

Mutual transformations of arbitrary ternary qubit trees by Clifford gates

Alexander Yu. Vlasov

April 29, 2024

Abstract

It is shown that ternary qubit trees with the same number of nodes can be transformed by the naturally defined sequence of Clifford gates into each other or into standard representation as 1D chain corresponding to Jordan-Wigner transform.

1 Introduction

The *ternary qubit tree* [1] is a visual representation for a generalization of Jordan-Wigner transform [2] from a set of operators naturally defined for 1D chain. The particular case of full ternary tree was suggested for optimal fermion-to-qubit mapping in quantum computations [3] and similar idea can be applied to more general ternary trees [4, 5].

Qubit trees provide a method to construct set of operators with necessary commutation properties, however for some applications it would be desirable also to provide a map for conversion to standard Jordan-Wigner transform. The algorithm for representation of such map as a sequence of so-called stabilizer or Clifford gates [6, 7] is presented in this work.

The definition of ternary qubit trees and relation with Jordan-Wigner transform are recollected in Sec. 2. The sequence of transformations of such trees producing necessary stabilizer circuit is discussed in Sec. 3. Possible applications are briefly outlined in last section.

2 Clifford algebras and qubit trees

Let us recollect definition of Clifford algebra [8] $\mathbf{Cl}(n)$ generated by n elements with properties

$$\mathbf{e}_j \mathbf{e}_k + \mathbf{e}_k \mathbf{e}_j = 2\delta_{jk} \mathbf{1}, \quad j, k = 1, \dots, n, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{1}$ is unit of the algebra. Often right side of Eq. (1) includes minus sign [1, 8], but for complex Clifford algebra considered here such change is not essential due to possibility to multiply generators on imaginary unit. The Clifford algebras defined here should not be confused with Clifford gates also used in presented work. The generators Eq. (1) can be expressed using tensor product of Pauli matrices

$$\sigma^x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

For even $n = 2m$ such representation corresponds to Jordan-Wigner transform [2, 8]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{e}_{2k-1} &= \underbrace{\sigma^z \otimes \dots \otimes \sigma^z}_{k-1} \otimes \sigma^x \otimes \underbrace{\mathbf{1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{1}}_{m-k} \\ \mathbf{e}_{2k} &= \underbrace{\sigma^z \otimes \dots \otimes \sigma^z}_{k-1} \otimes \sigma^y \otimes \underbrace{\mathbf{1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{1}}_{m-k}, \quad k = 1, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

It can be rewritten

$$\mathbf{e}_{2k-1} = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \sigma_j^z \right) \sigma_k^x, \quad \mathbf{e}_{2k} = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \sigma_j^z \right) \sigma_k^y, \quad k = 1, \dots, m. \quad (3)$$

using formal notation

$$\sigma_k^\nu = \underbrace{\mathbf{1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{1}}_{k-1} \otimes \sigma^\nu \otimes \underbrace{\mathbf{1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{1}}_{m-k}, \quad k = 1, \dots, m, \quad \nu = x, y, z. \quad (4)$$

corresponding to action of Pauli matrix on a qubit with index k .

Due to Eq. (1) the basis of Clifford algebra is 2^n different products of generators \mathbf{e}_j and for $n = 2m$ due to Eq. (3) it coincides with $2^{2m} = 4^m$ different tensor products of m Pauli matrices. The Eq. (3) is not unique representation of elements with property Eq. (1) as tensor product of Pauli matrices.

Let us recollect big class of alternative representations with so-called ternary qubit trees [1, 3, 5]. Such trees have m qubit nodes joined with three children by directed links marked by indexes x, y, z and $2m + 1$ terminal nodes. An example of full ternary tree of such kind is represented on Figure 1, but arbitrary tree with up to three children nodes could be augmented to such ternary tree by attaching missing terminal nodes. An example of such ternary tree constructed from a binary one is shown on Figure 2.




