

QxEAI – Automated probabilistic forecasting with Quantum-like evolutionary algorithm

Lizhi Xin¹, Kevin Xin^{2,*}

1 Independent researcher, PRC

2 Independent researcher, USA

* kevin_xin@yahoo.com

Abstract

Forecasting, to estimate future events, is crucial for business and decision-making. This paper proposes QxEAI, a methodology that produces a probabilistic forecast that utilizes a quantum-like evolutionary algorithm based on training a quantum-like logic decision tree and a classical value tree on a small number of related time series. By using different cycles of the Dow Jones Index (yearly, monthly, weekly, daily), we demonstrate how our methodology produces accurate forecasts while requiring little to none manual work.

Keywords probabilistic forecasting, genetic programming, quantum-like evolutionary algorithm

1. Introduction

The question that has been repeatedly posed: is it possible to automate time series forecasting? [1, 10-13]

The challenges that arise can typically be categorized in three parts: the constantly changing external environment, the internal uncertainty of events, and the interaction between the external environment and an entity which triggers events to happen.

This work presents QxEAI, a methodology that produces probabilistic forecasts that utilizes a quantum-like evolutionary algorithm based on training a quantum-like logic decision tree and a classical value tree on a small number of related time series. Quantum-like superposed state represents all the possible solutions and the evolution algorithm then optimizes the most satisfactory solution to be taken.

In the history of science, the first major transition was the Newtonian one, the next was the quantum transition, which both completely disrupted our views of how we see the world. Now we also believe that we are in the midst of a third transition [8], a Darwinian one. Evolution and quantum theory are both equally critical in our approach, a new transition where the combined forces of the two will bring a new approach to forecasting.

With this, which forms the bedrock foundation of our methodology, it comes with certain advantages to give it the edge over other traditional ways. If there is a certain regularity to be found by learning the related time series, then the classical evolutionary algorithm will find it and produce a function for forecasting with certainty. If no certain regularity can be found, i.e.

because complete information cannot be obtained by means of classical evolutionary ML, then the quantum-like logic decision tree will utilize superposition to find a trend leading to a probabilistic forecast outputted.

The contributions of this paper are (1) we propose a quantum-like evolutionary algorithm (QxEAI) for probabilistic forecasting, which incorporates principles of evolution and principle of quantum superposition to tackle the environment and uncertainty, and (2) we show, using real-world datasets, that this model is viable enough to produce certain and probabilistic forecasts across a range of input data. In addition to providing more well-rounded accurate forecasts, our method has a few key advantages:

- i. As the model learns through repetitive iterations, by evolution and quantum superposition, QxEAI formulates strategies that guide it to take certain actions with certain degree of belief, which are formulated in the form of logic decision trees that are optimized through evolution.
- ii. Our approach does not assume uncertainty is “noise”, something that we have to seek to eliminate or reduce. By learning with the mindset that uncertainty is inherent, we are able to provide forecasts for data that have little or no regularities, a case where many traditional forecasting methods don't perform as well.

Both points are what sets QxEAI apart from traditional forecasting approaches, while all are crucial in producing accurate, automatic forecasts. These probabilistic forecasts are of utmost importance in many real-world applications, contrary to traditional forecast methods which aim to make forecasts under most optimal and ideal conditions or presuming some trend, our method enables optimal decision making under uncertainty by incorporating it alongside evolution and quantum-like beyond the forecast distribution.

In short, our methodology takes two approaches parallelly operating simultaneously. If there is a certain regularity, then the classical evolutionary way suffices and a certain forecast is formulated. If not the quantum-like aspect is also able to give a probabilistic forecast of what may happen. All the while this entire process, classical or quantum-like is entirely automatic, without any major need for manual intervention throughout the training and forecasting stages.

The structure of this paper as follows. Section 2 is about related work. Section 3 provides brief background information of the principles that we use in building our methodology. Section 4 discusses the architecture of the QxEAI model in depth. Section 5 provides the experiment and results that our method was put to the test and to show the practicability of it, and section 6 is the conclusion.

