



Efficient Computation for Invertibility Sequence of Banded Toeplitz Matrices

Chen Wang, Chao Wang*

^aAddress: College of Software, Nankai University, Tianjin 300350, China

Abstract

When solving systems of banded Toeplitz equations or calculating their inverses, it is necessary to determine the invertibility of the matrices beforehand. In this paper, we equate the invertibility of an n -order banded Toeplitz matrix with bandwidth $2k + 1$ to that of a small $k * k$ matrix. By utilizing a specially designed algorithm, we compute the invertibility sequence of a class of banded Toeplitz matrices with a time complexity of $5k^2n/2 + kn$ and a space complexity of $3k^2$ where n is the size of the largest matrix. This enables efficient preprocessing when solving equation systems and inverses of banded Toeplitz matrices.

© 2024 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

Keywords:

banded Toeplitz matrix, invertibility sequence, computational complexity

1. Introduction and preliminaries

An n -order banded Toeplitz matrix with bandwidth $2k + 1$ takes the form:

$$M_n = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 & \cdots & x_k & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{-k} & & \ddots & & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & & \ddots & & x_k \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_{-k} & \cdots & x_0 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times n} . \tag{1}$$

Banded Toeplitz matrices, as transition matrices for convolutions, frequently appear in the numerical solutions of partial differential equations using finite difference methods, finite element methods, and spectral methods [1, 2, 14]. They can be applied in the mathematical representation of high-dimensional nonlinear electromagnetic interference signals [13, 16].

Currently, the majority of computations on banded Toeplitz matrices, including solving equation systems [9, 10] and inverses [3, 11, 17], require that the banded Toeplitz matrices are non-singular, meaning the determination of their

*Corresponding author.

Email addresses: 2120220677@mail.nankai.edu.cn (Chen Wang), wangchao@nankai.edu.cn (Chao Wang)

invertibility is necessary. At present, most methods determine the invertibility by calculating determinants [4, 6, 7, 8, 15]. This leads to computational waste because we are not concerned with the specific value of their determinants.

Based on the reasons mentioned above, we propose a rapid determination method for the invertibility of banded Toeplitz matrices. We equate the invertibility of an n -order banded Toeplitz matrix with bandwidth $2k + 1$ to that of a small $k * k$ matrix and introduce a new algorithm, which can solve the invertibility sequence of a class of banded Toeplitz matrices with time complexity $5k^2n/2 + kn$ and space complexity $3k^2$ where n is the size of the largest matrix. Additionally, while most other studies focus on tridiagonal or pentadiagonal Toeplitz matrices, our algorithm is compatible with larger values of k . This enables efficient preprocessing when solving equation systems and inverses of banded Toeplitz matrices. Since the invertibility of banded Toeplitz matrices over finite fields is equivalent to the reversibility of one-dimensional null-boundary linear cellular automata [12], we compare our determination algorithm with the latest equivalent invertibility determination algorithms in cellular automata [5]. The results show that our algorithm has significant efficiency advantages.

Table 1. Comparison of complexity with equivalent algorithm

algorithm	time complexity
SBP [5]	$O(k^3n)$
ours	$5k^2n/2 + kn$

This paper consists of three sections. The second section presents the main theorem and algorithm of this paper. The third section summarizes the work of the entire paper.

2. Invertibility of banded Toeplitz matrices

The invertibility of a matrix is a simpler problem than the determinant, so we aim to completely compute the invertibility sequence for a class of banded Toeplitz matrices:

Definition 1. The *invertibility sequence* is a binary sequence of length n where the i -th number indicates whether the i -th order banded Toeplitz matrix is invertible.

Next, we will begin our calculations, assuming the bandwidth is $2k + 1$. Consider the following sequence:

$$v_{i,j} (-k \leq i \leq n+k, 1 \leq j \leq k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i \leq k \text{ and } i \neq j \\ 1 & \text{for } i = j \\ -(x_{k-1}v_{i-1,j} + x_{k-2}v_{i-2,j} + \cdots + x_{-k}v_{i-2k,j})/x_k & \text{for } i > k \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Let W_i be a $k * k$ matrix, with its elements as described in Eq. 3.

$$W_i = \begin{bmatrix} v_{i+1,1} & v_{i+1,2} & \cdots & v_{i+1,k} \\ v_{i+2,1} & v_{i+2,2} & \cdots & v_{i+2,k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_{i+k,1} & v_{i+k,2} & \cdots & v_{i+k,k} \end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

We have the following important theorem:

Theorem 1. An n -order banded Toeplitz matrix M_n with bandwidth $2k + 1$ ($n > k$) is invertible if and only if W_n is invertible.

