

**FROM COUNTING BLOCKS TO THE LEBESGUE MEASURE, WITH AN  
APPLICATION TO THE ALLOUCHE-HU-MORIN LIMIT THEOREM ON  
BLOCK-CONSTRAINED HARMONIC SERIES**

JEAN-FRANÇOIS BURNOL

ABSTRACT. We consider the harmonic series  $S(k) = \sum^{(k)} m^{-1}$  over the integers having  $k$  occurrences of a given block of  $b$ -ary digits, of length  $p$ , and relate them to certain measures on the interval  $[0, 1)$ . We show that these measures converge weakly to  $b^p$  times the Lebesgue measure, a fact which allows a new proof of the theorem of Allouche, Hu, and Morin which says  $\lim S(k) = b^p \log(b)$ . A quantitative error estimate will be given. Combinatorial aspects involve generating series which fall under the scope of the Goulden-Jackson cluster generating function formalism and the work of Guibas-Odlyzko on string overlaps.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this work, an integer  $b > 1$  and a block of  $b$ -ary digits  $w = d_1 \dots d_p$  having length  $|w| = p \geq 1$  are fixed.

Let  $S_w(k) = \sum^{(k)} m^{-1}$  where the denominators are the positive integers whose (minimal) representations as strings of  $b$ -ary digits contain exactly  $k$  occurrences of the block  $w$ . Their finiteness will be reproven in the text body. For single-digit blocks  $w$ , i.e.  $p = 1$ , Farhi [8] proved  $\lim S_w(k) = b \log(b)$ . Allouche, Hu, and Morin [4] (and [3] earlier for the  $b = 2$  case) have now extended this to all  $p \geq 1$ :

$$(1) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} S_w(k) = b^p \log(b)$$

The approach of [3, 4] exploits special properties of a certain rational function of a combinatorial nature, which had been defined and studied for binary base already in [1, 2]. Due to the possibilities of self-overlap of  $w$ , (1) for  $p \geq 2$  seems indeed to be quite deeper than for  $p = 1$ .

Using completely different tools, we confirm (1) in the following quantitative form:

**Theorem 1.** *For each  $k \geq 1$ ,  $\left| S_w(k) - b^p \log(b) \right| \leq (b-1)b^{|w| - \max(k, 2) + 1}$ .*

This estimate is definitely not sharp; the author studied in [7] the situation for one-digit blocks  $w$ , with  $k$  fixed and  $b \rightarrow \infty$  and the results suggest, for  $|w| = 1$ , that the distance of  $S_w(k)$  to  $b \log(b)$  is of the order  $b^{-2k}$  up to some factor depending on  $b$  and the digit  $w$ .

---

*Date:* May 6, 2024.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11Y60, 05A15; Secondary 11A63, 28A25;

*Key words and phrases.* Block-counting, generating functions, harmonic series, Irwin series.

**Remark 1.** *The rules of [1, 13, 3, 4] for counting (overlapping) occurrences of  $w$  in an integer  $n$  are special if  $w$ 's first digit is 0 and not all its digits are 0: the integer  $n$  is first extended to the left with infinitely many zeros. This either will not modify the number of occurrences or will increase it by exactly one unit. Due to this, our  $S_w(k)$  for such  $w$ 's is not the same quantity as considered in [4]. With  $S_w(k)$  replaced by the one using the [4] conventions, a modified upper bound holds: our method obtains Theorem 1 but with an extra  $b$  factor and the requirement  $k \geq 2$ .*

In [5] where we investigated the  $S_w(k)$ 's for  $w$  a single-digit block (Irwin series [14]), we worked with formulae of the type

$$(2) \quad S_w(k) = \int_{[b^{-1}, 1)} \frac{\mu_k(dx)}{x}$$

for some discrete measures  $\mu_k$  on  $[0, 1)$ . In [6] this was extended to the  $|w| = 2$  case. In [5, 6], the integral formula (2) is submitted to transformations induced from recurrence properties among the  $\mu_k$ 's, and then converted, using the moments of the  $\mu_k$ 's, into series allowing the numerical computation of the  $S_w(k)$ 's.

Here, we will not transform the integral formula (2) any further but concentrate on what can be derived directly from it as  $k$  goes to  $\infty$ . The essential fact which we establish is:

**Theorem 2.** *The measures  $\mu_k$  converge weakly to  $b^p dx$ , i.e. for any interval  $I \subset [0, 1)$ , there holds  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu_k(I) = b^p |I|$ , where  $|I| = \sup I - \inf I$  is the Lebesgue measure of  $I$ .*

This explains the Farhi and Allouche-Hu-Morin theorem (1), in a manner very different from the works of these authors. Further, for intervals  $I = [t, u)$ , with  $t < u$  rational numbers with powers of  $b$  denominators (“ $b$ -imal numbers”), the sequence  $(\mu_k(I))$  becomes constant for  $k$  large enough, which is what will give the quantitative estimate 1.

