. In the present paragraph $\sigma(\mathbf{x}) \doteq (4\pi|\mathbf{x}|)^{-1}$ is intended as a fundamental solution of the Poisson equation, $-\Delta\sigma = \delta$. About the topic of Coulomb gauge we follow [11, §7.8.1]. In this setting, one deals with a quantum electromagnetic potential $A = (A_0, \mathbf{A})$ such that $\mathbf{div} \mathbf{A} = 0$ and a Dirac electron field ψ , with equal-time commutation relations

$$[\mathbf{E}_h(\mathbf{x}, t), \psi(\mathbf{y}, t)] = -\partial_h \sigma(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \psi(\mathbf{y}, t) \tag{3.9}$$

where $\mathbf{E}_h \doteq \partial_h \mathbf{A}_0 - \partial_0 \mathbf{A}_h$. Other required commutation relations are

$$[\psi^*(\mathbf{x}, t), \psi(\mathbf{y}, t)]_+ = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$$

and, for $i = 1, 2, 3$,

$$[\mathbf{A}_i(\mathbf{x}, t), \dot{\mathbf{A}}_j(\mathbf{y}, t)] = i\delta_{ij}^{tr}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \quad , \quad \delta_{ij}^{tr}(\mathbf{x}) \doteq \delta_{ij}\delta(\mathbf{x}) + \partial_i\partial_j\sigma(\mathbf{x}), \quad (3.10)$$

all the other (anti)commutators being trivial. In the free case we may pick $\mathbf{A}_0 = 0$, whilst in the interacting case $\mathbf{A}_0 = -\mathbf{\Delta}^{-1}j_0$ where j is the current of the Dirac field and $\mathbf{\Delta}^{-1}$ is defined as a pseudo-differential operator.

We want to show how our construction allows to exhibit a fixed-time model in which (3.9) holds. In the present setting we do not involve j_0 , because there are consolidated arguments that its restriction at a fixed time is not well-defined [11, §4.6.1]. Instead we make use of our twisted field φ^λ , playing the role of A_0 and related –as we shall see– to the charge density. To this end, we consider the usual Hilbert spaces \mathbf{h} and $\mathcal{F}_-(\mathbf{h})$ defining ψ ; then we consider the symplectic spaces (\mathcal{S}, η) and $(\mathcal{S}_{tr}, \eta_{tr})$, where η is given by (2.1) and

$$\eta_{tr}(\vec{\mathbf{f}}, \vec{\mathbf{g}}) \doteq \int (\mathbf{f}_1 \cdot P_{tr}\mathbf{g}_0 - \mathbf{g}_1 \cdot P_{tr}\mathbf{f}_0), \quad (3.11)$$

for $\vec{\mathbf{f}} = (\mathbf{f}_0, \mathbf{f}_1)$, $\vec{\mathbf{g}} = (\mathbf{g}_0, \mathbf{g}_1) \in \mathcal{S}_{tr} \doteq \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3) \oplus \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3)$, with

$$P_{tr}\mathbf{f}_{0i}(\mathbf{x}) \doteq \int \delta_{ij}^{tr}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \mathbf{f}_{0j}(\mathbf{y}) d^3\mathbf{y}.$$

Given test functions $s \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3)$, one can check straightforwardly that $P_{tr}\mathbf{f} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^3)$ ³, $\mathbf{div} P_{tr}\mathbf{f} = 0$, $P_{tr}\nabla s = 0$, $P_{tr} = P_{tr}^2 = P_{tr}^*$, where the adjoint is in the sense of the scalar product of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^3)$. We denote the corresponding Weyl algebras by \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{W}_{tr} respectively, and write their spatial tensor product $\mathcal{A} \doteq \mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{W}_{tr}$. Generators of \mathcal{A} can be written as products $W(s)W_{tr}(\vec{\mathbf{f}})$ of commuting unitary symbols, fulfilling separately the Weyl relations with respect to the above symplectic forms. Let now $(\mathbf{h}_0, \Omega_0, \pi_0)$ and $(\mathbf{h}_{tr}, \Omega_{tr}, \pi_{tr})$ be GNS triples for $\omega_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{W})$ and $\omega_{tr} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{W}_{tr})$ respectively, and $\omega \doteq \omega_0 \otimes \omega_{tr}$, $\omega \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})$, denote the product state. We consider the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^\omega \doteq \mathcal{F}_-(\mathbf{h}) \otimes \mathbf{h}_0 \otimes \mathbf{h}_{tr}$ on which the operators $\psi(w)$, $W^\omega(s)$, $W_{tr}^\omega(\vec{\mathbf{f}})$ act. Given the usual twist u_σ (2.3), we have the unitary operators $W^{\lambda, \omega}(s) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}^\omega)$ defined as in (2.19) up to tensoring by the identity of \mathbf{h}_{tr} . Setting

