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#### Abstract

In this article, we consider the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on the cylinder $\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}$. In the long range case, we show there is no linear scattering state of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on $\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}$. In the short range case, we show the decay and scattering of solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on $\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}$ for small data.
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## 1. Introduction

In this article, we consider the defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS) posed on the cylinder $\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}$ for $d \geq 1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \partial_{t} u+\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}} u=|u|^{p-1} u \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u(t, y, x): \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an unknown function, and $1<p<1+\frac{4}{d}$ when $d \geq 1$.
Equation (1.1) has the following conserved quantities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { mass: } & \mathcal{M}(u(t))=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{y}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}_{x}}|u(t, y, x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} x \\
\text { energy: } & \mathcal{E}(u(t))=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{y}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}_{x}}\left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u(t, y, x)|^{2}+\frac{1}{p+1}|u(t, y, x)|^{p+1} \mathrm{~d} y\right) \mathrm{d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

The equation (1.1) in lower dimensions describes wave propagation in nonlinear and dispersive media. It also figures in the time-dependent Landau-Ginzburg model of phase transitions.

In the last two decades, there are a lot works on the well-posedness and scattering of defocusing NLS on $\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}$. On one hand, when considering the well-posedness of the Cauchy problem (1.1), intuitively, it is determined by the local geometry of the manifold $\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}$. The manifold is locally $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$, so the wellposedness is the same as the Euclidean case, that is when $1<p \leq 1+\frac{4}{d-1}$ the global well-posedness is expected. Just as the Euclidean case, we say the equation is energy-subcritical when $1<p<1+\frac{4}{d-1}$, $d \geq 1$ and energy-critical when $p=1+\frac{4}{d-1}, d \geq 2$. On the other hand, when considering the scattering of (1.1), scattering is expected to be determined by the asymptotic volume growth of a ball with radius $r$ in the manifold $\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}$ when $r \rightarrow \infty$. From the heuristic that linear solutions with frequency $\sim N$

[^0]initially localized around the origin will disperse at time t in the ball of radius $\sim N t$, scattering is expected to be determined by the asymptotic volume growth of balls with respect to their radius. Since $\inf _{z \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}} \operatorname{Vol}_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}}(B(z, r)) \sim r^{d}$, as $r \rightarrow \infty$, the linear solution is expected to decay at a rate $\sim t^{-\frac{d}{2}}$ and based on the scattering theory on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, the solution of (1.1) is expected to scatter for $p \geq 1+\frac{4}{d}$. Moreover, scattering in the small data case is expected for $1+\frac{2}{d}<p<1+\frac{4}{d}$ when $d \geq 1$.

Therefore, regarding heuristic on the well-posedness and scattering, the solution of (1.1) globally exists and scatters in the range $1+\frac{4}{d} \leq p \leq 1+\frac{4}{d-1}$. For $1+\frac{2}{d}<p<1+\frac{4}{d}$ when $d \geq 1$, scattering is expected as in the Euclidean space case for small data. This heuristic has been justified in [2-4, 9-11]. In this paper, we are interested in the scattering theory of (1.1) when $1<p<1+\frac{4}{d}$. It is believed that in the long range case when $1<p<1+\frac{2}{d}$, the solutions to (1.1) do not scatter. And it is also expected that in the short range case when $1+\frac{2}{d}<p<1+\frac{4}{d}$, the solutions to (1.1) scatter for small data. Therefore, we will give a rigorous proof of these facts.

In the following, we present the main result of this paper.
Theorem 1.1. Let $1<p<1+\frac{4}{d}$ and $d \geq 1$. Then, the following statements hold true:

- If $1<p \leq \min \left\{2,1+\frac{2}{d}\right\}$, then, the solutions to (1.1) do not scatter in $L_{y, x}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}\right)$.
- If $1+\frac{2}{d}<p<1+\frac{4}{d}$, then the solution to (1.1) scatters in $H^{1}$ for small data in $\Sigma$, where $\Sigma$ is defined by

$$
\Sigma:=\left\{f \in H_{y, x}^{2}:\|f\|_{\Sigma}:=\left\|\langle y\rangle^{2} f\right\|_{L_{y, x}^{2}}+\|\langle y\rangle \nabla f\|_{L_{y, x}^{2}}+\|f\|_{H_{y, x}^{2}}<\infty\right\} .
$$

