

Dirac Brackets \leftrightarrow Open Quantum Systems: A Correspondence

Aleek Maity* and V V Sreedhar†

Chennai Mathematical Institute, Plot H1, SIPCOT IT Park, Siruseri
Chennai, India 603103

Abstract

The time evolution of an open quantum system is governed by the Gorini-Kossakowski-Sudarshan-Lindblad equation for the reduced density operator of the system. This operator is obtained from the full density operator of the composite system involving the system itself, the bath, and the interactions between them, by performing a partial trace over the bath degrees of freedom. The entanglement between the system and the bath leads to a generalized Liouville evolution that involves, amongst other things, dissipation and decoherence of the system.

In a similar fashion, the time evolution of a physical observable in a classically constrained dynamical system is governed by a generalization of the Liouville equation, in which the usual Poisson bracket is replaced by the so-called Dirac bracket. The generalization takes into account the reduction in the phase space of the system because of constraints, which arise either because they are introduced by hand, or because of some underlying gauge invariance.

We derive an intriguing, but precise classical-quantum correspondence between the aforementioned situations which connects the Lindblad operators to the constraints. The correspondence is illustrated in a system of coupled simple harmonic oscillators studied earlier in the context of the area law of black holes by Bombelli, Koul, Lee, and Sorkin, and independently by Srednicki.

Keywords:

Dirac Brackets, Constrained Systems, Open Quantum Systems, Lindblad Equation

PACS numbers: 11.15.-q; 11.10.Ef; 03.65.Yz; 03.65.Ca

*email- aleek@cmi.ac.in

†email- sreedhar@cmi.ac.in

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Review	4
2.1	Constrained Dynamical Systems	4
2.2	Lindblad Equation	6
2.2.1	Coarse Graining	8
2.2.2	Dissipation Parameters	9
3	The Dirac Bracket \leftrightarrow Open Quantum Systems Correspondence	11
4	An example: Two coupled oscillators	13
4.1	Dissipation Parameters, Lamb Shift Hamiltonian, and the Lindblad Equation . .	14
4.2	The Quantum Side of the Correspondence	16
4.3	The Classical Side of the Correspondence	16
4.4	The Classical-Quantum Correspondence	18
5	Conclusions and Outlook	20
6	Acknowledgement	21

1 Introduction

Physical systems at the atomic, nuclear, and sub-nuclear levels are governed by quantum mechanics. Since quantum mechanics is an inherently abstract and non-deterministic theory, the correspondence between quantum mechanics and the physically more relatable classical mechanics has intrigued people for several decades. The Correspondence Principle of Bohr [1], the Ehrenfest Theorem [2], the Coherent States of Schrodinger [3], and the JWKB approximation [4] [5] [6] [7] are amongst the earlier attempts to bridge the gap between classical and quantum theories. A little later, Wigner [8] invented a probability distribution using which the expectation values of operators in quantum mechanics could be computed by simply performing averages of

the corresponding observables in the classical phase space.

Another well-known connection between classical and quantum mechanics is based on the prescription of promoting the classical observables to quantum operators [9]. The Poisson brackets of the observables in Hamiltonian mechanics are then replaced by commutation relations between the corresponding operators in quantum mechanics multiplied by $i\hbar$. Thus, one may view quantum mechanics as a purely classical, but suitably geometrized theory [10] [11].

A particularly important set of problems is encountered when the dynamics in classical mechanics is confined to a subspace of the phase space. This could be because of constraints introduced by hand, or because the canonical coordinates used to describe the system have some redundant degrees of freedom. Such systems are called constrained systems. Dirac [12],[13] showed how the usual Hamiltonian methods could be generalized to such situations. A central result of this analysis uses the Dirac bracket instead of the Poisson bracket to capture the effect of the constraints in classical mechanics. Canonical quantization consists of replacing the Dirac bracket with a commutator multiplied by $i\hbar$, modulo operator ordering problems.

Similarly, another important set of problems is encountered when one considers open quantum systems[14][15]. In this case, one starts with the total Hilbert Space of the system and the environment. The state of the quantum system is obtained by performing a partial trace over the environment.

Both these problems involve a reduction in the degrees of freedom defining the underlying spaces, one the phase space of classical mechanics, and the other, the Hilbert space of quantum mechanics. In the spirit of the aforementioned correspondence between classical and quantum mechanics, it is therefore natural to examine the correspondence between constrained dynamical systems and open quantum systems.

In this paper, we do a detailed study of this correspondence. We construct a map between the so-called Lindblad operators [16][17] appearing in the master equation governing open quantum systems on the one hand, and the constraints, appearing through the Dirac bracket, in the dynamical equation of motion governing the corresponding classical system on the other hand.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we give a lightning review of constrained dynamical systems emphasizing mainly the definition and derivation of the Dirac bracket. We sketch the derivation of the Lindblad equation mentioning the relevant approximations used [20][15]. The dissipation parameters that appear in the Lindblad equation which are crucial in establishing the connection between constrained systems and open quantum systems are most efficiently calculated in the interaction picture and we present a review of the relevant details.

In Section 3, we present our main result establishing the advertised correspondence.

In Section 4, we illustrate our correspondence in a system of coupled simple harmonic oscillators studied earlier by Bombelli, Koul, Lee, and Sorkin [18], and independently by Srednicki [19], in a different context.

We summarise the results of the paper and present an outlook in Section 5.

2 Review

This section consists of two parts. In the first part, we will present a brief review of constrained dynamical systems. In the second part, we will review some aspects of open quantum systems needed to establish the correspondence between the two in the next section.

2.1 Constrained Dynamical Systems

Let S denote the action functional

$$S[q_i(t)] = \int_a^b dt L(q_i, \dot{q}_i) \quad (1)$$

where $q_i(t)$ are canonical coordinates and $\dot{q}_i(t)$ denotes derivative of q_i with respect to time t . For simplicity, we consider Lagrangians without explicit time dependence.

The Poisson brackets between the coordinates and the canonically conjugate momenta $p^i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i}$ are given by the equation

$$\{q_j, p^i\} = \delta_j^i \quad (2)$$

The canonical Hamiltonian is obtained by a Legendre transform of the Lagrangian in the following manner,

$$H_c = p_i \dot{q}_i - L(q_i, \dot{q}_i) \quad (3)$$

The dynamics is determined by Hamilton's equations

$$\dot{q}_i = \left\{ q_i, H_c \right\} = \frac{\partial H_c}{\partial p_i}, \quad \dot{p}_i = \left\{ p_i, H_c \right\} = -\frac{\partial H_c}{\partial q_i} \quad (4)$$

If the Lagrangian $L(q_i, \dot{q}_i)$ is singular, the determinant of the Hessian W_{ij} vanishes,

$$\text{Det}[W_{ij}] = 0 \quad \text{where } W_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \dot{q}_i \partial \dot{q}_j} = \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial \dot{q}_j} \quad (5)$$

If the rank of the Hessian $W_{N \times N}$ is R ($R < N$) and $2N$ is the total number of phase space variables,

$$\dot{q}^a = f^a(q, p_b, \dot{q}^\rho) \quad a, b = 1, \dots, R \quad \text{and} \quad \rho = R + 1, \dots, N \quad (6)$$

From (5) and (6) we can see that $(N - R)$ \dot{q}_i s are undetermined,

$$p_i = \tilde{g}_i(q, \dot{q}^a, \dot{q}^\rho) = \tilde{g}_i\left(q, f^a(q, p_b, \dot{q}^\rho), \dot{q}^\rho\right) = g_i(q, p_b, \dot{q}^\rho) \quad i = 1, 2 \dots N \quad (7)$$

$$p_\tau = g_\tau(q, p_b) \quad \tau = R + 1, \dots, N \quad (8)$$

The p_τ do not depend on \dot{q}^ρ s since we can't solve for them. These $(N - R)$ relations given by Eq.(8) are the primary constraints,

$$\phi_m(q, p) \approx 0. \quad \text{where, } m = R + 1, \dots, N \quad (9)$$

The \approx sign, said to be weakly equal to, indicates that this equation identically holds on the subspace determined by the constraints, but not on the whole phase space.