Proof. Let matrices P and Q be defined as follows:

$$P = QW_n = \begin{bmatrix} -x_k & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -x_{k-1} & -x_k & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -x_1 & -x_2 & \cdots & -x_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_{n+1,1} & v_{n+1,2} & \cdots & v_{n+1,k} \\ v_{n+2,1} & v_{n+2,2} & \cdots & v_{n+2,k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_{n+k,1} & v_{n+k,2} & \cdots & v_{n+k,k} \end{bmatrix} \quad (4)$$

We have the following equation, where I_k is the n -order identity matrix.

$$M_n \begin{bmatrix} & & I_k & & \\ & & \cdots & & \\ \cdots & & \cdots & & \cdots \\ v_{k+1,1} & \cdots & v_{k+1,k} & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & & \\ v_{n,1} & \cdots & v_{n,k} & & \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} & & O_{(n-k)*k} & & \\ & & \cdots & & \\ \cdots & & \cdots & & \cdots \\ & & P_{k*k} & & \end{bmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

First, we prove the necessity: if M_n is an invertible matrix, then when it is multiplied by a column full-rank matrix, the result is a column full-rank matrix. The rank of P is k , so P is invertible.

Next, we prove the sufficiency: if W_n is invertible, then P is also invertible. Multiplying both sides of the Eq. 5 by P^{-1} on the right, there exist k vectors $U_{n-k+1}, U_{n-k+2}, \dots, U_n$ that make:

$$M_n [U_{n-k+1}, U_{n-k+2}, \dots, U_n] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ I_k \end{bmatrix}, \quad (6)$$

At this point, we can construct a unique matrix $U = [U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n]$ such that $M_n U = I_n$, where for $i > n$, $U_i = 0$ and E_i is the vector of order i of the canonical basis of \mathbb{K}^n .

$$U_i = (E_{i-k} - x_{-k+1} U_{i+1} - x_{-k+2} U_{i+2} - \dots - x_k U_{i+2k}) / x_{-k}. \quad (7)$$

□

We have equated the invertibility of a banded Toeplitz matrix with bandwidth $2k + 1$ to the invertibility of a small $k * k$ matrix, which significantly enhances our computational efficiency.

Corollary 1. *The invertibility sequence of tridiagonal Toeplitz matrices can be calculated with a time complexity of $3n$.*

Proof. In this case, $k = 1$, which means the invertibility determining matrix W consists of a single element. We only need to determine whether it is zero. The cost of calculating W_1 to W_n using Eq. 2 is $3n$. □

Corollary 2. *The invertibility sequence of pentadiagonal Toeplitz matrices can be calculated with a time complexity of $11n$.*

Proof. In this case, $k = 2$, which means the invertibility determining matrix W is a $2 * 2$ matrix. We only need to determine if the ratio of the two elements in each row of W is equal to that in the next row, which costs n . The cost of calculating W_1 to W_n using Eq. 2 is $10n$. Therefore, the total cost is $11n$. □

Corollary 3. *The invertibility sequence of $(2k + 1)$ -diagonal Toeplitz matrices can be calculated with time complexity $5k^2n/2 + kn$ and space complexity $3k^2$.*

Proof. Calculating the invertibility sequence for banded Toeplitz matrices involves determining the invertibility of W_1, W_2, \dots, W_n , where each W is a $k * k$ matrix. If Gaussian elimination is used for each matrix to row-echelon form, the time complexity could reach $O(k^3n)$. Since $k - 1$ rows are the same between W_i and W_{i+1} , this means that the computations performed on W_i can be utilized for W_{i+1} . This reuse of calculations can optimize the overall process and reduce the computational cost.

Consider the initial situation, set $W_0 = Y_0 = I$, where I is the identity matrix, a row-echelon form of a full-rank matrix. By removing the first row of W_0 and adding the bottom row of W_1 , a new matrix Y_1 is formed. It can be seen that the invertibility of W_1 and Y_1 is the same. At this point, the first $k - 1$ rows of Y_1 are **quasi-row-echelon which can be transformed into row-echelon form through row swapping**. Assuming that $index[a]$ is the index of the first non-zero element from the left in the a -th row of each Y , there are two scenarios:

- If $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq i \leq k, index[k] \neq index[i]$, this indicates that Y_i is quasi-row-echelon. In this scenario, we can quickly determine the invertibility of Y_1 , whether there exists a row that is entirely zero.

