

Complexities of Theories of Profinite Subgroups of S_ω via Tree Presentations

Jason Block

CUNY Graduate Center, New York NY 10016, USA

jblock@gradcenter.cuny.edu

Abstract. Although S_ω (the group of all permutations of \mathbb{N}) is size continuum, both it and its closed subgroups can be presented as the set of paths through a countable tree. The subgroups of S_ω that can be presented this way with finite branching trees are exactly the profinite ones. We use these tree presentations to find upper bounds on the complexity of the existential theories of profinite subgroups of S_ω , as well as to prove sharpness for these bounds. These complexity results enable us to distinguish a simple subclass of profinite groups, those with *orbit independence*, for which we find an upper bound on the complexity of the entire first order theory.

Keywords: Computable structure theory · Permutation groups · Profinite groups · Tree presentations.

1 Introduction

Traditional computable structure theory deals only with countable structures. As a result, it cannot be used to study most subgroups of S_ω (the group of all permutations of \mathbb{N}). However, as described in Section 2, a large class of these subgroups (specifically the *closed* subgroups) can be presented as the set of paths through a countable tree. We will focus our attention on the subgroups that can be presented as the paths through a finite branching tree (the *compact* subgroups), which are exactly the profinite ones. In [5] the author uses such a presentation to study the absolute Galois group of \mathbb{Q} , which is indeed a profinite group that can be viewed as a subgroup of S_ω after fixing an enumeration of the algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q} .

Much interest in profinite groups stems from their connection to Galois theory. As shown in [8], every profinite group is the Galois group of some field extension. However, the purpose of this paper is to examine profinite groups simply as groups in their own right. It can be difficult to get an effective handle on uncountable groups, but when such a group acts on \mathbb{N} by permutations we are given the opportunity to do so. Thus, we restrict our attention to the profinite subgroups of S_ω .

Effective notions for profinite groups within the context of Galois theory were examined in [4] and further in [3]. Following this work, effective notions for profinite groups in general were studied in [7]. The authors of [3] and [7] define a profinite group to be *recursively profinite* if it is isomorphic to the inverse limit of a uniformly computable sequence of finite groups and surjective homomorphisms. As we will see in Proposition 4, a profinite group P is recursively profinite if and only if it is isomorphic

to a subgroup G of S_ω such that T_G (the tree that represents G) is computable. More recently, effectively closed subgroups of S_ω were examined in [2].

In Section 3, we use tree presentations to determine bounds on the complexity of the existential theory of profinite subgroups of S_ω . Note that since all elements of S_ω are functions from $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, an existential sentence about a subgroup is a Σ_1^1 statement. However, we will see that the existential theory of any profinite subgroup G of S_ω is Σ_2^0 relative to the degree of T_G . Additionally, if G has *orbit independence*, then the existential theory is Σ_1^0 relative to the degree of T_G . We will also show that these bounds are sharp. Specifically, there exists a profinite G with orbit independence such that T_G is computable and the existential theory of G is Σ_1^0 complete, and such a G without orbit independence such that the existential theory is Σ_2^0 complete. Last, we show that the (entire) first order theory of a profinite G with orbit independence is Δ_2^0 relative to the degree of T_G .

2 Tree Presentations

Definition 1. Let G be a subgroup of S_ω . We define the tree T_G to be the subtree of $\mathbb{N}^{<\omega}$ containing all initial segments of elements of G . That is,

$$T_G := \{\tau \in \mathbb{N}^{<\omega} : (\exists g \in G, n \in \mathbb{N})[\tau = g(0)g(1) \cdots g(n)]\}$$

where $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is mapped to $g(m)$ under g . We define the ordering of T_G via initial segments and write $\tau \sqsubset \sigma$ if τ is an initial segment of σ .

It should be noted that T_G will have no terminal nodes. That is, every element of T_G is an initial segment of another.

Definition 2. Let G be a subgroup of S_ω . We define the degree of T_G to be the join of the Turing degrees of

- The domain of T_G under some computable coding of $\mathbb{N}^{<\omega}$ in which \sqsubset is decidable; and
- A branching function $Br : T_G \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that $Br(\tau)$ is equal to the number of direct successors of τ in T_G .

