

Twisted charged particles in the uniform magnetic field with broken symmetry

N. V. Filina and S. S. Baturin*

School of Physics and Engineering, ITMO University, St. Petersburg, Russia 197101

(Dated: April 2, 2024)

We present a theoretical description of charged particles with nonzero projection of the orbital angular momentum (OAM) in a uniform magnetic field with broken symmetry of the vector potential. The wave functions we find naturally account for the asymmetry through the continuous gauge parameter and are a generalization of the commonly used Laguerre-Gauss states. We analyze the asymmetric Hamiltonian from an algebraic point of view and show how the OAM projection of the twisted state is modified by symmetry breaking. We provide analytical frameworks for properties of the asymmetric states, such as energy, RMS size, and Casimir invariant, and discuss advantages of the proposed description.

The symmetry group of a system is the fundamental element that completely defines the properties of the problem under consideration. Since the Noether's discovery [1], symmetry arguments have been widely used in theoretical analyses of physical problems. The main idea is, the generators of the system's symmetry group that are closely related to the conserved properties of this system. For example, the projection of the orbital angular momentum (OAM) along the z -axis remains constant in systems with cylindrical symmetry. Recent theoretical [2–6] and experimental studies [7–11] reveal that electrons in free space or in a constant magnetic field can carry a non-zero OAM projection. Such quantum states are called *twisted electrons*. The OAM projection is conserved only and only if the system is axially symmetric and, according to the Noether's theorem, may vanish once this symmetry is broken. In free space, the symmetry can be guaranteed with a high degree of accuracy, but in a constant magnetic field, the situation is different.

The first solution of this problem was derived by Landau [12, 13] in a fully asymmetric (Landau) gauge, widely known as Landau states. At first sight, Landau states have nothing to do with twisted electrons. However, recently it has been revealed that once the gauge is chosen differently [3], Landau states can have a singularity in the phase of their wave function, which signifies a twisted particle [3, 14]. The latter highlights an interesting but simple fact that the solution of the Landau problem depends on the gauge. A less trivial fact is that while most of the observable properties in the Landau problem are gauge-independent, there are some that explicitly depend on the gauge. The projection of the OAM onto the propagation axis is one of them. In the Landau gauge, a single electron state has a zero OAM projection, while the equivalent state in the symmetric gauge can have a well-defined OAM at the same energy [3]. There is a simple explanation for this difference. In the symmetric gauge, the problem is axially symmetric, and hence, the operator of the z -component of the angular momentum \hat{L}_z commutes with the Hamiltonian. In the Landau gauge, however, this symmetry is broken, and \hat{L}_z is no longer a conserved quantity. Although the

magnetic field is uniform in all cases, the wave functions and the probability density differ significantly and provide information about the degree of symmetry breaking. Furthermore, the solution of the Landau problem in the Landau gauge can be continuously transformed to the solution in the symmetric gauge by means of a unitary single-parameter map. We describe such a transformation, present the solution in a general asymmetric gauge, and study some of its properties.

Throughout the paper, we use relativistic units ($\hbar = c = 1, e < 0$).

We start our analysis with a possible source of the asymmetry in the Landau Hamiltonian and follow the steps of Ref.[15]. We consider the Biot-Savart law for the vector potential:

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) = \int \frac{\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} dV. \quad (1)$$

Next, we consider a long solenoid and ignore all the effects originating from the boundaries. This immediately implies translational symmetry along the z axis and, consequently, $\partial_z \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) = 0$. Together with $\mathbf{A}(x, y, z) = \mathbf{A}(x, y, -z)$, we get $A_z = 0$. Combined with the assumptions above, from Eq.(1), we immediately conclude that the transverse part of the vector potential is completely defined by the transverse shape of the solenoid given by a contour Γ , and Eq.(1) is modified to

$$\mathbf{A}(x, y) = \mathcal{I} \oint_{\Gamma} d\mathbf{l} \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2}}. \quad (2)$$

Here, \mathcal{I} is the surface current density, $\rho^2 = (x - \xi)^2 + (y - \eta)^2$, $[\xi(x, y); \eta(x, y)]$ are the coordinates of the point on the contour Γ , and $d\mathbf{l} = (d\xi; d\eta; 0)^T$. Assuming a long magnet $L \gg \sqrt{(x - \xi)^2 + (y - \eta)^2}$, we obtain

$$\int_{-L/2}^{L/2} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2}} \approx 2 \ln L - 2 \ln \rho. \quad (3)$$

After integrating along the closed contour, the first term vanishes.