Figure 1: Full ternary qubit tree




Figure 2: Binary qubit tree augmented to ternary tree

Let us now associate σ_k^ν with a link from qubit k with a mark ν . Then each path P from a root to a terminal node corresponds to product along this path

$$e_P = \prod_P \sigma_{k(P)}^{\nu(P)}. \quad (5)$$

Any two such products \mathbf{e}_P and $\mathbf{e}_{P'}$ include only one Pauli matrix with the same k and different $\nu \neq \nu'$. Here k is an index of last qubit in common part of paths P and P' before forking. Thus, any two unequal products Eq. (5) anticommute and satisfy ‘nontrivial part’ $\mathbf{e}_j \mathbf{e}_k = -\mathbf{e}_k \mathbf{e}_j$, $j \neq k$ of Eq. (1). The ‘trivial part’ $\mathbf{e}_j^2 = \mathbf{1}$ is also obviously satisfied.

For example full ternary tree on Figure 1 corresponds to 27 products

$$\mathbf{e}_1 = \sigma_1^x \sigma_2^x \sigma_5^x, \mathbf{e}_2 = \sigma_1^x \sigma_2^x \sigma_5^y, \mathbf{e}_3 = \sigma_1^x \sigma_2^x \sigma_5^z, \mathbf{e}_4 = \sigma_1^x \sigma_2^y \sigma_6^x, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{27} = \sigma_1^z \sigma_4^z \sigma_{13}^z$$

and binary tree on Figure 2 produces seven generators

$$\mathbf{e}_1 = \sigma_1^z, \mathbf{e}_2 = \sigma_1^x \sigma_2^z, \mathbf{e}_3 = \sigma_1^y \sigma_3^z, \mathbf{e}_4 = \sigma_1^x \sigma_2^x, \mathbf{e}_5 = \sigma_1^x \sigma_2^y, \mathbf{e}_6 = \sigma_1^y \sigma_3^x, \mathbf{e}_7 = \sigma_1^y \sigma_3^y.$$

There are $2m + 1$ such products corresponding to number of terminal nodes, but arbitrary one can be dropped, because up to unessential ‘phase’ any such \mathbf{e}_P can be represented as product of other $2m$ terms [1]. For usual Jordan-Wigner transform Eq. (3) the extra term would correspond to

$$\mathbf{e}_{2m+1} = \prod_{j=1}^k \sigma_j^z. \quad (6)$$

3 Transformations between representations

For any unitary operator U *adjoint action* (*conjugation* by U) produces new set of generators

$$\text{Ad}_U: \mathbf{e}_j \mapsto U \mathbf{e}_j U^{-1} = U \mathbf{e}_j U^\dagger, \quad j = 1, \dots, n \quad (7)$$

satisfying necessary commutation relation Eq. (1). For U represented by so-called *quantum stabiliser circuits* composed from product of Clifford gates [6, 7] Eq. (7) represent transformation between 4^k different products of σ_k^ν Eq. (4). Such a property was discussed earlier for application to construction of new quantum gates effectively modelled by classical computer [9].

Let us recollect construction of Clifford (stabilizer) gates [6, 7]. For a single qubit the group transforming σ^ν into each other by conjugation may be generated by Pauli matrices σ^ν together with Hadamard matrix H and ‘phase gate’ S

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

Conjugation by Pauli matrices can only change a sign and neglected further, but H and S exchanges $\sigma^x \leftrightarrow \sigma^z$ and $\sigma^x \leftrightarrow \sigma^y$ respectively.

For two qubits together with H_j and S_j , $j = 1, 2$ acting on any of them it is necessary to include two-qubit gate Controlled-NOT (CX) or Controlled-Z (CZ) to generate whole group of stabilizer transformations

$$CX = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad CZ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

For arbitrary number of qubits compositions of gates H_j , S_j acting on arbitrary qubit j together with CX_{jk} or CZ_{jk} acting on arbitrary pair of qubits (j, k) generate whole group of transformations preserving products σ'_k (and basis of Clifford algebra).