- If $\exists i \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq i \leq k, \text{index}[k] = \text{index}[i]$, then use the k -th row to perform Gaussian elimination on the i -th row ($k > i$). After Gaussian elimination, $\text{index}[i]$ will grow and be updated. If at this point, $\exists j \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq j \leq k, \text{index}[j] = \text{index}[i]$, then use the lower row to perform Gaussian elimination on the upper one. Continue this process until Y_1 achieves a quasi-row-echelon form. Then, we can quickly determine the invertibility of Y_1 .

Algorithm 1: calculation for the invertibility sequence of banded Toeplitz matrices

Data: vector $X = [x_{-k}, \dots, x_0, \dots, x_k]$ and the size n of the largest matrix
Result: invertibility sequence R
 // Step1: initialize W_0 and Y_0

```

1 for  $i = 1; i \leq k; i++$  do
2   for  $j = 1; j \leq 2k; j++$  do
3     if  $j = i + k$  then
4       |  $W[j][i] = 1;$ 
5     else
6       |  $W[j][i] = 0;$ 
7     end
8   end
9   for  $j = 1; j \leq k; j++$  do
10    if  $j = i + k$  then
11      |  $Y[j][i] = 1;$ 
12    else
13      |  $Y[j][i] = 0;$ 
14    end
15  end
16  //  $\text{index}[i]$  is the index of the first non-zero element from the left in the  $i$ -th row of  $Y$ 
17   $\text{index}[i] = i;$ 
18 end
19 for  $i = 1; i \leq n; i++$  do
20   // Step2: generate  $W_i$  and  $Y_i$ 
21   for  $j = 1; j \leq k; j++$  do
22     |  $W[i \bmod 2k][j] = -(x_{k-1}W[(i-1+2k) \bmod 2k][j] + x_{k-2}W[(i-2+2k) \bmod 2k][j] + \dots + x_{-k}W[(i+1) \bmod 2k][j])/x_k;$ 
23     |  $Y[i \bmod k][j] = W[i \bmod 2k][j];$ 
24   end
25   update  $\text{index}[i \bmod k];$ 
26    $\text{cur} = i \bmod k;$ 
27   // Step3: Gaussian elimination
28   while  $\exists l, \text{index}[l] = \text{index}[\text{cur}]$  do
29     if  $l < \text{cur} \leq (i \bmod n)$  or  $(i \bmod n) \geq l < \text{cur}$  or  $l > (i \bmod n), \text{cur} \leq (i \bmod n)$  then
30       | exchange  $l$  and  $\text{cur};$ 
31     end
32     use  $Y[l]$  to perform Gaussian elimination on  $Y[\text{cur}];$ 
33     update  $\text{index}[\text{cur}];$ 
34   end
35   if  $\exists l, \text{index}[l] = 0$  then
36     |  $R[i] = 0;$ 
37   else
38     |  $R[i] = 1;$ 
39   end
40 end
41 return  $R;$ 

```

We continuously remove the first row of Y_i and add the last row of W_{i+1} to construct Y_{i+1} . Since the first $k-1$ rows of Y_{i+1} are already in quasi-row-echelon form, we need at most k Gaussian eliminations to transform Y_{i+1} into quasi-row-echelon form, rather than k^2 eliminations. Since we always use the larger-index rows to perform Gaussian elimination on the small-index ones during the Gaussian elimination, the j -th row of Y_{i+1} is only linearly expressed by the j -th to k -th rows of W_{i+1} . Therefore, when we use W_{i+1} and Y_i to construct Y_{i+1} , we can remove the first row of Y_i and ensure that Y_{i+1} and W_{i+1} have the same invertibility, as shown in Eq. 8, where V_l is the l -th row of W_i and function L represents linear combination.

$$W_i = \begin{bmatrix} V_1 \\ V_2 \\ \vdots \\ V_k \end{bmatrix}, Y_i = \begin{bmatrix} L(V_1, V_2, V_3, \dots, V_k) \\ L(V_2, V_3, \dots, V_k) \\ \vdots \\ V_k \end{bmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

Algorithm 1 shows the calculations in detail.

The time cost analysis of this algorithm is as follows:

- **Step 1** (lines 1 to 17) is for initialization and involves no computation.
- **Step 2** (lines 19 to 24) calculates each element with a time cost of $2k + 1$. Since there are kn elements, the total time cost for this step is $2k^2n + kn$.
- **Step 3** (lines 25 to 36) requires at most k Gaussian eliminations to transform each Y into quasi-row-echelon form, with a time cost of k for each cycle. As this while-loop is repeated n times, the total cost for this step is $k^2n/2$.