We denote the degree of T_G as $\deg(T_G)$.

We will focus on groups where T_G is finite branching, in which case the range of Br will be a subset of \mathbb{N} . It should be noted that $\deg(T_G)$ is not invariant under group isomorphism in that it is possible to have $G \cong G'$ with $\deg(T_G) \neq \deg(T_{G'})$.

Definition 3. Given a tree $T \subset \mathbb{N}^{<\omega}$, we define $[T]$ to be the set of all paths through T . We endow $[T]$ with the standard product topology in which the basic clopen sets are those of the form $\{f \in \mathbb{N}^\omega : \tau \sqsubset f\}$ for some $\tau \in T$.

It is clear that every element of G is represented as a path through T_G . In particular, the function $i : G \rightarrow [T_G]$ defined by

$$i(g) = g(0)g(1) \cdots$$

is an embedding. However, it is possible for there to be additional paths through T_G that do not correspond to any element of G in such a way. For example, consider the group G generated by $\{(0\ 1), (2\ 3), (4\ 5), \dots\}$ where $(n\ m)$ denotes the permutation that swaps n and m and leaves everything else fixed. We see that G is countable but $[T_G]$ is size continuum. The following proposition gives a simple topological condition for when a group G corresponds nicely with $[T_G]$.

Proposition 1. *Let G be a subgroup of S_ω . The map $i : G \rightarrow [T_G]$ is a bijection if and only if $i(G)$ is a closed subset of $[T_G]$. \square*

We say that G is a *closed group* when $i(G)$ is closed. Thus, the subgroups of S_ω that can be represented as the paths through this type of tree are exactly the closed ones. Additionally, we say that G is a *compact group* if $i(G)$ is compact.

Definition 4. *A topological group is called profinite if it is isomorphic to the inverse limit of an inverse system of discrete finite groups.*

The following proposition yields a simple topological definition for profinite groups.

Proposition 2 (Folklore; see e.g. Theorem 3.7 from [6]). *A topological group is profinite if and only if it is Hausdorff, compact, and totally disconnected. \square*

Definition 5. *Given a subgroup G of S_ω and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the orbit of n under G as*

$$\text{orb}_G(n) := \{g(n) \in \mathbb{N} : g \in G\}.$$

The following proposition is also folklore, but we give a brief proof.

Proposition 3. *Let G be a subgroup of S_ω . The following are equivalent:*

- (1) G is compact,
- (2) G is closed and all orbits under G are finite,
- (3) G is profinite.

Proof. Suppose that G is compact. Since our topology is Hausdorff, we have that G is closed. By Proposition 1, we have that $i(G) = [T_G]$. Assume towards a contradiction that there is some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\text{orb}_G(n)$ infinite. Let $\{\tau_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the (infinite) collection of all elements of T_G of length $n + 1$. Note that $\{\{f : \tau_i \sqsubset f\}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an open cover of $[T_G] = i(G)$ with no finite subcover, which contradicts that G is compact. Hence, (1) \implies (2).

If G is closed and all orbits in G are finite, then it follows that G is compact as a consequence of König's lemma. Hence, (2) \implies (1).

The topology we have defined is Hausdorff and totally disconnected. If G is compact, then G is also profinite by Proposition 2. Hence, (3) \iff (1). \square

We have that all profinite subgroups of S_ω will have countably many orbits (all of which are finite). We fix an enumeration of these orbits as follows:

Definition 6. *Let G be a profinite subgroup of S_ω . Define $\{O_{G,i}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ so that $O_{G,0} = \text{orb}_G(0)$ and $O_{G,n+1}$ is the orbit of the least natural number not in any $O_{G,m}$ with $m \leq n$.*

We can use these orbits to define finite approximations of G up to the first $k \in \mathbb{N}$ many orbits.

Definition 7. Let G be a profinite subgroup of S_ω . Given $g \in G$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, define $g_k = g \upharpoonright \bigcup_{i \leq k} O_{G,i}$. Define

$$G_k := \{g_k : g \in G\}.$$

We can also define the restriction of G to only the k th orbit.