The exchange between arbitrary pair of qubits also may be decomposed on such operators, but for convenience it should be included as a separate gate. The operator CZ_{jk} is used further due to convenience for some transformations of qubit trees, symmetry of two indexes and commutativity for different qubits.

Conjugation by CZ_{12} for all possible tensor products of Pauli (and identity) matrices are collected in the table below

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| $\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}$ | $\sigma^x \otimes \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \sigma^x \otimes \sigma^z$ | $\sigma^y \otimes \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \sigma^y \otimes \sigma^z$ | $\sigma^z \otimes \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \sigma^z \otimes \mathbf{1}$ |
| $\mathbf{1} \otimes \sigma^x \rightarrow \sigma^z \otimes \sigma^x$ | $\sigma^x \otimes \sigma^x \rightarrow \sigma^y \otimes \sigma^y$ | $\sigma^y \otimes \sigma^x \rightarrow \sigma^x \otimes \sigma^y$ | $\sigma^z \otimes \sigma^x \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \otimes \sigma^x$ |
| $\mathbf{1} \otimes \sigma^y \rightarrow \sigma^z \otimes \sigma^y$ | $\sigma^x \otimes \sigma^y \rightarrow \sigma^y \otimes \sigma^x$ | $\sigma^y \otimes \sigma^y \rightarrow \sigma^x \otimes \sigma^x$ | $\sigma^z \otimes \sigma^y \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \otimes \sigma^y$ |
| $\mathbf{1} \otimes \sigma^z \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \otimes \sigma^z$ | $\sigma^x \otimes \sigma^z \rightarrow \sigma^x \otimes \mathbf{1}$ | $\sigma^y \otimes \sigma^z \rightarrow \sigma^y \otimes \mathbf{1}$ | $\sigma^z \otimes \sigma^z \rightarrow \sigma^z \otimes \sigma^z$ |

(10)

Let us consider action of stabiliser gates on the qubit trees. The one-qubit gates such as H_j , S_j and products of such operators map one qubit tree into another and simply exchange labels x, y, z of links attached to qubit j . For system with two qubits any set of generators may be represented as ternary tree and so two qubit-gates CZ also corresponds to some transformation of the trees.

For three and more qubits two-qubit gates such as CZ_{jk} may transform products representing e_j for some ternary qubit tree into collection that either represent another tree or not. For example let us consider standard six generators Eq. (1) for three qubits

$$\mathbf{e}_1 = \sigma_1^x, \quad \mathbf{e}_2 = \sigma_1^y, \quad \mathbf{e}_3 = \sigma_1^z \sigma_2^x, \quad \mathbf{e}_4 = \sigma_1^z \sigma_2^y, \quad \mathbf{e}_5 = \sigma_1^z \sigma_2^z \sigma_3^x, \quad \mathbf{e}_6 = \sigma_1^z \sigma_2^z \sigma_3^y. \quad (11)$$

Conjugation by $U = CZ_{12} CZ_{23}$ produces six generators mentioned in Ref. [5] with correct commutation relation Eq. (1), but without representation as a ternary qubit tree

$$\tilde{e}_1 = \sigma_1^x \sigma_2^z, \quad \tilde{e}_2 = \sigma_1^y \sigma_2^z, \quad \tilde{e}_3 = \sigma_2^x \sigma_3^z, \quad \tilde{e}_4 = \sigma_2^y \sigma_3^z, \quad \tilde{e}_5 = \sigma_1^z \sigma_3^x, \quad \tilde{e}_6 = \sigma_1^z \sigma_3^y. \quad (12)$$

Anyway, CZ_{jk} also may produce valid transformation of ternary tree and it is shown further, how it is possible to map any ternary tree T into standard (Jordan-Wigner) form corresponding to Eq. (3) using Eq. (7) with some operator denoted here as U_T^\dagger with the inverse transformation U_T mapping Eq. (3) into set of generators for T . Arbitrary tree T also can be converted into another T' by composition of two such transformations $U_T^\dagger U_{T'}$ with possible further optimization to reduce number of gates.

