

FLOER-TYPE BIPERSISTENCE MODULES AND RECTANGLE BARCODES

KANTA KOEDA, RYUMA ORITA, AND KANON YASHIRO

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we show that the pointwise finite-dimensional two-parameter persistence module $\mathbb{HF}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}$, defined in terms of interlevel filtered Floer homology, is rectangle-decomposable. This allows for the definition of a barcode $\mathcal{B}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}$ consisting only of *rectangles* in \mathbb{R}^2 associated with $\mathbb{HF}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}$. We observe that this rectangle barcode contains information about Usher's boundary depth and spectral invariants developed by Oh, Schwarz, and Viterbo. Moreover, we introduce a novel invariant extracted from $\mathcal{B}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}$, which proves instrumental in detecting periodic solutions of Hamiltonian systems. Additionally, we establish relevant stability results, particularly concerning the bottleneck distance and Hofer's distance.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Backgrounds on multiparameter persistence modules	2
1.2. Results	2
1.3. Organization of the paper	5
2. Multiparameter persistence modules	5
2.1. Intervals in partially ordered sets	5
2.2. Persistence modules as functors	6
2.3. Interval decomposability	7
2.4. Interleaving distance and bottleneck distance	9
3. Floer-type bipersistence modules	10
3.1. Floer-type complexes	10
3.2. One-parameter setting	11
3.3. Two-parameter setting	11
4. Main theorem	12
5. Applications in Morse Theory	14
5.1. Morse bipersistence modules	14
5.2. Rectangle barcodes	15
5.3. Examples of rectangle barcodes of Morse functions	17
5.4. Applications	20
6. Applications in Floer Theory	22
6.1. Conventions and notation	22
6.2. Hamiltonian bipersistence module for contractible orbits	24
6.3. Hamiltonian bipersistence module for non-contractible orbits	27
Acknowledgements	32
References	32

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55N31; Secondary 58E05, 57R58, 16G20.

Key words and phrases. Multiparameter persistence modules, Morse homology, Floer homology, barcodes.

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 21K13787.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Backgrounds on multiparameter persistence modules. Persistent homology is a powerful tool in Topological Data Analysis (TDA) for exploring the *shape* of data. For instance, given a compact space X and a positive function on X , we consider a filtration as shown in (1), where T is the maximum of f and $X^t = \{x \in X \mid f(x) \leq t\}$ represents the sublevel set of X .

$$(1) \quad \emptyset = X^0 \subset X^1 \subset \cdots \subset X^{T-1} \subset X^T = X.$$

This filtration leads to a sequence in homology with coefficients in a field \mathbb{F} , illustrating the concept of *persistence* of cycles in the data.

$$0 = H_*(X^0) \xrightarrow{i_{01}} H_*(X^1) \xrightarrow{i_{12}} \cdots \xrightarrow{i_{T-2, T-1}} H_*(X^{T-1}) \xrightarrow{i_{T-1, T}} H_*(X^T) = H_*(X).$$

In a broader context, given a partially ordered set P considered as a category, a P -indexed persistence module (Definition 2.5) is defined to be a functor \mathfrak{M} from P to the category $\mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{F}}$ of \mathbb{F} -vector spaces with morphisms \mathbb{F} -linear maps. When P is a totally ordered set, \mathfrak{M} is considered to be a *one-parameter* persistence module (see [Car09, Ghr08] for a survey). For example, the collection $(\{H_*(X^t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}, \{i_{tt'}\}_{t \leq t'})$ defined by the filtration (1) is a \mathbb{Z} -indexed persistence module. The Normal Form Theorem (Theorem 2.8) states that every pointwise finite-dimensional (p.f.d.) one-parameter persistence module can be decomposed into interval modules (see Example 2.6 for the definition), leading to the definition of a *barcode* which is a multiset of intervals in the totally ordered set P with multiplicity.

However, in the case of a general partially ordered set P , *not* every p.f.d. P -indexed persistence module \mathfrak{M} can be decomposed similarly (see, e.g., [BL23, Example 4.6]). Therefore, one cannot associate a multiset which consists of intervals (Definition 2.1) in the partially ordered set P to the persistence module \mathfrak{M} .

Let us consider the two-parameter setting. Namely, let P be the direct product of two totally ordered sets (T_1, \preceq_1) and (T_2, \preceq_2) . We define a partial order \preceq on $P = T_1 \times T_2$ by

$$(x_1, x_2) \preceq (y_1, y_2) \iff x_1 \preceq_1 y_1 \text{ and } x_2 \preceq_2 y_2.$$

A $(T_1 \times T_2)$ -indexed persistence module \mathfrak{M} is considered as a *two-parameter* persistence module and also called a *bipersistence* module. We call a $(T_1 \times T_2)$ -indexed persistence module \mathfrak{M} *block-decomposable* (resp. *rectangle-decomposable*) if \mathfrak{M} can be decomposed into interval modules and the associated barcode consists only of *blocks* (resp. *rectangles*) in $T_1 \times T_2$, see Definitions 2.3 and 2.4.

1.2. Results. Usher and Zhang [UZ16] introduced the notion of Floer-type complexes over a Novikov field $\Lambda^{\mathbb{F}, \Gamma}$ (see Section 3.1 for details) and studied the induced one-parameter persistence modules. More concretely, they proved that the associated barcodes completely determine the filtered chain homotopy type of the chain complex. In this paper, we investigate two-parameter persistence modules defined by Floer-type complexes. Let us state our main theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 4.1). *Let (C_*, ∂, ℓ) be a Floer-type complex over a Novikov field $\Lambda^{\mathbb{F}, \Gamma}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ a degree. Then the Floer-type bipersistence module $\mathbb{H}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(C_*)$ is weakly-exact (see Definition 2.14). Moreover, if $\Gamma = \{0\}$, then the Floer-type bipersistence module $\mathbb{H}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(C_*)$ is rectangle-decomposable.*

In the rest of the introduction, we will explain specific examples and applications.

1.2.1. Morse persistence modules. As a suitable example for the above scenario, here we mention *Morse homology* (see Section 5 for details). Let M be a closed manifold and $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a Morse function on M . The Morse homology $\mathrm{HM}_*(f)$ of f is the homology of the chain complex $(\mathrm{CM}_*(f), \partial)$ whose k -th chain group $\mathrm{CM}_k(f)$ is generated by the critical points of f of Morse index k over $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and boundary operator ∂ is the counting of negative gradient flow lines of f between critical points with relative Morse index one. Since the function f decreases along negative gradient flow lines, the boundary operator ∂ descends to a map on the subcomplex $\mathrm{CM}_*^{(-\infty, t]}(f)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, generated by the critical points p with $f(p) \leq t$. Moreover, if $t \leq t'$, then the inclusion $\mathrm{CM}_*^{(-\infty, t]}(f) \subset \mathrm{CM}_*^{(-\infty, t']}(f)$ induces a linear map $\iota_{tt'}: \mathrm{HM}_*^{(-\infty, t]}(f) \rightarrow \mathrm{HM}_*^{(-\infty, t']}(f)$. Now the collection

$$\mathbb{HM}_*^\bullet(f) = \left(\left\{ \mathrm{HM}_*^{(-\infty, t]}(f) \right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}, \{ \iota_{tt'} \}_{t \leq t'} \right)$$

is a p.f.d. \mathbb{R} -indexed persistence module. Thus we obtain the associated barcode which consists of intervals in \mathbb{R} . The barcode extracts much information such as spectral invariants, boundary depth and so on (see, e.g., an excellent book [PRSZ20] written by Polterovich, Rosen, Samvelyan, and Zhang for details). We will explore the relationship between barcodes and well-known invariants in the context of Floer Theory in Section 6.

On the other hand, of course we can also consider the quotient complex

$$\mathrm{CM}_*^{(a, b]}(f) = \mathrm{CM}_*^{(-\infty, b]}(f) / \mathrm{CM}_*^{(-\infty, a]}(f),$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a < b$, and then obtain a p.f.d. $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ -indexed persistence module $\mathbb{HM}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(f)$, see Section 3.3. Here we note that Polterovich and Shelukhin [PS16, Definition 2.1] also defined a similar notion called a *restricted two-parametric (r2p) persistence module*. Our main theorem (Theorem 1.1) establishes that the two-parameter persistence module $\mathbb{HM}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(f)$ is rectangle-decomposable, and consequently, a barcode consisting only of rectangles in \mathbb{R}^2 can be associated with $\mathbb{HM}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(f)$.

1.2.2. Hamiltonian persistence modules. As another crucial example, we will deal with *Floer homology* in Section 6. Floer homology is a powerful homological theory developed by Floer [Flo88, Flo89] to prove the Arnold conjecture, which states that the number of fixed points of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms of a closed symplectic manifold (M, ω) is bounded below by the minimum number of critical points for any smooth function on M (see, e.g., [MS17, Chapter 11]). Roughly speaking, Floer homology is an infinite-dimensional analogy of Morse homology. To be more precise, given a free homotopy class α of loops in M and a non-degenerate time-dependent Hamiltonian H , the chain group of the Floer chain complex is generated by one-periodic solutions of the Hamiltonian equation defined by H in the class α over $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. The least action principle claims that such one-periodic solutions are considered as critical points of the *action functional* which is well-defined on a certain covering of the loop space of M . Under a suitable assumption on the symplectic manifold (M, ω) , one then obtain a p.f.d. two-parameter persistence module $\mathbb{HF}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(H; \alpha)$ which is rectangle-decomposable due to our main theorem (Theorem 1.1). We call $\mathbb{HF}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(H; \alpha)$ the *Hamiltonian bipersistence module of H in the class α* . Let $\mathcal{B}_*^{(-\bullet, \bullet]}(H; \alpha)$ denote the associated rectangle barcode. In this paper, we introduce stability results (Theorems 6.6 and 6.13) and discuss its application (Proposition 6.17 and Theorem 6.19).

Given $(C_1, C_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in (0, \infty]$, we set $R(C_1, C_2; \ell_1, \ell_2)$ to be the rectangle

$$R(C_1, C_2; \ell_1, \ell_2) = [C_1 - \ell_1, C_1] \times [C_2, C_2 + \ell_2].$$

Such rectangles can be classified into the following four types (Figure 1):

- (S) $R(C_1, C_2; \infty, \infty)$ with infinite length and width.
- (B) $R(C_1, C_2; \infty, \ell_2)$ with infinite length $\ell_1 = \infty$ and finite width ℓ_2 .
- (N) $R(C_1, C_2; \ell_1, \infty)$ with infinite length $\ell_2 = \infty$ and finite width ℓ_1 .
- (R) $R(C_1, C_2; \ell_1, \ell_2)$ with finite length and width.

The initials S, B, N, and R correspond to “spectral invariant,” “boundary depth,” “non-cycle depth,” and “true rectangle,” respectively. In Section 6, we will explain its origin.




FIGURE 1. Rectangles of the four types

The following result provides a fundamental understanding of the structure of Hamiltonian biperistence modules.

Theorem 1.2 (Theorems 6.5 and 6.10). *Let (M, ω) be a symplectically aspherical symplectic manifold. Let $\alpha \in [S^1, M]$ be a free homotopy class of loops in M and H a non-degenerate Hamiltonian. If α is non-trivial, we assume that (M, ω) is symplectically atoroidal. Then for each degree $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the Hamiltonian persistence module $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{F}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(H; \alpha)$ in the class α contains the direct summand*

$$\bigoplus_{x \in \mathcal{P}_1(H; \alpha)} (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_{R(\mathcal{A}_{H, \alpha}(x), \mathcal{A}_{H, \alpha}(x); \ell_{1x}, \ell_{2x})},$$

where the constants $\ell_{1x}, \ell_{2x} \in (0, \infty]$ are uniquely determined by $x \in \mathcal{P}_1(H; \alpha)$.

Here $\mathcal{P}_1(H; \alpha)$ is the set of one-periodic orbits of H in the class α and $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_I$ is the interval module of an interval I (see Example 2.6).

Remark 1.3. Theorem 1.2 claims that every one-periodic orbit must contribute to the biperistence module $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{F}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(H; \alpha)$ as a generator. This does not apply in the one-parameter setting, as some critical points may never become cycles. Such critical points correspond to rectangles of types (N) and (R), see Figure 1. Namely, we cannot detect critical points of types (N) and (R) from the one-parameter persistence module $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{F}_k^{(-\infty, \bullet]}(H; \alpha)$. This discussion suggests that our framework is well-suited for addressing the problem of finding critical points.

Remark 1.4. Usher [Ush23] also studied the interlevel persistence and employs the concept of *filtered matched pairs* to effectively encode and align the sublevel set information. Actually he obtained similar barcodes, see [Ush23, Theorem 8.10].

At a chain complex level, Chachólski, Giunti, and Landi [CGL21, Theorem 4.2] obtained a decomposition theorem for parametrized chain complexes which are finite-dimensional in each degree and vanishing for higher degrees and whose transition morphisms are *monomorphisms* (compare with Example 2.17).

We note that rectangles of types (S) and (B) in the barcode of the Floer bipersistence module $\mathbb{HF}_*^{(-\bullet, \bullet]}(H; \alpha)$ correspond to intervals of the form $[C_2, C_2 + \ell_2)$ in the barcode of the one-parameter Floer persistence module $\mathbb{HF}_*^{(-\infty, \bullet]}(H; \alpha) = \mathbb{HF}_*^\bullet(H; \alpha)$, recall Figure 1. In Section 6, for the trivial class $\alpha = 0$ (which corresponds to the class of contractible orbits), we see that the set of the values C_2 of the rectangles of type (S) in the barcode $\mathcal{B}_*^{(-\bullet, \bullet]}(H; 0)$ coincides with the image of the *spectral invariant* [Oh05, Sch00, Vit92] of the Hamiltonian diffeomorphism φ_H defined by H . The spectral invariants enjoy many properties established by Y.-G. Oh [Oh05, Oh06] and induce other important invariants including spectral norms, Calabi quasi-morphisms, and Entov and Polterovich's partial symplectic quasi-states. The interested readers are referred to a wonderful book [PR14] written by Polterovich and Rosen, and references therein. On the other hand, the largest value of ℓ_2 among the rectangles of type (B) in the barcode $\mathcal{B}_*^{(-\bullet, \bullet]}(H; \alpha)$ is the *boundary depth* of the Hamiltonian H , introduced by Usher [Ush11], see also [Ush12, Ush13] for various applications in Symplectic Topology.

As is mentioned in Remark 1.3, as long as considering the one-parameter case only, information about critical points corresponding to rectangles of types (N) and (R) cannot be obtained. Actually, if α is non-trivial, the whole Floer homology $\mathbb{HF}_*(H; \alpha)$ vanishes (see Remark 6.8). In this case, no rectangles of type (S) appear in the barcode. The interlevel filtered Floer homology $\mathbb{HF}_*^{(a, b]}(H; \alpha)$ for a small action window $(a, b]$ was used to detect non-contractible periodic orbits [Gür13, GG16, Ori17, Ori19, Sug23]. As a sample result, we will recover Gürel's theorem [Gür13, Theorem 1.1] in the language of our rectangle barcodes as Theorem 6.19.

1.3. Organization of the paper. This paper commences with an overview of multiparameter persistence modules in Section 2, providing the necessary theoretical background. In Section 3, we define Floer-type bipersistence modules and prepare the groundwork for further discussion. In Section 4, we state and prove our main theorem (Theorem 4.1). Chapters 5 and 6 are devoted to the practical implications of our work, discussing applications within Morse Theory and Floer Theory, respectively.

2. MULTIPARAMETER PERSISTENCE MODULES

In this section, we define persistence modules indexed by elements of partially ordered sets. We mainly follow an excellent survey [BL23]. Let us fix a field \mathbb{F} .

2.1. Intervals in partially ordered sets. Let (P, \preceq) be a partially ordered set.

Definition 2.1. A non-empty subset I of P is called an *interval* if I satisfies the following conditions.

- (i) For any $x, y \in I$ and $z \in P$, the condition $x \preceq z \preceq y$ implies $z \in I$.
- (ii) For any $x, y \in I$ there exist $z_1, \dots, z_k \in I$ such that z_i and z_{i+1} are comparable for all $0 \leq i \leq k$, where $z_0 = x$ and $z_{k+1} = y$.

A multiset of intervals in P is called a *barcode* over P .

Example 2.2. Intervals in a totally ordered set T are of the forms

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y) &= \{z \in T \mid x \prec z \prec y\}, & (x, y] &= \{z \in T \mid x \prec z \preceq y\}, \\ [x, y) &= \{z \in T \mid x \preceq z \prec y\}, & [x, y] &= \{z \in T \mid x \preceq z \preceq y\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $x, y \in T \cup \{\pm\infty\}$. We call intervals of the forms $(-\infty, y]$ and $(-\infty, y)$ (resp. $[x, \infty)$ and (x, ∞)) *downsets* (resp. *upsets*).

