

Global anomalies of Green's function zeros

Lei Su¹ and Ivar Martin^{2,1}

¹*Department of Physics, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637, USA*

²*Materials Science Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, Illinois 60439, USA*

Anomaly analysis has been an important and powerful tool in studying nonperturbative physics for decades. The anomaly inflow mechanism provides an intuitive interpretation of the bulk-boundary correspondence in topological systems. In this work, we study global anomalies in systems with symmetry-preserving Luttinger surfaces, i.e. the manifolds of fermionic Green's function zeros in the momentum space at zero energy, described by nonlocal effective theories. We view the nonlocal effective theories as a result of integrating out some low energy states. Assuming that the states integrated out do not make extra contributions to the anomalies, we discuss the simplest Lagrangian describing a gapless Dirac zero and a two-pole variant, their global anomalies, and the bulk-boundary correspondence. We then consider the constraints on possible phases with Green's function zeros of Dirac type, such as non-Fermi liquids and emergent gapless quasiparticles on Luttinger surfaces. We also provide some perspectives on why the nonlocal fermionic effective theory discussed by Golterman and Shamir [1] is not a suitable starting point for a symmetrically gapped phase.

INTRODUCTION

Green's functions encode important properties of quantum systems. The poles of the two-point Green's functions (or propagators), in the frequency/momentum space, have traditionally been on the center stage since they may be interpreted as quasiparticles in a many-body system, be it free or interacting. By contrast, Green's function zeros (GFZs), where $\det G(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = 0$, are far less studied. A GFZ occurs if there is a pole in the self-energy or the renormalization factor Z vanishes. In high-energy physics, $Z = 0$ is usually interpreted as the existence of a composite particle [2]. In condensed matter physics, the surface formed by GFZs in the momentum space at zero frequency is called the Luttinger surface and can emerge in strongly correlated systems [3]. The violation of the Luttinger's theorem, which relates the volume of the quasiparticle Fermi surface to the density of electrons, in the presence of Luttinger surfaces has been studied intensively (see, e.g. Ref. [4] and references therein).

In recent years, GFZs showed up frequently in the context of interacting symmetry-protected topological (SPT) phases [5] because the many-body topological invariants in some systems involve products of $G^{-1}(\omega, \mathbf{k})\partial G(\omega, \mathbf{k})$, $G(\omega, \mathbf{k})$ being the two-point interacting Green's function [6–10]. See, e.g. Refs. [11–15] for some recent development along this direction. The bulk-boundary correspondence was proposed to be generalizable to zeros in SPT phases [8, 16–18]. Another context where GFZs have been studied is the so-called symmetric mass generation (SMG): a spectral gap can be generated purely due to interaction even when bilinear mass terms are forbidden by symmetries [19]. The research was partially motivated by the desire to put a chiral gauge theory on a lattice and is closely related to the boundary states of SPT phases. It has been suggested that GFZs are a key diagnostic of the SMG phase [19]. However, a recent anomaly analysis based on an ef-

fective theory of GFZs seems to suggest that the gapped fermions due to SMG retain some residual signature in the infrared (IR), thus preventing the construction of a microscopic ultraviolet (UV) chiral gauge model [1].

The 't Hooft anomaly analysis has played an important role both in high energy physics (see, e.g., Ref. [20]) and condensed matter physics (see, e.g. Ref. [21]). A global symmetry which cannot be gauged is said to have a 't Hooft anomaly [22]. The existence of a nontrivial 't Hooft anomaly for a global symmetry G rules out a trivially (nondegenerate, symmetry-preserving) gapped ground state. It is known that the anomaly inflow mechanism is a very natural way to explain the bulk-boundary correspondence [23]: in the context of SPT phases, the anomaly on the boundary is compensated by that in the bulk.

Most previous works on 't Hooft anomalies focused primarily on systems whose effective theory can be captured by a local Lagrangian. When the system has GFZs, we naturally encounter nonlocal effective theories. For a given propagator G of a fermionic field ψ , we may write the effective theory at the tree level as $\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} \sim \bar{\psi}G^{-1}\psi$ with the partition function $\mathcal{Z} \sim \det(G^{-1})$. If the GFZ comes from the factor $i\cancel{\partial}$, the partition function, at the tree level, is $\mathcal{Z} \propto \det(i\cancel{\partial})^{-1}$, which is the reciprocal of the partition function of a massless Dirac fermion $\det(i\cancel{\partial})$, up to some trivial gapped systems. Since a trivially gapped system is anomaly-free, the anomaly in the system with such a GFZ can be canceled by that of a massless Dirac fermion. This is the intuition of the global anomaly of GFZs we will use in our work.

We will analyze global anomalies in a nonlocal effective theory of a “Dirac zero” and its two-pole variant, and discuss the bulk-boundary correspondence and its constraints on the phases of anomalous GFZs of Dirac type, extending the analysis of Ref. [21] from Dirac poles to Dirac zeros. In passing, we suggest that the analysis in Ref. [1] is not a good starting point for SMG.