The contents are as follows: the next section will explore underlying combinatorics and obtain the core result for our aims, which is Theorem 3. A general framework of Goulden-Jackson ([9], [10, §2.8]) could be applied to obtain the shape of certain generating series which are parts of the input to Theorem 3, but we shall work from first principles. Although it is not needed for our aims, a result of Guibas-Odlyzko [12] will also be proven.

Then in the last section we derive Theorems 1 and 2 as easy corollaries.

## 2. GENERATING SERIES FOR THE $w$ -BLOCK COUNTS

It has definite advantages in the study of the  $S_w(k)$ 's to work not only with integers but also with strings (they may also be called “words”, but we will stick with “string”), i.e. elements of  $\mathbf{D} = \cup_{l \geq 0} \mathbf{D}^l$ ,  $\mathbf{D} = \{0, \dots, b-1\}$ . So all strings considered here have a finite length.

Each such string of  $b$ -ary digits  $X$  defines a non-negative integer  $n(X)$  in the usual way of positional left to right notation. We set  $n(\emptyset) = 0$ . Conversely each non-negative integer  $n$  has a minimal representation  $X(n)$  as a string, all others being with prepended zeros. In particular  $X(0) = \emptyset$ . The length of  $X$  is denoted  $|X|$  or  $l(X)$ .

For any string  $X$  we let  $k_w(X)$  be the number of (overlapping) occurrences of  $w$  in  $X$ . We say that a string is  $k$ -admissible if  $k_w(X) = k$ . Let  $N_w(k, l)$  for each  $k \geq 0$  and  $l \geq 0$  be the number of  $k$ -admissible strings of length  $l$ . We let  $Z_w(k)$  be the corresponding generating series:

$$(3) \quad Z_w(k) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} N_w(k, l)t^l$$

Whenever we substitute  $b^{-1}$  for  $t$  in such a generating series, we say that we compute the “total mass” of the objects counted by the series. I.e. each string  $X$  is weighted as  $b^{-|X|}$ . For example strings of length  $l$  have a total mass equal to 1 (also for  $l = 0$ , there is then only one  $X$ , the empty string). Here is our main result:

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $s$  be any string of length  $\ell$ , and let  $k_0(s) = \max k_w(sz)$  where the maximum is taken over all strings  $z$  of length  $p - 1$  (with  $p = |w|$ ). For each  $k \geq 1 + k_0(s)$ , the total mass of the  $k$ -admissible strings having  $s$  as prefix is equal to  $b^p \cdot b^{-\ell}$ . In particular for any  $k \geq 1$ ,  $Z_w(k)(b^{-1}) = b^p$ .*

Note that  $k_0(s) \leq \ell$  in the above theorem so the conclusion applies to any  $k > \ell$ . As notational shortcut, in place of appending  $(b^{-1})$  to express evaluation at  $b^{-1}$ , we sometimes replace the letter  $Z$  by  $M$  (for “mass”), so the theorem says in particular  $M_w(k) = b^p$ .

It has turned out that the arguments which allowed us to prove (3) also allow to express all  $Z_w(k)$ 's,  $k \geq 1$  in terms of  $Z_w(0)$ . The existence of such expressions is related to the fact that the doubly generating series

$$(4) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} N_w(k, l)r^k t^l = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Z_w(k)r^k$$

falls under the scope of a general theory of Goulden and Jackson (see [9], [10, §2.8]; see also Exercise 14 from [15, Chap. 4] as an introduction) where a notion of *cluster generating function* is fundamental. It would take us a bit too far to discuss the details of the notion here, and is not needed as we will obtain a complete description of the  $Z_w(k)$  from first principles.

Guibas and Odlyzko define the *auto-correlation polynomial* of the block  $w$  as follows:  $A_w = \sum_{0 \leq i < p} c_i t^i$  with  $c_i \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $c_i = 1$  if the prefix of  $w$  of length  $p - i$  is identical with the suffix of the same length, or equivalently if  $i$  is a *period* of  $w$ , i.e.,  $d_j = d_{i+j}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq p - i$  (the same authors have characterized in [11] all possible such  $A_w$ 's). For example if  $p = 3$ ,  $w$  can be of three types:  $abc$  ( $A_w = 1$ ),  $aba$  ( $A_w = 1 + t^2$ ), or  $aaa$  ( $A_w = 1 + t + t^2$ ). The Guibas-Odlyzko formula is then:

$$(5) \quad Z_w(0) = \frac{A_w}{(1 - bt)A_w + t^p}$$

The rationality of  $Z_w(0)$  can also be obtained as a very special case of Proposition 4.7.6 of [15] which itself is an application of the general *transfer matrix* method.

