Digraphs in which every t vertices have exactly λ common out-neighbors

Myungho Choi¹, Hojin Chu¹, and Suh-Ryung Kim¹

¹Department of Mathematics Education, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Rep. of Korea nums8080@snu.ac.kr, ghwls8775@snu.ac.kr, srkim@snu.ac.kr

Abstract

We say that a digraph is a (t, λ) -liking digraph if every t vertices have exactly λ common out-neighbors. In 1975, Plesník [Graphs with a homogeneity, 1975. *Glasnik Mathematicki* 10:9-23] proved that any (t, 1)-liking digraph is the complete digraph on t + 1 vertices for each $t \geq 3$. Choi *et al.* [A digraph version of the Friendship Theorem, 2023. arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.04058] (to appear in *Discrete mathematics*) showed that a (2, 1)-liking digraph is a fancy wheel digraph or a k-diregular digraph for some positive integer k. In this paper, we extend these results by completely characterizing the (t, λ) -liking digraphs with $t \geq \lambda + 2$ and giving some equivalent conditions for a (t, λ) -liking digraph being a complete digraph on $t + \lambda$ vertices.

Keywords. Liking digraph; Generalized Liking digraph; Generalized Friendship graph; Complete digraph; Diregular digraph; *t*-design.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 05C20, 05C75

1 Introduction

In this paper, for graph-theoretical terminology and notations not defined, we follow [1] and [2]. Neither graphs nor digraphs in this paper have loops, multiple edges, or multiple arcs.

This paper was motivated by the Friendship Theorem introduced by Erdös *et al.* [7] in 1966. Using graph-theoretical terminology, the Friendship Theorem can be described as follows: if any pair of vertices in a graph has exactly one common neighbor, then there exists a vertex adjacent to the others. A graph that satisfies the hypothetical part of the Friendship Theorem is called a "friendship graph", that is, a *friendship graph* is a graph such that every pair of vertices has exactly one common neighbor. Many variants of a friendship graph have been studied (see [3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 16]).

A digraph version of the Friendship Theorem was introduced and studied as a variant ([5, 13, 14]). A digraph is called a (t, λ) -liking digraph if every t vertices have exactly λ common out-neighbors for some positive integers t and λ . In 1974, Müller and Pelant [13] studied the case where tournaments are (t, λ) -liking digraphs and showed the non-existence of such tournament for $t \geq 3$. In 1975, Plesník [14] characterized the (t, 1)liking digraphs for each integer $t \geq 3$ by proving that every (t, 1)-liking digraph with $t \geq 3$ is the complete digraph on t + 1 vertices, which is a generalization of the result given by Müller and Pelant. He proposed as an open question to take care of the case t = 2. In 2023, Choi *et al.* [5] settled the question by completely characterizing the (2, 1)-liking digraphs.

In this paper, we extend the results of Plesník and Choi *et al.* by completely characterizing the (t, λ) -liking digraphs for any positive integers t and λ satisfying $t \ge \lambda + 2$ as follows.

Theorem 1.1. If $t \ge \lambda + 2$, then the complete digraph on $t + \lambda$ vertices is the only (t, λ) -liking digraph.

Indeed, we give some equivalent conditions for a (t, λ) -liking digraph being the complete digraph on $t + \lambda$ vertices.

Theorem 1.2. Let D be a (t, λ) -liking digraph for some positive integers t, λ with $t \geq 2$. Then the following are equivalent.

- (a) D is complete on $t + \lambda$ vertices, that is, $D \cong \overleftarrow{K}_{t+\lambda}$.
- (b) D is a $(t-1, \lambda+1)$ -liking digraph.
- (c) $d^+(v) = t + \lambda 1$ for each vertex v.

Furthermore, (a) is equivalent to the condition (d) if $(t, \lambda) \neq (2, 1)$; (e) if $t \geq 3$, where

- (d) there is a vertex v satisfying $N^+(v) = V(D) \setminus \{v\}$;
- (e) D is diregular.