The argument of the proof of the non-scattering part is based on the argument of W. A. Strauss [8] for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on the Euclidean space, which relys on the dispersive estimate of $e^{i t \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}}}$. The proof of small data scattering is based on the commutator method introduced by H. P. McKean and J. Shatah [5]. To show small data scattering, we can reduce the scattering to the decay estimates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(t, y, x)\|_{L_{y}^{\infty} H_{x}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}\right)} \leq C t^{-\frac{d}{2}} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by introducing a vector field $\left(|J(t)|^{s} u\right)(t, y, x)$ which is roughly $\left(t^{s}\left(-\Delta_{y}\right)^{\frac{s}{2}} e^{-i t \Delta_{x}} u\right)(t, y, x)$, it suffices to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(t, y, x)\|_{L_{y}^{\infty} H_{x}^{1}} \leq C t^{-s}\left\||J(t)|^{s} u(t, y, x)\right\|_{L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}^{\theta}\|u(t)\|_{L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}^{1-\theta} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $|J(t)|^{s} u(t)$ satisfies

$$
\left(i \partial_{t}+\Delta_{y}\right)|J(t)|^{s} u(t)=|J(t)|^{s} e^{-i t \Delta_{x}}\left(|u(t)|^{p-1} u(t)\right)
$$

First, we find that the $L_{y}^{2 p} H_{x}^{1}$ decay estimate, roughly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(t, y, x)\|_{L_{y}^{2 p} H_{x}^{1}} \leq C t^{-\frac{d}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)}\left\||J(t)|^{s} u(t, y, x)\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s=\frac{d}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)$, is enough for scattering. Since $s<2$ for $p<1+\frac{4}{d}$, each term in the equation of $|J(t)|^{s} u$ can be estimated easily in our case. Second, to establish (1.4), we transform it to the corresponding estimate of the inverse of $|J(t)|^{s}$, which can be reduced to the $L^{p}$ estimate of resolvent.
1.1. Notation and Preliminaries. We will use the notation $\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{R} /(2 \pi \mathbb{Z})$ is torus. In the following, we will frequently use some space-time norm, we now give the definition of it.

For any time interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}, u(t, y, x): I \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, define the space-time norm

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{L_{t}^{q} L_{y}^{r} L_{x}^{2}\left(I \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}\right)} & =\| \|\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}}|u(t, y, x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|_{L_{y}^{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}\right\|_{L_{t}^{q}(I)} \\
\|u\|_{H_{y, x}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}\right)} & =\left\|\left\langle\nabla_{x}\right\rangle u\right\|_{L_{y, x}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}\right)}+\left\|\left\langle\nabla_{y}\right\rangle u\right\|_{L_{y, x}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We will frequently use the partial Fourier transform: For $f(y, x): \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$,

$$
\left(\mathcal{F}_{y} f\right)(\xi, x)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{-i y \cdot \xi} f(y, x) \mathrm{d} y
$$

We denote $a^{ \pm}$to be any quantity of the form $a \pm \epsilon$ for any $\epsilon>0$.

## 2. Nonexistence of the linear scattering state in the long range case

In the section, we discuss the long time behaviour of (1.1) in the long range case for $1<p<1+\frac{2}{d}$ when $d \geq 2$ and $1<p \leq 2$ when $d=1$. We will show the only asymptotically free solution to (1.1) is identically zero.

Theorem 2.1. If $u$ is a solution of (1.1), then for any $h \in L_{y, x}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}\right)$,

Before giving the proof, we prove an auxiliary lemma.
Lemma 2.2. There is a positive constant $c_{0}$ such that for targe enough, we have

$$
t^{\frac{d(p-1)}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}}\left|\left(e^{i t \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}}} h\right)(y, x)\right|^{p+1} \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} x \geq c_{0}
$$