In summary, the total time cost of the program is $5k^2n/2 + kn$. This algorithm is more space-efficient: the size of W is $2k * k$, and the size of Y is $k * k$, therefore, the total space consumption of the algorithm is only $3k^2$. □

Relying on Theorem 1 and Algorithm 1, we will be able to efficiently solve the invertibility of a class of banded Toeplitz matrices, providing a foundation for efficiently batch processing this class of banded Toeplitz matrices.

3. Conclusion

We equate the invertibility of an n -order banded Toeplitz matrix with bandwidth $2k+1$ to that of a small $k*k$ matrix. This allows us to calculate the invertibility sequence of a class of banded Toeplitz matrices with a time complexity of $5k^2n/2 + kn$. This algorithm can be applied in solving equation systems and inverses of banded Toeplitz matrices.

Acknowledgments

This study is financed by Tianjin Science and Technology Bureau, finance code: 21JCYBJC00210.

Declaration of generative AI

Generative AI is only used for translation and language polishing in this paper.

References

- [1] Aceto, L., Ghelardoni, P., Magherini, C., 2012a. Boundary value methods for the reconstruction of sturm-liouville potentials. *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 219, 2960–2974. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0096300312009204>, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2012.09.021>.
- [2] Aceto, L., Ghelardoni, P., Magherini, C., 2012b. Pgscom: A family of p-stable boundary value methods for second-order initial value problems. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* 236, 3857–3868. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0377042712001525>, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2012.03.024>. 40 years of numerical analysis: “Is the discrete world an approximation of the continuous one or is it the other way around?”
- [3] Arslan, S., Köken, F., Bozkurt, D., 2013. Positive integer powers and inverse for one type of even order symmetric pentadiagonal matrices. *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 219, 5241–5248.
- [4] Cinkir, Z., 2014. A fast elementary algorithm for computing the determinant of toeplitz matrices. *Journal of computational and applied mathematics* 255, 353–361.
- [5] Du, X., Wang, C., Wang, T., Gao, Z., 2022. Efficient methods with polynomial complexity to determine the reversibility of general 1d linear cellular automata over \mathbb{Z}_p . *Information Sciences* 594, 163–176.

- [6] Jia, J., Yang, B., Li, S., 2016. On a homogeneous recurrence relation for the determinants of general pentadiagonal toeplitz matrices. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications* 71, 1036–1044.
- [7] Jia, J.T., Wang, F.R., 2023. A cost-efficient numerical algorithm for the determinants of heptadiagonal matrices with toeplitz structure. *Journal of Mathematical Chemistry* 61, 1275–1291.
- [8] Kilic, E., El-Mikkawy, M., 2008. A computational algorithm for special nth-order pentadiagonal toeplitz determinants. *Applied mathematics and computation* 199, 820–822.
- [9] Kumar, R., 1985. A fast algorithm for solving a toeplitz system of equations. *IEEE transactions on acoustics, speech, and signal processing* 33, 254–267.
- [10] Liu, Z., Li, S., Yin, Y., Zhang, Y., 2020. Fast solvers for tridiagonal toeplitz linear systems. *Computational and Applied Mathematics* 39, 1–10.
- [11] Lv, X.G., Huang, T.Z., Le, J., 2008. A note on computing the inverse and the determinant of a pentadiagonal toeplitz matrix. *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 206, 327–331.
- [12] Martín del Rey, A., Rodríguez Sánchez, G., 2011. Reversibility of linear cellular automata. *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 217, 8360–8366. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0096300311003997>, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2011.03.033>.
- [13] Patil, P.G., Swamy, Y., 2008. An efficient model for vibration control by piezoelectric smart structure using finite element method. *Eur. J. Comput. Sci. Netw. Secu* 8, 258–264.
- [14] Poletti, M.A., Teal, P.D., 2021. A superfast toeplitz matrix inversion method for single-and multi-channel inverse filters and its application to room equalization. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing* 29, 3144–3157.
- [15] Talibi, B., Hadj, D., Sarsri, D., 2018. A numerical algorithm for computing the inverse of a toeplitz pentadiagonal matrix. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computational Mechanics* 17.
- [16] Tang, T., 2006. *Spectral and high-order methods with applications*. Science Press Beijing.
- [17] Wang, C., Li, H., Zhao, D., 2015. An explicit formula for the inverse of a pentadiagonal toeplitz matrix. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* 278, 12–18.