It turns out that we don't really need formula (5), but for completeness we will include a proof.

Let's start with proving that  $b^{-1}$  is always in the open disk of convergence. This is most probably in the literature but we don't know a reference.

**Lemma 4.** *Each  $Z_w(k)$  has a radius of convergence at least equal to  $(b^p - 1)^{-p^{-1}} > b^{-1}$ . And*

$$(6) \quad \sum_{0 \leq j \leq k} Z_w(j)(b^{-1}) \leq p(k+1)b^p$$

*Proof.* Let's give an upper bound for  $\sum_{0 \leq j \leq k} N_w(j, l)$ . We write  $l = qp + r$  with  $0 \leq r < p$ . We take a string of length  $l$  and consider it as  $q$  successive blocks of length  $p$  and  $r$  extra digits. If the string has at most  $k$  occurrences of  $w$ , then in particular among the  $q$  blocks of length  $p$ , at most  $k$  of them are equal to  $w$  and the others must be distinct from  $w$ . Hence

$$(7) \quad \sum_{0 \leq j \leq k} N_w(j, l) \leq \sum_{0 \leq i \leq k} \binom{q}{i} (b^p - 1)^{q-i} \times b^r, \quad l = qp + r$$

(Or course with  $\binom{q}{i} = 0$  for  $i > q$ ). So we obtain a majorant series equal to

$$(8) \quad \sum_{0 \leq i \leq k} \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \binom{q}{i} (b^p - 1)^{q-i} t^{pq} \times \sum_{0 \leq r < p} b^r t^r$$

We recognize binomial series and reformulate the majorant series as

$$(9) \quad \sum_{0 \leq i \leq k} \frac{t^{pi}}{(1 - (b^p - 1)t^p)^{i+1}} \times \sum_{0 \leq r < p} b^r t^r$$

The radius of convergence of the series  $\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (\sum_{0 \leq i \leq k} N_w(j, l)) t^l$  is at least the one of the majorant series which is  $R = (b^p - 1)^{-p^{-1}} > b^{-1}$ . Plugging in  $t = b^{-1}$  in the above displayed equation, we obtain the upper bound stated in the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** *For all  $k \geq 0$ ,  $S_w(k) < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the contribution to  $S_w(k)$  by integers of a given length  $l \geq 1$ , i.e. those integers in  $[b^{l-1}, b^l)$  having  $k$  occurrences of  $w$ . This contribution is bounded above by  $b^{1-l} N_w(k, l)$ , hence  $S_w(k) \leq b Z_w(k)(b^{-1}) < \infty$ .  $\square$

We defined the generating series  $Z_w(k)$ . Let's similarly define  $Z_w(x, j, y)$  as the generating series of the counts per length of  $k$ -admissible strings which start with a given block  $x$  and end with a given block  $y$ . We will here mainly use them for  $x$  and  $y$  being both of length  $p - 1$ . So if  $p = 1$ ,  $x$  and  $y$  are both the empty string and add no constraints. We also define  $Z_w(x, j)$  with only the condition to start with  $x$ . This is a shortcut for  $Z_w(x, j, \emptyset)$ . We will also need  $Z_w(\emptyset, j, y)$  which it would be now be more problematic to denote as  $Z_w(j, y)$  because the two-argument  $Z_w$  is already defined.

We let  $u$  be the prefix (initial sub-block)  $d_1 \dots d_{p-1}$  of  $w$  and  $v$  its suffix (terminating sub-block)  $d_2 \dots d_p$ .

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $k \geq 1$ . Then for any  $(p-1)$ -block  $s$  one has*

$$(10) \quad Z_w(s, k) = t^{2-p} Z_w(s, 0, u) Z_w(v, k-1)$$

*Proof.* Cut the  $k$ -admissible string starting with  $s$  into two pieces: the first one ends with the  $u$  part of the left-most occurrence of  $w$ . The second piece starts with the  $v$  part of this left-most occurrence of  $w$ . This second piece  $R$  has exactly  $k-1$  occurrences of  $w$ . The first piece  $L$  has none. Conversely any two such pieces  $L$  and  $R$  can be glued together along their  $p-2$  common digits (if  $p=1$ , this means creating  $LwR$ , if  $p=2$  it is simply concatenation  $LR$ , if  $p>2$ , it is glueing with the  $p-2$  digits overlap). So we have a Cauchy product of generating functions which we must correct by the factor  $t^{2-p}$  for matters of total lengths.  $\square$

Using this lemma with  $s=v$  the  $(p-1)$ -suffix of  $w$  we obtain in particular, for all  $k \geq 0$ :

$$(11) \quad Z_w(v, k) = \left( t^{2-p} Z_w(v, 0, u) \right)^k Z_w(v, 0)$$

To shorten notations, when evaluating at  $b^{-1}$ , in place of appending  $(b^{-1})$  we replace the letter  $Z$  by  $M$  (for total mass).