Proof. By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{|y| \leq K t} \int_{\mathbb{T}}\left|\left(e^{i t \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}}} h\right)(y, x)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \\
& \leq(K t)^{\frac{d(p-1)}{2}}\left\|e^{i t \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}}} h\right\|_{L_{y}^{p+1}}^{p+1} L_{x}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}\right) \\
& \lesssim(K t)^{\frac{d(p-1)}{2}}\left\|e^{i t \Delta_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}_{\times \mathbb{T}}} h\right\|_{L_{y, x}^{p+1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{|y| \leq K t} \int_{\mathbb{T}}\left|\left(e^{i t \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}}} h\right)(y, x)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} x \\
&= \int_{|y| \leq K t} \int_{\mathbb{T}}\left|\frac{1}{(4 \pi i t)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{\frac{i|y-\tilde{y}|^{2}}{4 t}} h(\tilde{y}, x) \mathrm{d} \tilde{y}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} x \\
&= \int_{|y| \leq K t} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{(4 \pi t)^{d}} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2 d}} e^{\frac{i\left(\tilde{y}^{2}-\tilde{\tilde{y}}^{2}\right)+2 i y(\tilde{y}-\tilde{y})}{4 t}} \overline{h(\tilde{\tilde{y}}, x)} h(\tilde{y}, x) \mathrm{d} \tilde{y} \mathrm{~d} \tilde{\tilde{y}} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y \\
&= \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{d}} \int_{|\xi| \leq \frac{K}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2 d}} e^{\frac{i\left(\tilde{y}^{2}-\tilde{\tilde{y}}^{2}\right)}{4 t}+i(\tilde{y}-\tilde{\tilde{y}}) \xi} h(\tilde{y}, x) \overline{h(\tilde{\tilde{y}}, x)} \mathrm{d} \tilde{y} \mathrm{~d} \tilde{\tilde{y}} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} \xi \\
& \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{d}} \int_{|\xi| \leq \frac{K}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{T}}\left(\mathcal{F}_{y} h\right)(\xi, x) \overline{\left(\mathcal{F}_{y} h\right)(\xi, x)} \mathrm{d} \xi \mathrm{~d} x=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{d}} \int_{|\xi| \leq \frac{K}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{T}}\left|\left(\mathcal{F}_{y} h\right)(\xi, x)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \xi \mathrm{~d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we turn to prove Theorem 2.1.
Proof of Theorem [2.1] Suppose by contradiction that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u(t)-e^{i t \Delta_{\mathbb{R}} d_{\times \mathbb{T}}} h\right\|_{L_{y, x}^{2}} \rightarrow 0, \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $h \in L_{y, x}^{2}$.
By the unitary of the operator $e^{i t \Delta_{\mathbb{R}} d_{\times \mathbb{T}}}$ in $L_{y, x}^{2}$, we see

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d t} \mathfrak{I} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}}\left(e^{\left.-i t \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}} u\right)(t, y, x) \cdot \overline{h(y, x)} \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} x}\right. \\
= & \mathfrak{I} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}} e^{-i t \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{I}}}\left(i|u|^{p-1} u\right)(t, y, x) \cdot \overline{h(y, x)} \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} x \\
= & \mathfrak{I} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}}\left(i|u|^{p-1} u\right)(t, y, x) \overline{\left(e^{\left.i t \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}} h\right)(t, y, x)} \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} x .\right.}
\end{aligned}
$$

By

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{I} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}}\left(e^{\left.-i T \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{I}} u\right)(T, y, x) \cdot \overline{h(y, x)} \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} x}\right. \\
= & \mathfrak{I} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}} h(y, x) \overline{h(y, x)} \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} x+\mathfrak{I} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}}\left(\left(e^{\left.\left.-i T \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{I}} u\right)(T, y, x)-h(y, x)\right) \overline{h(y, x)} \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} x}\right.\right. \\
\rightarrow & 0, \text { as } T \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} \mathfrak{I} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}} i|u|^{p-1} u \cdot \overline{e^{i t \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}} h}} \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a limit as $T \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\mathfrak{I} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}}\left(i|u|^{p-1} u-i\left|e^{i t \Delta_{\mathbb{R}} d_{\times \mathbb{T}}} h\right|^{p-1} e^{i t \Delta_{\mathbb{R}} d_{\times \mathbb{I}}} h\right) \overline{e^{i t \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}}} h} \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} x\right| \\
& \lesssim\left(\|u\|_{L_{y, x}^{2}}+\|h\|_{L_{y, x}^{2}}\right)^{p-1}\|h\|_{L_{y, x}^{2}, p}^{2-p}\left\|e^{i t \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}}} h\right\|_{L_{y, x}^{\infty}}^{p-1}\left\|u(t)-e^{i t \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}} h}\right\|_{L_{y, x}^{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

## Cheng and Zheng

where we need the assumption $p \leq 2$. The above inequality together with (2.1), Lemma 2.2) and

$$
\left\|e^{i t \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}}} h\right\|_{L_{y, x}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})} \lesssim\left\|e^{i t \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}}} h\right\|_{L_{y}^{\infty} H_{x}^{1}} \lesssim|t|^{-\frac{d}{2}}\|h\|_{L_{y}^{1} H_{x}^{1}},
$$

yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{I} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}} i|u|^{p-1} u \cdot \overline{e^{i t \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}}} h} \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} x \geq \frac{c_{0}}{2} t^{-\frac{d(p-1)}{2}} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies the left side of (2.3) is not integrable for $p \leq 1+\frac{2}{d}$, we have a contradiction to (2.2). Thus, we complete the proof of Theorem 2.1.