$$V^\lambda(\mathbf{f}) \doteq W^{\lambda, \omega}(\mathbf{div} \mathbf{f}) W_{tr}^\omega(-\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{0}) \quad , \quad \mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3),$$

we have that $V^\lambda(\mathbf{f})$ acts non-trivially on all the factors of \mathcal{H}^ω . We find

$$\begin{cases} [\psi(w), W_{tr}^\omega(\vec{\mathbf{f}})] = 0, \\ V^\lambda(\mathbf{f})\psi(w) = \psi(e^{-i\sigma \star \mathbf{div} \mathbf{f}} w) V^\lambda(\mathbf{f}), \\ V^\lambda(-\nabla s_0)\psi(w) = \psi(e^{-is_0} w) V^\lambda(-\nabla s_0), \end{cases} \quad (3.12)$$

³To check the L^2 condition, we note that the Fourier transform of the term $\partial_i\partial_j\sigma(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y})$ reads $-\mathbf{k}_i\mathbf{k}_j|\mathbf{k}|^{-2}\hat{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{k}) \sim c_{ij}(\alpha, \theta)\hat{\mathbf{f}}(r, \alpha, \theta)$, having passed to spherical coordinates, where c_{ij} are suitable continuous functions on the angles α, θ . Thus one uses the fact that $\hat{\mathbf{f}}$ is L^2 .

having used (2.20) for the second relation and $-\Delta\sigma = \delta$ for the latter.

Let now ω_0 and ω_{tr} be regular. Then $W^\omega(s) = e^{i(\phi(s_0) + \dot{\phi}(s_1))}$, $W_{tr}^\omega(\vec{f}) = e^{i(\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{f}_0) + \dot{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{f}_1))}$ for fields $\varphi(s)$, $\phi(s_0) \doteq \varphi(s_0, 0)$, $\dot{\phi}(s_1) \doteq \varphi(0, s_1)$, $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{f}_0)$, $\dot{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{f}_1)$, and

$$[\varphi(s), \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{f}_0)] = [\varphi(s), \dot{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{f}_1)] = 0, \quad (3.13)$$

$$[\phi(s_0), \dot{\phi}(s_1)] = i \int s_0 s_1, \quad [\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{f}_0), \dot{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{f}_1)] = i \int \mathbf{f}_0 \cdot P_{tr} \mathbf{f}_1. \quad (3.14)$$

The twist u_σ yields as usual the field φ^λ and consequently we set $\phi^\lambda(s_0) \doteq \varphi^\lambda(s_0, 0)$. We define the electric field

$$\mathbf{E}^\lambda(\mathbf{f}) \doteq \phi^\lambda(\mathbf{div} \mathbf{f}) - \dot{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{f}), \quad \mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3).$$