We will prove the above two theorems in Section 3.

There is a variant of a friendship graph, called a "generalized friendship graph". A generalized friendship graph is a graph if any t vertices have exactly λ common neighbors for some positive integers t and λ . It can be said that a (t, λ) -liking digraph is a digraph version of a generalized friendship graph. Considering this observation, we refer this graph as a (t, λ) -friendship graph to make the concept clearer. The structure of (t, λ) -friendship graph is well-known. In [4] and [16], it was shown that a (t, λ) -friendship graph is the complete graph on $t + \lambda$ vertices if $t \geq 3$. Theorem 1.1 shows that the digraph version of this theorem is true if $t \geq \lambda + 2$.

2 Preliminaries

This section describes the existing results needed to prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 and the results that can be simply derived from them.

Lemma 2.1 ([14]). Let D be a (t, λ) -liking digraph. Then $|V(D)| \ge t + \lambda$ and $\delta^+(D) \ge t + \lambda - 1$.

Lemma 2.2 ([14]). Let D be a (t, λ) -liking digraph. Then the following hold.

(1)

$$\sum_{v \in V(D)} \binom{d^-(v)}{t} = \lambda \binom{|V(D)|}{t}.$$

(2) For each $v \in V(D)$,

$$\binom{d^{-}(v)}{t-1} \leq \binom{d^{+}(v)}{\lambda}.$$

Proposition 2.3 ([14]). Let D be a (t, λ) -liking digraph. Then for any $1 \leq i < t$ and $\{v_1, \ldots, v_i\} \subseteq V(D)$, the subdigraph of D induced by the common out-neighbors of v_1, \ldots, v_i is a $(t - i, \lambda)$ -liking digraph.

We make a meaningful observation from Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.3.

Proposition 2.4. Let D be a (t, λ) -liking digraph. For each $1 \le i < t$, every t - i vertices have at least $\lambda + i$ common out-neighbors in D.

Proof. Fix $i \in \{1, \ldots, t-1\}$. Take t-i vertices. Then the subdigraph of D induced by the common out-neighbors of the t-i vertices is a (i, λ) -liking digraph by Proposition 2.3, and so it has at least $\lambda + i$ vertices by Lemma 2.1. Thus every t-i vertices have at least $\lambda + i$ common out-neighbors in D.

Given a digraph D and vertices u and v of D, we use the expression $u \to v$ when $(u, v) \in A(D)$. When representing negation, add a slash (/) to the symbol.

A k-diregular digraph is a digraph in which each vertex has outdegree k and indegree k for a positive integer k. A digraph is said to be diregular if it is a k-diregular digraph for some positive integer k.

Let v, k, λ , and t be positive integers such that $v > k \ge t$. A t- (v, k, λ) design $\mathfrak{D} = (X, \mathcal{B})$ consists of a set X of elements, called *varieties*, and a collection \mathcal{B} of subsets of X, called *blocks*, such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- |X| = v;
- Each block consists of exactly the same number k of varieties;

• Every set of t distinct varieties appears simultaneously in exactly the same number λ of blocks.

The general term *t*-design is used to indicate any t- (v, k, λ) design.

It is a well-known fact that the number of blocks in a t- (v, k, λ) design is $\lambda {\binom{v}{t}}/{\binom{k}{t}}$ and each variety appears exactly the same number $\lambda {\binom{v-1}{t-1}}/{\binom{k-1}{t-1}}$ of blocks. A t- (v, k, λ) design is said to be *symmetric* if the number of its blocks is equal to the number of its varieties. That is,

$$v = \frac{\lambda \binom{v}{t}}{\binom{k}{t}}.$$

Then each variety of a symmetric $t(v, k, \lambda)$ appears in exactly k blocks. [15]

Lemma 2.5. If a k-diregular (t, λ) -liking digraph of order n exists, then there is a symmetric t- (n, k, λ) design.

