## Information revival without backflow: non-causal explanations of non-Markovianity

Francesco Buscemi,<sup>1, \*</sup> Rajeev Gangwar,<sup>2</sup> Kaumudibikash Goswami,<sup>3,†</sup> Himanshu

Badhani,<sup>4</sup> Tanmoy Pandit,<sup>5</sup> Brij Mohan,<sup>2</sup> Siddhartha Das,<sup>6,‡</sup> and Manabendra Nath Bera<sup>2,§</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematical Informatics, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, 464-8601 Nagoya, Japan

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physical Sciences, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Mohali, Punjab 140306, India

<sup>3</sup>*QICI Quantum Information and Computation Initiative, Department of Computer Science,* 

The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong

<sup>4</sup>The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, C. I. T. Campus, Taramani,

Chennai 600113, India and Homi Bhabha National Institute,

Training School Complex, Anushakti Nagar, Mumbai 400094, India

<sup>5</sup>Fritz Haber Research Center for Molecular Dynamics,

Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem 9190401, Israel

<sup>6</sup>Center for Security, Theory and Algorithmic Research (CSTAR), Centre for Quantum Science and Technology (CQST),

International Institute of Information Technology, Hyderabad, Gachibowli, Telangana 500032, India

The study of information revivals, witnessing the violation of certain data-processing inequalities, has provided an important paradigm in the study of non-Markovian processes. Although often used interchangeably, we argue here that the notions of "revivals" and "backflows", i.e., flows of information from the environment back into the system, are distinct: an information revival can occur without any backflow ever taking place. In this paper, we examine in detail the phenomenon of non-causal revivals and relate them to the theory of short Markov chains and squashed non-Markovianity. As a byproduct, we demonstrate that focusing on processes with actual backflows, while excluding those with only non-causal revivals, resolves the issue of non-convexity of Markovianity, thus enabling the construction of a convex resource theory of genuine non-Markovianity.

Introduction.—The evolution of a system in interaction with its surrounding environment, also known as open quantum system dynamics [1], can be divided into two classes: Markovian and non-Markovian. In essence, Markovian dynamics can be described as a process where the subsequent evolution of the system can be inferred entirely from its present state. Conversely, if the inference about the system's future evolution necessitates not only its present state but also its past history, then the dynamics become non-Markovian. In other words, the properties of Markovianity and non-Markovianity (respectively) signify the absence and presence (respectively) of memory effects in the dynamics.

While for Markovian dynamics, there exist beautiful representation theorems in terms of master equations and semigroups [2–5], the mathematical structure of non-Markovian dynamics is generally richer and thus harder to characterize, due to its generality. Nevertheless, in recent years enormous efforts have been made to study non-Markovian quantum dynamics [6–19] and their potential technological implications [20–28].

Broadly speaking, there are two approaches to the study of quantum non-Markovianity, proposed separately by Rivas– Huelga–Plenio (RHP) [29] and Breuer–Laine–Piilo (BLP) [30]. According to RHP, the evolution of an open quantum system is Markovian if it is *divisible*, i.e., if it can be represented as a composition of steps, from one moment in time to the next. This approach is conceptually very close to the idea of semigroups [4]. The other approach, proposed by BLP, is based on the distinguishability of quantum states. It assumes that just as Markovian dynamics never increase the distinguishability of quantum states in time, so the essential feature of non-Markovian dynamics is the possibility of experiencing *revivals* of this distinguishability [30]. This feature is used to explore a wide range of non-Markovian dynamics [31–37].

Thus, by interpreting a decrease in distinguishability as a loss of information, the emergence of non-Markovian effects, according to BLP, seems to imply a *backflow* of information from the environment back into the system. This idea later motivated proposals to study non-Markovianity not only in terms of backflows of distinguishability, but more generally in terms of backflows of correlations between the system, the environment, and a reference, where correlations can be measured using mutual information [38], conditional mutual information [39], entanglement [40], interferometric power [41, 42], and other variants [43]. While some correlation measures remarkably lead to the equivalence of the concepts of divisibility and absence of revivals [7, 44–46], in general, any information revival implies non-divisibility, but not vice versa.

The idea of information revival has motivated studies to understand and further characterize its nature [47–50]. For example, for *classical* environments it has been shown that there can be revival of information without the environment being affected by the system's dynamics [51, 52]. Furthermore, attempts have been made to distinguish the classical and quantum contributions to information revival and non-Markovianity [53–56]. Nevertheless, the terms "revival" and "backflow" are still used interchangeably in the literature.

In this paper, we argue instead that the concepts of information revival and information backflow can and should be distinguished. It is possible for revivals to occur without any actual backflow of information from the environment into the system. Upon closer examination from a causal perspective, we find that these revivals are *non-causal*. We then derive a condition, formulated in terms of quantum conditional mutual information, which is equivalent to an arbitrary system-environment interaction giving rise only to non-causal revivals. This condition is related to the concept of squashed non-Markovianity, a notion recently introduced in [57]. Furthermore, we show that the condition we identify is robust to small errors and respects convexity. In particular, the latter property allows us to solve the well-known problem related to the non-convex character of Markovianity [58]: while convex mixtures of Markovian processes can lead to non-Markovianity, convex mixtures of non-causal revivals are necessarily non-causal. This observation provides the basis for developing a convex resource theory for dynamical quantum non-Markovianity, where non-Markovianity is defined in terms of genuine information backflows, while non-causal revivals are excluded.

Background and notation.-The setting is the usual one in open systems dynamics [1]: a quantum system Q with ddimensional ( $d < \infty$ ) Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_O$ , which can be initialized in any density operator (i.e., state)  $\rho_O \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_O)$ , interacts with an environment (also assumed to be finite-dimensional), which is instead initialized in an arbitrary but fixed state  $\gamma_E \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_E)$ . The interaction is modeled as a bipartite unitary operator  $U_{OE} : \mathcal{H}_O \otimes \mathcal{H}_E \to \mathcal{H}_{O'} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{E'}$ , which can be parameterized by time, so to describe a joint evolution. In general, since the system is open and particles can be exchanged, we only assume that  $\mathcal{H}_Q \otimes \mathcal{H}_E \cong \mathcal{H}_{Q'} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{E'}$ , while the local dimensions are allowed to change. As routinely done in quantum information theory [59, 60], when the system can be initialized in an arbitrary state, instead of following each and every state of Q, it is convenient to introduce an additional reference system R, with  $\mathcal{H}_R \cong \mathcal{H}_Q$ , and assume that system and reference are initially prepared in a maximally entangled state  $\Phi_{RQ}^+$ , i.e., a pure bipartite state such that  $\text{Tr}_R \{\Phi_{RQ}^+\} = d^{-1} \mathbb{1}_Q$ . The reference should not be regarded as an actual system, but rather as a mathematical device used to simplify the discussion; as such, it never participates in the system-environment interaction and remains inert throughout the process [61].