With the above definitions, by applying (2.38) we find

$$[\mathbf{E}^\lambda(\mathbf{f}), \psi(w)] = [\phi^\lambda(\mathbf{div} \mathbf{f}), \psi(w)] = -\psi(\sigma \star \mathbf{div} \mathbf{f} \cdot w), \quad (3.15)$$

that yields the rigorous counterpart of (3.9). Defining the charge density field operators $\mathbf{div} \mathbf{E}^\lambda(s_0) \doteq -\mathbf{E}^\lambda(\nabla s_0)$, $s_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we find

$$\mathbf{div} \mathbf{E}^\lambda(s_0) = -\phi^\lambda(\Delta s_0) + \dot{\mathbf{A}}(\nabla s_0); \quad (3.16)$$

keeping in mind that $-\Delta\sigma = \delta$, and applying (2.41), we conclude that

$$[\mathbf{div} \mathbf{E}^\lambda(s_0), \psi(w)] = -[\phi^\lambda(\Delta s_0), \psi(w)] = -\psi(s_0 w), \quad (3.17)$$

$$e^{i \mathbf{div} \mathbf{E}^\lambda(s_0)} \psi(w) e^{-i \mathbf{div} \mathbf{E}^\lambda(s_0)} = \psi(e^{-i s_0} w), \quad (3.18)$$

obtaining that $\mathbf{div} \mathbf{E}^\lambda$ is a generator of the local gauge transformations of ψ . Note that $\mathbf{div} \mathbf{E}^\lambda$ and ψ are relatively local despite \mathbf{E}^λ and ψ are not. If we take a bump function s_0 such that $s_0 \upharpoonright \text{supp}(w) = 1$, then

$$[\mathbf{div} \mathbf{E}^\lambda(s_0), \psi(w)] = -\psi(w),$$

thus, as in the previous example, the charge density operators are able to detect the electron-positron charge. On the other hand, $\mathbf{div} \mathbf{E}^\lambda$ and \mathbf{A} commute,

$$[\mathbf{div} \mathbf{E}^\lambda(s_0), \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{f})] = [\dot{\mathbf{A}}(\nabla s_0), \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{f})] = -i \int \nabla s_0 \cdot P_{tr} \mathbf{f} = 0. \quad (3.19)$$

Until now we did not made assumptions on ω_{tr} , aside regularity. We now pick the state $\omega_{tr}(W_{tr}(\vec{f})) \doteq e^{-1/4 \|P_{tr} \vec{f}\|}$, $P_{tr} \vec{f} \doteq P_{tr}(\mathbf{f}_0 + i \mathbf{f}_1)$; it is induced by the Fock vector $\Omega_{tr} \in \mathbf{h}_{tr} \doteq \mathcal{F}_+(\mathbf{h}_{tr}^1)$,

$$\mathbf{h}_{tr}^1 \doteq \text{span}\{P_{tr} \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^3)\} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^3).$$

This yields the usual Coulomb gauge for the free field, based on creation and annihilation operators $\mathbf{a}_+(P_{tr}\vec{\mathbf{f}})$, $\mathbf{a}_+^*(P_{tr}\vec{\mathbf{f}})$. Since both $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{f}_0)$ and $\dot{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{f}_1)$ are defined by combinations of $\mathbf{a}_+(P_{tr}\vec{\mathbf{f}})$ and $\mathbf{a}_+^*(P_{tr}\vec{\mathbf{f}})$, and since $P_{tr}\nabla s_0 \equiv 0$, we conclude that the Coulomb condition $\mathbf{A}(\nabla s_0) = \dot{\mathbf{A}}(\nabla s_0) = 0$, $s_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, holds, implying

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{E}^\lambda(s_0) = -\phi^\lambda(\Delta s_0).$$

4 Momenta and Hamiltonian

In this section we give a sketch of the Hamiltonian of φ^λ at a quite formal level ⁴. Our purpose is essentially pedagogic, in that the Hamiltonian, that we discuss in momentum space, gives a hint of the behaviour that φ^λ should have in a relativistic setting. For this reason, and since at a fixed time the Dirac current is not available [11, §4.6.1], we will not consider terms related to the Dirac field. To fix ideas, we consider the case of the previous Example 2 so that σ is the Yukawa potential for some $m > 0$; moreover, we pick $\omega \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{W})$ as the Fock state.

As we saw in the previous sections φ^λ commutes with the fermionic particle number and stabilizes any Hilbert space of the type (2.23). For the Hamiltonian we shall study accordingly the corresponding restrictions. The restriction of φ^λ to the zero fermion subspace $\mathcal{H}^{\omega,0}$ is the untwisted field φ , thus we have no interest in it.

