

GAGLIARDO-NIRENBERG INEQUALITY WITH HÖLDER NORMS

MENGXIA DONG ^a

ABSTRACT. The classical Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, known as an interpolation inequality, involves Lebesgue norms of functions and their derivatives. We established an interpolation lemma to connect Lebesgue and Hölder spaces, thus extending the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality. This extension involved substituting arbitrary Sobolev norms with appropriate Hölder norms, allowing for a wider range of applicable parameters in the inequality.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Sobolev Inequalities	3
3. Interpolation Lemma	4
4. Proof of Theorem 1.1	8
4.1. Initial Inequality	8
4.2. Induction	9
4.3. Interpolation	10
References	10

1. INTRODUCTION

The classical Sobolev embedding theorem states that if $1 \leq p < n$ then for any weakly differentiable function $u \in W^{1,p}$, we have

$$\|u\|_{p^*} \leq C \|\nabla u\|_p,$$

where $p^* = \frac{np}{n-p}$ and $C > 0$ is independent of u . If $p > n$, then according to the Morrey's inequality, for the continuous representative, the following holds:

$$\|u\|_{C^{0,1-\frac{n}{p}}} \leq C \|\nabla u\|_p.$$

These results are well-documented in standard references such as [1] or [4].

Sobolev spaces serve as important tools for describing the regularity and integrability of functions, while Sobolev embedding reveal the potential connection between these two aspects. However, as a space for continuous functions, Hölder spaces primarily emphasize the regularity of functions but lack a description of their integrability. Therefore, L. Nirenberg introduced a notation for the first time in [12] to formalize the definition of integrability of functions in Hölder spaces, with a key idea being that when $p < 0$, define

$$\|u\|_p = [u]_{C^{p_1,p_2}} = \sum_{|\alpha|=p_1} \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|D^\alpha u(x) - D^\alpha u(y)|}{|x - y|^{p_2}},$$

where $p_1 = \left[-\frac{n}{p}\right]$, $p_2 = -\frac{n}{p} - p_1$. While this notation differs significantly from the traditional definition of integrability, it seamlessly blending the traditional Lebesgue norm for $p > 0$ with the Hölder semi-norm for $p < 0$. This notation allows for unifying the two inequalities involved in Sobolev embedding into a single framework.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46E35, 46B70, 35A23.

Key words and phrases. Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, Hölder spaces, Sobolev spaces, interpolation.

This work was supported by NSFC(12171299), NSFC(12001353) and GDNSF(2021A1515010264).

In addition, in L. Nirenberg's article [12], he also presents the famous interpolation inequality.

$$\|D^l u\|_q \leq C \|D^k u\|_p^\theta \|u\|_r^{1-\theta},$$

for all function $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with a constant C independent of u . Here, $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k > l$, and the parameters satisfy

$$\frac{1}{q} - \frac{l}{n} = \theta \cdot \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{k}{n} \right) + (1 - \theta) \cdot \frac{1}{r},$$

for all θ in the interval

$$\frac{l}{k} \leq \theta \leq 1.$$

This inequality was also proven by E. Gagliardo in [6] and independently by L. Nirenberg. The inequality is also referred to as Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality. Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality has diverse applications in functional analysis, partial differential equations, and mathematical physics. It's particularly useful in studying the regularity properties of solutions to elliptic and parabolic equations, interpolation theory, and in establishing compactness results for function spaces.

If we look at it as a classical interpolation inequality of the form

$$\|u\|_X \leq C \|u\|_Y^\theta \|u\|_Z^{1-\theta},$$

E. Gagliardo and L. Nirenberg initially established the proof for cases where X, Y , and Z are Sobolev spaces. In 1995, A. Kufner and A. Wannebo expanded the scope by allowing Y to be a Hölder space [8]. Subsequently, in 2018, A. Molchanova, T. Roskovec, and F. Soudský successfully broadened the extension to encompass both X and Y as Hölder spaces [14].