* s.s.baturin@gmail.com




Figure 1. Schematic diagram of elliptic solenoid

Finally, we linearize the vector potential near the origin. Assuming $x, y \ll \xi, \eta$, we get

$$\mathbf{A}(x, y) = -\mathcal{I} \oint d\mathbf{l} \ln [\xi^2 + \eta^2] + \mathcal{I} \oint \frac{2x\xi + 2y\eta}{\xi^2 + \eta^2} d\mathbf{l} \quad (4)$$

If the contour Γ has reflection symmetry with respect to the x axis and the y axis, \mathbf{A} reduces to

$$\mathbf{A}^T = 2\mathcal{I} \left(y \oint \frac{\eta d\xi}{\xi^2 + \eta^2}; x \oint \frac{\xi d\eta}{\xi^2 + \eta^2}; 0 \right). \quad (5)$$

One can check that for the vector potential given by Eq.(5), $\nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B} = (0; 0; B)$ regardless of the shape of the contour. However, the integrals that define the amplitudes of the A_x and A_y components are generally not equal. Thus, the following parameterization of the vector potential is valid for a magnet with a general mirror symmetry

$$\mathbf{A}^T = B(-\beta y; (1 - \beta)x; 0), \quad (6)$$

where $B = 4\pi\mathcal{I}$.

In the case of an elliptical cross-section, the explicit form of the vector potential near the center is expressed by the semi-major and semi-minor axes (see Fig.1) [15]:

$$A_x = -\frac{Bya}{a+b}, \quad A_y = \frac{Bxb}{a+b}. \quad (7)$$

We reiterate that the symmetry of the vector potential is uniquely defined by the symmetry of the current distribution.

Next, we analyze the transverse part of the nonrelativistic Schrödinger equation for a massive charged particle in a magnetic field, which has the form

$$i\partial_t \psi = \hat{H}_\perp \psi, \quad \hat{H}_\perp = \frac{[\hat{\mathbf{p}}_\perp - e\mathbf{A}]^2}{2m}. \quad (8)$$

It can be shown that the evolution of the transverse part of the wave function of a non-relativistic point particle reduces to Eq.(8) [16]. Moreover, the same type of equation appears in the Foldy-Wouthuysen representation under the paraxial approximation after a proper substitution of $t \rightarrow z$ and dropping the spin [17]. We adhere to the formulation of the problem given in Eq.(8), but note that the analysis and results apply directly to the relativistic case with minor modifications.

Inserting the asymmetric vector potential given by Eq.(6) into Eq.(8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_\perp = & \frac{\hat{\mathbf{p}}_\perp^2}{2m_e} - \text{sign}(e)2\omega [-\beta \hat{p}_x \hat{y} + (1 - \beta) \hat{p}_y \hat{x}] \\ & + 2m_e \omega^2 [\beta^2 \hat{y}^2 + (1 - \beta)^2 \hat{x}^2], \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where we have introduced the Larmor frequency:

$$\omega = \frac{|e|B}{2m_e}. \quad (10)$$

To separate the symmetric and antisymmetric parts of \hat{H}_\perp , we make a canonical transformation:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\tilde{x}} &= \sqrt{2(1 - \beta)} \hat{x} & \hat{\tilde{y}} &= \sqrt{2\beta} \hat{y} \\ \hat{\tilde{p}}_x &= \frac{\hat{p}_x}{\sqrt{2(1 - \beta)}} & \hat{\tilde{p}}_y &= \frac{\hat{p}_y}{\sqrt{2\beta}} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Then, we rearrange the terms, and the Hamiltonian takes the form (here, we omit the waves for brevity of notation):

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_\perp = & \left[\left(\frac{\hat{\tilde{p}}_x^2}{2m_e} + \frac{\hat{\tilde{p}}_y^2}{2m_e} \right) + \frac{m_e \omega^2 (\hat{\tilde{x}}^2 + \hat{\tilde{y}}^2)}{2} \right] \\ & + (1 - 2\beta) \left[\left(\frac{\hat{\tilde{p}}_x^2}{2m_e} - \frac{\hat{\tilde{p}}_y^2}{2m_e} \right) + \frac{m_e \omega^2 (\hat{\tilde{x}}^2 - \hat{\tilde{y}}^2)}{2} \right] \\ & - \text{sign}(e)2\omega \sqrt{\beta(1 - \beta)} \hat{L}_z \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

For convenience, we introduce the following operators

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_s &= -\frac{1}{2m_e} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right) + \frac{m_e \omega^2 (x^2 + y^2)}{2}, \\ \hat{H}_1 &= -\frac{1}{2m_e} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right) + \frac{m_e \omega^2 (x^2 - y^2)}{2}, \\ \hat{H}_2 &= -\frac{1}{m_e} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} + m_e \omega^2 xy, \\ \hat{H}_3 &= \omega \hat{L}_z = -i\omega \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

In the new notations, the Hamiltonian (12) can be expressed as

$$\hat{H}_\perp = \hat{H}_s + \hat{H}_{as}, \quad (14)$$

$$\hat{H}_{as}(\alpha) = -\text{sign}(e) \left[\cos(2\alpha) \hat{H}_1 + \sin(2\alpha) \hat{H}_3 \right],$$

where we have introduced a new symmetry parameter $\beta = \sin^2 \tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\alpha} = \frac{\pi}{4} + \text{sign}(e) \left(\frac{\pi}{4} - \alpha \right)$.

