

Reynolds-number dependence of streamwise velocity variance in wall-bounded turbulent flows*

Chenning Tong

Department of mechanical Engineering, Clemson University, Clemson, SC 29631

(Dated: May 7, 2024)

We propose a model for the streamwise velocity variance in wall-bounded turbulent flows. It hypothesizes that the wall-parallel motions of the attached eddies induce internal turbulent boundary layers. A logarithmic variance profile is obtained. The peak value of the variance scaled using the friction velocity has a logarithmic dependence on the ratio the wall-normal length of the flow to the thickness of the internal boundary layer induced by the largest attached eddies (δ_o), the latter having a dependence on the friction Reynolds number in the form of a Lambert W function. Both the peak and the length ratio are unbounded at asymptotically large Reynolds numbers. The model also predicts that the streamwise velocity fluctuations induced by the attached eddies near the viscous layer scale with the friction velocity; therefore the scaled velocity variance there remains finite at asymptotically large Reynolds numbers.

Introduction

The variances of wall-parallel fluctuating velocities in the near-wall region in wall-bounded turbulent flows are important statistic for fundamental understanding of such flows and for engineering applications. For example, in the zero-pressure-gradient turbulent boundary layer, the streamwise derivative of the streamwise velocity variance, $\overline{\partial u_1^2 / \partial x}$, is one of the quantities determining the higher-order mean velocity profile. Also, dispersion in such flow depends on the spanwise velocity variance. Townsend [1] predicted using the attached-eddy model that the peak of the scaled variance $\overline{u_1^{2+}} = \overline{u_1^2} / u_*^2 \sim \ln Re_* = \ln u_* \delta / \nu$, where u_* , Re_* , ν , and δ are the friction velocity, the friction Reynolds number, the kinematic viscosity, and the wall-normal scale of the flow, which can be the half channel width, pipe radius, or the boundary layer thickness. This prediction is in apparent contradiction of the law-of-the-wall [2] type scaling which requires that the scaled variance is independent of Re_* . In recent years, there have been many efforts devoted to this issue [3–13].

Experimental and direct numerical simulation results have both shown that $\overline{u_1^{2+}}$ has a (inner) peak near $y^+ = u_* y / \nu = 15$ and the peak value appears to increase with Re_* [5, 14, 15], consistent with Townsend’s prediction, where y is the wall-normal coordinate. On the other hand, Chen & Sreenivasan [12] argued that this prediction will lead to an unbounded viscous-scaled dissipation rate as $Re_* \rightarrow \infty$, which is inconsistent with the maximum of 1/4 for the scaled production. They proposed a model based on the dissipation rate, which predicts that the inner peak of $\overline{u_1^{2+}}$ will asymptote to a value of approximately 12. They also argue that their prediction fits the existing data better than Townsend’s prediction.

While the asymptotic behavior of the inner peak has not been fully resolved, experimental results [16–18] have shown that another peak is emerging further away from the wall, with its location in terms of y^+ increasing with

Re_* . This peak, often referred to as the “outer” peak, has also received some attention in recent years. Pullin [19] obtained a logarithmic dependence of the peak value of $\overline{u_1^{2+}}$ on Re_* . In this work, we propose a model for the streamwise velocity variance by hypothesizing the existence of internal turbulent boundary layers induced by the attached eddies. The model provides both the Reynolds number dependence of the “outer” peak and the contributions from attached eddies to the velocity variance near the viscous layer. The latter will also help address the issue of boundedness of the inner peak near the viscous layer.

Internal boundary layer analysis

In the traditional understanding of the attached eddy model and the interpretations of experimental and simulation results based on the understanding, the wall-parallel motions of the attached eddies are considered to extend towards the wall until viscous effects damp them (at $y^+ \sim 15$) (e.g., [1]). In this work we argue that because these near-wall motions are approximately two-dimensional, the nonlinear interactions among them are weak. They have significant interactions only with scales of order y (or smaller), thereby generating their own, or internal, turbulent boundary layers with a “free-stream” velocity of order u_* ; therefore, the magnitudes of the velocities of these motions will begin to decrease well before the viscous effects on them are dominant. By analyzing the boundary layers, the contributions of the attached eddies to the velocity variance can be calculated and the peak value of $\overline{u_1^{2+}}$ and its location can be estimated. Note that we do not consider the streamwise fluctuations due to mean shear production in the near-wall layer considered, which are not part of the contributions of the attached eddies, and has been investigated by [12].