EFFECTIVE THEORY

In a many-body system of fermions, we can define the time-ordered two-point Green's function, i.e. propagator $G_{ab}(x; x') = -i\langle \mathcal{T}\psi_a(x)\bar{\psi}_b(x') \rangle$, where $x = (t, \mathbf{x})$, a, b are flavor indices, with the average taken with respect to the ground state. With space and time translational invariance, the Green function in the frequency/momentum space takes a general form $G(k) = 1/[\not{k} - \Sigma(k)]$, which follows from the Dyson equation for the Dirac fermions. Here $k = (\omega, \mathbf{k})$ and $\not{k} = \sum_{\mu=0}^d \gamma^\mu k_\mu$ with gamma matrices γ^μ satisfying $\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2\eta^{\mu\nu}$. The (mostly plus) signature $\eta^{\mu\nu} = (-1, 1, \dots, 1)$ is used. We have omitted the flavor indices since we will mostly consider a single flavor. The poles of the Green's function can be interpreted as quasiparticles, which play an important role in thermal and transport properties. In an interacting system, there can also be GFZs, where $\det G(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = 0$. In particular, the roots \mathbf{k} of the GFZs at zero energy define the Luttinger surface. In the following, we will work in the relativistic framework and focus on the simplest effective theory for GFZs of Dirac type:

$$G(k) = \frac{\not{k}}{k^2 + m^2 - i\epsilon}, \quad (1)$$

with infinitesimal $\epsilon > 0$. This occurs when $\Sigma(k) = -m^2/\not{k}$, and then the Luttinger surface is a single point.

There are different ways to obtain the low energy effective theory of a system. If we know the UV Lagrangian, it is typical to write down an effective action of a system by integrating out heavy modes. This is the top-down approach [24]. In this process, nonlocal terms may be introduced but nevertheless can be viewed as a local theory by expanding them with respect to the mass of heavy modes. For example, consider a massless Dirac fermion ψ coupled to a massive real scalar field ϕ of mass M with a Yukawa coupling strength g with Lagrangian $\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}i\not{D}\psi + \frac{1}{2}\partial^\mu\phi\partial_\mu\phi + \frac{1}{2}M^2\phi^2 + g\phi\bar{\psi}\psi$. Since the Lagrangian is quadratic in ϕ , we can integrate out the scalar field exactly and obtain a nonlocal term $\frac{1}{2}g^2\bar{\psi}\psi\frac{1}{\partial^2+M^2}\bar{\psi}\psi$. A local effective theory is obtained by expanding it with respect to M and keeping a finite number of terms. But the scheme fails when $M^2 = 0$; we then have to work with a nonlocal theory. Similarly, we can consider two Dirac fermions in odd spacetime dimensions

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}i\not{D}\psi + \bar{\psi}'i\not{D}\psi' + im\bar{\psi}'\psi - im\bar{\psi}\psi', \quad (2)$$

where $D_\mu = \partial_\mu + iA_\mu$. This Lagrangian is invariant under the $U(1)$ rotation and the antiunitary time reversal operation: $T\psi(t, \mathbf{x}) = \gamma_0\psi(-t, \mathbf{x})$ and $T\psi'(t, \mathbf{x}) = \gamma_0\psi'(-t, \mathbf{x})$. We can integrate out ψ' and $\bar{\psi}'$ and obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \bar{\psi}i\not{D}\psi + m^2\bar{\psi}\frac{1}{i\not{D}}\psi. \quad (3)$$

which apparently is also nonlocal. It is known that the Lagrangian in Eq. (2) is anomaly-free since the system is

fully gapped. However, in a recent work [1], the authors started with a nonlocal effective theory similar to Eq. (3) and found a perturbative anomaly. We will discuss this subtlety below.

In general, the UV Lagrangian may be unknown; then we may take the bottom-up approach and write down the effective action that observes the symmetry requirement and captures the low-energy dynamics, i.e. matching all correlators to certain orders. Given the propagator $G(x; x')$, we may write down the simplest effective theory that captures the behavior at the tree level $\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \bar{\psi}(x)G^{-1}(x; x')\psi(x')$. It belongs to an infinite family of Lagrangians that yield the same propagator at the tree level. In particular, we refer to the Lagrangian in Eq. (3) for a single flavor as a single gapless Dirac zero.

As we mentioned earlier, the associated \mathcal{L}_{eff} is nonlocal generically. Nonlocality is sometimes associated with non-unitarity of the system. This is because the states integrated out are missing from the Hilbert space [24]. Even though ψ and ψ' are on an equal footing in Eq. (2), to obtain an effective theory for ψ , ψ' has been integrated out. The Green's functions are associated only with the degrees of freedom remaining after the integration, which in our case is a single gapless Dirac zero. We will only consider the case where the missing states are not charged under the gauge field associated with the anomaly or at least not contributing extra terms to the anomaly. This is crucial in our analysis. For example, as we will see, the Lagrangian in Eq. (3) appears to carry a global anomaly under a large $U(1)$ gauge transformation even though the complete Lagrangian in Eq. (2) does not. The difference roots in the fact that integrating out ψ' , which is charged under $U(1)$, yields an extra factor that compensates the gauge non-invariance.

If the system has global symmetries, the form of the Green's functions and hence of the effective Lagrangian is constrained. It is easy to show that if $\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}(x)G^{-1}(x; x')\psi(x')$ has a global symmetry \mathcal{G} , which we assume, for simplicity, only includes internal symmetries such as the global $U(1)$ of the particle number and time reversal T , so does $\mathcal{L}' = m^2\bar{\psi}(x)G(x; x')\psi(x')$. Here m has the dimension of mass. A direct corollary is that the Lagrangian in Eq. (3) has $U(1)$ and T . Note that the first term corresponds to a pole of the Green's function $G(k) = 1/(\not{k} - i\epsilon)$, which describes a single gapless Dirac fermion on the surface of a time-reversal-invariant (TRI) topological insulator with symmetry $U(1)$ and T in $(3+1)d$ [25]. A single gapless Dirac zero in Eq. (3) is then in the same symmetry class.