Proof. Suppose that there is a k-diregular (t, λ) -liking digraph D of order n. To form a symmetric t- (n, k, λ) design, we take the vertices of D as varieties and the in-neighborhoods of the vertices as blocks. Since D is k-diregular, each block has size k. Moreover, since D is a (t, λ) -liking digraph, each t-subset of varieties appears in exactly λ blocks. Thus we have obtained a symmetric t- (n, k, λ) design.

Proposition 2.6 ([11]). If a t- (v, k, λ) design with $t \ge 3$ is symmetric, then $k \ge v - 1$.

A digraph D is complete if, for every pair x, y of distinct vertices of D, both $x \to y$ and $y \to x$. The complete digraph on n vertices is denoted by \overleftarrow{K}_n .

By Lemma 2.5 and Proposition 2.6, the following holds.

Proposition 2.7. Let D be a diregular (t, λ) -liking digraph with $t \geq 3$. Then D is the complete digraph on $t + \lambda$ vertices.

Proof. Suppose that D is k-diregular for some positive integer k. Then, since D has neither loops nor multiple arcs, k < |V(D)|. By Lemma 2.5 and Proposition 2.6, $k \ge |V(D)| - 1$. Thus k = |V(D)| - 1. That is, $N^+(v) = V(D) - \{v\}$ for each vertex v. Therefore D is complete. Since D is a (t, λ) -liking digraph, $|V(D)| = t + \lambda$.

3 Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

The case where t = 1 for both Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 is excluded, so we only consider (t, λ) -liking digraphs with $t \ge 2$ and $\lambda \ge 1$.

Lemma 3.1. Let D be a (t, λ) -liking digraph. If $d^+(v) \leq d^-(v)$ for a vertex v, then

$$0 \le (d^+(v) - t - \lambda + 1)(\lambda - t + 1).$$

Furthermore, if the conditional inequality is strict, then the resulting inequality is also strict.

Proof. Suppose that a vertex v satisfies

$$d^+(v) \le d^-(v).$$

Then

$$\binom{d^+(v)}{t-1} \le \binom{d^-(v)}{t-1}.$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.2(2),

$$\binom{d^+(v)}{t-1} \le \binom{d^+(v)}{\lambda}$$

and so

$$\left|\frac{d^+(v)}{2} - \lambda\right| \le \left|\frac{d^+(v)}{2} - t + 1\right|.$$

Therefore

$$0 \le \left(\frac{d^+(v)}{2} - t + 1\right)^2 - \left(\frac{d^+(v)}{2} - \lambda\right)^2 = (d^+(v) - t - \lambda + 1)(\lambda - t + 1).$$

The "furthermore" part is obvious.

Lemma 3.2. Let D be a (t, λ) -liking digraph. If $t \ge \lambda + 1$ or $d^+(v) = t + \lambda - 1$ for each vertex v, then $d^+(v) = d^-(v)$ for every vertex v in D.

Proof. Assume $t \ge \lambda + 1$. To the contrary, suppose that there is a vertex whose outdegree and indegree are different. Then there is a vertex v such that $d^+(v) < d^-(v)$. Thus

$$0 < (d^{+}(v) - t - \lambda + 1)(\lambda - t + 1)$$

by the "furthermore" part of Lemma 3.1. Then $d^+(v) \neq t + \lambda - 1$. Since $d^+(v) \geq t + \lambda - 1$ by Lemma 2.1, $\lambda + 1 > t$.

Lemma 3.3. Let D be a (t, λ) -liking digraph. If $d^+(v) = t + \lambda - 1$ for each vertex v, then D is the complete digraph on $t + \lambda$ vertices.