For convenience we analyze the properties of the internal boundary layers in horizontal Fourier space. For a horizontally homogeneous velocity field, u_i , we define its horizontal Fourier transform over a horizontal physical

domain of size $\mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{L}$ as [20]

$$\hat{u}_i(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}^2} \int_0^{\mathcal{L}} u_i(\mathbf{x}, t) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}} d\mathbf{x}, \quad (1)$$

where \mathbf{k} is the horizontal wavenumber vector. Dividing the usual definition of the transform by \mathcal{L}^2 gives the Fourier transform the same dimension as well as the same scaling properties as the velocity, which is convenient for the scaling analysis.

We first consider the internal turbulent boundary layer induced by the largest attached eddies with a scale of δ . The equation for $\hat{u}_1(\mathbf{k})$ can be written as [20]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \hat{u}_1(\mathbf{k})}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}^2 \int \hat{u}_3(\mathbf{k}') \frac{\partial \hat{u}_1(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}')}{\partial x_3} d\mathbf{k}' + \mathcal{L}^2 \int \hat{u}_1 i k'_1 \hat{u}_1 d\mathbf{k}' \\ = -i k_1 \hat{p} + \nu \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_1(\mathbf{k})}{\partial x_3^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

In the inner layer of the internal boundary layer, the viscous stress divergence is important. For $k \sim 1/\delta_i$, the dominant terms are the production term (the second on term on the LHS in (2)) and the viscous term, where δ_i is the viscous length of the internal boundary layer. The production term has the contributions mostly from $k' \sim 1/\delta_i$ and $|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'| \sim 1/\delta$, with the latter playing the role of the “mean” velocity. These terms scale as

$$u_* \frac{\hat{u}_1(1/\delta)}{\delta_i} \sim \nu \frac{\hat{u}_1(1/\delta)}{\delta_i^2}. \quad (3)$$

Here the fluctuations of the attached eddies $\hat{u}_1(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}')$ interact with the wall-normal fluctuations of scale δ_i , $\hat{u}_3(\mathbf{k}')$, which are due to the usual mean-shear production/pressure-strain-rate interaction and scale with u_* , and are part of the “background” turbulence in which the internal boundary layer develops.

For $k \sim 1/\delta$ in the inner layer, the dominant terms in (2) are the induced shear stress term (the second on term on the LHS in (2)) and the viscous term. The former has the contributions mostly from $k' \sim |\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'| \sim 1/\delta_i$, and has the same scaling as the viscous term

$$u_* \frac{\hat{u}_1(1/\delta_i)}{\delta_i} \sim \nu \frac{\hat{u}_1(1/\delta)}{\delta_i^2}. \quad (4)$$

Here the viscous term is the “mean” viscous stress derivative. From the scaling in (3) and (4), we obtain $\hat{u}_1(1/\delta_i) \sim \hat{u}_1(1/\delta)$, which we denote as v_* , and $\delta_i \sim \nu/u_* = \delta_\nu$. Therefore, the induced shear stress scales as $u_* v_*$. The “mean” velocity derivative in the inner layer has the form

$$\frac{\partial \hat{u}_1(1/\delta)}{\partial y} = \frac{v_*}{\delta_\nu} f\left(\frac{y}{\delta_\nu}\right). \quad (5)$$

Note that the internal boundary layer is a stochastic process; therefore, (5) and similar relations are valid in an average sense.