After introducing the reference system, we consider three "snapshots" of the joint tripartite reference-systemenvironment configuration, taken at three different times  $t_0 < t_1 < t_2$ . Without loss of generality, we can write

$$\rho_{RQE} = \Phi_{RQ}^+ \otimes \gamma_E \qquad \qquad t = t_0 , \qquad (1)$$

$$\xrightarrow{\eta_1} \sigma_{RQ'E'} = U_{QE} \rho_{RQE} U_{QE}^{\dagger} \qquad t = t_1 , \qquad (2)$$

$$\xrightarrow{t_2} \tau_{RQ''E''} = V_{Q'E'} \ \sigma_{RQ'E'} \ V_{Q'E'}^{\dagger} \qquad t = t_2 \ . \tag{3}$$

The unitaries  $U_{QE}$  and  $V_{Q'E'}$  govern the time evolutions in the first  $(t_0 \rightarrow t_1)$  and the second  $(t_1 \rightarrow t_2)$  steps, respectively. In what follows, we will call the above sequence of tripartite states a *three-time snapshot* for the dynamics at hand: it provides the minimal framework for discussing information revivals in both discrete and continuous time. Generalizations

to more than three points in time are straightforward, but for the purposes of the present discussion, three-point snapshots will suffice.

By tracing over the environment, we obtain the reduced reference-system dynamics

$$\Phi_{RQ}^{+} \xrightarrow{t_1} \sigma_{RQ'} \xrightarrow{t_2} \tau_{RQ''} . \tag{4}$$

Since the initial state of the environment is fixed, it is possible to represent the above sequence using the formalism of *quantum channels*, i.e., completely positive trace-preserving (CPTP) linear maps: the theory guarantees the existence of two quantum channels  $\mathcal{E}_{Q \to Q'}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{Q \to Q''}$  such that  $\sigma_{RQ'} = (\mathrm{id}_R \otimes \mathcal{E}_{Q \to Q'})(\Phi_{RQ}^+)$  and  $\tau_{RQ''} = (\mathrm{id}_R \otimes \mathcal{F}_{Q \to Q''})(\Phi_{RQ}^+)$ . However, the existence of an intermediate channel  $\mathcal{D}_{Q' \to Q''}$  such that  $\tau_{RQ''} = (\mathrm{id}_R \otimes \mathcal{D}_{Q' \to Q''})(\sigma_{RQ'})$  is *not* guaranteed, since at time  $t = t_1$  system and environment are in general correlated [61, 62]. But if such a channel exists, then the three-time open system dynamics in (4) is called *divisible*.

Our discussion will focus on three entropic measures of information: von Neumann entropy, quantum mutual information (QMI), and quantum conditional mutual information (QCMI), which are defined as follows. Given a system A in state  $\rho_A$ , its von Neumann entropy is  $H(A) = -\text{Tr}\{\rho_A \log \rho_A\}$ . Given a bipartite system AB in state  $\rho_{AB}$ , its QMI is I(A; B) = H(A) + H(B) - H(AB). The QMI I(A; B) is known [63] to provide an operationally well-defined measure of the total amount of correlations existing between systems A and B. Finally, given a tripartite system ABC in state  $\rho_{ABC}$ , its QCMI is I(A; C|B) = H(AB) + H(BC) - H(B) - H(ABC).

Information revivals.—Crucially, QMI satisfies the dataprocessing inequality: for any bipartite state  $\rho_{AB}$  and any channel  $\mathcal{E}_{B \to B'}$ , the QMI I(A; B') computed for  $(id_A \otimes \mathcal{E}_{B \to B'})(\rho_{AB})$  obeys the inequality  $I(A; B') \leq I(A; B)$ . The idea is that the correlations between two systems cannot increase as a consequence of (deterministic) local actions: a very natural requirement to be satisfied by any reasonable measure of correlations, lest the notion of locality itself be violated.

Therefore, in any three-time sequence such as (4), it will always hold that  $I(R; Q')_1 \leq I(R; Q)_0$  and  $I(R; Q'')_2 \leq I(R; Q)_0$ . However, since, as we already noticed, at time  $t = t_1$  system and environment are generally correlated, a channel from Q' to Q'' may not exist, and we may observe a *revival* of QMI, i.e.,

$$I(R; Q'')_2 > I(R; Q')_1$$
 (5)

Whenever a revival occurs, we can take this as a conclusive signature for the fact that the evolution between  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  is non-Markovian [38]. For this reason, in what follows, the main object of our investigation will be to understand what kind of behaviors the QMI between reference and system can exhibit between  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ , and how such behaviors are related to the algebraic and information-theoretic properties of the intermediate tripartite configuration  $\sigma_{RQ'E'}$ .

*Explaining revivals.*—Whenever a revival of correlations as in (5) occurs as a consequence of a *local* operation performed

on the system, instead of jumping to the conclusion that some fundamental law of nature has been violated, it is more natural to explain the observed revival by assuming the existence of other degrees of freedom which, although interacting with the system, were not included in the balance, thus leading to an apparent revival. Accordingly, an *explanation* for a revival consists in incorporating into the balance other degrees of freedom, compatible with the overall three-time snapshot, until the revival disappears.

An obvious way to explain any revival is to include the environment itself in the balance. More precisely, instead of comparing only the correlation content of Q' versus that of Q'', we compare Q'E' versus Q''E'' as a whole. When we do this, since the joint system-environment dynamics is unitary, we have  $I(R; Q'E')_1 = I(R; Q''E'')_2$  and the anomalous revival is naturalized.

This way of explaining revivals (i.e., by incorporating the environment) lies behind the interpretation of revivals as *back-flows* of information from the environment into the system. The idea is that some of the initial correlations between the system and the reference were moved to the environment as a consequence of the interaction between  $t_0$  and  $t_1$ , and later restored at time  $t_2$ . Therefore, the violation of the data-processing inequality can be explained by tracking such displaced correlations, as they move back and forth between the system and the environment.