Proof. Suppose that $d^+(v) = t + \lambda - 1$ for each vertex v. Then $d^-(v) = t + \lambda - 1$ for each vertex v by Lemma 3.2. Therefore

$$\sum_{v \in V(D)} \binom{d^{-}(v)}{t} = \sum_{v \in V(D)} \binom{t+\lambda-1}{t} = |V(D)| \binom{t+\lambda-1}{t}.$$

Now, by Lemma 2.2(1),

$$\frac{t}{|V(D)|} \binom{|V(D)|}{t} = \frac{t}{\lambda} \binom{t+\lambda-1}{t}.$$

We note that

$$\binom{|V(D)|-1}{t-1} = \frac{t}{|V(D)|} \binom{|V(D)|}{t} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{t}{\lambda} \binom{t+\lambda-1}{t} = \binom{t+\lambda-1}{t-1}.$$

Thus

$$\binom{|V(D)|-1}{t-1} = \binom{t+\lambda-1}{t-1}.$$

and so $|V(D)| = t + \lambda$. Since $d^+(v) = t + \lambda - 1$ for each vertex $v, N^+(v) = V(D) \setminus \{v\}$. Therefore $D \cong \overleftarrow{K}_{t+\lambda}$.

Now, we prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Suppose that D is a (t, λ) -liking digraph with $t \ge \lambda + 2$ and take a vertex v. By Lemma 3.2,

$$d^+(v) = d^-(v).$$

Then, by Lemma 3.1,

$$0 \le (d^+(v) - t - \lambda + 1)(\lambda - t + 1).$$

Since $t \ge \lambda + 2$ by the hypothesis, $\lambda - t + 1 < 0$ and so $d^+(v) \le t + \lambda - 1$. Thus, by Lemma 2.1, $d^+(v) = t + \lambda - 1$. Since v was arbitrarily chosen, $d^+(u) = t + \lambda - 1$ for each vertex u. Therefore D is isomorphic to $\overleftarrow{K}_{t+\lambda}$ by Lemma 3.3.

To prove Theorem 1.2, we go further to derive more results.

Lemma 3.4. Let D be a (t, λ) -liking digraph. If D is a $(t - 1, \lambda + 1)$ -liking digraph, then D is the complete digraph on $t + \lambda$ vertices.

Proof. Suppose that D is a $(t-1, \lambda+1)$ -liking digraph. We first claim the following.

Claim A. Let S be a set of t - 1 vertices in D. Then the subdigraph of D induced by the common out-neighbors of the vertices in S is complete.

Proof of Claim A. Since D is a $(t-1, \lambda+1)$ -liking digraph and |S| = t-1,

$$\left| \bigcap_{u \in S} N^+(u) \right| = \lambda + 1.$$
(1)

We take a common out-neighbor v of the vertices in S. Then $v \notin S$ and so $|S \cup \{v\}| = t$. Thus, since D is a (t, λ) -liking digraph,

$$\left| \left(\bigcap_{u \in S} N^+(u) \right) \cap N^+(v) \right| = \lambda.$$

By (1),

$$\left(\bigcap_{u\in S} N^+(u)\right)\cap N^+(v) = \bigcap_{u\in S} N^+(u) - \{v\}.$$

Since

$$\left(\bigcap_{u\in S} N^+(u)\right)\cap N^+(v)\subseteq N^+(v),$$

we have

$$\bigcap_{u \in S} N^+(u) - \{v\} \subseteq N^+(v).$$

Therefore the vertices in $\bigcap_{u \in S} N^+(u)$ other than v are out-neighbors of v. Since v was arbitrarily chosen from $\bigcap_{u \in S} N^+(u)$, the subdigraph of D induced by $\bigcap_{u \in S} N^+(u)$ is complete.