## 3. Small data scattering in the short Range case

In the section, we study the long time behaviour of (1.1) in the short range case for $1+\frac{2}{d}<p<1+\frac{4}{d}$ when $d \geq 1$.

We consider

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
i \partial_{t} u+\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}} u=|u|^{p-1} u,  \tag{3.1}\\
u(h, y, x)=u_{0}(y, x),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $u:[h, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, h>0, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, x \in \mathbb{T}$.
Theorem 3.1. For $d \geq 1,1+\frac{2}{d}<p<1+\frac{4}{d}$, if $\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\Sigma}$ is sufficiently small, then the solution to (3.1) globally exists. Moreover, for any $\gamma<\frac{d}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)$, we have the decay estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(t, y, x)\|_{L_{y}^{2 p} H_{x}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}\right)} \leq C t^{-\gamma}, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and as a consequence, there exists $u_{+} \in H^{1}$ such that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u(t)-e^{i t \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times T}} u_{+}\right\|_{H^{1}}=0 .
$$

First, we recall the basic resolvent estimate, which is extended to the Schrödinger operator with inverse square potentials, see [6,7].

Lemma 3.2 (Resolvent estimate). The following weighted resolvent estimate holds for $\lambda>0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\left(\lambda-\Delta_{\left.\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)^{-1}} f\left\|_{L^{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}\left(d-2-d\left(\frac{1}{r}+1-\frac{1}{q}\right)\right)}\right\| f \|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}\right. \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1 \leq q \leq r \leq \infty$.
Define the commutator operator: for any $s \in(0,2)$,

$$
|J(t)|^{s} u(t, y, x)=M(t)\left(-t^{2} \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\right)^{\frac{s}{2}} M(-t) e^{-i t \Delta_{\mathbb{T}}} u(t, y, x)
$$

where $M(t)=e^{\frac{i|y|^{2}}{4 t}}$. Moreover, we can see that $|J(t)|^{s} u(t, y, x)$ satisfies

$$
\left(i \partial_{t}+\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\right)|J(t)|^{s} u(t, y, x)=|J(t)|^{s} e^{-i t \Delta_{\mathbb{T}}}\left(|u(t, y, x)|^{p-1} u(t, y, x)\right) .
$$

Let $s=\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)\right)^{+}$. By Strichartz estimate, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\||J(t)|^{s} u\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}} \leq C\left\||J(h)|^{s} u(h)\right\|_{L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}+C\left\||J(t)|^{s}\left(|u|^{p-1} u\right)\right\|_{L_{t}^{q_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{1}^{\prime}} H_{x}^{1}}, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(q_{1}, r_{1}\right)$ is a admissible pair, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1} \in\left(\frac{2}{d(p-1)-2}, \infty\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to apply continuity method, we have to bound $\left\||J(t)|^{s}\left(|u|^{p-1} u\right)\right\|_{L_{t}^{q_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{1}^{\prime} H_{x}^{1}}}$ by $\left\||J(t)|^{s} u\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}$. We will first present some properties of the commutator operator, especially "Sobolev embedding theorem".
Lemma 3.3. For $u \in H_{y}^{s}, s=\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)\right)^{+}$, there exists some $0<\eta<1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L_{y}^{2 p}} \leq C\left\|\left(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\right)^{\frac{s}{2}} u\right\|_{L_{y}^{2}}+C\left\|\left(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\right)^{\frac{s}{2}} u\right\|_{L_{y}^{2}}^{1-\eta}\|u\|_{L_{y}^{2}}^{\eta} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We only need to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(-\Delta_{y}\right)^{-\frac{s}{2}} u\right\|_{L^{2 p}} \leq C\|u\|_{L^{2}}+C\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{1-\eta}\left\|\left(-\Delta_{y}\right)^{-\frac{s}{2}} u\right\|_{L^{2}}^{\eta} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $u \in H_{y}^{s}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-\Delta_{y}\right)^{-\frac{s}{2}} u=c(s)^{-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-\frac{s}{2}}\left(\lambda-\Delta_{y}\right)^{-1} u \mathrm{~d} \lambda \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