**Lemma 7.**  *$M_w(v, k) = Z_w(v, k)(b^{-1})$  is a constant which is independent of  $k \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* From (11),  $M_w(v, k) = \alpha \beta^k$ , for some  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ . The hypothesis  $\beta > 1, \alpha > 0$  is incompatible with the (linearly divergent, not geometrically) upper bound (6). And the hypothesis  $\beta < 1$  or  $\alpha = 0$  is incompatible with the fact that  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Z_w(v, k)(b^{-1}) = \infty$ . Indeed this sum evaluates the total mass of all strings starting with  $v$  and weighted by  $b^{-l}$  where  $l$  is their length, and is thus infinite. So  $\beta = 1$ .  $\square$

The next lemma will imply that the constant value of  $M_w(v, k)$ ,  $k \geq 0$ , is  $b$ .

**Lemma 8.** *The following relations hold and show that knowing one of  $Z_w(0)$ ,  $Z_w(v, 0)$  or  $Z_w(v, 0, u)$  determines the other two. They also imply that  $M_w(v, 0) = b$  and  $M_w(v, 0, u) = b^{2-p}$ .*

$$(12) \quad (1-bt)t^{1-p}Z_w(v, 0) = 1 - t^{2-p}Z_w(v, 0, u)$$

$$(13) \quad (1-bt)Z_w(0) = 1 - tZ_w(v, 0)$$

*Proof.* One surely has  $\text{ord}_0(t^{2-p}Z_w(v, 0, u)) \geq 1$ , so it is licit in the algebra of formal power series to compute the sums of the equations (11) for all  $k \geq 0$ , to obtain the identity

$$(14) \quad \frac{t^{p-1}}{1-bt} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Z_w(v, k) = \frac{Z_w(v, 0)}{1 - t^{2-p}Z_w(v, 0, u)}$$

hence (12). Let  $x = dx'$  be a 0-admissible string, of length at least 1. If  $d \neq d_1$  (where  $d_1$  is the first digit of  $w$ ), then  $x'$  is an arbitrary (possibly empty) 0-admissible string. If  $d = d_1$  then  $x = d_1x'$  with  $x'$  an arbitrary (possibly empty) admissible string not starting with the  $(p-1)$ -suffix  $v$  of  $w$ . Hence

$$(15) \quad Z_w(0) = 1 + (b-1)tZ_w(0) + t(Z_w(0) - Z_w(v, 0))$$

which gives the second equation.

Substituting  $t = b^{-1}$  we obtain the stated evaluations of  $M_w(v, 0)$  and  $M_w(v, 0, u)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 9.** *The two series  $Z_w(\emptyset, 0, u)$  and  $Z_w(v, 0)$  are identical.*

*Proof.* Let  $r(w)$  be the reversed block. Its  $(p-1)$ -suffix is  $r(u)$ . We thus have  $(1-bt)Z_{r(w)}(0) = 1 - tZ_{r(w)}(r(u), 0)$ . But mapping strings to their reversals, it is clear that  $Z_{r(w)}(0) = Z_w(0)$ , and  $Z_{r(w)}(r(u), 0) = Z_w(\emptyset, 0, u)$ . Thus  $Z_w(\emptyset, 0, u) = Z_w(v, 0)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 10.** *For  $k \geq 1$  one has*

$$(16) \quad Z_w(k) = t^{2-p} \left( t^{2-p} Z_w(v, 0, u) \right)^{k-1} Z_w(v, 0)^2$$

which via equations (12) and (13) can be used to express  $Z_w(k)$  in terms of  $Z_w(0)$ .

