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#### Abstract

We show that a very general Jacobian elliptic surface is determined by its polarized $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge structure, subject to various constraints on the irregularity and the geometric genus.


AMS classification: 14C34, 32G20.

## 1 Introduction

We consider the stack $\mathcal{J} \mathcal{E}_{h, q}$ of Jacobian elliptic surfaces $f: X \rightarrow C$ over $\mathbb{C}$ of geometric genus $h$ and irregularity $q$, provided that $8 h>10(q-1)$ and $h \geq q+3$. If all the singular fibres are of Kodaira type $I_{1}$ then the datum of such a surface is equivalent to the datum of a classifying morphism $\Phi: C \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{E} \ell \ell}$ from $C$ to the stack $\overline{\mathcal{E} \ell \ell}$ of generalized elliptic curves.

In $[\mathrm{SB}]$ we showed that then the derivative of the period map associated to the primitive cohomology $H_{\text {prim }}^{2}(X)$, which is defined as the orthogonal complement of a fibre and the zero section, determines the base curve $C$, the ramification divisor $Z=\operatorname{Ram}_{\Phi}$ in $C$ of $\Phi$ and the copy of $C$ in $\mathbb{P}^{h-1}$ that arises as the image of $X$ under the linear system $\left|K_{X}\right|=f^{*}\left|K_{C}+\Phi^{*} M\right|$. (Here $M$ is the bundle of weight one modular forms on $\overline{\mathcal{E} \ell \ell}$ and, under our assumptions, $\left|K_{X}\right|$ has no base points, so that the infinitesimal Torelli theorem holds for these surfaces.) We went on to show that if also $q \geq 2$ and the pair $(C, Z)$ is generic (as we assume for the rest of this paper) then these data determine $\Phi$ modulo the automorphism group $\mathbb{G}_{m}$ of $\overline{\mathcal{E} \ell \ell}$. We then proved, without the assumption that $q \geq 2$, that the generic Torelli theorem holds for these surfaces. In this paper we consider such surfaces under the assumptions that

$$
\begin{equation*}
8 h>10(q-1), h \geq q+3 \text { and } q \geq 2 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we show that a very general such surface $f: X \rightarrow C$ is determined by its polarized $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge structure. To do so it is enough, given the results that we have just described, to assume that the base curve $C$ and the line bundle $L=\Phi^{*} M$ on $C$ are fixed and to consider only surfaces in the $\mathbb{G}_{m}$-orbit of the point defined by $f: X \rightarrow C$ in the closed substack $\mathcal{J E}_{C, L}$ of the stack $\mathcal{J E}=\mathcal{J E}_{h, q}$ of these surfaces.

Recall ([Mir89], p. 28) that $X$ is embedded as a divisor in the $\mathbb{P}^{2}$-bundle

$$
\pi: \mathbb{P}=\mathbb{P}_{C, L}=\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{O} \oplus L^{2} \oplus L^{3}\right) \rightarrow C
$$

and is linearly equivalent to the line bundle $\pi^{*}\left(L^{6}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(3)$. It is defined by an equation

$$
Y^{2} Z=4 X^{3}+g_{4} X Z^{2}+g_{6} Z^{3}
$$

where $g_{n} \in H^{0}\left(C, L^{\otimes n}\right)$. If $g_{6}=0$ then $X$ is a Gauss surface and if $g_{4}=0$ then $X$ is an Eisenstein surface because in that case $X$ has an action by $\mathbb{Z} / 4$ or $\mathbb{Z} / 6$, respectively. In the Eisenstein case the action of $\langle\alpha\rangle=\mathbb{Z} / 6$ is given by $\alpha^{*}(X, Y, Z)=\left(\zeta_{6}^{2} X, \zeta_{6}^{3} Y, Z\right)$.

The closure of the $\mathbb{G}_{m}$-orbit through $X$ is the pencil $\left\{X_{(\lambda, \mu)}\right\}_{(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{P}^{1}}$ given by the equation

$$
Y^{2} Z=4 X^{3}+\lambda g_{4} X Z^{2}+\mu g_{6} Z^{3}
$$

where $\lambda, \mu$ are homogeneous co-ordinates on the copy of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ that is the closure of $\mathbb{G}_{m}$. We call this the Gauss-Eisenstein pencil through $X$ and regard it as a canonically defined pencil through $X$ that is generated by the Eisenstein surface $X_{6}$ defined by $\lambda=0$ and the Gauss surface $X_{4}$ defined by $\mu=0$. The surfaces $X_{6}$ and $X_{4}$ are determined by $X$, since they are the fixed points in the closure of the $\mathbb{G}_{m}$-orbit through $X$. When $g_{6}$ has isolated zeroes then $X_{6}$ is smooth and when $g_{6}$ has a double zero then $X_{6}$ has a singularity of type $A_{2}$.

Until now we have only considered general surfaces in $\mathcal{J E}$. The condition that the surface be general excludes Gauss and Eisenstein surfaces. To include them, we consider the stack $\mathcal{J} \mathcal{E}^{R D P}$ whose geometric points are relative canonical models of Jacobian elliptic surfaces. (These are the surfaces with RDPs that arise from contraction of the vertical $(-2)$-curves that are disjoint from the zero section. For such surfaces there might be no classifying morphism $\Phi: C \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{E} \ell \ell}$, but there is still a zero section and its conormal bundle, so it makes sense to speak of the closed substack $\mathcal{J E} \mathcal{E}_{C, L}^{R D P}$ of $\mathcal{J E}^{R D P}$.) The Gauss-Eisenstein pencils are in these stacks $\mathcal{J} \mathcal{E}_{C, L}^{R D P}$. If $\mathcal{D}$ is the relevant period domain (in the context of this paper it classifies Hodge structures on $H_{p r i m}^{2}(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ when $Y$ is a Jacobian elliptic surface of geometric genus $h$ and irregularity $q$ ) and $\Gamma$ the relevant arithmetic group then the period map exists as a morphism

$$
\text { per }:\left[\mathcal{J E}^{R D P}\right] \rightarrow[\mathcal{D} / \Gamma]
$$

of geometric quotients; here, and for the rest of this paper, $[\mathcal{X}]$ denotes the geometric quotient of the Deligne-Mumford stack $\mathcal{X}$ in either of the algebraic or the analytic contexts.