While all revivals can be explained as backflows, not all revivals *require* backflows to be explained. In order to illustrate this point, let us consider a concrete example, similar to those discussed in Ref. [51], in which  $\mathcal{H}_Q \cong \mathcal{H}_R \cong \mathbb{C}^2$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_E \cong \mathbb{C}^4$ , and  $\gamma_E = \mathbb{1}_E/4$ . The interaction between the system and environment is modeled as the repeated application of the same control-unitary operator  $U_{QE} = \sum_{i=0}^3 \pi_Q^i \otimes |i\rangle \langle i|_E$ , where  $\pi_Q^0 = \mathbb{1}_Q$ ,  $\pi_Q^1 = X_Q$ ,  $\pi_Q^2 = Y_Q$ , and  $\pi_Q^3 = Z_Q$ , i.e., the four Pauli matrices. The resulting three-time snapshot, after tracing over the environment, can be easily computed as follows:

$$\Phi_{RQ}^{+} \xrightarrow{t_{1}} \frac{\mathbb{1}_{R}}{2} \otimes \frac{\mathbb{1}_{Q'}}{2} \xrightarrow{t_{2}} \Phi_{RQ''}^{+}$$

Correspondingly, the correlation between the reference and the system is maximal at initial time  $t_0$ , vanishes at intermediate time  $t_1$ , and is maximal again at final time  $t_2$ , i.e.,  $I(R; Q)_0 = 2 \xrightarrow{t_1} I(R; Q')_1 = 0 \xrightarrow{t_2} I(R; Q'')_2 = 2$  bits. This model thus exhibits a complete revival of information. Such a revival, however, can be explained *without* the need of a backflow.

Such an explanation can be given, for example, by including in the picture an ancillary system  $\tilde{E}$  purifying the environment. The corresponding extended three-time snapshot, in this case, becomes

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{RQ}^{+} \otimes \Phi_{E\widetilde{E}}^{(4)} & (6) \\ \stackrel{t_{1}}{\to} U_{QE} \left( \Phi_{RQ}^{+} \otimes \Phi_{E\widetilde{E}}^{(4)} \right) U_{QE}^{\dagger} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j} \pi_{Q}^{i} \Phi_{RQ}^{+} \pi_{Q}^{j} \otimes |i\rangle \langle j|_{E} \otimes |i\rangle \langle j|_{\widetilde{E}} \\ \stackrel{t_{2}}{\to} U_{QE} \left( \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j} \pi_{Q}^{i} \Phi_{RQ}^{+} \pi_{Q}^{j} \otimes |i\rangle \langle j|_{E} \otimes |i\rangle \langle j|_{\widetilde{E}} \right) U_{QE}^{\dagger} \\ &= \Phi_{RQ}^{+} \otimes \Phi_{E\widetilde{E}}^{(4)} , \end{split}$$

where  $\Phi_{E\widetilde{E}}^{(4)}$  denotes the four-dimensional maximally entangled state purifying  $\mathbb{1}_E/4$ .

The crucial point to emphasize here is that the ancilla  $\widetilde{E}$ , although correlated with *E*, *never directly* interacts with *Q*. For this reason, there cannot be *any* direct backflow of information from  $\widetilde{E}$  to *Q*, which would require that some information flowed from *Q* into  $\widetilde{E}$  in the first place. In this case, nonetheless, the ancilla  $\widetilde{E}$  alone is able explain the revival, because  $I(R; Q\widetilde{E})_0 = I(R; Q'\widetilde{E})_1 = I(R; Q''\widetilde{E})_2 = 2$  bits. This can be easily proven since  $I(R; Q'\widetilde{E})_1 = I(R; \widetilde{E})_1 + I(R; Q'|\widetilde{E})_1 =$ 0 + 2 = 2 bits.

As a consequence, it is clear that in this case the violation of the data-processing inequality, i.e.,  $I(R; Q'')_2 > I(R; Q')_1$ , is nothing but an artifact due to the ignorance of the information residing in  $\tilde{E}$ : information that was already there before Qand E interacted, and is thus completely independent of both Q and R. Hence, we conclude that in this case no backflow of information can be inferred, despite the fact that a revival is observed. In what follows, we will make this idea more rigorous and characterize other situations, beyond the highly idealized example above, in which revivals can occur without any backflow.

*Non-causal revivals.*—In order to generalize the above example, let us consider a three-time snapshot (1)–(3) and extend its initial configuration as  $\rho_{RQEF} = \Phi_{RQ}^+ \otimes \gamma_{EF}$ , where  $\operatorname{Tr}_F[\gamma_{EF}] = \gamma_E$ . Notice that  $\gamma_{EF}$  may be mixed. The extension *F* does not participate in the process, i.e., it is only acted upon by the identity operator, similar to the reference *R*, and like the latter it is more of a mathematical device than an actual physical system. In this case, we say that the extension *F* is *inert* from  $t_0$  to  $t_2$ . As a consequence, the (unitarily) evolved states after the first and second steps, i.e.,  $\sigma_{RQ'E'F}$  and  $\tau_{RQ''E''F}$ , respectively, are automatically extensions of  $\sigma_{RQ'E'}$  and  $\tau_{RQ''E''F}$  [=  $\sigma_{RQ'E'}$ .

As mentioned earlier, without loss of generality, we shall focus on the change of quantum mutual information occurring in the second step  $(t_1 \rightarrow t_2)$ .

**Definition 1** (Non-causal revivals). We say that an open system dynamics, as the one obtained by tracing over the environment in Eqs. (1)–(3), exhibits a non-causal revival of information, whenever a revival occurs, i.e.,  $I(R; Q'')_2 > I(R; Q')_1$ ,

but there exists an inert extension F such that

$$I(R; Q''F)_2 \le I(R; Q'F)_1 .$$
(7)

The use of the term "non-causal" in Definition 1 is justified because revivals that can be explained in terms of an inert extension do not, by construction, require any direct backflow of information in order to be explained. Equivalently, non-causal revivals are precisely those that can be naturalized by resorting to a system that is space-like separated, and thus causally separated, from both the system and the environment.