The canonical Hamiltonian is restricted to the reduced phase space and is not uniquely determined. We may add to it any linear combination of the ϕ_m s which will give us the primary Hamiltonian.

$$H_p = H_c + \lambda_m \phi_m \approx H_c \quad (10)$$

where the λ_m are the Lagrange multipliers. The requirement that the primary constraints [eq. (9)] be preserved in time yields,

$$\dot{\phi}_n = \left\{ \phi_n, H_p \right\} \approx \left\{ \phi_n, H_c \right\} + \lambda_m \left\{ \phi_n, \phi_m \right\} \approx 0. \quad \forall n = R + 1 \dots N \quad (11)$$

Three things can happen at this stage:

- (a) the above equation can be identically satisfied, in which case no extra information can be gathered about the system,
- (b) the above gives rise to a new equation depending on the Lagrange multipliers λ_m , using which we can determine one or more of them explicitly as functions of the coordinates and momenta,
- (c) we get a new equation between the coordinates and momenta, independent of the Lagrange multipliers, λ_m . These new relations are also constraints.

We need to go through this rigmarole once again with the new set of constraints. At the end of this exercise, we would have determined some λ_m , and the complete set of new constraints we acquire in the process is called the set of secondary constraints. These, together with the primary constraints form the total set of constraints. To summarise,

$$\phi_a(q, p) \approx 0 \quad a = 1, \dots, T, \quad \text{where, } T = K + M \text{ and } M = N - R \quad (12)$$

In the above equation, M is the number of primary constraints, K is the number of additional secondary constraints, and T is the total number of constraints. The remaining arbitrariness in the Hamiltonian is due to the Lagrange multipliers that are left undetermined at this stage.

Dirac defines a function $R(q, p)$ as a first-class quantity if

$$\{R, \phi_a\} \approx 0, \quad a = 1, \dots, T \quad (13)$$

and second class if

$$\{R, \phi_a\} \not\approx 0. \quad \text{for at least one } a. \quad (14)$$

All the constraints can be classified into first and second class constraints:

$$\psi_i(q, p) \approx 0. \quad i = 1, \dots, I \quad [\text{First-class constraints}] \quad (15)$$

$$\phi_\alpha(q, p) \approx 0. \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, Q \quad [\text{Second-class constraints}] \quad (16)$$

First class constraints generate redundancies (gauge invariances) in theory. By choosing an appropriate number of gauge fixing conditions, these redundancies can be eliminated, and the first class constraints are converted to second class by the gauge fixing conditions. One may check that these extra gauge fixing constraints are precisely the ones that fix the remaining undetermined Lagrange multipliers.

The Dirac bracket between any two observables A and B , is defined as follows:

$$\{A, B\}^* = \{A, B\} - \{A, \phi_\alpha\} C_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} \{\phi_\beta, B\}. \quad (17)$$

where ϕ_α are second class constraints, and $C_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \{\phi_\alpha, \phi_\beta\}$ is the matrix of Poisson brackets of second class constraints.

The time development of any classical observable, $F(q, p)$ is determined by the evolution equation

$$\dot{F}(t) = \{F, H_E\}^* = \{F, H_c\} + v_i \{F, \psi_i\} - \{F, \phi_\alpha\} C_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} \{\phi_\beta, H_c\} \quad (18)$$

Here, $H_E = H_c + v_i \psi_i$ is the effective Hamiltonian of the system. H_c is the canonical Hamiltonian, v_i are Lagrange multipliers which are undetermined, and ψ_i are the first class constraints. If there are no first-class constraints, the second term on the RHS of equation (18) is zero, and evolution equation is exactly like the Liouville equation but with the Poisson bracket replaced by the Dirac bracket.

2.2 Lindblad Equation

Lindblad, and independently Gorini, Kossakowski, and Sudarshan, derived the most general completely positive Markovian semigroup master equation for the dynamics of an open quantum

system [16][17] given by,

$$\dot{\rho}(t) = -i[H_s, \rho(t)] + \sum_{\alpha, \beta} a_{\alpha\beta} \left[F_{\alpha} \rho(t) F_{\beta}^{\dagger} - \frac{1}{2} \{ F_{\beta}^{\dagger} F_{\alpha}, \rho(t) \} \right] \quad (19)$$

In this equation F_{α} , $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, N^2$, where N is the dimension of system Hilbert space H_S , stand for an orthonormal set of operators, and $a_{\alpha\beta}$ is a constant positive semi-definite matrix of dissipation parameters. In the above equation and in what follows, we set $\hbar = 1$.

In this section we review the master equation by starting from the full system, *i.e.*, the bath, and the system, and derive the reduced density matrix by integrating out the bath degrees of freedom. In doing so, we explicitly identify the role played by the Markovian approximation, and the coarse-graining procedure, which will enable us to derive the all-important dissipation parameters.

Let us consider a general Hamiltonian of the form,

$$H(t) = H_S + H_B + H_I = H_0 + H_I \quad (20)$$

where, $H_0 = H_S + H_B$ is the ‘free’ Hamiltonian of both the system and the bath, and H_I is the interaction Hamiltonian. Let us further assume initial decoupling between the system and bath,

$$\rho_T(0) = \rho_S(0) \otimes \rho_B(0) \quad (21)$$

The integration over the bath degrees of freedom can be performed in the usual way [14]. The time dependence of the reduced density operator can then be described by the Kraus Operator Sum Representation (OSR), as follows:

$$\rho_s(t) = \sum_{lm} K_{lm}(t) \rho_s(0) K_{lm}^{\dagger}(t) \quad (22)$$

The Kraus operators straddle the total system (bath + system), and are matrix elements in so far as the bath is concerned, but act as operators on the system

$$K_{lm}(t) = \sqrt{p_m} \langle l | U(t) | m \rangle, \quad U(t) = e^{-iHt} \quad (23)$$

Evidently, there are d_B^2 number of Kraus operators, where d_B is the dimensionality of the bath Hilbert space. We label them by $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, d_B^2 - 1$.