## 2 Eisenstein surfaces

Fix both a curve $C$ (of genus $q \geq 0$ ) and a line bundle $L$ on it of degree $h+1-q>$ 0 . We consider Jacobian elliptic surfaces $X \rightarrow C$ such that $p_{g}(X)=h$ and $L$ is
the conormal bundle of the zero section. Note that $L$ also the pull-back $\Phi^{*} M$ of the bundle of weight 1 modular forms.
Lemma 2.1 If $L$ is generic then $6 L$ is very ample.
PROOF: This follows from the assumptions that $8 h>10(q-1)$ and $h \geq q+3$. -

We shall consider more particularly the corresponding family $\left\{X_{t}\right\}$ of Eisenstein surfaces defined by $g_{4}=0$; the parameter space is the linear system $|6 L|$. We put $V=H_{p r i m}^{2}\left(X_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbb{Q}\right)$, where $X_{\bar{\eta}}$ is the geometric generic member of this family, and let $G$ denote the monodromy group acting on $V$. The action of $\gamma=\alpha^{2}$ on $X_{\bar{\eta}}$ makes $V$ into a $\mathbb{Q}[T] /\left(T^{3}-1\right)$-module and then $G$ is a group of $\mathbb{Q}[T] /\left(T^{3}-1\right)$-linear transformations of this module. In this section we rewrite that part (4.4) of [Del80] that is concerned with monodromy in even fibre dimension in this context.

Take a general pencil $\Pi$ in $|6 L|$. Since $6 L$ is very ample this gives a family

$$
a: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Pi \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}
$$

whose total space $\mathcal{X}$ is a smooth blow-up of $\mathbb{P}_{C, L}$ and whose geometric generic member $X_{\bar{\eta}}$ is smooth. Moreover, again because $6 L$ is very ample, each of the finitely many singular fibres has a single $A_{2}$-singularity. Note that $V$ is identified with the orthogonal complement of the image of $H^{2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Q})$ in $H^{2}\left(X_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbb{Q}\right)$.

Let $F$ denote a local Milnor fibre in this family, so that $H^{2}(F, \mathbb{Q})$ is the $\mathbb{Q}$ module spanned by roots $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}$ such that $\delta_{i}^{2}=-2$ and $\delta_{1} \cdot \delta_{2}=1$. Then the local monodromy operator $\sigma$ on $H^{2}(F, \mathbb{Q})$ is a Coxeter element in the Weyl group $W\left(A_{2}\right)$, so is of order 3 . We shall refer to these roots $\delta_{i}$ as the basic cycles and to the Coxeter elements $\sigma$ as basic transformations.

By letting $\Pi$ move in the Grassmannian of lines in $|6 L|$ we see, as in [SGA7 II] XVIII, that the basic transformations form a single conjugacy class in $G$ and they generate $G$.
Lemma 2.2 $V^{G}=0$.
PROOF: Since the fibres of $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Pi$ all have only du Val singularities, they satisfy the hypotheses of Théorème 1.1 of [SGA7 II] XVIII, so that the Leray spectral sequence

$$
E_{2}^{p q}=H^{p}\left(\Pi, R^{q} a_{*} \mathbb{Q}\right) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Q})
$$

degenerates at $E_{2}$. From this it follows that $H_{\text {prim }}^{2}\left(X_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbb{Q}\right)^{G}$ consists of classes that come from the threefold $\mathcal{X}$ and the lemma is proved.

Lemma 2.3 The action of $\gamma$ on $H^{2}(F, \mathbb{Q})$ is non-trivial.
PROOF: $F$ is the affine surface defined by the equation $x y+z^{3}=1$ and $\gamma$ acts as $\gamma^{*}(x, y, z)=\left(x, y, \zeta_{6}^{4} z\right)$. So the fixed locus of $\gamma$ in $F$ is $\mathbb{C}-\{0\}$, whose Euler characteristic is zero. We conclude by the Lefschetz fixed point theorem.

It follows that the action of $\gamma$ on $H^{2}(F, \mathbb{Q})$ is given by $\gamma=\sigma^{ \pm}$.
Recall that $\mathbb{Z} / 3=\langle\gamma\rangle$ has just two irreducible representations over $\mathbb{Q}$, namely, the trivial representation $V_{0}=\mathbb{Q}$ and the 2-dimensional representation $V_{1}=$ $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{3}\right)$, on which $\gamma$ acts as multiplication by $\zeta_{3}$. In particular, $H^{2}(F, \mathbb{Q})$ is isomorphic to $V_{1}$; in other words, $H^{2}(F, \mathbb{Q})$ is a 1-dimensional $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{3}\right)$-vector space and as such is generated by a basic cycle.

The next lemma is well known.
Lemma 2.4 If $A$ and $B$ are groups, $k$ is a field and $W$ is a representation of $A \times B$ defined over $k$ on which the action of $A$ is completely reducible, then there is a decomposition

$$
W=\oplus_{j}\left(V_{j} \otimes_{k} U_{j}\right)
$$

of $k[A \times B]$-modules, where $V_{j}$ runs over the irreducible representations of $A$ defined over $k$ and $U_{j}$ is the representation of $B$ given by $U_{j}=\operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(V_{j}, W\right)$.

Taking $k=\mathbb{Q}, A=\langle\gamma\rangle, B=G$ and $W=V$ gives $V=\left(V_{0} \otimes U_{0}\right) \oplus\left(V_{1} \otimes U_{1}\right)$ for some representations $U_{0}, U_{1}$ of $G$.
Lemma 2.5 $V_{0} \otimes U_{0}=V^{\gamma}=0$.
PROOF: That $V_{0} \otimes U_{0}=V^{\gamma}$ follows from the definition of $U_{0}$ and $V_{0}$, while $V^{\gamma}$ is also the primitive cohomology of the geometric quotient $[X / \gamma]$. This is a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-bundle over $C$, so that its primitive cohomology is zero.