Since the joint system-environment evolution is unitary, the quantum mutual information between the reference and the rest never changes, i.e.,

$$I(R; QEF)_0 = I(R; Q'E'F)_1 = I(R; Q''E''F)_2 .$$

Therefore, the inequality (7) can also be cast in terms of quantum conditional mutual information (QCMI), so that a revival is non-causal if and only if there exists an inert extension F such that

$$I(R; E''|Q''F)_2 \ge I(R; E'|Q'F)_1 .$$
(8)

Note that the inequality is reversed as a result of the QMI being replaced by the QCMI. It is instructive to look back at the example (6) from the point of view of the above condition (8). Since, in the example, the extension is taken as a purification of the environment, the four-partite configuration remains pure at all times, and since for pure states the QCMI coincides with the unconditioned QMI, in this case the inequality (8) is equivalent to  $I(R; E'')_2 \ge I(R; E')_1$ , which in our example is clearly satisfied, since both sides are zero. Moreover, we now understand that the same result could have been obtained by considering, instead of a purification  $\Phi_{E\bar{E}}^{(4)}$ , a mixed but perfectly correlated extension such as  $\gamma_{EF} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_i |i \rangle \langle i|_E \otimes |i \rangle \langle i|_F$ .

Conversely, if the environment starts in a pure state, i.e., the density operator  $\gamma_E$  in (1) is rank-one, then any inert extension must be trivial, i.e., in tensor product with all the rest. In this case,  $I(R; Q''F)_2 \leq I(R; Q'F)_1$  if and only if  $I(R; Q'')_2 \leq I(R; Q')_1$ , i.e., if and only if there was no revival to begin with. In other words, any revival that occurs in the presence of a pure environment, cannot be non-causal, but requires a genuine backflow from the environment in order to be explained. However, in most cases of theoretical and experimental interest, the environment is usually assumed to be at some finite temperature, thus leaving open the possibility of non-causal revivals.

*Non-causal configurations.*—The above discussion raises the question: if an initially pure environment does not allow for non-causal revivals, are there situations in which, on the contrary, *all* revivals are non-causal? The answer to this question comes as a consequence of the fact that the QCMI is non-negative. Therefore, given an arbitrary three-time snapshot (1)–(3), if there exists an inert extension F such that  $I(R; E'|Q'F)_1 = 0$ , then Eq. (8) is always satisfied, and any revival is non-causal.

Thanks to Uhlmann's theorem for purifications and Stinespring's dilation theorem for CPTP maps [64, 65], we can say that given a mixed state  $\rho_A$ , any extension  $\rho_{AX}$  of it can be obtained by starting from some purification  $|\varphi\rangle_{AB}$  and then acting on B with some channel  $\mathcal{N}_{B\to X}$ . Furthermore, it can be observed that since the joint reference-system state is initially pure and the overall evolution is unitary in Eqs. (1)–(3), the only mixed component arises from the initial state of the environment,  $\gamma_E$ . These two facts together show that any extension done at any point in time, if kept invariant through the process, provides an inert extension of the three-point snapshot. This implies that, without loss of generality, it is possible to construct an extension for the intermediate configuration  $\sigma_{RO'E'F}$ on its own, regardless of the initial and the final configurations, and such an extension will automatically provide an inert extension for the entire three-point snapshot. We thus reach the following conclusion, that we state as a theorem:

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that, starting from an initial configuration as in Eq. (1), the joint reference-system-environment reaches the configuration  $\sigma_{RQ'E'}$  at time  $t = t_1$ . Then, regardless of the next interaction step  $V_{Q'E'}$  in (3), all revivals possibly occurring are non-causal, if and only if there exists an inert extension F such that

$$I(R; E'|Q'F)_1 = 0. (9)$$

One direction comes from the non-negativity of the QCMI, so that if (9) holds, then (8) also holds. Vice versa, taking  $V_{Q'E'}$  to be the interaction merging the entire system E' with Q' into Q'', i.e.,  $\mathcal{H}_{Q''} \cong \mathcal{H}_{Q'} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{E'}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{E''} \cong \mathbb{C}$ , we have I(R; E''|Q''F) = 0, and again from the positivity of the QCMI, condition (8) implies (9). Notice that condition (9) implies that the intermediate configuration  $\sigma_{RQ'E'}$  has zero squashed non-Markovianity [57], which in turn implies, as a consequence of the faithfulness of squashed entanglement, that the bipartite state  $\sigma_{RE'}$  is separable [66–68].

Theorem 1 provides another motivation for using the term "non-causal explanation". As a consequence of Petz's theory of statistical sufficiency [69–71], condition (9) is equivalent to the existence of a channel  $\mathcal{R}_{Q'F \to Q'E'F}$  which can reconstruct the state  $\sigma_{RQ'E'F}$  from its marginal  $\sigma_{RQ'F} = \text{Tr}_{E'}[\sigma_{RQ'E'F}]$ . Subsequently, there exists a channel  $\mathcal{N}_{Q'F \to Q'E'F}$ , where

$$\mathcal{N}_{Q'F \to Q''E''F} := \mathcal{V}_{Q'E' \to Q''E''} \circ \mathcal{R}_{Q'F \to Q'E'F}, \qquad (10)$$

with  $\mathcal{V}(\cdot) := V(\cdot)V^{\dagger}$ , such that

$$(\mathrm{id}_R \otimes \mathcal{N}_{Q'F \to Q''E''F})(\sigma_{RQ'F}) = \tau_{RQ''E''F}.$$
 (11)

In other words, the transformation  $\sigma_{RQ'} \rightarrow \tau_{RQ''}$  that leads to the observed revival can be exactly reproduced by using the inert extension *F*, which, as mentioned above, never interacted with the system in the past and thus cannot give anything back to it: the revival is reproduced without any backflow ever occurring. The schematic construction of this scenario is shown in Fig. 1.