Definition 8. Let G be a profinite subgroup of S_ω . Define

$$H_k := \{g \upharpoonright O_{G,k} : g \in G\}.$$

Note that both G_k and H_k are finite groups for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Additionally, they are both uniformly computable given T_G .

Definition 9. Let G be a profinite subgroup of S_ω . We say that G has orbit independence if it is isomorphic to the Cartesian product of all H_k . That is,

$$G \cong \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} H_k.$$

For an example of a profinite subgroup that does not have orbit independence, consider

$$G = \{1_G, (01)(23)\}$$

where 1_G denotes the identity permutation. Note that $H_0 \cong H_1 \cong C_2$ (the cyclic group on 2 elements) and H_n is trivial for all $n > 1$. Thus, $\prod H_k \cong C_2 \times C_2$ but $G \cong C_2$. As we shall see, groups with orbit independence tend to be simpler to work with.

It should be noted that our definition for the degree of T_G is compatible with the notion of a recursively profinite group used by La Roche and Smith.

Definition 10 ([3,7]). A profinite group P is called recursively profinite if there exists a uniformly computable sequence $\{P_n, \pi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that each P_n is a finite group, each π_n is a surjective homomorphism from P_{n+1} to P_n , and P is isomorphic to the inverse limit of the sequence.

Proposition 4. A profinite group P is recursively profinite if and only if it is isomorphic to a subgroup G of S_ω with T_G computable.

Proof. Suppose that $P \cong G$ with T_G computable. Defining $\nu_n : G_{n+1} \rightarrow G_n$ (with G_n as in definition 8) so that $\nu_n(g_{n+1}) = g_n$, we get that $\{G_n, \nu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a uniformly computable sequence as required in definition 10 whose inverse limit is isomorphic to P .

For the other direction, suppose that $\{P_n, \pi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is as in definition 10. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let N_n be a natural number such that P_n is isomorphic to a subgroup of S_{N_n} (the group of permutations of $\{0, \dots, N_n - 1\}$). Define $f_0 : P_0 \rightarrow S_{N_0}$ such that f_0 is a group embedding. Given f_n , define $f_{n+1} : P_{n+1} \rightarrow S_{N_{n+1}}$ such that f_{n+1} is

a group embedding and “respects” π_{n+1} in the sense that if $\pi_{n+1}(p_{n+1}) = p_n$, then $f_{n+1}(p_{n+1}) \upharpoonright N_n = f_n(p_n)$. Define G to be the set of $g \in S_\omega$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a $p \in P_n$ with $f_n(p) = g \upharpoonright N_n$. We have that $G \cong P$ and that T_G is exactly the set

$$\{\tau \in \mathbb{N}^{<\omega} : (\exists n \in \mathbb{N}, p \in P_n, m < N_n) [\tau = f_n(p)(0)f_n(p)(1) \cdots f_n(p)(m)]\}.$$

It is clear that the domain and branching function of T_G are computable, hence we have that T_G is computable. \square

3 Complexity of Theories

We now consider the complexity of the existential theory of a profinite subgroup of S_ω . To do so, we must first establish a few lemmas.

Definition 11. A positive formula is a first order formula that can be expressed without the use of any negation symbols. A negative formula is the negation of a positive formula.

Lemma 1. Let G be a profinite subgroup of S_ω and let α^+ be a positive formula in the language of groups. If $k < l$, then

$$G_l \models \alpha^+(\bar{g}_l) \implies G_k \models \alpha^+(\bar{g}_k)$$

for any $\bar{g} \in G^{<\omega}$.

Proof. If α^+ is quantifier free, then it is expressible as a disjunction of conjunctions of atomic formulas. Recall that an atomic formula in the language of groups is equivalent to the statement that some word is equal to the identity. If $G_l \models \alpha^+(\bar{g}_l)$, then one of the disjuncts must hold which just means that some collection of words $W_1(\bar{g}_l), \dots, W_n(\bar{g}_l)$ over the alphabet $\{x, x^{-1} : x \in \bar{g}_l\}$ are all equal to 1_{G_l} . Since each element of \bar{g}_k is an initial segment of an element of \bar{g}_l , we must also have that $W_1(\bar{g}_k) = \dots = W_n(\bar{g}_k) = 1_{G_k}$ and so $G_k \models \alpha^+(\bar{g}_k)$.