Let $T = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_t\}$ be a *t*-element vertex set of *D*. Since *D* is a (t, λ) -liking digraph,

$$\left|\bigcap_{u\in T} N^+(u)\right| = \lambda.$$
 (2)

We let

 $T_i = T - \{u_i\}$

for each $1 \leq i \leq t$. Then $|T_i| = t - 1$ for each $1 \leq i \leq t$. Since D is a $(t - 1, \lambda + 1)$ -liking digraph, $|\bigcap_{u \in T_i} N^+(u)| = \lambda + 1$. Thus, by (2), there exists a unique vertex v_i such that

$$\{v_i\} = \left(\bigcap_{u \in T_i} N^+(u)\right) - \left(\bigcap_{u \in T} N^+(u)\right)$$
(3)

for each $1 \leq i \leq t$. To the contrary, suppose that $v_j = v_k$ for some distinct integers $j, k \in \{1, \ldots, t\}$. We note that, by (3),

$$v_j \in \bigcap_{u \in T_j} N^+(u)$$
 and $v_k \in \bigcap_{u \in T_k} N^+(u) \subseteq N^+(u_j).$

Therefore

$$v_j \in \left(\bigcap_{u \in T_j} N^+(u)\right) \cap N^+(u_j) = \bigcap_{u \in T} N^+(u),$$

which contradicts (3). Thus v_1, \ldots, v_t are all distinct.

We will show that the subdigraph of D induced by $\bigcap_{u \in T} N^+(u) \cup \{v_1, \ldots, v_t\}$ is complete. By (3) and Claim A, the subdigraph of D induced by $\bigcap_{u \in T} N^+(u) \cup \{v_i\}$ is complete for each $1 \leq i \leq t$. Thus $\{v_1, \ldots, v_t\} \subseteq N^+(v)$ for any vertex $v \in \bigcap_{u \in T} N^+(u)$, and it remains to show that the subdigraph of D induced by $\{v_1, \ldots, v_t\}$ is complete. Fix $v \in \bigcap_{u \in T} N^+(u)$ and take v_p and v_q for some distinct integers $p, q \in \{1, \ldots, t\}$. Then

$$\{v_p, v_q\} \subseteq N^+(v).$$

By (3),

$$\{v_p, v_q\} \subseteq \bigcap_{u \in T - \{u_p, u_q\}} N^+(u).$$

Thus v_p and v_q are common out-neighbors of v and the vertices in $T - \{u_p, u_q\}$. Since $|\{v\} \cup (T - \{u_p, u_q\})| = t - 1$, by Claim A, $v_p \to v_q$ and $v_q \to v_p$. Since the pair $\{v_p, v_q\}$ was arbitrarily chosen, we conclude that the subdigraph of D induced by $\{v_1, \ldots, v_t\}$ is complete. Thus we have shown that the subdigraph of D induced by $\bigcap_{u \in T} N^+(u) \cup \{v_1, \ldots, v_t\}$ is complete.

Now, we will complete the proof by showing $V(D) = \bigcap_{u \in T} N^+(u) \cup \{v_1, \ldots, v_t\}$. For notational convenience, let

$$U = \bigcap_{u \in T} N^+(u) \cup \{v_1, \dots, v_t\}.$$

Then, by (2) and (3),

$$|U| = t + \lambda.$$

To reach a contradiction, suppose $V(D) \neq U$. Then there exists a vertex x in V(D) - U. To the contrary, suppose $|N^+(x) \cap U| \geq \lambda + 1$. Then, since $|U| = t + \lambda$, $|U - N^+(x)| \leq t - 1$. Thus we may add more vertices, if necessary, to form a subset W of U such that

$$|W| = t - 1$$
 and $U - W \subseteq N^+(x)$.

Since the subdigraph of D induced by U is complete,

$$U - W \subseteq \bigcap_{w \in W} N^+(w)$$

and so

$$\left| \bigcap_{w \in W \cup \{x\}} N^+(w) \right| = \left| N^+(x) \cap \bigcap_{w \in W} N^+(w) \right| \ge \left| N^+(x) \cap (U - W) \right| = |U - W| = \lambda + 1.$$