converges strongly in $L^{2 p}$, where $c(s):=\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{-\frac{s}{2}}(1+\tau)^{-1} \mathrm{~d} \tau$. In fact, 3.3) and Sobolev inequality imply

$$
\int_{1}^{\infty}\left\|\lambda^{-\frac{s}{2}}\left(\lambda-\Delta_{y}\right)^{-1} u\right\|_{L^{2 p}} \mathrm{~d} \lambda \lesssim \int_{1}^{\infty} \lambda^{-\frac{s}{2}-1}\|u\|_{L_{y}^{2 p}} \mathrm{~d} \lambda \lesssim\|u\|_{H_{y}^{s}},
$$

and

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left\|\lambda^{-\frac{s}{2}}\left(\lambda-\Delta_{y}\right)^{-1} u\right\|_{L^{2 p}} \mathrm{~d} \lambda \lesssim\|u\|_{L^{\alpha}} \int_{0}^{1} \lambda^{-\frac{s}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(d-2-d\left(\frac{1}{2 p}+1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\right)} \mathrm{d} \lambda \lesssim\|u\|_{H_{y}^{s}}
$$

for $\frac{1}{\alpha}>\frac{1}{2 p}+\frac{s}{d}$. Thus, (3.9) holds in $L_{y}^{2 p}$.
By (3.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\int_{1}^{\infty} \lambda^{-\frac{s}{2}}\left(\lambda-\Delta_{y}\right)^{-1} u \mathrm{~d} \lambda\right\|_{L^{2 p}} \lesssim\|u\|_{L^{2}} \int_{1}^{\infty} \lambda^{-\frac{s}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(d-2-d\left(\frac{1}{2 p}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)} \mathrm{d} \lambda \lesssim\|u\|_{L^{2}} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used $s=\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)\right)^{+}$.
By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\int_{0}^{1} \lambda^{-\frac{s}{2}}\left(\lambda-\Delta_{y}\right)^{-1} u \mathrm{~d} \lambda\right\|_{L^{2 p}} \leq\left\|\int_{0}^{1} \lambda^{-\frac{s}{2}}\left(\lambda-\Delta_{y}\right)^{-1} u \mathrm{~d} \lambda\right\|_{L^{\mu}}^{1-\eta}\left\|\int_{0}^{1} \lambda^{-\frac{s}{2}}\left(\lambda-\Delta_{y}\right)^{-1} u \mathrm{~d} \lambda\right\|_{L^{2}}^{\eta} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\gamma}=\frac{s}{d}, \mu>\gamma, \frac{1-\eta}{\mu}+\frac{\eta}{2}=\frac{1}{2 p}$. By (3.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\int_{0}^{1} \lambda^{-\frac{s}{2}}\left(\lambda-\Delta_{y}\right)^{-1} u \mathrm{~d} \lambda\right\|_{L^{\mu}} \lesssim\|u\|_{L^{2}} \int_{0}^{1} \lambda^{-\frac{s}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(d-2-d\left(\frac{1}{\mu}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)} \mathrm{d} \lambda \lesssim\|u\|_{L^{2}} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again by (3.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\int_{0}^{1} \lambda^{-\frac{s}{2}}\left(\lambda-\Delta_{y}\right)^{-1} u \mathrm{~d} \lambda\right\|_{L^{2}} & \lesssim\left\|\int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-\frac{s}{2}}\left(\lambda-\Delta_{y}\right)^{-1} u \mathrm{~d} \lambda\right\|_{L^{2}}+\|u\|_{L^{2}} \int_{1}^{\infty} \lambda^{-\frac{s}{2}-1} \mathrm{~d} \lambda  \tag{3.12}\\
& \lesssim\left\|\left(-\Delta_{y}\right)^{-\frac{s}{2}} u\right\|_{L^{2}}+\|u\|_{L^{2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (3.9), (3.10), (3.11), and (3.12), we get (3.7).