One-fermion subspace. Given a normalized Dirac spinor $w \in \mathbf{h}_+$, we consider the restriction of φ^λ to the Hilbert space (2.23) that here we write

$$\mathcal{H} \doteq \operatorname{span} \{e^{-i\sigma \star s_0} w \otimes W(s) \Omega_+, s \in \mathcal{S}\} \subset \mathbf{h}_+ \otimes \mathcal{F}_+(\mathbf{h}_0). \quad (4.1)$$

Now, the field $\phi^\lambda(s) \doteq \varphi^\lambda(s, 0)$, $s \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, is defined by the creation and annihilation operators (2.35) that in \mathcal{H} have the form

$$\mathbf{a}_{+\sigma}^\circ(s) \doteq \mathbb{I}_- \otimes \mathbf{a}_+^\circ(s) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \mu_{\sigma,s} \otimes \mathbb{I}_+. \quad (4.2)$$

The corresponding pointwise-defined objects are

$$\mathbf{a}_{+\sigma}^\circ(\mathbf{x}) \doteq \mathbb{I}_- \otimes \mathbf{a}_+^\circ(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\mu_\sigma(\mathbf{x})}{\sqrt{2}} \otimes \mathbb{I}_+, \quad (4.3)$$

where $\mu_\sigma(\mathbf{x})w(\mathbf{y}) \doteq -\sigma(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x})w(\mathbf{y})$. Passing to momentum space, we have that the Fourier transform of σ is $\hat{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) = 4\pi\varpi(\mathbf{k})^{-2}$, $\varpi(\mathbf{k}) \doteq \sqrt{|\mathbf{k}|^2 + m^2}$. The creation and annihilation operators are

$$\mathbf{a}_{+\sigma}^\circ(\hat{s}) \doteq \mathbb{I}_- \otimes \mathbf{a}_+^\circ(\hat{s}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \hat{\mu}_{\sigma,s} \otimes \mathbb{I}_+ \quad , \quad \hat{\mu}_{\sigma,s} \hat{w} \doteq \widehat{\mu_{\sigma,s} w} = -(\hat{\sigma} \hat{s}_0) \star \hat{w}, \quad (4.4)$$

⁴Of course in general Hamiltonians should be understood as quadratic forms [10, Vol.2, §X.7].

with sharp momentum operators

$$\mathbf{a}_{+\sigma}^\circ(\mathbf{k}) \doteq \mathbb{I}_- \otimes \mathbf{a}_+^\circ(\mathbf{k}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_\sigma^\circ(\mathbf{k}) \otimes \mathbb{I}_+, \quad (4.5)$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_\sigma^*(\mathbf{k}) \hat{w}(\mathbf{k}') \doteq -\hat{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) \hat{w}(\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k}) = -\frac{4\pi}{\varpi(\mathbf{k})^2} \hat{w}(\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k}). \quad (4.6)$$

Note that, despite $\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{\sigma,s}$ is selfadjoint, $\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_\sigma^*(\mathbf{k})$ has adjoint $\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) = \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_\sigma^*(-\mathbf{k})$ due to the translation action on \hat{w} ; thus we made an arbitrary choice when we defined $\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_\sigma^*(\mathbf{k})$ in (4.6) rather than $\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_\sigma(\mathbf{k})$, dictated by the wish to obtain, in the following (4.8), the term $\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_\sigma^*(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{a}_+(\mathbf{k}) + \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{a}_+^*(\mathbf{k})$. The operators $\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_\sigma^\circ(\mathbf{k})$ are bounded and, by the estimate

$$\int |\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) \hat{w}(\mathbf{k}') - \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_\sigma(\mathbf{h}) \hat{w}(\mathbf{k}')|^2 d^3 \mathbf{k}' \leq \frac{1}{m^4} \int \left| \hat{w}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}') - \frac{|\mathbf{k}|^2 + m^2}{|\mathbf{h}|^2 + m^2} \hat{w}(\mathbf{h} + \mathbf{k}') \right|^2 d^3 \mathbf{k}',$$