GLOBAL ANOMALIES OF DIRAC ZEROS

In Ref. [21], the author studied the global anomaly and the bulk-boundary correspondence of massless Dirac fermion, which corresponds to the pole of a propagator.

In the following, we study the corresponding anomaly for a single gapless Dirac zero whose propagator is given by Eq. (1) [26].

The partition function of a massless Dirac fermion in $(2+1)d$ (and in the Euclidean signature) is given by

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\text{pole}} = \det(i\mathcal{D}) = \prod_i \lambda_i, \quad (4)$$

where λ_i 's are the eigenvalues of the Hermitian Dirac operator $i\mathcal{D}$: $i\mathcal{D}\phi_i = \lambda_i\phi_i$. Thus λ_i 's are real. Formally, the partition function is also real. This is required by TRI on an orientable spacetime of Euclidean signature since time reversal changes its orientation and complex-conjugates the partition function.

A global anomaly shows up if we want to make the partition function $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{pole}}$ both real and invariant under the large gauge transformations of the background gauge field A [27]. Indeed, let us start with an arbitrary $A = A_0$ and let A_0^φ be the gauge field of A_0 after a large gauge transformation φ . If we continuously tune the parameter $s \in [0, 1]$ in $A_s = (1-s)A_0 + sA_0^\varphi$, $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{pole}}$ changes continuously. Every time an eigenvalue λ_i passes through zero its sign is flipped. There can be a net spectral flow as s goes from 0 to 1. For the partition function to be gauge invariant under the large gauge transformation, the sign of the partition function has to be the same. However, in the case of a massless Dirac fermion in $(2+1)d$, as the boundary theory of a $(3+1)d$ topological insulator, the partition function $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{pole}}$ changes by $(-1)^n$, where n is the Dirac index on a $4d$ manifold, a mapping torus [21]. Thus the partition function is not invariant under a large gauge transformation.

Since the infinite product is divergent, implicit in our discussion above is that the partition function has been regularized in a way that preserves TRI. We can also regularize it in a gauge-invariant way by introducing a massive bosonic Pauli-Villars regulator field χ that obeys the equation $(i\mathcal{D} + i\mu)\chi = 0$. In this case, the partition function is no longer real. Instead, the regularized partition function becomes $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{pole}} = \prod_i \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i + i\mu}$, which for large $\mu > 0$ becomes $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{pole}} = |\mathcal{Z}_{\text{pole}}| e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}\eta}$, where $\eta = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \sum_i \text{sgn}(\lambda_i) |\lambda_i|^{-s}$ is a regularized difference between the number of positive and negative eigenvalues of $i\mathcal{D}$. One way to recover TRI is to add a bulk in $(3+1)d$ to cancel the anomaly through the anomaly inflow mechanism, a manifestation of the bulk-boundary correspondence. This is also the mathematical content of the APS index theorem. For more details, the reader can refer to Ref. [21].

A discussion of the anomaly of Dirac zeros in Eq. (3) follows similarly. The corresponding partition function is given by

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\text{zero}} = \det(i\mathcal{D} + \frac{m^2}{i\mathcal{D}}) = \prod_i \frac{\lambda_i^2 + m^2}{\lambda_i}. \quad (5)$$

There is a simple relation between the two partition functions: $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{pole}}\mathcal{Z}_{\text{zero}} = \prod_i (\lambda_i^2 + m^2)$. As the product is formally positive, it is natural to expect that the anomaly in $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{zero}}$ is actually canceled by $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{pole}}$. A subtlety is that the regularized $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{pole}}$ can vanish, leading to a divergence in $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{zero}}$. We may regard this reciprocal behavior as two equivalent interpretations of the spectral inflow: since we only care about the relative change in the number of positive and negative eigenvalues (in the infinite tower), we can regard an eigenvalue of $i\mathcal{D}$ passing through zero as an eigenvalue passing through the UV cutoff. This IR/UV dual interpretation is a common feature in discussing anomalies [28]. However, we can also consider a two-pole variant of the simplest Lagrangian to stay away from the infinity problem.

TWO-POLE VARIANT

The simple two-pole variant of the Lagrangian in Eq. (3) we can consider is:

$$\mathcal{Z} = \frac{1}{2}[\mathcal{Z}'(m) + \mathcal{Z}'(-m)] = \frac{1}{2}[\det(\mathcal{D} - m) + \det(\mathcal{D} + m)], \quad (6)$$

where $\mathcal{Z}'(\pm m) = \int \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi}\mathcal{D}\psi e^{-\int \bar{\psi}(i\mathcal{D} \pm m)\psi}$ describes fermions with masses of opposite signs. When m vanishes, the total partition function reduces to that of a massless Dirac fermion. For $m \neq 0$, we can obtain, by combining two additive integrands into one, the effective action $S_{\text{eff}} = -\ln(e^{-\int \bar{\psi}(i\mathcal{D} + m)\psi} + e^{-\int \bar{\psi}(i\mathcal{D} - m)\psi})$, which is generically nonlocal for $m \neq 0$. Importantly, the partition function in Eq. (6) is invariant under T and $U(1)$, and produces the same two-point Green's function [Eq. (1)] when the background gauge field $A = 0$. The effective Lagrangian of the two-pole variant is different from the previous one in Eq. (3) in nonlocal terms. In the Appendix, we present a simple example where such a partition function of similar form is naturally produced. Actually, the partition function \mathcal{Z} (without the external gauge field) can be interpreted as a Dirac fermion coupled to *effective* Ising degrees of freedom with strength m . These effective Ising degrees of freedom may come from some complicated interactions in the UV and are integrated over to produce a nonlocal effective theory and a GFZ.