## Cheng and Zheng

As a direct consequence of Lemma 3.3, we easily obtain the following lemma.
Lemma 3.4. Taking $s=\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)\right)^{+}$, there exists some $0<\eta<1$ such that for $s_{0}=\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)\right)^{-}$, we have

$$
\|u(t, y, x)\|_{L_{y}^{2 p} H_{x}^{1}} \leq C t^{-s_{0}}\left(\left\||J(t)|^{s} u\right\|_{L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}+\left\||J(t)|^{s} u\right\|_{L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}^{1-\eta}\|u\|_{L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}^{\eta}\right) .
$$

Now we turn to the estimate of the nonlinear term.
Lemma 3.5. There exists $0<\theta<1$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\||J(t)|^{s}\left(|u(t)|^{p-1} u(t)\right)\right\|_{L_{t}^{q_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{1}^{\prime}} H_{x}^{1}}  \tag{3.13}\\
& \leq C\left(\left\||J(t)|^{s} u(t)\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}+\|u\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}\right)\left(\left\||J(t)|^{s} u\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}^{\theta}+\left\||J(t)|^{s} u\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}^{\theta \eta}\|u\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}^{(1-\eta) \theta}{ }^{p-1}\right. \\
& \quad+C(h)\left(\left\||J(t)|^{s} u\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}^{\theta}+\left\||J(t)|^{s} u\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}^{\eta \theta}\|u\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}^{(1-\eta)}\right)^{p} .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{r}_{1}=\frac{2 r_{1}}{r_{1}+2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\||J(t)|^{s}\left(|u|^{p-1} u\right)\right\|_{L_{t}^{q_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{1}^{\prime}} H_{x}^{1}} \\
\leq & \left\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} M(-t)\left(|u|^{p-1} u\right)\right\|_{L_{t}^{q_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{1}^{\prime}} H_{x}^{1}}+\left\||u|^{p-1} u\right\|_{L_{t}^{q_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{1}^{\prime}} H_{x}^{1}} \\
\leq & \left\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}(M(-t) u)\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}\left\||u|^{p-1}\right\|_{L_{t}^{q_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{1}^{\prime}} H_{x}^{1}}+\| \| u\left\|_{L_{y}^{r_{1}^{\prime} p} H_{x}^{1}}\right\|_{L_{t}^{q_{1}^{\prime}}} \\
\leq & C\left(\left\||J(t)|^{s} u\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}+\|u\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}\right)\left\||u|^{p-1}\right\|_{L_{t}^{q_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{1}^{\prime}} H_{x}^{1}}+\| \| u\left\|_{L_{y}^{r_{1}^{\prime} p} H_{x}^{1}}\right\|_{L_{t}^{q_{1}^{\prime}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

First, we consider $\left\|\|u\|_{L_{y}^{r_{1}^{\prime} p} H_{x}^{1}}^{p}\right\|_{L_{t}^{q_{1}^{\prime}}}$. By Hölder's inequality and Lemma3.4, we have

$$
\|u\|_{L_{y}^{r_{1}^{\prime} p} H_{x}^{1}} \leq\|u\|_{L_{y}^{2 p} H_{x}^{1}}^{\theta}\|u\|_{L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}^{1-\theta} \lesssim t^{-d\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{r_{1}^{p} p}\right)+\epsilon}\left(\left\||J(t)|^{s} u\right\|_{L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}^{\theta}+\left\||J(t)|^{s} u\right\|_{L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}^{\theta(1-\eta)}\|u\|_{L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}^{\theta \eta}\right)\|u\|_{L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}^{1-\theta},
$$

where $\epsilon$ is sufficiently small. Since $\left(q_{1}, r_{1}\right)$ is an admissible pair, we have $r_{1}=\frac{2 d q_{1}}{d q_{1}-4}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
&\|u\|_{L_{y}^{r_{y}^{\prime} p} H_{x}^{1}}^{p} \|_{L_{t}^{q_{1}^{\prime}}}  \tag{3.14}\\
& \lesssim\left(\int_{h}^{\infty} t^{\epsilon q_{1}^{\prime} p-d q_{1}^{\prime} p\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2}{p} q_{1}\right.}\right. \\
&\mathrm{d} t)^{\frac{1}{q_{1}^{\prime}}}\left(\left\||J(t)|^{s} u\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}^{\theta}+\left\||J(t)|^{s} u\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}^{(1-\eta)}\|u\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}^{\eta \theta}\right)^{p} \\
& \leq C(h)\left(\left\||J(t)|^{s} u\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}^{\theta}+\left\||J(t)|^{s} u\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}^{(1-\eta) \theta}\|u\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}^{\eta}\right)^{p},
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used (3.5). Second, we now turn to the estimate of $\left\||u|^{p-1}\right\|_{L_{t}^{q_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{\tilde{r}_{1}^{\prime}} H_{x}^{1}}$. Similar arguments as the above estimates give