In this paper, we will demonstrate our results by substituting arbitrary of Lebesgue norms with appropriate Hölder norms. For the convenience of exposition and proof, we slightly adjust the notation given by L. Nirenberg in [12]. Now let's introduce our new notation. Define X^p to be Lebesgue and Hölder spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < p < \infty &: X^p = L^p, \\ p = \infty &: X^p = L^\infty, \\ -\infty < p < 0 &: X^p = C^{p_1, p_2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $p_1 = -\left[\frac{n}{p} + 1\right]$ and $p_2 = -\frac{n}{p} - p_1$ when $p < 0$. Define the norms $\|u\|_{X^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ to be

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < p < \infty &: \|u\|_{X^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \\ p = \infty &: \|u\|_{X^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |u(x)|, \\ -\infty < p < 0 &: \|u\|_{X^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|u\|_{C^{p_1, p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|u\|_{C^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \sum_{|\alpha|=p_1} [D^\alpha u]_{C^{0, p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &= \max_{|\alpha| \leq p_1} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |D^\alpha u| + \sum_{|\alpha|=p_1} \sup_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ x \neq y}} \frac{|D^\alpha u(x) - D^\alpha u(y)|}{|x - y|^{p_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

This notation establishes a connection between Hölder spaces and Lebesgue spaces to a certain extent. From this perspective, it shares many similarities with Morrey-Campanato spaces. Literature related to Morrey-Campanato spaces have been studied by various authors S. Campanato [3], F. John and L. Nirenberg [7], N.G. Meyers [11], where Stam proved Interpolation Theorem for this space in [13]. However, Morrey-Campanato space tends to lean towards using mean oscillation, which describes the regularity, to portray the connection between the two, while our notation leans more towards extending integrability.

Similar to the Sobolev space, fix $-\infty < \frac{1}{p} < +\infty$ and let k be a non-negative integer, if for each multi-index α with $\alpha \leq k$,

$$D^\alpha u \in X^p(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

then we say

$$u \in X^{k, p}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

For simplicity and to distinguish it from the Lebesgue norm, we denote

$$|u|_p = \|u\|_{X^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

in this paper.

Here are the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $p, q, r \in (-\infty, 0) \cup [1, +\infty)$, $k, l \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $k > l$ and $\frac{n}{p} \notin \{1, \dots, k-l\}$, then for any $u \in X^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap X^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have $u \in X^{l,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and there exists a constant C independent of u such that*

$$(1) \quad |D^l u|_q \leq C |D^k u|_p^\theta |u|_r^{1-\theta},$$

where

$$\frac{1}{q} - \frac{l}{n} = \theta \cdot \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{k}{n} \right) + (1-\theta) \cdot \frac{1}{r},$$

for all θ in the interval

$$\frac{l}{k} \leq \theta \leq 1.$$

This paper is orgnized as follows: In section 2, we will use the new notation to present a unified form of the Sobolev inequality and the Morrey's inequality. The main purpose is to facilitate subsequent calculations. In Section 3, we established a crucial interpolation lemma related to both Lebesgue and Hölder spaces. This lemma plays a key role in problem-solving, and importantly, it demonstrates that similar to Lebesgue spaces, even when extended to Hölder spaces, the interpolation inequality holds true. In Section 4, we complete our conclusions using mathematical induction and the interpolation lemma.

2. SOBOLEV INEQUALITIES

Under the new notation, we can combine the Sobolev inequality and Morrey's inequality into a unified format and expand the range of parameter p to all negative numbers in the Hölder space. Let us check it:

Theorem 2.1. *Let $p \in (-\infty, 0) \cup [1, n) \cup (n, +\infty)$, then for any $u \in X^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have $u \in X^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and there exists a constant C independent of u such that*

$$(2) \quad |u|_{p^*} \leq C \|Du\|_p,$$

where

$$\frac{1}{p^*} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{n}.$$

Proof. When $p \in [1, n)$, both p and p^* are positive, the inequality (2) is the standard Sobolev inequality

$$\|u\|_{p^*} \leq C \|Du\|_p.$$

When $p \in (n, +\infty)$, p is positive and p^* is negative, direct calculation show us

$$\begin{aligned} p_1^* &= - \left[\frac{n}{p^*} + 1 \right] = 0, \\ p_2^* &= - \frac{n}{p^*} - p_1^* = 1 - \frac{n}{p}. \end{aligned}$$

In this case the inequality (2) becomes the Morrey's inequality:

$$\|u\|_{C^{0,1-\frac{n}{p}}} \leq C \|Du\|_p.$$

When $p \in (-\infty, 0)$, $p^* < 0$ is negative. Then direct computation shows us

$$\begin{aligned} p_1^* &= - \left[\frac{n}{p^*} + 1 \right] = - \left[\frac{n}{p} \right] = p_1 + 1, \\ p_2^* &= - \frac{n}{p^*} - p_1^* = 1 - \frac{n}{p} - (p_1 + 1) = - \frac{n}{p} - p_1 = p_2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get the Hölder equality