When the external gauge field is turned on, both $\mathcal{Z}'(\pm m)$ can be separately regularized in a gauge-invariant way under $U(1)$ gauge transformations. However, there is no regularization scheme such that TRI is preserved simultaneously. In fact, integrating the fermions out in the large m limit gives a Chern-Simons term with level $\text{sgn}(m)/2$. We cannot add a Chern-Simons counterterm to the total partition function in Eq. (6) without breaking T since it changes the sign of the level as well. For half integer level $\text{sgn}(m)/2$, the sign of the partition function is flipped after a large gauge

transformation φ such that an eigenvalue λ_i crosses zero. Moreover, both regularized $\mathcal{Z}'(\pm m)$ are finite and do not diverge as in Eq. (5) as A_s is continuously varied in the process. This change of behavior is due to higher order terms in ψ and $\bar{\psi}$ in S_{eff} .

BULK-BOUNDARY CORRESPONDENCE

Similar to the case of gapless Dirac poles, the anomaly of gapless Dirac zeros and their variants can be compensated by a higher-dimensional bulk. Since $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{pole}}\mathcal{Z}_{\text{zero}}$ is anomaly-free, the sign change in $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{zero}}$ after a large gauge transformation, same as that of $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{pole}}$, is equal to the Dirac index in the $(3+1)d$ bulk associated with the ordinary Dirac operator. By the index theorem, this index is also directly related to the topological action describing the instanton number of the $U(1)$ field $I = \theta P = \theta(e^2/32\pi^2) \int \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\alpha\beta}$ with $\theta = \pi$, where $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$. If the relevant degrees of freedom in the bulk manifest as *gapped* GFZs, there can be a generalization of the bulk-boundary correspondence to GFZs. Based on the two-pole variant of the Lagrangian, it is natural to speculate that such a local action I describes the response of the bulk with GFZs to the $U(1)$ field. Since P is an integer on a compact surface, θ is identified with $\theta + 2\pi$. There is a clear physical interpretation of $\theta = \pi$. We take the topological insulator to live in a $3d$ ball with surface S^2 . When a unit magnetic monopole tunnels into the ball, a unit flux passes through the surface and induces a half-integer charge on the surface. The monopole itself also carries a half-integer charge by the Witten effect [29]. This half-integer charge should be present even in the case of GFZs.

Note that we assumed that the GFZ is captured by Eq. (3) or its variants. If it represents the boundary of a topological Mott insulator, the edge state can be gapped out completely by putting it in contact with the usual gapless Dirac fermion on the boundary of the noninteracting topological insulator – because the combined system is anomaly-free. Naturally, the edge state should have a nontrivial response toward the $U(1)$ electromagnetic field. We note that the bulk-boundary correspondence in topological Mott insulators presented in Refs. [17, 18] is interpreted in terms of charge-neutral excitations. It would therefore be interesting to investigate if a Lagrangian for a GFZ of Dirac type provides an accurate effective description for the boundaries in the models studied there.

CONSTRAINTS ON THE PHASES

Unlike the case of Dirac poles, which has a clear quasiparticle interpretation, the interpretation of Dirac zeros is less clear. As we have emphasized, there is a fam-

ily of effective theories with the same Dirac GFZ at the tree level. These theories may have different ground states since some states in the IR have been integrated out. Nonetheless, since the existence of a nontrivial 't Hooft anomaly rules out a trivially gapped phase, the ground state described by Eq. (3) or its two-pole variant in Eq. (6) is also constrained. Different possibilities include a symmetry-preserving-gapless phase or a degenerate gapped state.

The natural interpretation of a Dirac zero would be a non-Fermi liquid. Since GFZs exist only in interacting systems, strong correlations can lead to a breakdown in the conventional Landau Fermi liquid quasiparticle picture. In the IR, the Green's function is scale-invariant and the ground state can be conformally invariant. It may well correspond to an “un-Fermi liquid” [30] based on “unparticles” proposed in Ref. [31]. Some effective fields arising from integrating out the UV degrees of freedom couple to unparticle stuff and lead to GFZs. m in Eq. (6) may be viewed as such a field [32]. The special property of the (incoherent) unparticle field is that it still has to carry the current in response to the gauge field even though it does not contribute to the particle density of ψ (since the renormalization factor Z vanishes). More specifically, unlike the first term, the second term in the Lagrangian in Eq. (3) is nonlinear in the gauge field A . The current associated with the first has a natural interpretation in terms of quasiparticles, whereas the second term in general does not.