In the outer layer of the induced boundary layer, the induced-stress and advection terms (the second and third terms on the LHS in (2)) are important. They scale as

$$u_* \frac{v_*}{\delta_o} \sim \frac{u_*^2}{\delta}, \quad (6)$$

where δ_o is the thickness of the internal boundary layer. Note again that the “free-stream” velocity of the internal boundary layer scales as u_* . In the outer layer u_1 is dominated by $k \sim 1/\delta$. The induced-stress term is dominated by $k' \sim |\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'| \sim 1/\delta_o$, whereas the advection term (the third term on the LHS in (2)) is dominated by contributions from $k' \sim |\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'| \sim 1/\delta$.

From (6) we obtain $u_*/v_* \sim \delta/\delta_o$. The “mean” velocity derivative in the outer layer has the form

$$\frac{\partial \hat{u}_1(1/\delta)}{\partial y} = \frac{v_*}{\delta_o} F\left(\frac{y}{\delta_o}\right). \quad (7)$$

Asymptotically matching (5) and (7) we obtain a log law and a logarithmic friction law,

$$\frac{\hat{u}_1(1/\delta)}{v_*} = \frac{1}{\kappa} \ln \frac{y}{\delta_\nu} + B, \quad \frac{\hat{u}_1(1/\delta) - u_*}{v_*} = \frac{1}{\kappa} \ln \frac{y}{\delta_o} + C, \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{u_*}{v_*} = \frac{1}{\kappa} \ln \frac{\delta_o}{\delta_\nu} + B - C = \alpha \frac{\delta}{\delta_o}, \quad (9)$$

where α is a non-dimensional coefficient of order one. Again, these relations are valid in an average sense. A similar analysis can also be carried out for smaller attached eddies with $k > 1/\delta$. For such eddies $\delta_o(k)$ and $v_*(k)$ are functions of k .

Velocity variance - outer peak

Based on the above analysis, it is clear from (9) that $\delta_o(k) < \delta_o(1/\delta)$. Therefore, the contribution to the velocity variance from these eddies is $u_*^2 \sim \phi_u(k)k$ for $1/k > y > \delta_o(1/\delta)$, where $\phi_u(k)$ is the spectrum of u_1 . Integrating $\phi_u(k)$ from $1/\delta$ to $1/y$ results in the variance

$$\overline{u_1^2} = \frac{\overline{u_1^2}}{u_*^2} = A_v \ln \frac{\delta}{y} + B_v, \quad (10)$$

the same as given in [?], where A_v and B_v are non-dimensional coefficients. Because $\hat{u}_1(1/\delta)$ decreases with y for $y < \delta_o(1/\delta)$, the variance will peak at $y_p \sim \delta_o(1/\delta)$, with a peak value of

$$\overline{u_{1p}^2} = A_v \ln \frac{\delta}{\delta_o} + B'_v, \quad (11)$$

where B'_v is another non-dimensional constant. Equation (9) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{u_*}{v_*} = \alpha \frac{\delta}{\delta_o} = \frac{1}{\kappa} (\ln \frac{\delta_o}{\delta} + \ln Re_*) + D, \quad (12)$$

which can be further written as

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta_o} e^{\alpha \kappa \delta / \delta_o} = e^{\kappa D} Re_*. \quad (13)$$

We set $\alpha = 1$ as it can be absorbed into δ/δ_o and D by redefine δ/δ_o . We recognize δ/δ_o as the Lambert W function (with $e^{\kappa D} Re_*$ as the independent variable). The dependence of $\overline{u_{1p}^{2+}}$ on Re_* can be obtained from (11) and (12) as

$$\overline{u_{1p}^{2+}} = A_v \ln W(e^{\kappa D} Re_*) + B'_v, \quad (14)$$

and shows that the ratio δ/δ_o and the peak value $\overline{u_{1p}^{2+}}$ grows unbounded as $Re_* \rightarrow \infty$. The unboundedness of δ/δ_o indicates that the peak location the peak occurs deeper into the log layer as Re_* increases. On the other hand, since δ/δ_o increases slower than $Re_* = \delta/\delta_\nu$, the viscous-scaled peak location $y_p^+ \sim \delta_o/\delta_\nu \rightarrow \infty$ as $Re_* \rightarrow \infty$, i.e., y_p^+ moves further away from the viscous layer as Re_* increases.