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} |u|_{p^*} &= \|u\|_{C^{p_1^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \sum_{|\alpha|=p_1^*} \sup_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ x \neq y}} \frac{|D^\alpha u(x) - D^\alpha u(y)|}{|x-y|^{p_2^*}} \\ &= \|Du\|_{C^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \sum_{|\alpha|=p_1} \sup_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ x \neq y}} \frac{|D^\alpha(Du(x)) - D^\alpha(Du(y))|}{|x-y|^{p_2}} = |Du|_p. \end{aligned}$$

Which completes the proof. □

Remark 2.2. If we suppose there is a space Y with the norm $\|\cdot\|_Y$ and assume

$$|u|_\infty := \|u\|_Y.$$

We would like to apply it on the unified format notation in order to extend the range of p in Theorem 2.1. Thus we must have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_Y = |u|_\infty &\leq C|Du|_n, \\ |u|_{-n} &\leq C|Du|_\infty = C\|Du\|_Y. \end{aligned}$$

These two inequalities show that

$$W^{1,n} \hookrightarrow Y \hookrightarrow L^\infty.$$

However, this is impossible. Therefore, we cannot provide a perfect notation for borderline cases.

By iteratively employing Theorem 2.1, we can express the generic Sobolev inequality involving derivatives of any order in a consolidated manner.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $p \in (-\infty, 0) \cup [1, +\infty)$, $k, l \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $k > l$ and $\frac{n}{p} \notin \{1, \dots, k-l\}$. Then for any $u \in X^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have $u \in X^{l,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and there exists a constant C independent of u such that*

$$(4) \quad |D^l u|_q \leq C|D^k u|_p,$$

where

$$\frac{1}{q} - \frac{l}{n} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{k}{n}.$$

Proof. Assume $p_0 = p$, let p_{i+1} be the conjugate Sobolev index of p_i , i.e.

$$\frac{1}{p_{i+1}} = \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{n} \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, k-l-1).$$

Thus we have

$$\frac{1}{p_{k-l}} = \frac{1}{p_{k-l-1}} - \frac{1}{n} = \dots = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{k-l}{n} = \frac{1}{q}.$$

Since $\frac{n}{p} \notin \{1, \dots, k-l\}$, consequently $p_i \neq n$, then repeatedly applying (2) we obtain

$$|D^l u|_q \lesssim |D^{l+1} u|_{p_{k-l-1}} \lesssim \dots \lesssim |D^k u|_p.$$

□

3. INTERPOLATION LEMMA

L. Nirenberg mentioned an interpolation lemma in [12], however, the proof is omitted. In this section, we will provide a foundational yet detailed proof to demonstrate that interpolation is continuously available between Lebesgue and Hölder spaces, bridging the connection between them.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume $-\infty < \lambda < \mu < \nu < \infty$, then*

$$(5) \quad |u|_{\frac{1}{\mu}} \leq C|u|_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}^\eta |u|_{\frac{1}{\nu}}^{1-\eta}$$

where

$$\mu = \eta\lambda + (1-\eta)\nu,$$

and C is independent of u .

Remark 3.2. Some special cases of this lemma have been studied. In particular

- (1) When $\lambda \geq 0$, the inequality (5) is essentially the interpolation inequality for Lebesgue spaces.
- (2) When $\nu \leq 0$, a result of A. Lunardi [9], [10] settling the case $-n\lambda, -n\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ for Hölder norms.

Before proving the interpolation lemma, let's first look at two lemmas. One of them illustrate the transitivity of interpolation, which is a straightforward application of the Reiteration Theorem found by A. Calderón in [2]. Readers may also refer the theorem in Lunardi's books [9] and [10]; it presents a more general form within the interpolation theory. This lemma allows us to focus on proving the important parameter nodes and the general case will be directly deduced from this lemma, and we will frequently use this method in the subsequent proofs.

Lemma 3.3. Assume $-\infty < \mu_0 < \mu_1 < \mu_2 < \mu_3 < \infty$, when $i = 1, 2$, we have the interpolation inequalities

$$|u|_{\frac{1}{\mu_i}} \lesssim |u|_{\frac{1}{\mu_{i-1}}}^{\eta_i} |u|_{\frac{1}{\mu_{i+1}}}^{1-\eta_i},$$

where

$$\mu_i = \eta_i \mu_{i-1} + (1 - \eta_i) \mu_{i+1}.$$

Then for $j = 1, 2$, we have

$$|u|_{\frac{1}{\mu_j}} \lesssim |u|_{\frac{1}{\mu_0}}^{\theta_j} |u|_{\frac{1}{\mu_3}}^{1-\theta_j},$$