In general, there are many types of intervals in partially ordered sets. Let T_1, \dots, T_n be totally ordered sets. We consider the product $T_1 \times \dots \times T_n$ and define a partial order \preceq on the product by

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \preceq (y_1, \dots, y_n) \iff x_i \preceq y_i \text{ for any } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Definition 2.3. A *rectangle* in the product $T_1 \times \dots \times T_n$ is an interval of the form $I_1 \times \dots \times I_n$, where I_1, \dots, I_n are intervals in T_1, \dots, T_n , respectively.

Definition 2.4. A *block* in the product $T_1 \times T_2$ is an interval which can be written as one of the following forms:

- (i) $D_1 \times D_2$ for downsets D_1 and D_2 ,
- (ii) $U_1 \times U_2$ for upsets U_1 and U_2 ,
- (iii) $I_1 \times T_2$ for an interval I_1 ,
- (iv) $T_1 \times I_2$ for an interval I_2 .

We note that every block in $T_1 \times T_2$ is a rectangle. A barcode consists only of blocks (resp. rectangles) is referred to as a *block barcode* (resp. *rectangle barcode*).

2.2. Persistence modules as functors. Every partially ordered set (P, \preceq) can be viewed as a category whose objects are the elements of P and morphisms are

$$\text{hom}_P(x, y) = \begin{cases} \{*\} & \text{if } x \preceq y, \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{F}}$ denote the category of \mathbb{F} -vector spaces and \mathbb{F} -linear maps.

Definition 2.5. Let P be a partially ordered set. A $(P\text{-indexed})$ *persistence module* is a functor $\mathfrak{M}: P \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{F}}$. A persistence module \mathfrak{M} is said to be *pointwise finite-dimensional* (p.f.d.) if each \mathbb{F} -vector space \mathfrak{M}_x , $x \in P$, is finite-dimensional.

Let \mathbf{Pmod}_P denote the abelian category of P -indexed persistence modules with morphisms the natural transformations. Actually, we can consider the direct sum $\mathfrak{M}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{M}_2$ of persistence modules \mathfrak{M}_1 and \mathfrak{M}_2 by considering pointwise:

$$(\mathfrak{M}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{M}_2)_x = (\mathfrak{M}_1)_x \oplus (\mathfrak{M}_2)_x, \quad x \in P.$$

A persistence module \mathfrak{M} is said to be *indecomposable* if $\mathfrak{M}' = 0$ or $\mathfrak{M}'' = 0$ whenever \mathfrak{M} admits a decomposition $\mathfrak{M} \cong \mathfrak{M}' \oplus \mathfrak{M}''$.

Example 2.6. Let I be an interval in a partially ordered set P . We define a persistence module $\mathbb{F}_I: P \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{F}}$ by

$$(\mathbb{F}_I)_x = \begin{cases} \mathbb{F} & \text{if } x \in I, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{hom}_{\mathbb{F}_I}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \text{id}_{\mathbb{F}} & \text{if } x, y \in I \text{ with } x \preceq y, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We call \mathbb{F}_I the *interval module*. According to [BL18, Proposition 2.2], \mathbb{F}_I is indecomposable.

Example 2.7. Let T_1, \dots, T_n be totally ordered sets. A $(T_1 \times \dots \times T_n)$ -indexed persistence module is called a *multiparameter* or an *n-parameter persistence module*. Moreover, we also call a two-parameter persistence module a *bipersistence module*. A bipersistence module $\mathfrak{M}: T_1 \times T_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{F}}$ induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{M}_{(a,b')} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{M}_{(a',b')} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathfrak{M}_{(a,b)} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{M}_{(a',b)} \end{array}$$

whenever $a, a' \in T_1$ and $b, b' \in T_2$ satisfy $a \preceq a'$ and $b \preceq b'$.

2.3. Interval decomposability. One can associate a barcode to *every* p.f.d. one-parameter persistence module due to the celebrated Normal Form Theorem ([BCB20, Theorem 1.2] and [CB15]):

Theorem 2.8 (Normal Form Theorem). *Let T be a totally ordered set and \mathfrak{M} a p.f.d. T -indexed persistence module. Then there exists a unique multiset $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{M})$ of intervals in T such that*

$$\mathfrak{M} \cong \bigoplus_{I \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{M})} \mathbb{F}_I.$$

We call $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{M})$ the *barcode* of \mathfrak{M} . However, for a general partially ordered set P , not every P -indexed persistence module admits the decomposition into interval modules. Instead, we can decompose them into *indecomposable* persistence modules.

Theorem 2.9 ([BCB20, Theorem 1.1] and [GR92, CB15]). *Let P be a partially ordered set and \mathfrak{M} a p.f.d. P -indexed persistence module. Then there exists a family $\{\mathfrak{M}^\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ of indecomposable persistence modules such that*

$$(2) \quad \mathfrak{M} \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} \mathfrak{M}^\alpha.$$

Moreover, the decomposition (2) in Theorem 2.9 is unique up to isomorphisms due to the Azumaya–Krull–Remak–Schmidt theorem [Azu50].

Theorem 2.10 ([Azu50, Theorem 1]). *Let P be a partially ordered set and \mathfrak{M} a P -indexed persistence module. Assume that \mathfrak{M} admits two decompositions into indecomposable summands:*

$$\mathfrak{M} \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} \mathfrak{M}^\alpha \cong \bigoplus_{\beta \in B} \mathfrak{M}^\beta.$$

Then there exists a bijection $\sigma: A \rightarrow B$ such that $\mathfrak{M}^\alpha \cong \mathfrak{M}^{\sigma(\alpha)}$ for any $\alpha \in A$.

One may consider defining the *barcode* of a p.f.d. P -indexed persistence module to be the family $\{\mathfrak{M}^\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ of indecomposable modules. However it is quite complicated to deal with.

Definition 2.11. Let P be a partially ordered set. A P -indexed persistence module \mathfrak{M} is called *interval-decomposable* if there exists a multiset $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{M})$ of intervals in P such that

$$\mathfrak{M} \cong \bigoplus_{I \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{M})} \mathbb{F}_I.$$

Due to Theorem 2.10, we can define the *barcode* of an interval-decomposable persistence module \mathfrak{M} to be $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{M})$.

Remark 2.12. As pointed out in [BL23, Example 4.6], not every P -indexed persistence module \mathfrak{M} has a *good* barcode \mathcal{B} in the sense that

$$\text{rank}(\mathfrak{M}_x \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_y) = \#\{S \in \mathcal{B} \mid x, y \in S\} \quad \text{whenever } x \preceq y \in P.$$

Accordingly, instead of sticking to good barcodes, many authors suggest other practical invariants such as the Hilbert function, the (generalized) rank invariant, the multi-graded Betti numbers, the fibered barcode, and so on. We refer the reader to [BL23, Section 4.2] and references therein.

We introduce some important classes of persistence modules which admit good barcodes.

Definition 2.13. Let T_1, \dots, T_n be totally ordered sets.

- (i) A $(T_1 \times T_2)$ -indexed persistence module \mathfrak{M} is said to be *block-decomposable* if \mathfrak{M} is interval-decomposable and all intervals in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{M})$ are blocks.
- (ii) A $(T_1 \times \cdots \times T_n)$ -indexed persistence module \mathfrak{M} is said to be *rectangle-decomposable* if \mathfrak{M} is interval-decomposable and all intervals in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{M})$ are rectangles.

From now on, we focus on the case $n = 2$. By definition, all block-decomposable persistence modules are rectangle-decomposable. These properties have equivalent formulations based on local conditions. Let $P \subset T_1 \times T_2$ be a partially ordered set and \mathfrak{M} a P -indexed persistence module. We choose $(a, b), (a, b'), (a', b), (a', b') \in P$ satisfying $a \preceq a'$ and $b \preceq b'$. Then we have the following commutative diagram (compare Example 2.7):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{M}_{(a,b')} & \xrightarrow{f_{aa'}^{b'}} & \mathfrak{M}_{(a',b')} \\ g_{bb'}^a \uparrow & & \uparrow g_{bb'}^{a'} \\ \mathfrak{M}_{(a,b)} & \xrightarrow{f_{aa'}^b} & \mathfrak{M}_{(a',b)} \end{array}$$

Definition 2.14. Let $P \subset T_1 \times T_2$ be a partially ordered set and \mathfrak{M} a P -indexed persistence module.

- (i) \mathfrak{M} is called *middle-exact* [BCB20, CO20, CdSM09, CdS10] if the sequence

$$\mathfrak{M}_{(a,b)} \xrightarrow{(g_{bb'}^a, f_{aa'}^b)} \mathfrak{M}_{(a,b')} \oplus \mathfrak{M}_{(a',b)} \xrightarrow{f_{aa'}^{b'} - g_{bb'}^{a'}} \mathfrak{M}_{(a',b')}$$

is exact whenever $(a, b), (a, b'), (a', b), (a', b') \in P$ satisfy $a \preceq a'$ and $b \preceq b'$.

- (ii) \mathfrak{M} is called *weakly-exact* [BLO22, BLO23] if for any $(a, b), (a, b'), (a', b), (a', b') \in P$ satisfying $a \preceq a'$ and $b \preceq b'$,

$$\text{Im}(\mathfrak{M}_{(a,b)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{(a',b')}) = \text{Im}(\mathfrak{M}_{(a,b')} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{(a',b')}) \cap \text{Im}(\mathfrak{M}_{(a',b)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{(a',b')}),$$

$$\text{Ker}(\mathfrak{M}_{(a,b)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{(a',b')}) = \text{Ker}(\mathfrak{M}_{(a,b)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{(a',b)}) + \text{Ker}(\mathfrak{M}_{(a,b)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{(a',b)}).$$

One can check that the middle-exactness implies the weakly-exactness. The middle-exactness and the weakly-exactness provide equivalent conditions for bipersistence modules to be block-decomposable and rectangle-decomposable, respectively.

Theorem 2.15 ([BCB20, Theorem 1.3]). *A p.f.d. $(T_1 \times T_2)$ -indexed persistence module is middle-exact if and only if it is block-decomposable.*

Let T be a totally ordered set. A subset S of T is *coinital* if for any $t \in T$ there exists $s \in S$ such that $s \preceq t$. For example, given an interval I in \mathbb{R} , the countable subset $(I \cap \mathbb{Q}) \cup \min I$ is coinital.

Theorem 2.16 ([BLO23, Theorem 2.11]). *Assume that every interval in T_1 or T_2 admits a countable coinital subset. Then a p.f.d. $(T_1 \times T_2)$ -indexed persistence module is weakly-exact if and only if it is rectangle-decomposable.*

We provide an example of a middle-exact persistence module.

Example 2.17 (Interlevel persistent homology). Let $\mathbb{R}^{\text{op}} = (\mathbb{R}, \preceq)$ denote the opposite set of the usual (\mathbb{R}, \leq) . Namely, $a \preceq a'$ if and only if $a \geq a'$. We define $U = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{\text{op}} \times \mathbb{R} \mid a < b\}$. Let X be a topological space and $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a continuous function. Given $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, let $X^{[a,b]} = f^{-1}([a, b])$ denote an *interlevel set* of f . We fix $(a, b), (a, b'), (a', b), (a', b') \in U$. If $a \leq a'$ and $b \leq b'$, then we have

the following commutative diagram whose maps are inclusions:

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X^{[a,b']} & \xleftarrow{j_2} & X^{[a',b']} \\ j_1 \uparrow & & \uparrow i_2 \\ X^{[a,b]} & \xleftarrow{i_1} & X^{[a',b]} \end{array}$$

Moreover, $X^{[a,b']} = X^{[a,b]} \cup X^{[a',b']}$ and $X^{[a',b]} = X^{[a,b]} \cap X^{[a',b']}$. The diagram (3) induces the following commutative diagram in homology:

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H_*(X^{[a,b']}) & \xleftarrow{j_{2*}} & H_*(X^{[a',b']}) \\ j_{1*} \uparrow & & \uparrow i_{2*} \\ H_*(X^{[a,b]}) & \xleftarrow{i_{1*}} & H_*(X^{[a',b]}) \end{array}$$

Therefore, the collection $\{H_*(X^{[a,b]})\}_{(a,b) \in U}$ with morphisms induced by inclusions is a bipersistence module. Now the Mayer–Vietoris exact sequence

$$(5) \quad \dots \rightarrow H_*(X^{[a',b]}) \xrightarrow{(i_{1*}, i_{2*})} H_*(X^{[a,b]}) \oplus H_*(X^{[a',b']}) \xrightarrow{j_{1*} - j_{2*}} H_*(X^{[a,b']}) \rightarrow \dots$$

implies that this bipersistence module is middle-exact. We refer the reader to [BL23, Section 10.2] for a further discussion.

2.4. Interleaving distance and bottleneck distance. We define metrics on \mathbb{R}^n -indexed persistence modules and on barcodes over \mathbb{R}^n , and introduce some stability theorems. Let \mathfrak{M} be an \mathbb{R}^n -indexed persistence module. Given $u \in [0, \infty)^n$, the u -shift of \mathfrak{M} is the \mathbb{R}^n -indexed persistence module $\mathfrak{M}[u]$ with objects $(\mathfrak{M}[u])_x = \mathfrak{M}_{x+u}$ and morphisms

$$\text{hom}_{\mathfrak{M}[u]}(x, y) = \text{hom}_{\mathfrak{M}}(x + u, y + u).$$

Let $\Phi_{\mathfrak{M}}^u: \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}[u]$ be the morphism whose restriction to each \mathfrak{M}_x coincides with the \mathbb{F} -linear map $\text{hom}_{\mathfrak{M}}(x, x + u): \mathfrak{M}_x \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{x+u}$. For $\delta \in [0, \infty)$, we write $\vec{\delta} = (\delta, \dots, \delta) \in [0, \infty)^n$.

Definition 2.18. Let $\delta \in [0, \infty)$. We say that two \mathbb{R}^n -indexed persistence modules \mathfrak{M} and \mathfrak{N} are δ -interleaved if there exist morphisms $F: \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}[\vec{\delta}]$ and $G: \mathfrak{N} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}[\vec{\delta}]$ such that the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{M} & \xrightarrow{F} \mathfrak{N}[\vec{\delta}] & \xrightarrow{G[\vec{\delta}]} \mathfrak{M}[2\vec{\delta}] \\ & \searrow \Phi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{2\vec{\delta}} & \\ \mathfrak{N} & \xrightarrow{G} \mathfrak{M}[\vec{\delta}] & \xrightarrow{F[\vec{\delta}]} \mathfrak{N}[2\vec{\delta}] \\ & \searrow \Phi_{\mathfrak{N}}^{2\vec{\delta}} & \end{array}$$

The *interleaving distance* between \mathfrak{M} and \mathfrak{N} is defined to be

$$d_{\text{int}}(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N}) = \inf\{\delta \geq 0 \mid \mathfrak{M} \text{ and } \mathfrak{N} \text{ are } \delta\text{-interleaved}\}.$$

Now we define the bottleneck distance on barcodes over \mathbb{R}^n . A *matching* $\mu: X \rightarrow Y$ between two multisets X and Y is a bijection $\mu: X' \rightarrow Y'$, where $X' \subset X$ and $Y' \subset Y$. We write $\text{Coim } \mu = X'$ and $\text{Im } \mu = Y'$. Let $\delta \in [0, \infty)$. We call two intervals I and J in \mathbb{R}^n are δ -interleaved if the corresponding interval modules \mathbb{F}_I and \mathbb{F}_J are δ -interleaved. Moreover, we call an interval I in \mathbb{R}^n is δ -trivial if for any $x \in I$ we have $x + \vec{\delta} \notin I$. Given a barcode \mathcal{B} over \mathbb{R}^n , let $\mathcal{B}_{\delta} \subset \mathcal{B}$ denote the multiset of intervals in \mathcal{B} which are not δ -trivial.

Definition 2.19. A δ -matching between two barcodes \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} is defined to be a matching $\mu: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $\mathcal{B}_{2\delta} \subset \text{Coim } \mu$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{C}_{2\delta} \subset \text{Im } \mu$.
- (iii) If $\mu(I) = J$, then I and J are δ -interleaved.

The *bottleneck distance* between \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} is defined to be

$$d_{\text{bot}}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}) = \inf\{\delta \geq 0 \mid \text{there exists a } \delta\text{-matching between } \mathcal{B} \text{ and } \mathcal{C}\}.$$

For p.f.d. one-parameter persistence modules, the interleaving distance and the bottleneck distance coincide. This is the celebrated *isometry theorem* ($d_{\text{int}} = d_{\text{bot}}$).