The Kraus operators, K_i , can be expanded in the time-independent operator basis $\{F_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=0}^{d_S^2-1}$ with $F_0 = I$,

$$K_i(t) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{d_S^2-1} b_{i\alpha}(t) F_{\alpha} \quad (24)$$

where, $b_{i\alpha}$ are the time-dependent elements of a rectangular $d_S^2 \times d_B^2$ dimensional matrix. The reduced density operator can be expressed as

$$\rho_s(t) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta=0}^{d_S^2-1} \chi_{\alpha\beta}(t) F_\alpha \rho_S(0) F_\beta^\dagger. \quad (25)$$

Note that the matrix elements $\chi_{\alpha\beta}(t)$ appearing in the above equation are given by

$$\chi_{\alpha\beta}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{d_B^2-1} b_{i\alpha}(t) b_{i\beta}^*(t) \quad (26)$$

$\chi(t)$ is a positive semi-definite, hermitian $d_s^2 \times d_s^2$ matrix.

After some algebraic manipulations, we get the fixed basis OSR evolution equation to be

$$\frac{d}{dt} \rho_s(t) = -i [\dot{Q}(t), \rho_s(0)] + \sum_{\alpha, \beta \geq 1} \dot{\chi}_{\alpha\beta}(t) \left(F_\alpha \rho_s(0) F_\beta^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} \{ F_\beta^\dagger F_\alpha, \rho_s(0) \} \right) \quad (27)$$

where $Q(t)$, whose physical significance will become clear presently, is a hermitian operator defined by,

$$Q(t) \equiv \frac{i}{2} \sum_{\beta \geq 1} \left(\chi_{\beta 0}(t) F_\beta - \chi_{0\beta}(t) F_\beta^\dagger \right) \quad (28)$$

This equation connects the reduced density matrix at two different instants of time. To bring it to the Lindblad form [eq.(19)], we resort to the following procedure.

2.2.1 Coarse Graining

The method of coarse-graining can be found in [20]. We consider three time scales: (a) τ_B , the bath time scale, typically the inverse of the maximum natural frequency associated with the bath (b) the coarse-graining time scale τ over which the bath is reset to its original state (timescale for the bath memory to be erased, also referred to as the Markovian approximation) and (c) a system timescale τ_s , the timescale associated with the changes in the reduced density matrix. The coarse-graining procedure consists of assuming

$$\tau_B \ll \tau \ll \tau_s \quad (29)$$

Note that there is yet another timescale τ_0 associated with the unitary evolution of the system, and it should lie between τ_B and τ_s . To quote Feynman, we are therefore in an ‘equilibrium’ regime where "all the fast processes have already taken place, and the slow processes are yet to take place"[21].

Under these conditions, the OSR evolution equation reduces to

$$\frac{d}{dt}\rho_s(t) = -i\left[\langle\dot{Q}\rangle, \rho_s(t)\right] + \sum_{\alpha, \beta \geq 1} \langle\dot{\chi}_{\alpha\beta}\rangle \left(F_\alpha \rho_s(t) F_\beta^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} \left\{F_\beta^\dagger F_\alpha, \rho_s(t)\right\}\right) \quad (30)$$

where $\langle\dot{Q}\rangle$ is effectively the Hamiltonian responsible for the unitary evolution of the system: it contains not just the system Hamiltonian, but also the Lamb shift correction coming from the system-bath interaction. Thus, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\rho_s(t) = -i\left[H_S + H_{LS}, \rho_s(t)\right] + \sum_{\alpha, \beta \geq 1} \langle\dot{\chi}_{\alpha\beta}\rangle \left(F_\alpha \rho_s(t) F_\beta^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} \left\{F_\beta^\dagger F_\alpha, \rho_s(t)\right\}\right) \quad (31)$$

which is of the Lindblad form [eq.(19)], as advertised.

2.2.2 Dissipation Parameters

In the interaction picture, the Hamiltonian becomes

$$\tilde{H}_I(t) = U_0^\dagger(t) H_I(t) U_0(t), \quad U_0(t) = e^{-iH_S t} \otimes e^{-iH_B t} \quad (32)$$

We take the interaction Hamiltonian to be of the form

$$\tilde{H}_I(t) = \sum_{\beta, \delta} \lambda_{\beta\delta} \tilde{S}_\beta(t) \otimes \tilde{B}_\delta(t) \quad (33)$$

where, $\tilde{S}_\beta(t)$ and $\tilde{B}_\delta(t)$ are the system and bath operators respectively, and can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S}_\beta(t) &= e^{iH_S t} S_\beta e^{-iH_S t} = \sum_{\alpha} p_{\beta\alpha}(t) S_\alpha \\ \tilde{B}_\delta(t) &= e^{iH_B t} B_\delta e^{-iH_B t} = \sum_{\gamma} q_{\delta\gamma}(t) B_\gamma \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

The density matrix in the interaction picture evolves as

$$\tilde{\rho}_T(t) = U_0^\dagger(t) \rho_T(t) U_0(t) = \tilde{U}(t) \rho_T(0) \tilde{U}^\dagger(t) \quad (35)$$

where ρ_T is the total density matrix of the system and bath collectively. The unitary evolution operator in the interaction picture is given by,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{U}(t) &= U_0^\dagger(t) U(t, 0) \\ &= \hat{T} \exp \left[-i \int_0^t \tilde{H}_I(t') dt' \right] \\ &= I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^n}{n!} \int_0^t dt_n \int_0^{t_n} dt_{n-1} \dots \int_0^{t_2} dt_1 \hat{T} \left\{ \tilde{H}_I(t_1) \tilde{H}_I(t_2) \dots \tilde{H}_I(t_n) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

The system density matrix in the interaction picture is,

$$\tilde{\rho}_S(t) = \text{Tr}_B[\tilde{\rho}_T(t)] = \sum_i \tilde{K}_i(t) \rho_S(0) \tilde{K}_i^\dagger(t) \quad (37)$$

where, \tilde{K}_i are the Kraus operators in the interaction picture

$$\tilde{K}_i(t) = \tilde{K}_{lm}(t) = \sqrt{p_m} \langle l | \tilde{U}(t) | m \rangle \quad (38)$$

Then equations (24), (25) and (26) become,

$$\tilde{K}_i(t) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{d_S^2-1} \tilde{b}_{i\alpha}(t) F_\alpha; \quad \tilde{\rho}_S(t) = \sum_{\alpha,\beta=0}^{d_S^2-1} \tilde{\chi}_{\alpha\beta}(t) F_\alpha \rho_S(0) F_\beta^\dagger \quad \tilde{\chi}_{\alpha\beta}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{d_B^2-1} \tilde{b}_{i\alpha}(t) \tilde{b}_{i\beta}^*(t) \quad (39)$$

Now, identifying the system operators, $F_\alpha \equiv S_\alpha$, eq.(31) becomes,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\rho}_s(t) = -i [H_{LS}, \tilde{\rho}_s(t)] + \sum_{\alpha,\beta \geq 1} \langle \dot{\chi}_{\alpha\beta} \rangle \left(S_\alpha \tilde{\rho}_s(t) S_\beta^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ S_\beta^\dagger S_\alpha, \tilde{\rho}_s(t) \right\} \right) \quad (40)$$

In the Schrodinger's picture, the above equation becomes,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \rho_s(t) = -i [H_S + H_{LS}, \rho_s(t)] + \sum_{\alpha,\beta \geq 1} \langle \dot{\chi}_{\alpha\beta} \rangle \left(S_\alpha \rho_s(t) S_\beta^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ S_\beta^\dagger S_\alpha, \rho_s(t) \right\} \right) \quad (41)$$