where

$$\mu_j = \theta_j \mu_0 + (1 - \theta_j) \mu_3.$$

Proof. We only prove for $j = 1$, the proof of the other case is similar. From the condition we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1 &= \eta_1 \mu_0 + (1 - \eta_1) \mu_2 \\ &= \eta_1 \mu_0 + (1 - \eta_1) (\eta_2 \mu_1 + (1 - \eta_2) \mu_3) \\ &= \eta_1 \mu_0 + (1 - \eta_1) \eta_2 \mu_1 + (1 - \eta_1) (1 - \eta_2) \mu_3. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\mu_1 = \frac{\eta_1}{1 - \eta_2 + \eta_1 \eta_2} \mu_0 + \left(1 - \frac{\eta_1}{1 - \eta_2 + \eta_1 \eta_2}\right) \mu_3.$$

Choose

$$\theta_1 = \frac{\eta_1}{1 - \eta_2 + \eta_1 \eta_2}.$$

Then

$$|u|_{\frac{1}{\mu_1}} \lesssim |u|_{\frac{1}{\mu_0}}^{\eta_1} |u|_{\frac{1}{\mu_2}}^{1-\eta_1} \lesssim |u|_{\frac{1}{\mu_0}}^{\eta_1} |u|_{\frac{1}{\mu_1}}^{\eta_2(1-\eta_1)} |u|_{\frac{1}{\mu_3}}^{(1-\eta_1)(1-\eta_2)}.$$

Simplify it and we obtain the interpolation inequality in Lemma. \square

The inequality in the next lemma is used to address interpolation problems related to summation in Hölder norms.

Lemma 3.4. Assume $a, b, c, d > 0$ and $0 < \eta < 1$. Then

$$(6) \quad a^\eta b^{1-\eta} + c^\eta d^{1-\eta} \leq (a + c)^\eta (b + d)^{1-\eta}.$$

Proof. The proof is simple. From Young's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{a}{a+c}\right)^\eta \left(\frac{b}{b+d}\right)^{1-\eta} &\leq \eta \cdot \frac{a}{a+c} + (1-\eta) \cdot \frac{b}{b+d}, \\ \left(\frac{c}{a+c}\right)^\eta \left(\frac{d}{b+d}\right)^{1-\eta} &\leq \eta \cdot \frac{c}{a+c} + (1-\eta) \cdot \frac{d}{b+d}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these two inequalities and simplifying them, we conclude the proof. \square

Now we can begin the proof of the interpolation lemma. According to Lemma 3.3, we will only present the proof for the parameters are all greater or less than zero and the special case where the middle term μ is exactly equal to 0, thereby naturally deducing the general case.

Proof of Lemma 3.1. Case 1. $\lambda \geq 0$. In this case (5) is merely the interpolation inequality for L^p , which can be proved simply by applying Hölder inequality. Set $\eta = \frac{\nu-\mu}{\nu-\lambda}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int |u|_{\frac{1}{\mu}}\right)^\mu &= \left(\int |u|_{\frac{1}{\mu}}^\eta |u|_{\frac{1}{\mu}}^{1-\eta}\right)^\mu \\ &\leq \left(\int |u|_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}\right)^{\lambda\eta} \left(\int |u|_{\frac{1}{\nu}}\right)^{\nu(1-\eta)}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $\nu \leq 0$. In this case, all parameters are less than 0, thus we need to deal with Hölder norms. For convenience, when $-\infty < \lambda < \mu < \nu \leq 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let's assume C_λ, C_μ, C_ν and C_k be

$$C_\lambda = [u]_{C^{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}}, \quad C_\mu = [u]_{C^{\mu_1, \mu_2}}, \quad C_\nu = [u]_{C^{\nu_1, \nu_2}}, \quad C_k = \|u\|_{C^k}.$$

Where the parameters obtained by the notation we defined.

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_1 &= -[n\lambda + 1], & \lambda_2 &= -n\lambda - \lambda_1, \\ \mu_1 &= -[n\mu + 1], & \mu_2 &= -n\mu - \mu_1, \\ \nu_1 &= -[n\nu + 1], & \nu_2 &= -n\nu - \nu_1.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda < 0$ ensure the continuity of function, when $\nu = 0$ we have

$$|u|_\infty = \|u\|_{L^\infty} = \|u\|_{C^0} =: \|u\|_{C^{0,0}}.$$

Obviously,

$$\lambda_1 \geq \mu_1 \geq \nu_1.$$

We divide the proof of this case into three sub-cases.