Theorem 2.20 ([CSEH07, CCSG⁺09, CdSGO16, BL15, BL20, Bje21]). *For any p.f.d. \mathbb{R} -indexed persistence modules \mathfrak{M} and \mathfrak{N} , we have*

$$d_{\text{int}}(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N}) = d_{\text{bot}}(\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{M}), \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{N})).$$

Even in the multiparameter setting, we still have the *converse algebraic stability theorem* ($d_{\text{int}} \leq d_{\text{bot}}$):

Proposition 2.21 ([BL18, Proposition 2.13]). *For any interval-decomposable \mathbb{R}^n -indexed persistence modules \mathfrak{M} and \mathfrak{N} , we have*

$$d_{\text{int}}(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N}) \leq d_{\text{bot}}(\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{M}), \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{N})).$$

Unfortunately, it is known that the *algebraic stability theorem* ($d_{\text{int}} \geq d_{\text{bot}}$) does not hold in general. However, we have the following estimates due to Bjerkevik [Bje21], see also [BL18, BOOS24].

Theorem 2.22 ([Bje21, Theorems 4.3 and 4.18]). *Let \mathfrak{M} and \mathfrak{N} be p.f.d. rectangle-decomposable \mathbb{R}^n -indexed persistence modules. Then we have*

$$d_{\text{bot}}(\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{M}), \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{N})) \leq (2n - 1) d_{\text{int}}(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N}),$$

and the bound is optimal for $n = 2$. Moreover, if \mathfrak{M} and \mathfrak{N} are block-decomposable (in particular, $n = 2$), then

$$d_{\text{bot}}(\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{M}), \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{N})) \leq d_{\text{int}}(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N}).$$

Therefore, every p.f.d. block-decomposable persistence module satisfies the isometry theorem ($d_{\text{int}} = d_{\text{bot}}$).

3. FLOER-TYPE BIPERSISTENCE MODULES

In this section, we introduce Floer-type bipersistence modules defined in terms of interlevel filtered Floer-type homology. Let us fix a ground field \mathbb{F} and an additive subgroup $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}$.

3.1. Floer-type complexes. We define Floer-type complexes formulated by Usher and Zhang [UZ16]. We mainly follow their paper [UZ16] and notation. The *Novikov field* is defined to be

$$\Lambda^{\mathbb{F}, \Gamma} = \left\{ \lambda = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a_{\gamma} s^{\gamma} \mid \begin{array}{l} a_{\gamma} \in \mathbb{F} \text{ and for any } C \in \mathbb{R} \\ \#\{\gamma \mid a_{\gamma} \neq 0, \gamma < C\} < \infty \end{array} \right\},$$

where s is a formal symbol. We note that $\Lambda^{\mathbb{F}, \{0\}} = \mathbb{F}$ if Γ is trivial.

Let $\nu: \Lambda^{\mathbb{F}, \Gamma} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be the function defined by $\nu(\lambda) = \min\{\gamma \mid a_{\gamma} \neq 0\}$, where $\lambda = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a_{\gamma} s^{\gamma}$. Then ν is a *valuation* on the field $\Lambda^{\mathbb{F}, \Gamma}$ in the sense that ν satisfies the following axioms (see [UZ16, Definition 2.1]):

- (i) $\nu(\lambda) = \infty$ if and only if $\lambda = 0$,
- (ii) $\nu(\lambda_1 \lambda_2) = \nu(\lambda_1) + \nu(\lambda_2)$ for any $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda^{\mathbb{F}, \Gamma}$,
- (iii) $\nu(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \geq \min\{\nu(\lambda_1), \nu(\lambda_2)\}$ for any $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda^{\mathbb{F}, \Gamma}$.

Definition 3.1 ([UZ16, Definition 2.2]). A *non-archimedean normed vector space* over $\Lambda^{\mathbb{F}, \Gamma}$ is a pair (C, ℓ) which consists of a vector space C over $\Lambda^{\mathbb{F}, \Gamma}$ equipped with a function $\ell: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $\ell(x) = -\infty$ if and only if $x = 0$,
- (ii) $\ell(\lambda x) = \ell(x) - \nu(\lambda)$ for any $\lambda \in \Lambda^{\mathbb{F}, \Gamma}$ and $x \in C$,
- (iii) $\ell(x + y) \leq \max\{\ell(x), \ell(y)\}$ for any $x, y \in C$.

Definition 3.2 ([UZ16, Definition 2.10]). A finite-dimensional non-archimedean normed vector space (C, ℓ) over $\Lambda^{\mathbb{F}, \Gamma}$ is called *orthogonalizable* if C admits a basis (w_1, \dots, w_n) which is *orthogonal* in the sense that

$$\ell\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\dim C} \lambda_i w_i\right) = \max_{i=1, \dots, \dim C} (\ell(w_i) - \nu(\lambda_i)).$$

Now we define Floer-type complexes.

Definition 3.3 ([UZ16, Definition 4.1]). A Floer-type complex (C_*, ∂, ℓ) over a Novikov field $\Lambda^{\mathbb{F}, \Gamma}$ is a chain complex $(C_* = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} C_k, \partial)$ over $\Lambda^{\mathbb{F}, \Gamma}$ equipped with a function (called a *filtration function*) $\ell: C_* \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ such that each $(C_k, \ell|_{C_k})$ is orthogonalizable, and for any $x \in C_k$ we have $\partial x \in C_{k-1}$ with $\ell(\partial x) \leq \ell(x)$.

In Sections 5 and 6, we will deal with concrete examples of Floer-type complexes.

3.2. One-parameter setting. Let (C_*, ∂, ℓ) be a Floer-type complex over a Novikov field $\Lambda^{\mathbb{F}, \Gamma}$. For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, let us define

$$C_k^t = \{x \in C_k \mid \ell(x) \leq t\}.$$

Since $\ell(\partial x) \leq \ell(x)$, we obtain a subcomplex (C_*^t, ∂) . We call its k -th homology $H_k^t = H_k(C_*^t)$ the *k -th sublevel filtered Floer-type homology*. If $t \leq t'$, then the inclusion $C_k^t \hookrightarrow C_k^{t'}$ induces a homomorphism $\iota_{tt'}: H_k^t \rightarrow H_k^{t'}$. Moreover, $\iota_{tt''} = \iota_{t't''} \circ \iota_{tt'}$ whenever $t \leq t' \leq t''$. Therefore, given a degree $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the pair $(\{H_k^t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}, \{\iota_{tt'}\}_{t \leq t'})$ is an \mathbb{R} -indexed parameter persistence module.

Definition 3.4. Given a Floer-type complex (C_*, ∂, ℓ) over $\Lambda^{\mathbb{F}, \Gamma}$ and a degree $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the one-parameter persistence module $(\{H_k^t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}, \{\iota_{tt'}\}_{t \leq t'})$ is called the *Floer-type persistence module in degree k* , denoted by $\mathbb{H}_k^\bullet(C_*, \partial, \ell)$, or $\mathbb{H}_k^\bullet(C_*)$ shortly.

If $\mathbb{H}_k^\bullet(C_*, \partial, \ell)$ is p.f.d., then the Normal Form Theorem (Theorem 2.8) enables us to define the barcode of $\mathbb{H}_k^\bullet(C_*, \partial, \ell)$ denoted by $\mathcal{B}_k^\bullet(C_*) = \mathcal{B}_k^\bullet(C_*, \partial, \ell)$.

Remark 3.5. Usher and Zhang [UZ16] proved that the barcodes $\mathcal{B}_*^\bullet(C_*)$ completely determine the filtered chain homotopy type of the chain complex. Moreover, even in cases where Γ is non-trivial, they defined two kinds of barcodes called the *concise* barcode and the *verbose* barcode (see [UZ16, Definition 6.3]). They examined these barcodes using a non-Archimedean *singular value decomposition* for the boundary operator ∂ and derived various applications.

3.3. Two-parameter setting. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. If $a \leq b$, then we can define a quotient complex

$$C_*^{(a,b]} = C_*^b / C_*^a = \frac{\{x \in C_* \mid \ell(x) \leq b\}}{\{x \in C_* \mid \ell(x) \leq a\}}.$$

We call its k -th homology $H_k^{(a,b]} = H_k(C_*^{(a,b]})$ the *k -th interlevel filtered Floer-type homology*, and the interval $(a, b]$ is referred to as an *action window*. If $a > b$, we set $C_*^{(a,b]} = 0$ and $H_*^{(a,b]} = 0$.

Choose $b' \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $b \leq b'$. Then the inclusion $C_k^b \hookrightarrow C_k^{b'}$ descends to $C_k^{(a,b]} \rightarrow C_k^{(a,b']}$, which induces a homomorphism in homology

$$\iota_{bb'}^a : H_k^{(a,b]} \rightarrow H_k^{(a,b']}.$$

Choose $a' \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a \leq a'$. If $a' \leq b$, then the quotient map $C_k^b \twoheadrightarrow C_k^b / C_k^{a'} = C_k^{(a',b]}$ descends to $C_k^{(a,b]} \rightarrow C_k^{(a',b]}$ since $\partial(C_k^a) \subset C_k^a \subset C_k^{a'}$. This induces a homomorphism

$$\pi_{aa'}^b : H_k^{(a,b]} \rightarrow H_k^{(a',b]}.$$

If $a' > b$, we set $\pi_{aa'}^b = 0$. As a summary, we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$(6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H_k^{(a,b']} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{aa'}^{b'}} & H_k^{(a',b']} \\ \iota_{bb'}^a \uparrow & & \uparrow \iota_{bb'}^{a'} \\ H_k^{(a,b]} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{aa'}^b} & H_k^{(a',b]} \end{array}$$

It implies that the triple $(\{H_k^{(a,b]}\}_{(a,b) \in \mathbb{R}^2}, \{\iota_{bb'}^a\}_{a \in \mathbb{R}, b \leq b'}, \{\pi_{aa'}^b\}_{b \in \mathbb{R}, a \leq a'})$ is an $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ -indexed persistence module.

Definition 3.6. Given a Floer-type complex (C_*, ∂, ℓ) over $\Lambda^{\mathbb{F}, \Gamma}$ and a degree $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the bipersistence module

$$\left(\{H_k^{(a,b]}\}_{(a,b) \in \mathbb{R}^2}, \{\iota_{bb'}^a\}_{a \in \mathbb{R}, b \leq b'}, \{\pi_{aa'}^b\}_{b \in \mathbb{R}, a \leq a'} \right)$$

is called the *Floer-type bipersistence module in degree k* , denoted by $\mathbb{H}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(C_*, \partial, \ell)$, or $\mathbb{H}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(C_*)$ shortly.

Moreover, the short exact sequence

$$(7) \quad 0 \rightarrow C_k^{(a,a']} \xrightarrow{\iota_{a'b}^a} C_k^{(a,b]} \xrightarrow{\pi_{aa'}^b} C_k^{(a',b]} \rightarrow 0$$

induces the long exact sequence

$$(8) \quad \dots \rightarrow H_k^{(a,a']} \xrightarrow{\iota_{a'b}^a} H_k^{(a,b]} \xrightarrow{\pi_{aa'}^b} H_k^{(a',b]} \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{k-1}^{(a,a']} \rightarrow \dots$$

4. MAIN THEOREM

In this section, we prove our main theorem (Theorem 4.1) and introduce a stability result (Corollary 4.2). Let \mathbb{F} be a field and $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}$ an additive subgroup.

Theorem 4.1. *Let (C_*, ∂, ℓ) be a Floer-type complex over the Novikov field $\Lambda^{\mathbb{F}, \Gamma}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ a degree. Then the Floer-type bipersistence module $\mathbb{H}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(C_*)$ is weakly-exact. Moreover, if $\Gamma = \{0\}$, then the Floer-type bipersistence module $\mathbb{H}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(C_*)$ is rectangle-decomposable.*

Proof. For any $a, a', b, b' \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $a \leq a'$ and $b \leq b'$, we need to show that

- (i) $\text{Im} \left(H_k^{(a,b]} \rightarrow H_k^{(a',b']} \right) = \text{Im} \left(H_k^{(a,b]} \rightarrow H_k^{(a',b']} \right) \cap \text{Im} \left(H_k^{(a',b]} \rightarrow H_k^{(a',b']} \right),$
- (ii) $\text{Ker} \left(H_k^{(a,b]} \rightarrow H_k^{(a',b']} \right) = \text{Ker} \left(H_k^{(a,b]} \rightarrow H_k^{(a,b]} \right) + \text{Ker} \left(H_k^{(a,b]} \rightarrow H_k^{(a',b]} \right),$

in the “rectangle” (6)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_k^{(a,b']} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{aa'}^{b'}} & H_k^{(a',b']} \\ \iota_{bb'}^a \uparrow & & \uparrow \iota_{bb'}^{a'} \\ H_k^{(a,b]} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{aa'}^b} & H_k^{(a',b]}. \end{array}$$

Let us start by handling with the trivial cases. We assume that $a' \geq b$. Since $(a', b] = \emptyset$, the bottom-right corner of the rectangle is zero. Therefore, (i) is equivalent to

$$\text{Im} \left(H_k^{(a,b]} \xrightarrow{0} H_k^{(a',b']} \right) = \text{Im} \left(H_k^{(a,b']} \rightarrow H_k^{(a',b']} \right) \cap \text{Im} \left(0 \rightarrow H_k^{(a',b']} \right),$$

which actually holds.

If $(a' \geq) a \geq b$, then the bottom-left corner of the rectangle also vanishes. Hence (ii) holds:

$$\text{Ker} \left(0 \rightarrow H_k^{(a',b']} \right) = \text{Ker} \left(0 \rightarrow H_k^{(a,b']} \right) + \text{Ker} \left(0 \rightarrow H_k^{(a',b]} \right).$$

On the other hand, if $a' \geq b'(\geq b)$, then the top-right corner of the rectangle vanishes. In this case (ii) means that

$$\text{Ker} \left(H_k^{(a,b]} \xrightarrow{0} H_k^{(a',b']} \right) = \text{Ker} \left(H_k^{(a,b]} \rightarrow H_k^{(a,b]} \right) + \text{Ker} \left(H_k^{(a,b]} \rightarrow 0 \right),$$

which is also true.

Now we deal with the general case $a \leq a' < b \leq b'$. We consider the following commutative diagram, whose rows are short exact sequences (compare (7)).

$$(9) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & C_k^{(a,a']} & \xrightarrow{\iota_{a'b'}^a} & C_k^{(a,b']} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{aa'}^{b'}} & C_k^{(a',b']} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \uparrow \iota_{bb'}^a & & \uparrow \iota_{bb'}^{a'} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C_k^{(a,a']} & \xrightarrow{\iota_{a'b}^a} & C_k^{(a,b]} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{aa'}^b} & C_k^{(a',b]} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Then we obtain the following commutative diagram, whose rows are induced long exact sequences (compare (8)).

$$(10) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & H_k^{(a,a']} & \xrightarrow{\iota_{a'b'}^a} & H_k^{(a,b']} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{aa'}^{b'}} & H_k^{(a',b']} \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{k-1}^{(a,a']} \longrightarrow \dots \\ & & \parallel & & \uparrow \iota_{bb'}^a & & \uparrow \iota_{bb'}^{a'} \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & H_k^{(a,a']} & \xrightarrow{\iota_{a'b}^a} & H_k^{(a,b]} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{aa'}^b} & H_k^{(a',b]} \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{k-1}^{(a,a']} \longrightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

To show (i), let

$$\alpha \in \text{Im} \left(H_k^{(a,b']} \rightarrow H_k^{(a',b']} \right) \cap \text{Im} \left(H_k^{(a',b]} \rightarrow H_{k-1}^{(a',b']} \right).$$

Then there exists $\beta \in H_k^{(a',b]}$ such that $\iota_{bb'}^{a'}(\beta) = \alpha$. Since $\alpha \in \text{Im} \pi_{aa'}^{b'} = \text{Ker} \partial$, we obtain $\partial(\beta) = \partial(\iota_{bb'}^{a'}(\beta)) = \partial(\alpha) = 0$ by the commutativity of the right rectangle in the diagram. Thus $\beta \in \text{Ker} \partial = \text{Im} \pi_{aa'}^b$, which implies that one can find $\gamma \in H_k^{(a,b]}$ such that $\pi_{aa'}^b(\gamma) = \beta$. Therefore, $\alpha = \iota_{bb'}^{a'}(\beta) = \iota_{bb'}^{a'}(\pi_{aa'}^b(\gamma))$. It means that $\alpha \in \text{Im} \left(H_k^{(a,b]} \rightarrow H_k^{(a',b']} \right)$. The opposite implication is obvious.

