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#### Abstract

We prove general mixing theorems for sequences of meromorphic maps on compact Kähler manifolds. We deduce that the bifurcation measure is exponentially mixing for a family of rational maps of $\mathbb{P}^{q}(\mathbb{C})$ endowed with suitably many marked points.
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## Introduction

Given a holomorphic endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{k}(\mathbb{C}), f$, of degree $d \geq 2$, Fornæss and Sibony defined the Green current $T$ associated with $f$ (see [12] and [13]), whose support is the Julia set of $f$, that is, the set of points $x \in \mathbb{P}^{k}(\mathbb{C})$ for which the sequence $\left(f^{n}(x)\right)_{n}$ is not normal in some neighborhood of $x$. This current has a continuous potential: we can therefore define its self-intersection $\mu=T^{k}$ (see [12]). The measure $\mu$ obtained in this way is mixing (see [12]), it is the unique measure of maximal entropy $k \log (d)$ (see [3]), and its Lyapunov exponents are bounded from below by $\frac{\log (d)}{2}$ (see [2]).

In a similar way, let now $\Lambda$ be a complex Kähler manifold and $\widehat{f}: \Lambda \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \longrightarrow \Lambda \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ an algebraic family of rational maps of degree $d \geq 2$ : $\widehat{f}$ is holomorphic and $\widehat{f}(\lambda, z)=\left(\lambda, f_{\lambda}(z)\right)$ where $f_{\lambda}$ is a rational map of degree $d$. Let $a$ be a marked point, i.e., a rational function $a: \Lambda \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$. As for holomorphic endomorphisms, a fundamental question is to study the bifurcation locus, that is, the set of parameters $\lambda_{0} \in \Lambda$ for which the sequence $\left(f_{\lambda}^{n}(a(\lambda))\right)_{n}$ is not normal in some neighborhood of $\lambda_{0}$. For example, in the historical example, $f_{\lambda}(z)=$ $z^{d}+\lambda$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a(\lambda)=\lambda$, the bifurcation locus is the Mandelbrot set.

DeMarco introduced in [4] a current of bifurcation $T_{\text {bif }}$ on $\Lambda$ : it is a positive closed current of bidegree $(1,1)$ whose support is exactly the bifurcation locus and Bassanelli and Berteloot ([1]) then defined its self-intersections $T_{\text {bif }}^{l}$. The maximal intersection $\mu_{\text {bif }}:=$ $T_{\text {bif }}^{\operatorname{dim}(\Lambda)}$ is known as the bifurcation measure and in the mentioned historical example, it corresponds to the harmonic measure of the Mandelbrot set. For this harmonic measure, Graczyk and Światek (see [16]) proved that the Lyapounov exponent of $\mu_{\mathrm{bif}}$ is equal to
$\log (d)$. In [6, we partially extended this result to the case of any pair $(f, a)$ and with a quasi-projective variety $\Lambda$ of dimension 1 by showing that the Lyapounov exponent is bounded from below by $\frac{\log (d)}{2}$. Moreover, we extended the notion of entropy to the context of more general parameter families in [5], and proved that the measure $\mu_{\text {bif }}$ has maximal entropy.

In this article, we continue the analogy with endomorphisms, showing that the measure $\mu_{\text {bif }}$ is mixing in a very general setting. In [15], Ghioca, Krieger and Nguyen proved that the Mandelbrot set is not the Julia set of a polynomial map (also refer to [17] for another similar result). So let us clarify the context and what we mean by "mixing".

Let $\Lambda$ be a smooth complex quasi-projective variety and let $\widehat{f}: \Lambda \times \mathbb{P}^{q} \longrightarrow \Lambda \times \mathbb{P}^{q}$ be an algebraic family of endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^{q}$ of degree $d \geq 2: \widehat{f}$ is a morphism and $\widehat{f}(\lambda, z)=\left(\lambda, f_{\lambda}(z)\right)$ where $f_{\lambda}$ is an endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{q}$ of algebraic degree $d$.

Assume that the family $\hat{f}$ is endowed with $k$ marked points $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}: \Lambda \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{q}$ where the $a_{i}$ are morphisms and suppose that $\operatorname{dim}(\Lambda)=q k$. As in the case $k=q=1$, we can define $T_{\text {bif }}$ and $\mu_{\text {bif }}=T_{\text {bif }}^{k q}$ (see the paragraph [2.1] for more details, or refer to [5] and (10).

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$
\mathfrak{a}_{n}(\lambda)=\left(f_{\lambda}^{n}\left(a_{1}(\lambda)\right), \cdots, f_{\lambda}^{n}\left(a_{k}(\lambda)\right)\right), \quad \lambda \in \Lambda .
$$

Let $\iota: \Lambda \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{m}$ be an embedding of $\Lambda$ into a complex projective space. We identify $\Lambda$ with $\iota(\Lambda)$ and we denote by $\bar{\Lambda}$ the closure of $\Lambda$ in $\mathbb{P}^{m}$. Let $p_{n}: \bar{\Lambda} \longrightarrow\left(\mathbb{P}^{q}\right)^{k}$ be the meromorphic map obtained by taking the closure of the graph of $\mathfrak{a}_{n}$ in $\bar{\Lambda} \times\left(\mathbb{P}^{q}\right)^{k}$.

In this context, we first have (see paragraph 1.1 for a review of notions used here, such as dsh functions, locally moderate measures and PB probability measures)
Theorem 1. We assume that $\mu_{\text {bif }} \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{dim}(\Lambda)=q k$. Take $U \subset\left(\mathbb{P}^{q}\right)^{k}$ an open set and $\mu$ a locally moderate positive measure in $U$.

Let $V \Subset U$ and $W \Subset \Lambda$ be relatively compact sets. Then for $s \in] 1,+\infty[$ and $0 \leq \nu \leq 2$, there exists a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\left|\int \psi\left(p_{n}\right) \varphi \frac{p_{n}^{*}\left(\mu_{\mid \bar{V}}\right)}{d^{k q n}}-\int \psi d \mu_{\mid \bar{V}} \int \varphi d \mu_{\mathrm{bif}}\right| \leq C d^{-n \nu / 2}|\psi|_{L^{s}\left(\mu_{\bar{V}}\right)}|\varphi|_{C^{\nu}}
$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}, \psi \in \operatorname{DSH}\left(\left(\mathbb{P}^{q}\right)^{k}\right)$ and $\varphi \in C^{\nu}$ with compact support in $\bar{W}$.
We have $\mu_{\mathrm{bif}}=T_{\mathrm{bif}}^{k q}$ where the $T_{\mathrm{bif}}$ has locally Hölder potentials, hence the measure $\mu_{\text {bif }}$ is locally moderate (see [9]), and we deduce
Corollary 1. We assume that $\mu_{\text {bif }} \neq 0$ and that $\Lambda$ is a Zariski open set in $\left(\mathbb{P}^{q}\right)^{k}$.
Let $V \Subset \Lambda=U$ be a relatively compact set. Then for $s \in] 1,+\infty[$ and $0 \leq \nu \leq 2$, there exists a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\left|\int \psi\left(p_{n}\right) \varphi \frac{p_{n}^{*}\left(\mu_{\mathrm{bif} \mid \bar{V}}\right)}{d^{k q n}}-\int \psi d \mu_{\mathrm{bif} \mid \bar{V}} \int \varphi d \mu_{\mathrm{bif} \mid \bar{V}}\right| \leq C d^{-n \nu / 2}|\psi|_{L^{s}\left(\mu_{\mathrm{bif} \mid \bar{V}}\right)}|\varphi|_{C^{\nu}}
$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}, \psi \in \operatorname{DSH}\left(\left(\mathbb{P}^{q}\right)^{k}\right)$ and $\varphi \in C^{\nu}$ with compact support in $\bar{V}$.
This means that the bifurcation measure is exponentially mixing.
To prove the Theorem we first establish a very general mixing theorem for sequences of meromorphic maps. The proof follows the approach used by Dinh, Nguyen and Sibony to prove stochastic properties for holomorphic endomorphisms in $\mathbb{P}^{k}(\mathbb{C})$ (see 9 and also [8]). Let us explain the context.