Case 2.1. Interpolation of C_k . First assume $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then from Taylor formula, we have

$$u(y) - u(x) = Du(x) \cdot (y - x) + \frac{1}{2}(y - x)D^2u((1 - \theta)x + \theta y)(y - x)^T.$$

For each direction $e_i (i = 1, \dots, n)$ and $h > 0$,

$$|u(x + he_i) - u(x) - D_i u(x)h| \leq \frac{1}{2}|D_{ii}u(x)|_\infty h^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}|D^2u(x)|_\infty h^2,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}|D_i u(x)|_\infty &\leq \frac{2|u(x)|_\infty}{h} + \frac{1}{2}|D^2u|_\infty h \\ &\leq 2(|u(x)|_\infty)^{\frac{1}{2}}(|D^2u(x)|_\infty)^{\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}C_1 &= \max(|u|_\infty, |Du|_\infty) \\ &\leq (|u|_\infty)^{\frac{1}{2}} [\max(|u|_\infty, 2(|D^2u|_\infty))]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim C_0^{\frac{1}{2}} C_2^{\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

For $u \in C^{k+2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, after substituting $D^k u$ for u , we can directly obtain

$$C_{k+1} \lesssim C_k^{\frac{1}{2}} C_{k+2}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Combine with Lemma 3.3 we know that for any $k_1, k_2, k_3 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k_1 < k_2 < k_3$, if $u \in C^{k_3}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$(7) \quad C_{k_2} \lesssim C_{k_1}^\eta C_{k_3}^{1-\eta},$$

where

$$\frac{1}{k_2} = \frac{\eta}{k_1} + \frac{1-\eta}{k_3}.$$

Case 2.2. $\lambda_1 - \nu_1 = 0$. Without loss of generosity, we assume $\nu_1 = 0$. According to the condition $\lambda_1 \geq \mu_1 \geq \nu_1$ we have

$$\lambda_1 = \mu_1 = \nu_1 = 0.$$

Direct calculation shows us

$$(8) \quad \mu_2 = \eta\lambda_2 + (1 - \eta)\nu_2.$$

Then apply the Hölder conditions and (8),

$$\begin{aligned}|u(x) - u(y)| &\leq |u(x) - u(y)|^\eta |u(x) - u(y)|^{1-\eta} \\ &\leq C_\lambda^\eta C_\nu^{1-\eta} |x - y|^{\eta\lambda_2 + (1-\eta)\nu_2} \\ &= C_\lambda^\eta C_\nu^{1-\eta} |x - y|^{\mu_2}.\end{aligned}$$

This tells us

$$C_\mu \leq C_\lambda^\eta \cdot C_\nu^{1-\eta}.$$

Apply the interpolation inequality (6) we have

$$|u|_{\frac{1}{\mu}} = C_0 + C_\mu$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= C_0^\eta C_0^{1-\eta} + C_\lambda^\eta \cdot C_\nu^{1-\eta} \\
&\leq (C_0 + C_\lambda)^\eta (C_0 + C_\nu)^{1-\eta} \\
&= |u|_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}^\eta |u|_{\frac{1}{\nu}}^{1-\eta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2.3. $\lambda_1 - \nu_1 = 1$ and $\mu_2 = 1$. Without loss of generality, we assume $\nu_1 = 0$. Since $\lambda_1 \geq \mu_1 \geq \nu_1$, then

$$\lambda_1 = \mu_1 = \nu_1 = 0.$$

By calculation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
1 = \mu_2 &= -n\mu - \mu_1 \\
&= -n(\eta\lambda + (1-\eta)\nu) - \mu_1 \\
&= \eta(1 + \lambda_2) + (1-\eta)\nu_2,
\end{aligned}$$

which equivalent to

$$(9) \quad \eta\lambda_2 + (1-\eta)(\nu_2 - 1) = 0.$$

Choose $m \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, there must exist point $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and direction e_i such that $|D_i u(x)| = mC_\mu$. Without loss of generality, we assume $|D_1 u(0)| = mC_\mu$, then

$$|D_1 u(0) - D_1 u(he_1)| \leq |Du(0) - Du(he_1)| \leq C_\lambda h^{\lambda_2}.$$

Thus we have

$$|D_1 u(he_1)| \geq |D_1 u(0)| - |D_1 u(0) - D_1 u(he_1)| \geq mC_\mu - C_\lambda h^{\lambda_2},$$

where

$$h \in \left[0, \left(\frac{mC_\mu}{C_\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda_2}}\right] := [0, R].$$