*Proof.* For any given block  $s$  of length  $p-1$  we have from Lemma 6  $Z_w(s, k) = t^{2-p} Z_w(s, 0, u) \cdot Z_w(v, k-1)$ . For  $k \geq 1$ , a  $k$  admissible string  $X$  necessarily has length at least  $p$ , hence it has a prefix  $s$  of length  $p-1$  available. So  $Z_w(k)$  is the sum of the  $Z_w(s, k)$  over all  $s$  of length  $p-1$ . Similarly  $\sum_{s, |s|=p-1} Z_w(s, 0, u) = Z_w(\emptyset, 0, u)$  because any string terminating in  $u$  has length at least  $p-1$  hence has a starting part  $s$  of length  $p-1$  associated with it. Hence

$$Z_w(k) = t^{2-p} Z_w(\emptyset, 0, u) Z_w(v, k-1)$$

and the formula for  $Z_w(v, k-1)$  is given by (11). And we know that  $Z_w(\emptyset, 0, u) = Z_w(v, 0)$ .  $\square$

We have all elements needed to complete our main objective:

*Proof of Theorem 3.* Substituting  $t = b^{-1}$  in (16) we obtain

$$(17) \quad M_w(k) = b^{p-2} \left( b^{p-2} M_w(v, 0, u) \right)^{k-1} M_w(v, 0)^2 = b^{p-2} \cdot 1^{k-1} \cdot b^2 = b^p.$$

Let now  $s$  be an arbitrary string and define  $k_0(s) = \max_{|z|=p-1} k_w(sz)$  as in the theorem statement. Suppose  $k > k_0(s)$  and let  $sx$  be an  $s$ -prefixed string which is  $k$ -admissible. Thus  $|x| \geq p$ . Let split  $x$  into  $zy$  with  $z$  its prefix of length  $p-1$  (and  $y$  necessarily not empty). If  $w$  occurs in  $sx = szy$  it is either in  $sz$  or in  $zy$ , so  $k_w(sx) = k_w(sz) + k_w(zy)$ . We can thus partition the set of all  $x$  such that  $k_w(sx) = k$  according to the  $b^{p-1}$  possible  $z$ 's. And we obtain the identity associated to this partition:

$$(18) \quad k > k_0(s) \implies Z_w(s, k) = \sum_{|z|=p-1} t^{|s|} Z_w(z, k - k_w(sz))$$

According to Lemma 6 and equation 11, we have

$$(19) \quad j \geq 1 \implies Z_w(z, j) = t^{2-p} Z_w(z, 0, u) \left( t^{2-p} Z_w(v, 0, u) \right)^{j-1} Z_w(v, 0)$$

Using now the masses given in Lemma 8 (and thanks to  $j_z = k - k_w(sz) > 0$ ) we obtain

$$(20) \quad Z_w(s, k)(b^{-1}) = \sum_{|z|=p-1} b^{-|s|} \cdot b^{p-2} \cdot Z_w(z, 0, u)(b^{-1}) \cdot 1^{j_z-1} \cdot b$$

We have explained earlier that  $\sum_{|z|=p-1} Z_w(z, 0, u) = Z_w(\emptyset, 0, u) = Z_w(v, 0)$  and we know that substituting  $t = b^{-1}$  gives a mass equal to  $b$ . So  $Z_w(s, k)(b^{-1}) = b^p b^{-|s|}$ .  $\square$

We will also need the following additional fact (for  $k \geq 2$  it is a corollary of the Theorem 3 we just proved) which for  $p = 2$  and  $k = 1$  had been already observed in [6, §3]:

**Proposition 11.** *For any digit  $d \in D$ , the total mass of the  $k$ -admissible strings starting with  $d$  is  $b^{p-1}$  if  $k \geq 1$ . So the total mass of the  $k$ -admissible integers is  $(b-1)b^{p-1}$  for  $k \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $d_1$  be the first digit of  $w$ . Let  $d \neq d_1$  be another digit. Then  $X = dx$  is  $k$ -admissible if and only if  $x$  is, hence the result in that case. Thus the  $k$ -admissible strings not starting with  $d_1$  make up for a total mass of  $(b-1)b^{-1} \cdot b^p$ . This forces the  $k$ -admissible strings starting with  $d_1$  to have a total mass  $b^{p-1}$  too (we have used that the empty string is not admissible as  $k \geq 1$ ).

We can deduce in a novel manner  $M_w(v, k) = M_w(v, k-1)$  hence Lemma 7 from this. The above paragraph showed (without using any anterior result apart from finiteness) that the mass of  $k$ -admissible strings starting with  $d_1$  is  $b^{-1}$  times  $M_w(0)$ . But  $X = d_1x$  is admissible if either  $x$  does not start with  $v$  and is  $k$ -admissible, or  $x$  starts with  $v$  and is  $(k-1)$ -admissible. This gives a mass of  $b^{-1}(M_w(0) - M_w(v, k)) + b^{-1}M_w(v, k-1)$ . But the final result has to be  $b^{-1}M_w(0)$ . So  $M_w(v, k) = M_w(v, k-1)$ .  $\square$

In order to have a fully-rounded picture we now go the extra step of proving the Guibas-Odlyzko formula (5). We can partition the set  $\mathcal{A}$  of 0-admissible strings  $X$  according to the value of  $k(vX)$ . We obtain a partition of  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\mathcal{A}_0, \dots, \mathcal{A}_{p-1}$  (some of these possibly empty, of course). Suppose  $X$  belongs to  $\mathcal{A}_j$ ,  $j \geq 1$  and let  $i \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$  be the index in  $wX$ , counting from the left and starting at 0, of the last occurrence of  $w$  in  $wX$ . We can think of  $i$  as how many times we need to shift  $w$  one unit to the right in order to reach that final occurrence of  $w$  in  $wX$ . It is also the index in  $vX$  of the last occurrence of  $w$ , but starting the index count at 1, not 0. Recall in what is next that we write  $w = d_1 \dots d_p$ .

