

# CHARACTER SUMS AND THE RIEMANN HYPOTHESIS

BRIAN CONREY


*Dedicated to Henryk on his semisesquicentennial*

ABSTRACT. We prove that an innocent looking inequality implies the Riemann Hypothesis and show a way to approach this inequality through sums of Legendre symbols.

Let

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(n) \sin 2\pi n x}{n^2}$$

where  $\lambda$  is the Liouville lambda-function<sup>1</sup>. Since  $|\lambda(n)| = 1$ , this series is absolutely convergent for real  $x$ , so that  $f$  is continuous, odd and periodic with period 1 on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Here is a plot of  $f(x)$  for  $0 \leq x \leq 1$  using 1000 terms of the series defining  $f$ :



**Theorem 1.** *If  $f(x) \geq 0$  for  $0 \leq x \leq 1/4$ , then the Riemann Hypothesis is true.*

Theorem 1 is deceptive in that it looks like it should be a simple matter to prove that  $f(x)$  is non-negative. A problem is that it is not clear whether  $f(x)$  is differentiable or not, and even if it is it would be difficult to estimate the derivative. So, proving that  $f(x) > 0$  at some point doesn't immediately tell us about  $f(x)$  at nearby points.

The “1/4” in Theorem 1 can be replaced by any positive constant. So the real issue is trying to prove that  $f(x) > 0$  for small positive  $x$ .

---

Research supported by an FRG grant from NSF.

<sup>1</sup> $\lambda$  is completely multiplicative and takes the value  $-1$  on primes so that  $\lambda(p_1^{e_1} \dots p_r^{e_r}) = (-1)^{e_1 + \dots + e_r}$ .

Note that

$$\left| \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(n) \sin 2\pi n x}{n^2} \right| < \int_N^{\infty} u^{-2} du = \frac{1}{N}$$

so that if for some  $x$  there is an  $N$  such that

$$(1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\lambda(n) \sin 2\pi n x}{n^2} \geq \frac{1}{N}$$

then, it must be the case that  $f(x) > 0$ . We will use this idea a little later.

We can give an “explicit formula” for  $f$  in terms of the zeros  $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$  of  $\zeta$ :

**Theorem 2.** *Assuming the Riemann Hypothesis,*

$$f(x) = -\frac{4\pi^2 x^{3/2}}{3\zeta(1/2)} - \frac{8\pi^2}{3} x^{3/2} \sum_{n \leq 4x} \frac{\ell(n)}{\sqrt{n}} \left(1 - \frac{n}{4x}\right)^{3/2} + \pi \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{\rho=1/2+i\gamma \\ |\gamma| \leq T}} \operatorname{Res}_{z=\rho-1} \frac{X(1-z)\zeta(2z+2)x^{1-z}}{(1-z)\zeta(z+1)}.$$

Here  $\ell(n)$  is defined through its generating function

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell(n) n^{-s} = \frac{\zeta(2s-1)}{\zeta(s)}$$

for  $\Re s > 1$ . Also,  $X(s)$  is the factor from the functional equation for  $\zeta(s)$  which can be defined by

$$X(s)^{-1} = X(1-s) = \frac{\zeta(1-s)}{\zeta(s)} = 2(2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) \cos \frac{\pi s}{2}.$$

Note that if the zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  are simple, then the term with the sum over the zeros of  $\zeta$  becomes

$$\pi \sum_{\rho} \frac{X(2-\rho)\zeta(2\rho)x^{2-\rho}}{(2-\rho)\zeta'(\rho)}.$$

Theorem 2 is nearly a converse to Theorem 1 in the sense that if RH is true and all the zeros are simple and

$$(2) \quad \sum_{\rho} \left| \frac{X(2-\rho)\zeta(2\rho)}{(2-\rho)\zeta'(\rho)} \right| \leq -\frac{4\pi}{3\zeta(1/2)}$$

then  $f(x) \geq 0$  for  $0 \leq x \leq 1/4$ . Note that

$$-\frac{4\pi}{3\zeta(1/2)} = 2.86834 \dots$$

and

$$\sum_{|\gamma| \leq 1000} \left| \frac{X(2-\rho)\zeta(2\rho)}{(2-\rho)\zeta'(\rho)} \right| = 0.264954 \dots$$

so that the inequality (2) seems plausible.

Finally we remark that the formula of Theorem 2 for  $f(x)$  hides very well the fact that  $f(x)$  is periodic with period 1!

## 1. PRIOR RESULTS

There has been quite a lot of work connecting partial weighted sums of the Liouville and the Riemann Hypothesis. We refer to [BFM] for a nice description of past work. In this paper the authors prove that the smallest value of  $x$  for which

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\lambda(n)}{n} < 0$$

is  $x = 72185376951205$ .