Corollary 2.6 $V$ is naturally a representation of $G$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{3}\right)$.
PROOF: We already know that $V$ is a $\mathbb{Q}[T] /\left(T^{3}-1\right)$-module and we have just shown that the eigenspace belonging to $T=1$ is zero.

The intersection pairing $b: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-bilinear, non-degenerate and $\gamma \times G$-invariant. Write $\theta=\zeta_{3}-\zeta_{3}^{2}=\sqrt{-3}$ and define $B: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{3}\right)$ by

$$
B(u, v)=\theta^{-1}\left(\zeta_{3} b(u, v)-b\left(u, \zeta_{3} v\right)\right) ;
$$

then $B$ is a non-degenerate $G$-invariant Hermitian $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{3}\right)$-sesquilinear form and $b=\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{3}\right) / \mathbb{Q}} B$.

Let us say that the signature of $B$ is $(p, r)$; then the signature of $b$ is $(2 p, 2 r)$. We are dealing with elliptic surfaces of geometric genus $h$ and irregularity $q$, so that $p=h$ and $r=4(h+1-q)$. So $p \geq 0$ and $r \geq 4$. In particular, $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{3}\right)} V \geq 4$.

Define a root to be any $G$-conjugate of a basic cycle. Then, for every root $\delta, B(\delta, \delta)=-1$ and the corresponding complex reflexion $\sigma=\sigma_{\delta}$ is given by the formula

$$
\sigma_{\delta}(x)=x+\left(1-\zeta_{3}\right) B(x, \delta) \delta
$$

This shows that $\sigma_{\delta}$ acts as a unitary reflexion of order 3 . The unitary group $U_{B}$ is an algebraic group defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ and has a determinant homomorphism

$$
\operatorname{det}: U_{B} \rightarrow R_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{3}\right) / \mathbb{Q}}^{1} \mathbb{G}_{m}
$$

that is also defined over $\mathbb{Q}$; here $R_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{3}\right) / \mathbb{Q}}^{1} \mathbb{G}_{m}$ is the kernel of the norm homomorphism $R_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{3}\right) / \mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{G}_{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m}$. The kernel of det is $S U_{B}$. The centre of $U_{B}$ is isomorphic to $R_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{3}\right) / \mathbb{Q}}^{1} \mathbb{G}_{m}$. Since each $\sigma_{\delta}$ lies in $U_{B}$ it follows that $G \subseteq U_{B}$ and that therefore $M \subseteq U_{B}$.

Lemma 2.7 $V$ is an absolutely irreducible $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{3}\right)[G]$-module. Moreover, $V$ is spanned, as a $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{3}\right)$-vector space, by the $G$-orbit of any root.

PROOF: Put

$$
V_{\mathbb{R}}=V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}=V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{3}\right)} \mathbb{C}
$$

Note first that $\left(V_{\mathbb{R}}\right)^{G}=\left(V^{G}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{3}\right)} \mathbb{C}=0$, by Lemma 2.2, and that $B$ extends to a Hermitian form on $V_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Suppose that $V^{\prime}$ is a non-zero sub- $\mathbb{C}[G]$-module of $V_{\mathbb{R}}$. If there is a root $\delta$ such that $V^{\prime} \subseteq \operatorname{Fix}\left(\sigma_{\delta}\right)$, then

$$
V^{\prime}=g\left(V^{\prime}\right) \subseteq F i x\left(g \sigma_{\delta} g^{-1}\right)
$$

for all $g \in G$, so that, since the $G$-conjugates of $\sigma_{\delta}$ generate $G, V^{\prime} \subseteq\left(V_{\mathbb{R}}\right)^{G}=0$. So every $\sigma_{\delta}$ acts non-trivially on $V^{\prime}$.

Fix a root $\delta$ and choose $x \in V^{\prime}$ such that $\sigma_{\delta}(x) \neq x$. Then the formula defining $\sigma_{\delta}$ shows that $\delta \in V^{\prime}$. Let $P$ be the $\mathbb{C}$-subspace of $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ spanned by the cycles $\delta$; then $P$ is a non-zero $\mathbb{C}[G]$-module and $P$ is contained in every non-zero sub- $\mathbb{C}[G]$-module $V^{\prime}$ of $V_{\mathbb{R}}$. In particular, $P$ is irreducible.

Now suppose that $P^{\perp} \neq 0$. Then $P \subseteq P^{\perp}$. However, $\delta \in P$ and $B(\delta, \delta) \neq 0$, so $P^{\perp}=0$. Therefore $P=V_{\mathbb{R}}$ and the lemma follows.

Now let $M$ denote the algebraic subgroup, over $\mathbb{Q}$, of the unitary group $U_{B}$ that is generated by $G$. Since $G$ is generated by a single conjugacy class of unitary reflexions of order 3 , the same is true of $M$. Let $\widetilde{\mu}_{n} \subset R_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{3}\right) / \mathbb{Q}}^{1} \mathbb{G}_{m}$ be the $n$-torsion subgroup; this is the quadratic twist of $\mu_{n}$ determined by $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{3}\right) / \mathbb{Q}$. So $\operatorname{det}^{-1}\left(\widetilde{\mu}_{3}\right)$ is an extension of $\widetilde{\mu}_{3}$ by $S U_{B}$; we denote this group by $S U_{B} \cdot \widetilde{\mu}_{3}$.
Theorem 2.8 Either $M=S U_{B} \cdot \widetilde{\mu}_{3}$ or $G$ is finite.
PROOF: Observe first that $M \subseteq S U_{B} \cdot \widetilde{\mu}_{3}$ since $G$ is generated by elements of order 3.