The fermionic field ψ has gapped poles at $\pm m$, but the possibility is not ruled out that there exists an emergent gapless quasiparticle at the Luttinger surface while both $U(1)$ and T are preserved. It was proposed recently that such an emergent quasiparticle exists on the Luttinger surface [33, 34], and a bulk-boundary correspondence of the emergent neutral excitations was discussed in the topological Mott insulator [17, 18]. It is clear from our analysis above that a neutral quasiparticle cannot match the anomaly of a single Dirac zero. If the emergent quasiparticles account for the anomaly, they must be charged under the $U(1)$ gauge field even though they do not contribute to the particle density. Thus it can be, e.g., a composite particle of a fermion and a neutral boson or a fractionalized particle such as a fermionic holon. If such emergent quasiparticles exist on the Luttinger surface at all, the bulk-edge correspondence for GFZs then suggests that such an interpretation extend to the higher-dimensional bulk with gapped GFZs of a topological Mott insulator by continuation.

The anomaly can also be matched if the ground state has degeneracies due to, e.g., symmetry breaking. Based on the two-pole variant in Eq. (6), it is easy to see that a time reversal spontaneous symmetry breaking, which corresponds to a symmetry breaking in the effective Ising degrees of freedom would eliminate GFZs. However, the $U(1)$ symmetry can be broken without destroying GFZs.

We discuss a quantum mechanical example in the Appendix.

ANOMALY-FREE CASE

We have focused on the anomalous case so far. Let us digress to discuss an anomaly-free case in order to illustrate the assumption we made about the effective theory, that is, the missing states or states integrated out should not contribute to the associated 't Hooft anomaly. We start with two Dirac fermions with TRI masses as in Eq. (2). The partition function (in the Euclidean signature) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z} &= \int \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi}\mathcal{D}\psi\mathcal{D}\bar{\psi}'\mathcal{D}\psi'e^{-\int \mathcal{L}} = \det(i\mathcal{D}) \int \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi}\mathcal{D}\psi e^{-\int \mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}} \\ &= \det(i\mathcal{D}) \det(i\mathcal{D} + \frac{m^2}{i\mathcal{D}}) = \det(D^2 + m^2), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where \mathcal{L}_{eff} is exactly the same as Eq. (3). Therefore, the total partition function is free from the global anomaly since both fermions are gapped. However, if we start with $\int \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi}\mathcal{D}\psi \exp(-\int \mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}})$ directly, without the factor $\det(i\mathcal{D})$ contribution, there is a global anomaly.

Even though we have been focusing on global anomalies, it is easy to see that the observation also has implications on perturbative anomalies. In particular, Ref. [1] starts with an effective Lagrangian similar to Eq. (3) and shows explicitly the existence of axial anomalies in the context of SMG. This clearly creates a puzzle; that is, if a fermion system with no anomaly has been gapped out by interactions, how can there still be nontrivial anomalies in the IR? The observation above reveals a simple solution to the puzzle: in the SMG case, an effective Lagrangian similar to Eq. (3) can not capture all the low energy physics. For example, if eight flavors of Majorana fermions at the end of the Fidkowski-Kitaev model [35, 36] can be gapped out via SMG, the anomaly does not correspond to a single flavor with a gapless GFZ. Inclusion of the other ignored states can cure the anomaly coming from a single flavor. Note that we can rewrite Eq. (3) as $\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \det(i\mathcal{D})^{-1} \mathcal{Z}$, and represent $\det(i\mathcal{D})^{-1} = \int \mathcal{D}\bar{\chi}\mathcal{D}\chi e^{-\int \bar{\chi}i\mathcal{D}\chi}$ where χ and $\bar{\chi}$ are bosonic. Such bosonic fields provide an effective way to calculate the anomaly, consistent with the observation in Ref. [1].

CONCLUSION

We have extended the anomaly analysis to systems with a Luttinger surface described by nonlocal effective Lagrangians, assuming that the states integrated out do not contribute extra terms to the anomaly. We studied the global anomaly of the simplest Lagrangian describes a single gapless Dirac zero protected by $U(1)$ and

T , and a two-pole variant. If the anomaly is compensated by degrees of freedom manifested as gapped GFZs in a higher-dimensional bulk, this is a generalization of the bulk-boundary correspondence to GFZs. We discussed the anomaly constraints on possible phases associated with GFZs of Dirac type. We focused on the Dirac zeros in $(2+1)d$, which belong to the pseudoreal fermion case with $U(1)$ symmetry in Ref. [21]. The discussion can be extended to the case without $U(1)$ symmetry. Then we have to consider global anomaly associated with the gravitational field. It can also be extended to the real and the complex cases, if we consider different dimensions and unorientable spacetime manifolds. We therefore expect that the same complete classification as was found for Dirac poles [21]. There are many unexplored aspects and many unanswered questions. For example, it is important to determine the nature of a GFZ that saturates the anomaly requirement. Anomalies based on such concrete lattice models as in Refs. [17, 18] deserve further investigations. The analysis above will have potential applications to many strongly correlated systems, such as the pseudogap phase in high- T_c superconductors.

We thank Meng Zeng for discussion. This work was supported by the US Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences, Materials Sciences and Engineering Division. Upon completing our manuscript, we were informed of a related work [37].