## 2. CHARACTER SUMS

A possible approach to proving that  $f(x) > 0$  for small  $x > 0$  lies in the fact that  $\lambda$  is completely multiplicative and takes the values  $\pm 1$ . This scenario resembles quadratic Dirichlet characters (for simplicity think Legendre symbols) except that Dirichlet characters can also take the value 0. By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, for any  $N$  there is a prime number  $q$  such that  $\lambda(n) = \left(\frac{n}{q}\right)$  for all  $n \leq N$  where  $\left(\frac{\cdot}{q}\right)$  is the Legendre symbol<sup>2</sup> mod  $q$ . As an example:

$$\lambda(n) = \left(\frac{n}{163}\right)$$

for all  $n \leq 40$ , but they differ at  $n = 41$ .

Let

$$f_q(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{n}{q}\right) \sin 2\pi nx}{n^2}$$

be the Fourier sine series with  $\lambda(n)$  replaced by  $\left(\frac{n}{q}\right)$ . If  $f_q(x) \geq 0$  for  $0 \leq x \leq 1/4$  for a sufficiently large set of  $q$ , then it must also be the case that  $f(x) \geq 0$  for  $0 \leq x \leq 1/4$ . (The proof is that if  $f(x_0) < 0$  for some  $0 < x_0 < 1/4$ , then we can find a  $q$  such that  $\left(\frac{n}{q}\right) = \lambda(n)$  for all  $n \leq N$  where  $N$  is chosen so large that  $|f(x_0)| > 1/N$ ; then it must be the case by the analog of (1) for  $f_q$  that  $f_q(x_0) < 0$ .) The same assertion but with  $q$  restricted to primes congruent to 3 mod 8 is also valid, since the Legendre symbols for these  $q$  can also imitate  $\lambda(n)$  for arbitrarily long stretches  $1 \leq n \leq N$ . We can express this as follows:

**Theorem 3.** *If*

$$f_q(x) \geq 0$$

*for all  $0 \leq x \leq 1/4$  and all primes  $q$  congruent to 3 mod 8, then the Riemann Hypothesis is true.*

---

<sup>2</sup> $\left(\frac{n}{q}\right) = 0$  if  $(n, q) > 1$ ;  $\left(\frac{n}{q}\right) = +1$  if  $n$  is a square mod  $q$ ; and  $\left(\frac{n}{q}\right) = -1$  if  $n$  is not a square modulo  $q$ .

**Remark 1.** *We could just as well have stated this theorem for  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . However, the intention is that we are interested in  $q$  for which  $\chi_q$  imitates  $\lambda$ . Insisting that  $\chi_q(2) = -1$  leads to the condition that  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ .*

The sums  $f_q(x)$  still have the same problem in that it is tricky to prove for sure that they are positive for small positive  $x$ . However, the analogue of Theorem 2 above is much simpler, is unconditional, and leads to a straightforward way to check, for any given fixed  $q$ , that  $f_q(x) \geq 0$  for  $0 \leq x \leq 1/4$ .

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $x \geq 0$ . Let  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$  be squarefree. Then*

$$f_q(x) = 2\pi x L_q(1) - \frac{2\pi^2 x}{\sqrt{q}} \sum_{n \leq xq} \left(\frac{n}{q}\right) \left(1 - \frac{n}{xq}\right)$$

where

$$L_q(1) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{n}{q}\right)}{n}.$$

Now Dirichlet's class number formula enters the picture. Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-q})$  be the imaginary quadratic field obtained by adjoining  $\sqrt{-q}$  to the rationals  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $h(q)$  be the class number<sup>3</sup> of  $K$ . Dirichlet's formula is

$$h(q) = \frac{\sqrt{q}}{\pi} L_q(1)$$

for squarefree  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and  $q > 3$ ; (see [D] or [IK]). Thus, the Theorem above can be rephrased in terms of  $h(q)$ . Moreover, we can express  $L_q(1)$  as a finite character sum

$$L_q(1) = -\frac{\pi}{q^{3/2}} \sum_{n=1}^q n \left(\frac{n}{q}\right).$$

Since  $\left(\frac{n}{q}\right)$  is an odd function of  $q$  we also have

$$L_q(1) = -\frac{2\pi}{q^{3/2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\frac{q-1}{2}} n \left(\frac{n}{q}\right)$$

and

$$h(q) = S_q\left(\frac{q}{2}\right)$$

where

$$S_q(N) := \sum_{n \leq N} \left(\frac{n}{q}\right) \left(1 - \frac{n}{N}\right).$$


---

<sup>3</sup>The class number is a measure of how close to unique factorization the integers of  $K$  are;  $h(q) = 1$  means the integers of  $K$  can be factored into primes in only one way.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $q > 3$  be squarefree with  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ . Then*

$$f_q(x) = \frac{2\pi^2 x}{\sqrt{q}} \left( S_q\left(\frac{q}{2}\right) - S_q(qx) \right).$$