Now we show (ii). let $\alpha \in \text{Ker} \left(H_k^{(a,b]} \rightarrow H_k^{(a',b']} \right)$. Then $\iota_{bb'}^a(\alpha) \in \text{Ker} \pi_{aa'}^{b'} = \text{Im} \iota_{a'b'}^a$, which implies that one can get $\beta \in H_k^{(a,a']}$ such that $\iota_{a'b'}^a(\beta) = \iota_{bb'}^a(\alpha)$. We then deduce that $\iota_{a'b}^a(\beta) \in \text{Ker} \pi_{aa'}^b$ by the exactness. Now consider the element $\alpha - \iota_{a'b}^a(\beta) \in H_k^{(a,b]}$. By the commutativity of the left rectangle in the diagram,

$$\iota_{bb'}^a(\alpha - \iota_{a'b}^a(\beta)) = \iota_{bb'}^a(\alpha) - \iota_{bb'}^a(\iota_{a'b}^a(\beta)) = \iota_{bb'}^a(\alpha) - \iota_{a'b'}^a(\beta) = 0,$$

which means that $\alpha - \iota_{a'b}^a(\beta) \in \text{Ker} \iota_{bb'}^a$. Therefore, we conclude that

$$\alpha = (\alpha - \iota_{a'b}^a(\beta)) + \iota_{a'b}^a(\beta) \in \text{Ker} \left(H_k^{(a,b]} \rightarrow H_k^{(a,b']} \right) + \text{Ker} \left(H_k^{(a,b]} \rightarrow H_*^{(a',b]} \right).$$

To show the opposite direction, we choose $\alpha + \alpha' \in \text{Ker} \iota_{bb'}^a + \text{Ker} \pi_{aa'}^b$, where $\alpha \in \text{Ker} \iota_{bb'}^a$ and $\alpha' \in \text{Ker} \pi_{aa'}^b$. By the exactness on $H_k^{(a,b]}$, there exists $\beta \in H_k^{(a,a']}$ such that $\iota_{a'b}^a(\beta) = \alpha'$. By the commutativity of the left rectangle in the diagram,

$$\iota_{bb'}^a(\alpha + \alpha') = \iota_{bb'}^a(\alpha) + \iota_{bb'}^a(\alpha') = 0 + \iota_{bb'}^a(\iota_{a'b}^a(\beta)) = \iota_{a'b'}^a(\beta).$$

Therefore, by the exactness,

$$\pi_{aa'}^{b'}(\iota_{bb'}^a(\alpha + \alpha')) = \pi_{aa'}^{b'}(\iota_{a'b'}^a(\beta)) = 0.$$

It means that

$$\alpha + \alpha' \in \text{Ker} \left(H_k^{(a,b]} \rightarrow H_k^{(a',b']} \right).$$

Hence the Floer-type biperistence module $\mathbb{H}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(C_*)$ is weakly-exact.

If Γ is trivial, then the Novikov field $\Lambda^{\mathbb{F}, \{0\}}$ coincides with the ground field \mathbb{F} . In this case, each interlevel filtered Floer-type homology $H_k^{(a,b]}$ is finite-dimensional over \mathbb{F} . Therefore, Theorem 2.16 implies that the p.f.d. weakly-exact biperistence module $\mathbb{H}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(C_*)$ is rectangle-decomposable. \square

We assume that $\Gamma = \{0\}$. Let us fix a degree $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Due to Theorem 4.1, one can associate a rectangle barcode $\mathcal{B}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(C_*) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{H}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(C_*))$ to the p.f.d. Floer-type biperistence module $\mathbb{H}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(C_*)$. Applying Theorems 2.21 and 2.22 yields the following stability result.

Corollary 4.2. *Let $(C_*, \partial_C, \ell_C)$ and $(D_*, \partial_D, \ell_D)$ be p.f.d. Floer-type complexes over the field $\mathbb{F} = \Lambda^{\mathbb{F}, \{0\}}$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\text{int}} \left(\mathbb{H}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(C_*), \mathbb{H}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(D_*) \right) &\leq d_{\text{bot}} \left(\mathcal{B}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(C_*), \mathcal{B}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(D_*) \right) \\ &\leq 3 d_{\text{int}} \left(\mathbb{H}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(C_*), \mathbb{H}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(D_*) \right). \end{aligned}$$

In Sections 5 and 6, we will discuss further applications of our main results obtained in this section.

5. APPLICATIONS IN MORSE THEORY

In this section, we provide applications in Morse Theory.

5.1. Morse biperistence modules. First we review the definition of Morse homology briefly. We refer the reader to introductory books [Sch93, BH04, AD14] for more details. Let M be a connected closed Riemannian manifold and $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a Morse(-Smale) function on M . Let $\text{Crit}_k(f)$ denote the set of critical points of f of Morse index k . We define the Morse complex $(\text{CM}_*(f), \partial^f)$ for f as follows. For each $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$, the k -chain group $\text{CM}_k(f)$ is defined to be the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -vector space generated by the critical points of Morse index k . For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $k < 0$ or $k > n$,

we set $\text{CM}_k(f) = 0$. We define the boundary operator $\partial_k^f: \text{CM}_k(f) \rightarrow \text{CM}_{k-1}(f)$ by the formula

$$\partial_k^f(p) = \sum_{q \in \text{Crit}_{k-1}(f)} n(p, q) q,$$

where $p \in \text{Crit}_k(f)$ and $n(p, q)$ is the number of minus gradient flow lines of f from p to q modulo 2. We define a filtration function $\ell: \text{CM}_*(f) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ by $\ell(c) = \max\{f(p_i) \mid n_i \neq 0\}$, where $c = \sum_i n_i p_i$. Then the triple $(\text{CM}_*(f), \partial^f, \ell)$ is a Floer-type complex over the field $\Lambda^{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \{0\}} = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ (see [UZ16, Example 2.5]).

Definition 5.1. Let M be a connected closed manifold and $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a Morse function on M . Given a degree $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the p.f.d. Floer-type bipersistence module $\mathbb{H}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(\text{CM}_*(f))$ is called a *Morse bipersistence module in degree k* , denoted by $\mathbb{H}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(f)$.

By Theorem 4.1, every Morse bipersistence module is rectangle-decomposable. Therefore, given a Morse function $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, one can associate the rectangle barcode $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{H}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(f))$ over \mathbb{R}^2 , denoted by $\mathcal{B}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(f)$. We define $\mathcal{B}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(f) = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{B}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(f)$.

Remark 5.2. Morse bipersistence modules are closely related to the interlevel persistent homology described in Example 2.17. The Morse Homology Theorem (see, e.g., [BH04, Theorem 7.4]) states that the Morse homology $\text{HM}_*(f)$ is isomorphic to the singular homology $H_*(M; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. Moreover, given $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the sublevel filtered Morse homology $\text{HM}_*^{(-\infty, t)}(f)$ is isomorphic to the singular homology $H_*(M^t; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ of the sublevel set $M^t = f^{-1}((-\infty, t])$.

However, an interlevel filtered Morse homology $\text{HM}_*^{(a, b)}(f)$ is *not* isomorphic to the singular homology $H_*(M^{(a, b)}; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ of the interlevel set $M^{(a, b)} = f^{-1}((a, b])$ in general. For example, if the action window (a, b) does not contain any critical value of f , then the former must be zero whereas the latter never vanishes whenever $M^{(a, b)} \neq \emptyset$ and at least $* = 0$. The key point is that Theorem 4.1 reveals the distinction between the two bipersistence modules. Namely, interlevel persistent homology groups are *block*-decomposable, whereas Morse bipersistence modules are *rectangle*-decomposable.

5.2. Rectangle barcodes. For $(C_1, C_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\ell_1, \ell_2 > 0$ we set $R(C_1, C_2; \ell_1, \ell_2)$ to be the rectangle

$$R(C_1, C_2; \ell_1, \ell_2) = [C_1 - \ell_1, C_1] \times [C_2, C_2 + \ell_2].$$

We note that the constants ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 are the lengths of edges of the rectangle. (If $\ell_1 < \ell_2$, then ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 stand for the *width* and the *length*, respectively). Moreover, the point $(C_1, C_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is the coordinate of the bottom-right corner of the rectangle. For $\ell_1 = \infty$ (resp. $\ell_2 = \infty$) we set $R(C_1, C_2; \infty, \ell_2) = (-\infty, C_1] \times [C_2, C_2 + \ell_2]$ (resp. $R(C_1, C_2; \ell_1, \infty) = [C_1 - \ell_1, C_1] \times [C_2, \infty)$). For $\ell_1 = \ell_2 = \infty$ we define $R(C_1, C_2; \infty, \infty) = (-\infty, C_1] \times [C_2, \infty)$.

Remark 5.3. As is mentioned in Section 1, a rectangle $R(C_1, C_2; \ell_1, \ell_2)$ is one of the following four types, see Figure 1:

- (S) $R(C_1, C_2; \infty, \infty)$ with infinite length and width.
- (B) $R(C_1, C_2; \infty, \ell_2)$ with infinite length $\ell_1 = \infty$ and finite width ℓ_2 .
- (N) $R(C_1, C_2; \ell_1, \infty)$ with infinite length $\ell_2 = \infty$ and finite width ℓ_1 .
- (R) $R(C_1, C_2; \ell_1, \ell_2)$ with finite length and width.

We note that rectangles of types (S), (B), and (N) are blocks but those of type (R) are not (recall Definition 2.4).

The next theorem demonstrates to us that all critical points contribute to the Morse persistence module. This represents a situation different from the one-parameter case.

Theorem 5.4. *Let M be a connected closed manifold and $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a Morse function on M . For each degree $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the Morse bipersistence module $\mathbb{HM}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(f)$ contains the direct summand*

$$\bigoplus_{p \in \text{Crit}_k(f)} (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_{R(f(p), f(p); \ell_{1p}, \ell_{2p})},$$

where the constants $\ell_{1p}, \ell_{2p} \in (0, \infty]$ are uniquely determined by $p \in \text{Crit}_k(f)$.

Figure 2 explains the *birth* and *death* of a critical point p as a generator in terms of the rectangle barcode. Let $\Delta = \{(a, a) \mid a \in \mathbb{R}\}$ denote the diagonal set of \mathbb{R}^2 .




FIGURE 2. Birth and death of a critical point p

Proof of Theorem 5.4. Fix a degree $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Due to Theorem 4.1, the Morse persistence module $\mathbb{HM}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(f)$ decomposes into interval modules:

$$\mathbb{HM}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(f) \cong \bigoplus_{I \in \mathcal{B}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(f)} (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_I.$$

Let $p \in \text{Crit}_k(f)$ be a (non-degenerate) critical point of f of Morse index k . Since the set of critical points of f is finite, for any sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, the interlevel filtered Morse homology $\text{HM}_k^{(f(p)-\varepsilon, f(p)+\varepsilon]}(f)$ decomposes into local Morse homology groups (see, e.g., [GG10, Section 3.1] for the definition)

$$\text{HM}_k^{(f(p)-\varepsilon, f(p)+\varepsilon]}(f) = \text{HM}_k^{(f(p)-\varepsilon, f(p)]}(f) \cong \text{HM}_k^{\text{loc}}(p) \oplus \dots \neq 0,$$

where the dots represent the contributions of the local Morse homology groups of other $q \in \text{Crit}_k(f)$ with $f(q) = f(p)$. Then the pair $(f(p) - \varepsilon, f(p) + \varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ must lie in a certain rectangle $I_p \in \mathcal{B}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(f)$. Therefore, there exists a chain $c = p + \sum_i n_i p_i \in \text{CM}_k(f)$ such that the chain c contributes to $\text{HM}_k^{(a, b]}(f)$ as a generator if and only if the pair (a, b) lies in the rectangle I_p .

On the other hand, we have $\text{HM}_k^{(f(p)+a, f(p)]}(f) = \text{HM}_k^{(f(p), f(p)-b]}(f) = 0$ for any $a, b \geq 0$. Thus the rectangle I_p lies above the diagonal Δ of \mathbb{R}^2 and its bottom-right corner locates at $(f(p), f(p)) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. We set

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_{1p} &= \sup \{ a > 0 \mid (f(p) - a, f(p)) \in I_p \}, \\ \ell_{2p} &= \sup \{ b > 0 \mid (f(p), f(p) + b) \in I_p \}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\ell_{1p} < \infty$, then $\mathrm{HM}_k^{(f(p)-\ell_{1p}, f(p)]}(f) \neq 0$. If $\ell_{2p} < \infty$, then $\mathrm{HM}_k^{(f(p), f(p)+\ell_{2p})}(f) = 0$. Therefore, the rectangle I_p is of the following form:

$$I_p = [f(p) - \ell_{1p}, f(p)) \times [f(p), f(p) + \ell_{2p}) = R(f(p), f(p); \ell_{1p}, \ell_{2p}).$$

Thus, we have

$$\mathrm{HM}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(f) \cong \bigoplus_{I \in \mathcal{B}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(f)} (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_I \supset \bigoplus_{p \in \mathrm{Crit}_k(f)} (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_{R(f(p), f(p); \ell_{1p}, \ell_{2p})}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

5.3. Examples of rectangle barcodes of Morse functions. Here we provide several examples of Theorem 5.4.

Example 5.5. Let T^2 denote the 2-torus and let $f: T^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Morse function on T^2 as in Figure 3. Namely, f has exactly four critical points p_1, \dots, p_4 with Morse indices $\mathrm{ind} p_1 = 0$, $\mathrm{ind} p_2 = \mathrm{ind} p_3 = 1$ and $\mathrm{ind} p_4 = 2$. We assume that f has no gradient flow lines joining p_2 and p_3 , and that $f(p_i) = i$ for each $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.




FIGURE 3. A Morse-Smale function on the 2-torus

Then the associated rectangle barcode $\mathcal{B}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(f)$ is summarized in Figure 4. Moreover, we have

$$\mathrm{HM}_0^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(f) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_{R(1, 1; \infty, \infty)},$$

$$\mathrm{HM}_1^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(f) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_{R(2, 2; \infty, \infty)} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_{R(3, 3; \infty, \infty)},$$

and

$$\mathrm{HM}_2^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(f) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_{R(4, 4; \infty, \infty)}.$$

Example 5.6. Let S^1 be the circle and $g: S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a Morse function with exactly four critical points p_1, \dots, p_4 with Morse indices $\mathrm{ind} p_1 = \mathrm{ind} p_2 = 0$ and $\mathrm{ind} p_3 = \mathrm{ind} p_4 = 1$ as in Figure 5. We assume that $g(p_i) = i$ for each $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

Then the associated rectangle barcode $\mathcal{B}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(g)$ is summarized in Figure 6. Moreover, one can check that

$$\mathrm{HM}_0^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(g) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_{R(1, 1; \infty, \infty)} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_{R(2, 2; \infty, 1)},$$

and

$$\mathrm{HM}_1^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(g) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_{R(3, 3; 1, \infty)} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_{R(3, 4; \infty, \infty)} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_{R(4, 4; 1, \infty)}.$$




FIGURE 4. The rectangle barcode of f with corresponding generators

FIGURE 5. A heart-shaped circle

FIGURE 6. The rectangle barcode of g with corresponding generators

Example 5.7. Let S^2 be the 2-sphere and $h: S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a Morse function with exactly six critical points p_1, \dots, p_6 with Morse indices $\text{ind } p_1 = \text{ind } p_2 = 0$, $\text{ind } p_3 = \text{ind } p_4 = 1$ and $\text{ind } p_5 = \text{ind } p_6 = 2$ as in Figure 7. We assume that h has no gradient flow lines joining p_3 and p_4 , and that $h(p_i) = i$ for each $i = 1, \dots, 6$.




FIGURE 7. An H-shaped sphere


Then the associated rectangle barcode $\mathcal{B}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(h)$ is summarized in Figure 8. Moreover, one can check that

$$\mathbb{HM}_0^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(h) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_{R(1,1;\infty,\infty)} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_{R(2,2;\infty,1)},$$

$$\mathbb{HM}_1^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(h) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_{R(3,3;1,\infty)} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_{R(4,4;1,1)} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_{R(3,4;\infty,1)},$$

and

$$\mathbb{HM}_2^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(h) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_{R(5,5;1,\infty)} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_{R(6,6;1,\infty)} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_{R(5,6;\infty,\infty)}.$$

FIGURE 8. The rectangle barcode of h with corresponding generators

5.4. Applications. In view of Corollary 4.2, we have the following stability result on Morse functions inspired by [PRSZ20, Theorem 2.3.1]. Given a function F on a compact space X , let $\|F\| = \max_X F$ denote the uniform norm of F .