It is worth noting when $h \in [0, R]$, $D_1 u(he_1)$ maintain the same symbol. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
|u(0) - u(Re_1)| &= \int_0^R |D_1 u(he_1)| dh \\
&\geq \int_0^R (mC_\mu - C_\lambda h^{\lambda_2}) dh \\
&= mC_\mu R - \frac{C_\lambda}{\lambda_2 + 1} R^{\lambda_2 + 1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall $u \in C^{\nu_1, \nu_2}$, thus we have the estimate

$$|u(0) - u(Re_1)| \leq C_\nu R^{\nu_2}.$$

Combing them together we obtain

$$mC_\mu R - \frac{C_\lambda}{\lambda_2 + 1} R^{\lambda_2 + 1} \leq C_\nu R^{\nu_2}.$$

Substitute $R = \left(\frac{mC_\mu}{C_\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda_2}}$ into the inequality,

$$\frac{m\lambda_2}{\lambda_2 + 1} C_\mu \leq C_\nu \left(\frac{mC_\mu}{C_\lambda}\right)^{\frac{\nu_2 - 1}{\lambda_2}}.$$

Recall the condition of parameters (9) we have

$$\frac{\nu_2 - 1}{\lambda_2} = -\frac{\eta}{1 - \eta}.$$

Thus we simplify and obtain

$$(10) \quad C_\mu \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\lambda_2}\right)^{1-\eta} m^{-1} C_\lambda^\eta C_\nu^{1-\eta}.$$

Apply the interpolation inequality concerning sums (6) again we obtain the interpolation inequality (5).

All the remaining cases when $\nu \leq 0$ could be established by applying Lemma 3.3 on results of step 2.1, step 2.2 and step 2.3.

Case 3. $\mu = 0$.

In this case we have $\lambda < 0$ and $\nu > 0$. First let us check when $-\frac{1}{n} \leq \lambda < 0$ and $0 < \nu \leq 1$. Followed the notation we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_1 &= -[n\lambda + 1] = 0, \\ \lambda_2 &= -n\lambda - \lambda_1 = -n\lambda.\end{aligned}$$

Define

$$a + b := |u|_\infty + \sup_{x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^{\lambda_2}} = |u|_{\frac{1}{\lambda}} < \infty$$

for short. Easy to see $a < \infty$ and u is continuous. When $b = 0$ the function is trivial. Without loss of generality, assume $|u(0)| = a$, and we have

$$|a - u(x)| \leq b|x|^{\lambda_2}.$$

Thus we have

$$|u(x)| \geq a - |a - u(x)| \geq a - b|x|^{\lambda_2}, \quad |x| \in \left[0, \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda_2}}\right] := [0, c].$$

Set $p := \frac{1}{\nu} \geq 1$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^p dx &\geq \int_{B(0, c)} |u|^p dx \\ &= \int_0^c \int_{\partial B(0, r)} |u|^p dS dr \\ &\gtrsim \int_0^c (a - br^{\lambda_2})^p r^{n-1} dr \\ &= \frac{a^p c^n}{\lambda_2} \int_0^1 (1 - s)^p s^{\frac{n}{\lambda_2} - 1} dt \quad (r = cs^{\frac{1}{\lambda_2}}) \\ &= \frac{a^p c^n}{\lambda_2} B\left(-\frac{1}{\lambda}, p - 1\right).\end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$(a^p c^n)^{\frac{1}{p}} = a^{1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_2}} b^{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_2}} = a^{\frac{1}{1-\eta}} b^{\frac{-\eta}{1-\eta}}.$$

Finally we conclude the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}|u|_{\frac{1}{\mu}} &= |u|_\infty = a \leq \left(\frac{a}{b} + 1\right)^\eta a \\ &= (a + b)^\eta (a^{\frac{1}{1-\eta}} b^{\frac{-\eta}{1-\eta}})^{1-\eta} \\ &\lesssim |u|_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}^\eta |u|_{\frac{1}{\nu}}^{1-\eta}.\end{aligned}$$

Combining with Lemma 3.3, we can derive all the remaining cases. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section, we will prove our conclusions using mathematical induction and the interpolation lemma. The basic idea is similar to the method used by L. Nirenberg in [12], and we also refer to the proof details provided by A. Fiorenza, M.R. Formica, T. Roskovec, and F. Soudský in [5].

4.1. Initial Inequality. We aim to prove the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality by mathematical induction, so we need a fundamental starting inequality. Let's check the case when $l = 1$ and $k = 2$ in Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $-\infty < \frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}, \frac{1}{r} \leq 1$ and*

$$\frac{2}{q} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r}.$$

If $u \in X^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap X^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $u \in X^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and there exists a constant C independent of u such that

$$(11) \quad |Du|_q^2 \leq C |D^2 u|_p |u|_r,$$

Remark 4.2. This lemma has been mostly proven by previous researchers.