The explicit derivation of dissipation parameters can be found in [22]. We can expand the Kraus operators by using the expansion of $\tilde{U}(t)$ eq.(36). From now on we suppress the tilde notation for convenience. From eq.(38) and eq.(36) with $F_0 = I_S$

$$K_i(t) = \sqrt{p_m} \delta_{lm} I_S + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K_i^{(n)}(t) \quad (42)$$

In the weak coupling regime, we will only consider up to the first order ($n = 1$) and we have,

$$K_{i=lm}^{(1)}(t) = -it\sqrt{p_m} \sum_{\alpha;\gamma;\beta,\delta} \lambda_{\beta\delta} S_\alpha \langle l | B_\gamma | m \rangle \Gamma_{\beta\delta}^{\alpha\gamma} \equiv \sum_{\alpha=1}^{d_S^2-1} b_{i\alpha}(t) F_\alpha \quad (43)$$

where we define,

$$\Gamma_{\beta\delta}^{\alpha\gamma}(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t dt' p_{\beta\alpha}(t') q_{\delta\gamma}(t') \quad (44)$$

From eq.(44) we can find that,

$$b_{i\alpha}(t) = -it\sqrt{p_m} \sum_{\alpha'\alpha''\alpha'''} \lambda_{\alpha''\alpha'''} \langle l | B_{\alpha'} | m \rangle \Gamma_{\alpha''\alpha'''}^{\alpha\alpha'}(t) \quad \alpha \geq 1 \quad (45)$$

Using eq.(39),

$$\chi_{\alpha 0}(t) = -it \sum_{\alpha' \alpha'' \alpha'''} \lambda_{\alpha'' \alpha'''} \langle B_{\alpha'} \rangle_B \Gamma_{\alpha'' \alpha'''}^{\alpha \alpha'}(t) \quad (46)$$

and,

$$\chi_{\alpha \beta}(t) = t^2 \sum_{\alpha' \alpha'' \alpha''' \beta' \beta'' \beta'''} \lambda_{\alpha'' \alpha'''} (\lambda_{\beta'' \beta'''})^* \langle B_{\alpha''} B_{\beta''}^\dagger \rangle_B \Gamma_{\alpha'' \alpha'''}^{\alpha \alpha'}(t) \left(\Gamma_{\beta'' \beta'''}^{\beta \beta'}(t) \right)^* \quad (47)$$

Then the dissipation parameter becomes,

$$\gamma_{\alpha \beta} = \langle \dot{\chi}_{\alpha \beta} \rangle = \frac{\chi_{\alpha \beta}(\tau)}{\tau} = \tau \sum_{\alpha' \alpha'' \alpha''' \beta' \beta'' \beta'''} \lambda_{\alpha'' \alpha'''} (\lambda_{\beta'' \beta'''})^* \langle B_{\alpha''} B_{\beta''}^\dagger \rangle_B \Gamma_{\alpha'' \alpha'''}^{\alpha \alpha'}(\tau) \left(\Gamma_{\beta'' \beta'''}^{\beta \beta'}(\tau) \right)^* \quad (48)$$

with Lamb Shift parameter,

$$H_{LS} = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{\alpha} \langle \dot{\chi}_{\alpha 0} \rangle S_{\alpha} - \langle \dot{\chi}_{\alpha 0} \rangle^* S_{\alpha}^\dagger \quad (49)$$

3 The Dirac Bracket \leftrightarrow Open Quantum Systems Correspondence

In this section, we will build the correspondence between constrained dynamical systems and open quantum systems in a general manner.

The evolution equation of any phase space variable is given by eq.(18)

$$\dot{\rho}(t) = \{ \rho, H_E \}^* = \{ \rho, H_E \} - \{ \rho, \phi_a \} C_{ab}^{-1} \{ \phi_b, H_c \} \quad (50)$$

where,

$$D^{ab} = (C^{-1})^{ab}, \quad C^{ab} = \{ \phi_a, \phi_b \} \quad (51)$$

where ϕ 's are all the second class constraints of the system.

According to Dirac, any quantity that is weakly zero can be written as a linear function of the ϕ 's. The ϕ 's are the only quantities in the theory that are independently weakly zero. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\phi}_b \approx 0 &\Rightarrow \{ \phi_b, H_c \} \approx 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \{ \phi_b, H_c \} = \sum_c \nu_{bc} \phi_c = \chi_b \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

Equation (50) becomes,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \rho(t) = \{ \rho, H_E \} - \{ \rho, \phi_a \} D^{ab} \chi_b \quad (53)$$

Assuming there are no operator ordering problems, we can promote the phase space observables (and hence the constraints) to quantum operators and replace the Poisson Brackets with commutators,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \rho(t) = i [\rho, H_E] - [\rho, \phi_a] D^{ab} \chi_b \quad (54)$$

Using the following commutator and anticommutator,

$$\begin{aligned}\left\{\phi_a\rho, D^{ab}\chi_b\right\} &= \phi_a\rho D^{ab}\chi_b + D^{ab}\chi_b\phi_a\rho \\ \left[\phi_a, D^{ab}\chi_b\right] &= \phi_a D^{ab}\chi_b - D^{ab}\chi_b\phi_a\end{aligned}\tag{55}$$

Equation (54) becomes,

$$\dot{\rho}(t) = i[\rho, H_E] - \left\{D^{ab}\chi_b\phi_a, \rho\right\} + \left\{\phi_a\rho, D^{ab}\chi_b\right\} - \rho\left[\phi_a, D^{ab}\chi_b\right]\tag{56}$$

A direct comparison of the coarse-grained Master Equation [eq.(41)] and the equation (56) gives,

$$D^{ab}\chi_b\phi_a = \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{\alpha\beta}S_\beta^\dagger S_\alpha\tag{57}$$

The above equation (57), is the central result of this paper.

The coarse-grained Master Equation [eq.(41)] is written in the fixed operator basis $\{S_a\}$. To bring it to the Lindblad form, we note that γ is positive semidefinite and we can diagonalize it as follows:

$$\tilde{\gamma} = u^\dagger \gamma u\tag{58}$$

The RHS of eq.(57) becomes,

$$\frac{1}{2}\gamma_{ab}S_b^\dagger S_a = \frac{1}{2}u_{ac}\tilde{\gamma}_c(u^\dagger)_{cb}S_b^\dagger S_a = \frac{1}{2}L_c \tilde{\gamma}_c L_c^\dagger\tag{59}$$

enabling us to identify the Lindblad operators

$$L_c = S_a u_{ac}\tag{60}$$

Similarly, the antisymmetric matrix D^{ab} on the LHS can be brought to a block diagonal form through a unitary transformation,

$$U^T D U = B\tag{61}$$

where B is a block diagonal antisymmetric matrix with entries along the diagonal given by 2x2 antisymmetric matrices as follows:

$$B = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_1 \\ -b_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_2 \\ -b_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_n \\ -b_n & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

Thus the LHS becomes,

$$D^{ab}\chi_b\phi_a = U^{ac}B_{cd}U^{bd}\chi_b\phi_a = \Phi^c B_{cd}\dot{\Phi}^d\tag{62}$$

where we have defined,

$$\Phi^c = U^{ac}\phi_a \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{\Phi}^d = U^{bd}\chi_b, \quad \text{since, } \chi_b = \dot{\phi}_b\tag{63}$$

The correspondence relation in equation (57) now takes the form

$$\Phi^c B_{cd} \dot{\Phi}^d = \frac{1}{2} L_c \tilde{\gamma}_c L_c^\dagger \quad (64)$$

One can in principle solve the above equation to relate the Lindblad operators to the constraints, and *vice versa*. In the next section, we illustrate this in a specific example, *viz.*, the case of two coupled simple harmonic oscillators.