The string  $X \in \mathcal{A}_j$  thus starts with the last  $i$  digits of  $w$ :  $d_{p-i+1} \dots d_p$  is a prefix of  $X$ . And it is necessary that  $d_{p-i}$  be the first digit to the left of  $X$  in  $wX$ , i.e.  $d_{p-i} = d_p$ , and further  $d_{p-i-1} = d_{p-1}$ , etc... until  $d_1 = d_{i+1}$ . So the index  $i \geq 1$  is necessarily a period of  $w$ . We will now prove that  $i$  is exactly the  $j$ th positive period of  $w$  in increasing order.

Let  $s_i$  be the length  $i$  suffix of  $w$ , and  $r_i$  the length  $i$  prefix of  $w$ , for the period  $i$ . Then  $ws_i = r_iw$ . We found that  $X$  starts with  $s_i$ ,  $X = s_iY$ , so  $wX = ws_iY = r_iwY$ . We have  $k(wX) = k(r_iwY) = k(r_iw) + k(wY) - 1 = k(r_iw) + k(vY)$ . Thus  $j+1 = k(wX) = k(r_iw) + k(vY)$ . We defined  $i$  as giving the position in  $wX$  of the last occurrence of  $w$ , and the  $s_i$  in the decomposition  $X = s_iY$  is the suffix of this last occurrence of  $w$ . So no occurrence of  $w$  in  $wX$  can touch  $Y$ , which means that  $k(vY) = 0$  and thus  $j+1 = k(r_iw) = k(ws_i)$ . This characterizes  $i$  as being the  $j$ th positive period of  $w$ , indeed we observe that for all smaller periods  $\iota$ , their  $ws_\iota$  is a prefix of  $ws_i$ . Let's thus now denote our  $i$  also as  $i_j$ .

We have also obtained in passing that  $X = s_i Y$  with  $Y \in \mathcal{A}_0$ . Conversely for such an  $X$  we have  $k(wX) = k(ws_i Y) = k(r_i w Y) = k(r_i w) + k(vY) = k(r_i w) = k(ws_i) = j + 1$ . We can conclude that  $\mathcal{A}_j = s_{i_j} \mathcal{A}_0$  hence its associated generating series is  $t^{i_j}$  times the generating series associated to  $\mathcal{A}_0$ . But the latter is in our notations  $t^{1-p} Z_w(v, 0)$ . Hence, using the auto-correlation polynomial  $A_w$ , we reach the key final formula:

$$(21) \quad Z_w(0) = A_w t^{1-p} Z_w(v, 0)$$

Combining with Lemma 8, we obtain the Guibas-Odlyzko formula (5):

$$(22) \quad Z_w(0) = \frac{A_w}{(1-bt)A_w + t^p}$$

and

$$(23) \quad Z_w(v, 0) = \frac{t^{p-1}}{(1-bt)A_w + t^p}$$

$$(24) \quad t^{2-p} Z_w(v, 0, u) = \frac{(1-bt)(A_w - 1) + t^p}{(1-bt)A_w + t^p}$$

Hence Lemma 10 becomes:

$$(25) \quad k \geq 1 \implies Z_w(k) = t^p \frac{((1-bt)(A_w - 1) + t^p)^{k-1}}{((1-bt)A_w + t^p)^{k+1}}$$

The values  $M_w(k) = b^p$  are obvious here. And we obtain  $M_w(0) = b^p A_w(b^{-1}) = b^p + b^{p-i_1} + \dots$  in terms of the positive periods  $0 < i_1 < i_2 < \dots$ , if any.