Let $(X, \omega)$ and $\left(X^{\prime}, \omega^{\prime}\right)$ be compact Kähler manifolds of dimension $l$ and consider a sequence of dominant meromorphic maps $p_{n}: X \longrightarrow X^{\prime}$.

Fix $U \subset X^{\prime}$ an open set, and let $\mu$ be a locally moderate positive measure on $U$.
Then, we have
Theorem 2. Let $V \Subset U$ be a relatively compact set and take $\lambda$ a PB probability measure on $X^{\prime}$. Then for $\left.s \in\right] 1,+\infty\left[\right.$ and $0 \leq \nu \leq 2$, there exists constants $C_{1}, C_{2}$ such that

$$
\left|\int \psi\left(p_{n}\right) \varphi \frac{p_{n}^{*}\left(\mu_{\mid \bar{V}}\right)}{\delta_{l}\left(p_{n}\right)}-\int \psi d \mu_{\mid \bar{V}} \int \varphi \frac{p_{n}^{*}(\lambda)}{\delta_{l}\left(p_{n}\right)}\right| \leq C_{1} \frac{\delta_{l-1}\left(p_{n}\right)}{\delta_{l}\left(p_{n}\right)}|\psi|_{L^{s}\left(\mu_{\mid \bar{V}}\right)}|\varphi|_{D S H}
$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}, \psi \in \operatorname{DSH}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ and $\varphi \in \operatorname{DSH}(X)$ and

$$
\left|\int \psi\left(p_{n}\right) \varphi \frac{p_{n}^{*}\left(\mu_{\mid \bar{V}}\right)}{\delta_{l}\left(p_{n}\right)}-\int \psi d \mu_{\bar{V}} \int \varphi \frac{p_{n}^{*}(\lambda)}{\delta_{l}\left(p_{n}\right)}\right| \leq C_{2}\left(\frac{\delta_{l-1}\left(p_{n}\right)}{\delta_{l}\left(p_{n}\right)}\right)^{\nu / 2}|\psi|_{L^{s}\left(\mu_{\bar{V}}\right)}|\varphi|_{C^{\nu}}
$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}, \psi \in \operatorname{DSH}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ and $\varphi \in C^{\nu}(X)$.
Here $\delta_{l}\left(p_{n}\right)=\int p_{n}^{*}\left(\omega^{\prime l}\right)$ and $\delta_{l-1}\left(p_{n}\right)=\int p_{n}^{*}\left(\omega^{l-1}\right) \wedge \omega$.
Here is the outline of this paper: In the first paragraph, we review the various notions used in these statements (locally moderate measures, PB probability measures, etc.) and explain why the integrals in Theorem 2 are well-defined. Then we will demonstrate Theorem 2. In the second paragraph, we begin with some background about parameter families and we will prove Theorem 1 using crucially Theorem 2 and pluripotential theory.

Acknowledgments: Thanks to Gabriel Vigny for many useful discussions on this article.

## 1 Proof of Theorem 2

### 1.1 Preliminaries

Let $(X, \omega)$ be a compact Kähler manifold. We start with some reminders about dsh functions, PB measures and locally moderate measures (see [8]).

A function $\varphi$ is quasi-plurisubharmonic (qpsh) if it is locally written as the sum of a psh function and a $C^{\infty}$ one. Such a function verifies $d d^{c} \varphi \geq-c \omega$ in the sense of currents for a constant $c \geq 0$. A set of $X$ is said to be pluripolar if it is contained in $\{\varphi=-\infty\}$
where $\varphi$ is a qpsh function. We call dsh function, any function defined outside a pluripolar set, which is written as the difference of two qpsh functions. Let us denote $\operatorname{DSH}(\mathrm{X})$ the set of dsh functions on $X$. If $\varphi$ is a dsh function, there are two positive closed currents $T^{ \pm}$of bidegree $(1,1)$ such that $d d^{c} \varphi=T^{+}-T^{-}$. We can then define a norm (see [9] paragraph $3)$ :

$$
\|\varphi\|_{\mathrm{DSH}}:=\|\varphi\|_{L^{1}(X)}+\inf \left\|T^{ \pm}\right\|
$$

with $T^{ \pm}$as above.
A positive measure $\mu$ is PB if qpsh functions are integrable with respect to this measure. In particular, PB measures have no mass on pluripolar sets. Let $\mu$ be a non-zero PB positive measure on $X$. For $\varphi \in \operatorname{DSH}(X)$, define

$$
\|\varphi\|_{\mu}:=|\langle\mu, \varphi\rangle|+\inf \left\|T^{ \pm}\right\|
$$

with $T^{ \pm}$as above.
The semi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mu}$ is in fact a norm on $\operatorname{DSH}(\mathrm{X})$ which is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{DSH}}$ (see Proposition A.4.4 in [8]).

The measure $\mu$ is said to be locally moderate (see [9) if for any open set $U \subset X$, any compact set $K \subset U$ and any compact family $\mathcal{F}$ of psh functions on $U$, there are constants $\alpha>0$ and $c>0$ such that

$$
\int_{K} e^{-\alpha \varphi} d \mu \leq c \quad \text { for } \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{F}
$$

By using Proposition 2.1 in 7 and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, if $\mu$ is locally moderate, for any open set $U \subset X$, any compact set $K \subset U$ and any compact family $\mathcal{D}$ of dsh functions on $X$, there are constants $\alpha>0$ and $c>0$ such that

$$
\int_{K} e^{\alpha|\varphi|} d \mu \leq c \quad \text { for } \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D} \text {. }
$$

### 1.2 About the definition of the integrals in Theorem 2

We begin by showing that all the integrals in Theorem 2 are well-defined.
Take $\xi \leq 0$ a qpsh function and $K$ a compact set. Then, since $\mu$ is locally moderate, there exists $\alpha>0$ such that $\int_{K}-\alpha \xi d \mu \leq \int_{K} e^{-\alpha \xi} d \mu<+\infty$. It implies that $\mu$ gives no mass to analytic subsets in $X^{\prime}$ and integrates dsh functions ( $\mu$ is PB ).