The idea is to ‘straighten all forks’ in the tree. Let us consider last fork on one of the branches originated from some qubit with index q_1 . It is depicted on Figure 3a. It is supposed, that there are no more forks on the branches attached to the qubit q_1 , but this branches can be either terminal or include arbitrary number of qubit nodes. For certainty the branch attached by link with mark x has maximal amount of nodes.




Figure 3: Transformation of qubit tree by $CZ_{q_1 q_2}$

Let us show that conjugation by gate $CZ_{q_1 q_2}$ rejoins the closest qubit node with index q_1 to the link marked by y , see Figure 3b. Here we suppose that node q_2 has two terminal nodes attached by links x and y with some tree T_1 may be attached to link z . It is always possible to exchange marks in

such a way by one-qubit transformation. Some trees T_2 and T_3 also may be attached to qubit node q_1 by links with marks y and z .

The scheme of tensor products of Pauli (and identity) matrices for generators corresponding to ternary qubit tree on Figure 3a is presented on the left-hand side of Eq. (13).

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\cdots \sigma^x \otimes \sigma^x \otimes \mathbf{1} \cdots \cdots & & \cdots \sigma^y \otimes \sigma^y \otimes \mathbf{1} \cdots \cdots \\
\cdots \sigma^x \otimes \sigma^y \otimes \mathbf{1} \cdots \cdots & & \cdots \sigma^y \otimes \sigma^x \otimes \mathbf{1} \cdots \cdots \\
\cdots \sigma^x \otimes \sigma^z \otimes T_1 \cdots \cdots & \longrightarrow & \cdots \sigma^x \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes T_1 \cdots \cdots \\
\cdots \sigma^y \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \cdots T_2 \cdots & & \cdots \sigma^y \otimes \sigma^z \otimes \cdots T_2 \cdots \\
\cdots \sigma^z \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \cdots \cdots T_3 & & \cdots \sigma^z \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \cdots \cdots T_3
\end{array} \quad (13)$$

Here non-overlapping commuting terms T_1, T_2, T_3 correspond to subtrees on Figure 3. They could be arbitrary, yet only subtrees without forks are enough further. The positions corresponding to qubits q_1 and q_2 for simplicity are arranged sequentially in Eq. (13) and corresponds to combinations

$$\sigma^x \otimes \sigma^x, \quad \sigma^x \otimes \sigma^y, \quad \sigma^x \otimes \sigma^z, \quad \sigma^y \otimes \mathbf{1}, \quad \sigma^z \otimes \mathbf{1}$$

mapping due to Eq. (10) into

$$\sigma^y \otimes \sigma^y, \quad \sigma^y \otimes \sigma^x, \quad \sigma^x \otimes \mathbf{1}, \quad \sigma^y \otimes \sigma^z, \quad \sigma^z \otimes \mathbf{1}$$

respectively. The result of such map is shown on the right-hand side of Eq. (13) and corresponds to qubit tree on Figure 3b.

Example of conversions from a triple fork to a linear chain is shown on Figure 4. Three branches with two nodes are attached to qubit q_1 by x , y and z links on Figure 4a. The gate CZ_{12} is used to move one qubit node from x to y branch, see Figure 4b. The gate CZ_{13} moves yet another qubit node from x to y and on Figure 4c now the x branch has a single terminal node. To continue process it is necessary to exchange branches x and z by gate H_1 , see Figure 4d. Now it is possible to move qubit nodes q_6 and q_7 from x to y branch using gates CZ_{16} and CZ_{17} , see Figure 4e. Now only y -branch has qubit nodes and gates S_1 and H_1 are used finally to exchange y and z links to produce a chain of z -linked nodes, see Figure 4f.

Consequent application of similar operations to all forks on a ternary qubit tree produces chain without any branches with nodes connected by z -links. It is only necessary to rearrange qubit indexes in ascending order to produce ‘degenerate’ qubit tree corresponding to a chain and standard (Jordan-Wigner) representation Eq. (3) of generators e_j .