2. Related Works

There are quite a few approaches on how to automate time series forecasting. The first

systematic approach is the Box-Jenkins model, followed by the more well-known AR models, most notably the ARIMA and its variants, ES, and lastly Rob Hyndman's auto ARIMA. [2]

The publication of *Time Series Analysis: Forecasting and Control* [3] by Goerge Box and Gwilym Jenkins integrated the existing knowledge of the autoregressive and moving average methodologies, in which the Box-Jenkin's method was developed. Box-Jenkin's method mostly relies on statistics, on a linear relationship between the variables of what is being forecasted. [9, 17] A wide range of AR methods have spurted out from the Box-Jenkins model, the widely used ARIMA method.

ES techniques are the ones that are mostly used in business, though they don't have much mathematical foundation. A pair of 1985 papers boosted the popularity of ES methods and there have been 15 different methods of ES conceived, the most well-known being the SES, Holt's linear method, Holt-Winter's additive method, Holt-Winter's multiplicative method. [16]

More recently there have been packages that automates time series forecast generations based off of General Additive Models (GAM). The major fallacy is that it only fits one single model, though it does provide a flexible model with parameters. The presumption is that GAM is a "one model that fits all", though theoretically speaking that might be justifiable but isn't the most practical or useful when tackling real world scenarios. [14, 15]

In the past decade, the advances of machine learning cannot be ignored, such as ML methods DL, autoML, and neural networks have shown more promising results than those of the traditional ARIMA methods. Machine learning methods for time series forecasting can fundamentally be categorized into three groups: linear modeling, deep learning, and automated machine learning. Linear modeling uses the native linear ways to forecast a time series, deep learning is a subordinate of machine learning where neural networks and artificial neural networks, which are based off of the biological brain and neural networks, are used to build a process to learn a large number of unknown inputs, and auto machine learning is solving a traditional machine learning task in a fully automatic or close to automatic way. [1, 10, 11]

3. Background: Genetic Programming and Quantum Superposition

The theory of evolution is the theory that states life has evolved through generations of selection, mutation, and crossover, essentially the survival of the fittest, the ones most adapted to the environment survive long enough to pass their genes off to the next generation. [4]

Genetic programming (GP) is an algorithm based off evolutionary characteristics that uses random crossover, selection, and mutation to formulate an executable program that solves problems. By randomly generating a certain amount of a population, the GP algorithm learns the fitness of each individual and then by the principles of natural evolution for n number of generations, the fittest ones survive are the ones that are utilized. [18-21]

The quantum superposition principle in plain English is the principle that something can be two things or two places at once, the most famous example being Schrodinger's cat that is dead and alive simultaneously. More precisely, all the possible states of a superposed state can potentially exist in a linear combination of its possible states before it is observed or measured. Basically, superposition's key idea is that the combination of multiple wave-like entities is not just the sum of all their effects individually. Quite the contrary, the waves interact in a way that leads to interference patterns, amplification, and even cancellation of some certain characteristics.

The first postulate of quantum mechanics is "the state of an isolated physical system is represented, at a fixed time t , by a state vector ψ belonging to a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} called the state space." [5] Thus, when something is in a superposed state, all the possible states can be expressed by a wave function, ψ , which can be expressed as a linear combination of the states of the observable as (1). [22]

$$\psi = c_1\psi_1 + c_2\psi_2 + \dots + c_n\psi_n \tag{1}$$

There are corresponding measurement values of a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n , and once the measurement happens only one of these values a_n can be observed with a certain degree of probability of $|c_n|^2$.

4. Model

As shown in Figure 1, QxEAI applies quantum superposition to construct all the possible solutions, while GP optimizes the best one by the fitness function. There is a trend state, for example the closing price is up or down, and an action state, for example to buy or sell. Trend state and action state are in a state of dual uncertainty, for example, trend of the closing price is uncertain and the actions that can be taken are uncertain, all of which is constructed by quantum superposition.