Since $|W \cup \{x\}| = t$, we reach a contradiction to the hypothesis that D is a (t, λ) -liking digraph. Thus

$$\left|N^+(x) \cap U\right| \le \lambda.$$

Then $|U - N^+(x)| \ge t$ and, since $t \ge 2$, we may take two vertices y and z in $U - N^+(x)$. Since $\lambda \ge 1$, $(t + \lambda) - 2 \ge t - 1$, which guarantees the existence of a (t - 1)-element subset, say W, in $U - \{y, z\}$. Then

$$|U - W| = \lambda + 1$$
 and $U - W \subseteq \bigcap_{w \in W} N^+(w).$

Since |W| = t - 1 and D is a $(t - 1, \lambda + 1)$ -liking digraph,

$$U - W = \bigcap_{w \in W} N^+(w).$$

Since $\{y, z\} \cap N^+(x) = \emptyset$ by the choice of vertices y and z,

$$\left(N^+(x)\cap\bigcap_{w\in W}N^+(w)\right)\subseteq U-W-\{y,z\}.$$

By the choice of W, $|U - W - \{y, z\}| = |U| - |W| - 2 = \lambda - 1$ and so

$$\left| N^+(x) \cap \bigcap_{w \in W} N^+(w) \right| \le \lambda - 1.$$

Therefore the t vertices in $W \cup \{x\}$ have at most $\lambda - 1$ common out-neighbors, which contradicts the hypothesis that D is a (t, λ) -liking digraph. Hence V(D) = U and so D is isomorphic to $\overleftarrow{K}_{t+\lambda}$.

Lemma 3.5. Let D be a (t, λ) -liking digraph for some integers $(t, \lambda) \neq (2, 1)$. If there exists a vertex v such that $N^+(v) = V(D) - \{v\}$, then D is the complete digraph on $t + \lambda$ vertices.

Proof. Suppose that there exists a vertex v such that

$$N_D^+(v) = V(D) - \{v\}.$$

Then D-v is a $(t-1, \lambda)$ -liking digraph by Proposition 2.3. As long as v is an out-neighbor of every other vertex, i.e.

$$N_D^-(v) = V(D) - \{v\},\$$

D-v is a $(t, \lambda - 1)$ -liking digraph. Then D-v is isomorphic to $\overleftarrow{K}_{t+\lambda-1}$ by Lemma 3.4. Therefore D is isomorphic to $\overleftarrow{K}_{t+\lambda}$. Thus it is sufficient to show $N_D^-(v) = V(D) - \{v\}$. To this end, we suppose, to the contrary, that there is a vertex w such that $w \neq v$ and $w \neq v$. Since $|V(D)| \geq t + \lambda \geq t + 1$ by Lemma 2.1, there is a subset T of $V(D) - \{v\}$ such that |T| = t - 1 and $w \in T$. Then $|\bigcap_{u \in T} N_D^+(u)| \geq \lambda + 1$ by Proposition 2.4. Since $w \neq v, v \notin \bigcap_{u \in T} N_D^+(u)$. Therefore

$$\bigcap_{u \in T} N_D^+(u) \subseteq V(D) - \{v\} = N_D^+(v)$$

and so

$$\left|\bigcap_{u \in \{v\} \cup T} N_D^+(u)\right| = \left|N_D^+(v) \cap \bigcap_{u \in T} N_D^+(u)\right| = \left|\bigcap_{u \in T} N_D^+(u)\right| \ge \lambda + 1.$$

Thus the vertices in $\{v\} \cup T$ have at least $\lambda+1$ common out-neighbors. However, $|\{v\} \cup T| = t$, which contradicts the hypothesis that D is a (t, λ) -liking digraph. Thus v is an out-neighbor of every other vertex in D.

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. It is obvious that (a) implies each of (b), (c), (d), and (e). By Lemma 3.4, (b) implies (a). By Lemma 3.3, (c) implies (a). Thus (a), (b), and (c) are equivalent. If $(t, \lambda) \neq (2, 1)$, then Lemma 3.5 guarantees that (d) implies (a). If $t \geq 3$, then Proposition 2.7 guarantees that (e) implies (a). Thus the "furthermore" part is true. This completes the proof.