Now suppose that the result holds for all Σ_n and Π_n formulas. If α^+ is Σ_{n+1} , then we have $\alpha^+ \equiv \exists \bar{x} \beta^+$ where β^+ is a Π_n positive formula. If $G_l \models (\exists \bar{x}) \beta^+(\bar{g}_l, \bar{x})$ then there exists some $\bar{h} \in G^{<\omega}$ such that $G_l \models \beta^+(\bar{g}_l, \bar{h}_l)$. Since the result holds of Π_n formulas, we get that $G_k \models \beta^+(\bar{g}_k, \bar{h}_k)$ and so $G_k \models \alpha^+(\bar{g}_k)$.

If α^+ is Π_{n+1} , then we have $\alpha^+ \equiv \forall \bar{x} \beta^+$ where β^+ is Σ_n . If $G_l \models (\forall \bar{x}) \beta^+(\bar{g}_l, \bar{x})$ then $G_l \models \beta^+(\bar{g}_l, \bar{h}_l)$ for all $\bar{h} \in G^{<\omega}$. Again, since the result holds for all Σ_n formulas we have that $G_k \models \beta^+(\bar{g}_k, \bar{h}_k)$ and so $G_k \models \alpha^+(\bar{g}_k)$. \square

Corollary 1. Let G, k and l be as in the previous Lemma. If α^- is a negative formula, then

$$G_k \models \alpha^-(\bar{g}_k) \implies G_l \models \alpha^-(\bar{g}_l)$$

for any $\bar{g} \in G^{<\omega}$. \square

Lemma 2. Let G be a profinite subgroup of S_ω . If α is quantifier free, then $G \models \alpha(\bar{g})$ if and only if $G_k \models \alpha(\bar{g}_k)$ for all sufficiently large $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. For the base case, let α be atomic. We have that $\alpha(\bar{x}) \equiv W(\bar{x}) = 1$ where $W(\bar{x})$ is a word over $\{x, x^{-1} : x \in \bar{x}\}$ and 1 is the group identity symbol. Clearly, if $W(\bar{g}) = 1_G$ then $W(\bar{g}_k) = 1_{G_k}$ for all k . On the other hand, if $W(\bar{g}_k) = 1_{G_k}$ for sufficiently large k then we have by the previous lemma that $W(\bar{g}_k) = 1_{G_k}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus given any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a large enough l such that $n \in \text{dom}(\bar{g}_l)$, we have that $W(\bar{g}_l)$ maps n to n . Hence, $W(\bar{g}) = 1_G$.

Negative Step: Let $\alpha \equiv \neg\beta$ with β atomic. Suppose $G \models \neg\beta(\bar{g})$. This gives that there is a k such that $G_k \models \neg\beta(\bar{g}_k)$. By the previous lemma, we must have that $G_l \models \neg\beta(\bar{g}_l)$ for all $l \geq k$ and thus for all sufficiently large l .

Now suppose that $G_k \models \neg\beta(\bar{g}_k)$ for sufficiently large k . There must only be finitely many k such that $G_k \models \beta(\bar{g}_k)$. Thus, from the base case, we have that $G \models \neg\beta(\bar{g})$.

Conjunctive/Disjunctive Step: If the statement holds for β_1 and β_2 , then it is clear that it holds for $\beta_1 \& \beta_2$ as well. If the statement holds for β_1 or for β_2 , then it is clear that it holds for $\beta_1 \vee \beta_2$ as well. \square

Lemma 3. *Every atomic sentence in the language of groups is true in every group.*

Proof. Every such sentence has the form

$$1^n = 1^m$$

with $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. \square

The following lemma gives an example of the power of orbit independence.