### 3. MEASURES AND INTEGRALS

For each string  $X$ , we define the  $b$ -imal number  $x(X) \in [0, 1)$  simply by putting  $X$  immediately to the right of the  $b$ -imal separator, i.e.  $x(X) = n(X)/b^{|X|}$ . We define the measure  $\mu_k$  as the sum over all  $k$ -admissible strings of the Dirac masses at points  $x(X)$  with weights  $b^{-|X|}$ . In [5, §4] it is explained that as the discrete measure has finite total mass, one can use it to integrate any bounded or any non-negative function (in the latter case possibly obtaining  $+\infty$ ). In particular, consider integrating the function  $g(x)$  being defined as  $1/x$  for  $b^{-1} \leq x < 1$  and zero elsewhere. The computation of  $\mu_k(g)$  involves only those strings  $X$  starting with a non-zero  $b$ -ary digit (in particular the empty string which maps to the real number 0 is not involved) hence contributions are in one-to-one correspondance with those positive integers  $m$  having exactly  $k$  occurrences of the block of digits  $w$ . And the contribution of an integer  $m \in [b^{l-1}, b^l)$  is  $(m/b^l)^{-1}$  (value of the function) times  $b^{-l}$  (weight associated to the Dirac point-mass). That gives exactly  $m^{-1}$  hence formula (2) for  $S_w(k)$ .

This reasoning works for all  $k \geq 0$  but now we suppose  $k \geq 1$ . Recall in the following that  $p = |w|$ .

Let us first suppose  $k = 1$ . We obtain from (2) the bounds

$$(26) \quad \mu_1([b^{-1}, 1)) \leq S_w(1) \leq b \cdot \mu_1([b^{-1}, 1))$$

Now,  $\mu_1([b^{-1}, 1))$  is the total mass (i.e. each string  $X$  weighs  $b^{-|X|}$  if kept) of the 1-admissible strings starting with a non zero digit. By proposition 11 this is  $(b-1)b^{p-1}$ . We also have (rather trivial and sub-optimal) bounds

$$(27) \quad b^p(1-b^{-1}) \leq \int_{b^{-1}}^1 \frac{b^p dx}{x} \leq b \cdot b^p(1-b^{-1})$$

Hence  $|b^p \log(b) - S_w(1)| \leq (b-1)^2 b^{p-1}$ . It wasn't really optimal to replace  $1/x$  either by 1 or by  $b!$  So let's make a finer decomposition along sub-intervals  $I_a = [a/b, (a+1)/b)$ ,  $1 \leq a < b$ . We know from Proposition 11 that  $\mu_1(I_a) = b^{p-1}$  for each  $a \in \mathbb{D}$ . Hence we can write

$$(28) \quad S_w(1) = \sum_{a=1}^{a=b-1} b^{p-1} y_a, \quad (a+1)^{-1}b \leq y_a \leq a^{-1}b$$

And we also have regarding  $\int b^p dx/x$ :

$$(29) \quad b^p \log(b) = \sum_{a=1}^{a=b-1} b^{p-1} z_a, \quad (a+1)^{-1}b \leq z_a \leq a^{-1}b$$

Hence

$$(30) \quad |S_w(1) - b^p \log(b)| \leq \sum_{a=1}^{a=b-1} b^p \left( \frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{a+1} \right) = (b-1)b^{p-1}$$

This proves the case  $k=1$  for Theorem 1.

Let  $k=2$ . The exact same decomposition we employed for  $k=1$  works too here: indeed  $\mu_2(I_a) = b^{p-1}$  from Theorem 3 applied to the one-digit strings  $s=a$ ,  $1 \leq a < b$ , for which the condition  $k > k_0(s)$  is certainly valid as  $k_0(s) \leq 1$ . So the case  $k=2$  of Theorem 1 is done.

Let  $k > 2$ . We partition  $[b^{-1}, 1)$  into intervals  $I(s) = [n(s)/b^{k-1}, (n(s)+1)/b^{k-1})$  over all strings  $s$  of length  $k-1$  and whose first digit is non-zero ( $n(s)$  is the associated integer). The value of  $\mu_k(I(s))$  is the total mass of all  $k$ -admissible strings having  $s$  as prefix (we used that for example with  $k=4$ , whatever  $w$ , 10 can not contribute any mass to  $[0.100, 0.101)$  despite  $x(10)$  belonging to it). By Theorem 3, as  $k$  is greater than the length of  $s$ , this total mass is  $b^p \cdot b^{-|s|} = b^{p-k+1}$ . We can thus simultaneously write  $S_w(k) = \sum_s b^{p-k+1} y_s$  with  $(n(s)+1)^{-1}b^{k-1} \leq y_s \leq n(s)^{-1}b^{k-1}$  and  $b^p \log(b) = \sum_s b^p \cdot b^{-k+1} z_s$  with  $(n(s)+1)^{-1}b^{k-1} \leq z_s \leq n(s)^{-1}b^{k-1}$ , hence

$$(31) \quad |S_w(k) - b^p \log(b)| \leq \sum_s b^{p-k+1} \left( \frac{b^{k-1}}{n(s)} - \frac{b^{k-1}}{n(s)+1} \right) = b^{p-k+1} \left( \frac{b^{k-1}}{b^{k-2}} - \frac{b^{k-1}}{b^{k-1}} \right)$$