For the stationary problem, when the Schrödinger equation Eq.(8) is reduced to

$$\left[\hat{H}_s + \hat{H}_{as}(\alpha) \right] \psi = \epsilon \psi, \quad (15)$$

Eq.(15) can be solved *exactly*, and the corresponding solutions generalize the known Hermite-Gauss (HG) and Laguerre-Gauss (LG) states to the *asymmetric Landau*

states (ALS), i.e. states corresponding to an intermediate symmetry defined by the parameter α in the Hamiltonian (14).

The complete set of orthogonal eigenfunctions of \hat{H}_\perp is given by Hermite-Laguerre-Gauss (HLG) functions [18] and reads (see the Supplementary Information)

$$\psi_{n,m}(x, y, \alpha) = \mathcal{G}_{n,m}^N(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}|\alpha), \quad (16)$$

$$\tilde{x} = \frac{x}{\rho_H}, \quad \tilde{y} = \frac{y}{\rho_H}.$$

with the transverse energy of a state given by

$$\epsilon^- = 2\omega \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad \text{sign}(e) < 0,$$

$$\epsilon^+ = 2\omega \left(m + \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad \text{sign}(e) > 0. \quad (17)$$

Above, we have introduced the Landau radius as

$$\rho_H = \sqrt{\frac{2}{m_e \omega}}. \quad (18)$$

HLG functions were first discovered in quantum optics as a special class of solutions to the paraxial wave equation that are invariant under astigmatic influence. It is not surprising, though, that the same functions naturally appear in the Landau problem, as the Schrödinger equation is very similar to the paraxial wave equation [17, 19]. However, for charged particles, the astigmatism can stem not only from the asymmetry of the magnetic field, but also from general symmetry breaking of the problem, which can be manifested in the asymmetry of the vector potential. For instance (see Eq.(7)), the ellipticity of a solenoid is directly connected to the corresponding value of the α parameter.

An HLG function has two natural limiting cases $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = \pi/4$. The former corresponds to the Landau gauge and completely asymmetric HG eigenstates given by Hermite polynomials with zero projection of the OAM on the z axis.

$$\psi_{n,m}(x, y, 0) = \frac{(-i)^m e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{\rho_H^2}} \mathcal{H}_n\left(\sqrt{2}\frac{x}{\rho_H}\right) \mathcal{H}_m\left(\sqrt{2}\frac{y}{\rho_H}\right)}{\rho_H \sqrt{\pi 2^{n+m-1} n! m!}}. \quad (19)$$

Here, $\mathcal{H}_n(x)$ is a Hermite polynomial of order n .

The opposite case of $\alpha = \pi/4$ corresponds to the symmetric gauge and eigenfunctions commonly known as *twisted states*, or the LG states with a defined projection of the OAM. For $n \leq m$, we have (the case of $n \geq m$ looks similar except for the reversed sign of the OAM)

$$\psi_{n,m}(x, y, \pi/4) = \frac{(-1)^n 2^m n!}{\rho_H \sqrt{\pi 2^{n+m-1} n! m!}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}{\rho_H} \right)^{|m-n|}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_n^{|m-n|} \left(2 \frac{x^2 + y^2}{\rho_H^2} \right) e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{\rho_H^2} - i(m-n) \arctan(y/x)}, \quad (20)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_n^{|m-n|}(x)$ is a generalized Laguerre polynomial. A detailed description of HLG functions and their




Figure 2. Probability density for asymmetric Landau states for different values of the symmetry parameter α . Top row corresponds to $n_r = 0$ and $l = 3$, bottom row to $n_r = 2$ and $l = 2$.

properties can be found in numerous publications by Abramochkin and coauthors [18, 20, 21]. It is convenient to introduce another set of quantum numbers: the radial quantum number n_r and the eigenvalue of the z -projection of the OAM l , which usually characterizes the twisted state:

$$l = n - m,$$

$$n_r = \frac{n + m - |l|}{2}. \quad (21)$$

With Eq.(21) and Eq.(20), we obtain the familiar form of an LG state in cylindrical coordinates

$$\psi_{n_r, l}(r, \phi, \pi/4) \propto \left(\frac{r}{\rho_H} \right)^{|l|} \mathcal{L}_{n_r}^{|l|} \left(\frac{2r^2}{\rho_H^2} \right) e^{-\frac{r^2}{\rho_H^2} + i l \phi}. \quad (22)$$

To illustrate the dependence of HLG modes (or ALS) on the normalized transverse coordinates, we plot their probability density distributions for different values of α and fixed values of quantum numbers n_r and l in Fig.2; there, we can see that the ALS are highly sensitive to the symmetry. From Fig.2, we can also conclude that the asymmetry visually reveals the value of the OAM projection.

To proceed, we compare various parameters of an ALS with the corresponding values of a common twisted LG state. The energy of an ALS is obtained from Eq.(17) with Eq.(21) as follows

$$\epsilon = \omega [2n_r + |l| - \text{sign}(e)l + 1] \quad (23)$$

which is exactly the same as for an LG state.