Lemma 4. *Let G be a profinite subgroup of S_ω with orbit independence. Let α be an existential sentence in the language of groups. We have that $G \models \alpha$ if and only if $G_k \models \alpha$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. We have that $\alpha \equiv \exists \bar{x} \beta$ where β is a quantifier free formula. If $G \models \alpha$ then there is some $\bar{g} \in G^{<\omega}$ such that $G \models \beta(\bar{g})$. Thus, Lemma 2 gives that there is a k with $G_k \models \beta(\bar{g}_k)$ and so $G_k \models \alpha$.

Now suppose that $G_k \models \alpha$. We have that for some $\bar{\gamma} \in G_k^{<\omega}$, $G_k \models \beta(\bar{\gamma})$. Define an embedding $f : G_k \rightarrow G$ such that $f(\gamma) \upharpoonright \text{dom}(\gamma) = \gamma$ and $f(\gamma)$ is just the identity on all orbits $O_{G,l}$ with $l > k$. Note that since G has orbit independence, we will in fact have that $f(\gamma) \in G$.

Note that

$$\beta(\bar{x}) \equiv \bigvee_i \bigwedge_j \beta_{i,j}^+(\bar{x}) \& \beta_{i,j}^-(\bar{x})$$

where each β^+ is atomic and each β^- negated atomic. Since $G_k \models \beta(\bar{\gamma})$, there is some i such that $G_k \models \bigwedge_j \beta_{i,j}^+(\bar{\gamma}) \& \beta_{i,j}^-(\bar{\gamma})$. It is clear that any negated atomic formula that holds of $\bar{\gamma}$ in G_k will also hold of $f(\bar{\gamma})$ in G . Thus if $G \not\models \alpha$, there would have to be some j such that $G \not\models \beta_{i,j}^+(f(\bar{\gamma}))$. However since we are assuming $G_k \models \beta_{i,j}^+(\bar{\gamma})$, there would have to be some $l > k$ such that $H_l \models \neg\beta_{i,j}^+(f(\bar{\gamma}) \upharpoonright O_{G,l})$. Since $\bar{\gamma}$ is just the identity on all orbits $O_{G,l}$ with $l > k$, we would have $H_l \models \neg\beta_{i,j}^+(\bar{1})$. The formula $\beta_{i,j}^+(\bar{1})$ is an atomic sentence in the language of groups, and so by Lemma 3 we get that $H_l \models \beta_{i,j}^+(\bar{1})$. Hence, we must have that $G \models \alpha$. \square

Theorem 1. *Let G be a profinite subgroup of S_ω with orbit independence. The existential theory of G is Σ_1^0 relative to $\deg(T_G)$.*

Proof. Let α be an existential sentence. By the previous lemma, $G \models \alpha$ if and only if

$$(\exists k)[G_k \models \alpha]$$

which is Σ_1^0 relative to $\deg(T_G)$. \square

The following proposition gives that the above theorem is sharp.

Proposition 5. *There exists a profinite subgroup G of S_ω with orbit independence such that T_G is computable and the existential theory of G is Σ_1^0 complete.*

Proof. By the previous theorem, we need only build a G with T_G computable such that the existential theory codes \emptyset' . Define the formula α_n for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by

$$\alpha_n := (\exists x)[x \neq 1 \ \& \ x^{p_n} = 1]$$

where 1 is the identity element and $\{p_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the sequence of all primes. We build G such that $G \models \alpha_n$ if and only if $n \in \emptyset'$.

Construction

Stage 0: Define $O_{G,0} = \{0\}$ and define H_0 to be the trivial group.

Stage s : Let $N_s \in \mathbb{N}$ be the least not in any $O_{G,i}$ with $i < s$. Find the least $e \leq s$ such that $\Phi_{e,s}(e) \downarrow$ and $G_{s-1} \models \neg \alpha_e$. If no such e exists, define $O_{G,s} = \{N_s\}$ and H_s to be the trivial group. If there is such an e , define $O_{G,s} = \{N_s, N_s + 1, \dots, N_s + p_e - 1\}$ and define H_s to be cyclic on $O_{G,s}$.