We now use experimental data of [17] to evaluate the model. Using the values of $\overline{u_{1p}^{2+}}$ at two different Re_* , from (11) we can obtain

$$\overline{u_{1p2}^{2+}} - \overline{u_{1p1}^{2+}} = A_v \ln \frac{(\delta/\delta_o)_2}{(\delta/\delta_o)_1}, \quad (15)$$

where $A_v = 1.24$ for the data ([17]). From (12) we obtain

$$\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta_o}\right)_2 - \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta_o}\right)_1 = -\frac{1}{\kappa} \ln \frac{(\delta/\delta_o)_2}{(\delta/\delta_o)_1} + \frac{1}{\kappa} \ln \frac{Re_{*2}}{Re_{*1}}, \quad (16)$$

From these equations we obtain the values of $(\frac{\delta}{\delta_o})_1$ and $(\frac{\delta}{\delta_o})_2$. Then from (11) and (12) we obtain the values $B'_v = 5.9$ and $D = -21.4$ respectively. Figure 1 shows the dependence of $\overline{u_{1p}^{2+}}$ on Re_* given by (14). The model describes the experimental data well for the Re_* range $\approx 2000 - 100,000$, supporting the model hypothesis that the attached eddies induce internal turbulent boundary layers to affect the behaviors of $\overline{u_{1p}^{2+}}$.

The Re_* dependence of $\overline{u_{1p}^{2+}}$ highlights the limitations of the law of the wall, which was proposed for the mean velocity and is a mean field theory. The velocity variances are fluctuation statistics. In general, there is no reason for them to follow a mean field theory, even in wall flows with a single velocity scale (u_*). Failures of mean field theories when applied to fluctuations occur more often in flows with multiple velocity scales, such as in the atmospheric boundary layer, where two velocity scales are present, one due to mean shear (u_*) and the other due to buoyancy. The Monin-Obukhov similarity theory [21], developed as a mean field theory, is successful in scaling the mean velocity in the surface layer of the atmospheric boundary layer, but fails to scale the wall-parallel velocity variances and spectra. Since fluctuating velocities can




FIG. 1. Outer peak value of non-dimensional velocity variance as a function of Re_* . Circles: experimental data of pipe flows shown in [18]; Solid line: Theoretical prediction of equation (14).

have contributions from a wide range of scales, to account for these contributions, a multipoint (minimum of two-point) theory is needed. [20, 22] developed the multipoint Monin-Obukhov similarity theory, successfully overcoming the limitations of the original theory. The analysis of the streamwise velocity fluctuations in Fourier space (Eq. 2) in the present work is essentially a two-point theory, and therefore is capable of successfully explaining the outer peak of the streamwise velocity variance.

Velocity variance near viscous layer

Since its outer peak location scales as $y_p \sim \delta_o$, the total contribution to $\overline{u_{1p}^{2+}}$ from the attached eddies begins to decrease when moving closer towards the wall. We now estimate $\overline{u_{1p}^{2+}}$ for $y \sim \delta_\nu$. In this layer, the horizontal velocity scale for the attached eddies of scale $\delta(k)$ is $v_*(k)$. For the attached eddies of scale $1/k < \delta$, it is given by

$$v_*(k) = u_* \frac{\delta_o(k)}{1/k} = u_* \delta_o(k) k. \quad (17)$$

The friction law is

$$\frac{u_*}{v_*(k)} = \frac{1}{k \delta_o} = \frac{1}{\kappa} \left\{ \ln(k \delta_o(k)) + \ln Re_k \right\} + D, \quad (18)$$

where $Re_k = u_*/(k\nu)$. The contribution to $\overline{u_{1p}^{2+}}$ is $v_*^2(k) = \phi_u(k)k$. Therefore, $\phi_u(k) = v_*^2(k)/k$. Integrating it from $1/\delta$ to $1/(\beta\delta_\nu)$, where $\beta > 1$ is a non-dimensional constant such that an internal boundary layer exists for attached eddies of scale $\beta\delta_\nu$ or larger, we have

$$\overline{u_{1p}^{2+}} \sim \int_{1/\delta}^{1/(\beta\delta_\nu)} \frac{v_*^2(k)}{k} dk = u_*^2 \int_{1/\delta}^{1/(\beta\delta_\nu)} \frac{\delta_o^2(k)}{k(1/k)^2} dk. \quad (19)$$