Figure 1 QxEAI model

Time series are the change of something recorded over time, it can be described by consisting of its observed values and trend at a given time as (2-3).

$$\{(t_k, q_k, x_k)\} \quad k = 1, \dots, N \quad (2)$$

$$q_k = \begin{cases} 0, & x_k > x_{k-1} \\ 1, & x_k < x_{k-1} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Where t_k is time, q_k denotes the trend what is being observed, and x_k is the observed value.

The trend is inherently unpredictable, so we superpose all the possible trend states (up or down) illustrated by the trend space as in (4). Then in order to “guess” the right trend as close as possible, we need to formulate certain strategies that’ll guide us with actions (believe the trend will go up or believe it will go down) superposed as well, illustrated by the action space as in (5). The possible trend states and action states are all superposed in terms of Hilbert Space, and then the GP algorithm optimizes the best action to take based on the most maximized expected value. [6, 7]

For example, in the case of the stock market, the trend state is that the closing price will go up or go down, and the actions that can be taken are buy and sell. When traders trade in the stock market, all the traders’ actions together determine the closing price of the stock, and in turn the uncertainty of the trend then affects the traders’ actions, vice versa.

$$|\psi\rangle = c_1|q_1\rangle + c_2|q_2\rangle \quad (4)$$

Where $|q_1\rangle$ denotes the trend state going up, and $|q_2\rangle$ denotes the trend state going down. $\omega_1 = |c_1|^2$ is the objective frequency of the increase; $\omega_2 = |c_2|^2$ is the objective frequency of the decrease.

$$|\phi\rangle = \mu_1|a_1\rangle + \mu_2|a_2\rangle \quad (5)$$

Where $|a_1\rangle$ denotes the buy action, and $|a_2\rangle$ denotes the sell action. $p_1 = |\mu_1|^2$ is the subjective degrees of belief to buy; $p_2 = |\mu_2|^2$ is the subjective degrees of belief to sell.

Although under most circumstances, no one is able to fully grasp whether the trend will go up or down, exactly the most challenging part to forecasting, so the only way is to “guess” as accurately as possible of whether the trend is going to be up or down. Just like as with the stock market, the trend of anything that is being recorded is inherently uncertain (random walk), and in turn the decisions we make influences the trend state which causes the trend and our actions to always be clouded with dual uncertainty. Regardless of how the trend ends up being, in order to select the best action to take, through GP it optimizes the best action available based on maximizing expected value to the greatest.

The steps of the genetic programming algorithm are as follows:

GP Algorithm

Input:

- Historical dataset $\{(t_k, q_k, x_k), k = 0, \dots, N\}$;
- Setting:
 1. Operation set F;
 2. Dataset T;
 3. Crossover probability = 70%; Mutation probability = 5%.

Initialization:

- Population: randomly create 300 individuals.

Evolution:

Loop: for $i=0$ to 80 generations:

- a. Calculate fitness for each individual based on the historical dataset;
- b. According to the quality of fitness:
 - i. Selection: selecting parents.
 - ii. Crossover: generate a new offspring using the roulette algorithm based on crossover probability.
 - iii. Mutation: randomly modify the parents based on mutation probability.

Output:

- An individual of the best fitness.

The GP algorithm can be applied in two ways: classical and quantum-like. The classical operation set for the GP algorithm are the mathematical operators: addition, multiplication, subtraction, division, sine, cosine, exp and log, and the classical data set are the various variables inputted. For quantum-like operations the operation set are the matrix addition, multiplication, and logical OR, and the data set are the 8 quantum gates.

As stated above, the GP algorithm optimizes the best actions by using value and logic trees. The value tree is just a traditional function tree, and it calculates the difference of the absolute value of two points which will aid the logic tree.