FIG. 1. A non-causal information revival in a three-time snapshot. At time  $t = t_0$ , we have the state  $\rho_{RQEF} = \Phi_{RO}^+ \otimes \gamma_{EF}$ . Here, we assume the system (Q) and reference (R) are maximally entangled. Moreover, an inert system F, not participating in the subsequent dynamics, can be correlated to the environment (E) in a joint state  $\gamma_{EF}$ . Under the action of the unitary  $\mathcal{U}_{QE}(\cdot) := U_{QE}(\cdot)U_{QE}^{\dagger}$ , the evolved state at time  $t = t_1$  is  $\sigma_{RQ'E'F} = \mathcal{U}_{QE}(\rho_{RQEF})$ . When  $\sigma_{RQ'E'F}$  satisfies  $I(R; E'|Q'F)_{\sigma} = 0$ , then for any unitary channel  $\mathcal{V}_{Q'E'} := V_{Q'E'}(\cdot)V_{Q'E'}^{\dagger}$  at time  $t = t_2$  there exists a quantum channel  $\mathcal{N}_{Q'F \to Q''E''F}$  acting on both the active (Q') and the inert (F) systems. The channel N is composed of the recovery map  $\mathcal{R}_{Q'F \to Q'E'F}$ , which reconstructs the state  $\sigma_{RQ'E'F}$  from its marginal  $\sigma_{RQ'F}$ , followed by the unitary  $\mathcal{V}_{O'E'}$ , see Eq. (10). Consequently, an apparent information backflow from the environment (E') to the system (Q'') can be explained solely from the perspective of Q'F independent of the environment E'. In this scenario, any information revival at time  $t = t_2$ is always non-causal, as shown in Theorem 1.

*The general case.*—Besides the two extreme situations, i.e., one in which the environment is initially pure and all revivals require a corresponding backflow, and the other in which the intermediate configuration satisfies (9) and all revivals are non-causal, what can be said about the general case?

In general, this is a very difficult question to answer since the search for the inert extension satisfying Eq. (9) must go through systems F with no prior upper bound on their dimensions. In fact, given an intermediate configuration, finding an extension that satisfies (9) would also show that the state  $\sigma_{RE'}$  is separable, and in general, the separability problem is known to be hard. It is therefore crucial, both practically and conceptually, to be able to address the case where one only knows that there exists an inert extension such that  $I(R : E'|Q'F)_1 \le \varepsilon$ , for some given threshold value  $\varepsilon \ge 0$ . We address this in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** Given a three-time snapshot as in Eqs. (1)–(3), suppose that the intermediate configuration  $\sigma_{RQ'E'}$  is such that there exists an inert extension F with

$$I(R; E'|Q'F)_1 \le \varepsilon , \qquad (12)$$

for small value  $\varepsilon \ge 0$ . Then, for any subsequent interaction  $V_{Q'E'}$ , there exists a corresponding channel  $N_{Q'F \to Q''E''F}$  able to provide an approximate non-causal explanation of the reduced dynamics from  $t_1$  to  $t_2$ , in formula,

$$\mathsf{F}(\tau_{RQ''E''F}, \mathcal{N}_{Q'F\to Q''E''F}(\sigma_{RQ'F})) \geq 2^{-\varepsilon},$$

where  $F(\alpha,\beta) := \left( \operatorname{Tr} \sqrt{\sqrt{\alpha\beta}\sqrt{\alpha}} \right)^{\tilde{}}$  is the (squared) fidelity between states  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

The proof of the above theorem is the consequence of Theorem 7 in [72], and the converse is also known to hold, as in Theorem 8 in [72]. Thus, we conclude that the squashed non-Markovianity of the intermediate configuration  $\sigma_{RQ'E'}$  is a good indicator of how non-causal any subsequent revival can be, in the sense that small squashed non-Markovianity guarantees that any revival can be "almost" explained by an inert extension. Notice that, in particular, condition (12) implies that  $I(R; Q''F)_2 - I(R; Q'F)_1 = I(R; E'|Q'F)_2 - I(R; E''|Q''F)_1 \le \varepsilon$ , regardless of the magnitude of the actual revival I(R; Q'') - I(R; Q').

Towards a convex resource theory of dynamical non-Markovianity.—Let us now consider two processes, each with its own three-time snapshot, i.e.,

$$\Phi_{RQ}^{+} \otimes \gamma_{E}^{(a)} \xrightarrow{t_{1}} \sigma_{RQ'E'}^{(a)} \xrightarrow{t_{2}} \tau_{RQ''E''}^{(a)}$$

and

$$\Phi_{RQ}^+ \otimes \gamma_E^{(b)} \xrightarrow{t_1} \sigma_{RQ'E'}^{(b)} \xrightarrow{t_2} \tau_{RQ''E''}^{(b)} ,$$

and let us assume both to be without revival, i.e.,  $I(R; Q'')_2^{(a)} \leq I(R; Q')_1^{(a)}$  and  $I(R; Q'')_2^{(b)} \leq I(R; Q')_1^{(b)}$ . And yet, if we consider the process obtained by convexly mixing the two, i.e.,

$$\Phi_{RQ}^{+} \otimes \sum_{x} p_{x} \gamma_{E}^{(x)} \xrightarrow{t_{1}} \sum_{x} p_{x} \sigma_{RQ'E'}^{(x)} \xrightarrow{t_{2}} \sum_{x} p_{x} \tau_{RQ''E''}^{(x)} , \quad (13)$$

a revival may occur. This is a well-known problem with any attempt to formulate a resource theory of non-Markovianity: Markovian processes do not form a convex set [58].

However, if we focus on three-point snapshots with noncausal revival, instead of just snapshots without revival<sup>1</sup>, then convexity is satisfied. This fact is easily shown by choosing, as the inert extension F, a classical system perfectly correlated with the index  $x \in \{a, b\}$ , as shown in Appendix A. By doing so, it is straightforward to verify that F is inert, and that the intermediate configuration satisfies  $I(R; E'|Q'F)_1 = 0$ . In other words, when mixing Markovian processes, even if a revival may occur between  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  as a consequence of mixing, it will necessarily be non-causal. For the same reason, mixtures of processes with non-causal revivals will again be non-causal.

This observation opens up the possibility of constructing a convex resource theory of dynamical non-Markovianity, defined in terms of *genuine* backflows of information from the environment to the system, while excluding non-causal information revivals. Another possibility is to consider the situation where the system and the reference do not start in the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Note that processes without revival are special cases of processes with noncausal revivals: if no revival is present, a trivial extension  $\mathcal{H}_F \cong \mathbb{C}$  explains everything, simply because there is nothing to explain.

maximally entangled state, but in an arbitrary bipartite mixed state, as done in [62]. These and other lines of research will be explored elsewhere.