Verification Since each G_s is computable, it is clear that the tree T_G is computable. If $n \notin \emptyset'$, then no H_s will be of size p_n . Thus, no element has order p_n , which gives $G \models \neg \alpha_n$. If $n \in \emptyset'$, then there will come a stage t in which n is the least such that $\Phi_{n,t}(e) \downarrow$ and there is currently no H_s of size p_n . We will then make H_t cyclic and of size p_n which will assure that $G \models \alpha_n$. \square

Theorem 2. *Let G be any profinite subgroup of S_ω (not necessarily with orbit independence). The existential theory of G is Σ_2^0 relative to $\deg(T_G)$.*

Proof. Suppose $\alpha = \exists \bar{x} \beta$ with β quantifier free. By Lemma 2, given $\bar{g} \in G^{<\omega}$ we have that $G \models \beta(\bar{g})$ if and only if $G_k \models \beta(\bar{g}_k)$ for all but finitely many $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let T_β be the subset of T_G defined by

$$T_\beta := \{\tau \in T_G : G_{l(\tau)} \models \beta(\tau)\}$$

where $l(\tau)$ is defined as the natural number such that $\tau \in G_{l(\tau)}$. Note that T_β is computable given T_G . We have that $G \models \alpha$ if and only if

$$(\exists \bar{\tau} \in T_G^{<\omega})(\forall k \geq l(\bar{\tau})) \left[\bigvee_{\bar{\sigma} \in G_k^{<\omega}} \left(\bar{\tau} \sqsubseteq \bar{\sigma} \ \& \ \bigwedge_{\bar{\tau} \sqsubseteq \bar{\rho} \sqsubseteq \bar{\sigma}} \bar{\rho} \in T_\beta \right) \right]$$

which is Σ_2^0 relative to $\text{deg}(T_G)$ (recall that $\text{deg}(T_G)$ computes the branching function T_G , and can thus compute the elements of each G_k). \square

The following proposition gives that the above theorem is sharp.

Proposition 6. *There exists a profinite subgroup G of S_ω (without orbit independence) with T_G computable such that the existential theory of G is Σ_2^0 complete.*

Proof. Recall that the set $\text{Fin} = \{e \in \mathbb{N} : |W_e| < \infty\}$ (where W_e is the domain of Φ_e , the e th Turing program) is Σ_2^0 complete. Let $\{p_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the sequence of all primes. Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define the formula

$$\alpha_n := (\exists x)[x \neq 1 \ \& \ x^{p_n} = 1].$$

We construct G so that

$$G \models \alpha_n \iff n \in \text{Fin}.$$

This, along with Lemma 2, ensures that the existential theory of G is Σ_2^0 complete.

We construct G in stages, defining G_s at stage s of the construction. At stages of the form $s = \langle n, m \rangle$, we work toward making sure that G will model α_n just if W_n is finite. Specifically, if $|W_{n,m+1}| > |W_{n,m}|$ then we make sure that if $g \in G_{s-1}$ with $g \neq 1_{G_{s-1}}$, then any $g' \in G_s$ with $g \sqsubset g'$ has $g'^{p_n} \neq 1_{G_s}$. We also create a new element not equal to 1_{G_s} that is of order p_n . If $W_{n,m+1} = W_{n,m}$, then we define H_s to be the trivial group (thus if $g \sqsubset g'$ with $g \in G_{s-1}$ and $g' \in G_s$, then $g'^{p_n} = 1_{G_s}$ if and only if $g^{p_n} = 1_{G_{s-1}}$).

Construction Define a bijection $\langle \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $0 = \langle 0, 0 \rangle$ and $\langle n, m \rangle < \langle n, m+1 \rangle$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Define $l_0 = 0$. For all $s > 0$, define l_s to be the least natural number not in $O_{G,s-1}$.

Stage 0 = $\langle 0, 0 \rangle$: Define $O_{G,0} = \{0, 1\}$ and $H_0 = G_0 = \{(0)(1), (01)\}$.

Stage $s = \langle n, 0 \rangle$ with $n > 0$: Define $O_{G,s} = \{l_s, l_s + 1, \dots, l_s + p_n - 1\}$. Define H_s to be the cyclic group on $O_{G,s}$. Define $G_s = \{g \hat{\ } h : g \in G_{s-1}, h \in H_s\}$.

Stage $s = \langle n, m \rangle$ with $m > 0$: Check if $|W_{n,m}| > |W_{n,m-1}|$.