- (1) Operation set $F = \{+, -, \times, \div, \log, \exp, \sin, \cos\}$;
- (2) Dataset $T = \{t, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$.

$$d_{t,t-1} = |x_t - x_{t-1}|$$

$$\text{valueTree} \xrightarrow{\text{compute}} d'_{t,t-1}$$

$$\text{fitness}_{\text{valueTree}} = -\sum_{k=1}^n (d'_{t,t-1} - d_{t,t-1})^2 \quad (6)$$

Where $d'_{t,t-1}$ is the absolute value of the difference of two points calculated by the value tree, and $d_{t,t-1}$ is the observed absolute value of the difference of two points of the market.

The logic tree is essentially a decision tree, with help from the value tree it guides which strategies to take with corresponding actions. The expected value under the current environment and the corresponding actions can be represented by a corresponding trend-action-decision table, shown in Table 1:

Action	Trend	
	q ₁	q ₂
a ₁	p ₁ ω ₁ * d _{t,t-1}	-p ₁ ω ₂ * d _{t,t-1}
a ₂	-p ₂ ω ₁ * d _{t,t-1}	p ₂ ω ₂ * d _{t,t-1}

Table 1 Trend-action-decision table.

Anytime a "decision" is made there is always an expected value. [25, 26] The expected value of each individual one is the possible scenarios of what the outcome could be paired with the state of what is being observed, as in (7):

$$EV_t = \begin{cases} p_1 \omega_1 d_{t,t-1}, \text{ trend is up and QxEAI believes so with } p_1 \\ -p_2 \omega_1 d_{t,t-1}, \text{ trend is up and QxEAI doesn't so with } p_2 \\ -p_1 \omega_2 d_{t,t-1}, \text{ trend is down and QxEAI doesn't with } p_1 \\ p_2 \omega_2 d_{t,t-1}, \text{ trend is down and QxEAI believes with } p_2 \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

The fitness function of the logic tree is the sum of all the expected value of all the individual actions as (8):

- (1) Operation set $F = \{+, *, //\}$;
- (2) Dataset $T = \{H, X, Y, Z, S, D, T, I\}$.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad Y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad Z = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \\ S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{bmatrix} \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\pi/4} \end{bmatrix} \quad I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\text{fitness}_{\text{logicTree}} = \sum_{t=1}^n EV_t \quad (8)$$

The purpose the fitness function is essentially an incentive system of reward and punishment. Before a decision is made and an action is chosen, there are four possibilities of outcome that exist. Of course, only one can happen, basically one out of the four scenarios of the expected value in Table 1. Therefore, if whatever that's being observed is trending upwards and the belief is that it's trending upwards, that's equivalent to a reward. But if something is trending downwards and the belief is that it's trending upwards, then a punishment is concurred. Vice versa with the other two scenarios. By learning historical data, the more rewards are reaped the more accurate chance we have of predicting the next trend. This also allows for no presumptions of the trend, the more times the right trend is "guessed" correctly the best strategies and actions are effectively evolved as a result. Generation after generation of evolution, the best strategy naturally arises, which is what the ultimate goal of the fitness function is. The best strategy that has evolved by natural selection is the one that can be utilized for future forecasting. [27, 28]

5. Applications and Experiments: Results

We implement our model from scratch using C#, and use a single Lenovo ThinkPad X1 Carbon

to run all the experiments. Using this set-up, we trained and predicted on a small dataset of about 100 points, which can be completed in a range of a couple of hours to a day. QxEAI is set to a population of 300 individuals, evolving 80 generations, with the crossover probability at 70%, and the mutation probability at 5%.

5.1 Datasets

We use four datasets (yearly, monthly, weekly, daily) for our evaluations, all of them from the Dow Jones Industrial Average. Yearly; which consisted of the closing price of each year from 1915 to 2023, monthly; consisting of the closing price of each month from January 2021 to February 2024, weekly; consisted of the closing price of each week from January 1st 2023 to March 1st 2024, and daily; January 2nd to February 29th.