It is unclear how to proceed with direct integration. Instead we define $W(k) = 1/(k\delta_o)$. Equation (18) can be

written as

$$W(k) = \frac{1}{\kappa} \left\{ -\ln W(k) + \ln Re_k \right\} + D. \quad (20)$$

The differential of the this expression is

$$dW(k) = \frac{1}{\kappa} \left\{ -d \ln W(k) - d \ln k \right\}. \quad (21)$$

The integral in (18) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{1/\delta}^{1/(\beta\delta_\nu)} \frac{d \ln k}{W^2} &= \int_{\delta/\delta_o(1/\delta)}^{\beta\delta_\nu/\delta_o(1/\beta\delta_\nu)} \frac{-(\kappa dW + d \ln W)}{W^2} \\ &= \frac{\kappa}{W} \Big|_{\delta/\delta_o(1/\delta)}^{\beta\delta_\nu/\delta_o(1/\beta\delta_\nu)} + \frac{1}{W^2} \Big|_{\delta/\delta_o(1/\delta)}^{\beta\delta_\nu/\delta_o(1/\beta\delta_\nu)} \\ &= \frac{\kappa}{\delta_o(1/\beta\delta_\nu)} - \frac{\kappa}{\delta_o(1/\delta)} + \frac{1}{\delta_o^2(1/\beta\delta_\nu)} - \frac{1}{\delta_o^2(1/\delta)}, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

which remains finite as $\delta/\delta_o(1/\delta) \rightarrow \infty$ ($Re_* \rightarrow \infty$). This result indicates that the contributions to $\overline{u_1^2}$ from the attached eddies scale as u_*^2 for $y \sim \delta_\nu$, and do not lead to an unbounded peak near the viscous layer at asymptotically large Re_* . Our model therefore suggests that the asymptotic value of the inner peak of $\overline{u_1^2}$ as $Re_* \rightarrow \infty$ is determined by the local turbulence dynamics among production, dissipation, and transport, which is argued to result in a finite inner peak value $\overline{u_{1p}^2}$ because the viscous scaled production has a maximum of $1/4$ [12].

Conclusions

We proposed a model for the contributions from the attached eddies to the streamwise velocity variance based on the internal boundary layers induced by the attached eddies. A spectral analysis shows that the viscous length of these boundary layers is the usual viscous length $\delta_\nu = \nu/u_*$. The momentum balance in the outer layer of each internal boundary layer is between the advection and wall-normal shear-stress derivative. A logarithmic friction law with the “free-stream” velocity as u_* is obtained, which gives the “mean” velocity scales of the internal boundary layers. A peak in the scaled streamwise velocity variance $\overline{u_1^2}$ is predicted, which is unbounded as $Re_* \rightarrow \infty$. The peak location moves deeper into the log layer as Re_* increases. In the mean time it moves to larger y^+ , further away from the viscous layer. The model is able to explain the experimental data of pipe flows [17] well.

The model also predicts that the total contribution from the attached eddies to the streamwise velocity variance near the viscous layer scales with the square of the friction velocity. This result combined with the finite contributions from the local turbulence dynamics among

production, dissipation, and transport [12] suggests that that the peak near $y^+ = 15$ is finite as $Re_* \rightarrow \infty$.

The results in the present study also have implications for the second- and higher-order mean velocity profile in turbulent boundary layers.

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation under grant AGS-2054983.