We can evaluate different mean values using the recurrence relation for the functions $\mathcal{G}_{n,m}^N(x/\rho_H, y/\rho_H|\alpha)$ and their orthogonality property. The mean square radius of an ALS in terms of quantum numbers (21) is

$$\langle r^2 \rangle = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} (x^2 + y^2) |\mathcal{G}_{n,m}^N(x/\rho_H, y/\rho_H|\alpha)|^2 dx dy,$$

$$\langle r^2 \rangle = \frac{\rho_H^2}{2} (2n_r + |l| + 1), \quad (24)$$

which, once again, coincides with the same average calculated for the LG states.

Obviously, the \hat{L}_z operator does not commute $[\hat{L}_z, \hat{H}_\perp] \neq 0$ with the Hamiltonian (14); consequently, ALS are not eigenfunctions of \hat{L}_z , except for a fully symmetric case of $\alpha = \pi/4$. However, the mean value of \hat{L}_z for the ALS states has a simple form

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{L}_z \rangle &= -i \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \overline{\mathcal{G}_{n,m}^N(x, y|\alpha)} x \partial_y \mathcal{G}_{n,m}^N(x, y|\alpha) dx dy + \\ & i \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \overline{\mathcal{G}_{n,m}^N(x, y|\alpha)} y \partial_x \mathcal{G}_{n,m}^N(x, y|\alpha) dx dy, \\ \langle \hat{L}_z \rangle &= l \sin 2\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

As expected, the OAM projection on the z axis vanishes in the fully asymmetric case of $\alpha = 0$. In the limiting case, $\hat{H}_{as}(\pi/4) = -\text{sign}(e)\omega\hat{L}_z$ and $[\hat{H}_\perp, \hat{L}_z] = 0$. Thus, it seems reasonable to assume that when $\alpha \neq \pi/4$, the second integral of motion is equal to just $\hat{H}_{as}(\alpha)$. It is easy to check (see the Supplementary Information) that, indeed,

$$\forall \alpha \quad [\hat{H}_\perp, \hat{H}_{as}(\alpha)] = 0. \quad (26)$$

Furthermore, using the properties of the $\mathcal{G}_{n,m}^N(x/\rho_H, y/\rho_H|\alpha)$ function once again, we get

$$\hat{H}_{as}(\alpha)\psi_{n,m}(x, y, \alpha) = -\text{sign}(e)\omega l \psi_{n,m}(x, y, \alpha). \quad (27)$$

Strikingly, we see that the OAM projection of an LG state in the asymmetric case is actually an eigenvalue of a more complex operator, which is conserved under symmetry breaking.

To gain further insight, we first note the following equivalence

$$\hat{H}_2\psi(x, y, \alpha) = -i\omega\partial_\alpha\psi(x, y, \alpha). \quad (28)$$

and recall that $\hat{H}_3 \propto -i\partial_\varphi$. Note that both operators are generators of rotations, since φ and α are periodic. Direct evaluation of the commutators

$$[\hat{H}_i, \hat{H}_j] = 2i\omega\varepsilon_{ijk}\hat{H}_k, \quad i, j, k \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \quad (29)$$

shows the exact equivalence of the operator algebra \hat{H}_i with the $\text{SO}(3)$ algebra of pseudo angular momentum operators $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_i = \hat{H}_i/2\omega$. Here, ε_{ijk} is a totally antisymmetric Levi-Civita tensor.

The symmetric part of the Hamiltonian commutes with all three pseudo angular momentum operators

$$[\hat{H}_s, \hat{H}_i] = 0, \quad i \in \{1, 2, 3\}. \quad (30)$$

and reminds of a general Schwinger model [22] of the two-dimensional harmonic oscillator, where the full Hamiltonian consists of the isotropic part (in the present case, \hat{H}_s) and the sum of the three coupling pseudo angular momentum operators $\propto \hat{H}_{1,2,3}$.




Figure 3. Orbital Poincaré spheres of asymmetric Landau states.

Indeed, under a clockwise rotation $\hat{R}(-\varphi)$ of the XY -plane Hamiltonian, Eq.(14) is transformed as follows

$$\hat{R}\hat{H}_s\hat{R}^{-1} = \hat{H}_s, \quad (31)$$

$$\hat{R}\hat{H}_{as}\hat{R}^{-1} = -\text{sign}(e)2\omega\mathbf{n}\hat{\mathcal{L}}, \quad (32)$$

where

$$\mathbf{n}^T = (\cos 2\varphi \cos 2\alpha, \sin 2\varphi \cos 2\alpha, \sin 2\alpha) \quad (33)$$

is a unit vector in the space of three orthogonal axis that correspond to the operators $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{1,2,3}$; $(\hat{\mathcal{L}})^T \equiv \frac{1}{2\omega} (\hat{H}_1, \hat{H}_2, \hat{H}_3)$ is the vector of pseudo angular momentum operator (see Fig.3).