Theorem 5.8 (Functional Stability Theorem). *Let M be a connected closed manifold. For any pair of Morse functions f, g on M , we have*

$$d_{\text{bot}} \left(\mathcal{B}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(f), \mathcal{B}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(g) \right) \leq 3 d_{\text{int}} \left(\mathbb{HM}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(f), \mathbb{HM}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(g) \right) \leq 3\|f - g\|.$$

Proof. The proof relies on the standard continuation argument. Let $F: M \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function such that $F(\cdot, s) = f$ for $s \ll 0$ and $F(\cdot, s) = g$ for $s \gg 0$. Then one can define a chain map $\sigma_{fg}: \text{CM}_k(f) \rightarrow \text{CM}_k(g)$ by the formula

$$\sigma_{fg}(p) = \sum_{q \in \text{Crit}_k(g)} n(p, q) q,$$

where $p \in \text{Crit}_k(f)$ and $n(p, q)$ is the number of the time-dependent minus gradient flow lines of F from f to g modulo 2, which induces a morphism in homology $\sigma_{fg}: \text{HM}_k(f) \rightarrow \text{HM}_k(g)$ called the *continuation map*. Moreover, the continuation map shifts an action window $(a, b]$ by $\delta = \|f - g\|$. Namely, we have $\sigma_{fg}: \text{HM}_k^{(a, b]}(f) \rightarrow \text{HM}_k^{(a, b] + \delta}(g)$ and the following commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{HM}_k^{(a, b]}(f) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{fg}} & \text{HM}_k^{(a, b] + \delta}(g) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{gf}} & \text{HM}_k^{(a, b] + 2\delta}(f) \\ & & \searrow \Phi_f^{(a, b], 2\delta} & & \\ \text{HM}_k^{(a, b]}(g) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{gf}} & \text{HM}_k^{(a, b] + \delta}(f) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{fg}} & \text{HM}_k^{(a, b] + 2\delta}(g) \\ & & \searrow \Phi_g^{(a, b], 2\delta} & & \end{array}$$

Here $(a, b] + \delta = (a + \delta, b + \delta]$ denotes the shifted interval and $\Phi_f^{(a, b], 2\delta} = \pi_{a, a+2\delta}^{b+2\delta} \circ \iota_{b, b+2\delta}^a$ is the *comparison map*. Therefore, the resulting Morse bipersistence modules $\mathbb{HM}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(f)$ and $\mathbb{HM}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(g)$ are δ -interleaved. Namely,

$$d_{\text{int}} \left(\mathbb{HM}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(f), \mathbb{HM}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(g) \right) \leq \delta = \|f - g\|.$$

Now Corollary 4.2 yields that

$$d_{\text{bot}} \left(\mathcal{B}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(f), \mathcal{B}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(g) \right) \leq 3 d_{\text{int}} \left(\mathbb{HM}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(f), \mathbb{HM}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(g) \right) \leq 3\|f - g\|.$$

Since $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ is arbitrary, the desired inequality holds. \square

In Section 6, we will discuss the relationship between rectangle barcodes and various invariants including spectral invariants and boundary depth in the context of Floer Theory. Here we introduce a new invariant inspired by Polterovich and Shelukhin's $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ spectral spreads (see [PS16, Section 4.1]). Given $d \geq 0$ and an action window $(a, b]$, let

$$\Phi_f^{(a, b], d} = \pi_{a, a+d}^{b+d} \circ \iota_{b, b+d}^a: \text{HM}_*^{(a, b]}(f) \rightarrow \text{HM}_*^{(a+d, b+d]}(f)$$

denote the comparison map between two action windows $(a, b]$ and $(a + d, b + d]$.

Definition 5.9. Let M be a connected closed manifold and $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a Morse function on M . The *spectral spread* of a critical point $p \in \text{Crit}(f)$ of f , denoted by $w(f, p)$, is defined by

$$w(f, p) = \sup \left\{ d \geq 0 \mid \Phi_f^{(a, b], d}([p]) \neq 0, \text{ for some action window } (a, b] \right\}.$$

The following proposition states that the spectral spreads can be inferred from the rectangle barcode.

Proposition 5.10. *Let M be a connected closed manifold and $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a Morse function on M . For a critical point $p \in \text{Crit}(f)$ of f let $R(f(p), f(p); \ell_{1p}, \ell_{2p})$ be the associated rectangle (Theorem 5.4). Then we have*

$$w(f, p) = \min\{\ell_{1p}, \ell_{2p}\}.$$




FIGURE 9. Spectral spread of p

Proof. We put $m = \min\{\ell_{1p}, \ell_{2p}\}$. Note that $(f(p) - \ell_{1p}, f(p)) \in R(f(p), f(p); \ell_{1p}, \ell_{2p})$. If $d < m$, then the pair $(f(p) - \ell_{1p} + d, f(p) + d)$ still lies in the rectangle $R(f(p), f(p); \ell_{1p}, \ell_{2p})$, see Figure 9. Therefore, $\Phi_f^{(f(p) - \ell_{1p}, f(p)), d}([p]) \neq 0$. Hence we have $w(f, p) \leq m$. Now we assume that $w(f, p) < m$. Then one can choose $\varepsilon > 0$ so small that for any action window $(a, b]$ the image of $[p]$ under the comparison map $\Phi_f^{(a, b], \overline{m} - \varepsilon}$ is zero. However, $\Phi_f^{(f(p) - \ell_{1p}, f(p)), \overline{m} - \varepsilon}([p]) \neq 0$, which yields a contradiction. Therefore, $w(f, p) = m = \min\{\ell_{1p}, \ell_{2p}\}$. \square

We recall that a Morse function f on a closed manifold is called *perfect* if the number of the critical points of f coincides with the total Betti number of M : $\#\text{Crit}(f) = \sum_i \dim H_i(M; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. Therefore, every critical point of a perfect Morse function corresponds to a rectangle of type (S) in Remark 5.3, and hence has spectral spread ∞ . (This can be directly inferred from Proposition 5.10.) For example, the Morse function described in Example 5.5 is perfect and the associated barcode consists only of rectangles of type (S) as shown in Figure 4. The following proposition provides a constraint on Morse functions being perfect in terms of spectral spreads.

Proposition 5.11. *Let M be a connected closed manifold and f a perfect Morse function on M . If g is a Morse function that has a critical point q which does not contribute to the whole Morse homology $\text{HM}_*(g)$, then*

$$\|f - g\| \geq \frac{1}{6} \cdot \min\{\ell_{1q}, \ell_{2q}\}.$$

Proof. Let $R(g(q), g(q); \ell_{1q}, \ell_{2q})$ denote the rectangle in the barcode $\mathcal{B}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(g)$ corresponding to the critical point q . Then, from the assumption on q , the rectangle $R(g(q), g(q); \ell_{1q}, \ell_{2q})$ is not of type (S). Then the spectral spread of the critical point q must be finite: $w(g, q) = \min\{\ell_{1q}, \ell_{2q}\} < \infty$. Moreover, the rectangle $R(g(q), g(q); \ell_{1q}, \ell_{2q})$ is $w(g, q)$ -trivial (recall Section 2.4 for the definition).

We claim that the bottleneck distance between $\mathcal{B}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(f)$ and $\mathcal{B}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(g)$ is greater than or equal to the half of the spectral spread of the function g . Assume, on the contrary, that

$$d_{\text{bot}}(\mathcal{B}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(f), \mathcal{B}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(g)) < \frac{1}{2} w(g, q).$$

Then there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and a $(\frac{1}{2}w(g, q) - \varepsilon)$ -matching $\mu: \mathcal{B}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(f) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(g)$. Since the rectangle $R(g(q), g(q); \ell_{1q}, \ell_{2q})$ is not $(w(g, q) - 2\varepsilon)$ -trivial, it must match

with a rectangle in the barcode $\mathcal{B}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(f)$. However, since $\mathcal{B}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(f)$ consists only of rectangles of type (S), this leads to a contradiction. Therefore, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}w(g, q) \leq d_{\text{bot}}(\mathcal{B}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(f), \mathcal{B}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(g)).$$

Now the Functional Stability Theorem (Theorem 5.8) implies that

$$\frac{1}{6} \cdot \min\{\ell_{1q}, \ell_{2q}\} = \frac{1}{6}w(g, q) \leq \frac{1}{3}d_{\text{bot}}(\mathcal{B}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(f), \mathcal{B}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(g)) \leq \|f - g\|,$$

which completes the proof. \square

6. APPLICATIONS IN FLOER THEORY

We define Hamiltonian biperistence modules and introduce stability results. For future works, we will work on a suitable covering of a loop space although the resulting Floer homology is infinite-dimensional over the ground field $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, in general.

6.1. Conventions and notation. First we set conventions and notation.

6.1.1. Monotone symplectic manifolds. A *symplectic manifold* is a pair (M, ω) which consists of an even-dimensional smooth manifold M equipped with a non-degenerate closed 2-form ω . The non-degeneracy of ω means that its n -th exterior power ω^n is nowhere vanishing, where $2n = \dim M$. Let $c_1 = c_1(TM, J) \in H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ denote the first Chern class of the tangent bundle TM considered as a complex vector bundle equipped with an almost complex structure J compatible with ω .

Let \mathcal{LM} denote the space of free loops in M . Given a free homotopy class $\alpha \in [S^1, M]$, we define $\mathcal{L}_\alpha M$ to be the component of \mathcal{LM} with loops representing α . We fix a base point (called a *reference loop*) $z_\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha M$. Let $0 \in [S^1, M]$ denote the class of a constant loop. Then $\mathcal{L}_0 M$ stands for the set of contractible loops in M .

Every element of $\pi_2(M)$ is represented by a map $w: S^2 \rightarrow M$. Let

$$h: \pi_2(M) \rightarrow H_2(M; \mathbb{Z}); \quad [w] \mapsto w_*([S^2])$$

denote the Hurewicz homomorphism, where $[S^2]$ is the fundamental class of S^2 and $w_*: H_2(S^2; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is the induced homomorphism. Similarly, every element of $\pi_1(\mathcal{L}_\alpha M, z_\alpha)$ is represented by a map $w: S^1 \times S^1 \rightarrow M$. We define a homomorphism

$$h_\alpha: \pi_1(\mathcal{L}_\alpha M, z_\alpha) \rightarrow H_2(M; \mathbb{Z}); \quad [w] \mapsto w_*([S^1 \times S^1]).$$

Since $[\omega] \in H^2(M; \mathbb{R}) \cong \text{Hom}(H_2(M; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{R})$, we can define maps $I_\omega: \pi_2(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $I_\omega^\alpha: \pi_1(\mathcal{L}_\alpha M, z_\alpha) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $I_\omega = [\omega] \circ h$ and $I_\omega^\alpha = [\omega] \circ h_\alpha$, respectively. Similarly, we define maps $I_{c_1}: \pi_2(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $I_{c_1}^\alpha: \pi_1(\mathcal{L}_\alpha M, z_\alpha) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $I_{c_1} = c_1 \circ h$ and $I_{c_1}^\alpha = c_1 \circ h_\alpha$, respectively.

Definition 6.1. Let (M, ω) be a connected closed symplectic manifold.

- (i) (M, ω) is called *symplectically aspherical* if $I_\omega = I_{c_1} = 0$.
- (ii) (M, ω) is called *symplectically atoroidal* if $I_\omega^\alpha = I_{c_1}^\alpha = 0$ for all $\alpha \in [S^1, M]$.
- (iii) (M, ω) is called *toroidally monotone* if there exists a non-negative number λ such that $I_\omega = \lambda I_{c_1}$.
- (iv) (M, ω) is called *spherically monotone* if there exists a non-negative number λ such that for all $\alpha \in [S^1, M]$, $I_\omega^\alpha = \lambda I_{c_1}^\alpha$.

The constant λ in (iii) and (iv) is referred to as the *monotonicity constant*.

Remark 6.2. We summarize the relationships of the above concepts in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{symplectically atoroidal} & \implies & \text{symplectically aspherical} \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ \text{toroidally monotone} & \implies & \text{spherically monotone} \end{array}$$

The *minimal Chern number* c_1^{\min} is the positive integer given by $\text{Im } I_{c_1} = c_1^{\min} \mathbb{Z}$. Given $\alpha \in [S^1, M]$, the α -*minimal Chern number* is the positive integer given by $\text{Im } I_{c_1}^\alpha = c_{1,\alpha}^{\min} \mathbb{Z}$. We note that $c_{1,\alpha}^{\min}$ divides c_1^{\min} .

6.1.2. Hamiltonian periodic orbits. Let $H: S^1 \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a (time-dependent) Hamiltonian on M . We set $H_t = H(t, \cdot)$ for $t \in S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. The *Hamiltonian vector field* $X_{H_t} \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ associated to H_t is defined by $\iota_{X_{H_t}} \omega = -dH_t$. The *Hamiltonian isotopy* $\{\varphi_H^t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ associated to H is given by

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_H^0 = \text{id}, \\ \frac{d}{dt} \varphi_H^t = X_{H_t} \circ \varphi_H^t \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases}$$

and its time-one map $\varphi_H = \varphi_H^1$ is referred to as the *Hamiltonian diffeomorphism* generated by H .

For a positive integer k let $\mathcal{P}_k(H; \alpha)$ be the set of k -periodic (i.e., defined on $\mathbb{R}/k\mathbb{Z}$) orbits of the Hamiltonian isotopy $\{\varphi_H^t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ representing α . A one-periodic orbit $x \in \mathcal{P}_1(H; \alpha)$ is called *non-degenerate* if the differential $(d\varphi_H)_{x(0)}$ has no eigenvalues equal to one. Moreover, H is said to be *non-degenerate* if all one-periodic orbits of H are non-degenerate.

Given two one-periodic Hamiltonians K and H , the *composition* $K \natural H$ is defined by the formula

$$(K \natural H)_t = K_t + H_t \circ (\varphi_K^t)^{-1}.$$

Then the new Hamiltonian $K \natural H$ generates the composition of the Hamiltonian isotopies $\varphi_K^t \circ \varphi_H^t$. For a positive integer k we write $H^{\natural k} = H \natural \dots \natural H$ (k times). Let x^k denote the k -th iteration of a one-periodic orbit x of H , i.e., x^k is the k -periodic orbit $x: \mathbb{R}/k\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow M$ of H . Since there is an action-preserving and mean index-preserving one-to-one correspondence between the set of k -periodic orbits of H and the set of one-periodic orbits of $H^{\natural k}$, we can think of x^k as a one-periodic orbit of $H^{\natural k}$ later.

6.1.3. Hofer's metric. Let $\text{Ham}(M)$ denote the set of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms of M . It is easy to check that $\text{Ham}(M)$ forms a group. Given a Hamiltonian $H: S^1 \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we define the *oscillation* of H to be

$$\text{osc } H = \int_0^1 \left(\max_M H(t, \cdot) - \min_M H(t, \cdot) \right) dt.$$

For a Hamiltonian diffeomorphism $\phi \in \text{Ham}(M)$ we define the *Hofer norm* of ϕ by

$$\|\phi\|_{\text{Hofer}} = \inf \{ \text{osc } H \mid \varphi_H^1 = \phi \}.$$

Then the *Hofer metric* on $\phi \in \text{Ham}(M)$ is defined by

$$d_{\text{Hofer}}(\phi, \psi) = \|\phi^{-1} \circ \psi\|_{\text{Hofer}}$$

for $\phi, \psi \in \text{Ham}(M)$. The Hofer metric d_{Hofer} is a genuine metric on $\text{Ham}(M)$ [Hof90, Pol93, LM95]. Moreover, it is bi-invariant in the sense that

$$d_{\text{Hofer}}(\phi \circ \theta, \psi \circ \theta) = d_{\text{Hofer}}(\phi, \psi) = d_{\text{Hofer}}(\theta \circ \phi, \theta \circ \psi)$$

for any $\phi, \psi, \theta \in \text{Ham}(M)$.

6.2. Hamiltonian bipersistence module for contractible orbits. First we briefly review the construction of Floer homology for spherically monotone symplectic manifolds (see [AD14, MS12] for more details). Then we define Hamiltonian bipersistence modules for contractible orbits. Let (M, ω) be a spherically monotone symplectic manifold with monotonicity constant λ . Let $H: S^1 \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Hamiltonian.

6.2.1. Action functional and the Conley–Zehnder index. We consider the covering space $\pi: \overline{\mathcal{L}_0 M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_0 M$ with fiber being the group

$$\Gamma_0 = \frac{\pi_2(M)}{\text{Ker } I_\omega} \cong \text{Im } I_\omega = \text{Im } (\lambda I_{c_1}) \cong \lambda c_1^{\min} \mathbb{Z}.$$

We consider the set of pairs (x, v) , where $x \in \mathcal{L}_0 M$ and $v: D^2 \rightarrow M$ is a map (called a *capping disc*) such that $v(e^{2\pi i t}) = x(t)$. We set an equivalence relation \sim by defining $(x_1, v_1) \sim (x_2, v_2)$ if and only if $x_1 = x_2$ and $I_\omega(v_1 \# (-v_2)) = I_{c_1}(v_1 \# (-v_2)) = 0$, where $v_1 \# (-v_2)$ is a spherical 2-cycle obtained by gluing v_1 and v_2 with orientation reversed along the boundaries. Then the space $\overline{\mathcal{L}_0 M}$ can be viewed as the set of such equivalence classes $[x, v]$.