5.2 Results




Figure 2. Train and verify with classical GP yearly dataset 1915-2024

Figure 2 shows the training and verifying of the yearly dataset, years 1915-2019 is training data and years 2020-2024 is the verify data. Given the vast number of years trained, we can see that the training data is fitted exponentially, and the verify data follows somewhat in suit. This result was produced by classical GP and fitting formula as (9), the MAPE of the forecasting is 5%.

$$f(t) = e^{\sqrt{t}} \tag{9}$$




Figure 3. Train and verify data with classical GP yearly dataset 2000-2024

Figure 3 shows the training and verifying of the yearly dataset as well, albeit with a smaller

dataset. Years 2000-2019 is training data and years 2020-2024 is verify data. As seen, since the years trained have decreased, the fitted result of the training data becomes linear and the verify data follows suit. This result was produced by classical GP and fitting formula as (10) and the MAPE of the forecasting is 13%.

$$f(t) = 3365 + 1096t \tag{10}$$




Figure 4. Monthly and weekly training datasets with classical GP

Figure 4a shows the monthly dataset, months January 2021 to July 2023 are the training set; 4b shows the weekly dataset, the weeks of January 6th 2023 to July 28th 2023 are trained. Starting from the shorten yearly dataset, a clear decrease of forecasting accuracy is seen. Limiting the trained dataset to months and weeks, the accuracy of the results takes a steep nosedive. The results produced here by the classical GP are constant averages, clearly not being able to produce any meaningful results.

As with the classical GP, though it gives a certain function, it doesn't fare well when it comes to more volatile datasets or those engaged in a "random walk". When this happens, as clearly seen from the above analysis, the classical way has a hard time formulating a certain function to forecast from the training data. In contrast, when certain regularities can't be obviously found, QxEAI has some slight advantages when it comes to tackling more challenging datasets. It does so by utilizing the logic and value trees to produce more accurate probabilistic forecasts. An important thing to note is that unlike the classical way which gives the same result every single time, QxEAI in theory will give a different result every time, but we can run 10000 times and then take the one that repeatedly appears the most as the forecast. The forecast will be close to the actual result that's observed.




Figure 5. Train and verify datasets with QxEAI yearly 2000-2024

Figure 5 shows the yearly dataset by QxEAI; 2000-2019 is training data and 2020-2024 is verify data. The fitting of the training data by QxEAI matches quite well, and the verify data is not just a linear straight line. For results run by QxEAI, the red line that is added is the trend, signifying not the exact forecasted data but the overall trend, and the yellow line is the forecast. The MAPE of the forecasting is 5%.




Figure 6. Train and verify dataset with QxEAI monthly 01/2022-02/2024

Figure 6 shows the monthly dataset by QxEAI, months of January 2022-July 2023 is training data, and the months of August 2023-February 2024 is the verify data. QxEAI fits good enough, the verify data was almost spot on, and the trend line was overall in the right direction. The MAPE of the forecasting (yellow line) is 2%.




Figure 7 Train and verify dataset with QxEAI weekly 01/06/2023-02/23/2024

Figure 7 shows the weekly dataset by QxEAI, the weeks of January 6th 2023-July 28th 2023 is the training data and the weeks of August 11th 2023-February 23rd 2024 is the verify data. When it comes to weekly dataset, in this particular run QxEAI produced a sensible result. As the short span of the weeks coupled with the uncertain “random walking” path of the data, it's safe to say that this was a satisfying result produced. The MAPE of the forecasting is 5%.




Figure 8. Train and verify dataset with QxEAI daily 01/02/2024-02/29/2024

Figure 8 shows the daily dataset by QxEAI, the days of January 2nd-31st 2024 is the training data, February 2nd-29th 2024 are verify data. As shown, the prediction of the daily February data after learning the daily values of the entire month of January are quite accurate, with the trend being in the right direction. The MAPE of the forecasting is 1%.