Let $\Gamma_{p_{n}}$ be the graph of $p_{n}$ in $X \times X^{\prime}$ and $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ the projections of $\Gamma_{p_{n}}$ onto $X$ and $X^{\prime}$, respectively. Take $\varphi$ a continuous map, then $\alpha_{2 *}\left(\alpha_{1}^{*} \varphi\right)$ is continuous outside an analytic subset of $X^{\prime}$. Hence, if $\lambda$ is a PB positive measure on $X^{\prime}$, as it gives no mass to analytic subset in $X^{\prime}$, we can define a positive measure $p_{n}^{*} \lambda$ with the formula:

$$
\left\langle p_{n}^{*} \lambda, \varphi\right\rangle:=\left\langle\lambda, \alpha_{2 *}\left(\alpha_{1}^{*} \varphi\right)\right\rangle .
$$

Now, let $\varphi$ be a DSH function in $X$. With the above notations, $p_{n *} \varphi=\alpha_{2 *}\left(\alpha_{1}^{*} \varphi\right)$ is DSH (see paragraphs 2.3 and 2.4 in [7). By definition, since $\lambda$ is a PB probability measure, qpsh functions are $\lambda$-integrable, hence $\left\langle p_{n}^{*} \lambda, \varphi\right\rangle:=\left\langle\lambda, p_{n *} \varphi\right\rangle$ is well-defined.

Finally, let $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}$ be two negative qpsh functions in $X^{\prime}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int\left|\psi_{1} \psi_{2}\right| d \mu_{\mid \bar{V}} & \leq\left(\int\left|\psi_{1}\right|^{2} d \mu_{\mid \bar{V}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int\left|\psi_{2}\right|^{2} d \mu_{\mid \bar{V}}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq \frac{2}{\alpha^{2}}\left(\int e^{\alpha\left|\psi_{1}\right|} d \mu_{\mid \bar{V}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int e^{\alpha\left|\psi_{2}\right|} d \mu_{\mid \bar{V}}\right)^{1 / 2}<+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used that $\mu$ is locally moderate and the inequality $\frac{\alpha^{2} x^{2}}{2} \leq e^{\alpha x}$ for $x \geq 0$.
We deduce that $\int \psi\left(p_{n}\right) \varphi \varphi_{p_{n}^{*}\left(\mu_{\bar{V}}\right)}^{\delta_{l}\left(p_{n}\right)}:=\int \psi \frac{p_{n_{*}}(\varphi)}{\delta_{l}\left(p_{n}\right)} d \mu_{\mid \bar{V}}$ is well defined for $\psi \in \operatorname{DSH}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ and $\varphi \in \operatorname{DSH}(X)$, since $p_{n *} \varphi$ is DSH in $X^{\prime}$.

### 1.3 Proof of Theorem 2:

In this paragraph, we prove Theorem 2. We follow the ideas of Dinh-Sibony-Nguyen used in (9) to prove that the measure of maximal entropy for a holomorphic endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{k}(\mathbb{C})$ is exponentially mixing. In particular, in what follows, we use their notation $\Lambda_{n}(\varphi):=\frac{p_{n_{*}}(\varphi)}{\delta_{l}\left(p_{n}\right)}$. For $\psi \in \operatorname{DSH}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ and $\varphi \in \operatorname{DSH}(X)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int \psi\left(p_{n}\right) \varphi \frac{p_{n}^{*}\left(\mu_{\mid \bar{V})}\right.}{\delta_{l}\left(p_{n}\right)}-\int \psi d \mu_{\mid \bar{V}} \int \varphi \frac{p_{n}^{*}(\lambda)}{\delta_{l}\left(p_{n}\right)}\right| & =\left|\int \psi \Lambda_{n}(\varphi) d \mu_{\mid \bar{V}}-\int \psi d \mu_{\mid \bar{V}} \int \Lambda_{n}(\varphi) d \lambda\right| \\
& =\left|\int \psi\left(\Lambda_{n}(\varphi)-\int \Lambda_{n}(\varphi) d \lambda\right) d \mu_{\mid \bar{V}}\right| \\
& =\left|\int \psi \widetilde{\Lambda_{n}}(\varphi) d \mu_{\mid \bar{V}}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

where we denote $\widetilde{\Lambda_{n}}(\varphi)=\Lambda_{n}(\varphi)-\left\langle\Lambda_{n}(\varphi), \lambda\right\rangle$. We can estimate the norm of this function by using the following Lemma.

Lemma 1. There exists a constant $r>0$ which depends only on $X$ such that

$$
\left|\widetilde{\Lambda_{n}}(\varphi)\right|_{D S H(\lambda)} \leq r|\varphi|_{D S H} \frac{\delta_{l-1}\left(p_{n}\right)}{\delta_{l}\left(p_{n}\right)}
$$

for every $\varphi \in D S H(X)$.
Proof. Write $d d^{c} \varphi=S^{+}-S^{-}$with $S^{ \pm}$positive closed (1,1)-currents. We have

$$
d d^{c} \widetilde{\Lambda_{n}}(\varphi)=d d^{c} \Lambda_{n}(\varphi)=\frac{p_{n *}\left(d d^{c} \varphi\right)}{\delta_{l}\left(p_{n}\right)}=\frac{p_{n *}\left(S^{+}\right)}{\delta_{l}\left(p_{n}\right)}-\frac{p_{n *}\left(S^{-}\right)}{\delta_{l}\left(p_{n}\right)} .
$$

In the above, the push-forward $p_{n *}\left(S^{ \pm}\right)$is well-defined using Meo's result (see [18]) and the projections from the graph of $p_{n}$ (taking a desingularization if necessary), since $S^{ \pm}$are positive (1, 1)-currents.

By definition

$$
\left|\widetilde{\Lambda_{n}}(\varphi)\right|_{D S H(\lambda)}=\left|\left\langle\widetilde{\Lambda_{n}}(\varphi), \lambda\right\rangle\right|+\min \left\|R^{ \pm}\right\|
$$

where the minimum is taken on positive closed $(1,1)$-currents $R^{ \pm}$such that $d d^{c} \widetilde{\Lambda_{n}}(\varphi)=$ $R^{+}-R^{-}$. Hence,

$$
\left|\widetilde{\Lambda_{n}}(\varphi)\right|_{D S H(\lambda)} \leq\left\|\frac{p_{n *}\left(S^{ \pm}\right)}{\delta_{l}\left(p_{n}\right)}\right\|
$$

and it remains to estimate the norm of the term on the right.
By using Proposition 2.2 in [7], there exists a constant $r>0$ that depends only on $X$ such that $S^{+}=\beta+d d^{c} u$ with $\beta$ a smooth (1,1)-form, $u$ a qpsh function and

$$
-r\left\|S^{+}\right\| \omega \leq \beta \leq r\left\|S^{+}\right\| \omega .
$$

Finally,

$$
\left\|\frac{p_{n_{*}}\left(S^{+}\right)}{\delta_{l}\left(p_{n}\right)}\right\|=\left\langle\frac{p_{n *}\left(S^{+}\right)}{\delta_{l}\left(p_{n}\right)}, \omega^{\prime l-1}\right\rangle=\left\langle\beta, \frac{p_{n}^{*}\left(\omega^{\prime l-1}\right)}{\delta_{l}\left(p_{n}\right)}\right\rangle \leq r\left\|S^{+}\right\| \frac{\delta_{l-1}\left(p_{n}\right)}{\delta_{l}\left(p_{n}\right)}
$$

and the Lemma follows.
We continue the proof of Theorem 2.
Take $r>0$ such that $\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{r}=1$. By using Proposition A.4.4 in [8], the sequence

$$
\left(\widetilde{\Lambda_{n}}(\varphi) \frac{\delta_{l}\left(p_{n}\right)}{\delta_{l-1}\left(p_{n}\right)}\right)=\left(\left(\Lambda_{n}(\varphi)-\left\langle\Lambda_{n}(\varphi), \lambda\right\rangle\right) \frac{\delta_{l}\left(p_{n}\right)}{\delta_{l-1}\left(p_{n}\right)}\right)
$$

is bounded in $D S H(X)$ for $\varphi \in D S H(X)$ with $|\varphi|_{D S H} \leq 1$ (recall that $\lambda$ is a PB probability measure). Hence, since $\mu$ is locally moderate and $\bar{V}$ is a compact set, there exist positive constants $\alpha, C$ such that $\left\langle e^{\alpha|\psi|}, \mu_{\mid \bar{V}}\right\rangle \leq C$ for every $\psi$ in the above sequence.