* ctong@clemson.edu

- [1] A. A. Townsend, *The Structure of Turbulent Shear Flows* (Cambridge University Press, New York, 1976).
- [2] L. Prandtl, Bericht über die Entstehung der Turbulenz, *Z. Angew. Math. Mech* **5**, 136 (1925).
- [3] I. Marusic and G. Kunke, Streamwise turbulence intensity formulation for flat-plate boundary layers, *Phys. Fluids* **15**, 2461 (2003).
- [4] J. Morrison, B. McKeon, W. Jiang, and A. Smits, Scaling of the streamwise velocity component in turbulent pipe flow, *J. Fluid Mech.* **508**, 99 (2004).
- [5] S. Hoyas and J. Jimenez, Scaling of the velocity fluctuations in turbulent channels up to $re_\tau = 2003$, *Phys. Fluids* **18**, 011702 (2006).
- [6] I. Marusic, B. McKeon, P. Monkewitz, H. Nagib, A. Smits, and K. Sreenivasan, Wall-bounded turbulent flows at high reynolds numbers: Recent advances and key issues., *Phys. Fluids* (2010).
- [7] A. Smits, B. McKeon, and I. Marusic, High-reynolds number wall turbulence., *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* **43**, 353 (2011).
- [8] R. Mathis, N. Hutchins, and I. Marusic, A predictive inner–outer model for streamwise turbulence statistics in wall bounded flows, *J. Fluid Mech.* **681**, 537 (2011).
- [9] P. Monkewitz and H. Nagib, Large-reynolds-number asymptotics of the streamwise normal stress in zero-pressure-gradient turbulent boundary layers, *J. Fluid Mech.* **783**, 474 (2015).
- [10] I. Marusic, W. Baars, and N. Hutchins, Scaling of the streamwise turbulence intensity in the context of inner–outer interactions in wall turbulence, *Phys. Rev. Fluids* **2**, 100502 (2017).
- [11] I. Marusic and J. Monty, Attached eddy model of wall turbulence, *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* **51**, 49 (2019).
- [12] X. Chen and K. Sreenivasan, Reynolds number scaling of the peak turbulence intensity in wall flows, *J. Fluid Mech.* **908**, R3 (2021).
- [13] X. Chen and K. Sreenivasan, Law of bounded dissipation and its consequences in turbulent wall flows, *J. Fluid Mech.* **933**, A20 (2022).
- [14] I. Marusic, K. Chauhan, V. Kulandaivelu, and N. Hutchins, Evolution of zero-pressure-gradient boundary layers from different tripping conditions, *Fluid Mech.* **783**, 379 (2015).
- [15] M. Samie, I. Marusic, N. Hutchins, M. Fu, Y. Fan, M. Hultmark, and A. Smits, Fully resolved measurements of turbulent boundary layer flows up to $re_\tau = 20\,000$, *J. Fluid Mech.* **851**, 391 (2018).
- [16] M. Hultmark, M. Vallikivi, S. Bailey, and A. Smits, Turbulent pipe flow at extreme reynolds numbers, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 1 (2012).
- [17] M. Hultmark, M. Vallikivi, S. Bailey, and A. Smits, Logarithmic scaling of turbulence in smooth- and rough-wall

- pipe flow, *J. Fluid Mech.* **728**, 376 (2013).
- [18] M. Vallikivi and A. Hultmark, M. and Smits, Turbulent boundary layer statistics at very high reynolds number, *J. Fluid Mech.* **779**, 371 (2015).
- [19] D. I. Pullin, M. Inoue, and N. Saito, On the asymptotic state of high reynolds number, smooth-wall turbulent flows, *Phys. Fluids.* **25**, 015116 (2013).
- [20] C. Tong and M. Ding, Multi-point monin-obukhov similarity in the convective atmospheric surface layer using matched asymptotic expansions, *J. Fluid Mech.* **864**, 640 (2019).
- [21] A. S. Monin and A. M. Obukhov, Basic laws of turbulent mixing in the ground layer of the atmosphere, *Trans. Inst. Teoret. Geofiz. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **151**, 163 (1954).
- [22] C. Tong and K. X. Nguyen, Multipoint monin-obukhov similarity and its application to turbulence spectra in the convective atmospheric surface layer, *J. Atmos. Sci.* **72**, 4337 (2015).