Here is a plot of  $f_{163}(x) = \frac{2\pi^2 x}{\sqrt{163}}(S_{163}(\frac{163}{2}) - S_{163}(163x))$  for  $0 \leq x \leq 1$  and a plot of the difference  $f(x) - f_{163}(x)$ :



We can use the corollary to prove that  $f_{163}(x) \geq 0$  for  $0 \leq x \leq 1/2$  and consequently that  $f(x) \geq 0$  for  $1/4 > x \geq 0.043$  as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \sum_{n=1}^{40} \frac{\lambda(n) \sin 2\pi n x}{n^2} + \frac{\Theta}{40} = \sum_{n=1}^{40} \frac{\chi_{163}(n) \sin 2\pi n x}{n^2} + \frac{\Theta}{40} = f_{163}(x) + \frac{\Theta}{20} \\ &= \frac{2\pi^2 x}{\sqrt{163}} \left( S_{163}\left(\frac{163}{2}\right) - S_{163}(163x) \right) + \frac{\Theta}{20} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Theta$  denotes a number with absolute value at most 1, not necessarily the same at each occurrence. Now for  $a$  an integer,  $S_{163}(163x)$  is constant for  $x$  in the interval  $[\frac{a}{163}, \frac{a+1}{163})$ . Therefore,  $f_{163}(x) \geq \min\{f_{163}(\frac{a}{163}), f_{163}(\frac{a+1}{163})\}$  for  $x$  in this interval. We can tabulate these values:

$a$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
$f_{163}(\frac{a}{163})$	0.0095	0.0095	0.019	0.038	0.047	0.066	0.076	0.095	0.12	0.14

Since  $\frac{\Theta}{20} \leq .05$  it follows from (1) that  $f(x) \geq 0$  for  $0.25 \geq x \geq \frac{7}{163} = 0.043$ .

**Corollary 2.**  $f(x) \geq 0$  for  $0.043 \leq x \leq 0.25$ .

It seems clear that for any given  $\epsilon > 0$  we could replace 0.043 by  $\epsilon$  in this inequality with enough computation time. Also, if we use Euler products instead of Dirichlet series we can show that  $f(x) \geq 0$  for  $1/4 \geq x \geq 0.011$ .

The following conjecture seems surprising.

**Conjecture 1.** If  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$  is squarefree, then  $f_q(x) \geq 0$  for  $0 \leq x \leq 1/2$ .

**Remark 2.** J. Bober has checked that this inequality is true for all primes  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$  up to  $10^9$ .

Now we turn to the proofs.

### 3. USEFUL LEMMAS

**Lemma 1.** For  $y > 0$  we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(c)} \frac{X(1-s)y^{1-s}}{1-s} ds = \frac{\sin 2\pi y}{\pi}$$

for any  $c$  satisfying  $0 < c < 1$  where  $(c)$  denotes the path from  $c - i\infty$  to  $c + i\infty$

The integrand has simple poles at  $s = 0, -2, -4, \dots$  with the residue at  $s = -2n$  equal to

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{(-1)^n (2\pi y)^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!}.$$

Summing these leads to the desired formula. See also [T]; the above is the integral of the formula (7.9.5).

**Lemma 2.** If  $c > 0$  and  $\Re a > 0$ , then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(c)} \frac{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(a)}{\Gamma(s+a)} x^{-s} ds = \begin{cases} (1-x)^{a-1} & \text{if } 0 < x < 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } x \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

This formula is (7.7.14) of [T].

**Lemma 3.** If  $c > 0$ , then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(c)} \frac{x^s}{s(s+1)} ds = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{1}{x} & \text{if } x > 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 < x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

This lemma is well-known and is easy to verify.

## 4. PROOFS OF THEOREMS

*Proof of Theorem 1.* This assertion is a consequence of Landau's Theorem: "If  $g(n) \geq 0$  then the right-most singularity of  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(n)n^{-s}$  is real." This is theorem 10 of [HR] and Theorem 1.7 of [MV1]. What we actually need is an integral version of this theorem: "If  $g(x) \geq 0$  then the right-most singularity of  $\int_1^{\infty} g(x)x^{-s} dx$  is real." The proof of this version is essentially the same as that of the first version (see Lemma 15.1 of [MV1]). The application to our situation is slightly subtle. We argue as follows. Since

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(n)n^{-s} = \frac{\zeta(2s)}{\zeta(s)}$$

it follows from Lemma 1 that

$$\frac{f(x)}{\pi} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(c)} \frac{X(1-s)}{1-s} \frac{\zeta(2s+2)}{\zeta(s+1)} x^{1-s} ds$$

where  $0 < c < 1$ . The integral is absolutely convergent for  $0 < c < 1/2$ . By Mellin inversion we have

$$\frac{\pi X(1-s)}{1-s} \frac{\zeta(2s+2)}{\zeta(s+1)} = \int_0^{\infty} f(x)x^{s-2} dx.$$