Thus, the most general Hamiltonian for a twisted asymmetric state has the form

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_s - \text{sign}(e)2\omega\mathbf{n}\hat{\mathcal{L}}, \quad (34)$$

and the *generalized* ALS ψ^{sch} , which is an eigenstate of the Schwinger Hamiltonian, Eq.(34), can be expressed as a simple rotation of the ALS ψ and has the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{n,m}^{sch}(x, y, \alpha) &= \hat{R}\psi_{n,m}(x, y, \alpha) = \\ \psi_{n,m}(x \cos \varphi + y \sin \varphi, -x \sin \varphi + y \cos \varphi, \alpha). \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

We recognize that the unit vector \mathbf{n} has a meaning of the *spin axis* for the ALS on the orbital Poincaré sphere [23–25]. The *spin axis* can either be directly observed in the case of LG states as the z -projection of the OAM, or completely hidden from observation, as in the case of HG states. The latter can also be seen from the mean value of \hat{L}_z given by Eq.(25).

To proceed further, we note that under a similarity transformation $\hat{R}(-\varphi)$, Eq.(27) is transformed to

$$\mathbf{n}\hat{\mathcal{L}}\psi_{n,m}^{sch}(x, y, \alpha) = m_l\psi_{n,m}^{sch}(x, y, \alpha), \quad (36)$$

and we can see that $\psi_{n,m}^{sch}(x, y, \alpha)$ is an eigenfunction of the operator of the projection of the pseudo angular momentum onto the *spin axis* with an eigenvalue equal to half the OAM values:

$$m_l = \frac{l}{2}. \quad (37)$$

We note that the coefficient $1/2$ comes from the charge of the algebra given by Eq.(58) and indicates that the pseudo angular momentum operators $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_i$ are operators of the three orthogonal projections of the pseudo spin.

Next, we recall that the $SO(3)$ algebra has a Casimir invariant, which can be calculated as the square of the modulus of the pseudo angular momentum vector

$$\hat{\mathcal{K}} = |\hat{\mathcal{L}}|^2 = \frac{1}{4\omega^2} \sum_i \hat{H}_i^2. \quad (38)$$

The Casimir operator commutes with the Hamiltonian Eq.(34), so the functions $\psi_{n,m}^{sch}(x, y, \alpha)$ are eigenfunctions of the operator $\hat{\mathcal{K}}$. Using the definitions of Eq.(51), we derive the explicit form of the Casimir operator and get (see the Supplementary Information)

$$\hat{\mathcal{K}} = \frac{\hat{H}_s^2}{4\omega^2} - \frac{1}{4}. \quad (39)$$

Note that eigenvalues of $\hat{\mathcal{K}}$ can be found by applying this operator to any equivalent set of functions that are eigenvectors of \hat{H}_s . The simplest choice is the set of HG states corresponding to a set of $\psi_{n,m}(x, y, 0)$. Evaluating the action of $\hat{\mathcal{K}}$ on $\psi_{n,m}(x, y, 0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{K}}\psi_{n,m}(x, y, 0) = \\ \frac{1}{4} [(n+m+1)^2 - 1] \psi_{n,m}(x, y, 0). \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

On the other hand, the eigenvalue of the Casimir operator can be expressed through the pseudo total angular momentum quantum number j and is equal to $j(j+1)$. With Eq.(40), we obtain

$$j = \frac{n+m}{2}, \quad (41)$$

or, if expressed through the quantum numbers of the twisted state introduced in Eq.(21),

$$j = n_r + \frac{|l|}{2}. \quad (42)$$

Consequently, the eigenvalue of the Casimir operator can be expressed as

$$j(j+1) = \left(n_r + \frac{|l|}{2}\right) \left(n_r + \frac{|l|}{2} + 1\right). \quad (43)$$

As we have j given by Eq.(42) and m_l given by Eq.(37), we can express generalized ALS through HG states with the help of Wigner functions $D_{m'_l, m_l}^j$ [26] as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{j+m_l, j-m_l}^{sch}(x, y, \alpha) = \\ \sum_{m'_l=-j}^j D_{m'_l, m_l}^j(A, B, C) \psi_{j+m'_l, j-m'_l}(x, y, 0). \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Here, A, B, C are the Euler angles defined by the Hamiltonian through the following relations [20]:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{(-i\frac{A+C}{2})} \cos \frac{B}{2} &= -\sin \varphi \cos \alpha + i \cos \varphi \sin \alpha, \\ e^{(i\frac{A-C}{2})} \sin \frac{B}{2} &= \sin \varphi \sin \alpha + i \cos \varphi \cos \alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

For example, in the case of an HLG state, $\varphi = 0$, $A = C = 0$, $B = \pi/2 - 2\alpha$, and vector $\mathbf{n}^T = (\cos 2\alpha, 0, \sin 2\alpha)$. Substituting these expressions into Eq.(35) and using the general Hamiltonian Eq.(34), we recover the definition of the function $\mathcal{G}_{n,m}(x, y|\alpha)$ and the initial Hamiltonian Eq.(14).

We note that the motion of an ALS state along the orbital Poincaré sphere is related not only to the change in α and φ , but also to the phase.