- (1) When $p, q, r \geq 1$, this Lemma was left as an exercise in L. Nirenberg's paper [12]. Recently, A. Fiorenza, M.R. Formica, T. Roskovec and F. Soudský provided a detailed proof of this lemma in their paper [5] under these circumstances.
- (2) The result for $r \in [-\infty, n) \cap q \in [1, \infty)$ can be found as a particular case in A. Kufner, and W. Andreas's paper [8]. Thus we only need to prove for the remaining cases, which is trivial.

Proof. Given that the majority has been proven by predecessors, we now focus on demonstrating the lemma when $r \in [-n, 0) \cup q \in [-\infty, 0)$.

First denote the converse Sobolev conjugate index p_* with

$$\frac{1}{p_*} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{n}.$$

When $r \in [-n, 0)$. Easy to verify

$$\frac{2}{q} = \frac{1}{p^*} + \frac{1}{r_*}.$$

Notice $r_* \in [-\infty, 0)$, then apply the general sobolev inequality (2), Hölder equality (3) and the interpolation inequality (5), we have

$$|Du|_q^2 \lesssim |Du|_{p^*} |Du|_{r_*} \lesssim |D^2u|_p |u|_r.$$

When $q \in [-\infty, 0)$. This case is also simple, the parameters satisfy the condition

$$\frac{2}{q^*} = \frac{1}{p^{**}} + \frac{1}{r}.$$

Use (2), (3) and (5) again we have

$$|Du|_q^2 = |u|_{q^*}^2 \lesssim |u|_{p^{**}} |u|_r \lesssim |D^2u|_p |u|_r.$$

□

4.2. Induction. When $\theta = 1$, Sobolev inequality (2) arises as a special case of the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality (1). In this subsection, we focus on the case $\theta = \frac{l}{k}$, where the parameters hold the condition:

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{l}{k} \cdot \frac{1}{p} + \frac{k-l}{k} \cdot \frac{1}{r}.$$

Use mathematical induction to prove the inequality for a pair of integers (l, k) . For the base case, let's consider $l = 1$ and $k = 2$, which has been addressed in Lemma 4.1 in the preceding subsection.

First, we use induction method on the parameter k , assume the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality (1) holds for $l = 1$ and $k = \tilde{k} \in \mathbb{N}$. We want to show it also holds for $l = 1$ and $k = \tilde{k} + 1$. Notice the parameters satisfy

$$(12) \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{\tilde{k}+1} \cdot \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\tilde{k}}{\tilde{k}+1} \cdot \frac{1}{r}.$$

Set s corresponding to q, r such that

$$(13) \quad \frac{2}{q} = \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{r}.$$

Then comes from (11) we obtain

$$(14) \quad |Du|_q \leq C |D^2u|_s^{\frac{1}{2}} |u|_r^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

From the relations (12) and (13) of the parameters we derive that

$$\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{\tilde{k}} \cdot \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\tilde{k}-1}{\tilde{k}} \cdot \frac{1}{q},$$

which coincides with the case $l = 1$ and $k = \tilde{k}$ we assumed, therefore apply (1) on Du we have

$$(15) \quad |D^2u|_s \leq C |D^{\tilde{k}+1}u|_p^{\frac{1}{\tilde{k}}} |Du|_q^{\frac{\tilde{k}-1}{\tilde{k}}}.$$

Then inequalities (14) and (15) imply that

$$|Du|_q \leq C |D^{\tilde{k}+1}u|_p^{\frac{1}{\tilde{k}+1}} |u|_r^{\frac{\tilde{k}}{\tilde{k}+1}}.$$

Which completes the first induction.

Secondly, we use induction concerning the parameter j , assuming the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality (1) holds for $(l, k) = (\tilde{l}, \tilde{k}) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ with $\tilde{l} < \tilde{k}$. It is enough to prove it also holds for $(l, k) = (\tilde{l} + 1, \tilde{k} + 1)$. Similarly, we have the parameters satisfy

$$(16) \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\tilde{l} + 1}{\tilde{k} + 1} \cdot \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\tilde{k} - \tilde{l}}{\tilde{k} + 1} \cdot \frac{1}{r}.$$

Set t to be such that

$$(17) \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\tilde{l}}{\tilde{k}} \cdot \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\tilde{k} - \tilde{l}}{\tilde{k}} \cdot \frac{1}{t}.$$