We split the integral into two integrals at  $x = 4$  so that

$$\frac{\pi X(1-s)}{1-s} \frac{\zeta(2s+2)}{\zeta(s+1)} = \int_0^4 f(x)x^{s-2} dx + \int_4^{\infty} f(x)x^{s-2} dx = I_1(s) + I_2(s),$$

say. The integral defining  $I_1(s)$  is absolutely convergent for  $\sigma > 1$  and the second integral is absolutely convergent for  $\sigma < 1$ . Using the periodicity of  $f$  we can show that the second integral converges for  $\sigma < 2$ . Indeed, let

$$F(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt.$$

Then  $F(n) = 0$  for all integers  $n$  and  $F$  is bounded. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(s) &= \sum_{n=4}^{\infty} \int_n^{n+1} f(x)x^{s-2} dx = \sum_{n=4}^{\infty} \left( F(x)x^{s-2} \Big|_{x=n}^{x=n+1} - (s-2) \int_n^{n+1} F(x)x^{s-3} dx \right) \\ &= -(s-2) \int_4^{\infty} F(x)x^{s-3} dx. \end{aligned}$$

This integral converges for  $\Re s < 2$ . So, we now have  $I_2$  analytic for  $\Re s < 2$ . Clearly,  $I_1 + I_2$  is analytic for  $\Re s > \max\{-1/2, \rho - 1\}$  i.e. for  $\Re s > 0$ . (The pole of  $X(1-s)$  at  $s = 0$  is canceled by the zero of  $1/\zeta(s+1)$  at  $s = 0$ .) It follows that  $I_1(s) = (I_1(s) + I_2(s)) - I_2(s)$  is analytic for  $\Re s > 0$ . It follows that  $I_2(s)$  is also analytic for  $\Re s > 0$ , and since we already knew it was analytic for  $\Re s < 2$  it follows that  $I_2(s)$  is entire. Now, we can write  $I_1$  as

$$I_1(s) = \int_{1/4}^{\infty} f(1/x)x^{-s} dx.$$

Recall we have assumed that  $f(1/x) \geq 0$  for  $x \geq 4$ . Therefore, by Landau's theorem, the rightmost singularity of  $I_1(s)$  is real. Since  $I_2$  is entire, it follows that the rightmost pole of  $I_1(s) + I_2(s)$  must also be real. But the rightmost real pole of

$$I_1(s) + I_2(s) = \frac{\pi X(1-s)}{1-s} \frac{\zeta(2s+2)}{\zeta(s+1)}$$

is at  $s = -1/2$ . This must be the rightmost pole. Therefore the poles at  $\rho - 1$  must all have their real parts less than or equal to  $-1/2$ . In particular,  $\Re \rho \leq 1/2$ , which is RH.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* We start again from

$$\frac{f(x)}{\pi} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(c)} \frac{X(1-s)\zeta(2s+2)x^{1-s}}{(1-s)\zeta(s+1)} ds$$

where  $0 < c < 1/2$ . The integrand has poles only at  $s = -\frac{1}{2}$  and at  $s = \rho - 1$  where  $\rho$  is a complex zero of  $\zeta(s)$  and nowhere else in the  $s$ -plane. The residue at  $s = -\frac{1}{2}$  is

$$\frac{X(\frac{3}{2})}{\frac{3}{2}\zeta(\frac{1}{2})} x^{3/2} = -\frac{4\pi}{3\zeta(\frac{1}{2})} x^{3/2}.$$

Assuming that the zeros are simple, the residue at  $s = \rho - 1$  is

$$\frac{X(2-\rho)\zeta(2\rho)x^{2-\rho}}{(2-\rho)\zeta'(\rho)}.$$

We (carefully) move the path of integration to  $(c)$  where  $-2 < c < -1$ . To do this we have to cross through a field of poles arising from the zeros of the zeta function. To do this we use Theorem 14.16 of [T1] (see also [R]) to find a path on which  $1/\zeta(s+1) \ll T^\epsilon$  where we can safely cross. Using the bounds  $|X(1-s)| \ll T^{\sigma-1/2}$  and  $\zeta(2s+2) \ll T^{-\frac{1}{2}-\sigma}$  we can get the sum of the residues arising from the zeros up to height  $T$  together with an error term that tends to 0 as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus, assuming the zeros are simple,

$$\frac{f(x)}{\pi} = -\frac{4\pi x^{3/2}}{3\zeta(1/2)} + \sum_{\rho} \frac{X(2-\rho)\zeta(2\rho)x^{2-\rho}}{(2-\rho)\zeta'(\rho)} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(c)} \frac{X(1-s)\zeta(2s+2)x^{1-s}}{(1-s)\zeta(s+1)} ds.$$