By using the inequalities $x^{r} \leq r!e^{x} \leq r^{r} e^{x}$ for $x \geq 0$ (consider integer parts of $r$, if necessary), we obtain

$$
\left\langle\left(\alpha\left|\Lambda_{n}(\varphi)-\left\langle\Lambda_{n}(\varphi), \lambda\right\rangle\right| \frac{\delta_{l}\left(p_{n}\right)}{\delta_{l-1}\left(p_{n}\right)}\right)^{r}, \mu_{\mid \bar{V}}\right\rangle \leq C r^{r}
$$

for $\varphi \in D S H(X)$ with $|\varphi|_{D S H} \leq 1$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Lambda_{n}(\varphi)-\left\langle\Lambda_{n}(\varphi), \lambda\right\rangle\right|_{L^{r}\left(\mu_{\mid \bar{V}}\right)} \leq \frac{r C^{1 / r}}{\alpha} \frac{\delta_{l-1}\left(p_{n}\right)}{\delta_{l}\left(p_{n}\right)}|\varphi|_{D S H} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\varphi \in D S H(X)$.

We deduce,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int \psi\left(p_{n}\right) \varphi \frac{p_{n}^{*}\left(\mu_{\mid \bar{V}}\right)}{\delta_{l}\left(p_{n}\right)}-\int \psi d \mu_{\mid \bar{V}} \int \varphi \frac{p_{n}^{*}(\lambda)}{\delta_{l}\left(p_{n}\right)}\right| & =\left|\int \psi\left(\Lambda_{n}(\varphi)-\int \Lambda_{n}(\varphi) d \lambda\right) d \mu_{\mid \bar{V}}\right| \\
& \leq|\psi|_{L^{s}\left(\mu_{\mid \bar{V}}\right)}\left|\Lambda_{n}(\varphi)-\left\langle\Lambda_{n}(\varphi), \lambda\right\rangle\right|_{L^{r}\left(\mu_{\mid \bar{V}}\right)} \\
& \leq \frac{r C^{1 / r}}{\alpha} \frac{\delta_{l-1}\left(p_{n}\right)}{\delta_{l}\left(p_{n}\right)}|\psi|_{L^{s}\left(\mu_{\mid \bar{V}} \mid\right.}|\varphi|_{D S H}
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves the first inequality.
Remark 1. As mentioned above, if $\psi$ is DSH with $|\psi|_{D S H} \leq 1$, we have $|\psi|^{s} \leq\left(\frac{s}{\alpha}\right)^{s} e^{\alpha|\psi|}$ and $\left\langle e^{\alpha|\psi|}, \mu_{\mid \bar{V}}\right\rangle \leq C$. Hence, there exists a positive constant $C^{\prime}$ which does not depend on $\psi$ such that $|\psi|_{L^{s}\left(\mu_{\mid \bar{V}}\right)} \leq C^{\prime}|\psi|_{D S H}$. It means that we can replace $|\psi|_{L^{s}\left(\mu_{\mid \bar{V}}\right)}$ with $|\psi|_{D S H}$ in the first inequality of Theorem 2.

The second inequality follows classically from the theory of interpolation between the Banach spaces $\mathcal{C}^{0}$ and $\mathcal{C}^{2}$ (see [8] p.34).

Let $L: \mathcal{C}^{0} \longrightarrow L^{r}\left(\mu_{\mid \bar{V}}\right)$ be the linear operator $L(\varphi)=\Lambda_{n}(\varphi)-\left\langle\Lambda_{n}(\varphi), \lambda\right\rangle$. We have

$$
\left|\Lambda_{n}(\varphi)-\left\langle\Lambda_{n}(\varphi), \lambda\right\rangle\right|_{L^{r}\left(\mu_{\bar{V}}\right)} \leq 2|\varphi|_{\mathcal{C}^{0}} \mu(\bar{V})^{1 / r}
$$

for $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{0}$. Since $|\varphi|_{D S H} \lesssim|\varphi|_{\mathcal{C}^{2}}$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{2}$, by using the inequality (11), we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\Lambda_{n}(\varphi)-\left\langle\Lambda_{n}(\varphi), \lambda\right\rangle\right|_{L^{r}\left(\mu_{\bar{V}}\right)} & \leq \frac{r C^{1 / r}}{\alpha} \frac{\delta_{l-1}\left(p_{n}\right)}{\delta_{l}\left(p_{n}\right)}|\varphi|_{D S H} \\
& \leq C^{\prime \prime} \frac{\delta_{l-1}\left(p_{n}\right)}{\delta_{l}\left(p_{n}\right)}|\varphi|_{\mathcal{C}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

By applying the theory of interpolation to $L$, for $0 \leq \nu \leq 2$, there exists a positive constant $A_{\nu}$, independent of $L$ such that

$$
\left|\Lambda_{n}(\varphi)-\left\langle\Lambda_{n}(\varphi), \lambda\right\rangle\right|_{L^{r}\left(\mu_{\bar{V}}\right)} \leq A_{\nu}\left(2 \mu(\bar{V})^{1 / r}\right)^{1-\nu / 2}\left(C^{\prime \prime} \frac{\delta_{l-1}\left(p_{n}\right)}{\delta_{l}\left(p_{n}\right)}\right)^{\nu / 2}|\varphi|_{\mathcal{C}^{\nu}}
$$

We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\lvert\, \int \psi\left(p_{n}\right) \varphi \frac{p_{n}^{*}\left(\mu_{\bar{V}}\right)}{\delta_{l}\left(p_{n}\right)}-\int \psi d \mu_{\mid \bar{V}} \int \varphi \frac{p_{n}^{*}(\lambda)\left|=\left|\int \psi \Lambda_{n}(\varphi) d \mu_{\mid \bar{V}}-\int \psi d \mu_{\mid \bar{V}} \int \Lambda_{n}(\varphi) d \lambda\right|\right.}{=\left|\int \psi\left(\Lambda_{n}(\varphi)-\left\langle\Lambda_{n}(\varphi), \lambda\right\rangle\right) d \mu_{\bar{V}}\right| \leq|\psi|_{L^{s}\left(\mu_{\mid \bar{V}}\right)}\left|\Lambda_{n}(\varphi)-\left\langle\Lambda_{n}(\varphi), \lambda\right\rangle\right|_{L^{r}\left(\mu_{\mid \bar{V}}\right)}}\right. \\
& \leq C_{2}\left(\frac{\delta_{l-1}\left(p_{n}\right)}{\delta_{l}\left(p_{n}\right)}\right)^{\nu / 2}|\psi|_{L^{s}\left(\mu_{\mid \bar{V}}\right)}|\varphi|_{\mathcal{C}^{\nu}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives the second inequality in the Theorem. Notice that we can replace $|\psi|_{L^{s}\left(\mu_{\mid V}\right)}$ with $|\psi|_{D S H}$ as in the previous remark.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1