Regarding forecasting for all four of the QxEAI results, QxEAI does two things: one for forecasting the actual future data (yellow line), and two for the trend curve (red line). For forecasting future data points, QxEAI generates 10,000 possibilities and runs each one once, then it selects the one that incurs the most frequently. The one that is selected is then applied in the forecast process. For plotting the trend curve, QxEAI generates 1,000 possibilities also runs them once each, then averages them all, which is then the result of the trend curve.

5.3 Analysis

There's clearly a sharp contrast between the results produced by the classical GP and QxEAI. If there is a certain regularity to be found, the classical GP has no trouble doing so, it can do so by giving a certain function to forecast producing a certain result. This can be seen in Figure 2, and judging from the results it produces are mere acceptable. With Figure 3, which only trains the most recent 20 years, its forecasting ability barely scraps through. Then as we can see from Figures 4a and 4b its forecasting ability ceases to exist, as it is unable to adapt to uncertainty, and it can only puff out a straight-line average.

As illustrated by Figures 5, 6, 7, and 8 the QxEAI fares much better when forecasting. QxEAI automatically learns from the historical data to find the trend and then use that trend for forecasting. QxEAI takes uncertainty into account and doesn't treat it as external noise; the ability to adapt to the real-world uncertainty allows it to fare much better than the classical way. QxEAI gives 2 results; one is the most likely to happen from 10000 runs, and the other is the average of 1000 runs, and both results were fairly decent.

6. Conclusion

We have shown that forecasting approaches based on evolution and principle of quantum superposition can drastically improve the accuracy of forecasting of time period cycles of the Dow Jones Index by year, month, week, and day. Fundamentally, forecasting is attempting to predict how point A becomes point B: $A(t_n, x_n) \xrightarrow{m} B(t_{n+1}, x_{n+1})$, where m is all the different possibilities that could happen, essentially all the "paths" that could be taken. In reality only one "path" can actually be taken, but if somehow, we can know the complete information of which path will be taken by machine learning historical data, then a function will be produced and applied to forecast with certainty. If complete information is not obtained then the quantum-like way will give a probabilistic forecast with a trend curve and the curve that is most likely to happen. Thus, this answers our original questions that we started out with – the challenges of automating forecasting. The quantum-like portion "deals with" the dual

uncertainty of the external environment and the actions taken by the “traders”, while the evolutionary portion “deals with” the interactions between the environment and the “traders”, and by combining the two, QxEAI is able to produce forecasts under all types of scenarios.

In this paper we only show an application to the stock market, applying our method to the Dow Jones Index for forecasting, while the same methodology can be utilized to forecasting of a variety of datasets, i.e. retail sales, electricity consumption, etc. Regardless of the dataset, it does so by loading of an Excel spreadsheet (small-sized datasets that contain less than 100 datapoints), without any prerequisite of programming and statistics knowledge for the end user. All that is required for the end user is domain knowledge of the dataset to be analyzed, and interestingly enough our QxEAI can be termed a “machine data scientist” by the functions it can perform.