According to the results of [23] for a similar optical problem, ALS states should have a non-trivial Berry phase [27], which is proportional to the OAM projection of the ALS.

$$\Phi_B = i \oint \langle \psi_{n,m}^{sch} | \nabla_{\phi, \theta} | \psi_{n,m}^{sch} \rangle d\Gamma = -\frac{l}{2} \Omega. \quad (46)$$

Above, Ω is the solid angle enclosed by the path on the orbital Poincaré sphere, $\phi = 2\varphi$, and $\theta = \pi/2 - 2\alpha$. This fact reveals the topological nature of the OAM [24, 28], universal for both electrons and photons.

In conclusion, we should recall that the Hamiltonian given by Eq.(12) is written in normalized coordinates. Consequently, to return to the real coordinates, the inverse canonical transformation is required. This results in a stretch of the corresponding probability density, but preserves the topology and structure of the ALS.

The results reported in the present paper are directly related to the transformation of modes in quantum optics with mode converters [29, 30], but here, the underlying physics is different. In optics, the asymmetry comes from the astigmatism of the optical focusing channel and is related to the symmetry of the lenses, whereas in the Landau problem considered here, the symmetry is defined by the symmetry of the vector potential. Consequently, it is the structure of the vector potential that reveals itself in the visual pattern of the electron probability density. Moreover, if the symmetry of the vector potential is completely broken, then the OAM disappears, but it can always be recovered once the symmetry is restored.

The generalized ALS given by Eq.(35) and Eq.(44) is the most general gauge-dependent stationary solution that explicitly accounts for the symmetry of the Landau problem and continuously bridges two extreme cases of the Landau gauge and the symmetric gauge. In full analogy to the common Landau states in the symmetric gauge, which give rise to the class of nonstationary Landau states [31], generalized ALS can be extended to a class of non-stationary solutions once combined with the Ermakov mapping [32] and ideas of the quantum Arnold transformation [33].

**Supplementary materials for the
"Twisted charged particles in the uniform magnetic field with broken symmetry".**

I. DEFINITION OF THE HLG FUNCTIONS

By the definition [18, 20] HLG function $\mathcal{G}_{n,m}(x, y|\alpha)$ reads

$$\mathcal{G}_{n,m}(x, y|\alpha) = e^{-x^2-y^2} \sum_{k=0}^{n+m} i^k \cos^{n-k}(\alpha) \sin^{m-k}(\alpha) P_k^{(n-k, m-k)}(-\cos 2\alpha) H_{n+m-k}(\sqrt{2}x) H_k(\sqrt{2}y). \quad (47)$$

Here H - is the Hermite polynomial and P is the Jacobi polynomial. Normalization factor (square of the L^2 norm) for the $\mathcal{G}_{n,m}(x, y|\alpha)$ reads

$$||\mathcal{G}_{n,m}(x, y|\alpha)||^2 = \pi 2^{n+m-1} n! m!. \quad (48)$$

Consequently, normalized HLG functions $\mathcal{G}_{n,m}^N(x, y|\alpha)$ are introduced as

$$\mathcal{G}_{n,m}^N(x, y|\alpha) = \frac{\mathcal{G}_{n,m}(x, y|\alpha)}{\sqrt{\pi 2^{n+m-1} n! m!}}. \quad (49)$$

Normalised HLG functions can be defined through the Wigner $D_{m,m'}^j$ -functions [26]

$$\mathcal{G}_{j+m, j-m}^N(x, y|\alpha) = \sum_{m'=-j}^j D_{m', m}^j(0, \frac{\pi}{2} - 2\alpha, 0) \frac{(-i)^{m_e} e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{\rho_H^2}} \mathcal{H}_{j+m'}\left(\sqrt{2}\frac{x}{\rho_H}\right) \mathcal{H}_{j-m'}\left(\sqrt{2}\frac{y}{\rho_H}\right)}{\rho_H \sqrt{\pi 2^{2j-1}} (j+m')! (j-m')!}. \quad (50)$$

II. EVALUATION OF THE COMMUTATORS

In the main text the following operators were introduced

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_s &= -\frac{1}{2m_e} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right) + \frac{m_e \omega^2 (x^2 + y^2)}{2}, \\ \hat{H}_1 &= -\frac{1}{2m_e} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right) + \frac{m_e \omega^2 (x^2 - y^2)}{2}, \\ \hat{H}_2 &= -\frac{1}{m_e} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} + m_e \omega^2 xy, \\ \hat{H}_3 &= \omega \hat{L}_z = -i\omega \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

First, we evaluate commutators of the type $[\hat{H}_s, \hat{H}_i]$, with $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$:

$$[\hat{H}_s, \hat{H}_1] = -\frac{\omega^2}{4} \left(4x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + 2 - 4y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - 2 \right) + \frac{\omega^2}{4} \left(4x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + 2 - 4y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - 2 \right) = 0, \quad (52)$$

$$[\hat{H}_s, \hat{H}_2] = -\frac{\omega^2}{2} \left(2y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + 2x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{\omega^2}{2} \left(2x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + 2y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) = 0 \quad (53)$$

$$[\hat{H}_s, \hat{H}_3] = \frac{i\omega}{2m_e} \left(2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} - 2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} \right) - i\omega \frac{m_e \omega^2}{2} (-2xy + 2xy) = 0. \quad (54)$$