depend continuously on \mathbf{k} in the strong topology (provided that \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{k} vary in an arbitrary compact set). Moreover, since they act exclusively on the fermionic factor of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$, they commute with the bosonic operators $\mathbf{a}_+^\circ(\mathbf{k})$. Thanks to $\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_\sigma^\circ(\mathbf{k})$, we have that $\mathbf{a}_{+\sigma}^\circ(\mathbf{k})$ performs a shift of the momenta of the fermionic states, besides creating and annihilating bosonic states. The Hamiltonian

$$H^\lambda \doteq \int \varpi(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{a}_{+\sigma}^*(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{a}_{+\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) d^3 \mathbf{k} \quad (4.7)$$

is written explicitly

$$\int \varpi(\mathbf{k}) \left(\mathbf{a}_+^*(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{a}_+(\mathbf{k}) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_\sigma^*(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{a}_+(\mathbf{k}) + \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{a}_+^*(\mathbf{k})) + \frac{1}{2} \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_\sigma^*(\mathbf{k}) \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) \right) d^3 \mathbf{k}. \quad (4.8)$$

The previous expression shows that the Hamiltonian density splits into three different terms, say \mathfrak{H}^0 , $\mathfrak{H}^{\mu\varphi}$ and \mathfrak{H}^μ . The first term \mathfrak{H}^0 is the free Hamiltonian density, whilst $\mathfrak{H}^{\mu\varphi}$ involves annihilation and creations of bosons besides affecting momenta of fermionic wave functions. We have

$$\begin{cases} [\mathfrak{H}^0(\mathbf{k}'), \mathfrak{H}^{\mu\varphi}(\mathbf{k})] = 2^{-1/2} \varpi(\mathbf{k}) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{a}_+^*(\mathbf{k}) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_\sigma^*(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{a}_+(\mathbf{k})) \delta(\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k}), \\ [\mathfrak{H}^\mu, \mathfrak{H}^0] = [\mathfrak{H}^\mu, \mathfrak{H}^{\mu\varphi}] = 0, \end{cases}$$

thus the boson number is not preserved and the terms $\mathfrak{H}^{\mu\varphi}$, \mathfrak{H}^μ forming the interacting part of the Hamiltonian commute. Note that we may write

$$\varpi(\mathbf{k}) \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_\sigma^*(\mathbf{k}) = -\frac{4\pi}{\varpi(\mathbf{k})} U_{\mathbf{k}},$$

where $U_{\mathbf{k}} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{h}_+) \otimes \mathbb{I}_+$ are the translations by \mathbf{k} . Up to these unitaries, H^λ is analogous to a Van Hove Hamiltonian of the second kind in the sense of [7, §1]. Since

$$\int \frac{1}{\varpi(\mathbf{k})^4} d^3 \mathbf{k} < \infty, \quad \int \frac{1}{\varpi(\mathbf{k})^3} d^3 \mathbf{k} = \int \frac{1}{\varpi(\mathbf{k})^2} d^3 \mathbf{k} = \infty,$$

we may give a meaning to H^λ as a selfadjoint operator following a route analogous to [7, §1.1, (3)], which requires an ultraviolet renormalization. We do not deepen this point since it is beyond the scope of this section.

Performing smearings $\mathfrak{H}_g^{\mu\varphi}$, \mathfrak{H}_g^μ for $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{3,*})$, it is not difficult to check that $g \mapsto \mathfrak{H}_g^{\mu\varphi}$ and $g \mapsto \mathfrak{H}_g^\mu$ are well-defined operator-valued distributions. In particular \mathfrak{H}^μ reduces to a c-number,

$$\mathfrak{H}_g^\mu = \int \frac{8\pi^2}{\varpi(\mathbf{k})^3} g(\mathbf{k}) d^3\mathbf{k}.$$