-
- [1] M. Golterman and Y. Shamir, Propagator zeros and lattice chiral gauge theories, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **132**, 081903 (2024).
 - [2] S. Weinberg, *The quantum theory of fields*, Vol. 1 (Cambridge University Press, 1995).
 - [3] I. Dzyaloshinskii, Some consequences of the Luttinger theorem: The Luttinger surfaces in non-Fermi liquids and Mott insulators, *Phys. Rev. B* **68**, 085113 (2003).
 - [4] J. Skolimowski and M. Fabrizio, Luttinger's theorem in the presence of Luttinger surfaces, *Phys. Rev. B* **106**, 045109 (2022).
 - [5] X. Chen, Z.-C. Gu, Z.-X. Liu, and X.-G. Wen, Symmetry protected topological orders and the group cohomology of their symmetry group, *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 155114 (2013).
 - [6] G. E. Volovik, *The universe in a helium droplet*, Vol. 117 (OUP Oxford, 2003).
 - [7] Z. Wang, X.-L. Qi, and S.-C. Zhang, Topological order parameters for interacting topological insulators, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 256803 (2010).
 - [8] V. Gurarie, Single-particle Green's functions and interacting topological insulators, *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 085426 (2011).
 - [9] A. M. Essin and V. Gurarie, Bulk-boundary correspondence of topological insulators from their respective Green's functions, *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 125132 (2011).
 - [10] Z. Wang and S.-C. Zhang, Simplified topological invariants for interacting insulators, *Phys. Rev. X* **2**, 031008 (2012).
 - [11] J. Zhao, P. Mai, B. Bradlyn, and P. Phillips, Failure

- of topological invariants in strongly correlated matter, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131**, 106601 (2023).
- [12] A. Blason and M. Fabrizio, Unified role of Green's function poles and zeros in correlated topological insulators, *Phys. Rev. B* **108**, 125115 (2023).
- [13] C. Setty, F. Xie, S. Sur, L. Chen, M. G. Vergniory, and Q. Si, Electronic properties, correlated topology and Green's function zeros, arXiv:2309.14340 (2023), 2309.14340.
- [14] S. Bollmann, C. Setty, U. F. Seifert, and E. J. König, Topological Green's function zeros in an exactly solved model and beyond, arXiv:2312.14926 (2023), 2312.14926.
- [15] L. Chen, H. Hu, M. G. Vergniory, J. Cano, and Q. Si, Dirac zeros in an orbital selective Mott phase: Green's function Berry curvature and flux quantization, arXiv:2401.12156 (2024), 2401.12156.
- [16] S. R. Manmana, A. M. Essin, R. M. Noack, and V. Gurarie, Topological invariants and interacting one-dimensional fermionic systems, *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 205119 (2012).
- [17] N. Wagner, L. Crippa, A. Amaricci, P. Hansmann, M. Klett, E. König, T. Schäfer, D. D. Sante, J. Cano, A. Millis, *et al.*, Mott insulators with boundary zeros, *Nat. Commun.* **14**, 7531 (2023).
- [18] N. Wagner, D. Guerci, A. J. Millis, and G. Sangiovanni, Edge zeros and boundary spinons in topological Mott insulators, arXiv:2312.13226 (2023), 2312.13226.
- [19] J. Wang and Y.-Z. You, Symmetric mass generation, *Symmetry* **14**, 1475 (2022).
- [20] S. Weinberg, *The quantum theory of fields*, Vol. 2 (Cambridge University Press, 1996).
- [21] E. Witten, Fermion path integrals and topological phases, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **88**, 035001 (2016).
- [22] G. 't Hooft, Naturalness, chiral symmetry, and spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking, in *Recent developments in gauge theories* (Springer, 1980) pp. 135–157.
- [23] C. G. Callan Jr and J. A. Harvey, Anomalies and fermion zero modes on strings and domain walls, *Nuc. Phys. B* **250**, 427 (1985).
- [24] M. D. Schwartz, *Quantum field theory and the standard model* (Cambridge University Press, 2013).
- [25] C.-K. Chiu, J. C. Y. Teo, A. P. Schnyder, and S. Ryu, Classification of topological quantum matter with symmetries, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **88**, 035005 (2016).
- [26] The discussion in Ref. [21] is divided into three scenarios: pseudoreal fermions, real fermions, and complex fermions, depending on the representation of the rotation group in the Euclidean signature, together with other symmetries. We are discussing the pseudoreal case corresponding to the boundary of a topological Mott insulator in $(3+1)d$.
- [27] We ignore the gravitational field here for simplicity.
- [28] M. Shifman, *Advanced topics in quantum field theory: A lecture course* (Cambridge University Press, 2022).
- [29] G. Rosenberg and M. Franz, Witten effect in a crystalline topological insulator, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 035105 (2010).
- [30] P. W. Phillips, B. W. Langley, and J. A. Hutasoit, Un-Fermi liquids: Unparticles in strongly correlated electron matter, *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 115129 (2013).
- [31] H. Georgi, Unparticle physics, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 221601 (2007).
- [32] Note that instead of an integration over the m in the Lagrangian as in Ref. [30], our two-pole variant shows that the unparticle comes from a sum/integral in the partition function over m .
- [33] M. Fabrizio, Emergent quasiparticles at Luttinger surfaces, *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 1561 (2022).
- [34] M. Fabrizio, Spin-liquid insulators can be Landau's Fermi liquids, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **130**, 156702 (2023).
- [35] L. Fidkowski and A. Kitaev, Effects of interactions on the topological classification of free fermion systems, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 134509 (2010).
- [36] L. Fidkowski and A. Kitaev, Topological phases of fermions in one dimension, *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 075103 (2011).
- [37] M. Zeng, F. Xu, D.-C. Lu, and Y.-Z. You, Optical conductivity in symmetric mass generation insulators, arXiv:2405.05339 (2024), 2405.05339.
- [38] S. Elitzur, E. Rabinovici, Y. Frishman, and A. Schwimmer, Origins of global anomalies in quantum mechanics, *Nucl. Phys. B* **273**, 93 (1986).
- [39] M. Nakahara, *Geometry, topology and physics* (CRC press, 2003).