In this article, we introduced the concept of explanations of information revivals arising in non-Markovian open quantum processes and, consequently, the notion of non-causal revivals, i.e., revivals that can be explained by an auxiliary system that remains causally separate from the process at all times. We also showed that our notion of non-causality is robust under small deviations and that, by contrasting noncausal revivals with genuine backflows, it is possible to obtain a convex resource theory of dynamical non-Markovianity, thus solving a long-standing open problem in the literature.

Acknowledgments.-F.B. acknowledges support from MEXT Quantum Leap Flagship Program (MEXT QLEAP) Grant No. JPMXS0120319794, from MEXT-JSPS Grantin-Aid for Transformative Research Areas (A) "Extreme Universe" No. 21H05183, and from JSPS KAKENHI, Grants No. 20K03746 and No. 23K03230. R.G. thanks the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), Government of India, for financial support through a fellowship (File No. 09/947(0233)/2019-EMR-I). K.G. is supported by the Hong Kong Research Grant Council (RGC) through Grant No. 17307719 and 17307520. S.D. acknowledges support from the Science and Engineering Research Board, Department of Science and Technology (SERB-DST), Government of India under Grant No. SRG/2023/000217. S.D. also thanks IIIT Hyderabad for the Faculty Seed Grant. H.B. would like to thank IIIT Hyderabad for its warm hospitality during his visit in the initial phase of this work.

- <sup>†</sup> goswami.kaumudibikash@gmail.com
- \* das.seed@iiit.ac.in
- § mnbera@gmail.com
- Heinz-Peter Breuer and Francesco Petruccione, *The Theory of Open Quantum Systems* (Oxford University Press, 2007).
- [2] G. Lindblad, "On the generators of quantum dynamical semigroups," Communications in Mathematical Physics 48, 119 (1976).
- [3] Vittorio Gorini, Andrzej Kossakowski, and E. C. G. Sudarshan, "Completely positive dynamical semigroups of N-level systems," Journal of Mathematical Physics 17, 821–825 (1976).
- [4] Robert Alicki and Karl Lendi, *Quantum Dynamical Semigroups* and Applications, Lecture Notes in Physics (Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 2007).
- [5] Daniel A. Lidar, "Lecture notes on the theory of open quantum systems," (2020), arXiv:1902.00967 [quant-ph].
- [6] Ángel Rivas, Susana F Huelga, and Martin B Plenio, "Quantum non-Markovianity: characterization, quantification and detection," Reports on Progress in Physics 77, 094001 (2014).
- [7] Francesco Buscemi and Nilanjana Datta, "Equivalence between divisibility and monotonic decrease of information in classical and quantum stochastic processes," Physical Review A 93, 012101 (2016).
- [8] Inés de Vega and Daniel Alonso, "Dynamics of non-Markovian

open quantum systems," Reviews of Modern Physics 89, 015001 (2017).

- [9] Felix A. Pollock, César Rodríguez-Rosario, Thomas Frauenheim, Mauro Paternostro, and Kavan Modi, "Operational Markov condition for quantum processes," Physical Review Letters 120, 040405 (2018).
- [10] Simon Milz, Felix A Pollock, Thao P Le, Giulio Chiribella, and Kavan Modi, "Entanglement, non-Markovianity, and causal non-separability," New Journal of Physics 20, 033033 (2018).
- [11] Siddhartha Das, Sumeet Khatri, George Siopsis, and Mark M. Wilde, "Fundamental limits on quantum dynamics based on entropy change," Journal of Mathematical Physics 59, 012205 (2018).
- [12] Steve Campbell, Maria Popovic, Dario Tamascelli, and Bassano Vacchini, "Precursors of non-Markovianity," New Journal of Physics 21, 053036 (2019).
- [13] Yun-Yi Hsieh, Zheng-Yao Su, and Hsi-Sheng Goan, "Non-Markovianity, information backflow, and system-environment correlation for open-quantum-system processes," Physical Review A 100, 012120 (2019).
- [14] Simon Milz, M. S. Kim, Felix A. Pollock, and Kavan Modi, "Completely positive divisibility does not mean Markovianity," Physical Review Letters 123, 040401 (2019).
- [15] Graeme D. Berk, Andrew J. P. Garner, Benjamin Yadin, Kavan Modi, and Felix A. Pollock, "Resource theories of multi-time processes: A window into quantum non-Markovianity," Quantum 5, 435 (2021).
- [16] Daniel Burgarth, Paolo Facchi, Davide Lonigro, and Kavan Modi, "Quantum non-Markovianity elusive to interventions," Physical Review A 104, L050404 (2021).
- [17] Bartosz Regula, Ryuji Takagi, and Mile Gu, "Operational applications of the diamond norm and related measures in quantifying the non-physicality of quantum maps," Quantum 5, 522 (2021).
- [18] Dominic Gribben, Aidan Strathearn, Gerald E. Fux, Peter Kirton, and Brendon W. Lovett, "Using the Environment to Understand non-Markovian Open Quantum Systems," Quantum 6, 847 (2022).
- [19] U. Shrikant and Prabha Mandayam, "Quantum non-Markovianity: Overview and recent developments," Frontiers in Quantum Science and Technology 2, 1134583 (2023).
- [20] Zhong-Xiao Man, Yun-Jie Xia, and Rosario Lo Franco, "Harnessing non-Markovian quantum memory by environmental coupling," Physical Review A 92, 012315 (2015).
- [21] E. Wakakuwa, "Operational resource theory of non-Markovianity," (2017), arXiv:1709.07248 [quant-ph].
- [22] Ya-Nan Lu, Yu-Ran Zhang, Gang-Qin Liu, Franco Nori, Heng Fan, and Xin-Yu Pan, "Observing information backflow from controllable non-Markovian multichannels in diamond," Physical Review Letters 124, 210502 (2020).
- [23] S. V. Moreira, B. Marques, R. R. Paiva, L. S. Cruz, D. O. Soares-Pinto, and F. L. Semião, "Enhancing quantum transport efficiency by tuning non-Markovian dephasing," Physical Review A 101, 012123 (2020).
- [24] E. Wakakuwa, "Communication cost for non-Markovianity of tripartite quantum states: A resource theoretic approach," IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 67, 433 (2021).
- [25] Jian Wei Cheong, Andri Pradana, and Lock Yue Chew, "Communication advantage of quantum compositions of channels from non-Markovianity," Physical Review A 106, 052410 (2022).
- [26] Krzysztof Ptaszyński, "Non-Markovian thermal operations boosting the performance of quantum heat engines," Physical Review E 106, 014114 (2022).