Next, we evaluate commutators of the type $[\hat{H}_i, \hat{H}_j]$, with $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$

$$[\hat{H}_1, \hat{H}_2] = \frac{i\omega}{2m_e} \left(2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} + 2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} \right) - i\omega \frac{m_e \omega^2}{2} (2xy + 2xy) = -2i\omega \hat{H}_2, \quad (55)$$

$$[\hat{H}_3, \hat{H}_2] = \frac{i\omega}{m_e} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right) - i\omega m_e \omega^2 (x^2 - y^2) = -2i\omega \hat{H}_1, \quad (56)$$

$$[\hat{H}_2, \hat{H}_1] = -\frac{\omega^2}{2} \left(2x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - 2y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\omega^2}{2} \left(2y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) = -2i\omega \hat{H}_3. \quad (57)$$

Combining Eq.(55), Eq.(56) and Eq.(57) we get

$$[\hat{H}_i, \hat{H}_j] = 2i\omega \varepsilon_{ijk} \hat{H}_k, \quad i, j, k \in \{1, 2, 3\}. \quad (58)$$

Where ε_{ijk} is the totally antisymmetric Levi-Civita tensor.

III. CASIMIR INVARIANT

By the definition the Casimir invariant can be calculated as

$$\hat{\mathcal{K}} = |\hat{\mathcal{L}}|^2 = \frac{1}{4\omega^2} \sum_i \hat{H}_i^2. \quad (59)$$

Below we evaluate explicitly \hat{H}_i^2 :

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_1^2 = & \frac{1}{4m_e^2} \left(\frac{\partial^4}{\partial x^4} - 2 \frac{\partial^4}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^4}{\partial y^4} \right) + \frac{m_e^2 \omega^4}{4} (x^4 - 2x^2 y^2 + y^4) - \\ & - \frac{\omega^2}{4} \left(2x^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + 4x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + 2 + 2y^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + 4y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + 2 - 2x^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} - 2y^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

$$\hat{H}_2^2 = \frac{1}{m_e^2} \frac{\partial^4}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} + \frac{m_e^2 \omega^4 x^2 y^2}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} - \omega^2 \left(\underbrace{2xy \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + 1}_{\text{terms}} \right), \quad (61)$$

$$\hat{H}_3^2 = -\omega^2 \left(\underbrace{x^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + y^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - 2xy \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y}}_{\text{terms}} \right). \quad (62)$$

Finally, we add everything up and arrive at the final expression for the Casimir invariant

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{K}} = & \frac{1}{4\omega^2} \left[\frac{1}{4m_e^2} \left(\frac{\partial^4}{\partial x^4} + 2 \frac{\partial^4}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^4}{\partial y^4} \right) + \frac{m_e^2 \omega^4}{4} (x^4 + 2x^2 y^2 + y^4) - \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{\omega^2}{4} \left(2x^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + 4x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + 2 + 2y^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + 4y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + 2 + 2x^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + 2y^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \right) - \omega^2 \right] = \\ & = \frac{1}{4\omega^2} \left[-\frac{1}{2m_e} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right) + \frac{m_e \omega^2 (x^2 + y^2)}{2} \right]^2 - \frac{1}{4} = \frac{\hat{H}_s^2}{4\omega^2} - \frac{1}{4}. \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work is funded by the Russian Science Foundation and the St. Petersburg Science Foundation, project №

22-22-20062, <https://rscf.ru/project/22-22-20062/>. The authors thank Igor Chestnov and Ivan Terekhov for useful discussions and suggestions and Lidiya Pogorelskaya for careful reading of the manuscript.

[1] E. Noether, Invariante variationsprobleme, Nachrichten von der Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen,

Mathematisch-Physikalische Klasse **1918**, 235 (1918).