4 An example: Two coupled oscillators

The model we consider was studied earlier by Srednicki and Bombelli *et al* in the context of the area law for black hole entropy [19],[18]. Their toy model describes two interacting simple harmonic oscillators in one dimension with the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2} p_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} k_1 x_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} p_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} k_2 x_2^2 - k' x_1 x_2 \quad (65)$$

For simplicity, we choose unit masses for both the oscillators ($m_1 = m_2 = 1$). The first oscillator, labeled by 1 is considered as the system, and the second oscillator, labeled by 2 is considered as the bath.¹

To derive the Lindblad equation, we begin by identifying the interaction Hamiltonian to be

$$H_I = -k' x_1 x_2 = \kappa (a + a^\dagger) \otimes (b + b^\dagger) \quad (66)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa &= -\frac{k'}{2} \sqrt{\omega_0 \omega_B}; & k_1 &= \omega_0^2; & k_2 &= \omega_B^2 \\ x_1 &= \sqrt{\frac{\omega_0}{2}} (a + a^\dagger), & x_2 &= \sqrt{\frac{\omega_B}{2}} (b + b^\dagger) \end{aligned}$$

In the interaction picture eq.(66) becomes,

$$H_I(t) = \kappa \left(a(t) + a^\dagger(t) \right) \otimes \left(b(t) + b^\dagger(t) \right) \quad (67)$$

This equation is of the general form

$$H_I(t) = \sum_{i, k} \lambda_{ik} S_i(t) \otimes B_k(t) \quad (68)$$

¹Usually the bath consists of an infinite number of oscillators. We approximate the bath by a single oscillator to illustrate the main result of this paper. It is not uncommon to represent a bath by a single oscillator: In the Jaynes-Cummings model for atom-radiation interaction, for example, the atom is approximated by a two-level system, and the bath by a single mode of the electromagnetic radiation in a cavity [23].

where $i = 1, 2$ and k refers to the total number of bath oscillators. Since there is only one bath oscillator we will henceforth suppress the index k . A direct comparison of the above two equations gives

$$S_i(t) = \sum_{i'} p_{ii'}(t) S_{i'} = a_i(t) = a_i e^{i\omega_{0i}t} \quad (69)$$

where, $a_1 = a$, $a_2 = a^\dagger$, $\omega_{01} = \omega_0$, $\omega_{02} = -\omega_0$, and

$$B(t) = b(t) + b^\dagger(t) = q(t)(b + b^\dagger) \quad (70)$$

4.1 Dissipation Parameters, Lamb Shift Hamiltonian, and the Lindblad Equation

Let us split the Hamiltonian [eq.(67)] into two parts, the first one being, $\sum_i \kappa a_i(t) \otimes b e^{i\omega_B t}$, and the second one $\sum_i \kappa a_i(t) \otimes b^\dagger e^{-i\omega_B t}$.

Comparing the first part with eq.[(68),(69)], we get

$$\lambda_i = \kappa, \quad p_{ii'}(t) = \delta_{ii'} e^{i\omega_{0i}t}, \quad q(t) = e^{i\omega_B t}, \quad S_{i'} = a_{i'} \quad (71)$$

From eq.(44), by identifying the system index $\alpha \equiv i'$ and interaction index $\beta \equiv i$, it follows

$$\Gamma_i^{i'}(\tau) = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau dt p_{ii'}(t) q(t) = \delta_{ii'} \Gamma(\omega_{0i} + \omega_B) \quad (72)$$

where,

$$\Gamma(\omega_B) = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau e^{i\omega_B t} dt = e^{\frac{i\omega_B \tau}{2}} \text{sinc}\left(\frac{\omega_B \tau}{2}\right) \quad (73)$$

From eq.(48) the dissipation parameter corresponding to the first part is,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\chi_{i'j'}^{(1)}(\tau)}{\tau} &= \tau \sum_{i;j} \lambda_i \lambda_j^* \langle bb^\dagger \rangle_B \Gamma_i^{i'}(\tau) \left(\Gamma_j^{j'}(\tau) \right)^* \\ &= \tau \lambda_{i'} \lambda_{j'}^* \langle bb^\dagger \rangle_B \Gamma(\omega_{0i'} + \omega_B) \Gamma(-\omega_{0j'} - \omega_B), \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

where,

$$\langle b^\dagger b \rangle_B = \langle bb^\dagger \rangle_B - 1 = \frac{1}{e^{\beta\omega_B} - 1} \quad (75)$$

Similarly, comparing the second part with eq.[(68),(69)], we get

$$\lambda_i = \kappa, \quad p_{ii'}(t) = \delta_{ii'} e^{i\omega_{0i}t}, \quad q(t) = e^{-i\omega_B t}, \quad S_{i'} = a_{i'}$$

Note that only $q(t)$ changes to its complex conjugate, consistent with the fact that the first and second parts are hermitian conjugates of each other. The corresponding dissipation parameter

for the second part is

$$\Gamma_i^{i'}(\tau) = \delta_{ii'} \Gamma(\omega_{0i} - \omega_B) \quad (76)$$

$$\frac{\chi_{i'j'}^{(2)}(\tau)}{\tau} = \tau \lambda_{i'}^* \lambda_{j'} \langle b^\dagger b \rangle_B \Gamma(\omega_{0i'} - \omega_B) \Gamma(-\omega_{0j'} + \omega_B) \quad (77)$$

The total dissipation parameter becomes from eq.(74) and eq.(77),

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{ij} &= \frac{\chi_{ij}^{(1)}(\tau)}{\tau} + \frac{\chi_{ij}^{(2)}(\tau)}{\tau} \\ &= \tau |\kappa|^2 \left(\langle bb^\dagger \rangle_B \Gamma(\omega_{0i} + \omega_B) \Gamma(-\omega_{0i} - \omega_B) + \langle b^\dagger b \rangle_B \Gamma(\omega_{0i} - \omega_B) \Gamma(-\omega_{0i} + \omega_B) \right) \\ &= \tau |\kappa|^2 \left[\left(\frac{e^{\beta\omega_B}}{e^{\beta\omega_B} - 1} \right) \Gamma(\omega_{0i} + \omega_B) \Gamma(-\omega_{0j} - \omega_B) + \left(\frac{1}{e^{\beta\omega_B} - 1} \right) \Gamma(\omega_{0i} - \omega_B) \Gamma(-\omega_{0j} + \omega_B) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