We define the *action functional* $\mathcal{A}_H: \overline{\mathcal{L}_0 M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mathcal{A}_H([x, v]) = - \int_{D^2} v^* \omega + \int_0^1 H_t(x(t)) dt.$$

Since $\pi^* I_\omega = 0 \in H^1(\overline{\mathcal{L}_0 M}; \mathbb{R})$, the action functional \mathcal{A}_H is well-defined. Here we note that the critical point set $\text{Crit}(\mathcal{A}_H)$ is equal to $\overline{\mathcal{P}_1(H; 0)} = \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{P}_1(H; 0))$ (The least action principle). The action functional \mathcal{A}_H is homogeneous with respect to iterations in the sense that

$$\mathcal{A}_H([x, v]^k) = k \mathcal{A}_H([x, v]),$$

where $[x, v]^k = [x^k, v^k]$ is the k -th iteration of $[x, v]$. Here we think of the iterated loop x^k as a loop defined on $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$.

Since D^2 is contractible, one can choose a symplectic trivialization of $v^* TM$ and restrict it to $x^* TM$. Then we get a path $t \mapsto (d\varphi_H^t)_{x(0)}$ in the group $\text{Sp}(2n)$. If x is non-degenerate, then we can associate the *Conley–Zehnder index* $\mu_{\text{CZ}}(H, \bar{x})$ to a capped one-periodic orbit $\bar{x} = [x, v] \in \overline{\mathcal{L}_0 M}$ (see, e.g., [SZ92]). For any $\bar{x} \in \overline{\mathcal{P}_1(H; 0)}$ and any $[w] \in \pi_2(M)$ we have

$$\mathcal{A}_H(\bar{x} \# [w]) = \mathcal{A}_H(\bar{x}) - I_\omega([w]) \text{ and } \mu_{\text{CZ}}(H, \bar{x} \# [w]) = \mu_{\text{CZ}}(H, \bar{x}) - 2I_{c_1}([w]),$$

Therefore, if (M, ω) is symplectically aspherical, then the action functional and the Conley–Zehnder index are independent of the choice of capping discs. We define the *action spectrum* of \mathcal{A}_H by

$$\text{Spec}(H; 0) = \mathcal{A}_H(\overline{\mathcal{P}_1(H; 0)}),$$

which has zero measure (see, e.g., [HZ11]).

6.2.2. Floer complex. We define the Floer complex $(\text{CF}(H), \partial^{H, J})$ as follows. The chain group $\text{CF}(H)$ is defined to be the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -vector space

$$\text{CF}(H) = \left\{ \xi = \sum \xi_{\bar{x}} \bar{x} \mid \begin{array}{l} \bar{x} \in \overline{\mathcal{P}_1(H; 0)} \text{ and } \xi_{\bar{x}} \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \text{ such that} \\ \#\{ \bar{x} \mid \xi_{\bar{x}} \neq 0, \mathcal{A}_H(\bar{x}) > C \} < \infty \text{ for any } C \in \mathbb{R} \end{array} \right\}.$$

We define the boundary operator $\partial^{H, J}: \text{CF}(H) \rightarrow \text{CF}(H)$ by the formula

$$\partial^{H, J}(\bar{x}) = \sum n(\bar{x}, \bar{y}; H, J) \bar{y}$$

where $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \overline{\mathcal{P}_1(H; 0)}$ with relative index one $\mu_{\text{CZ}}(H, \bar{x}) - \mu_{\text{CZ}}(H, \bar{y}) = 1$, and $n(\bar{x}, \bar{y}; H, J)$ is the counting of *Floer trajectories* from \bar{x} to \bar{y} with respect to a loop

of almost complex structures $J(t)$ compatible with ω . For a generic choice of (H, J) , the pair $(\text{CF}(H), \partial^{H,J})$ becomes a chain complex.

Remark 6.3. Since (M, ω) is spherically monotone, we can define the $\mathbb{Z}/2c_1^{\min}\mathbb{Z}$ -grading on the Floer complex $(\text{CF}(H), \partial^{H,J})$. Furthermore, if (M, ω) is symplectically aspherical, then one can consider the \mathbb{Z} -grading since $c_1^{\min} = 0$ in this case.

The homology $\text{HF}_*(H, J) = H_*(\text{CF}_*(H), \partial^{H,J})$ is called the *Floer homology* of (H, J) . It is known that a different choice of a generic pair (H', J') induces an isomorphism $\text{HF}_*(H, J) \cong \text{HF}_*(H', J')$. In particular, we can suppress J from the notation: $\text{HF}_*(H) = \text{HF}_*(H, J)$.

We define a filtration function $\ell: \text{CF}_*(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ by $\ell(\xi) = \max\{\mathcal{A}_H(\bar{x}) \mid \xi_{\bar{x}} \neq 0\}$, where $\xi = \sum \xi_{\bar{x}} \bar{x}$. Then the triple $(\text{CF}_*(H), \partial^{H,J}, \ell)$ is a Floer-type complex over the field $\Lambda^{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \Gamma_0}$ (see [UZ16, Example 4.2]). According to M. Schwarz [Sch00], the resulting filtered Floer homology $\text{HF}^{(a,b]}(H)$ depends only on the Hamiltonian diffeomorphism $\varphi_H = \varphi_H^1$ generated by H , provided that H is *normalized* in the sense that $\int_M H(t, \cdot) \omega^n = 0$, where $2n = \dim M$. Namely, we can write as $\text{HF}(\varphi_H) = \text{HF}(H)$.

Definition 6.4. Let (M, ω) be a spherically monotone symplectic manifold. Let $\phi = \varphi_H \in \text{Ham}(M)$ be a non-degenerate Hamiltonian diffeomorphism generated by a normalized Hamiltonian H . Given a degree $k \in \mathbb{Z}/2c_1^{\min}\mathbb{Z}$, the Floer-type bipersistence module $\mathbb{H}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(\text{CF}_*(H))$ is called a *Hamiltonian bipersistence module in degree k* , denoted by $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{F}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(\phi)$.

By Theorem 4.1, every Hamiltonian bipersistence module is weakly-exact. Now we assume that our symplectic manifold (M, ω) is symplectically aspherical. In this case, we note that $\Gamma_0 = \{0\}$. Therefore, given a non-degenerate $\phi \in \text{Ham}(M)$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the Hamiltonian bipersistence module $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{F}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(\phi)$ is p.f.d. Then Theorem 4.1 implies that we can define the rectangle barcode $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{H}\mathbb{F}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(\phi))$ over \mathbb{R}^2 , denoted by $\mathcal{B}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(\phi)$. We set $\mathcal{B}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(\phi) = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{B}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(\phi)$. As stated in Theorem 1.2, we have the following.

Theorem 6.5. *Let (M, ω) be a symplectically aspherical symplectic manifold. Let $\phi = \varphi_H$ be a non-degenerate Hamiltonian diffeomorphism generated by a normalized Hamiltonian H . For each degree $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the Hamiltonian bipersistence module $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{F}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(\phi)$ contains the direct summand*

$$\bigoplus_{x \in \mathcal{P}_1(H; 0)} (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_{R(\mathcal{A}_H(x), \mathcal{A}_H(x); \ell_{1x}, \ell_{2x})},$$

where the constants $\ell_{1x}, \ell_{2x} \in (0, \infty]$ are uniquely determined by $x \in \mathcal{P}_1(H; 0)$.

Proof. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $x \in \mathcal{P}_1(H; 0)$ be a contractible one-periodic orbit of H of Conley–Zehnder index k . Since H is non-degenerate, we can choose $\varepsilon > 0$ so small that the interlevel filtered Floer homology $\text{HF}_k^{(\mathcal{A}_H(x) - \varepsilon, \mathcal{A}_H(x) + \varepsilon]}(H)$ decomposes into local Floer homology groups (see [GG10, Section 3.2])

$$\text{HF}_k^{(\mathcal{A}_H(x) - \varepsilon, \mathcal{A}_H(x) + \varepsilon]}(H) = \text{HF}_k^{(\mathcal{A}_H(x) - \varepsilon, \mathcal{A}_H(x))}(H) \cong \text{HF}_k^{\text{loc}}(x) \oplus \cdots \neq 0,$$

where the dots represent the contributions of the local Floer homology groups of other $y \in \mathcal{P}_1(H; 0)$ with $\mathcal{A}_H(y) = \mathcal{A}_H(x)$. The remaining proof is similar to Theorem 5.4. \square

Let d_{Hofer} denote the Hofer metric on $\text{Ham}(M)$ (recall 6.1.3). The following stability result is inspired by [PRSZ20, Theorem 8.2.5].

Theorem 6.6 (Dynamical Stability Theorem). *Let (M, ω) be a symplectically aspherical symplectic manifold. For any pair of non-degenerate Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms $\phi, \psi \in \text{Ham}(M)$, we have*

$$d_{\text{bot}} \left(\mathcal{B}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(\phi), \mathcal{B}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(\psi) \right) \leq 3 d_{\text{int}} \left(\mathbb{H}\mathbb{F}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(\phi), \mathbb{H}\mathbb{F}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(\psi) \right) \leq 3 d_{\text{Hofer}}(\phi, \psi).$$

In particular, the correspondence sending a Hamiltonian diffeomorphism to its rectangle barcode is 3-Lipschitz with respect to the Hofer metric. Given a Hamiltonian $H: S^1 \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we put

$$(11) \quad E^+(H) = \int_0^1 \max_M H_t dt \quad \text{and} \quad E^-(H) = - \int_0^1 \min_M H_t dt,$$

where $H_t = H(t, \cdot)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we have

$$(12) \quad \text{osc } H = E^+(H) + E^-(H) = E^+(H) + E^+(-H),$$

see Section 6.1.3 for the definition of the oscillation of H .

Proof of Theorem 6.6. Let K and H be Hamiltonians generating ϕ and ψ , respectively. The standard continuation argument (see, e.g., [Ush11, Section 2]) induces a natural homomorphism

$$\sigma_{KH}: \text{HF}_k^{(a, b]}(K) \rightarrow \text{HF}_k^{(a, b] + E^+(H-K)}(H),$$

and the commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{HF}_k^{(a, b]}(K) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{KH}} & \text{HF}_k^{(a, b] + E^+(H-K)}(H) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{HK}} & \text{HF}_k^{(a, b] + \text{osc}}(K) \\ & \searrow \Phi_K^{(a, b], \text{osc}} & & & \\ \text{HF}_k^{(a, b]}(H) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{HK}} & \text{HF}_k^{(a, b] + E^+(K-H)}(K) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{KH}} & \text{HF}_k^{(a, b] + \text{osc}}(H) \\ & \searrow \Phi_H^{(a, b], \text{osc}} & & & \end{array}$$

Here osc stands for the constant

$$\text{osc} = \text{osc}(H - K) = E^+(H - K) + E^+(K - H) = \text{osc}(K - H),$$

recall (12), and $\Phi_K^{(a, b], \text{osc}} = \pi_{a, a + \text{osc}}^{b + \text{osc}} \circ \iota_{b, b + \text{osc}}^a$ is the comparison map. In particular, the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{HF}_k^{(a, b]}(K) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{KH}} & \text{HF}_k^{(a, b] + \text{osc}}(H) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{HK}} & \text{HF}_k^{(a, b] + 2 \text{osc}}(K) \\ & \searrow \Phi_K^{(a, b], 2 \text{osc}} & & & \\ \text{HF}_k^{(a, b]}(H) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{HK}} & \text{HF}_k^{(a, b] + \text{osc}}(K) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{KH}} & \text{HF}_k^{(a, b] + 2 \text{osc}}(H) \\ & \searrow \Phi_H^{(a, b], 2 \text{osc}} & & & \end{array}$$

Hence $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{F}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(\phi)$ and $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{F}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(\psi)$ are osc -interleaved. Namely,

$$d_{\text{int}} \left(\mathbb{H}\mathbb{F}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(\phi), \mathbb{H}\mathbb{F}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(\psi) \right) \leq \text{osc} = \text{osc}(H - K).$$

Therefore, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\text{int}} \left(\mathbb{H}\mathbb{F}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(\phi), \mathbb{H}\mathbb{F}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(\psi) \right) &\leq \inf \{ \text{osc}(H - K) \mid \phi = \varphi_K \text{ and } \psi = \varphi_H \} \\ &= \|\phi^{-1} \circ \psi\|_{\text{Hofer}} = d_{\text{Hofer}}(\phi, \psi). \end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 4.2,

$$d_{\text{bot}} \left(\mathcal{B}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(\phi), \mathcal{B}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(\psi) \right) \leq 3 d_{\text{int}} \left(\mathbb{H}\mathbb{F}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(\phi), \mathbb{H}\mathbb{F}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(\psi) \right) \leq 3 d_{\text{Hofer}}(\phi, \psi).$$

Since $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ is arbitrary, the desired inequality holds. \square

6.2.3. *Invariants.* We discuss the correspondence with the one-parameter setting. Give a non-degenerate Hamiltonian diffeomorphism $\phi \in \text{Ham}(M)$ of M , let

$$\mathbb{H}\mathbb{F}_*^{\bullet}(\phi) = \left(\left\{ \mathbb{H}\mathbb{F}_*^{(-\infty, b]}(\phi) \right\}_{b \in \mathbb{R}}, \{ \iota_{bb'} \}_{b \leq b'} \right)$$

denote the (one-parameter) Floer persistence module (recall Definition 3.4). Let $\mathcal{B}_*^{\bullet}(\phi) = \mathcal{B}_*(\mathbb{H}\mathbb{F}_*^{\bullet}(\phi))$ denote its barcode over \mathbb{R} . The *spectral invariant* $c: \text{HF}(\phi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$c(\alpha) = \inf \left\{ b \in \mathbb{R} \mid \alpha \in \text{Im} \left(\iota_{b\infty}: \mathbb{H}\mathbb{F}_*^{(-\infty, b]}(\phi) \rightarrow \text{HF}(\phi) \right) \right\}.$$

In the language of persistence modules, the spectral invariant is a *characteristic exponent* of the one-parameter persistence module $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{F}_*^{\bullet}(\phi)$, see, e.g., [PRS20, Section 4.1.1]. We note that the image of the spectral invariant coincides with the set of the end-points of the infinite intervals in $\mathcal{B}_*^{\bullet}(\phi)$.

The *boundary depth* of $\phi = \varphi_H$ is defined to be

$$\beta(\phi) = \inf \left\{ b \geq 0 \mid (\text{Im } \partial^H) \cap \text{CF}^{(-\infty, b]}(H) \subset \partial^H \left(\text{CF}^{(-\infty, b]}(H) \right) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Namely, the boundary depth is the length of the longest finite interval in $\mathcal{B}_*^{\bullet}(\phi)$.

Returning to the two-parameter setting, Theorem 6.5 and the above discussion provide a new interpretation of relevant invariants in terms of our rectangle barcodes (see also Figure 1).

Proposition 6.7. *Let (M, ω) be a symplectically aspherical symplectic manifold and $\phi \in \text{Ham}(M)$ non-degenerate. Then the following holds.*

- (i) *The image of the spectral invariant $c: \text{HF}(\phi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ coincides with the set*

$$\left\{ C_2 \in \mathbb{R} \mid R(C_1, C_2; \infty, \infty) \in \mathcal{B}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(\phi) \right\}.$$

- (ii) *The boundary depth of ϕ coincides with the largest finite width of the rectangles of type (B) in $\mathcal{B}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(\phi)$:*

$$\beta(\phi) = \max \left\{ w \geq 0 \mid R(C_1, C_2; \infty, \ell_2) \in \mathcal{B}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(\phi) \text{ with } \ell_2 < \infty \right\}.$$

Proof. Since all the rectangles $R(C_1, C_2; \infty, \ell_2)$, $\ell_2 \in (0, \infty]$, in the 2-dimensional barcode $\mathcal{B}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(\phi)$ corresponds to the bar $[C_2, C_2 + \ell_2)$ in the 1-dimensional barcode $\mathcal{B}_*^{\bullet}(\phi)$, the statements (i) and (ii) hold. \square

In analogy to the boundary depth, one might consider the largest finite width of the rectangles of type (N) in $\mathcal{B}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(\phi)$. Namely, the value

$$\alpha(\phi) = \max \left\{ \ell \geq 0 \mid R(C_1, C_2; \ell_1, \infty) \in \mathcal{B}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(\phi) \text{ with } \ell_1 < \infty \right\}.$$

We call $\alpha(\phi)$ the *non-cycle depth* of ϕ . Roughly speaking, $\alpha(\phi)$ is the smallest $a \geq 0$ with the property that every non-cycle $x \in \text{CF}(H)$ becomes a cycle by looking down the superlevel filtration no more than a .