### 2.1 Background in bifurcation theory:

In this paragraph, we follow the presentation of [5] (see also [11] and [10]).
Let $\Lambda$ be a smooth complex quasi-projective variety and let $\widehat{f}: \Lambda \times \mathbb{P}^{q} \longrightarrow \Lambda \times \mathbb{P}^{q}$ be an algebraic family of endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^{q}$ of degree $d \geq 2: \widehat{f}$ is a morphism and $\widehat{f}(\lambda, z)=\left(\lambda, f_{\lambda}(z)\right)$ where $f_{\lambda}$ is an endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{q}$ of algebraic degree $d$.

Assume that the family $f$ is endowed with $k$ marked points $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}: \Lambda \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{q}$ where the $a_{i}$ are morphisms.

Let $\omega_{\mathbb{P} q}$ be the Fubini-Study form on $\mathbb{P}^{q}, \pi_{\Lambda}: \Lambda \times \mathbb{P}^{q} \longrightarrow \Lambda$ and $\pi_{\mathbb{P} q}: \Lambda \times \mathbb{P}^{q} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{q}$ be the canonical projections.

If we denote $\widehat{\omega}:=\left(\pi_{\mathbb{P}^{q}}\right)^{*} \omega_{\mathbb{P} q}$, we have $\frac{\hat{f}^{*} \widehat{\omega}}{d}=\widehat{\omega}+d d^{c} u$ with $u$ a smooth function (see [11] Proposition 3.1). In the classical manner, the sequence

$$
\frac{\left(\widehat{f}^{n}\right)^{*} \widehat{\omega}}{d^{n}}=\widehat{\omega}+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d d^{c} \frac{u \circ \widehat{f}^{i}}{d^{i}}=\widehat{\omega}+d d^{c} u_{n}
$$

(where $u_{n}=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{u 0 \widehat{f^{2}}}{d^{2}}$ ) converges to a closed positive (1,1)-current $\widehat{T}=\widehat{\omega}+d d^{c} u_{\infty}$ on $\Lambda \times \mathbb{P}^{q}$ and this current has locally Hölder potential (see [8] Proposition 1.2.3).

For $j=1, \cdots, k$, let $\Gamma_{a_{j}}$ be the graph of the marked point $a_{j}$ and we consider

$$
\mathfrak{a}=\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{k}\right): \Lambda \longrightarrow\left(\mathbb{P}^{q}\right)^{k} .
$$

Definition 1. For $0 \leq j \leq k$, the bifurcation current $T_{a_{j}}$ of the point $a_{j}$ is the positive closed (1, 1)-current on $\Lambda$ defined by

$$
T_{a_{j}}=\left(\pi_{\Lambda}\right)_{*}\left(\widehat{T} \wedge\left[\Gamma_{a_{j}}\right]\right)
$$

and we define the bifurcation current $T_{\mathfrak{a}}$ of the $k$-uple $\mathfrak{a}$ as

$$
T_{\mathfrak{a}}=T_{a_{1}}+\cdot+T_{a_{k}} .
$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, write

$$
\mathfrak{a}_{n}(\lambda)=\left(f_{\lambda}^{n}\left(a_{1}(\lambda)\right), \cdots, f_{\lambda}^{n}\left(a_{k}(\lambda)\right)\right)=\left(a_{1, n}(\lambda), \cdots, a_{k, n}(\lambda)\right), \quad \lambda \in \Lambda .
$$

Lemma 2. (See [11] Proposition 3.1 and (5) Lemma 3.2).
For $1 \leq j \leq k$, the support of $T_{a_{j}}$ is the set of parameters $\lambda_{0} \in \Lambda$ such that the sequence $\left(\lambda \longrightarrow f_{\lambda}^{n}\left(a_{j}(\lambda)\right)\right)$ is not a normal family at $\lambda_{0}$.

Moreover, there exists a locally uniformly bounded family of continuous functions ( $u_{j, n}$ ) on $\Lambda$ such that

$$
a_{j, n}^{*}\left(\omega_{\mathbb{P}^{q}}\right)=d^{n} T_{a_{j}}+d d^{c} u_{j, n} \quad \text { on } \quad \Lambda .
$$

To prove the last assertion, observe that

$$
a_{j, n}^{*}\left(\omega_{\mathbb{P}^{q}}\right)=\pi_{\Lambda *}\left(\left(\widehat{f}^{n}\right)^{*} \widehat{\omega} \wedge \Gamma_{a_{j}}\right)=\pi_{\Lambda *}\left(d^{n} \widehat{T} \wedge \Gamma_{a_{j}}\right)+\pi_{\Lambda *}\left(d d^{c}\left(u_{n}-u_{\infty}\right) \wedge \Gamma_{a_{j}} d^{n}\right)
$$

For $1 \leq j \leq k$ and $i \geq 1$, it follows that

$$
a_{j, n}^{*}\left(\omega_{\mathbb{P}^{q}}^{i}\right)=d^{n i} T_{a_{j}}^{i}+d d^{c} O\left(d^{(i-1) n}\right)
$$

on compact subset of $\Lambda$ and in particular $T_{a_{j}}^{q+1}=0$ on $\Lambda$ (see [14] and [10] too).
Assume that $d_{\Lambda}:=\operatorname{dim}(\Lambda)=q k$. Using the last property, the measure $T_{\mathfrak{a}}^{d_{\Lambda}}$ is equal to a constant multiplied by $T_{a_{1}}^{q} \wedge \cdots \wedge T_{a_{k}}^{q}$ and we define

$$
\mu_{\mathrm{bif}}:=T_{a_{1}}^{q} \wedge \cdots \wedge T_{a_{k}}^{q}
$$

This is the bifurcation measure of the $k$-uple $\mathfrak{a}=\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{k}\right)$.
Let $\iota: \Lambda \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{m}$ be an embedding of $\Lambda$ into a complex projective space. We identify $\Lambda$ with $\iota(\Lambda)$ and we denote by $\bar{\Lambda}$ the closure of $\Lambda$ in $\mathbb{P}^{m}$. Let $p_{n}: \bar{\Lambda} \longrightarrow\left(\mathbb{P}^{q}\right)^{k}$ be the meromorphic map obtained by taking the closure of the graph of $\mathfrak{a}_{n}$ in $\bar{\Lambda} \times\left(\mathbb{P}^{q}\right)^{k}$.

We can now proceed to the proof of Theorem 1, by using Theorem 2 and pluripotential theory.