- If no, then define $O_{G,s} = \{l_s\}$ and $G_s = \{g \hat{\ } (l_s) : g \in G_{s-1}\}$. Note, this gives that H_s is the trivial group.
- If yes, then take N so that this is the N th time that $|W_{n,x}| > |W_{n,x-1}|$. That is, define

$$N = 1 + |\{x \in \mathbb{N} : 0 < x < m \ \& \ |W_{n,x}| > |W_{n,x-1}|\}|.$$

Define $O_{G,s} = \{l_s, l_s + 1, \dots, l_s + p_n^{N+1} - 1\}$ and define H_s to be the cyclic group on $O_{G,s}$. Define t to be the stage that we had added an orbit of size p_n^N . We define

$$G_s = \{g_i \hat{\ } h_i : 0 \leq i < p_n^N \ \& \ h_i \in H_s \ \& \ g_i \in G_{s-1} \ \text{with} \ g_i(l_t) - l_t \equiv h_i(l_s) - l_s \pmod{p_n^N}\}.$$

For example, suppose we are at stage $1 = \langle 0, 1 \rangle$. If $W_{0,1} = W_{0,0} = \emptyset$, then we will have

$$G_1 = \{(0)(1)(2), (01)(2)\}.$$

If $W_{0,1} \neq \emptyset$, then we will have

$$G_1 = \{(0)(1)(2)(3)(4)(5), (0)(1)(24)(35), (01)(2345), (01)(2543)\}.$$

Verification Since each G_s is computable, it is clear that the tree T_G is computable. Thus we need only show that $G \models \alpha_n$ if and only if $n \in \text{Fin}$.

Lemma 5. *Let $s = \langle n, m \rangle$ with $|W_{n,m}| > |W_{n,m-1}|$. If $g \in G_s$ with $g^{p^n} = 1_{G_s}$, then $g \upharpoonright l_s = 1_{G_{s-1}}$.*

Proof (of Lemma 5). Let N and t be defined as they were at stage $s = \langle n, m \rangle$ of the construction. Note that $(g_i \widehat{h_i})^{p^n} = 1_{G_s}$ if and only if $h_i^{p^n} = 1_{H_s}$ and $g_i^{p^n} = 1_{G_{s-1}}$. Since $h_i^{p^n} = 1_{H_s}$, we must have that $h_i(l_s) \equiv 0 \pmod{p_n^N}$. This gives that $g_i(l_t) = l_t$, and so g_i is the identity permutation when restricted to $O_{G,t}$. Similarly, we will get that g_i is the identity permutation on $O_{G,r}$ for all r of the form $r = \langle n, x \rangle$. In order for $g_i^{p^n} = 1_{G_{s-1}}$, we must also have that g_i is the identity permutation on $O_{G,r}$ for all r that are not of the form $\langle n, x \rangle$ as all of these $O_{G,r}$ will either be of size 1, or of a size not divisible by p_n . Hence, we get that $g_i = g \upharpoonright l_s = 1_{G_{s-1}}$. \square

If $n \notin \text{Fin}$, then there will be infinitely many stages s of the form $s = \langle n, m \rangle$ with $|W_{n,m}| > |W_{n,m-1}|$. Let $g \in G$. If $g^{p^n} = 1$, then by Lemma 5 we have $g_{s-1} = g \upharpoonright l_{s-1} = 1_{G_{s-1}}$ for each such s . However, if $g \in G$ was a witness to α_n then we would have by Lemma 2 that $G_k \models g_k \neq 1_{G_k} \ \& \ g_k^{p^n} = 1_{G_k}$ (where $g_k = g \upharpoonright l_k$) for all but finitely many k , which is a contradiction. Hence, there is no witness to α_n in G and so $G \models \neg \alpha_n$.