Defining $H_g^\lambda \doteq H^0 + \mathfrak{H}_g^{\mu\varphi} + \mathfrak{H}_g^\mu$, where H^0 is the free Hamiltonian, it is instructive to compute the state

$$H_g^\lambda(\hat{w} \otimes \Omega_+) = \int \frac{4\pi g(\mathbf{k})}{\varpi(\mathbf{k})} \hat{w}_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes |\mathbf{k}\rangle d^3\mathbf{k} + \int \frac{8\pi^2}{\varpi(\mathbf{k})^3} g(\mathbf{k}) d^3\mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{w} \otimes \Omega_+, \quad (4.9)$$

where $|\mathbf{k}\rangle \doteq \mathbf{a}_+^*(\mathbf{k})\Omega_+$ and $\hat{w}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k}') \doteq \hat{w}(\mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{k})$. The first term at r.h.s. is given by $\mathfrak{H}_g^{\mu\varphi}(\hat{w} \otimes \Omega_+)$ and is a superposition of the improper states $\hat{w}_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes |\mathbf{k}\rangle$. The translation by \mathbf{k} in $\hat{w}_{\mathbf{k}}$ indicates that the momentum of \hat{w} has been affected by the creation of the improper bosonic state $|\mathbf{k}\rangle$. To better illustrate this point, let us take g a smooth approximant of the Dirac delta on $\mathbf{k}_\bullet \in \mathbb{R}^3$ (with small compact support around \mathbf{k}_\bullet) and \hat{w} with support in a small neighbourhood of $\mathbf{k}_e \in \mathbb{R}^3$: to emphasize the almost sharp momentum, we write $|\mathbf{k}_\bullet\rangle_\infty = \mathbf{a}_+^*(g)\Omega_+$ and $\hat{w} = |\mathbf{k}_e\rangle_\infty$. Now, the support of $\hat{w}_{\mathbf{k}_\bullet}$ lies in a small neighbourhood of $\mathbf{k}_e - \mathbf{k}_\bullet$, thus we write $\hat{w}_{\mathbf{k}_\bullet} = |\mathbf{k}_e - \mathbf{k}_\bullet\rangle_\infty$. Treating g as a Dirac delta we find the approximation

$$H_g^\lambda(|\mathbf{k}_e\rangle_\infty \otimes \Omega_+) \simeq \frac{4\pi}{\varpi(\mathbf{k}_\bullet)} \cdot |\mathbf{k}_e - \mathbf{k}_\bullet\rangle_\infty \otimes |\mathbf{k}_\bullet\rangle_\infty + \frac{8\pi^2}{\varpi(\mathbf{k}_\bullet)^3} \cdot |\mathbf{k}_e\rangle_\infty \otimes \Omega_+, \quad (4.10)$$

making evident that $|\mathbf{k}_e\rangle_\infty$ has lost a momentum \mathbf{k}_\bullet gained by the bosonic state $|\mathbf{k}_\bullet\rangle_\infty$. The second term $\mathfrak{H}_g^\mu(\hat{w} \otimes \Omega_+)$ –being defined by a c-number– mimics the effect that would be obtained by introducing an external potential interacting with the untwisted field ϕ . Note that $H^\lambda(\hat{w} \otimes \Omega_+)$ is obtained in the limit $g \rightarrow 1$, in which both $\mathfrak{H}_g^{\mu\varphi}$ and \mathfrak{H}_g^μ diverge. This is not surprising as, in particular, the vector valued distribution $\mathbf{k} \mapsto \hat{w}(\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k}) \otimes |\mathbf{k}\rangle$ must be smeared by a test function to give a meaningful vector.

Electron-positron subspace. Finally we consider the state of \mathcal{W} induced by the vector $w \doteq w_1 \otimes_- \bar{w}_2$, $w_1 \in \mathbf{h}_+$, $\bar{w}_2 \in \mathbf{h}_-$, standing in the two-fermion space and having zero charge. The GNS Hilbert space is

$$\mathcal{H} \doteq \text{span} \{ \Gamma_-(u_{\sigma,s})w \otimes W(s)\Omega_+, s \in \mathcal{S} \} \subset (\mathbf{h}_+ \otimes_- \mathbf{h}_-) \otimes \mathcal{F}_+(\mathbf{h}_0).$$