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\||u|^{p-1}\right\|_{L_{t}^{q_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{\tilde{Y}_{1}^{\prime}} H_{x}^{1}}  \tag{3.15}\\
& \lesssim\left(\int_{h}^{\infty} t^{\epsilon(p-1) q_{1}^{\prime}-d\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{r_{1}^{\prime}(p-1)}\right.}\right)(p-1) q_{1}^{\prime} \\
&\mathrm{d} t)^{\frac{1}{q_{1}^{1}}}\left(\left\||J(t)|^{s} u\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}^{\theta}+\left\||J(t)|^{s} u\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}^{(1-\eta)}\|u\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}^{\eta}\right)^{p-1} \\
& \leq C(h)\left(\left\||J(t)|^{s} u\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}^{\theta}+\left\||J(t)|^{s} u\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}^{(1-\eta)}\|u\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}^{\eta \theta}\right)^{p-1},
\end{align*}
$$

where again we have used (3.5). Combining the estimates together, we obtain (3.13).

Proof of Theorem 3.1 By (3.4) and Lemma3.5, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\||J(t)|^{s} u\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}} \\
& \leq C\left(\left\||J(t)|^{s} u\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}+\|u\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}\right)\left(\left\||J(t)|^{s} u\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}^{\theta}+\left\||J(t)|^{s} u\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}^{\theta(1-\eta)}\|u\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}^{\eta \theta}\right)^{p-1} \\
& \quad+C(h)\left(\left\||J(t)|^{s} u\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}^{\theta}+\left\||J(t)|^{s} u\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}^{\theta(1-\eta)}\|u\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}^{\eta \theta}\right)^{p}+C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\Sigma}+C(h)\left\||J(t)|^{s} u\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\lim _{h \rightarrow \infty} C(h)=0$, by standard continuity argument, we have for $h$ large enough and $\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\Sigma}$ small enough that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\||J(t)|^{s} u\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{y}^{2} H_{x}^{1}} \leq C \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then have the decay estimate (3.2) by Lemma 3.4 .
Finally, we give the proof of scattering as a consequence of (3.2). From Duhamel's principle, it suffices to prove

$$
\left\|\int_{h}^{\infty} e^{-i s \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}}}\left(|u|^{p-1} u\right)(s) \mathrm{d} s\right\|_{H^{1}} \leq C .
$$

By Strichartz estimate, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\int_{h}^{\infty} e^{-i s \Delta_{\mathbb{R}} d_{\times \mathbb{}}}\left(|u|^{p-1} u\right)(s) \mathrm{d} s\right\|_{H_{y, x}^{1}} & \lesssim\left\||u|^{p-1} u\right\|_{L_{t}^{q_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{1}^{\prime}} H_{x}^{1}}+\left\|\left(-\Delta_{y}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(|u|^{p-1} u\right)\right\|_{L_{t}^{q_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{1}^{\prime}} L_{x}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim\left\||u|^{p-1} u\right\|_{L_{t}^{q_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{1}^{\prime}} H_{x}^{1}}+\|u\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} H_{y}^{1} L_{x}^{2}}\left\||u|^{p-1}\right\|_{L_{t}^{q_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{y}^{\prime}} H_{x}^{1}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tilde{r}_{1}=\frac{2 r_{1}}{r_{1}+2}$. The argument of the proof of Lemma 3.5 implies

$$
\left\||u|^{p-1} u\right\|_{L_{t}^{q_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{1}^{\prime}} H_{x}^{1}}+\left\||u|^{p-1}\right\|_{L_{t}^{q_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{\tilde{r}_{1}^{\prime}} H_{x}^{1}} \leq C
$$

We then define

$$
u_{+}=e^{-i h \Delta_{\mathbb{R}} d_{\times T}} u_{0}-i \int_{h}^{\infty} e^{-i \tau \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}}}\left(|u|^{p-1} u\right)(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau,
$$

and

$$
\left\|e^{-i t \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}}} u(t)-u_{+}\right\|_{H^{1}} \rightarrow 0, \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty
$$

which yields the scattering.
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