We set up some notation, as follows.
(1) $\widetilde{M}=R_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{3}\right) / \mathbb{Q}}^{1} \mathbb{G}_{m} \cdot M \subset U_{B}$.
(2) $\Sigma \subset V_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the real quadric hypersurface in $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ defined by $B(x, x)=-1$.
(3) Take any root $\delta_{0}$ and let $\widetilde{R}$ denote its $\widetilde{M}(\mathbb{R})$-orbit and $R$ its $M(\mathbb{R})$-orbit; these orbits are independent of the choice of $\delta_{0}$ and are real semi-algebraic subsets of $\Sigma$.
(4) Given $\delta, \eta \in \widetilde{R}$ that are not $\mathbb{C}$-proportional, let $L_{\delta, \eta}$ denote the complex 2-plane that they span and let $H_{\delta, \eta}$ denote the real algebraic subgroup of $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ generated by $\sigma_{\delta}, \sigma_{\eta}$.
Note that if $\delta \in \widetilde{R}$ then $\delta=\lambda \eta$ for some $\eta \in R$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda|=1$, so that we can define $\sigma_{\delta}$ by $\sigma_{\delta}=\sigma_{\eta}$. So $\sigma_{\delta}$ is defined for all $\delta \in \widetilde{R}$ and is a unitary reflexion of order 3. Also $H_{\delta, \eta}$ acts on $L_{\delta, \eta}$ as a group of unitary transformations and projects to a subgroup $P H_{\delta, \eta}$ of the corresponding projective unitary group acting on $\mathbb{P}\left(L_{\delta, \eta}^{\vee}\right)=\left(L_{\delta, \eta}-\{0\}\right) / \mathbb{G}_{m}$.
Lemma 2.9 Assume that $\delta, \eta \in \widetilde{R}$ and are not $\mathbb{C}$-proportional.
(1) If $|B(\delta, \eta)|>1$ then $P H_{\delta, \eta}=P S U(1,1) \cong P S L_{2}(\mathbb{R})$.
(2) If $|B(\delta, \eta)|<1$ then, except for finitely many values of $|B(\delta, \eta)|, P H_{\delta, \eta}=$ $\operatorname{PSU}(0,2) \cong S O_{3}(\mathbb{R})$.
(3) If $|B(\delta, \eta)|=1$ then $P H_{\delta, \eta}$ is a non-trivial split extension $\mathbb{C} \rtimes \mathbb{Z} / 3$, regarded as a 2-dimensional real algebraic group.
(4) There is a finite subset $\mathcal{C}$ of the interval $[0,1)$ such that, if $|B(\delta, \eta)| \notin \mathcal{C}$, the group $P H_{\delta, \eta}$ is either $\operatorname{PSU}(1,1)$ or $\operatorname{PSU}(0,2)$ or $\mathbb{C} \rtimes \mathbb{Z} / 3$.
PROOF: We start with the classification of the real algebraic subgroups $K$ of $P S U_{\beta}$, where $\beta$ is a non-zero Hermitian form on a 2 -dimensional complex vector space of signature $(0,2),(1,1)$ or $(0,1)$, that are generated by elements of order 3. In turn, this derives from the classification of the algebraic subgroups $H$ of the algebraic group $P S L_{2, \mathbb{C}}$ over $\mathbb{C}$. The fact that 3 is odd simplifies matters.

The algebraic subgroups $H$ of $P S L_{2, \mathbb{C}}$ are: $P S L_{2, \mathbb{C}}$ itself; subgroups of the normalizer of a maximal torus; subgroups of a Borel subgroup $B$; the polyhedral subgroups $\mathfrak{A}_{4}, \mathfrak{S}_{4}$ and $\mathfrak{A}_{5}$. So if $H$ is the Zariski closure of a group generated by elements of order 3 then $H$ is one of: $P S L_{2, \mathbb{C}} ; \mathbb{Z} / 3$; a non-trivial split extension of $\mathbb{Z} / 3$ by the unipotent radical $U \cong \mathbb{C}$ of $B ; \mathfrak{A}_{4}$ or $\mathfrak{A}_{5}$.
(1) It follows that any real algebraic subgroup $K$ of $P S U(1,1) \cong P S L_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ that is the Zariski closure of a group generated by elements of order 3 is either $\mathbb{Z} / 3$ or $P S L_{2}(\mathbb{R})$. The fact that $\delta$ and $\eta$ are not proportional shows that $P H_{\delta, \eta} \neq \mathbb{Z} / 3$.
(2) The analogous subgroups of $\operatorname{PSU}(0,2) \cong S O_{3}(\mathbb{R})$ are $S O_{3}(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Z} / 3, \mathfrak{A}_{4}$ and $\mathfrak{A}_{5}$. The cases of $\mathfrak{A}_{4}$ and $\mathfrak{A}_{5}$ are excluded by excluding finitely many values of $|B(\delta, \eta)|$ because we need to consider the situation where $\delta, \eta$ are vertices of a spherical triangle with angles $\pi / 3, \pi / 3$ at $\delta, \eta$. The exceptional cases are those where the third angle is a rational multiple $a \pi / b$ of $\pi, 1 / 3<a / b<1$ and $b \leq 5$. It is clear that this can only happen for finitely many values of $|B(\delta, \eta)|$, all of which lie in $[0,1)$. As before, $\mathbb{Z} / 3$ is excluded by the fact that $\delta, \eta$ are not proportional.
(3) In the degenerate case where $|B(\delta, \eta)|=1$, we see similarly that $P H_{\delta, \eta}$ is a non-trivial split extension of $U$ by $\mathbb{Z} / 3$.

Finally, (4) follows from (1)-(3).
If $\delta, \eta \in \widetilde{R}$ are $\mathbb{C}$-proportional then $\sigma_{\delta}=\sigma_{\eta}^{ \pm}$, so they generate a copy of $\mathbb{Z} / 3$.
Lemma 2.10 Suppose that $|B(\delta, \eta)| \notin \mathcal{C}$ and that $\delta, \eta$ are not $\mathbb{C}$-proportional. Define $O_{\delta, \eta}$ to be the $H_{\delta, \eta}$ orbit of $\delta$ in the complex 2-plane $L_{\delta, \eta}$. Then $L_{\delta, \eta}^{0}=$ $\mathbb{C}^{*} . O_{\delta, \eta}$ is a dense semi-algebraic subset (in the real sense) of $L_{\delta, \eta}$ and $L_{\delta, \eta}^{0} \cap \Sigma \subseteq \widetilde{R}$. PROOF: This follows at once from Lemma 2.9.