<sup>\*</sup> buscemi@nagoya-u.jp

- [27] Yan Wang, Ze-Yan Hao, Jia-Kun Li, Zheng-Hao Liu, Kai Sun, Jin-Shi Xu, Chuan-Feng Li, and Guang-Can Guo, "Observation of non-Markovian evolution of Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen steering," Physical Review Letters 130, 200202 (2023).
- [28] Junjing Xing, Tianfeng Feng, Zhaobing Fan, Haitao Ma, Kishor Bharti, Dax Enshan Koh, and Yunlong Xiao, "Fundamental limitations on communication over a quantum network," (2023), arXiv:2306.04983 [quant-ph].
- [29] Ángel Rivas, Susana F. Huelga, and Martin B. Plenio, "Entanglement and non-Markovianity of quantum evolutions," Physical Review Letters 105, 050403 (2010).
- [30] Heinz-Peter Breuer, Elsi-Mari Laine, and Jyrki Piilo, "Measure for the degree of non-Markovian behavior of quantum processes in open systems," Physical Review Letters 103, 210401 (2009).
- [31] Leandro Aolita, Fernando de Melo, and Luiz Davidovich, "Open-system dynamics of entanglement:a key issues review," Reports on Progress in Physics 78, 042001 (2015).
- [32] Chris Sutherland, Todd A. Brun, and Daniel A. Lidar, "Non-Markovianity of the post-Markovian master equation," Physical Review A 98, 042119 (2018).
- [33] Simon Einsiedler, Andreas Ketterer, and Heinz-Peter Breuer, "Non-Markovianity of quantum Brownian motion," Physical Review A 102, 022228 (2020).
- [34] Nina Megier, Andrea Smirne, and Bassano Vacchini, "Entropic bounds on information backflow," Physical Review Letters 127, 030401 (2021).
- [35] Federico Settimo, Heinz-Peter Breuer, and Bassano Vacchini, "Entropic and trace-distance-based measures of non-Markovianity," Physical Review A 106, 042212 (2022).
- [36] Jonathan Brugger, Christoph Dittel, and Andreas Buchleitner, "Many-body quantum non-Markovianity," Physical Review Research 5, 023060 (2023).
- [37] Bassano Vacchini, "Comparison of distances and entropic distinguishability quantifiers for the detection of memory effects," International Journal of Quantum Information, 2450007 (2024).
- [38] Shunlong Luo, Shuangshuang Fu, and Hongting Song, "Quantifying non-Markovianity via correlations," Physical Review A 86, 044101 (2012).
- [39] Zhiqiang Huang and Xiao-Kan Guo, "Quantifying non-Markovianity via conditional mutual information," Physical Review A 104, 032212 (2021).
- [40] Jan Kołodyński, Swapan Rana, and Alexander Streltsov, "Entanglement negativity as a universal non-Markovianity witness," Physical Review A 101, 020303 (2020).
- [41] Himadri Shekhar Dhar, Manabendra Nath Bera, and Gerardo Adesso, "Characterizing non-Markovianity via quantum interferometric power," Physical Review A 91, 032115 (2015).
- [42] Leonardo A. M. Souza, Himadri Shekhar Dhar, Manabendra Nath Bera, Pietro Liuzzo-Scorpo, and Gerardo Adesso, "Gaussian interferometric power as a measure of continuousvariable non-Markovianity," Physical Review A 92, 052122 (2015).
- [43] Shin-Liang Chen, Neill Lambert, Che-Ming Li, Adam Miranowicz, Yueh-Nan Chen, and Franco Nori, "Quantifying non-Markovianity with temporal steering," Physical Review Letters 116, 020503 (2016).
- [44] Francesco Buscemi, "Comparison of quantum statistical models: Equivalent conditions for sufficiency," Communications in Mathematical Physics 310, 625 (2012).
- [45] Francesco Buscemi, Nilanjana Datta, and Sergii Strelchuk, "Game-theoretic characterization of antidegradable channels," Journal of Mathematical Physics 55, 092202 (2014).

- [46] Francesco Buscemi, "Reverse data-processing theorems and computational second laws," in *Reality and Measurement in Algebraic Quantum Theory*, edited by Masanao Ozawa, Jeremy Butterfield, Hans Halvorson, Miklós Rédei, Yuichiro Kitajima, and Francesco Buscemi (Springer Singapore, Singapore, 2018) pp. 135–159.
- [47] S. Alipour, A. Mani, and A. T. Rezakhani, "Quantum discord and non-Markovianity of quantum dynamics," Physical Review A 85, 052108 (2012).
- [48] F. F. Fanchini, G. Karpat, B. Çakmak, L. K. Castelano, G. H. Aguilar, O. Jiménez Farías, S. P. Walborn, P. H. Souto Ribeiro, and M. C. de Oliveira, "Non-Markovianity through accessible information," Physical Review Letters 112, 210402 (2014).
- [49] S. Haseli, G. Karpat, S. Salimi, A. S. Khorashad, F. F. Fanchini, B. Çakmak, G. H. Aguilar, S. P. Walborn, and P. H. Souto Ribeiro, "Non-Markovianity through flow of information between a system and an environment," Physical Review A 90, 052118 (2014).
- [50] Dario De Santis and Markus Johansson, "Equivalence between non-Markovian dynamics and correlation backflows," New Journal of Physics 22, 093034 (2020).
- [51] R. Lo Franco, B. Bellomo, E. Andersson, and G. Compagno, "Revival of quantum correlations without system-environment back-action," Physical Review A 85, 032318 (2012).
- [52] Adrián A. Budini, "Maximally non-Markovian quantum dynamics without environment-to-system backflow of information," Physical Review A 97, 052133 (2018).
- [53] Simon Milz, Dario Egloff, Philip Taranto, Thomas Theurer, Martin B. Plenio, Andrea Smirne, and Susana F. Huelga, "When is a non-Markovian quantum process classical?" Physical Review X 10, 041049 (2020).
- [54] Christina Giarmatzi and Fabio Costa, "Witnessing quantum memory in non-Markovian processes," Quantum 5, 440 (2021).
- [55] Michał Banacki, Marcin Marciniak, Karol Horodecki, and Paweł Horodecki, "Information backflow may not indicate quantum memory," Physical Review A 107, 032202 (2023).
- [56] Charlotte Bäcker, Konstantin Beyer, and Walter T. Strunz, "Local disclosure of quantum memory in non-Markovian dynamics," Physical Review Letters 132, 060402 (2024).
- [57] Rajeev Gangwar, Tanmoy Pandit, Kaumudibikash Goswami, Siddhartha Das, and Manabendra Nath Bera, "Squashed quantum non-Markovianity: a measure of genuine quantum non-Markovianity in states," (2024), arXiv:2311.18323 [quant-ph].
- [58] Eric Chitambar and Gilad Gour, "Quantum resource theories," Reviews of Modern Physics 91, 025001 (2019).
- [59] Michael A. Nielsen and Isaac L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information: 10th Anniversary Edition* (Cambridge University Press, 2010).
- [60] Mark M. Wilde, *Quantum Information Theory* (Cambridge University Press, 2013).
- [61] Philip Pechukas, "Reduced dynamics need not be completely positive," Physical Review Letters 73, 1060–1062 (1994).
- [62] Francesco Buscemi, "Complete positivity, Markovianity, and the quantum data-processing inequality, in the presence of initial system-environment correlations," Physical Review Letters 113, 140502 (2014).
- [63] Berry Groisman, Sandu Popescu, and Andreas Winter, "Quantum, classical, and total amount of correlations in a quantum state," Physical Review A 72, 032317 (2005).
- [64] W. Forrest Stinespring, "Positive functions on C\*-algebras," Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 6, 211 (1955).
- [65] Karl Kraus, States, Effects, and Operations: Fundamental Notions of Quantum Theory. Lectures in Mathematical Physics at