Thus the parameters meet the assumption, apply (1) on Du we get

$$(18) \quad |D^{\tilde{l}+1}u|_q \leq C|D^{\tilde{k}+1}u|_p^{\frac{\tilde{l}}{\tilde{k}}}|Du|_t^{\frac{\tilde{k}-\tilde{l}}{\tilde{k}}}.$$

Then (16) and (17) imply that

$$\frac{1}{t} = \frac{1}{\tilde{l} + 1} \cdot \frac{1}{q} + \frac{\tilde{l}}{\tilde{l} + 1} \cdot \frac{1}{r}.$$

Let $l = 1$ and $k = \tilde{l} + 1$, it matched the result we have for the first induction

$$(19) \quad |Du|_t \leq C|D^{\tilde{l}+1}u|_q^{\frac{1}{\tilde{l}+1}}|u|_r^{\frac{\tilde{l}}{\tilde{l}+1}}.$$

Combine (18) and (19) we complete the second induction,

$$|D^{\tilde{l}+1}u|_q \leq C|D^{\tilde{k}+1}u|_p^{\frac{\tilde{l}+1}{\tilde{k}+1}}|u|_r^{\frac{\tilde{k}-\tilde{l}}{\tilde{k}+1}}.$$

4.3. Interpolation. Once we reach the inequalities for endpoints of $\theta = 1$ and $\theta = \frac{l}{k}$, the general case is followed by the interpolation Lemma 3.1. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{q_1} - \frac{l}{n} &= \frac{1}{p} - \frac{k}{n}, \\ \frac{1}{q_2} &= \frac{l}{k} \cdot \frac{1}{p} + \frac{k-l}{k} \cdot \frac{1}{r}, \\ \eta + \frac{l}{k} \cdot (1 - \eta) &= \theta. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{\eta}{q_1} + \frac{1 - \eta}{q_2}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |D^l u|_q &\lesssim |D^l u|_{q_1}^\eta |D^l u|_{q_2}^{1-\eta} \\ &\lesssim |D^k u|_p^\eta |D^k u|_p^{\frac{l}{k}(1-\eta)} |u|_r^{\frac{k-l}{k}(1-\eta)} \\ &= |D^k u|_p^\theta |u|_r^{1-\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

REFERENCES

- [1] Brezis, Haim, and Haim Brézis. Functional analysis, Sobolev spaces and partial differential equations. Vol. 2. No. 3. New York: Springer, 2011.
- [2] Calderón, Alberto. “Intermediate spaces and interpolation, the complex method.” *Studia Mathematica* 24.2 (1964): 113-190.
- [3] Campanato, Sergio. “Proprietà di hölderianità di alcune classi di funzioni.” *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa-Scienze Fisiche e Matematiche* 17.1-2 (1963): 175-188.
- [4] Evans, Lawrence C. Partial differential equations. Vol. 19. American Mathematical Society, 2022.
- [5] Fiorenza, Alberto, et al. “Detailed proof of classical Gagliardo–Nirenberg interpolation inequality with historical remarks.” *Zeitschrift für Analysis und ihre Anwendungen* 40.2 (2021): 217-236.
- [6] Gagliardo, Emilio. “Ulteriori proprietà di alcune classi di funzioni in più variabili.” *Ricerche Mat.* 8 (1959): 24-51.
- [7] John, Fritz, and Louis Nirenberg. “On functions of bounded mean oscillation.” *Communications on pure and applied Mathematics* 14.3 (1961): 415-426.
- [8] Kufner, Alois, and Andreas Wannebo. “An interpolation inequality involving Hölder norms.” (1995): 603-612.

- [9] Lunardi, Alessandra. Analytic semigroups and optimal regularity in parabolic problems. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [10] Lunardi, Alessandra. Interpolation theory. Vol. 16. Springer, 2018.
- [11] Meyers, Norman G. “Mean oscillation over cubes and Hölder continuity.” Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 15.5 (1964): 717-721.
- [12] Nirenberg, Louis. “On elliptic partial differential equations.” Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa-Scienze Fisiche e Matematiche 13.2 (1959): 115-162.
- [13] Stampacchia, Guido. “The spaces $\mathcal{L}^{(p,\lambda)}$, $N^{(p,\lambda)}$ and interpolation.” Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa-Scienze Fisiche e Matematiche 19.3 (1965): 443-462.
- [14] Soudský, Filip, Anastasia Molchanova, and Tomáš Roskovec. “Interpolation between Hölder and Lebesgue spaces with applications.” Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 466.1 (2018): 160-168.

^a DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANTOU UNIVERSITY, SHANTOU 515063, GUANGDONG, CHINA
Email address: mxdong@stu.edu.cn