### 2.2 Proof of Theorem 1

Pick $\psi \in D S H\left(\left(\mathbb{P}^{q}\right)^{k}\right)$ and $\varphi \in C^{\nu}$ with compact support in $\bar{W}$.
Let $p r_{j}:\left(\mathbb{P}^{q}\right)^{k} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{q}$ be the projection onto the $j$-th factor of the product $\left(\mathbb{P}^{q}\right)^{k}$ $(j=1, \cdots, k)$ and consider $\Omega=\sum_{j=1}^{k} p r_{j}^{*} \omega_{\mathbb{P}^{q}}$. We will apply Theorem 2 with $\lambda$ equal to $\Omega^{q k}$ normalized to be a probability, i.e., $\Omega_{n o r}^{q k}=p r_{1}^{*} \omega_{\mathbb{P}^{q}}^{q} \wedge \cdots \wedge p r_{k}^{*} \omega_{\mathbb{P}^{q}}^{q}\left(\omega_{\mathbb{P}^{q}}^{j}=0\right.$ for $j>q$ and take the normalization $\int \omega_{\mathbb{P}^{q}}^{q}=1$ ).

In particular, we need estimates on $\delta_{l}\left(p_{n}\right)$ and $\delta_{l-1}\left(p_{n}\right)$ with $l=k q$, which are stated in the following Lemma:

Lemma 3. Suppose $\mu_{\mathrm{bif}} \neq 0$. Then there exists a positive constant $\epsilon$ such that

$$
\epsilon d^{k q n} \leq \delta_{k q}\left(p_{n}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon} d^{k q n} \quad \text { and } \quad \delta_{k q-1}\left(p_{n}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon} d^{k q n-n}
$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Here, $\delta_{k q}\left(p_{n}\right):=\int p_{n}^{*}\left(\Omega^{q k}\right)$ and $\delta_{k q-1}\left(p_{n}\right):=\int p_{n}^{*}\left(\Omega^{q k-1}\right) \wedge \omega$, where $\omega$ is the Fubini-Study form on $\mathbb{P}^{m}(\mathbb{C})$.

The proof of this Lemma is given at the end of the paragraph.
By using it with Theorem 2, there exists a positive constant $C$ (independent of $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\psi \in \operatorname{DSH}\left(\left(\mathbb{P}^{q}\right)^{k}\right)$ and $\left.\varphi \in C^{\nu}\right)$ such that

$$
\left|\int \psi\left(p_{n}\right) \varphi \frac{p_{n}^{*}\left(\mu_{\bar{V}}\right)}{d^{k q n}}-\int \psi d \mu_{\bar{V}} \int \varphi \frac{p_{n}^{*}\left(\Omega_{n o r}^{q k}\right)}{d^{k q n}}\right| \leq C d^{-n \nu / 2}|\psi|_{L^{s}\left(\mu_{\bar{V}} \mid\right.}|\varphi|_{C^{\nu}}
$$

so it remains to prove

$$
\left|\int \psi d \mu_{\mid \bar{V}} \int \varphi \frac{p_{n}^{*}\left(\Omega_{n o r}^{q k}\right)}{d^{k q n}}-\int \psi d \mu_{\mid \bar{V}} \int \varphi d \mu_{\mathrm{bif}}\right| \leq C^{\prime} d^{-n \nu / 2}|\psi|_{L^{s}\left(\mu_{\bar{V}}\right)}|\varphi|_{C^{\nu}},
$$

for a positive constant $C^{\prime}$ (independent of $n \in \mathbb{N}, \psi \in D S H\left(\left(\mathbb{P}^{q}\right)^{k}\right)$ and $\varphi \in C^{\nu}$ with compact support in $\bar{W}$ ). We will again use interpolation theory between $\mathcal{C}^{0}$ and $\mathcal{C}^{2}$.

So, fix $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{2}(\bar{\Lambda})$ with compact support in $\bar{W}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int \varphi \frac{p_{n}^{*}\left(\Omega_{n o r}^{q k}\right)}{d^{k q n}} & =\int_{\bar{W}} \varphi \frac{p_{n}^{*}\left(\Omega_{n o r}^{q k}\right)}{d^{k q n}}=\int_{\bar{W}} \varphi \frac{\mathfrak{a}_{n}^{*}\left(p r_{1}^{*} \omega_{\mathbb{P} q}^{q} \wedge \cdots \wedge p r_{k}^{*} \omega_{\mathbb{P} q}^{q}\right)}{d^{k q n}} \\
& =\int_{\bar{W}} \varphi \frac{a_{1, n}^{*} \omega_{\mathbb{P} q}^{q} \wedge \cdots \wedge a_{k, n}^{*} \omega_{\mathbb{P} q}^{q}}{d^{k q n}} \\
& =\int \varphi \frac{\left(d^{n} T_{a_{1}}+d d^{c} u_{1, n}\right)^{q} \wedge \cdots \wedge\left(d^{n} T_{a_{k}}+d d^{c} u_{k, n}\right)^{q}}{d^{k q n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the $u_{j, n}$ are uniformly bounded on $\bar{W}$ (split $\bar{W}$ independently of $\varphi$ and use cut-off functions if necessary).

By Stokes' formula, the last integral is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int \varphi T_{a_{1}} \wedge\left(T_{a_{1}}+d d^{c} \frac{u_{1, n}}{d^{n}}\right)^{q-1} \wedge \cdots \wedge\left(T_{a_{k}}+d d^{c} \frac{u_{k, n}}{d^{n}}\right)^{q}+ \\
& \int \frac{u_{1, n}}{d^{n}} d d^{c} \varphi \wedge\left(T_{a_{1}}+d d^{c} \frac{u_{1, n}}{d^{n}}\right)^{q-1} \wedge \cdots \wedge\left(T_{a_{k}}+d d^{c} \frac{u_{k, n}}{d^{n}}\right)^{q}=A+B
\end{aligned}
$$

with obvious notations.
Let $0 \leq \theta_{1} \leq \cdots \leq \theta_{q k} \leq 1$ be smooth functions with compact support, $\theta_{1} \equiv 1$ on a neighborhood of $\bar{W}$ and $\theta_{i+1} \equiv 1$ on a neighborhood of $\operatorname{support}\left(\theta_{i}\right)$ for $i=1, \cdots, q k-1$.

There exists a positive constant $C_{1}$ such that $-C_{1} \theta_{1}|\varphi|_{\mathcal{C}^{2}} \omega \leq u_{1, n} d d^{c} \varphi \leq C_{1} \theta_{1}|\varphi|_{\mathcal{C}^{2}} \omega$ for every $n$, where $\omega$ is the Fubini-Study form of $\mathbb{P}^{m}$. Hence

$$
|B| \leq \frac{|\varphi|_{\mathcal{C}^{2}}}{d^{n}} \int C_{1} \theta_{1} \omega \wedge\left(T_{a_{1}}+d d^{c} \frac{u_{1, n}}{d^{n}}\right)^{q-1} \wedge \cdots \wedge\left(T_{a_{k}}+d d^{c} \frac{u_{k, n}}{d^{n}}\right)^{q} .
$$

Now, we prove that the previous integral is bounded by a constant independent of $n$. Indeed, write it as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1} \int \theta_{1} \omega \wedge T_{a_{1}} \wedge\left(T_{a_{1}}+d d^{c} \frac{u_{1, n}}{d^{n}}\right)^{q-2} \wedge \cdots \wedge\left(T_{a_{k}}+d d^{c} \frac{u_{k, n}}{d^{n}}\right)^{q}+ \\
& C_{1} \int \frac{u_{1, n}}{d^{n}} d d^{c} \theta_{1} \wedge \omega \wedge\left(T_{a_{1}}+d d^{c} \frac{u_{1, n}}{d^{n}}\right)^{q-2} \wedge \cdots \wedge\left(T_{a_{k}}+d d^{c} \frac{u_{k, n}}{d^{n}}\right)^{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

As above, there exists a positive constant $C_{2}$ such that $-C_{2} \theta_{2} \omega \leq u_{1, n} d d^{c} \theta_{1} \leq C_{2} \theta_{2} \omega$ and we iterate this process for both integrals, by using $\theta_{1}, \cdots, \theta_{q k-1}$ successively.