Now suppose that $n \in \text{Fin}$. We have that there is a least natural number m such that W_n gains no new elements after stage m . This gives that for $s = \langle n, m \rangle$, $|H_s|$ is a multiple of p_n , but no H_x with $x > s$ will have $|H_x|$ divisible by p_n . Note that by our instructions, there will be an element $g \in G_s$ that is not the identity, but is of order p_n . Since we will never have $|W_{n,x}| > |W_{n,x-1}|$ for any $x > s$, we will have that there is an element of G that is equal to g on G_s , and is equal to the identity on all orbits higher than that of G_s . This element will be a witness to α_n . \square

So far we have only considered existential theories. We conclude by now expanding to entire first order theories for subgroups with orbit independence, which we show to be Δ_2^0 relative to $\text{deg}(T_G)$ as a consequence of the following theorem of Feferman and Vaught.

Theorem 3 (Theorem 6.6 from [1]). *Given any first order \mathcal{L} -sentence ϕ , we can compute $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every family $\{\mathcal{A}_i : i \in I\}$ of \mathcal{L} -structures there exists $J \subseteq I$ with $|J| \leq n$ such that if $\prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i \models \phi$, then $\prod_{i \in J'} \mathcal{A}_i \models \phi$ for all J' with $J \subseteq J' \subseteq I$.* \square

Corollary 2. *Let G be a profinite subgroup of S_ω with orbit independence. Let α be any first order sentence in the language of groups. We have $G \models \alpha$ if and only if $G_k \models \alpha$ for all sufficiently large $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Since G has orbit independence, we have that $G \cong \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} H_i$. If $G \models \alpha$, then Corollary 3 gives that there is some finite $J \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that if $J' \supseteq J$, then $\prod_{i \in J'} H_i \models \alpha$. Thus, for all $k \geq \max(J)$ we have $G_k \models \alpha$. For the other direction note that if $G \not\models \alpha$, then $G \models \neg \alpha$ and so the same reasoning gives that $G_k \models \neg \alpha$ for sufficiently large k . \square

Theorem 4. *Let G be a profinite subgroup of S_ω with orbit independence. The first order theory of G is Δ_2^0 relative to $\text{deg}(T_G)$.*

Proof. Let $\text{Th}(G)$ denote the first order theory of G . By Corollary 2 we have that $\alpha \in \text{Th}(G)$ if and only if

$$(\exists l)(\forall k > l)[G_k \models \alpha]$$

which is Σ_2^0 relative to $\text{deg}(T_G)$. On the other hand, we have $\alpha \notin \text{Th}(G)$ if and only if

$$(\exists l)(\forall k > l)[G_k \models \neg\alpha]$$

which is Σ_2^0 relative to $\text{deg}(T_G)$. Hence, both $\text{Th}(G)$ and its complement are Σ_2^0 relative to $\text{deg}(T_G)$ and so $\text{Th}(G)$ is Δ_2^0 relative to $\text{deg}(T_G)$. \square

This draws a strong distinction between the complexity of theories of profinite groups with and without orbit independence. Note that by the proof of Proposition 6 it is possible for just the existential theory of G to be Σ_2^0 complete relative to $\text{deg}(T_G)$ when G does not have orbit independence. However, the entire first order theory of G will be Δ_2^0 relative to $\text{deg}(T_G)$ when G has orbit independence.

References

1. Feferman, S., Vaught R.: The first order properties of products of algebraic systems. *Fundamenta Mathematicae* **47**(1), 57–103 (1959).
2. Greenberg, N., Melnikov A., Nies A., Turetsky D.: Effectively Closed Subgroups of the Infinite Symmetric Group. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* **146**(12), 5421–5435 (2018)
3. La Roche, P.: Effective Galois Theory. *The Journal of Symbolic Logic* **46**(2), 385–392 (1981)
4. Metakides, G., Nerode, A.: Effective Content of Field Theory. *Annals of Mathematical Logic* **17** 289–320, (1979)
5. Miller, R.: Computability for the absolute Galois group of \mathbb{Q} . To appear, available at [arXiv:2307.08935](https://arxiv.org/abs/2307.08935)
6. Osseman, B.: Inverse limits and profinite groups. Electronic manuscript
7. Smith R.: Effective aspects of profinite groups. *The Journal of Symbolic Logic* **46**(4), 851–863 (1981)
8. Waterhouse, W.: Profinite Groups are Galois Groups. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* **42**(4), 639–640 (1974)