- [2] K. Y. Bliokh, Y. P. Bliokh, S. Savelyev, and F. Nori, Semiclassical dynamics of electron wave packet states with phase vortices, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 190404 (2007).
- [3] K. Y. Bliokh, P. Schattschneider, J. Verbeeck, and F. Nori, Electron vortex beams in a magnetic field: a new twist on Landau levels and Aharonov-Bohm states, *Phys. Rev. X* **2**, 041011 (2012).
- [4] S. M. Barnett, Relativistic electron vortices, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 114802 (2017).
- [5] K. Bliokh, I. Ivanov, G. Guzzinati, L. Clark, R. Van Boxem, A. Béché, R. Juchtmans, M. Alonso, P. Schattschneider, F. Nori, and J. Verbeeck, Theory and applications of free-electron vortex states, *Physics Reports* **690**, 1 (2017).
- [6] R. J. Ducharme, I. G. da Paz, and A. G. Hayrapetyan, Fractional angular momenta, gouy and berry phases in relativistic bateman-hillion-gaussian beams of electrons, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 134803 (2021).
- [7] M. Uchida and A. Tonomura, Generation of electron beams carrying orbital angular momentum, *Nature* **464**, 737 (2010).
- [8] J. Verbeeck, H. Tian, and P. Schattschneider, Production and application of electron vortex beams, *Nature* **467**, 301 (2010).
- [9] B. J. McMorran, A. Agrawal, I. M. Anderson, A. A. Herzing, H. J. Lezec, J. J. McClelland, and J. Unguris, Electron vortex beams with high quanta of orbital angular momentum, *Science* **331**, 192 (2011), <https://www.science.org/doi/pdf/10.1126/science.1198804>.
- [10] V. Grillo, E. Karimi, G. C. Gazzadi, S. Frabboni, M. R. Dennis, and R. W. Boyd, Generation of nondiffracting electron bessell beams, *Phys. Rev. X* **4**, 011013 (2014).
- [11] A. H. Tavabi, P. Rosi, A. Roncaglia, E. Rotunno, M. Beleggia, P.-H. Lu, L. Belsito, G. Pozzi, S. Frabboni, P. Tiemeijer, R. E. Dunin-Borkowski, and V. Grillo, Generation of electron vortex beams with over 1000 orbital angular momentum quanta using a tunable electrostatic spiral phase plate, *Applied Physics Letters* **121**, 073506 (2022).
- [12] L. Landau, Diamagnetismus der metalle, *Zeitschrift für Physik* **64**, 629 (1930).
- [13] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Quantum Mechanics: Nonrelativistic Theory* (Butterworth-Heinemann, Burlington, Massachusetts, 1981).
- [14] L. Allen, M. Padgett, and M. Babiker, IV the orbital angular momentum of light (Elsevier, 1999) pp. 291–372.
- [15] V. V. Dodonov and M. B. Horovits, A quantum charged particle under sudden jumps of the magnetic field and shape of non-circular solenoids, *Quantum Reports* **1**, 193 (2019).
- [16] S. S. Baturin, D. V. Grosman, G. K. Sizykh, and D. V. Karlovets, Evolution of an accelerated charged vortex particle in an inhomogeneous magnetic lens, *Phys. Rev. A* **106**, 042211 (2022).
- [17] A. J. Silenko, Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation for relativistic particles in external fields, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **44**, 2952 (2003).
- [18] E. G. Abramochkin and V. G. Volostnikov, Generalized gaussian beams, *Journal of Optics A: Pure and Applied Optics* **6**, S157 (2004).
- [19] A. J. Silenko, Exact quantum-mechanical equations for particle beams, *Modern Physics Letters A* **37**, 2250097 (2022).
- [20] E. Abramochkin and V. Volostnikov, *Modern optics of Gaussian beams [In Russian]* (Moscow: “Fizmatlit”, 2010).
- [21] A. Volyar, E. Abramochkin, Y. Akimova, and M. Bretsko, Astigmatic-invariant structured singular beams, *Photonics* **9**, 10.3390/photonics9110842 (2022).
- [22] J. Schwinger, *Quantum Theory of Angular Momentum: A Collection of Reprints and Original Papers*, edited by L. Biedenharn and H. Van Dam, Perspectives in Physics: a Series of Reprint Collections (Academic Press, 1965).
- [23] G. F. Calvo, Wigner representation and geometric transformations of optical orbital angular momentum spatial modes, *Opt. Lett.* **30**, 1207 (2005).
- [24] S. J. M. Habraken and G. Nienhuis, Universal description of geometric phases in higher-order optical modes bearing orbital angular momentum, *Opt. Lett.* **35**, 3535 (2010).
- [25] C. Cisowski, J. B. Götte, and S. Franke-Arnold, Colloquium: Geometric phases of light: Insights from fiber bundle theory, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **94**, 031001 (2022).
- [26] V. K. Khersonskii, A. N. Moskalev, and D. A. Varshalovich, *Quantum Theory Of Angular Momentum* (World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, 1988).
- [27] M. V. Berry, Quantal phase factors accompanying adiabatic changes, *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences* **392**, 45 (1984).
- [28] S. J. M. Habraken and G. Nienhuis, Geometric phases in astigmatic optical modes of arbitrary order, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **51**, 082702 (2010).
- [29] M. Beijersbergen, L. Allen, H. van der Veen, and J. Woerdman, Astigmatic laser mode converters and transfer of orbital angular momentum, *Optics Communications* **96**, 123 (1993).
- [30] L. Allen, M. W. Beijersbergen, R. J. C. Spreeuw, and J. P. Woerdman, Orbital angular momentum of light and the transformation of Laguerre-Gaussian laser modes, *Phys. Rev. A* **45**, 8185 (1992).
- [31] L. Zou, P. Zhang, and A. J. Silenko, General quantum-mechanical solution for twisted electrons in a uniform magnetic field, *Phys. Rev. A* **103**, L010201 (2021).
- [32] N. V. Filina and S. S. Baturin, Unitary equivalence of twisted quantum states, *Phys. Rev. A* **108**, 012219 (2023).
- [33] V. Aldaya, F. Cossío, J. Guerrero, and F. F. López-Ruiz, The quantum Arnold transformation, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **44**, 065302 (2011).