At the end the integral $\int \theta_{1} \omega \wedge\left(T_{a_{1}}+d d^{c} \frac{u_{1, n}}{d^{n}}\right)^{q-1} \wedge \cdots \wedge\left(T_{a_{k}}+d d^{c} \frac{u_{k, n}}{d^{n}}\right)^{q}$ is bounded above by a sum of terms like

$$
C_{1} \cdots C_{l} \int \theta_{l} \omega^{l} \wedge T_{a_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge T_{a_{k}}^{\alpha_{k}}
$$

with $\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{k}=q k-l$ and $l=1, \cdots, q k$. All these integrals are bounded by a constant independent on $n$ since the potentials of the $T_{a_{j}}$ are continuous.

Hence there exists a positive constant $D$ such that

$$
|B| \leq \frac{D}{d^{n}}|\varphi|_{\mathcal{C}^{2}}
$$

Now for $A$ we follow the same method and we have

$$
A=\int \varphi T_{a_{1}}^{q} \wedge \cdots \wedge T_{a_{k}}^{q}+\epsilon_{n}
$$

with $\left|\epsilon_{n}\right| \leq \frac{D^{\prime}}{d^{n}}|\varphi|_{\mathcal{C}^{2}}$.
We obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int \varphi \frac{p_{n}^{*}\left(\Omega_{n o r}^{q k}\right)}{d^{k q n}}-\int \varphi T_{a_{1}}^{q} \wedge \cdots \wedge T_{a_{k}}^{q}\right| \leq \frac{D^{\prime \prime}}{d^{n}}|\varphi|_{\mathcal{C}^{2}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\mathcal{C}^{2}(\bar{\Lambda})$ maps $\varphi$ with compact support in $\bar{W}$.
When $\varphi$ is $\mathcal{C}^{0}(\bar{\Lambda})$, applying Lemma 3, we have

$$
\left|\int \varphi \frac{p_{n}^{*}\left(\Omega_{n o r}^{q k}\right)}{d^{k q n}}-\int \varphi T_{a_{1}}^{q} \wedge \cdots \wedge T_{a_{k}}^{q}\right| \leq\left(\frac{c}{\epsilon}+\mu_{\mathrm{bif}}(\Lambda)\right)|\varphi|_{\mathcal{C}^{0}}
$$

where the constant $c>0$ is such that $\Omega_{\text {nor }}^{q k}=c \Omega^{q k}$.
Using interpolation theory for the linear operator

$$
L:\left\{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{0} \text { with compact support in } \bar{W}\right\} \longrightarrow L^{r}\left(\mu_{\mid \bar{V}}\right)
$$

defined by

$$
L(\varphi)=\int \varphi \frac{p_{n}^{*}\left(\Omega_{n o r}^{q k}\right)}{d^{k q n}}-\int \varphi T_{a_{1}}^{q} \wedge \cdots \wedge T_{a_{k}}^{q}
$$

we obtain that there exists a constant $A_{\nu}$ such that

$$
\left|\int \varphi \frac{p_{n}^{*}\left(\Omega_{n o r}^{q k}\right)}{d^{k q n}}-\int \varphi T_{a_{1}}^{q} \wedge \cdots \wedge T_{a_{k}}^{q}\right|_{L^{r}\left(\mu_{\mid \bar{V}}\right)}
$$

is bounded above by

$$
A_{\nu}\left(\left(\frac{c}{\epsilon}+\mu_{\mathrm{bif}}(\Lambda)\right) \mu(\bar{V})^{1 / r}\right)^{1-\nu / 2}\left(\frac{D^{\prime \prime} \mu(\bar{V})^{1 / r}}{d^{n}}\right)^{\nu / 2}|\varphi|_{C^{\nu}}
$$

for $\varphi \in C^{\nu}$ with compact support in $\bar{W}$.
Finally,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int \psi d \mu_{\mid \bar{V}} \int \varphi \frac{p_{n}^{*}\left(\Omega_{n o r}^{q k}\right)}{d^{k q n}}-\int \psi d \mu_{\mid \bar{V}} \int \varphi d \mu_{\text {bif }}\right|=\left|\int \psi\left(\int \varphi \frac{p_{n}^{*}\left(\Omega_{n o r}^{q k}\right)}{d^{k q n}}-\int \varphi d \mu_{\text {bif }}\right) d \mu_{\bar{V}}\right| \\
& \leq|\psi|_{L^{s}\left(\mu_{\mid,}\right)}\left|\int \varphi \frac{p_{n}^{*}\left(\Omega_{n o r}^{q k}\right)}{d^{k q n}}-\int \varphi T_{a_{1}}^{q} \wedge \cdots \wedge T_{a_{k}}^{q}\right|_{L^{r}\left(\mu_{\mid \bar{V}}\right)} \leq C^{\prime} d^{-n \nu / 2}|\psi|_{L^{s}\left(\mu_{\mid \bar{V}}\right)}|\varphi|_{C^{\nu}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\varphi \in C^{\nu}$ with compact support in $\bar{W}$, and Theorem 1 follows.

It now remains to prove Lemma 3 .

## Proof of Lemma 3:

Since $\mu_{\text {bif }} \neq 0$ by assumption, there exists a smooth function $0 \leq \theta_{0} \leq 1$ with compact support in $\Lambda$ and $\int \theta_{0} d \mu_{\text {bif }}>0$.

Following the same method as in the previous proof with $\varphi=\theta_{0}$ and $W=\Lambda$, we obtain, as in inequality (2),

$$
\left|\int \theta_{0} \frac{p_{n}^{*}\left(\Omega_{n o r}^{q k}\right)}{d^{k q n}}-\int \theta_{0} T_{a_{1}}^{q} \wedge \cdots \wedge T_{a_{k}}^{q}\right| \leq \frac{C}{d^{n}}
$$

Therefore, using $\Omega_{n o r}^{q k}=c \Omega^{q k}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{k q}\left(p_{n}\right) & :=\int p_{n}^{*}\left(\Omega^{q k}\right)=\frac{1}{c} \int p_{n}^{*}\left(\Omega_{n o r}^{q k}\right) \geq \frac{1}{c} \int \theta_{0} p_{n}^{*}\left(\Omega_{n o r}^{q k}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{c}\left(\int \theta_{0} T_{a_{1}}^{q} \wedge \cdots \wedge T_{a_{k}}^{q}-\frac{C}{d^{n}}\right) d^{k q n} \geq \epsilon d^{k q n}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\epsilon=\frac{1}{2 c} \int \theta_{0} T_{a_{1}}^{q} \wedge \cdots \wedge T_{a_{k}}^{q}$ and $n$ large enough (so for every $n$, up to reducing $\epsilon$ ).
To find the upper bounds of $\delta_{k q}\left(p_{n}\right)$ and $\delta_{k q-1}\left(p_{n}\right)$, we use Bezout's theorem like in [19.