Suppose that $W \subseteq V_{\mathbb{R}}=V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{3}\right)} \mathbb{C}$ is a sub- $\mathbb{C}$-vector space containing a dense semi-algebraic subset $W^{0}$ such that
(1) $W^{0} \cap \Sigma$ is non-empty,
(2) $W^{0} \cap \Sigma$ is dense in $W \cap \Sigma$ and
(3) $W^{0} \cap \Sigma$ is contained in $\widetilde{R}$.

In particular, $W$ is spanned by $W \cap \widetilde{R}$.
For example, the one-dimensional such subspaces are exactly the lines $\mathbb{C} \delta$, where $\delta \in R$, and, provided that $\delta, \eta$ are not proportional and $|B(\delta, \eta)| \notin \mathcal{C}$, the complex 2-plane $L_{\delta, \eta}$ is another.

Assume until the end of the proof of Theorem 2.8 that $W$ is maximal with respect to these properties. So certainly $W \neq 0$.
Proposition 2.11 Assume that $W \neq V_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then $G$ is finite.
PROOF: Note first that $\widetilde{R} \backslash W$ is not empty, since $\widetilde{R}$ generates $V_{\mathbb{R}}$.
We proceed to establish Lemmas 2.12 and 2.13.
Lemma 2.12 Suppose that $\delta \in \widetilde{R} \backslash W$. Then the function $\beta_{\delta}: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\beta_{\delta}(x)=|B(x, \delta)|$ only takes values in $\mathcal{C}$ when restricted to $W \cap \widetilde{R}$.
PROOF: Suppose that $\eta \in W \cap \widetilde{R}$ (so that, in particular, $\eta$ is not $\mathbb{C}$-proportional to $\delta$ ) and that $|B(\delta, \eta)| \notin \mathcal{C}$. Then $L_{\delta, \eta}^{0} \cap \Sigma \subseteq \widetilde{R}$. Now let $\eta$ vary over the subset $\mathcal{S}_{1}$ of $W \cap \widetilde{R}$ defined by the conditions that $|B(\delta, \eta)| \notin \mathcal{C}$ and $\eta$ is not proportional to $\delta$. This is a semi-algebraic set. Put $\mathcal{S}_{2}=\cup_{\eta \in U_{1}} L_{\delta, \eta}^{0}$, so that

$$
\mathcal{S}_{2} \cap \Sigma \subseteq \widetilde{R}
$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{S}_{2}$ is semi-algebraic; this can be seen by defining the semi-algebraic subset $\mathcal{S}_{3}$ of $\mathcal{S}_{1} \times M(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$
\mathcal{S}_{3}=\left\{(\eta, m) \mid \eta \in \mathcal{S}_{1} \text { and } m \in H_{\delta, \eta}\right\}
$$

and observing that $\mathcal{S}_{2}$ is the image of $\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathcal{S}_{3}$ under the map

$$
\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathcal{S}_{3} \rightarrow V:(z, \eta, m) \mapsto z \cdot m(\eta)
$$

Since $L_{\delta, \eta}^{0}$ is dense in $L_{\delta, \eta}$, it follows that $\mathcal{S}_{2}$ is dense in $\cup_{\eta \in U_{1}} L_{\delta, \eta}$ and so is dense in $W \oplus \mathbb{C} \delta$. Therefore we can enlarge $W$ to $W \oplus \mathbb{C} \delta$ and then take $(W \oplus \mathbb{C} \delta)^{0}=\mathcal{S}_{2}$ to contradict the maximality of $W$.

Lemma 2.13 (1) Suppose that $\delta \in \widetilde{R} \backslash W$. Then either $\delta \in W^{\perp}$ or $W \cap W^{\perp}$ is of $\mathbb{C}$-codimension one in $W$. In both cases $\delta$ is orthogonal to $W \cap W^{\perp}$.
(2) $W \cap W^{\perp}=0$.

PROOF: (1)

$$
W \cap \widetilde{R} \supseteq W \cap W^{0} \cap \Sigma=W^{0} \cap \Sigma
$$

so that, by Lemma $2.12, \beta_{\delta}$ takes values only in the finite set $\mathcal{C}$ on the non-empty dense semi-algebraic subset $W^{0} \cap \Sigma$ of $W \cap \Sigma$. Therefore $\beta_{\delta}$ takes values only in $\mathcal{C}$ on the real quadric hypersurface $W \cap \Sigma$.

Fix $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and consider the condition that $\beta_{\delta}(w)=c$ for $w \in W$. There are complex co-ordinates $\left(z_{k}\right)_{k \in K}$ on $W$ and disjoint subsets $I, J$ of $K$ such that $J$ is not empty, $W \cap \Sigma$ is given by the equation $D=0$, where

$$
D=\sum_{i \in I}\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}-\sum_{j \in J}\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}+1
$$

and the condition that $\beta_{\delta}(w)=c$ is given by the equation $E=0$, where

$$
E=|B(z, \delta)|^{2}-c^{2} .
$$

Then $d D$ is proportional to $d E$ on $W \cap \Sigma$. Say $d E=\phi d D$ on $W \cap \Sigma$ for some function $\phi$ on $W \cap \Sigma$. Write $B(w, \delta)=\sum_{i \in I} a_{i} z_{i}-\sum_{j \in J} a_{j} z_{j}+\sum_{k \notin I \cup J} b_{k} z_{k}$. Then

$$
\bar{a}_{k} B(w, \delta)=\phi z_{k}
$$

on $W \cap \Sigma$ for all $k \in I \cup J$. This gives two possibilities: either
(a) the function $w \mapsto B(w, \delta)$ is identically zero on $W \cap \Sigma$ (in which case it vanishes on $W$ and $\delta \in W^{\perp}$ ) or
(b) $I$ is empty, $J$ has just one element, $W \cap \Sigma$ is the cone $\widehat{\Sigma}$ over a circle $|z|^{2}=1$ and the restriction of $\beta_{\delta}$ to $W \cap \Sigma$ is proportional to $|z|$.