GLOBAL ANOMALY IN THE SINGLE-SITE HUBBARD MODEL

As an illustration of the anomaly analysis in the context of GFZs, we study the global anomaly in the quantum mechanics of a single-site Hubbard model by generalizing the global anomaly of a single massless Dirac fermion protected by charge-conjugation and global $U(1)$ [38]. This is also a toy model for the two-pole representation in the main text.

A toy model

Consider the single-site Hubbard model described by the Hamiltonian

$$H = U(c_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}c_{\uparrow} + c_{\downarrow}^{\dagger}c_{\downarrow} - 1)^2 = U(2n_{\uparrow}n_{\downarrow} - n_{\uparrow} - n_{\downarrow} + 1). \quad (8)$$

It is easy to see that this Hamiltonian is invariant under the charge conjugation $\mathcal{C}c_s\mathcal{C}^{-1} = c_s^{\dagger}$ for $s = \uparrow / \downarrow$. Essentially, this is because $N_s \equiv n_s - 1/2 = c_s^{\dagger}c_s - 1/2$ with $s = \uparrow / \downarrow$ changes its sign under charge conjugation. This toy Hamiltonian belongs to a class of particle-hole symmetric Hubbard model on a bipartite lattice [25].

In the 4-dimensional Hilbert space, the ground states span a two-dimensional subspace with the basis states $|n_{\uparrow} = 1, n_{\downarrow} = 0\rangle$ and $|n_{\uparrow} = 0, n_{\downarrow} = 1\rangle$. Since n_{\uparrow} and n_{\downarrow} are separately conserved in the Hamiltonian, there are two independent global $U(1)$ symmetries. We can see that charge conjugation \mathcal{C} and one of the $U(1)$ symmetries, say, $U(1)_{\uparrow}$, in general are not simultaneously preserved in the ground state. Indeed, $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|n_{\uparrow} = 1, n_{\downarrow} = 0\rangle \pm |n_{\uparrow} = 0, n_{\downarrow} = 1\rangle)$ preserves the charge conjugation but breaks $U(1)_{\uparrow}$. As we will see, this is due to the global anomaly between charge conjugation and either

one of the $U(1)$'s. Other symmetry-preserving deformations cannot change this conclusion. Note, however, that there is no anomaly between charge conjugation and the diagonal $U(1)$, i.e. the global $U(1)$ symmetry associated with $n_\uparrow + n_\downarrow$. There are other symmetries we can consider for this toy model, see, e.g. Ref. [16].

To obtain an effective theory, we can consider the partition function $\mathcal{Z} = \text{tr}(e^{-\beta H})$ after tracing over the spin down sector where $n_\downarrow = 0, 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z} &= \text{tr}[e^{-\beta U(-n_\uparrow+1)}] + \text{tr}[e^{-\beta U n_\uparrow}] \\ &= e^{-\beta \frac{U}{2}} \left(\text{tr}[e^{-\beta(-U)(n_\uparrow-\frac{1}{2})}] + \text{tr}[e^{-\beta U(n_\uparrow-\frac{1}{2})}] \right) \\ &= e^{-\beta \frac{U}{2}} \int \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} \mathcal{D}\psi [e^{-\beta \frac{U}{2}} e^{-\int_0^\beta d\tau \bar{\psi}((1+\epsilon U)\partial_\tau - U)\psi} \\ &\quad + e^{\beta \frac{U}{2}} e^{-\int_0^\beta d\tau \bar{\psi}((1-\epsilon U)\partial_\tau + U)\psi}] \\ &= e^{-\beta \frac{U}{2}} \int \prod \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi}_m \mathcal{D}\psi_{-m} [e^{-\sum \bar{\psi}_n(i\omega_n + U)\psi_{-n}} \\ &\quad + e^{-\sum \bar{\psi}_n(i\omega_n - U)\psi_{-n}}] \\ &= e^{-\beta \frac{U}{2}} \left[(e^{\beta \frac{U}{2}} + e^{-\beta \frac{U}{2}}) + (e^{\beta \frac{U}{2}} + e^{-\beta \frac{U}{2}}) \right] \\ &= 2(e^{-\beta U} + 1). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Here, $\beta = 1/T$ is inverse temperature, ψ and $\bar{\psi}$ are Grassmann numbers (with the spin index dropped), ϵ is a positive infinitesimal, and anti-periodic boundary conditions along τ are used. $\omega_n = 2\pi\beta(n + 1/2)$ is the Matsubara frequency. ψ_m and $\bar{\psi}_m$ are the Fourier transforms of $\psi(\tau)$ [39]. This expression can be obtained directly by summing over the states in the Hilbert space, but we derived it using the path integral formalism to exemplify the two-pole variant in the main text: the partition function can be written as

$$\mathcal{Z} = e^{-\beta \frac{U}{2}} [\det(\partial_\tau - U) + \det(\partial_\tau + U)], \quad (10)$$

which should be compared with Eq. (6) in the main text. It is easy to check that this partition function is invariant under charge conjugation. The single-particle Green's function has a (gapless) zero:

$$G(i\omega_n) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{i\omega_n + U} + \frac{1}{i\omega_n - U} \right) = \frac{i\omega_n}{(i\omega_n)^2 - U^2}. \quad (11)$$

Note that in the derivation above, it is assumed implicitly that charge conjugation is not broken for otherwise we do not have to sum over the $n_\downarrow = 0, 1$.