6.3. Hamiltonian bipersistence module for non-contractible orbits. There is a variant of the Hamiltonian Floer homology generated by non-contractible orbits. Since the construction is almost same as in the contractible case (Section 6.2), here we only give the necessary changes. We refer the readers to [Gür13, GG16, PS16] for a detailed exposition.

6.3.1. *Definition and Fundamental results.* Let (M, ω) be a toroidally monotone symplectic manifold with monotonicity constant λ . Let $H: S^1 \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Hamiltonian. For a non-trivial homotopy class $\alpha \in [S^1, M]$, we fix a reference loop $z_\alpha \in \alpha$. We consider the covering space $\pi: \overline{\mathcal{L}_\alpha M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_\alpha M$ with fiber being the group

$$\Gamma_\alpha = \frac{\pi_1(\mathcal{L}_\alpha M, z_\alpha)}{\text{Ker } I_\omega^\alpha} \cong \text{Im } I_\omega^\alpha = \text{Im}(\lambda I_{c_1}^\alpha) \cong \lambda c_{1,\alpha}^{\min} \mathbb{Z}.$$

Every element of $\overline{\mathcal{L}_\alpha M}$ can be viewed as the equivalence class of a pair (x, Π) , where $x \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha M$, $\Pi: [0, 1] \times S^1 \rightarrow M$ is a path (called a *capping cylinder*) in $\mathcal{L}_\alpha M$ joining z_α and x , and $(x_1, \Pi_1) \sim (x_2, \Pi_2)$ if and only if $x_1 = x_2$ and $I_\omega^\alpha(\Pi_1 \# (-\Pi_2)) = I_{c_1}^\alpha(\Pi_1 \# (-\Pi_2)) = 0$. The action functional $\mathcal{A}_{H,\alpha}: \overline{\mathcal{L}_\alpha M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_{H,\alpha}([x, \Pi]) = - \int_{[0,1] \times S^1} \Pi^* \omega + \int_0^1 H_t(x(t)) dt.$$

Since $\pi^* I_\omega^\alpha = 0 \in H^1(\overline{\mathcal{L}_\alpha M}; \mathbb{R})$, the action functional $\mathcal{A}_{H,\alpha}$ is well-defined. The critical point set $\text{Crit}(\mathcal{A}_{H,\alpha})$ is equal to $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_1(H; \alpha) = \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{P}_1(H; \alpha))$. Fixing a symplectic trivialization of TM along the reference loop z_α and extending it to the capping $\Pi^* TM$ yield a trivialization of $x^* TM$. We then can associate the Conley–Zehnder index $\mu_{\text{CZ}}(H, \bar{x})$ to a non-degenerate capped one-periodic orbit $\bar{x} = [x, \Pi] \in \overline{\mathcal{L}_\alpha M}$ as in Section 6.2.

We assume that all the iterated homotopy classes α^k , $k \in \mathbb{N}$, are distinct and non-trivial. We choose the iterated loop z_α^k as a reference loop for α^k . Then the action functional $\mathcal{A}_{H,\alpha}$ is homogeneous with respect to iterations. Moreover, for any $\bar{x} \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_1(H; \alpha)$ and any $[w] \in \pi_1(\mathcal{L}_\alpha M, z_\alpha)$ we have

$$\mathcal{A}_{H,\alpha}(\bar{x} \# [w]) = \mathcal{A}_{H,\alpha}(\bar{x}) - I_\omega^\alpha([w]) \text{ and } \mu_{\text{CZ}}(H, \bar{x} \# [w]) = \mu_{\text{CZ}}(H, \bar{x}) - 2I_{c_1}^\alpha([w]).$$

Therefore, if (M, ω) is symplectically atoroidal, then the action functional and the Conley–Zehnder index are independent of the choice of capping cylinders. We define the action spectrum of $\mathcal{A}_{H,\alpha}$ by

$$\text{Spec}(H; \alpha) = \mathcal{A}_{H,\alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{P}}_1(H; \alpha)).$$

Under the above setup, we can define the $(\mathbb{Z}/2c_{1,\alpha}^{\min} \mathbb{Z})$ -graded Floer complex $(\text{CF}_*(H; \alpha), \partial^{H,J})$ and the Floer homology $\text{HF}_*(H; \alpha)$ in the class α .

Remark 6.8. In fact, the whole Floer homology $\text{HF}_*(H; \alpha)$ in the class $\alpha \neq 0$ always vanishes. This follows from the fact that the Floer homology is independent of the choice of Hamiltonians and every C^2 -small autonomous Hamiltonian has only contractible orbits. Therefore, it is essential to consider a filtration on the Floer homology for non-contractible orbits. Indeed, spectral invariants must be trivial in this setting.

We define a filtration function $\ell: \text{CF}_*(H; \alpha) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ by

$$\ell(\xi) = \max\{ \mathcal{A}_{H,\alpha}(\bar{x}) \mid \xi_{\bar{x}} \neq 0 \},$$

where $\xi = \sum \xi_{\bar{x}} \bar{x}$. Then the triple $(\text{CF}_*(H; \alpha), \partial^{H,J}, \ell)$ is a Floer-type complex over the field $\Lambda^{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \Gamma_\alpha}$.

Let H be a (not necessarily non-degenerate) Hamiltonian on M . We can define the Floer homology $\text{HF}^{(a,b]}(H; \alpha)$ of H to be $\text{HF}^{(a,b]}(K; \alpha)$ where K is a C^1 -small non-degenerate perturbation of H whenever $a, b \notin \text{Spec}(H; \alpha)$ (see e.g., [Gür13, GG16]).

Definition 6.9. Let (M, ω) be a toroidally monotone symplectic manifold and $H: S^1 \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a time-dependent Hamiltonian. Let $\alpha \in [S^1, M]$ be a non-trivial homotopy class. Given a degree $k \in \mathbb{Z}/2c_{1,\alpha}^{\min} \mathbb{Z}$, the Floer-type bipersistence module

$\mathbb{H}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(\text{CF}_*(H; \alpha))$ is called a *Hamiltonian bipersistence module in the class α in degree k* , denoted by $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{F}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(H; \alpha)$.

By Theorem 4.1, every Hamiltonian bipersistence module in a class α is weakly-exact. From this point onward, we assume that (M, ω) is symplectically atoroidal (i.e., $\Gamma_\alpha = \{0\}$). Hence, given a Hamiltonian H and a degree $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the Hamiltonian bipersistence module $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{F}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(H; \alpha)$ in the class α is p.f.d. By Theorem 4.1, we obtain the rectangle barcode of $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{F}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(H; \alpha)$ over \mathbb{R}^2 , denoted by $\mathcal{B}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(H; \alpha)$. We define $\mathcal{B}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(H; \alpha) = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{B}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(H; \alpha)$.

As in Theorem 6.5, one can prove the corresponding result.

Theorem 6.10. *Let (M, ω) be a symplectically atoroidal symplectic manifold. Let $\alpha \in [S^1, M]$ be a non-trivial homotopy class and H a non-degenerate Hamiltonian. For each degree $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the Hamiltonian bipersistence module $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{F}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(H; \alpha)$ in the class α contains the direct summand*

$$\bigoplus_{x \in \mathcal{P}_1(H; \alpha)} (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) R(\mathcal{A}_{H, \alpha}(x), \mathcal{A}_{H, \alpha}(x); \ell_{1x}, \ell_{2x}),$$

where the constants $\ell_{1x}, \ell_{2x} \in (0, \infty]$ are uniquely determined by $x \in \mathcal{P}_1(H; \alpha)$.

Remark 6.11. According to Remark 6.8, there are restrictions on the shapes of rectangles in the barcode $\mathcal{B}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(H; \alpha)$, as compared to the case of contractible periodic orbits. More precisely, since the whole Floer homology $\text{HF}_*(H; \alpha)$ in non-trivial α always vanishes, no rectangle of the type (S) appears in the direct sum in Theorem 6.10.

Remark 6.12. Even if a Hamiltonian H is degenerate, all isolated and homologically non-trivial (i.e., $\text{HF}_*^{\text{loc}}(x) \neq 0$) one-periodic orbits x of H have rectangles of the form $R(\mathcal{A}_{H, \alpha}(x), \mathcal{A}_{H, \alpha}(x); \ell_{1x}, \ell_{2x})$ in $\mathcal{B}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(H; \alpha)$. We note that every non-degenerate one-periodic orbit is isolated and homologically non-trivial.

Of course one has the following stability result corresponding to Theorem 6.6.

Theorem 6.13 (Dynamical Stability Theorem). *Let (M, ω) be a symplectically atoroidal symplectic manifold. Let $\alpha \in [S^1, M]$ be a non-trivial homotopy class. For any pair of non-degenerate Hamiltonians K and H , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\text{bot}} \left(\mathcal{B}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(K; \alpha), \mathcal{B}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(H; \alpha) \right) &\leq 3 d_{\text{int}} \left(\mathbb{H}\mathbb{F}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(K; \alpha), \mathbb{H}\mathbb{F}_k^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(H; \alpha) \right) \\ &\leq 3 d_{\text{Hofer}}(\varphi_K, \varphi_H). \end{aligned}$$

6.3.2. The spectral spread and its applications. As in Definition 5.9, we define the spectral spread of a Hamiltonian as follows. Given $d \geq 0$ and an action window $(a, b]$, let

$$\Phi_H^{(a, b], d} = \pi_{a, a+d}^{b+d} \circ \iota_{b, b+d}^a : \text{HF}_*^{(a, b]}(H; \alpha) \rightarrow \text{HF}_*^{(a+d, b+d]}(H; \alpha)$$

denote the comparison map between two action windows $(a, b]$ and $(a+d, b+d]$.

Definition 6.14. Let (M, ω) be a symplectically atoroidal symplectic manifold. Let $\alpha \in [S^1, M]$ be a non-trivial homotopy class and H a Hamiltonian. The *spectral spread* of a one-periodic orbit $x \in \mathcal{P}_1(H; \alpha)$ of H in the class α , denoted by $w_\alpha(H, x)$, is defined by

$$w_\alpha(H, x) = \sup \left\{ d \geq 0 \mid \Phi_H^{(a, b], d}([x]) \neq 0 \text{ for some action window } (a, b] \right\}.$$

We define the spectral spread of H in α by

$$w_\alpha(H) = \sup \left\{ d \geq 0 \mid \Phi_H^{(a, b], d} \neq 0 \text{ for some action window } (a, b] \right\}.$$

Clearly,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathcal{P}_1(H; \alpha)} w_\alpha(H, x) \leq w_\alpha(H).$$

The following properties are inspired by insights from [PS16, Proposition 4.2].

Proposition 6.15. *Let $\alpha \in [S^1, M]$ be a non-trivial homotopy class. Then the following assertions hold.*

- (i) *The spectral spread $w_\alpha(H)$ of a Hamiltonian H depends only on the Hamiltonian diffeomorphism φ_H . (Therefore, we write $w_\alpha(\varphi_H) = w_\alpha(H)$.)*
- (ii) *The function $w_\alpha: \text{Ham}(M) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is 1-Lipschitz with respect to the Hofer metric. Namely, for every pair of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms $\phi, \psi \in \text{Ham}(M)$, we have*

$$|w_\alpha(\phi) - w_\alpha(\psi)| \leq d_{\text{Hofer}}(\phi, \psi).$$

- (iii) *Let K be a Hamiltonian having a one-periodic orbit $x \in \mathcal{P}_1(K; \alpha)$. Let $\psi \in \text{Ham}(M)$ be a Hamiltonian diffeomorphism of M . Then*

$$w_\alpha(K, x) - w_\alpha(\psi) \leq d_{\text{Hofer}}(\varphi_K, \psi).$$

Proof. Claims (i) and (ii) follows an argument similar to [PS16, Proposition 4.2 (ii)]. Namely, if two Hamiltonian isotopies $\varphi_{H_1}^t$ and $\varphi_{H_2}^t$ define the same Hamiltonian diffeomorphism $\varphi_{H_1}^1 = \varphi_{H_2}^1$, then there exists a morphism $\text{HF}_*^{(a,b]}(H_1; \alpha) \rightarrow \text{HF}_*^{(a,b]}(H_2; \alpha)$, which preserves action windows $(a, b]$. This shows (i), see also [PS16, Remark 2.10].

To show (ii), let $\phi, \psi \in \text{Ham}(M)$. Choose Hamiltonians K and H generating ϕ and ψ , respectively. We have natural connecting homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{KH}: \text{HF}_*^{(a,b]}(K; \alpha) &\rightarrow \text{HF}_*^{(a,b]+E^+}(H; \alpha), \\ \sigma_{HK}: \text{HF}_*^{(a,b]}(H; \alpha) &\rightarrow \text{HF}_*^{(a,b]+E^-}(K; \alpha), \end{aligned}$$

where $E^+ = E^+(H - K)$ and $E^- = E^-(H - K) = E^+(K - H)$, see (11) and [Ush11, Section 2]. By (12), we have

$$\text{osc} = \text{osc}(H - K) = E^+(H - K) + E^-(H - K) = E^+ + E^-.$$

First we assume that

$$(13) \quad w_\alpha(K) > \text{osc}.$$

Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ so small that $w_\alpha(K) - \text{osc} - \varepsilon > 0$. Put $d = w_\alpha(K) - \text{osc} - \varepsilon > 0$. Since $\text{osc} + d < w_\alpha(K)$, by the definition of the spectral spread, one can find an action window I such that $\Phi_K^{I, \text{osc}+d} \neq 0$. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$(14) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{HF}_*^I(K; \alpha) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{KH}} & \text{HF}_*^{I+E^+}(H; \alpha) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_H^{I+E^+, d}} & \text{HF}_*^{I+E^++d}(H; \alpha) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{HK}} & \text{HF}_k^{I+\text{osc}+d}(K; \alpha) \\ & & & & \searrow \Phi_K^{I, \text{osc}+d} & & \end{array}$$

Therefore, $\Phi_K^{I, \text{osc}+d} \neq 0$ implies that $\Phi_H^{I+E^+, d} \neq 0$. It means that $d \leq w_\alpha(H)$, which is equivalent to $w_\alpha(K) - w_\alpha(H) \leq \text{osc} + \varepsilon$. Since ε is arbitrary,

$$w_\alpha(K) - w_\alpha(H) \leq \text{osc}.$$

If $w_\alpha(K) \geq w_\alpha(H)$, then $|w_\alpha(K) - w_\alpha(H)| \leq \text{osc}$. Otherwise, since $w_\alpha(H) > w_\alpha(K) > \text{osc}$, repeating the above argument yields that $w_\alpha(H) - w_\alpha(K) \leq \text{osc}$. In either case, assuming (13) leads to the conclusion that

$$|w_\alpha(K) - w_\alpha(H)| \leq \text{osc}.$$

Now we assume that $w_\alpha(K), w_\alpha(H) \leq \text{osc}$. Since $w_\alpha(K)$ and $w_\alpha(H)$ are non-negative,

$$\begin{aligned} |w_\alpha(K) - w_\alpha(H)| &= \max\{w_\alpha(K) - w_\alpha(H), w_\alpha(H) - w_\alpha(K)\} \\ &\leq \max\{w_\alpha(K), w_\alpha(H)\} \leq \text{osc}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$|w_\alpha(\phi) - w_\alpha(\psi)| \leq \inf_{\substack{\varphi_K = \phi \\ \varphi_H = \psi}} \text{osc}(H - K) = d_{\text{Hofer}}(\phi, \psi),$$

which completes the proof of (ii).

Claim (iii) follows from the first half of the proof of claim (ii). \square

Just as in Proposition 5.10, the spectral spread of a one-periodic orbit can be directly derived from the shorter side of the corresponding rectangle.

Proposition 6.16. *Let H be a Hamiltonian on M . If $x \in \mathcal{P}_1(H; \alpha)$ is an isolated and homologically non-trivial one-periodic orbit of H in the class α , then*

$$w_\alpha(H, x) = \min\{\ell_{1x}, \ell_{2x}\},$$

where $R(\mathcal{A}_{H,\alpha}(x), \mathcal{A}_{H,\alpha}(x); \ell_{1x}, \ell_{2x})$ denotes the corresponding rectangle (see Remark 6.12). Moreover,

$$w_\alpha(H) = \sup_{R \in \mathcal{B}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(H; \alpha)} \min\{\ell_{1R}, \ell_{2R}\},$$

where $\ell_{1R}, \ell_{2R} \in (0, \infty]$ are the lengths of a rectangle $R = R(C_1, C_2; \ell_{1R}, \ell_{2R})$ in $\mathcal{B}_*^{(\bullet, \bullet]}(H; \alpha)$.