If the zeros are not simple we modify the sum over zeros appropriately. We make the change of variable  $s \rightarrow -s$  in the integral. Using the functional equation for the  $\zeta$ -function and functional relations for the  $\Gamma$ -function, we see that the new integrand is

$$\frac{X(1+s)\zeta(2-2s)x^{1+s}}{(1+s)\zeta(1-s)} = -\pi^{3/2} 2^{2s} \frac{\Gamma(s-\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(s+2)} \frac{\zeta(2s-1)}{\zeta(s)} x^{1+s}.$$

By Lemma 2,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(c)} \pi^{3/2} 2^{2s} \frac{\Gamma(s-\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(s+2)} \frac{\zeta(2s-1)}{\zeta(s)} x^{1+s} = \frac{8\pi}{3} x^{3/2} \sum_{n \leq 4x} \frac{\ell(n)}{\sqrt{n}} \left(1 - \frac{n}{4x}\right)^{3/2}.$$

Then Theorem 2 follows.



*Proof of Theorem 4.* We denote  $\chi_q(n) = \left(\frac{n}{q}\right)$ . By Lemma 1,

$$(3) \quad f_q(x) = \frac{\pi}{2\pi i} \int_{(c)} L(s+1, \chi_q) X(1-s) x^{1-s} \frac{ds}{1-s}$$

where  $0 < c < 1$ . Since  $\chi_q$  is odd, we find that the integrand has a pole at  $s = 0$  and nowhere else in the complex plane. We move the path of integration to  $(c)$  where  $c < -1$  to see that

$$f_q(x) = 2\pi x L(1, \chi_q) + \frac{\pi}{2\pi i} \int_{(c)} L(s+1, \chi_q) X(1-s) x^{1-s} \frac{ds}{1-s}.$$

Now let  $s \rightarrow -s$  in the integral and use the functional equation (see [D], [IK] or [MV1])

$$L(1-s, \chi_q) = 2q^{s-\frac{1}{2}} (2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) \sin \frac{\pi s}{2} L(s, \chi_q).$$

After simplification, the integral above is

$$\frac{-2\pi^2}{2\pi i} \int_{(c)} q^{s-\frac{1}{2}} x^{1+s} L(s, \chi_q) \frac{ds}{s(s+1)}.$$

By Lemma 3, this integral is

$$\frac{-2\pi^2 x}{\sqrt{q}} \sum_{n \leq xq} \chi_q(n) \left(1 - \frac{n}{xq}\right).$$

The proof of Theorem 4 is complete.

**Remark 3.** *Note that the non-negativity, for  $0 < x < 1/4$ , of the right-hand side of (3) implies the Riemann Hypothesis. This condition only involves Dirichlet L-functions with quadratic characters. Thus, information solely about Dirichlet L-functions potentially gives the Riemann Hypothesis. This example shows that different L-functions somehow know about each other.*

## 5. FURTHER REMARKS

Since

$$h(q) \gg_{\epsilon} q^{1/2-\epsilon}$$

we see that

$$f_q(x) \geq 0$$

for  $a \ll x \ll q^{-1/2-\epsilon}$ . In particular,

$$f_q(a/q) \geq 0$$

for  $a \ll q^{1/2-\epsilon}$ . But this doesn't give information about  $f(x)$ .

Also, the Polya-Vinogradov inequality tells us that

$$\max_N \left| \sum_{n=1}^N \chi_q(n) \right| \ll q^{1/2} \log q$$

and the work of Montgomery and Vaughan [MV] shows that the Riemann Hypothesis for  $L(s, \chi)$  implies that

$$\max_N \left| \sum_{n=1}^N \chi_q(n) \right| \ll q^{1/2} \log \log q.$$

Moreover, it is known that the right hand side here can not be replaced by any function that goes to infinity slower. It is also known, assuming the Riemann Hypothesis for  $L(s, \chi)$ , that

$$L(1, \chi) \ll \log \log q.$$

Our desired inequality can be expressed in terms of  $L(1, \chi)$  as

$$(4) \quad \max_{N \leq \frac{q}{4}} \sum_{n=1}^N \chi(n) \left(1 - \frac{n}{N}\right) \leq \frac{\sqrt{q}}{\pi} L(1, \chi).$$

It appears that both sides of this inequality can be as big as  $\sqrt{q} \log \log q$ .

A question is whether the converse of Theorem 1 is true. It might be possible to approach this by showing that the “ $\frac{3}{2}$ ” derivative of  $f(x)$  is positive at  $x = 0$  so that there is a small interval to the right of 0 for which  $f(x) \geq 0$ . This method, or trying to prove (2) directly, would involve explicit estimates (assuming RH) for  $1/\zeta(s)$  in the critical strip; see [MV1] section 13.2 for a good approach to such explicit estimates.