The individual components are given by the explicit expressions

$$\gamma_{11} = \tau |\kappa|^2 \left[\left(\frac{e^{\beta\omega_B}}{e^{\beta\omega_B} - 1} \right) \text{sinc}^2\left(\frac{\omega_0 + \omega_B}{2} \tau\right) + \left(\frac{1}{e^{\beta\omega_B} - 1} \right) \text{sinc}^2\left(\frac{\omega_0 - \omega_B}{2} \tau\right) \right] \quad (79)$$

$$\gamma_{22} = \tau |\kappa|^2 \left[\left(\frac{e^{\beta\omega_B}}{e^{\beta\omega_B} - 1} \right) \text{sinc}^2\left(\frac{\omega_0 - \omega_B}{2} \tau\right) + \left(\frac{1}{e^{\beta\omega_B} - 1} \right) \text{sinc}^2\left(\frac{\omega_0 + \omega_B}{2} \tau\right) \right] \quad (80)$$

$$\gamma_{12} = \tau |\kappa|^2 \text{sinc}\left(\frac{\omega_0 + \omega_B}{2} \tau\right) \text{sinc}\left(\frac{\omega_0 - \omega_B}{2} \tau\right) \coth\left(\frac{\beta\omega_B}{2}\right) e^{i\omega_0\tau} \quad (81)$$

$$\gamma_{21} = \tau |\kappa|^2 \text{sinc}\left(\frac{\omega_0 + \omega_B}{2} \tau\right) \text{sinc}\left(\frac{\omega_0 - \omega_B}{2} \tau\right) \coth\left(\frac{\beta\omega_B}{2}\right) e^{-i\omega_0\tau} \quad (82)$$

The Lamb-shift Hamiltonian can be found from eq.(49),

$$H_{LS} = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{\alpha} \langle \dot{\chi}_{\alpha 0} \rangle S_{\alpha} - \langle \dot{\chi}_{\alpha 0} \rangle^* S_{\alpha}^{\dagger} = 0. \quad (83)$$

The latter equality follows from eq.(46)

$$\langle \dot{\chi}_{\alpha 0} \rangle = \frac{\chi_{\alpha 0}(\tau)}{\tau} = \sum_i \lambda_i \langle b \rangle_B \Gamma_i^{i'}(\tau) = 0, \quad \text{since} \quad \langle b \rangle_B = 0. \quad (84)$$

From eq.(69) we can identify the the system operators to be, $S_1 = a, S_2 = a^{\dagger}$. Now that we have the dissipation parameters [eq.(79)-eq.(82)] we can write the Lindblad equation [eq.(41)] for the reduced density matrix. For convenience we write, $\rho_s(t)$ as $\rho(t)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\rho}(t) &= -i [H_S, \rho(t)] + \gamma_{11} \left(a \rho(t) a^{\dagger} - \frac{1}{2} \{a^{\dagger} a, \rho(t)\} \right) + \gamma_{12} \left(a \rho(t) a - \frac{1}{2} \{aa, \rho(t)\} \right) \\ &\quad + \gamma_{21} \left(a^{\dagger} \rho(t) a^{\dagger 2} - \frac{1}{2} \{a^{\dagger} a^{\dagger}, \rho(t)\} \right) + \gamma_{22} \left(a^{\dagger} \rho(t) a - \frac{1}{2} \{aa^{\dagger}, \rho(t)\} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

where the square brackets represent commutators and the curly brackets represent anti-commutators, following the standard convention.

4.2 The Quantum Side of the Correspondence

The RHS of the equation stating the correspondence, eq.(57), which refers to the open quantum system becomes,

$$\frac{1}{2}\gamma^{\alpha\beta}S_{\beta}^{\dagger}S_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}\left[\gamma_{11} a^{\dagger}a + \gamma_{22} aa^{\dagger} + \gamma_{12} a^2 + \gamma_{21} (a^{\dagger})^2\right] \quad (86)$$

The system's creation and annihilation operators can be written as,

$$a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m\omega_0}}(m\omega_0 x_1 + ip_1)$$

$$a^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m\omega_0}}(m\omega_0 x_1 - ip_1)$$

Then eq.(86) becomes,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{\alpha\beta}S_{\beta}^{\dagger}S_{\alpha} &= \frac{m\omega_0}{4}(\gamma_{11} + \gamma_{22} + \gamma_{12} + \gamma_{21})x_1^2 + \frac{1}{4m\omega_0}(\gamma_{11} + \gamma_{22} - \gamma_{12} - \gamma_{21})p_1^2 \\ &+ \frac{i}{4}(\gamma_{11} - \gamma_{22} + \gamma_{12} - \gamma_{21})x_1p_1 + \frac{i}{4}(-\gamma_{11} + \gamma_{22} + \gamma_{12} - \gamma_{21})p_1x_1 \end{aligned} \quad (87)$$

Now that we have the Lindblad equation [eq.(85)] for the system, we need to identify a suitable set of constraints on the phase space of the two oscillator system (x_1, p_1, x_2, p_2) to realize the classical-quantum correspondence. We tackle this issue in the next subsection.

4.3 The Classical Side of the Correspondence

Let us begin by imposing a constraint on the phase space by setting a general linear combination of the phase space variables weakly to zero,

$$\phi_1 = \alpha x_1 + \beta p_1 + \gamma x_2 + \delta p_2 \approx 0. \quad (88)$$

Thus, the primary Hamiltonian is given by,

$$\begin{aligned} H_P &= H_C + \lambda\phi_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}p_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}k_1x_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}p_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}k_2x_2^2 - k'x_1x_2 + \lambda(\alpha x_1 + \beta p_1 + \gamma x_2 + \delta p_2) \end{aligned} \quad (89)$$

Requiring the constraint $\phi_1 \approx 0$, to be preserved in time,

$$\dot{\phi}_1 \stackrel{!}{\approx} 0$$

implies,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\phi}_1 &\approx i[\phi_1, H_P] \approx 0 \\ &\Rightarrow (\beta k_1 - \delta k')x_1 + (\delta k_2 - \beta k')x_2 - \alpha p_1 - \gamma p_2 \not\approx 0 \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

which gives us a secondary constraint,

$$\phi_2 = (\beta k_1 - \delta k')x_1 + (\delta k_2 - \beta k')x_2 - \alpha p_1 - \gamma p_2 \quad (91)$$

The secondary constraint should be consistent as well,

$$\dot{\phi}_2 \stackrel{!}{\approx} 0$$

Thus, $\dot{\phi}_2 \approx i[\phi_1, H_P] \approx 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow & (k'\gamma - k_1\alpha)x_1 + (k'\alpha - k_2\gamma)x_2 + (k'\delta - k_1\beta)p_1 + (k'\beta - k_2\delta)p_2 \\ & + \lambda(-\alpha^2 - k_1\beta^2 - \gamma^2 + 2k'\beta\delta - k_2\delta^2) \not\approx 0 \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

We can solve for the Lagrange multiplier λ from the above equation which ensures the consistency of ϕ_2 .

$$\lambda = \frac{(k'\gamma - k_1\alpha)x_1 + (k'\alpha - k_2\gamma)x_2 + (k'\delta - k_1\beta)p_1 + (k'\beta - k_2\delta)p_2}{\alpha^2 + k_1\beta^2 + \gamma^2 - 2k'\beta\delta + k_2\delta^2} \quad (93)$$

In summary, we have two constraints in total and their Poisson bracket is a non-vanishing constant. So both the constraints are second class and we can construct the anti-symmetric matrix of Poisson brackets C^{ab} and its inverse D^{ab} out of them as given below:

$$\begin{aligned} C^{ab} \equiv \{\phi_a, \phi_b\} \Rightarrow C &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \eta \\ -\eta & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \text{where, } \eta = C^{12} = -C^{21} &= \alpha^2 + k_1\beta^2 + \gamma^2 + 2k'\beta\delta + k_2\delta^2 \end{aligned} \quad (94)$$