References

1. David Salinas, Valentin Flunkert, Jan Gasthaus, Tim Januschowski, DeepAR: Probabilistic forecasting with autoregressive recurrent networks, *International Journal of Forecasting*, Volume 36, Issue 3, 2020, Pages 1181-1191.
2. Jan G. De Gooijer, Rob J. Hyndman, 25 years of time series forecasting, *International Journal of Forecasting*, Volume 22, Issue 3, 2006, Pages 443-473.
3. Box, G. E. P., & Jenkins, G. M. (1970). *Time series analysis: Forecasting and control*. San Francisco: Holden Day (revised ed. 1976).
4. Darwin, Charles, 1809-1882. *On the Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection, or Preservation of Favoured Races in the Struggle for Life*. London: John Murray, 1859.
5. Dirac, P.A.M. *The Principles of Quantum Mechanics*; Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK, 1958.
6. Xin, L.; Xin, H. Decision-making under uncertainty—A quantum value operator approach. *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* 2023, 62, 48.
7. Xin, L.; Xin, K.; Xin, H. On Laws of Thought—A Quantum-like Machine Learning Approach. *Entropy* 2023, 25, 1213. [https://doi.org/ 10.3390/e25081213](https://doi.org/10.3390/e25081213)
8. Kauffman SA, Roli A. 2023 A third transition in science? *Interface Focus* 13: 20220063.
9. G. E. P. Box, G. M. Jenkins, Some Statistical Aspects of Adaptive Optimization and Control, *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological)*, Volume 24, Issue 2, July 1962, Pages 297–331.
10. Alsharef, A., Aggarwal, K., Sonia et al. Review of ML and AutoML Solutions to Forecast

Time-Series Data. *Arch Computate Methods Eng* 29, 5297–5311 (2022).

11. Wastergaard, G.; Erden, U.; Mateo, O.A.; Lampo, S.M.; Akinci, T.C.; Topsakal, O. Time Series Forecasting Utilizing Automated Machine Learning (AutoML): A Comparative Analysis Study on Diverse Datasets. *Information* 2024, 15, 39.
12. Hyndman, R. J., & Khandakar, Y. (2008). Automatic Time Series Forecasting: The forecast Package for R. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 27(3), 1–22.
13. Meisenbacher, S., Turowski, M., Phipps, K., Rätz, M., Müller, D., Hagenmeyer, V., & Mikut, R. (2022). Review of automated time series forecasting pipelines. *WIREs Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*, 12(6), e1475.
14. Holt, C. C. (1957). Forecasting seasonals and trends by exponentially weighted averages. O.N.R. Memorandum 52/1957, Carnegie Institute of Technology. Reprinted with discussion in 2004. *International Journal of Forecasting*, 20, 5–13.
15. Winters, P. R. (1960). Forecasting sales by exponentially weighted moving averages. *Management Science*, 6, 324–342.
16. Gardner Jr., E. S. (1985). Exponential smoothing: The state of the art. *Journal of Forecasting*, 4, 1–38.
17. Snyder, R. D. (1985). Recursive estimation of dynamic linear statistical models. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society (B)*, 47, 272–276.
18. Holland, J. *Adaptation in Natural and Artificial System*; University of Michigan Press: Ann Arbor, MI, USA, 1975.
19. Goldberg, D.E. *Genetic Algorithms—In Search, Optimization and Machine Learning*; Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc.: New York, NY, USA, 1989.
20. Koza, J.R. *Genetic Programming, on the Programming of Computers by Means of Natural Selection*; MIT Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 1992.
21. Koza, J.R. *Genetic Programming II, Automatic Discovery of Reusable Programs*; MIT Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 1994.
22. Von Neumann, J. *Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Theory*; Princeton University Press: Princeton, NJ, USA, 1932.
23. Von Neumann, J.; Morgenstern, O. *Theory of Games and Economic Behavior*; Princeton University Press: Princeton, NJ, USA, 1944.

24. Savage, L.J. The Foundation of Statistics; Dover Publication Inc.: New York, NY, USA, 1954.
25. Smith, Adam. An Inquiry into the Nature and Causes of the Wealth of Nations. London: Harriman House, 2007.
26. Mankiw, N Gregory. Principles of Microeconomics 10th Edition. Cengage Learning, 2023.
27. Xin, L.; Xin, H. Quantum Theory and Algorithms for Uncertain Decision Making; University of Science and Technology of China Press: Hefei, Anhui, PRC, 2023.
28. Xin, H.; Xin, L. Quantum Finance: Principle, Mechanism, and Algorithm of Uncertain Market; University of Science and Technology of China Press: Hefei, Anhui, PRC, 2020.