4 Concluding Remarks

According to [4] and [16], a (t, λ) -friendship graph is the complete graph on $t + \lambda$ vertices if $t \geq 3$. This result is partially established for (t, λ) -liking digraphs with $t \geq \lambda + 2$ by our result, Theorem 1.1. We would like to know if this digraph version holds in general.

Conjecture 4.1. The complete digraph on $t + \lambda$ vertices is the only (t, λ) -liking digraph if $t \geq 3$.

Meanwhile, according to [3], a $(2, \lambda)$ -friendship graph is regular if $\lambda \geq 2$. Together with the above observation, it is true that a (t, λ) -friendship graph is regular for any integers $t \geq 2$ and $\lambda \geq 1$ with $(t, \lambda) \neq (2, 1)$. It is natural to question whether this result also holds for a (t, λ) -liking digraph for any integers $t \geq 2$ and $\lambda \geq 1$ with $(t, \lambda) \neq (2, 1)$. Yet, by an exhaustive search, we found an example given in Figure 1 that is not diregular but a (2, 2)-liking digraph. Consequently, the answer to the given question turns out to be no.



Figure 1: A (2, 2)-liking digraph which is not diregular

5 Acknowledgement

This work was supported by Science Research Center Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant funded by the Korean Government (MSIT)(NRF-2022R1A2C1009648).

The authors are grateful to Taehee Hong and Homoon Ryu for helping us code the exhaustive search and find a counterexample.

References

- [1] J. Bang-Jensen and G. Gutin. Classes of directed graphs, volume 11. Springer, 2018.
- [2] A. Bondy and U. Murty. Graph Theory. LNCS, volume 655. Springer, 2010.
- [3] R. C. Bose and S. Shrikhande. Graphs in which each pair of vertices is adjacent to the same number d of other vertices. North Carolina State University. Dept. of Statistics, 1969.
- [4] H. G. Carstens and A. Kruse. Graphs in which each *m*-tuple of vertices is adjacent to the same number *n* of other vertices. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 22(3):286–288, 1977.
- [5] M. Choi, H. Chu, and S.-R. Kim. A digraph version of the friendship theorem. arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.04058, 2023, to appear in Discrete Mathematics.
- [6] C. Delorme and G. Hahn. Infinite generalized friendship graphs. Discrete Mathematics, 49(3):261–266, 1984.
- [7] P. Erdös, A. Rényi, and V. T. Sós. On a problem of graph theory. Studia Sci. Math. Hungar, 1:215–235, 1966.
- [8] H. Fernau, J. F. Ryan, and K. A. Sugeng. A sum labelling for the generalised friendship graph. *Discrete Mathematics*, 308(5):734–740, 2008.

- [9] K. Gunderson, N. Morrison, and J. Semeraro. Bounding the number of hyperedges in friendship r-hypergraphs. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 51:125–134, 2016.
- [10] S. G. Hartke and J. Vandenbussche. On a question of sós about 3-uniform friendship hypergraphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Designs*, 16(3):253–261, 2008.
- [11] D. R. Hughes. On t-designs and groups. American Journal of Mathematics, 87(4):761–778, 1965.
- [12] P. Li, G. van Rees, S. H. Seo, and N. Singhi. Friendship 3-hypergraphs. Discrete Mathematics, 312(11):1892–1899, 2012.
- [13] V. Müller and J. Pelant. On strongly homogeneous tournaments. Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, 24(3):378–391, 1974.
- [14] J. Plesník. Graphs with a homogeneity. *Glasnik Mathematicki*, 10:9–23, 1975.
- [15] D. R. Stinson. *Combinatorial designs: constructions and analysis*, volume 480. Springer, 2004.
- [16] M. Sudolsky. A generalization of the friendship theorem. Mathematica Slovaca, 28(1):57–59, 1978.