In the first case $\delta \in W^{\perp}$. in the second case $\beta_{\delta}$ vanishes on the vertex of $\widehat{\Sigma}$ and this vertex has codimension 1 in $W$. Moreover, this vertex is $W \cap W^{\perp}$, and part (1) of the lemma is proved. (2) Note that $\delta$ is orthogonal to $W \cap W^{\perp}$, from (1). Then $W \cap W^{\perp}$ is orthogonal to every root in $W$ and to every root not in $W$, so lies in $V_{\mathbb{R}}^{G}$. But this space vanishes, by Lemma 2.2, and the lemma is proved.

Now we finish the proof of Proposition 2.11.
First, suppose that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} W \geq 2$. Then, by Lemma $2.13, \widetilde{R} \backslash W \subseteq W^{\perp}$, so that for every root in $\widetilde{R} \backslash W$ the reflexion $\sigma_{\delta}$ preserves $W$. Certainly $\sigma_{\delta}$ preserves $W$ for every root $\delta$ in $W$, so that $W$ is $G$-invariant. But $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ is irreducible and $W \neq V_{\mathbb{R}}$, so we have a contradiction and $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} W=1$.

Next, since $W \cap \widetilde{R}$ is not empty, $W \cap R$ is also non-empty and then $W$ is a line $\mathbb{C} \delta$ for some $\delta \in R$.

Next, let $\widetilde{M^{0}}$ denote the identity connected component of $\widetilde{M}$ and $\widetilde{R}^{0}=$ $\widetilde{M}{ }^{0}(\mathbb{R}) \delta_{0}$. Then, for all $\delta \in \widetilde{R}^{0}$ and for all $m \in \widetilde{M}^{0}(\mathbb{R})$ close to the identity, $|B(\delta, m(\delta))|$ is close to 1 and so does not lie in $\mathcal{C}$. Therefore $\delta$ and $m(\delta)$ are proportional, since otherwise $L_{\delta, \eta}$ would be a 2-dimensional space $W$. So $\widetilde{M}^{0}$ preserves each complex line $W$. Since the lines $\mathbb{C} \delta$ span $V_{\mathbb{R}}$, it follows that $\widetilde{M}^{0}$ is a real algebraic torus and that $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ decomposes as a direct sum $V_{\mathbb{R}}=\oplus U_{\chi}$, where $\chi$ runs over the complex characters of $\widetilde{M^{0}}$ and $U_{\chi}$ is the $\chi$-eigenspace of $V_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Finally, suppose that $r$ is the number of distinct characters in this decomposition and that $r \geq 2$. Since $\widetilde{M}^{0}$ is normal in $\widetilde{M}$, this decomposition is a system of imprimitivity for the action of $\widetilde{M}$ on $V_{\mathbb{R}}$, and so for the group $G$. However, if $G$ were imprimitive then it would, since the representation $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ is irreducible, possess a surjection onto the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{r}$ ([ST], penultimate paragraph of p. 276; at this point of their paper the assumptions that the group in question be finite and unitary are not relevant). Since $G$ is generated by elements of odd order this is impossible, so that $r=1$ and $\widetilde{M}^{0}$ is 1-dimensional. Since $\widetilde{M}^{0}$ contains $S^{1}$ it is then equal to $S^{1}$, which is the centre of $U_{B}$. But $M \subseteq S U_{B} \cdot \widetilde{\mu}_{3}$, so $M$ is finite and Proposition 2.11 is proved.

Proposition 2.14 Assume that $W=V_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then $M=S U_{B} \cdot \widetilde{\mu}_{3}$.
PROOF: $\quad$ Since $W=V_{\mathbb{R}}$, it follows that $V_{\mathbb{R}}^{0} \cap \Sigma \subseteq \widetilde{R} \subseteq \Sigma$, so that $\widetilde{R}$ is dense in $\Sigma$. Therefore $M(\mathbb{R})$ equals the real algebraic subgroup $N$ of $U_{B}(\mathbb{R})$ that is generated by the unitary reflexions of the form

$$
x \mapsto x+\left(1-\zeta_{3}\right) B(x, \delta) \delta,
$$

as $\delta$ runs over the elements of $\Sigma$. It is clear that $N$ is normal in $U_{B}(\mathbb{R})$ and is contained in $\left(S U_{B} \cdot \widetilde{\mu}_{3}\right)(\mathbb{R})$. Since $S U_{B}(\mathbb{R})$ is a simple real algebraic group, it follows that $M(\mathbb{R})=\left(S U_{B} \cdot \widetilde{\mu}_{3}\right)(\mathbb{R})$ and the proposition is proved.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.8.
Proposition 2.15 If $G$ is finite then $h=q=0$ and $G$ is the group $G=W\left(L_{4}\right)$ (the group numbered 32 in the list constructed by Shephard and Todd [ST].)
PROOF: We know that $G$ is an irreducible finite complex reflexion group. So it is one of the groups in the Shephard-Todd list. The fact that it is generated by reflexions of order 3 leaves only a few possibilities.

Let $n$ denote the dimension of its defining representation, which is $V_{\mathbb{R}}$, so that

$$
n=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{3}\right)} V_{\mathbb{R}}=h_{\text {prim }}^{1,1}(X) / 2=5 h+4(1-q)=h+4(h-q+1) .
$$

Since $h \geq q \geq 0$ it follows that $n \geq h+4 \geq 4$. Say that $G=S T_{N}$, the group (or family of groups) numbered $N$ in the list of [ST] and consider the table on p. 412 of [Coh76], which covers the cases $N \in[24,34]$. Taking into account the facts that $G$ is generated by reflexions of order 3 and that $n \geq 4$ shows that, if $N \in[24,34]$,
then $N=32$ and $n=4$, so that $h=0$ and then $q=0$. For $N \leq 23$ look at the table on p. 301 of $[\mathrm{ST}]$; since $n \geq 4$ we have $N=1$ or 2 . However, each group in either of these families admits a surjection onto a non-trivial symmetric group, so is not generated by elements of order 3 . If $N \geq 35$ then $G$ is a Weyl group of type $E$, which groups are not generated by elements of order 3 .