Finally, we mention that  $f(x)$  can be evaluated at a rational number  $x = a/q$  as an average involving Dirichlet L-functions  $L(s, \chi)$  where  $\chi$  is a character modulo  $q$ .

## 6. EVALUATION OF $f_q(a/p)$ .

Let  $p < q$  and  $(a, p) = 1$ . We explicitly evaluate  $f_q(a/p)$  as a sum over characters modulo  $p$  as follows. We have

$$\begin{aligned} f_q(a/p) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_q(n) \sin \frac{2\pi an}{p}}{n^2} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_q(n)}{n^2} \frac{1}{\phi(p)} \Im \left\{ \sum_{\psi \bmod p} \tau(\psi) \bar{\psi}(an) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\phi(p)} \Im \left\{ \sum_{\psi \bmod p} \tau(\psi) \bar{\psi}(a) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_q(n) \psi(n)}{n^2} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\phi(p)} \Im \left\{ \sum_{\psi \bmod p} \tau(\psi) \bar{\psi}(a) L(2, \chi_q \bar{\psi}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if  $\psi$  is even, then

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\tau(\psi)} &= \sum_{n=1}^p \overline{\psi(n)} e(-an/p) = \sum_{n=1}^p \overline{\psi}(-n) e(an/p) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^p \overline{\psi}(n) e(an/p) = \tau(\overline{\psi})\end{aligned}$$

while if  $\psi$  is odd then

$$\overline{\tau(\psi)} = -\tau(\overline{\psi}).$$

Thus, for even  $\psi$

$$\Im \{ \tau(\psi) \overline{\psi}(a) L(2, \chi_q \overline{\psi}) + \tau(\overline{\psi}) \psi(a) L(2, \chi_q \psi) \} = 0$$

and for odd  $\psi$

$$\Im \{ \tau(\psi) \overline{\psi}(a) L(2, \chi_q \overline{\psi}) + \tau(\overline{\psi}) \psi(a) L(2, \chi_q \psi) \} = 2 \Im \{ \tau(\psi) \overline{\psi}(a) L(2, \chi_q \overline{\psi}) \}.$$

Therefore, using the fact that  $\tau(\chi_p) = i\sqrt{p}$  when  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , we have

$$f_q(a/p) = \frac{1}{\phi(p)} \sum_{\substack{\psi \pmod{p} \\ \psi(-1) = -1 \\ \psi^2 \neq \psi_0}} \Im \{ \tau(\psi) \overline{\psi}(a) L(2, \chi_q \overline{\psi}) \} + \delta(p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}) \frac{\sqrt{p}}{\phi(p)} \Re \{ \overline{\psi}(a) L(2, \chi_q \overline{\psi}) \}.$$

We use this to prove that

$$f_q(1/3) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f_q(1/5) > 0$$

for any  $q$ . By the formula above we have

$$f_q(1/3) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} L(2, \chi_q \chi_3) > 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}f_q(1/5) &= \frac{2}{\phi(5)} \Im \{ (-1.17557 + 1.90211i) L(2, \chi_q \psi_1) \} \\ &= 1.9\alpha - 1.17\beta\end{aligned}$$

where  $\psi_1 = \{1, i, -i, -1, 0\}$  with  $\tau(\psi_1) = -1.17557 + 1.90211i$  and

$$\alpha + i\beta = L(2, \chi_q \psi_1) = 1 + \frac{\chi_q(2)i}{2^2} - \frac{\chi_q(3)i}{3^2} - \frac{\chi_q(4)}{4^2} + \dots$$

Now

$$\alpha \geq 1 - \frac{1}{4^2} - \frac{1}{5^2} - \dots = 0.716\dots$$

and

$$|\beta| < \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{3^2} + \dots = 0.64\dots$$

Thus,

$$f_q(1/5) > 0.6.$$

A couple of formulas may help us move forward here. One is that if  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are characters with coprime moduli  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  respectively, then (see [IK, (3.16)])

$$\tau(\theta_1\theta_2) = \theta_1(m_2)\theta_2(m_1)\tau(\theta_1)\tau(\theta_2).$$

The other is that

$$L(1-r, \theta) = -\frac{m^{r-1}}{r} \sum_{b=1}^m \theta(b) B_r(b/m)$$

for a character  $\theta$  modulo  $m$  and a positive integer  $r$  where  $B_r$  is the  $r$ th Bernoulli polynomial (see [Wa, Theorem 4.2]). Recall the functional equation (see [D]) for a primitive character  $\theta \bmod m$ :

$$L(1-s, \theta) = \left(\frac{m}{2\pi}\right)^s \Gamma(s) (e^{\pi i s/2} + \theta(-1)e^{-\pi i s/2}) L(s, \bar{\theta}) / \tau(\bar{\theta})$$