The creation and annihilation operators are given by (2.35) where

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\sigma^-(s)w(\mathbf{y}_1, \bar{\mathbf{y}}_2) &= (\mu_{\sigma,s}w_1 \otimes_- w_2 + w_1 \otimes_- \mu_{\sigma,s}w_2)(\mathbf{y}_1, \bar{\mathbf{y}}_2) \\ &= \int (\sigma(\bar{\mathbf{y}}_2 - \mathbf{x}) - \sigma(\mathbf{y}_1 - \mathbf{x}))s_0(\mathbf{x}) d^3\mathbf{x} \cdot w_{sym}(\mathbf{y}_1, \bar{\mathbf{y}}_2), \end{aligned}$$

$$w_{sym}(\mathbf{y}_1, \bar{\mathbf{y}}_2) \doteq w_1(\mathbf{y}_1)w_2(\bar{\mathbf{y}}_2) + w_1(\bar{\mathbf{y}}_2)w_2(\mathbf{y}_1)$$

(note that w_{sym} is not in the fermionic Fock state, and becomes antisymmetric only after multiplying by the σ -factors). The pointwise-defined operators are as in (4.3) with

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_\sigma^-(\mathbf{x})w(\mathbf{y}_1, \bar{\mathbf{y}}_2) = (\sigma(\bar{\mathbf{y}}_2 - \mathbf{x}) - \sigma(\mathbf{y}_1 - \mathbf{x}))w_{sym}(\mathbf{y}_1, \bar{\mathbf{y}}_2)$$

in place of $\boldsymbol{\mu}_\sigma(\mathbf{x})$. Analogously, the Fourier transforms are as in (4.4) with

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{\sigma,s}^-\hat{w} \doteq (\hat{\sigma}_2\hat{s}_0 - \hat{\sigma}_1\hat{s}_0) \star \hat{w}_{sym} \quad , \quad \hat{\sigma}_i(\mathbf{k}_i) \doteq \int \sigma(\mathbf{y}_i)e^{-i\mathbf{k}_i\mathbf{y}_i}d^3\mathbf{y}_i \quad ,$$

and (non-selfadjoint) operators in momentum space defined by the terms

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_\sigma^-(\mathbf{k}_1, \bar{\mathbf{k}}_2)\hat{w}(\mathbf{k}'_1, \bar{\mathbf{k}}'_2) = & \\ & \frac{4\pi}{\varpi(\bar{\mathbf{k}}_2)^2} \left(\hat{w}_1(\mathbf{k}'_1)\hat{w}_2(\bar{\mathbf{k}}'_2 + \bar{\mathbf{k}}_2) + \hat{w}_1(\bar{\mathbf{k}}'_2 + \bar{\mathbf{k}}_2)\hat{w}_2(\mathbf{k}'_1) \right) + \\ & - \frac{4\pi}{\varpi(\mathbf{k}_1)^2} \left(\hat{w}_1(\mathbf{k}'_1 + \mathbf{k}_1)\hat{w}_2(\bar{\mathbf{k}}'_2) + \hat{w}_1(\bar{\mathbf{k}}'_2)\hat{w}_2(\mathbf{k}'_1 + \mathbf{k}_1) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Again, a shift of momenta of the fermionic states appears, alternatively on the electron and positron components. The rest of the discussion is analogous to the one-fermion case, thus we do not go into details.

5 Conclusions

In the present paper we presented a mathematically rigorous construction of fixed-time models in which the commutation relations (1.1) hold. The input is given by a free Dirac field ψ , a free bosonic field φ , and a distribution σ encoding the obstruction for them to commute. The output includes a new bosonic field φ^λ acting non-trivially on the fermion subspace of the model and, when the support of σ has non-empty interior, not relatively local to ψ .