Remark: When $h=q=0$ then $X$ is the blow-up of a del Pezzo surface $S$ of degree 1 at the base point of $\left|-K_{S}\right|$. This shows that the case where $G=S T_{32}$ does occur, and echoes the existence of an embedding $W\left(L_{4}\right) \hookrightarrow W\left(E_{8}\right)$.

We continue with a fixed generic curve $C$ of genus $q \geq 2$ and a generic line bundle $L$ on it of degree $h+1-q \geq 1$ and consider the Eisenstein surfaces $X_{6}$ defined by these data. For a Jacobian elliptic surface $Y$ we denote by $\operatorname{HS}(Y)$ the $\mathbb{Z}$-Hodge structure on $H_{\text {prim }}^{2}(Y, \mathbb{Z})$. The negative of the cup product defines a polarization on this; we call it the standard polarization.

Theorem 2.16 Suppose that $f: X_{6} \rightarrow C$ is a very general Eisenstein surface and that the assumption (1.1) holds. Then the natural homomorphism $\phi$ from $\operatorname{Aut}\left(X_{6}\right)$ to the automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\operatorname{HS}\left(X_{6}\right)\right)$ of the polarized $\mathbb{Z}$-Hodge structure $\operatorname{HS}\left(X_{6}\right)$ is an isomorphism.

PROOF: Suppose that $1 \neq \gamma \in \operatorname{ker} \phi$. Then $\gamma$ acts trivially on $\left|K_{X_{6}}\right|$. Since $K_{X_{6}} \sim f^{*}\left(K_{C}+M\right)$, the assumption (1.1) ensures that $\left|K_{X_{6}}\right|$ pulls back from a very ample class on $C$, so that $\gamma$ acts trivially on $C$ and the geometric quotient $Y=\left[X_{6} /\langle\gamma\rangle\right]$ admits a morphism to $C$ whose generic fibre is the quotient of the generic fibre of $f$ by $\langle\gamma\rangle$. So $Y$ is birationally ruled over $C$, so that $p_{g}(Y)=0$. On the other hand $H^{0}\left(X_{6}, \Omega_{X_{6}}^{2}\right)=H^{0}\left(X_{6}, \Omega_{X_{6}}^{2}\right)^{\gamma}$, so vanishes, which is absurd. So $\phi$ is injective.

To prove the surjectivity of $\phi$ we argue as follows. By [Del72], Proposition 7.5, the monodromy group $G$ has a subgroup $G_{0}$ of finite index that embeds as a subgroup of the Mumford-Tate group $M T\left(X_{6}\right)$ of the polarized $\mathbb{Z}$-Hodge structure of a very general surface $X_{6}$ in the family of Eisenstein surfaces defined by $(C, L)$.
(1) $M T\left(X_{6}\right)$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-subgroup of $O_{b}$ but, because of the existence of the automorphism $\gamma, M T\left(X_{6}\right)$ is in fact a $\mathbb{Q}$-subgroup of $U_{B}$.
(2) The identity connected component $M^{0}$ of $M$ is contained in $M T\left(X_{6}\right)$ since $M^{0}$ is contained in the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebraic subgroup generated by $G_{0}$.
(3) So $M T\left(X_{6}\right)$ contains $S U_{B}$. Since $M T\left(X_{6}\right)$ contains the scalars, it equals $U_{B}$ and its centralizer is $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{3}\right)$.

If $\theta$ is an automorphism of the polarized $\mathbb{Z}$-Hodge structure $\operatorname{HS}\left(X_{6}\right)=V_{\mathbb{Z}}$ then $\theta$ normalizes the image of the Deligne torus $\mathbb{S}=R_{\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{G}_{m}$ in $G L\left(V_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$ that
defines $\operatorname{HS}\left(X_{6}\right)_{\mathbb{R}}$. Examination of the Galois module $\mathbb{X}^{*}(\mathbb{S})$ shows that the $\mathbb{R}$ automorphism group of $\mathbb{S}$ consists of the four maps

$$
z \mapsto\left\{z, z^{-1}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}^{-1}\right\} .
$$

Since the weight of $\operatorname{HS}\left(X_{6}\right)$ is non-zero, $\theta$ acts trivially on the copy of $\mathbb{R}^{*}$ in $\mathbb{S}$ and so its action on $\mathbb{S}$ is either $z \mapsto z$ or $z \mapsto \bar{z}$. Since $H^{2,0} \neq 0$ it follows that $\theta$ acts trivially on $\mathbb{S}$.

Let $Z$ denote the connected component of the fixed locus of $\theta$ in $G L(V)$; this is a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebraic subgroup of $G L(V)$ and $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$ contains $\mathbb{S}$. So $Z$ contains $M T\left(X_{6}\right)$, so that $\theta$ centralizes $M T\left(X_{6}\right)$ and lies in $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{3}\right)$. But also $\theta$ and $\theta^{-1}$ are integral over $\mathbb{Z}$, since they act on the finite $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\operatorname{HS}\left(X_{6}\right)$, so that they both lie in $\mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{3}\right]$ and then $\theta$ is a power of $\zeta_{6}$.

Corollary 2.17 (Generic local Torelli) If $X_{6}$ is a generic Eisenstein surface and $\left|K_{X_{6}}\right|$ has no base points then the coarse period map

$$
\text { per }:[\mathcal{J E}] \rightarrow[\mathcal{D} / \Gamma]
$$

is injective in an analytic neighbourhood of the point corresponding to $X_{6}$.
PROOF: It's enough to allow $X_{6}$ to be very general.
Since $\left|K_{X_{6}}\right|$ has no base points, the infinitesimal Torelli theorem holds for $X_{6}$. The result follows at once from the theorem.