So,

$$D^{ab} = (C^{-1})^{ab} \Rightarrow D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{1}{\eta} \\ \frac{1}{\eta} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

It follows that the classical side of the correspondence is given by

$$\begin{aligned} D^{ab}\chi_b\phi_a &= D^{ab}\dot{\phi}_b\phi_a \\ &= D^{12}\dot{\phi}_2\phi_1 + D^{21}\dot{\phi}_1\phi_2 = \frac{1}{\eta}\phi_2^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{\eta}\left[(\beta^2k_1^2 - 2\beta\delta k_1k' + \delta^2k'^2)x_1^2 + (\beta^2k'^2 - 2\beta\delta k'^2k_2 + \delta^2k_2^2)x_2^2 + \alpha^2p_1^2 + \gamma^2p_2^2 \right. \\ &\quad + (2\alpha\delta k' - 2\alpha\beta k_1)x_1p_1 + (2\beta\gamma k' - 2\gamma\delta k_2)x_2p_2 + (-2\beta^2k_1k' + 2\beta\delta k_1k_2 \\ &\quad \left. + 2\beta\delta k'^2 - 2\delta^2k'k_2)x_1x_2 + 2\alpha\gamma p_1p_2 + (2k'\alpha\beta - 2k_2\alpha\delta)x_2p_1 + (-2k_1\beta\gamma + 2k'\gamma\delta)x_1p_2\right] \end{aligned} \quad (95)$$

where we have used $\chi_b = \dot{\phi}_b$.

4.4 The Classical-Quantum Correspondence

The classical-quantum correspondence follows from consistency requirements. Comparing the above two expressions for the quantum (eq.87) and the classical sides (eq.95) evaluated above, it follows that

$$\gamma = 0, \quad \delta = \frac{k'}{k_2}\beta \quad (96)$$

Then the constraints become

$$\phi_1 = \alpha x_1 + \beta \left(p_1 + \frac{k'}{k_2} p_2 \right) \quad (97)$$

$$\phi_2 = \left(k_1 - \frac{k'^2}{k_2} \right) \beta x_1 - \alpha p_1 \quad (98)$$

and eq.(95) becomes,

$$D^{ab} \chi_b \phi_a = \frac{1}{\eta} \left[\frac{(k'^2 - k_1 k_2)^2 \beta^2}{k_2^2} x_1^2 + \alpha^2 p_1^2 + \frac{k'^2 - k_1 k_2}{k_2} \alpha \beta (x_1 p_1 + p_1 x_1) \right] \quad (99)$$

with

$$\eta = \alpha^2 + \left(k_1 - \frac{k'^2}{k_2} \right) \beta^2 \quad (100)$$

Finally, comparing the coefficients of x_1^2 , p_1^2 , $x_1 p_1$ and $p_1 x_1$ [eq.(99)] with the RHS [eq.(87)], we get

$$\frac{m\omega_0}{4}(\gamma_{11} + \gamma_{22} + \gamma_{12} + \gamma_{21}) = \frac{1}{\eta} \frac{(k'^2 - k_1 k_2)^2 \beta^2}{k_2^2} \quad (101)$$

$$\frac{1}{4m\omega_0}(\gamma_{11} + \gamma_{22} - \gamma_{12} - \gamma_{21}) = \frac{1}{\eta} \alpha^2 \quad (102)$$

$$\frac{i}{4}(\gamma_{11} - \gamma_{22} + \gamma_{12} - \gamma_{21}) = \frac{1}{\eta} \frac{k'^2 - k_1 k_2}{k_2} \alpha \beta \quad (103)$$

$$\frac{i}{4}(-\gamma_{11} + \gamma_{22} + \gamma_{12} - \gamma_{21}) = \frac{1}{\eta} \frac{k'^2 - k_1 k_2}{k_2} \alpha \beta \quad (104)$$

These equations relate the dissipation parameters in the reduced quantum system to the coefficients of the phase space variables in the constraints that define the classical constrained system. We need to solve these equations for α, β in order to determine the constraints [eq.(97),(98)].

Evidently, only two of these equations are independent as we show below.

Note that eq.(103) and eq.(104) are not consistent unless $\gamma_{11} = \gamma_{22}$. Therefore equating the expressions for γ_{11} and γ_{22} in eq.(79) and eq.(80) leads to the following

$$\text{sinc}\left(\frac{\omega_0 + \omega_B}{2}\tau\right) = \pm \text{sinc}\left(\frac{\omega_0 - \omega_B}{2}\tau\right) \quad (105)$$

which has two solutions *viz.* $\omega_B \gg \omega_0$ or $\omega_0 \gg \omega_B$. The bath, by definition, has a much larger energy than the system. Thus it is sensible to choose $\omega_B \gg \omega_0$. Note that this is consistent with eq.(29).

In this limit, the dissipation parameters [eq.(79) - eq.(82)] become,

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{11} = \gamma_{22} &= \tau |\kappa|^2 \coth\left(\frac{\beta\omega_B}{2}\right) \text{sinc}^2\left(\frac{\omega_B\tau}{2}\right) \quad \text{and,} \\ \gamma_{12} &= \gamma_{11} e^{i\omega_0\tau} \quad \gamma_{21} = \gamma_{11} e^{-i\omega_0\tau}\end{aligned}\tag{106}$$

and the four equations (101 - 104) become,

$$m\omega_0\gamma_{11}\cos^2\left(\frac{\omega_0\tau}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{\eta} \frac{(k'^2 - k_1k_2)^2}{k_2^2} \beta^2\tag{107}$$

$$\frac{1}{m\omega_0}\gamma_{11}\sin^2\left(\frac{\omega_0\tau}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{\eta} \alpha^2\tag{108}$$

$$-\frac{\gamma_{11}}{2}\sin(\omega_0\tau) = \frac{1}{\eta} \frac{k'^2 - k_1k_2}{k_2} \alpha\beta\tag{109}$$

Note that multiplying eq.(107) and eq.(108) we get the square of eq.(109),

$$\frac{\gamma_{11}^2}{4}\sin^2(\omega_0\tau) = \left(\frac{k'^2 - k_1k_2}{k_2}\right)^2 \frac{\beta^2\alpha^2}{\eta^2}\tag{110}$$

It therefore suffices to solve the independent equations (107) and (108) for α and β . With η given by eq.(100), we can compute α in terms of β from eq.(107),

$$\alpha = \pm \left(\frac{k'^2 - k_1k_2}{k_2} \left[1 + \frac{1}{c_0} \frac{k'^2 - k_1k_2}{k_2} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta\tag{111}$$

where

$$c_0 = m\omega_0\gamma_{11}\cos^2\left(\frac{\omega_0\tau}{2}\right)\tag{112}$$

Similarly from eq.(108) we get,

$$\alpha = \pm \left(\frac{c_1}{c_1 - 1} \frac{k'^2 - k_1k_2}{k_2} \right) \beta\tag{113}$$

where

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{m\omega_0}\gamma_{11}\sin^2\left(\frac{\omega_0\tau}{2}\right)\tag{114}$$

So the constraint eq.(97) becomes,

$$\phi_1 = \alpha x_1 + \beta \left(p_1 + \frac{k'}{k_2} p_2 \right)\tag{115}$$

where, α is given by eq.(111) and eq.(113). Choosing $\beta = 1$ without loss of generality, we find four possible values of α and hence four ways of constructing the constraint ϕ_1 .