To obtain an effective action, we need to combine two integrands into one:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z} &\propto \int \prod \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi}_{-m} \mathcal{D}\psi_m \\ &\quad \times e^{-\sum \bar{\psi}_{-n} i\omega_n \psi_n + \ln(e^{-U \sum \bar{\psi}_{-n} \psi_n} + e^{+U \sum \bar{\psi}_{-n} \psi_n})} \\ &= \int \prod \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi}_{-m} \mathcal{D}\psi_m e^{-\sum i\omega_n \bar{\psi}_{-n} \psi_n + \frac{U^2}{2} (\sum \bar{\psi}_{-n} \psi_n)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Small U is assumed in the second line. This effective action is highly nonlocal because of the interaction $\frac{U^2}{2} (\sum \bar{\psi}_{-n} \psi_n)^2$. We may make further approximations to replace the quartic term with a quadratic one. However, if one is only interested in reproducing the two-point Green's functions at the tree-level, we may simply take

$$S_{\text{eff}} = \sum \bar{\psi}_n G_n^{-1} \psi_n = \sum \bar{\psi}_n \frac{(i\omega_n)^2 - U^2}{i\omega_n} \psi_n, \quad (13)$$

whose Lagrangian (in imaginary time) is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \bar{\psi} \frac{\partial_\tau^2 + U^2}{\partial_\tau} \psi = \bar{\psi} \left(\partial_\tau + \frac{U^2}{\partial_\tau} \right) \psi. \quad (14)$$

This is the zero dimensional analogue of Eq. (3). We have already explained in the main text that \mathcal{L}_{eff} is invariant under $\mathcal{C}\psi\mathcal{C}^{-1} = \bar{\psi}$. In zero dimension, this can be also seen explicitly by finding the Green's function of ∂_τ^{-1} : $G(\tau, \tau') \sim \theta(\tau - \tau') - \theta(\tau' - \tau)$. Here θ is the Heaviside step function.

Note that in the derivation of the effective theory above, spin-down states have been integrated out even though they are on an equal footing with spin-up states. This process led to a nonlocal effective theory. Importantly, in the process of integration, these states, neutral under $U(1)_\uparrow$, do not make additional contributions to the anomaly associated with $U(1)_\uparrow$.

Global anomaly

Let us now study the global anomaly by turning on the background gauge field for c_\uparrow associated with $U(1)_\uparrow$.

$$H = iA(c_\uparrow^\dagger c_\uparrow - \frac{1}{2}) + U(c_\uparrow^\dagger c_\uparrow + c_\downarrow^\dagger c_\downarrow - 1)^2 \quad (15)$$

The exact partition function (in imaginary time) is

$$\mathcal{Z} = 2 \cos(A/2\beta) (e^{-\beta U} + 1). \quad (16)$$

The charge conjugation \mathcal{C} acts on A as $\mathcal{C}A\mathcal{C}^{-1} = -A$. Thus the partition function is invariant under \mathcal{C} but changes its sign under the large gauge transformations $A \rightarrow A + 2\pi\beta$. This is not true if A couples to both c_\uparrow and c_\downarrow .

We can also observe the anomaly from the effective action in Eq. (14) describing one of the two species

$$S_{\text{eff}} = \sum_n \bar{\psi}_{-n} (i\omega_n + iA + \frac{U^2}{i\omega_n + iA}) \psi_n, \quad (17)$$

assuming the validity of minimal coupling. Under charge conjugation $\mathcal{C}A\mathcal{C}^{-1} = -A$ and $\mathcal{C}\psi_n\mathcal{C}^{-1} = \bar{\psi}_n$. Regularize the system in a charge conjugation invariant way, and we

have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathcal{Z}(A)}{\mathcal{Z}(0)} &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{n=-N}^{N-1} \frac{\frac{(i\omega_n + iA)^2 + U^2}{i\omega_n + iA}}{\frac{(i\omega_n)^2 + U^2}{i\omega_n}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\cos(A/2\beta)} \frac{\cos(A/\beta) + \cos(\beta U)}{1 + \cos(\beta U)}, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where we have used the identity

$$\cos x = \prod_0^\infty \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{\pi^2(n + 1/2)^2} \right). \quad (19)$$

$\mathcal{Z}(A)$ is no longer invariant under the large gauge transformation. Note that the factor $\cos(A/2\beta)$ is the same change in the partition function of a massless Dirac fermion after the coupling to A [38], which is a manifestation of the fact that $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{pole}}\mathcal{Z}_{\text{zero}}$ is anomaly-free emphasized in the main text.

We only discussed the simplest toy model here, but we may generalize the analysis to higher-dimensional particle-hole symmetric Hubbard model on a bipartite lattice. Another direction is to consider Hatsugai-Kohmoto interactions, analogues of Hubbard interactions in momentum space [13].