Remark: Really the important point here is the weaker result that the automorphism group of the polarized Hodge structure HS is induced by the automorphism group of the variety modulo automorphisms of HS that act trivially on the period domain. So very general Eisenstein surfaces happen to behave like hyperelliptic curves rather than non-hyperelliptic ones.

## $3 \mathbb{Q}$-Hodge structures and generic Torelli

Suppose that $n$ is an integer. If $X, Y$ are Jacobian elliptic surfaces then an $n$ isogeny from $\operatorname{HS}(X)$ to $\operatorname{HS}(Y)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}[1 / n]$-isomorphism $\psi: \operatorname{HS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1 / n] \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{HS}(Y) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1 / n]$ of $\mathbb{Z}[1 / n]$-Hodge structures such that $n \psi(\mathrm{HS}(X)) \subseteq \mathrm{HS}(Y) \subseteq$ $n^{-1} \psi(\mathrm{HS}(X))$ and $\psi$ preserves the standard polarizations up to a positive rational scalar multiple. This notion defines a correspondence $\Gamma_{n}$ on $\mathcal{D} / \Gamma$ and on $[\mathcal{D} / \Gamma]$ and then we can consider the composite

$$
\operatorname{per}_{n}=\Gamma_{n} \circ \operatorname{per}:\left[\mathcal{J E} \mathcal{E}_{h, q}\right] \rightarrow[\mathcal{D} / \Gamma] \rightarrow[\mathcal{D} / \Gamma] .
$$

This is multi-valued; we say that it is very generally injective if, for two points $X, Y$ in $\left[\mathcal{J E}_{h, q}\right]$ of which one is very general, we have $X=Y$ if the finite set $\operatorname{per}_{n}(X)$ has non-empty intersection with $\operatorname{per}_{n}(Y)$.

Lemma 3.1 If $\mathrm{HS}(Y)$ is isogenous to $\mathrm{HS}\left(X_{6}\right)$ for a very general Eisenstein surface $X_{6}$ then the automorphism group of $\operatorname{HS}(Y)$, with its polarization, is either $\left\langle\zeta_{6}\right\rangle$ or $\{ \pm 1\}$
PROOF: $\operatorname{HS}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{HS}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. The proof of Theorem 2.16 then shows, first, that every automorphism $\theta$ of $\operatorname{HS}(Y)$ lies in $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{3}\right)$ and, second, that $\theta$ is a power of $\zeta_{6}$. Since -1 is always an automorphism of $\operatorname{HS}(Y)$ the lemma follows.

Theorem 3.2 Under the assumptions (1.1) the multi-valued map per ${ }_{n}$ is very generally injective.

PROOF: Assume otherwise. As recalled in Section 1 the IVHS determines the base curve $C$ and the ramification divisor $Z$ of the classifying morphism $\Phi$ : $C \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{E} \ell \ell}$, and then for generic $\Phi$ the pair $(C, Z)$ determines $\Phi$ modulo the action of the automorphism group $\mathbb{G}_{m}$ of $\overline{\mathcal{E} \ell \ell}$. Since the closure of such an orbit is a Gauss-Eisenstein pencil, a generic point $X$ of $\mathcal{J E}$ determines a Gauss-Eisenstein pencil, the closure of the $\mathbb{G}_{m}$-orbit through the point $X$, and any failure of per $_{n}$ to be very generally injective can be detected on a very general Gauss-Eisenstein pencil.

Suppose that $X_{6}$ is a very general Eisenstein surface and that $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow B \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$ is the Gauss-Eisenstein pencil generated by $X_{6}$ and some Gauss surface $X_{4}$. Let $P \in B$ denote the point corresponding to $X_{6}, B^{\prime}$ the normalization of the image of $B$ in the coarse moduli space $\left[\mathcal{J} \mathcal{E}_{C, L}^{R D P}\right]$ and $P^{\prime} \in B^{\prime}$ the image of $P$. In an analytic neighbourhood of $P$ the map $B \rightarrow B^{\prime}$ is just the geometric quotient by the group $\left\langle\zeta_{6}\right\rangle$. (This action is not effective; the kernel is $\{ \pm 1\}$ ).

Consider the multi-valued map $\left.\operatorname{per}_{n}\right|_{B^{\prime}}: B^{\prime} \rightarrow[\mathcal{D} / \Gamma]$; by assumption, this is not very generally injective in the sense defined above. However, Corollary 2.17 and Lemma 3.1 combine to show that $\left.\operatorname{per}_{n}\right|_{B^{\prime}}$ is an isomorphism onto each of its images $Y$ either
(1) in an analytic neighbourhood of $P^{\prime}$ in $B^{\prime}\left(\right.$ when $\left.\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{HS}(Y))=\left\langle\zeta_{6}\right\rangle\right)$ or
(2) in an analytic neighbourhood of $P$ in $B($ when $\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{HS}(Y))=\{ \pm 1\})$.

Since $B^{\prime}$ is complete there is, therefore, another point $Q^{\prime} \in B^{\prime}$ such that $Q^{\prime} \neq P^{\prime}$ and $\operatorname{per}_{n}\left(P^{\prime}\right)$ meets $\operatorname{per}_{n}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)$. Let $Q$ be a pre-image of $Q^{\prime}$ in $B$. Varying the pencil $B$ by keeping the Eisenstein surface $X_{6}$ fixed and varying the Gauss surface $X_{4}$ then gives a locus $\mathcal{L}$ in $\mathcal{J E}_{C, L}^{R D P}$, swept out by the points $Q$, that consists of elliptic surfaces whose Hodge structure is $n$-isogenous to that of $X_{6}$. Since a general member of $\mathcal{J E}$ determines the Gauss-Eisenstein pencil on which it lies, the point $Q$ varies when $X_{4}$ varies, so that $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{L}$ is strictly positive. However, this contradicts the infinitesimal Torelli theorem.

Corollary 3.3 If $8 h>10(q-1), h \geq q+3$ and $q \geq 2$ then a very general surface in $\mathcal{J} \mathcal{E}_{h, q}$ is determined by its polarized $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge structure.
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