Having identified the constraints that are consistent with the correspondence principle, all that remains to be done is to identify the corresponding Lindblad operators.

This is easily done by diagonalizing the dissipation matrix via eq.(58)

$$\tilde{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\gamma_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (116)$$

with,

$$u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\gamma_{11}} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{12} & -\gamma_{12} \\ \gamma_{11} & \gamma_{11} \end{pmatrix}$$

The Lindblad operators can be found from eq.(60)

$$L_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e^{i\omega_0\tau}a + a^\dagger); \quad L_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-e^{i\omega_0\tau}a + a^\dagger) \quad (117)$$

where,

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} a, & a^\dagger \end{pmatrix}$$

From eq.(64) we can get the Lindblad operators in terms of the constraints

$$L_1 L_1^\dagger = \frac{1}{2\gamma_{11}\eta} \phi_2^2 \quad (118)$$

where, ϕ_2 , η and γ_{11} are given by eq.(98), eq.(100) and eq.(106) respectively. Note that L_2 doesn't appear in the above equation, and also in the Lindblad equation. This is because the corresponding element in the diagonalized dissipation matrix [eq.(116)] $\tilde{\gamma}_{22} = 0$.

5 Conclusions and Outlook

In this paper, we have explored an intriguing connection between constrained classical mechanical systems on the one hand, and open quantum systems on the other hand. We derived a precise connection between the two by comparing the generalizations of the Liouville equation which describes the time evolution of the system. The Lindblad operators which capture the entanglement between the system and the bath in the quantum case, and are responsible for dissipation, decoherence, etc., are mapped to the constraint equations in the classical case which are responsible for the reduction of the classical phase space, and hence to a modified time evolution equation involving Dirac brackets.

We illustrated this novel correspondence in a concrete example, namely coupled harmonic oscillators, studied earlier in the context of black hole entropy by several authors.

Although the correspondence we established may seem puzzling at first sight, it is no more surprising than the many well-established connections between quantum mechanics and classical mechanics *viz.* Bohr's Correspondence Principle, the Ehrenfest Theorem, the JWKB approximation, Coherent States, and the Wigner distribution.

Our results shed new light on both open quantum systems and constrained classical systems. Further investigations along these lines should enable us to study gauge theories from an open quantum systems perspective and to understand open quantum systems from a geometric and gauge theoretic perspective. We hope to report on these developments in the near future.

6 Acknowledgement

This work is partially funded by a grant from the Infosys Foundation.

References

- [1] Bohr, N. (1920). Über die Serienspektren der Elemente. *Zeitschrift für Physik*, 2, 423-469. DOI:10.1007/BF01329978
- [2] P. Ehrenfest, “Bemerkung über die angenäherte Gültigkeit der klassischen Mechanik innerhalb der Quantenmechanik,” *Z. Phys.* **45**, no.7-8, 455-457 (1927) DOI:10.1007/BF01329203
- [3] E. Schrodinger, “Der stetige Übergang von der Mikro- zur Makromechanik,” *Naturwiss.* **14**, 664-666 (1926) DOI:10.1007/BF01507634
- [4] Wentzel, G. Eine Verallgemeinerung der Quantenbedingungen für die Zwecke der Wellenmechanik. *Z. Physik* 38, 518–529 (1926). DOI:10.1007/BF01397171
- [5] Kramers, H.A. Wellenmechanik und halbzahlige Quantisierung. *Z. Physik* 39, 828–840 (1926). DOI:10.1007/BF01451751
- [6] L. Brillouin, “La mécanique ondulatoire de Schrödinger; une méthode générale de résolution par approximations successives,” *Compt. Rend. Hebd. Seances Acad. Sci.* **183**, no.1, 24-26 (1926)
- [7] Jeffreys, Harold Sir. “On Certain Approximate Solutions of Linear Differential Equations of the Second Order.” *Proceedings of The London Mathematical Society*: 428-436.
- [8] Wigner, E. (1932) On the Quantum Correction for Thermodynamic Equilibrium. *Physical Review Journals Archive*, 40, 749-759. DOI:10.1103/PhysRev.40.749
- [9] Heisenberg, W. Über quantentheoretische Umdeutung kinematischer und mechanischer Beziehungen.. *Z. Physik* 33, 879–893 (1925). DOI:10.1007/BF01328377
- [10] Kibble, T.W.B. Geometrization of quantum mechanics. *Commun.Math. Phys.* 65, 189–201 (1979). DOI:10.1007/BF01225149
- [11] Heslot, A. “Quantum mechanics as a classical theory.” *Physical review. D, Particles and fields* vol. 31,6 (1985): 1341-1348. DOI:10.1103/physrevd.31.1341
- [12] Dirac P.A.M. (1964) *Lectures on Quantum Mechanics*. Belfer Graduate School of Science, Yeshiva University, New York.
- [13] Sundermeyer, K. (1982). *Constrained dynamics with applications to Yang-Mills theory, general relativity, classical spin, dual string model*. Germany: Springer.
- [14] Breuer, Heinz-Peter, and Francesco Petruccione, *The Theory of Open Quantum Systems* (Oxford, 2007; online edn, Oxford Academic, 1 Feb. 2010),

- [15] D. A. Lidar, "Lecture Notes on the Theory of Open Quantum Systems," [arXiv:1902.00967 [quant-ph]]
- [16] Lindblad, G. On the generators of quantum dynamical semigroups. Commun.Math. Phys. 48, 119–130 (1976). DOI:10.1007/BF01608499
- [17] V. Gorini, A. Kossakowski and E. C. G. Sudarshan, "Completely Positive Dynamical Semigroups of N Level Systems," J. Math. Phys. **17**, 821 (1976) DOI:10.1063/1.522979
- [18] Bombelli, L et al. "Quantum source of entropy for black holes." Physical review. D, Particles and fields vol. 34,2 (1986): 373-383. DOI:10.1103/physrevd.34.373
- [19] M. Srednicki, "Entropy and area," Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 666-669 (1993) DOI:10.1103/PhysRevLett.71.666 [arXiv:hep-th/9303048 [hep-th]]
- [20] D. Bacon, D. A. Lidar and K. B. Whaley, "Robustness of decoherence-free subspaces for quantum computation" (Phys.Rev. A60 (1999) 1944) DOI:10.1103/PhysRevA.60.1944
- [21] Feynman, R.P. (1998). Statistical Mechanics: A Set Of Lectures (1st ed.). CRC Press. DOI:10.1201/9780429493034
- [22] Daniel A. Lidar, Zolt Bihary, K. Birgitta Whaley, "From Completely Positive Maps to the Quantum Markovian Semigroup Master Equation" Chemical Physics, Volume 268, Issues 1–3, 2001, Pages 35-53, DOI:10.1016/S0301-0104(01)00330-5
- [23] E. T. Jaynes and F. W. Cummings, "Comparison of quantum and semiclassical radiation theories with application to the beam maser," in Proceedings of the IEEE, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 89-109, Jan. 1963, DOI:10.1109/PROC.1963.1664