Figure 4: Example of ternary qubit trees transformations by Clifford gates

4 Conclusion

Adjoint map Eq. (7) between standard Jordan-Wigner transform Eq. (3) and representation by arbitrary ternary qubit tree Eq. (5) using stabilizer circuits, *i.e.*, sequence of Clifford gates is constructed in this work. The applications of such map can be numerous. A general idea of a few such applications is similar with mentioned in Ref. [9] for some other examples of quantum circuits ‘intertwined by Clifford operations’. It was initially suggested for effective simulations of specific nonuniversal quantum circuits by classical computer, but some ideas recollected below can be useful for other applications.

The approach with conjugation by Clifford operations also looks more general, because not all set of generators expressed as Eq. (7) can be represented by ternary trees. However, such ideas appropriate for any set of generators represented using conjugation Eq. (7) and so may be applied to ternary trees as well due to construction discussed in Sec. 3.

Adjoint transformation $G' = \text{Ad}_U G$ can be associated with transition from a quantum circuit G acting on a state $|\Psi\rangle$ to a new circuit G' for a quantum state $|\Psi'\rangle = U|\Psi\rangle$ with trivial substitution

$$G'|\Psi'\rangle = UGU^\dagger|\Psi'\rangle = UGU^\dagger U|\Psi\rangle = UG|\Psi\rangle.$$

Any observable O used in the measurement $\langle\Psi|O|\Psi\rangle$ for some states in initial circuit would correspond to new observable $O' = UOU^\dagger$. If such observables was expressed as an analytical function of generators e_k , *e.g.*, quadratic, polynomial or exponential, the new observables also can be expressed in the same way. Similar property also valid for Hamiltonians H used for formal expression of quantum evolution

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = G|\Psi\rangle = \exp(-iHt)|\Psi\rangle,$$

i.e., for new quantum system or circuit a Hamiltonian can be expressed as $H' = UHU^\dagger$. Here the general ideas of possible applications are only outlined and concrete examples should be discussed elsewhere.

References

- [1] A. Yu. Vlasov, “Clifford algebras, Spin groups and qubit trees,” [arXiv:1904.09912 \[quant-ph\]](#) (2019); *Quanta* **11** 97–114 (2022).
- [2] P. Jordan and E. Wigner, “Über das Paulische Äquivalenzverbot,” *Zeitschrift für Physik* **47**, 631–651 (1928).
- [3] Z. Jiang, A. Kalev, W. Mruczkiewicz, and H. Neven, “Optimal fermion-to-qubit mapping via ternary trees with applications to reduced quantum states learning,” [arXiv:1910.10746 \[quant-ph\]](#) (2019); *Quantum* **4**, 276 (2020).
- [4] J. Tilly, H. Chen, S. Cao, D. Picozzi, K. Setia, Y. Li, E. Grant, L. Wossnig, I. Rungger, G. H. Booth, and J. Tennyson, “The variational quantum eigensolver: a review of methods and best practices,” [arXiv:2111.05176 \[quant-ph\]](#) (2021); *Phys. Rep.* **986**, 1–128 (2022).
- [5] A. Miller, Z. Zimborás, S. Knecht, S. Maniscalco, and G. García-Pérez, “Bonsai algorithm: Grow your own fermion-to-qubit mappings,” [arXiv:2212.09731 \[quant-ph\]](#) (2022); *PRX Quantum* **4** 030314 (2023).
- [6] D. Gottesman, “Theory of fault-tolerant quantum computation,” [quant-ph/9702029](#) (1997); *Phys. Rev. A* **57**, 127–137 (1998).
- [7] M. Nielsen and I. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information* (CUP, Cambridge, 2011).
- [8] J. E. Gilbert and M. A. M. Murray, *Clifford Algebras and Dirac Operators in Harmonic Analysis* (CUP, Cambridge, 1991).
- [9] R. Jozsa and A. Miyake, “Matchgates and classical simulation of quantum circuits,” [arXiv:0804.4050 \[quant-ph\]](#); *Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A* **464**, 3089–3106 (2008).