The so-obtained models are able to reproduce well-known commutation relations, as those of the interacting Coulomb gauge at equal times. An interesting point is that, provided that σ is fundamental solution of a differential operator P_∂ , one automatically gets that $P_\partial\varphi^\lambda$ is local to ψ and, more precisely, generator of the local gauge transformations of ψ . As a consequence we have that $P_\partial\varphi^\lambda$ comes related to the electron-positron charge (as a charge density), and that fermionic vectors induce non-localized states of the Weyl algebra associated with φ . Yet, restrictions of such states to the Weyl subalgebra generated by test functions of the type $P_\partial s$, $s \in \mathcal{S}$, are localized on the supports of the corresponding fermionic test functions. The Hamiltonian of the bosonic field exhibits non-trivial interaction terms, that perform creations and annihilation of bosonic states and affect momenta of fermionic states. It is this last property that marks a difference between our models and those obtained by adding an external potential to the bosonic field: in the latter case,

even if the bosonic particle number is not preserved there are no modifications of fermionic states. This holds in particular for the models [3, 4, 5], in which the charged (classical) field is not affected by the bosonic (electromagnetic) field but induces localized automorphisms on the associated C^* -algebra. In this sense, our model Example 3 is complementary to those of the above-mentioned references ⁵.

These properties make desirable to investigate the relativistic counterparts of our class of models. The strategy is to consider twisting operators on the space of elementary excitations of a relativistic charged field, rather than work with the expression $\phi_{rel}^\lambda(\mathbf{x}, t) \doteq e^{itH^\lambda} \phi^\lambda(\mathbf{x}) e^{-itH^\lambda}$. Once that the relativistic models are constructed the first point to discuss is locality, because physically interesting distributions (Yukawa and Coulomb potentials), as well as their relativistic counterpart, have a non-trivial support and hence produce non-local models. We will approach this problem by studying locality on the algebras generated by observable quantities. A further aspect to be explored is the analysis of the shift induced by φ^λ on the supports of charged states in momentum space, that acquires a new significance in a relativistic setting. These topics are the subject of a work in progress [13].

References

- [1] N.N.Bogolubov, A.A.Logunov, A.I.Oksak, I.T.Todorov: General Principles of Quantum Field Theory. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht-Boston-London, 1987.
- [2] P.J.M. Bongaarts: The electron-positron field coupled to external electromagnetic potentials as an elementary C^* -algebra theory. *Ann. Phys.* 56 (1970) 108-139.
- [3] D. Buchholz, F. Ciolli, G. Ruzzi, E. Vasselli: On string-localized potentials and gauge fields. *Lett. Math. Phys.* 109 (2019) 2601-2610.
- [4] D. Buchholz, F. Ciolli, G. Ruzzi, E. Vasselli: The universal algebra of the electromagnetic field III. Static charges and emergence of gauge fields. *Lett. Math. Phys.* 112 (2022) 27.
- [5] D. Buchholz, F. Ciolli, G. Ruzzi, E. Vasselli: Gauss’s law, the manifestation of gauge fields, and their impact on local observables. In: Cinto, A., Michelangeli, A. (eds) “Trails in Modern Theoretical and Mathematical Physics: A Volume in Tribute to Giovanni Morchio” Springer ISBN-13 978-3031449871 (2023).
- [6] F. Constantinescu: Distributions and their Applications in Physics. Pergamon Press, 1980.
- [7] J. Dereziński: Van Hove Hamiltonians. Exactly Solvable Models of the Infrared and Ultraviolet Problem. *Ann. Henri Poincaré* 4 (2003) 713–738.
- [8] A. Herdegen: Asymptotic algebra for charged particles and radiation. *J. Math. Phys.* 37 (1996) 100-120.
- [9] A. Herdegen: Semidirect product of CCR and CAR algebras and asymptotic states in quantum electrodynamics. *J. Math. Phys.* 39 (1998) 1788-1817.
- [10] M. Reed, B. Simon: Methods of modern mathematical physics. Vol.I: Functional Analysis. Vol.II: Fourier Analysis, Self-Adjointness. Vol.III: Scattering Theory. Academic Press, 1980.

⁵The author is indebted to D. Buchholz for useful comments on this point.

- [11] F. Strocchi: An introduction to non-perturbative foundations of Quantum Field Theory. International Series of Monographs on Physics 158. Oxford Science Publications, 2013.
- [12] E. Vasselli: Twisted tensor products of field algebras. Preprint.
- [13] E. Vasselli: Twisting factors for relativistic quantum fields. In preparation.