the University of Texas at Austin, Vol. 190 (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1983).

- [66] Robert R. Tucci, "Quantum entanglement and conditional information transmission," (1999), arXiv:quant-ph/9909041 [quantph].
- [67] Matthias Christandl and Andreas Winter, ""Squashed entanglement": An additive entanglement measure," Journal of Mathematical Physics 45, 829 (2004).
- [68] Fernando G. S. L. Brandao, Matthias Christandl, and Jon Yard, "Faithful Squashed Entanglement," Communications in Mathematical Physics 306, 805 (2011).
- [69] Dénes Petz, "Sufficient subalgebras and the relative entropy of

states of a von Neumann algebra," Communications in Mathematical Physics 105, 123 (1986).

- [70] Dénes Petz, "Sufficiency of channels over von Neumann algebras," The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics 39, 97 (1988).
- [71] Patrick Hayden, Richard Jozsa, Denes Petz, and Andreas Winter, "Structure of states which satisfy strong subadditivity of quantum entropy with equality," Communications in Mathematical Physics 246, 359 (2004).
- [72] Francesco Buscemi, Siddhartha Das, and Mark M. Wilde, "Approximate reversibility in the context of entropy gain, information gain, and complete positivity," Physical Review A 93, 062314 (2016).

## Appendix A: Convexity of non-causal revivals

Let's consider two processes, (a) and (b), in the three-time snapshot.

$$\Phi_{RQ}^{+} \otimes \gamma_{E}^{(a)} \xrightarrow{U_{QE}} \sigma_{RQ'E'}^{(a)} \xrightarrow{V_{Q'E'}} \tau_{RQ''E''}^{(a)} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_{RQ}^{+} \otimes \gamma_{E}^{(b)} \xrightarrow{U_{QE}} \sigma_{RQ'E'}^{(b)} \xrightarrow{V_{Q'E'}} \tau_{RQ''E''}^{(b)}. \tag{S.1}$$

At the time  $t_0$ , the initial states have QCMI equal to zero for both processes. Therefore, for arbitrary unitary operations  $U_{QE}$ , there is no information revival. Further, it is assumed that in the second step, i.e., evolution  $t_1 \rightarrow t_2$  governed by  $V_{Q'E'}$ , there is no information revival, i.e.,  $I(R; Q'')_2^{(a)} \le I(R; Q')_1^{(a)}$  and  $I(R; Q'')_2^{(b)} \le I(R; Q')_1^{(b)}$ . Now consider a probabilistic (convex) mixture of these two processes,

$$\Phi_{RQ}^{+} \otimes \sum_{x=a}^{b} p_{x} \gamma_{E}^{(a)} \xrightarrow{U_{QE}} \sigma_{RQ'E'} = \sum_{x=a}^{b} p_{x} \sigma_{RQ'E'}^{(x)} \xrightarrow{V_{Q'E'}} \tau_{RQ''E''} = \sum_{x=a}^{b} p_{x} \tau_{RQ''E''}^{(x)},$$
(S.2)

where  $1 \ge p_x \ge 0$  are the probabilities and  $\sum_{x=a}^{b} p_x = 1$ . Clearly, the first step, due to  $U_{QE}$ , does not result in any information revival. However, there may be an information revival in the second step  $(t_1 \rightarrow t_2)$ , and this is because the QCMI of the state  $\sigma_{RQ'E'}$  may not be zero, i.e.,  $I(R; E'|Q')_1 \neq 0$ , in general. Let us consider an inert extension  $\sigma_{RQ'E'F}$  of the state  $\sigma_{RQ'E'} =$  $\operatorname{Tr}_{F}[\sigma_{RQ'E'F}]$ , given by

$$\sigma_{RQ'E'F} = \sum_{x=a}^{b} p_x |x\rangle \langle x|_F \otimes \sigma_{RQ'E'}^{(x)},$$
(S.3)

where the extension system F acts solely as a classical register. The evolution  $(t_1 \rightarrow t_2)$  with the unitary  $V_{Q'E'}$  leads to  $\sigma_{RQ'E'F} \rightarrow 0$  $\tau_{RQ''E''F}$ . Now it can be easily seen that

$$I(R;Q''F)_2 - I(R;Q'F)_1 = \sum_{x=a}^{b} p_x \left( I(R;Q'')_2^{(x)} - I(R;Q'')_1^{(x)} \right) \le 0.$$
(S.4)

Thus, there can only be non-causal revival (no genuine revival) in the step  $(t_1 \rightarrow t_2)$  due to the unitary  $V_{O'E'}$ .