and the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

Let now prove the weak convergence of the  $\mu_k$ 's to  $b^p$  times Lebesgue measure. As in [5, Prop. 26] it will suffice to prove that 1)  $\mu_k(\{x\}) \rightarrow 0$  for any  $x$  and 2) for any  $0 \leq t < u < 1$  such that  $b^l t$  and  $b^l u$  are both integers then  $\mu_k([t, u)) = b^p(u-t)$  for  $k$  large enough. In [5] which handled only  $p=1$ , the stabilization in 2) was true for  $k \geq l$ . Here we prove it for  $k \geq l+1$ . It is enough to handle pairs  $(t = n/b^l, u = (n+1)/b^l)$ , with  $n$  and  $l$  both non-negative integers,  $n < b^l$ . The  $k$ -admissible strings  $X$ ,  $k > l$ , mapping to the interval

$[t, u)$  must share the prefix  $s$  which represents (perhaps necessarily with leading zeros) the integer  $n$  with  $l$  digits. And Theorem 3 says that for  $k > l$  the total mass of such  $k$ -admissible strings with prefix  $s$  is  $b^{p-l}$ . There remains to do case 1) i.e. singletons  $\{x\}$ . If  $x$  is not  $b$ -imal the measure vanishes. Else we need to check the contribution originating in a string  $s$ , either empty or ending in a non-zero digit, and all its extensions  $s0\dots 0$ . If  $w$  isn't a string of zeros, for  $k$  large enough nothing contributes and  $\mu_k(\{x\}) = 0$ . Else for  $k \gg 1$ , there is only one choice, the one of length  $k + c(s)$  for some constant, hence the total mass goes to zero.

The proof of Theorem 2 is thus complete.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Allouche, J.-P., Shallit, J.O.: Infinite products associated with counting blocks in binary strings. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **39**(2), 193–204 (1989) <https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms/s2-39.2.193>
- [2] Allouche, J.-P., Hajnal, P., Shallit, J.O.: Analysis of an infinite product algorithm. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **2**(1), 1–15 (1989) <https://doi.org/10.1137/0402001>
- [3] Allouche, J.-P., Morin, C.: Kempner-like harmonic series. (AMM, to appear) (2023). <https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.18180>
- [4] Allouche, J.-P., Hu, Y., Morin, C.: Ellipsephic harmonic series revisited (2024). <https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.05678>
- [5] Burnol, J.-F.: Measures for the summation of Irwin series (2024). <https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.09083>
- [6] Burnol, J.-F.: Summing the "exactly one 42" and similar subsums of the harmonic series (2024). <https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.14761>
- [7] Burnol, J.-F.: Un développement asymptotique des sommes harmoniques de Kempner-Irwin (2024). <https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.13763>
- [8] Farhi, B.: A curious result related to Kempner's series. *Amer. Math. Monthly* **115**(10), 933–938 (2008) <https://doi.org/10.1080/00029890.2008.11920611>
- [9] Goulden, I.P., Jackson, D.M.: An inversion theorem for cluster decompositions of sequences with distinguished subsequences. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **20**(3), 567–576 (1979) <https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms/s2-20.3.567>
- [10] Goulden, I.P., Jackson, D.M.: *Combinatorial Enumeration*. Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, (1983). With a foreword by Gian-Carlo Rota, A Wiley-Interscience Publication
- [11] Guibas, L.J., Odlyzko, A.M.: Periods in strings. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **30**(1), 19–42 (1981) [https://doi.org/10.1016/0097-3165\(81\)90038-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0097-3165(81)90038-8)
- [12] Guibas, L.J., Odlyzko, A.M.: String overlaps, pattern matching, and nontransitive games. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **30**(2), 183–208 (1981) [https://doi.org/10.1016/0097-3165\(81\)90005-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0097-3165(81)90005-4)
- [13] Hu, Y.: Patterns in numbers and infinite sums and products. *J. Number Theory* **162**, 589–600 (2016) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2015.09.025>
- [14] Irwin, F.: A Curious Convergent Series. *Amer. Math. Monthly* **23**(5), 149–152 (1916) <https://doi.org/10.2307/2974352>
- [15] Stanley, R.P.: *Enumerative Combinatorics*. Volume 1, 2nd edn. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 49, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2012)

UNIVERSITÉ DE LILLE, FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES ET TECHNOLOGIES, DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, CITÉ SCIENTIFIQUE, F-59655 VILLENEUVE D'ASCQ CEDEX, FRANCE

*Email address:* jean-francois.burnol@univ-lille.fr