It follows that for an even  $\theta = \chi_q \psi$ , with  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and  $\psi$  an odd character modulo  $p$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} L(2, \chi \bar{\psi}) &= -\pi \left(\frac{pq}{2\pi}\right)^{-1} L(-1, \theta) / \tau(\theta) \\ &= -\pi \left(\frac{pq}{2\pi}\right)^{-1} L(-1, \chi \psi) / (\chi(p)\psi(q)\tau(\psi)i\sqrt{q}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \Im\{\tau(\psi)\bar{\psi}(a)L(2, \chi_q \bar{\psi})\} &= \Re\left\{\frac{2\pi^2 \chi_q(p)\bar{\psi}(aq)}{pq^{3/2}} L(-1, \chi_q \psi)\right\} \\ &= -\Re\left\{\frac{\pi^2 \chi_q(p)\bar{\psi}(aq)}{\sqrt{q}} \sum_{b=1}^{pq} \chi_q(b)\psi(b)B_2(b/(pq))\right\} \end{aligned}$$

We sum this equation over the odd characters modulo  $p$  using

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{\psi \bmod p \\ \psi(-1)=-1}} \psi\left(\frac{b}{aq}\right) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\psi \bmod p} \left(\psi\left(\frac{b}{aq}\right) - \psi\left(-\frac{b}{aq}\right)\right) \\ &= \frac{\phi(p)}{2} \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } b \equiv aq \pmod{p} \\ -1 & \text{if } b \equiv -aq \pmod{p} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\substack{\psi \bmod p \\ \psi(-1)=-1}} \Im\{\tau(\psi)\bar{\psi}(a)L(2, \chi_q \bar{\psi})\} \\ &= -\frac{\phi(p)}{2} \frac{\pi^2 \chi_q(p)}{\sqrt{q}} \left( \sum_{\substack{b \leq pq \\ b \equiv aq \pmod{p}}} \chi_q(a) B_2(b/(pq)) - \sum_{\substack{b \leq pq \\ b \equiv -aq \pmod{p}}} \chi_q(a) B_2(b/(pq)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$B_2(x) = x^2 - x + 1/6.$$

Also,

$$\sum_{\substack{b \leq pq \\ b \equiv aq \pmod{p}}} \chi_q(b) - \sum_{\substack{b \leq pq \\ b \equiv -aq \pmod{p}}} \chi_q(b) = 0$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{b \leq pq \\ b \equiv aq \pmod{p}}} b\chi_q(b) - \sum_{\substack{b \leq pq \\ b \equiv -aq \pmod{p}}} b\chi_q(b) = 0.$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{\substack{\psi \pmod{p} \\ \psi(-1) = -1}} \Im\{\tau(\psi)\bar{\psi}(a)L(2, \chi_q\bar{\psi})\} = -\frac{\pi^2\chi_q(p)}{2p^2q^{5/2}} \left( \sum_{\substack{b \leq pq \\ b \equiv aq \pmod{p}}} b^2\chi_q(b) - \sum_{\substack{b \leq pq \\ b \equiv -aq \pmod{p}}} b^2\chi_q(b) \right).$$

Thus, we have

**Theorem 5.** *For primes  $p$  and  $q$  both congruent to 3 modulo 4 and  $1 \leq a < p/2$  we have*

$$f_q(a/p) = -\frac{\pi^2\chi_q(p)}{2p^2q^{5/2}} \left( \sum_{\substack{b \leq pq \\ b \equiv aq \pmod{p}}} b^2\chi_q(b) - \sum_{\substack{b \leq pq \\ b \equiv -aq \pmod{p}}} b^2\chi_q(b) \right).$$

and

**Corollary 3.** *If*

$$(5) \quad \text{test}_a(p, q) := -\chi_q(p) \left( \sum_{\substack{b \leq pq \\ b \equiv aq \pmod{p}}} b^2\chi_q(b) - \sum_{\substack{b \leq pq \\ b \equiv -aq \pmod{p}}} b^2\chi_q(b) \right) > 0$$

*for all  $p < q$  which are primes congruent to 3 modulo 8 and all  $0 < a < p/2$ , then the Riemann Hypothesis follows.*

We note that by these techniques one can show

**Theorem 6.**

$$f_q(a/q) = \frac{\pi^2}{2\sqrt{q}} \left( \chi_q(a) - \frac{1}{q^2} \sum_{c=1}^{q-1} c^2(\chi_q(c-a) - \chi_q(c+a)) \right).$$

When this formula is compared with our earlier formula

$$f_q\left(\frac{a}{q}\right) = \frac{2\pi^2}{q^{3/2}} \left( \frac{a}{3} \sum_{n \leq \frac{q-1}{2}} \chi_q(n) - \sum_{n=1}^a (a-n)\chi_q(n) \right)$$

we deduce the identity

$$\frac{a}{3} \sum_{n \leq \frac{q-1}{2}} \chi_q(n) - \sum_{n=1}^a (a-n) \chi_q(n) = \frac{q}{4} \left( \chi_q(a) - \frac{1}{q^2} \sum_{c=1}^{q-1} c^2 (\chi_q(c-a) - \chi_q(c+a)) \right)$$

for  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .

Now we indicate another possible direction.

**Proposition 1.** *If*

$$f_q(x) = 0$$

*then  $x$  is a rational number.*

*Proof.* By Corollary 1,  $f_q(x) = 0$  implies that  $S_q(q/2) - S_q(qx) = 0$ . But  $S_q(q/2) = h(q)$  is an integer. So  $f_q(x) = 0$  implies that  $S_q(qx)$  is a rational number. Now

$$S_q(qx) = \sum_{n \leq [qx]} \chi_q(n) \left(1 - \frac{n}{qx}\right) = \sum_{n \leq [qx]} \chi_q(n) - \frac{\sum_{n \leq [qx]} n \chi_q(n)}{qx}.$$

This has the shape integer  $-\frac{\text{integer}}{qx}$  which can only be rational if  $x$  is a rational number.  $\square$

So, it suffices to show that  $f_q(x)$  has no rational zeros; perhaps a congruence argument could work. However, Theorem 5 is not much use here because the hypothetical  $x$  for which  $f_q(x) = 0$  would likely have a denominator that is divisible by  $q$ , so the conditions of Theorem 5 don't hold.

We remark that there are rational values of  $x$  for which the numerator of  $f_q(x)$  is congruent to 0 modulo  $q$ ; for example

$$f_{19}(25/76) = \frac{19}{25} \quad f_{19}(29/190) = \frac{19}{29} \quad f_{19}(30/209) = \frac{19}{30}.$$

These examples, which all seem to have an  $x$  with denominator divisible by  $q$ , might be worth studying further.

Here is one final formula that may or may not be useful. Suppose that  $f_q(x) = 0$ . Let  $y = qx$ . Then either

$$\sum_{n \leq y} \chi_q(n) = h(q) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n \leq y} n \chi_q(n) = 0$$

or else  $y$  satisfies

$$y = \frac{\sum_{n \leq [y]} n \chi_q(n)}{\sum_{n \leq [y]} \chi_q(n) - h(q)}.$$

The first alternative seems unlikely as in that case there would be an interval on which  $f_q(x)$  would be identically 0.

## 7. CONCLUSION

Conjecture 1 has been checked for primes up to  $10^9$  and it holds for those primes. However, probabilistic grounds call into question its truth for all primes  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ . Of course, one only needs its truth for a set of characters  $\chi_q$  for which  $\chi_q(n) = \lambda(n)$  for all  $n \leq N_q$  where  $N_q \rightarrow \infty$  with  $q$ . Presumably something like this is correct (and should be equivalent to RH), but it is not clear how to proceed. But the results of section 6, suggest a slightly alternative way forward which may have a more arithmetic flavor.

## REFERENCES

- [BFM] Peter Borwein, Ron Ferguson, and Michael J. Messinghoff. Sign Changes in sums of the Liouville function; *Math. Comp.* 77 (2008), no. 263, 1681–1694. <https://www.wstein.org/simuw/misc/borwein-parity.pdf>
- [D] Harold Davenport. *Multiplicative number theory*. Third edition. Revised and with a preface by Hugh L. Montgomery. *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, 74. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000. xiv+177 pp.
- [HR] G. H. Hardy and Marcel Riesz. *The general theory of Dirichlet's series*. *Cambridge Tracts* 18. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1915.
- [IK] Henryk Iwaniec and Emmanuel Kowalski. *Analytic number theory*. *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*, 53. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004. xii+615 pp.
- [MV] H. L. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan; Exponential sums with multiplicative coefficients, *Inventiones Math.* 1977, 43, pp. 69–82.
- [MV1] H. L. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan. *Multiplicative number theory. I. Classical theory*. *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, 97. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007. xviii+552 pp.
- [R] K. Ramachandra; On the frequency of Titchmarsh's phenomenon for  $\zeta(s)$ . *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) 8 (1974), 683–690.
- [T] E. C. Titchmarsh. *Introduction to the Theory of Fourier Integrals*. Chelsea, New York, 1948, Second Edition.
- [T1] E. C. Titchmarsh. *The theory of the Riemann zeta-function*. Second edition. Edited and with a preface by D. R. Heath-Brown. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1986. x+412 pp.
- [Wa] Washington, Lawrence C. *Introduction to cyclotomic fields*. Second edition. *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, 83. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997. xiv+487 pp.