First, $\delta_{k q}\left(p_{n}\right):=\int p_{n}^{*}\left(\Omega^{q k}\right)=\frac{1}{c} \int p_{n}^{*}\left(\Omega_{n o r}^{q k}\right)$, so it is equal to the cardinal of $p_{n}^{-1}(b)$ with $b$ generic in $\left(\mathbb{P}^{q}\right)^{k}$ multiplied by the constant $\frac{1}{c}$. Thus, to compute it, we need to find the number of solutions to the equation $p_{n}(\lambda)=b$, or equivalently (by genericity), to the equation

$$
\mathfrak{a}_{n}(\lambda)=\left(f_{\lambda}^{n}\left(a_{1}(\lambda)\right), \cdots, f_{\lambda}^{n}\left(a_{k}(\lambda)\right)\right)=b .
$$

Let $b=\left(b_{1}, \cdots, b_{k}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{P}^{q}\right)^{k}$ be a generic point. For $j=1, \cdots, k$, write

$$
b_{j}=\left[b_{j, 0}: \cdots: b_{j, q}\right]
$$

and

$$
f_{\lambda}^{n}\left(a_{j}(\lambda)\right)=\left[F_{\lambda, 0}^{n}\left(a_{j}(\lambda)\right): \cdots: F_{\lambda, q}^{n}\left(a_{j}(\lambda)\right)\right]
$$

where $F_{\lambda, 0}^{n}, \cdots, F_{\lambda, q}^{n}$ are homogeneous polynomials of degree $d^{n}$ in $z_{0}, \cdots, z_{q}$ which define $f_{\lambda}^{n}$ (here $\left[z_{0}: \cdots: z_{q}\right]$ are the coordinates in $\mathbb{P}^{q}$ ).

We are reduced to $k$ systems of equations as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
F_{\lambda, 0}^{n}\left(a_{j}(\lambda)\right)=\frac{b_{j, 0}}{b_{j, q}} F_{\lambda, q}^{n}\left(a_{j}(\lambda)\right)  \tag{3}\\
\vdots \\
F_{\lambda, q-1}^{n}\left(a_{j}(\lambda)\right)=\frac{b_{j, q-1}}{b_{j, q}} F_{\lambda, q}^{n}\left(a_{j}(\lambda)\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

(there is always a $b_{j, i_{j}} \neq 0$ and we assumed here $i_{j}=q$ to simplify the exposition).
The above equations are of degree $d_{j, 0}(\lambda) d^{n}, \cdots, d_{j, q-1}(\lambda) d^{n}$ in $\lambda_{0}, \cdots, \lambda_{m}$, where $\left[\lambda_{0}\right.$ : $\left.\cdots: \lambda_{m}\right]$ are coordinates in $\mathbb{P}^{m}$. The number of solutions to $\mathfrak{a}_{n}(\lambda)=b$ is finite since $p_{n}$ is dominant $\left(\int_{\Lambda} \frac{p_{n}^{*}\left(\Omega_{n o c r}^{q k}\right)}{d^{k q n}}>0\right.$ ), so Bezout's inequality ( $\bar{\Lambda}$ can have complete intersection or not) in $\mathbb{P}^{m}$ implies

$$
\delta_{k q}\left(p_{n}\right) \leq d(\lambda) d^{k q n} \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon} d^{k q n}
$$

for every $n$, up to reducing $\epsilon$ if necessary.
Now, we have to bound $\delta_{k q-1}\left(p_{n}\right):=\int p_{n}^{*}\left(\Omega^{q k-1}\right) \wedge \omega=\int \mathfrak{a}_{n}^{*}\left(\Omega^{q k-1}\right) \wedge \omega$ where $\omega$ is the Fubini-Study form on $\mathbb{P}^{m}(\mathbb{C})$ (let us recall that $\iota: \Lambda \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{m}$ and that we identify $\Lambda$ with $\iota(\Lambda))$.

Since $\Omega^{q k-1}=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} p r_{j}^{*} \omega_{\mathbb{P} q}\right)^{q k-1}=c(k, q) \sum_{j=1}^{k} p r_{1}^{*} \omega_{\mathbb{P} q}^{q} \wedge \cdots \wedge p r_{j}^{*} \omega_{\mathbb{P} q}^{q-1} \wedge \cdots \wedge p r_{k}^{*} \omega_{\mathbb{P} q}^{q}$, we obtain

$$
\delta_{k q-1}\left(p_{n}\right)=c(k, q) \sum_{j=1}^{k} \int a_{1, n}^{*} \omega_{\mathbb{P} q}^{q} \wedge \cdots \wedge a_{j, n}^{*} \omega_{\mathbb{P} q}^{q-1} \wedge \cdots \wedge a_{k, n}^{*} \omega_{\mathbb{P} q}^{q} \wedge \omega .
$$

For cohomological reasons, to compute the integral above, we are left to bound the number of points in

$$
\mathcal{E}=\bar{\Lambda} \cap a_{1, n}^{-1}\left(b_{1}\right) \cap \cdots \cap a_{j-1, n}^{-1}\left(b_{j-1}\right) \cap a_{j, n}^{-1}(L) \cap a_{j+1, n}^{-1}\left(b_{j+1}\right) \cap \cdots \cap a_{k, n}^{-1}\left(b_{k}\right) \cap H
$$

where $b_{1}, \cdots, b_{j-1}, b_{j+1}, \cdots, b_{k}$ are generic points in $\mathbb{P}^{q}, L$ is a generic line in $\mathbb{P}^{q}$ and $H$ is a generic hyperplan in $\mathbb{P}^{m}$. Notice that this set is finite, since this is the intersection of a curve in $\bar{\Lambda}$ (as the preimage of a generic line of $\left(\mathbb{P}^{q}\right)^{k}$ by $p_{n}$, which is a dominant map) with a generic hyperplan $H$.

First, the points in $\mathcal{E}$ satisfy $k-1$ systems of equations as (31). Then, the line $L$ is given by the intersection of $q-1$ hyperplans, so by $q-1$ equations of the type $\alpha_{0}^{i} z_{0}+\cdots+\alpha_{q}^{i} z_{q}=0$ in $\mathbb{P}^{q}$ (for $i=1, \cdots, q-1$ ). Thus, the algebraic subset $a_{j, n}^{-1}(L)$ is given by the $q-1$ equations of the type

$$
\alpha_{0}^{i} F_{\lambda, 0}^{n}\left(a_{j}(\lambda)\right)+\cdots+\alpha_{q}^{i} F_{\lambda, q}^{n}\left(a_{j}(\lambda)\right)=0
$$

which have degree $d_{j, i}^{\prime}(\lambda) d^{n}$ (for $i=1, \cdots, q-1$ ).
In conclusion, by Bezout's inequality, the number of points in $\mathcal{E}$ is bounded above by $d^{\prime}(\lambda) d^{(k-1) q n+(q-1) n}=d^{\prime}(\lambda) d^{k q n-n}$, and the Lemma follows.
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