The spectral spread helps us to find non-contractible orbits.

Proposition 6.17. *Let (M, ω) be a symplectically atoroidal symplectic manifold. Let $\alpha \in [S^1, M]$ be a non-trivial homotopy class and K a non-degenerate Hamiltonian on M . Assume that K has a one-periodic orbit x in the class α . If H is a non-degenerate Hamiltonian such that $d_{\text{Hofer}}(\varphi_K, \varphi_H) < \min\{\ell_{1x}, \ell_{2x}\}$, then H has a one-periodic orbit in the class α .*

Proof. Propositions 6.15 (iii) and 6.16, and the assumption yield that

$$0 < \min\{\ell_{1x}, \ell_{2x}\} - d_{\text{Hofer}}(\varphi_K, \varphi_H) = w_\alpha(K, x) - d_{\text{Hofer}}(\varphi_K, \varphi_H) \leq w_\alpha(\varphi_H).$$

Hence the comparison map $\Phi_H^{I,d}$ is non-zero for some action window I and some $d > 0$. In particular, $\text{HF}_*^I(H; \alpha) \neq 0$. Therefore H has a one-periodic orbit in the class α . \square

Remark 6.18. In the proof of Proposition 6.17, we have the following commutative diagram (recall (14)):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{HF}_*^{I-E^+}(K; \alpha) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{KH}} & \text{HF}_*^I(H; \alpha) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{HK}} & \text{HF}_k^{I+E^-}(K; \alpha) \\ & \searrow & & \nearrow & \\ & \Phi_K^{I-E^+, \text{osc}} & & & \end{array}$$

Here $E^+ = E^+(H - K)$ and $E^- = E^-(H - K) = E^+(K - H)$. Therefore, the dimension of the Floer homology $\text{HF}_*^I(H; \alpha)$ is greater than or equal to the rank of the comparison map $\Phi_K^{I-E^+, \text{osc}}$. In particular, we obtain a lower bound for the number of one-periodic orbits of K in the class α :

$$\#\{y \in \mathcal{P}_1(H; \alpha) \mid \mathcal{A}_{H,\alpha}(y) \in I\} \geq \dim \text{HF}_*^I(H; \alpha) \geq \text{rank } \Phi_K^{I-E^+, \text{osc}}.$$

See also [UZ16, Theorem 12.2].

We observe that Proposition 6.17 recovers some results on the existence of infinitely many non-contractible orbits. Here we show the following version of Gürel's theorem [Gür13, Theorem 1.1]. A periodic orbit is said to be *simple* if it cannot be obtained as several iterations of an orbit with a shorter period.

Theorem 6.19 (A special version of [Gür13, Theorem 1.1]). *Let (M, ω) be a symplectically atoroidal manifold. Let $H: S^1 \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-degenerate Hamiltonian having a one-periodic orbit x in the homotopy class α such that $[\alpha] \neq 0$ in $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z})/\text{Tor}$. Then for every sufficiently large prime p , the Hamiltonian H has a simple periodic orbit in the homotopy class α^p and with period either p or its next prime p' .*

Remark 6.20. In Gürel's original statement, H does not need to be non-degenerate. Instead, H has an isolated and homologically non-trivial one-periodic orbit x in the homotopy class α such that $[\alpha] \neq 0$ in $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z})/\text{Tor}$ and the set of one-periodic orbits of H with homology class $[\alpha]$ is finite. However, we give a proof of the simplified version (Theorem 6.19) for the sake of simplicity.

Proof of Theorem 6.19. According to [GG10], for every sufficiently large prime p , the iterated Hamiltonian $H^{\natural p}$ is non-degenerate. Fix a reference loop $z_\alpha \in \alpha$ and choose the iterated loop z_α^p as the reference loop for α^p . Let x be a one-periodic orbit of H in the class α . For sufficiently large prime p , its p -th iteration x^p (considered as a one-periodic orbit of $H^{\natural p}$ in the class α^p) is non-degenerate.

Assume that H has no simple p -periodic orbit in α^p . Since p is prime, every p -periodic orbit in α^p is the p -th iteration of a one-periodic orbit in α . Since the action functional is homogeneous with respect to iterations, we have

$$\text{Spec}(H^{\natural p}; \alpha^p) = p \text{Spec}(H; \alpha).$$

Therefore, the rectangles in $\mathcal{B}_*^{\bullet, \bullet}(H; \alpha)$ are also *homogeneous* with respect to iterations in the sense that

$$R(\mathcal{A}_{H^{\natural p}, \alpha^p}(x^p), \mathcal{A}_{H^{\natural p}, \alpha^p}(x^p); \ell_{1x^p}, \ell_{2x^p}) = R(p\mathcal{A}_{H, \alpha}(x), p\mathcal{A}_{H, \alpha}(x); p\ell_{1x}, p\ell_{2x}).$$

On the other hand, since the Hofer metric is bi-invariant,

$$d_{\text{Hofer}}(\varphi_H^p, \varphi_H^{p'}) = \|\varphi_H^{p'-p}\|_{\text{Hofer}} \leq (p' - p)\|\varphi_H\|_{\text{Hofer}}.$$

Since $p' - p = o(p)$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$ (see, e.g., [BHP01]), for sufficiently large prime p ,

$$d_{\text{Hofer}}(\varphi_H^p, \varphi_H^{p'}) \leq (p' - p)\|\varphi_H\|_{\text{Hofer}} < p \min\{\ell_{1x}, \ell_{2x}\} = \min\{\ell_{1x^p}, \ell_{2x^p}\}.$$

Now Proposition 6.17 yields that the Hamiltonian $H^{\natural p'}$ has a one-periodic orbit y in the class α^p . Assume that y is not simple. Since p' is prime, y is the p' -th iteration of a certain one-periodic orbit in the homology class $p[\alpha]/p' \in H_1(M; \mathbb{Z})/\text{Tor}$. Since p/p' is not an integer, we obtain $[\alpha] = 0$ which yields a contradiction. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Qi Feng and Vadim Lebovici for notable comments.

REFERENCES

- [AD14] Michèle Audin and Mihai Damian, *Morse theory and Floer homology*, Universitext, Springer, London; EDP Sciences, Les Ulis, 2014, Translated from the 2010 French original by Reinie Ern . MR 3155456
- [Azu50] Gor  Azumaya, *Corrections and supplementaries to my paper concerning Krull-Remak-Schmidt's theorem*, Nagoya Math. J. **1** (1950), 117–124. MR 37832
- [BCB20] Magnus Bakke Botnan and William Crawley-Boevey, *Decomposition of persistence modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **148** (2020), no. 11, 4581–4596. MR 4143378

- [BH04] Augustin Banyaga and David Hurtubise, *Lectures on Morse homology*, Kluwer Texts in the Mathematical Sciences, vol. 29, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 2004. MR 2145196
- [BHP01] R. C. Baker, G. Harman, and J. Pintz, *The difference between consecutive primes. II*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **83** (2001), no. 3, 532–562. MR 1851081
- [Bje21] Håvard Bakke Bjerkevik, *On the stability of interval decomposable persistence modules*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **66** (2021), no. 1, 92–121. MR 4270636
- [BL15] Ulrich Bauer and Michael Lesnick, *Induced matchings and the algebraic stability of persistence barcodes*, J. Comput. Geom. **6** (2015), no. 2, 162–191. MR 3333456
- [BL18] Magnus Bakke Botnan and Michael Lesnick, *Algebraic stability of zigzag persistence modules*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **18** (2018), no. 6, 3133–3204. MR 3868218
- [BL20] Ulrich Bauer and Michael Lesnick, *Persistence diagrams as diagrams: a categorification of the stability theorem*, Topological data analysis—the Abel Symposium 2018, Abel Symp., vol. 15, Springer, Cham, [2020] ©2020, pp. 67–96. MR 4338669
- [BL23] Magnus Bakke Botnan and Michael Lesnick, *An introduction to multiparameter persistence*, Representations of algebras and related structures, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., EMS Press, Berlin, [2023] ©2023, pp. 77–150. MR 4693638
- [BLO22] Magnus Bakke Botnan, Vadim Lebovici, and Steve Oudot, *On rectangle-decomposable 2-parameter persistence modules*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **68** (2022), no. 4, 1078–1101. MR 4517095
- [BLO23] Magnus B. Botnan, Vadim Lebovici, and Steve Oudot, *Local characterizations for decomposability of 2-parameter persistence modules*, Algebr. Represent. Theory **26** (2023), no. 6, 3003–3046. MR 4681340
- [BOOS24] Magnus Bakke Botnan, Steffen Oppermann, Steve Oudot, and Luis Scoccola, *On the bottleneck stability of rank decompositions of multi-parameter persistence modules*, 2024.
- [Car09] Gunnar Carlsson, *Topology and data*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **46** (2009), no. 2, 255–308. MR 2476414
- [CB15] William Crawley-Boevey, *Decomposition of pointwise finite-dimensional persistence modules*, J. Algebra Appl. **14** (2015), no. 5, 1550066, 8. MR 3323327
- [CCSG⁺09] Frédéric Chazal, David Cohen-Steiner, Marc Glisse, Leonidas J. Guibas, and Steve Y. Oudot, *Proximity of persistence modules and their diagrams*, Proceedings of the Twenty-Fifth Annual Symposium on Computational Geometry (New York, NY, USA), SCG '09, Association for Computing Machinery, 2009, p. 237–246.
- [CdS10] Gunnar Carlsson and Vin de Silva, *Zigzag persistence*, Found. Comput. Math. **10** (2010), no. 4, 367–405. MR 2657946
- [CdSGO16] Frédéric Chazal, Vin de Silva, Marc Glisse, and Steve Oudot, *The structure and stability of persistence modules*, SpringerBriefs in Mathematics, Springer, [Cham], 2016. MR 3524869
- [CdSM09] Gunnar Carlsson, Vin de Silva, and Dmitriy Morozov, *Zigzag persistent homology and real-valued functions*, Proceedings of the Twenty-Fifth Annual Symposium on Computational Geometry (New York, NY, USA), SCG '09, Association for Computing Machinery, 2009, p. 247–256.
- [CGL21] Wojciech Chachólski, Barbara Giunti, and Claudia Landi, *Invariants for tame parametrised chain complexes*, Homology Homotopy Appl. **23** (2021), no. 2, 183–213. MR 4281380
- [CO20] Jérémy Cochoy and Steve Oudot, *Decomposition of exact pfd persistence bimodules*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **63** (2020), no. 2, 255–293. MR 4057439
- [CSEH07] David Cohen-Steiner, Herbert Edelsbrunner, and John Harer, *Stability of persistence diagrams*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **37** (2007), no. 1, 103–120. MR 2279866
- [Flo88] Andreas Floer, *Morse theory for Lagrangian intersections*, J. Differential Geom. **28** (1988), no. 3, 513–547. MR 965228
- [Flo89] ———, *Symplectic fixed points and holomorphic spheres*, Comm. Math. Phys. **120** (1989), no. 4, 575–611. MR 987770
- [GG10] Viktor L. Ginzburg and Başak Z. Gürel, *Local Floer homology and the action gap*, J. Symplectic Geom. **8** (2010), no. 3, 323–357. MR 2684510
- [GG16] ———, *Non-contractible periodic orbits in Hamiltonian dynamics on closed symplectic manifolds*, Compos. Math. **152** (2016), no. 9, 1777–1799. MR 3568939
- [Ghr08] Robert Ghrist, *Barcodes: the persistent topology of data*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **45** (2008), no. 1, 61–75. MR 2358377
- [GR92] P. Gabriel and A. V. Roïter, *Representations of finite-dimensional algebras*, Algebra, VIII, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., vol. 73, Springer, Berlin, 1992, With a chapter by B. Keller, pp. 1–177. MR 1239447

- [Gür13] Bařak Z. Gürel, *On non-contractible periodic orbits of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **45** (2013), no. 6, 1227–1234. MR 3138490
- [Hof90] H. Hofer, *On the topological properties of symplectic maps*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **115** (1990), no. 1-2, 25–38. MR 1059642
- [HZ11] Helmut Hofer and Eduard Zehnder, *Symplectic invariants and Hamiltonian dynamics*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2011, Reprint of the 1994 edition. MR 2797558
- [LM95] François Lalonde and Dusa McDuff, *The geometry of symplectic energy*, Ann. of Math. (2) **141** (1995), no. 2, 349–371. MR 1324138
- [MS12] Dusa McDuff and Dietmar Salamon, *J-holomorphic curves and symplectic topology*, second ed., American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. 52, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012. MR 2954391
- [MS17] ———, *Introduction to symplectic topology*, third ed., Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2017. MR 3674984
- [Oh05] Yong-Geun Oh, *Construction of spectral invariants of Hamiltonian paths on closed symplectic manifolds*, The breadth of symplectic and Poisson geometry, Progr. Math., vol. 232, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2005, pp. 525–570. MR 2103018
- [Oh06] ———, *Lectures on Floer theory and spectral invariants of Hamiltonian flows*, Morse theoretic methods in nonlinear analysis and in symplectic topology, NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem., vol. 217, Springer, Dordrecht, 2006, pp. 321–416. MR 2276955
- [Ori17] Ryuma Orita, *Non-contractible periodic orbits in Hamiltonian dynamics on tori*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **49** (2017), no. 4, 571–580. MR 3725480
- [Ori19] ———, *On the existence of infinitely many non-contractible periodic orbits of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms of closed symplectic manifolds*, J. Symplectic Geom. **17** (2019), no. 6, 1893–1927. MR 4057730
- [Pol93] Leonid Polterovich, *Symplectic displacement energy for Lagrangian submanifolds*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **13** (1993), no. 2, 357–367. MR 1235478
- [PR14] Leonid Polterovich and Daniel Rosen, *Function theory on symplectic manifolds*, CRM Monograph Series, vol. 34, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2014. MR 3241729
- [PRSZ20] Leonid Polterovich, Daniel Rosen, Karina Samvelyan, and Jun Zhang, *Topological persistence in geometry and analysis*, University Lecture Series, vol. 74, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, [2020] ©2020. MR 4249570
- [PS16] Leonid Polterovich and Egor Shelukhin, *Autonomous Hamiltonian flows, Hofer’s geometry and persistence modules*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **22** (2016), no. 1, 227–296. MR 3437837
- [Sch93] Matthias Schwarz, *Morse homology*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 111, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1993. MR 1239174
- [Sch00] ———, *On the action spectrum for closed symplectically aspherical manifolds*, Pacific J. Math. **193** (2000), no. 2, 419–461. MR 1755825
- [Sug23] Yoshihiro Sugimoto, *On the hofer-zehnder conjecture for non-contractible periodic orbits in hamiltonian dynamics*, 2023.
- [SZ92] Dietmar Salamon and Eduard Zehnder, *Morse theory for periodic solutions of Hamiltonian systems and the Maslov index*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **45** (1992), no. 10, 1303–1360. MR 1181727
- [Ush11] Michael Usher, *Boundary depth in Floer theory and its applications to Hamiltonian dynamics and coisotropic submanifolds*, Israel J. Math. **184** (2011), 1–57. MR 2823968
- [Ush12] ———, *Many closed symplectic manifolds have infinite Hofer-Zehnder capacity*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **364** (2012), no. 11, 5913–5943. MR 2946937
- [Ush13] ———, *Hofer’s metrics and boundary depth*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **46** (2013), no. 1, 57–128. MR 3087390
- [Ush23] Michael Usher, *Abstract interlevel persistence for morse-novikov and floer theory*, 2023.
- [UZ16] Michael Usher and Jun Zhang, *Persistent homology and Floer-Novikov theory*, Geom. Topol. **20** (2016), no. 6, 3333–3430. MR 3590354
- [Vit92] Claude Viterbo, *Symplectic topology as the geometry of generating functions*, Math. Ann. **292** (1992), no. 4, 685–710. MR 1157321

(Ryuma Orita) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, NIIGATA UNIVERSITY,
NIIGATA 950-2181, JAPAN

Email address: orita@math.sc.niigata-u.ac.jp

URL: <https://ryuma-orita.netlify.app/>

(Kanon Yashiro) GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, NIIGATA UNIVERSITY,
NIIGATA 950-2181, JAPAN

Email address: yashiro@m.sc.niigata-u.ac.jp

URL: <https://sites.google.com/view/kanon-yashiro/>